A Recombinant Fragment of Human Surfactant Protein D Binds Spike Protein and Inhibits Infectivity and Replication of SARS-CoV-2 in Clinical Samples

Taruna Madan^{1*}, Barnali Biswas¹, Praveen M. Varghese^{2,3}, Rambhadur Subedi¹, Hrishikesh Pandit^{1#}, Susan Idicula-Thomas⁴, Indra Kundu⁴, Sheetalnath Rooge⁵, Reshu Agarwal⁵, Dinesh M. Tripathi⁶, Savneet Kaur⁶, Ekta Gupta⁵, Sanjeev K. Gupta⁷, Uday Kishore^{2*}

¹Department of Innate Immunity, ICMR-National Institute for Research in Reproductive Health, Mumbai, India

²Biosciences, College of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Brunel University London, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, UK

³School of Biosciences and Technology, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, India

⁴Biomedical Informatics Centre, ICMR- National Institute for Research in Reproductive Health, Mumbai, India

⁵Department of Molecular and Cellular Medicine, Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, Delhi, India

⁶Department of Virology, Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, Delhi, India

⁷Intrust Consulting, Mumbai, India

*Co-Senior Authors

Corresponding author:

Dr Uday Kishore, PhD Biosciences, 241, Heinz Wolff Building, Brunel University London, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, UK (<u>uday.kishore@brunel.ac.uk</u>) **Footnote:** [#]Current address: Human Retrovirus Section, Vaccine Branch, Centre for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute at Frederick, Frederick, Maryland, USA

Author Contributions:

TM, BB, PV and RS carried out protein-related work; ST and IK carried out molecular modelling and mutational studies; TM, SR, RA, DT, SK, SG and EG contributed to the clinical samples and infection assay; TM, HP, PV, SG and UK analysed and interpreted the data, and prepared the manuscript.

Conception and design: TM, SG and UK

Analysis: TM, PV, EG, SG and UK

Interpretation: TM and UK

Drafting the first version of the manuscript: TM, BB, PV and UK

Review and editing of the manuscript: TM, HP and UK

Funding: The work was supported with intramural grant from ICMR-NIRRH (Accession No. RA/1002/12-2020).

Running title: rfhSP-D inhibits SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication

Descriptor number: 10.6 (Host Defences to Microbial Pathogens)

Total Word Count: 3449

This article has an online data supplement, which is accessible from this issue's table of content online at <u>www.atsjournals.org</u>.

Some of the results of these studies have been previously reported in the form of a preprint (bioRxiv, [18 December 2020] https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.18.423415).

This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0

(<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>). For commercial usage and reprints please contact Diane Gern (<u>dgern@thoracic.org</u>).

Abstract

COVID -19 is an acute infectious disease caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Human surfactant protein D (SP-D) is known to interact with spike protein of SARS-CoV, but its immune-surveillance against SARS-CoV-2 is not known. The study aimed to examine the potential of a recombinant fragment of human SP-D (rfhSP-D) as an inhibitor of replication and infection of SARS-CoV-2. The interaction of rfhSP-D with spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 and hACE-2 receptor was predicted via docking analysis. The inhibition of interaction between spike protein and ACE-2 by rfhSP-D was confirmed using direct and indirect ELISA. The effect of rfhSP-D on replication and infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical samples was studied by measuring the expression of RdRp gene of the virus using qPCR. In-silico interaction studies indicated that three amino acid residues in the RBD of spike of SARS-CoV-2 were commonly involved in interacting with rfhSP-D and ACE-2. Studies using clinical samples of SARS-CoV-2 positive cases (asymptomatic, n=7 and symptomatic, n=8 and negative controls n=15) demonstrated that treatment with 1.67 μ M rfhSP-D inhibited viral replication by ~5.5 fold and was more efficient than Remdesivir (100 µM). Approximately, a 2-fold reduction in viral infectivity was also observed after treatment with 1.67 µM rfhSP-D. These results conclusively demonstrate that the calcium independent rfhSP-D mediated inhibition of binding between the receptor binding domain of the S1 subunit of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and human ACE-2, its host cell receptor, and a significant reduction in SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication invitro.

Word Count:246

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, Surfactant protein D, innate immunity, Spike protein, infection, Entry inhibitor

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic, caused by the 'Severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus-2' (SARS-CoV-2) (1, 2), has affected ~ 58 million people across the globe and has claimed more than a million lives within its first year (3). The SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (S protein) is cleaved into S1 subunit, which is involved in host receptor binding, and S2 subunit, which is involved in membrane fusion, by the host's transmembrane Serine Protease 2 (TMPRSS2) (4). This priming of the S protein by host proteases enables it to bind with the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor on the nasopharyngeal epithelial cells, leading to its entry into the host cell (4). While vaccines against the virus are being continually developed and trialled, therapeutic strategies to treat severe COVID-19 cases are limited and comprise of Remdesivir and Dexamethasone (5).

The innate immune system plays a crucial role against SARS-CoV-2 infection; majority of infected individuals purge the virus within a few days with minimal involvement of adaptive immune response (6). Collectins are a group of humoral pattern recognition receptors, of which human lung surfactant protein D (SP-D), is known to act as a potent viral entry inhibitor, including HIV-1 and influenza A virus (7, 8). The primary structure of SP-D is characterised by an N-terminus that is involved in multimerization; a triple-helical collagenous region made up of Gly-X-Y repeats, an α -helical coiled-coil neck region, and a C-terminal C-type lectin or carbohydrate recognition domain (CRD) (9). The protective effects of SP-D against a range of bacterial, viral, and fungal pathogens leading to their agglutination, growth inhibition, enhanced phagocytosis, neutralisation, and modulation of immune responses are well documented (9, 10).

During the SARS-CoV epidemic in 2002, elevated levels of SP-D were reported in the serum of the patients infected with highly pathogenic β -CoV, SARS CoV (11). Purified SP-D has

been shown to bind to the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the glycosylated Spike protein of SARS-CoV, which shares 74% homology with the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 (12). In addition, SP-D also binds α -CoV, HCoV-229E, and inhibits infection in human bronchial epithelial cells (13). These mounting pieces of evidence encouraged exploration of the therapeutic potential of SP-D in COVID-19 patients.

In this study, we used a well-characterised recombinant fragment of human SP-D (rfhSP-D) comprising homotrimeric neck and CRD regions to study its protective effect against SARS-CoV-2 infection. As the recombinant form has the advantage of a smaller size to reach the distal lung locations and higher resistance to proteases and collagenases over the full-length SP-D, we evaluated the interaction of rfhSP-D with RBD and Spike of SARS-CoV-2 and its inhibitory potential against infection and replication of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples.

Materials and Methods

An additional detailed section for performing these experiments is provided in an online data supplement.

Clinical Samples

The clinical samples (throat and nasal swabs) (n=15) (Table 1) were from symptomatic contacts of lab-confirmed cases (Cat 2) (n=2), hospitalised severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) patients (Cat 4) (n=3), asymptomatic direct and high-risk contacts of lab-confirmed cases (Cat 5a) (n=7) and hospitalised symptomatic influenza-like illness (ILI) patients (Cat 6) (n=3) that had tested positive by RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2. Samples (n=15) that tested negative by RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 were used as controls. The inclusion criteria for the cases were age (between 18-45 years) and a Ct value between 10 and 15 (18-45 years and an undetectable viral load for controls). The two groups showed no significant difference in

their age and sex distribution. The 50% Tissue culture Infective Dose (TCID₅₀) of the clinical samples was estimated using a template that utilized the Spearman & Kärber method for calculation (14). The TCID₅₀ value estimated was further confirmed via MTT assay. Briefly, 5 x 10⁴ Vero cells in Vero growth media [MEM Glutamax+10% FBS, 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin and 1% sodium pyruvate (Gibco)] were grown in a 96-well plate overnight. The clinical samples from the cases and controls at various dilutions were added to the cells, incubated for 1h, wells were washed with PBS twice, and fresh Vero growth medium was added to the cells and incubated (96h, 37°C, 5% CO₂). MTT assay was performed to assess cell viability.

In silico analysis of rfhSP-D interaction with SARS-CoV-2 spike(S) protein and hACE-2

Structural information with respect to the molecular interactions between S-protein and hACE2 are available (15, 16). The rfhSP-D trimer (pdb id: 1PW9) was blind-docked with (a) RBD of S protein in open conformation (pdb id: 6VYB) and (b) dimeric hACE2 (pdb id: 6VW1). Top 100 docked poses selected were further refined using FireDock web server (17, 18) for calculating global free energy. The top five refined structures were filtered based on interactions between RBM of S protein, C-Type Lectin Domain (CTLD: aa 240-355) of rfhSP-D and N-terminal of hACE2.

The effect of binding of trimeric rfhSP-D to S-protein and dimeric ACE2 on ACE2 - S protein interaction was evaluated by further docking the docked complex of (a) S protein and rfhSP-D with hACE2 and (b) hACE2 and rfhSP-D with S protein. Patchdock web server (19, 20) was used for all docking experiments.

In silico interaction of single residue rfhSP-D mutants with Spike protein and hACE-2

The importance of rfhSP-D residues predicted to be interacting with ACE-2 and S protein was further validated using single residue mutations in the docked complex using mCSM-PPI2 web server (21). Each of the rfhSP-D residues involved in interaction with virus-binding hotspot of ACE-2 and RBD of S protein were mutated to the standard amino acids and its effect on binding energy of the complex was assessed.

ELISA

The rfhSP-D used was expressed and purified from *E. coli* as described previously (22, 23). rfhSP-D binding to S protein or RBD was analysed using the SARS-CoV-2 Inhibitor Screening Kit (Acro Biosystems; EP-105).

Microtitre wells were coated with 0.3 μ g/ml (0.54 nM) S protein and incubated with rfhSP-D (20, 10 and 5 μ g/ml or 0.334, 0.167, 0.083 μ M) or FL SP-D (20 μ g/ml or 0.038 μ M) for 1h at 37°C in PBS supplemented with 5mM CaCl₂. After blocking and washing, the wells were incubated with polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies against SP-D (1 mg/ml) and probed with their respective secondary antibodies conjugated with HRP. The binding was detected using 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate and absorbance was recorded at 450 nm. Similarly, to ascertain calcium-dependence of interaction, binding assay was carried out with 10mM EDTA.

rfhSP-D/FL SP-D and ACE-2 interaction was evaluated by coating rfhSP-D (0.1 μ g/ml or 1.67 nM) /FL SP-D (0.1 μ g/ml or 0.19 nM) and probed with biotinylated hACE-2 (0.12, 0.06 and 0.00 μ g/ml or 0.52, 0.26, 0.0 nM), followed by addition of streptavidin tagged with HRP.

To assess if rfhSP-D inhibited the interaction of S protein/RBD with biotinylated hACE-2, rfhSP-D (5, 1 and 0 μ g/ml or 83.5 nM, 16.7 nM, 0.0 nM) was pre-incubated with the coated S protein/RBD, followed by addition of biotinylated ACE-2. The S protein-hACE-2 binding

was probed with the HRP tagged Streptavidin antibody. rfhSP-D (5 μ g/ml or 83.5 nM) in 10mM EDTA was also used.

Vero Cell Replication Assay

Vero cells (ATCC® CCL-81TM) (5x10⁴) were cultured in serum-free MEM. SARS-CoV-2 positive clinical samples (100 TCID₅₀/well; MOI 0.01) were preincubated with rfhSP-D [0 μ g/ml (0 μ M), 50 μ g/ml (0.835 μ M) or 100 μ g/ml (1.67 μ M)] in MEM containing 5mM CaCl₂ for 1h at RT and 1h at 4°C (7). SARS-CoV-2 negative clinical samples (volume equivalent to 100 TCID₅₀ of the age and sex matched SARS-CoV-2 positive sample/well, MOI 0.01) were used as control. This pre-treated or untreated virus was added to the cells and was incubated for 1h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Following PBS washes, infection medium (MEM+0.3% BSA) was added and incubated for 24h to assess replication. Total RNA was extracted from cell pellet using the Perkin Elmer automated extractor. Real-time RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 was carried out using Pathodetect kits (MyLabs). For the replication analysis, Ct value for SARS-CoV-2 RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) gene was used. Cells incubated with rfhSP-D, without virus was used as the protein control.

Vero cell Infection assay

SARS-CoV-2 positive clinical samples (500 TCID₅₀/well, MOI 0.05) [and SARS-CoV-2 negative clinical samples (equivalent volume)] were treated with rfhSP-D (7). After adding the infection medium, Vero cells ($5x10^5$) were incubated for 2h, harvested, and Real-time RT-PCR was performed, as described above.

Results

rfhSP-D interacts with the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 and human ACE-2 in silico

S protein is known to interact via the receptor binding motif (RBM: aa 455-508) in the receptor binding domain (RBD: aa 319-527) with virus binding hotspot residues comprising of Lys31, Glu35 and Lys353 of dimeric hACE2 (15, 16). The structure of hACE2 receptor, co-crystallized with Spike S protein of SARS-CoV-2, is available in RCSB (pdb id: 6VW1). The receptor (ACE2) and ligand (Spike S) were separated and docked to validate the docking protocol. The redocked complex of ACE2 and S protein had root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 7.9 Å. The close agreement between the docked and crystal structures validated the docking protocol used in the study.

In case of docked solutions for S protein and rfhSP-D (**Supplementary Figure S1**), the third ranked docked pose with binding energy of -20.63 kcal/mol exhibited rfhSP-D interactions with RBM residues Tyr449, Gln493 Gln498, implying that rfhSP-D could bind to Spike protein in a manner that can inhibit ACE2-S protein interaction (**Table 2**; **Figure 1**). To ascertain this hypothesis, the complex of S protein with rfhSP-D was docked to ACE2. S protein and rfhSP-D bound to ACE2 via common interacting residues.

The top ranked docked structure of ACE2 and rfhSP-D had binding energy of -24.30 kcal/mol. In this pose, rfhSP-D interacted with the virus-binding hotspot residues Ser19, Lys31, His34 and Glu35 of ACE2, implying that rfhSP-D could bind to ACE2 in a manner that can inhibit ACE2-S protein interaction (**Table 2, Figure 1**). To corroborate this postulation, the complex of ACE2 with rfhSP-D was docked to Spike S. Top ranked pose of ACE2-rfhSP-D complex docked with open S protein had binding energy of -33.01 kcal/mol and several common interactions between rfhSP-D and ACE2 with S protein (**Supplementary Figure S1**). The docking experiments led us to infer that rfhSP-D could bind to both ACE2 and Spike S and prevent ACE2-S protein interaction.

Single residue *in silico* mutants of rfhSP-D displayed lower binding affinities to S protein and ACE-2

In silico mutational analysis was performed to evaluate the functional importance of residues of rfhSP-D that were identified to be involved in the inter-molecular interactions with ACE-2 and S protein through docking studies (**Table 2, Figure 1**). rfhSP-D residues Ser328, Gly309, Pro307, Thr305, Gln258 of the ACE-2-rfhSP-D complex and Lys229, Glu242, Gly241, His220, Gln219 of S protein-rfhSP-D complex were individually substituted to standard amino acids. All the mutants demonstrated lower binding affinities except for substitutions with similar physicochemical properties (**Figure 2**), corroborating the importance of the mutated residues in intermolecular interactions.

rfhSP-D binds to the immobilised S protein of the SARS-CoV-2 as well as hACE-2

The possible binding between rfhSP-D and S protein hinted by the docking analysis was confirmed *in vitro* via an indirect ELISA. rfhSP-D was found to bind the immobilised S protein in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3a). However, a significant difference in the absorbance was observed based on the specificity of the primary antibody used. S protein-rfhSP-D binding that was probed with the polyclonal antibody against SP-D reported a significantly higher absorbance when compared to the wells that were probed with a monoclonal antibody directed against the CRD of SP-D. This difference suggests involvement of CRD of rfhSP-D with the spike protein and therefore, the CRD was not available for interaction with the monoclonal antibody. S protein was also found to bind to the FL SP-D. The treatment of rfhSP-D with 10mM EDTA did not significantly alter the binding of rfhSP-D and FL SP-D to S protein (Figure 3b). Hence, rfhSP-D and FL SP-D bind to the S protein in a dose-dependent but a calcium-independent manner. A similar

parallel experiment revealed that rfhSP-D bound ACE2 in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3c).

rfhSP-D inhibits the interaction of S protein and its RBD with biotinylated hACE-2 in a calcium-independent manner

Since rfhSP-D was found to bind to the S protein and ACE-2, and as both rfhSP-D and ACE-2 were predicted to share the same binding site on S protein, rfhSP-D mediated inhibition of the interaction between the RBD of S protein of SARS-CoV-2 and ACE-2 was assessed using a colorimetric ELISA.

The wells were coated with either the S protein or its RBD domain that was preincubated with rfhSP-D followed by biotinylated hACE-2. The functionality and the range of the assay were initially assessed by verifying if the assay could detect the binding of hACE-2 at a concentration of 0.12 µg/ml and 0.06 µg/ml. The binding occurred in a dose-dependent manner, confirming that the assay can detect binding between S protein or its RBD domain with hACE-2 at a concentration as low as 60 ng/ml (Supplementary Figure S2). A decrease in binding between S protein and hACE-2 was observed as the concentration of rfhSP-D increased (Figure 4; Figure 5). Approximately 50% decrease in S protein-hACE-2 binding was observed as rfhSP-D concentration increased 5-fold (Figure 4a). A similar result was observed between the binding of the RBD of S protein and hACE-2. An 8-fold increase in the concentration of rfhSP-D was found to decrease RBD-hACE-2 interaction by ~25% (Figure 5a). No significant difference was observed between the samples with 10mM EDTA and without EDTA in terms of rfhSP-D mediated S protein/RBD-hACE-2 binding (Figure 4b; Figure 5b). Hence, rfhSP-D mediated inhibition of the interaction between the RBD of S protein or the S protein itself with biotinylated hACE-2 occurred in a calcium-independent manner.

rfhSP-D treatment inhibits SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication

As rfhSP-D is known to induce apoptosis in cancer and immortalised cells (22, 24-26), the effect of rfhSP-D on Vero cells was assessed using MTT assay. rfhSP-D treatment had no significant effect on the viability of Vero cells (**Supplementary figure S3**). At the outset, the TCID₅₀ values of the clinical samples were obtained by evaluating the cytopathic effects using MTT assay. As expected, when Vero cells were challenged with 100 TCID₅₀, or 50 TCID₅₀ of viral samples from SARS-CoV-2 clinical samples, a 50% or 25% reduction in cell viability was observed, respectively, compared to the viability of uninfected Vero cells, confirming the assayed TCID₅₀ values (**Figure 6**). The control samples showed no significant difference in the cell viability than the uninfected Vero cells when the control sample volumes equivalent to 100 TCID₅₀ and 50 TCID₅₀ of the matched clinical cases were used.

The effect of rfhSP-D on the replication of SARS-CoV-2 (100 TCID₅₀/well; MOI 0.01) in Vero cells was evaluated by measuring the levels of the RdRp gene of SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR 24h post-infection. Pre-treatment of the positive samples (n=15), comprising of SARS-CoV-2 with rfhSP-D, led to a reduction in RdRp levels in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 7; Table S1). The pre-treatment of samples from all categories of SARS-CoV-2 positive cases [as representatives, the Figure 7 shows the data for 1S (Cat 2) and 3S (Cat 6)] with 0.835 μ M rfhSP-D led to ~4.5-fold reduction (-4.5 log2) of RdRp transcript compared to the untreated positive sample challenged Vero cells. There was no significant difference in the Ct values of RdRp gene from the untreated and control sample treated Vero cells. Similarly, pretreatment with 1.67 μ M rfhSP-D resulted in ~5.5-fold reduction (-5.5 log2) of RdRp mRNA expression. Remdesivir, one of the anti-viral drugs proposed for COVID-19, which functions by inhibiting viral RNA synthesis, was found to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication by ~4-fold (-4 log2). Hence, rfhSP-D blocked SARS-CoV-2 infection, in addition to inhibiting the replication of SARS-CoV-2 significantly better than Remdesivir at both tested concentrations (0.835 μ M and 1.67 μ M rfhSP-D).

As rfhSP-D was found to interact with S protein and ACE-2, proteins that play an integral role in viral host cell recognition and entry, the role of rfhSP-D in viral infectivity was assessed in a similar manner to replication. Vero cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 positive samples (500TCID₅₀/well, MOI 0.05) showed a rfhSP-D dose-dependent decrease in the expression levels of the RdRp gene, 2h post-infection (Figure 8; Table S2). Clinical samples from all the categories of SARS-CoV-2 patients [As representatives, figure 8 shows the data from 2S (Cat 6) and 9AS (Cat 5a)] showed ~ 1.25-fold reduction (-1.25 log2) or ~ 2-fold reduction (-2 log2) in RdRp gene expression with the samples pre-treated with either 0.835 μ M or 1.67 μ M respectively of rfhSP-D. Remdesivir was used as a control (Remdesivir does not inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection). Thus, pre-treatment of SARS-CoV-2 in the clinical sample with rfhSP-D appears to make S protein unavailable to interact with the ACE-2 receptor on the host cell, thus, reducing the infectivity of the virus and subsequent viral replication in a dose-dependent manner.

Discussion

The present study explored the likely protective effect of a recombinant fragment of human lung surfactant protein D, rfhSP-D, against SARS-CoV-2. As predicted by the docking study, rfhSP-D interacted with the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, its receptor binding domain (RBD) as well as ACE-2. Importantly, these interactions may have contributed to significant inhibition of infectivity and replication of SARS-CoV-2 virus present in the clinical samples derived from asymptomatic, symptomatic and severe patients of COVID-19 (**Figure 9**).

One of the first steps of the SARS-CoV-2 infection is the binding of the S protein to the host cell via, ACE-2 receptor (27). S1 protein is known to interact with ACE-2 receptor via the receptor-binding motif (RBM:455-508) in the receptor-binding domain (RBD: aa 319-527) with virus binding hotspot residues comprising of Lys31, Glu35 and Lys353 of dimeric ACE2 (28-30). Since SP-D interaction with spike protein of SARS-CoV has been reported, which shares ~74% homology with the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 (12) and rfhSP-D is known to bind to viral surface proteins such as haemagglutinin and neuraminidase of influenza A virus, gp120 of human immunodeficiency virus 1 (7, 31), and S protein of SARS-CoV (12), the possibility of rfhSP-D binding to the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 was examined.

In-silico interaction of rfhSP-D with RBD of Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 revealed that Tyr449, Gln493 and Gln498 of RBD overlapped with the residues that are essential for the binding of S protein to the target protein ACE-2. The binding of S protein to rfhSP-D or FL SP-D was confirmed using an indirect ELISA. A comparatively lower absorbance with the monoclonal antibodies raised against the CRD region of human SP-D than the polyclonal antibodies could be attributed to the fact that the binding between rfhSP-D and S protein occurred through the CRD region of rfhSP-D and FL SP-D. Further, calcium independence suggested an involvement of protein-protein interaction. A significant inhibition of the S protein-ACE-2 interaction in presence of rfhSP-D suggested that rfhSP-D could interfere with the binding of the SARS-CoV-2 to the host cell, an essential step for the infection to occur.

Clinical samples of SARS-CoV-2 were used to assess if rfhSP-D modulated the infectivity and replication of the virus (isolation of the virus in the laboratory conditions may introduce alterations) *in vitro*. For assessing replication, qRT-PCR of the RdRp gene, which is essential for the replication of viral RNA was measured. Remdesivir was used as a positive control for replication inhibition. Remdesivir, an adenosine analogue, functions by incorporating itself into nascent viral RNA chains which results in premature termination, thereby effectively inhibiting viral RNA synthesis (32). Downregulated RdRp expression in the Remdesivir treated samples clearly validated the platform for evaluating viral replication using clinical samples. A dose-dependent reduction of the RdRp mRNA expression in Vero cells, challenged with rfhSP-D-pre-treated SARS-CoV-2 positive clinical samples at a higher fold change than Remdesivir, suggested a highly potent anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity mediated by rfhSP-D.

Replication kinetic studies involving Vero cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 have demonstrated a significant synthesis of viral RNA at ≥ 6 h post-infection (33). As such, any viral RNA detected 1-2 h post-infection could be considered to have come from the infecting viral particles and not from subsequent viral RNA synthesis or viral replication. Hence, to confirm if rfhSP-D played a role in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection, Vero cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 clinical samples at a high concentration (500TCID₅₀; MOI 0.05) for 2h. In accordance with the previous reports, no significant effect of Remdesivir on the Ct values of Vero cells challenged with clinical samples validated the assay format (34). Reduced RdRp transcripts in presence of rfhSP-D demonstrated the ability of rfhSP-D to act as an entry inhibitor against SARS-CoV-2. These results suggest that rfhSP-D is a potential candidate to be used as an S protein-based inhibitor against SARS-CoV-2 infections. With established safety *in vivo* and therapeutic efficacy against several respiratory pathogens, rfhSP-D will effectively combat the nosocomial co-infections in COVID-19 patients.

There is dysregulated pro-inflammatory cytokine response without protective IFNs in response to SARS-CoV-2 mediated lung tissue damage leading to Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS). The levels of SP-D were significantly altered in bronchoalveolar lavage

of patients of ARDS and were strong predictors of poor prognosis (35, 36). Persistent complement activation leads to microangiopathy leading to hypoxia in vital organs. The current therapeutic strategy comprises of an antiviral like Remdesivir and immunosuppressants such as corticosteroids. Importantly, there is a need to rapidly clear cell debris or Damage Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs) and polarise protective immune response towards a protective one and regulate the complement activation. The rfhSP-D is capable of dampening the 'Cytokine storm' by rapid clearance of the virus infected cells and strengthening the lung capacity by restoring homeostasis (37).

rfhSP-D has been previously shown to inhibit HIV-1 entry as well successfully thwart the cytokine storm in an ex vivo model of human vaginal tissue (38). SP-D has a compelling role in correcting lung pathophysiology and injury (39). It is possible that SP-D functions as an opsonin after binding to the S protein and helps in viral clearance. As a complement- and antibody-independent neutralisation agent against SARS-CoV-2, rfhSP-D may be a viable control COVID-19 alternative as an inhalation formulation to infection in immunocompromised/deficient people and other populations where vaccination against the virus would not be a viable option. These promising results warrant further studies in COVID-19 animal models, such as mice humanised with human ACE2 and Syrian hamsters (Mesocricetus auratus), to better understand the impact of rfhSP-D in the microenvironment of the respiratory system (40).

Ethics Statement

The project was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, Delhi (IEC/2020/80/MA04) on 20th July 2020. The committee waived off the written informed consent in due consideration of the request as these samples were stored in the facility and anonymised aliquots of the samples were provided for the study.

Acknowledgments

Authors acknowledge the support of Dr. Smita Mahale, Director, ICMR-NIRRH and Dr. Shiv K. Sarin Director, ILBS. The study was reviewed and recommended by ICMR Expert Review Committee, and authors acknowledge the support of Dr. Nivedita Gupta and Dr. Raman Gangakhedkar of ICMR-ECD. The study was reviewed by BIRAC-PACE expert panel. Figure 9 was created using BioRender.com.

References

- Gorbalenya AE, Baker SC, Baric RS, de Groot RJ, Drosten C, Gulyaeva AA, Haagmans BL, Lauber C, Leontovich AM, Neuman BW, Penzar D, Perlman S, Poon LLM, Samborskiy DV, Sidorov IA, Sola I, Ziebuhr J, Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of V. The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. *Nature Microbiology* 2020; 5: 536-544.
- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, Zhao X, Huang B, Shi W, Lu R, Niu P, Zhan F, Ma X, Wang D, Xu W, Wu G, Gao GF, Tan W, China Novel Coronavirus I, Research T. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 727-733.
- COVID-19 Weekly Epidemiological Update 24 November 2020: World Health Organization; 2020. Emergency Situational Updates.
- Baughn LB, Sharma N, Elhaik E, Sekulic A, Bryce AH, Fonseca R. Targeting TMPRSS2 in SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2020; 95: 1989-1999.
- 5. https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/therapeutic-management/ accessed on 13/2/2021.
- 6. Carmo A, Pereira-Vaz J, Mota V, Mendes A, Morais C, da Silva AC, Camilo E, Pinto CS, Cunha E, Pereira J, Coucelo M, Martinho P, Correia L, Marques G, Araujo L, Rodrigues F. Clearance and persistence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in patients with COVID-19. *Journal of Medical Virology* 2020; 92: 2227-2231.

- 7. Al-Ahdal MN, Murugaiah V, Varghese PM, Abozaid SM, Saba I, Al-Qahtani AA, Pathan AA, Kouser L, Nal B, Kishore U. Entry Inhibition and Modulation of Pro-Inflammatory Immune Response Against Influenza A Virus by a Recombinant Truncated Surfactant Protein D. *Frontiers in Immunology* 2018; 9.
- Madsen J, Gaiha GD, Palaniyar N, Dong T, Mitchell DA, Clark HW. Surfactant Protein D Modulates HIV Infection of Both T-Cells and Dendritic Cells. *Plos One* 2013; 8.
- Kishore U, Bernal AL, Kamran MF, Saxena S, Singh M, Sarma PU, Madan T, Chakraborty T. Surfactant proteins SP-A and SP-D in human health and disease. *Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz)* 2005; 53: 399-417.
- Murugaiah V, Tsolaki AG, Kishore U. Collectins: Innate Immune Pattern Recognition Molecules. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2020; 1204: 75-127.
- 11. Wu YP, Liu ZH, Wei R, Pan SD, Mao NY, Chen B, Han JJ, Zhang FS, Holmskov U, Xia ZL, de Groot PG, Reid KBM, Xu WB, Sorensen GL. Elevated Plasma Surfactant Protein D (SP-D) Levels and a Direct Correlation with Anti-severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-specific IgG Antibody in SARS Patients. *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology* 2009; 69: 508-515.
- 12. Leth-Larsen R, Zhong F, Chow VTK, Holmskov U, Lu JH. The SARS coronavirus spike glycoprotein is selectively recognized by lung surfactant protein D and activates macrophages. *Immunobiology* 2007; 212: 201-211.
- Funk CJ, Wang JR, Ito Y, Travanty EA, Voelker DR, Holmes KV, Mason RJ. Infection of human alveolar macrophages by human coronavirus strain 229E. *J Gen Virol* 2012; 93: 494-503.

- 14. Binder M. TCID50 calculator 2020 [cited 2020. Available from: https://www.klinikum.uniheidelberg.de/fileadmin/inst_hygiene/molekulare_virologie/Downloads/TCID50_calc ulator_v2_17-01-20_MB.xlsx.
- 15. Lan J, Ge J, Yu J, Shan S, Zhou H, Fan S, Zhang Q, Shi X, Wang Q, Zhang L, Wang X. Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* 2020; 581: 215-220.
- 16. Xu C, Wang Y, Liu C, Zhang C, Han W, Hong X, Wang Y, Hong Q, Wang S, Zhao Q, Wang Y, Yang Y, Chen K, Zheng W, Kong L, Wang F, Zuo Q, Huang Z, Cong Y. Conformational dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 trimeric spike glycoprotein in complex with receptor ACE2 revealed by cryo-EM. *Sci Adv* 2021; 7.
- Andrusier N, Nussinov R, Wolfson HJ. FireDock: Fast interaction refinement in molecular docking. *Proteins* 2007; 69: 139-159.
- Mashiach E, Schneidman-Duhovny D, Andrusier N, Nussinov R, Wolfson HJ. FireDock: a web server for fast interaction refinement in molecular docking. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2008; 36: W229-W232.
- Duhovny D, Nussinov R, Wolfson HJ. Efficient unbound docking of rigid molecules. Lect Notes Comput Sc 2002; 2452: 185-200.
- 20. Schneidman-Duhovny D, Inbar Y, Nussinov R, Wolfson HJ. PatchDock and SymmDock: servers for rigid and symmetric docking. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2005; 33: W363-W367.

- Rodrigues CHM, Myung Y, Pires DEV, Ascher DB. mCSM-PPI2: predicting the effects of mutations on protein-protein interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019; 47: W338-W344.
- 22. Murugaiah V, Agostinis C, Varghese PM, Belmonte B, Vieni S, Alaql FA, Alrokayan SH, Khan HA, Kaur A, Roberts T, Madan T, Bulla R, Kishore U. Hyaluronic Acid Present in the Tumor Microenvironment Can Negate the Pro-apototic Effect of a Recombinant Fragment of Human Surfactant Protein D on Breast Cancer Cells. *Front Immunol* 2020; 11: 1171.
- 23. Singh M, Madan T, Waters P, Parida SK, Sarma PU, Kishore U. Protective effects of a recombinant fragment of human surfactant protein D in a murine model of pulmonary hypersensitivity induced by dust mite allergens. *Immunol Lett* 2003; 86: 299-307.
- 24. Kaur A, Riaz MS, Murugaiah V, Varghese PM, Singh SK, Kishore U. A Recombinant Fragment of Human Surfactant Protein D induces Apoptosis in Pancreatic Cancer Cell Lines via Fas-Mediated Pathway. *Front Immunol* 2018; 9: 1126.
- 25. Kumar J, Murugaiah V, Sotiriadis G, Kaur A, Jeyaneethi J, Sturniolo I, Alhamlan FS, Chatterjee J, Hall M, Kishore U, Karteris E. Surfactant Protein D as a Potential Biomarker and Therapeutic Target in Ovarian Cancer. *Front Oncol* 2019; 9: 542.
- 26. Thakur G, Prakash G, Murthy V, Sable N, Menon S, Alrokayan SH, Khan HA, Murugaiah V, Bakshi G, Kishore U, Madan T. Human SP-D Acts as an Innate Immune Surveillance Molecule Against Androgen-Responsive and Androgen-Resistant Prostate Cancer Cells. *Front Oncol* 2019; 9: 565.

- 27. Benton DJ, Wrobel AG, Xu PQ, Roustan C, Martin SR, Rosenthal PB, Skehel JJ, Gamblin SJ. Receptor binding and priming of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 for membrane fusion. *Nature* 2020.
- 28. Lan J, Ge JW, Yu JF, Shan SS, Zhou H, Fan SL, Zhang Q, Shi XL, Wang QS, Zhang LQ, Wang XQ. Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* 2020; 581: 215-+.
- 29. Shang J, Ye G, Shi K, Wan Y, Luo C, Aihara H, Geng Q, Auerbach A, Li F. Structural basis of receptor recognition by SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 2020; 581: 221-224.
- 30. Lui I, Zhou XX, Lim SA, Elledge SK, Solomon P, Rettko NJ, Zha BS, Kirkemo LL, Gramespacher JA, Liu J, Muecksch F, Lorenzi JCC, Schmidt F, Weisblum Y, Robbiani DF, Nussenzweig MC, Hatziioannou T, Bieniasz PD, Rosenburg OS, Leung KK, Wells JA. Trimeric SARS-CoV-2 Spike interacts with dimeric ACE2 with limited intra-Spike avidity. *bioRxiv* 2020: 2020.2005.2021.109157.
- 31. Pandit H, Gopal S, Sonawani A, Yadav AK, Qaseem AS, Warke H, Patil A, Gajbhiye R, Kulkarni V, Al-Mozaini MA, Idicula-Thomas S, Kishore U, Madan T. Surfactant Protein D Inhibits HIV-1 Infection of Target Cells via Interference with gp120-CD4 Interaction and Modulates Pro-Inflammatory Cytokine Production. *Plos One* 2014; 9:e102395.
- 32. Eastman RT, Roth JS, Brimacombe KR, Simeonov A, Shen M, Patnaik S, Hall MD. Remdesivir: A Review of Its Discovery and Development Leading to Emergency Use Authorization for Treatment of COVID-19. *Acs Central Sci* 2020; 6: 672-683.
- 33. Ogando NS, Dalebout TJ, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Limpens RWAL, van der Meer Y, Caly L, Druce J, de Vries JJC, Kikkert M, Barcena M, Sidorov I, Snijder EJ. SARS-

coronavirus-2 replication in Vero E6 cells: replication kinetics, rapid adaptation and cytopathology. *J Gen Virol* 2020; 101: 925-940.

- 34. Wang ML, Cao RY, Zhang LK, Yang XL, Liu J, Xu MY, Shi ZL, Hu ZH, Zhong W, Xiao GF. Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. *Cell Res* 2020; 30: 269-271.
- 35. Greene KE, Wright JR, Steinberg KP, Ruzinski JT, Caldwell E, Wong WB, Hull W, Whitsett JA, Akino T, Kuroki Y, Nagae H, Hudson LD, Martin TR. Serial changes in surfactant-associated proteins in lung and serum before and after onset of ARDS. *Am J Resp Crit Care* 1999; 160: 1843-1850.
- 36. Park J, Pabon M, Choi AMK, Siempos II, Fredenburgh LE, Baron RM, Jeon K, Chung CR, Yang JH, Park CM, Suh GY. Plasma surfactant protein-D as a diagnostic biomarker for acute respiratory distress syndrome: validation in US and Korean cohorts. *Bmc Pulm Med* 2017; 17:204.
- 37. Vandivier RW, Ogden CA, Fadok VA, Hoffmann PR, Brown KK, Botto M, Walport MJ, Fisher JH, Henson PM, Greene KE. Role of surfactant proteins D, D, and C1q in the clearance of apoptotic cells in vivo and in vitro: Calreticulin and CD91 as a common collectin receptor complex. *Journal of Immunology* 2002; 169: 3978-3986.
- 38. Pandit H, Kale K, Yamamoto H, Thakur G, Rokade S, Chakraborty P, Vasudevan M, Kishore U, Madan T, Fichorova RN. Surfactant Protein D Reverses the Gene Signature of Transepithelial HIV-1 Passage and Restricts the Viral Transfer Across the Vaginal Barrier. *Front Immunol* 2019;10:264.

- 39. Knudsen L, Ochs M, MacKay R, Townsend P, Deb R, Muehlfeld C, Richter J, Gilbert F, Hawgood S, Reid K, Clark H. Truncated recombinant human SP-D attenuates emphysema and type II cell changes in SP-D deficient mice. *Resp Res* 2007; 8:70.
- 40. Munoz-Fontela C, Dowling WE, Funnell SGP, Gsell PS, Riveros-Balta AX, Albrecht RA, Andersen H, Baric RS, Carroll MW, Cavaleri M, Qin C, Crozier I, Dallmeier K, de Waal L, de Wit E, Delang L, Dohm E, Duprex WP, Falzarano D, Finch CL, Frieman MB, Graham BS, Gralinski LE, Guilfoyle K, Haagmans BL, Hamilton GA, Hartman AL, Herfst S, Kaptein SJF, Klimstra WB, Knezevic I, Krause PR, Kuhn JH, Le Grand R, Lewis MG, Liu WC, Maisonnasse P, McElroy AK, Munster V, Oreshkova N, Rasmussen AL, Rocha-Pereira J, Rockx B, Rodriguez E, Rogers TF, Salguero FJ, Schotsaert M, Stittelaar KJ, Thibaut HJ, Tseng CT, Vergara-Alert J, Beer M, Brasel T, Chan JFW, Garcia-Sastre A, Neyts J, Perlman S, Reed DS, Richt JA, Roy CJ, Segales J, Vasan SS, Henao-Restrepo AM, Barouch DH. Animal models for COVID-19. *Nature* 2020; 586: 509-515.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Tripartite interaction between S protein (Green), rfhSP-D (Red) and ACE-2 (Blue) [A, B (zoomed view)].

ACE-2 residues, Ser19, Lys31, Glu35 and His34, interact with both S protein and rfhSP-D. The interactions between S protein and ACE-2 are deduced from the crystal structure (PDB ID: 6VW1) and between rfhSP-D, and ACE-2 protein are based on docked complexes. Individual intermolecular interactions between (C) S protein (Green) and ACE-2 (Blue); (D) S protein (Green) and rfhSP-D (Red) and (E) rfhSP-D (Red) and ACE-2 (Blue). The S protein residues, Tyr449, Gln493 and Gln498, participate in intermolecular interactions with both ACE-2 and rfhSP-D.

Figure 2: Heatmap representation of effect of single residue mutations of rfhSP-D (yaxis) on binding energy of docked rfhSP-D complex with (A) ACE-2 and (B) S protein using mCSM-PPI2 web server. Each of the rfhSP-D residues involved in interaction with virus-binding hotspot of ACE-2 and RBM of S protein were mutated to the standard amino acids and its effect on binding energy of the complex was assessed. Most of the mutations led to decrease in binding affinity (red cells). Few of the conserved substitutions led to increase in binding affinity (blue cells), confirming the functional importance of the mutated residues.

Figure 3: rfhSP-D binds to the immobilised Spike protein (S protein) of the SARS-CoV-2; immobilised rfhSP-D binds to hACE-2 in a dose-dependent but calcium-independent manner

ELISA showing binding of rfhSP-D to the immobilised S protein in a dose-dependent manner. Microtiter wells were coated with 0.3 μ g/ml (0.54nM) of S protein. rfhSP-D (20, 10 and 5 μ g/ml or 0.334, 0.167, 0.083 μ M in PBS with 5mM CaCl₂) were added to the wells.

Full-length Surfactant Protein D (FL SP-D) (20 µg/ml or 0.038 µM) was also used in a similar manner. BSA (20 µg/ml) was used as non-specific protein Control (mean of the normalised triplicates \pm SEM = 0.07 \pm 0.006 with polyclonal Ab and 0.025 \pm 0.006 with monoclonal Ab). S protein-SP-D binding was detected with either polyclonal or monoclonal **(A)** antibodies against SP-D. To assess the effect of calcium in the SP-D-S protein interaction, rfhSP-D (20, 10 and 5 µg/ml or 0.334, 0.167, 0.083 µM) and FL SP-D (20 µg/ml or 0.038 µM) either with/without 10mM EDTA was used in a similar manner and probed with polyclonal antibodies against SP-D **(B)**. The binding of immobilised rfhSP-D to hACE-2 **(C)** was assessed by coating microtiter wells with 0.1 µg/ml of FL SP-D (1.9 nM) or rfhSP-D (16.7 nM). BSA (0.1 µg/ml) was used as non-specific protein Control (mean of the normalised triplicates \pm SEM = 0.006 \pm 0.005). Decreasing concentration of hACE-2 (0.12, 0.06 and 0.00 µg/ml or 0.52, 0.26, 0.0 nM) was added to the wells. The SP-D-hACE-2 binding was detected with Streptavidin-HRP. The background was subtracted from all data points. The data were expressed as the mean of triplicates \pm SD. Significance was determined using the two-way ANOVA (n = 3) test (ns no significance, **p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.0001)

Figure 4: rfhSP-D inhibits the interaction between Spike of SARS-CoV-2 and biotinylated hACE-2 in a calcium-independent manner

Microtiter wells were coated with 0.3 μ g/ml (0.54 nM) of S protein. After blocking, rfhSP-D (5, 1 and 0 μ g/ml or 83.5 nM, 16.7nM, 0.0 nM) (**A**) was added and incubated for 1h followed by probing with biotinylated human Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (hACE-2). To assess the effect of calcium in the SP-D-mediated inhibition of S protein-hACE-2 interaction (**B**), 5 μ g/ml or 83.5 nM of rfhSP-D and FL SP-D (5 μ g/ml or 9.5 nM) with/without 10 mM EDTA. BSA (5 μ g/ml) was used as non-specific protein Control (mean of the normalised triplicates ± SEM = 0.297 ±0.005). S protein-hACE-2 binding was detected with Streptavidin-HRP.

Background was subtracted from all data points. The data were normalised with 100% S protein: hACE-2 binding being defined as the mean of the absorbance recorded from the control sample (0 μ g/ml of rfhSP-D). The data were presented as the mean of the normalised triplicates ± SEM. Significance was determined using the one-way ANOVA (n = 3); (ns no significance, and ****p* < 0.0001).

Figure 5: rfhSP-D inhibits the interaction between RBD of Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 and biotinylated hACE-2 in a calcium-independent manner

Microtiter wells were coated with 0.1 µg/ml (2.5nM) of S protein RBD. After blocking, decreasing concentration of rfhSP-D (1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125, and 0 µg/ml or 16.7, 8.35, 4.18, 2.09 nM in PBS with 5mM CaCl₂) (**A**) were incubated for 1h followed by probing with biotinylated human Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (hACE-2). To assess the effect of calcium in the rfhSP-D-mediated inhibition of S protein RBD: hACE-2 interaction (**B**), 5 µg/ml (83.5 nM) of rfhSP-D or FL SP-D (5 µg/ml or 9.5 nM) with/without 10mM EDTA was used. BSA (5 µg/ml) was used as non-specific protein Control (mean of the normalised triplicates \pm SEM = 0.894 \pm 0.006). S protein RBD-hACE-2 binding was detected with Streptavidin-HRP. Background was subtracted from all data points. The data obtained were normalised with 100% S protein RBD-hACE-2 binding being defined as the mean of the absorbance recorded from the control sample (0 µg/ml of rfhSP-D). The data were presented as the mean of the normalised triplicates \pm SEM. Significance was determined using the one-way ANOVA (n = 3); (ns no significance, and ***p < 0.0001).

Figure 6: Determination of TCID₅₀ value of the clinical samples in Vero cells using MTT assay

Vero cells (5 x 10^4 /well) were seeded in complete MEM in 96-well culture plates and grown overnight at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Swab samples of 15 confirmed cases of COVID-19

(symptomatic contacts of lab-confirmed cases (Cat 2) (n=2), hospitalised severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) patients (Cat 4) (n=3), asymptomatic direct and high-risk contacts of lab-confirmed cases (Cat 5a) (n=7) and hospitalised symptomatic influenza-like illness (ILI) patients (Cat 6) (n=3) that had tested positive by RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2) and 15 controls (at different dilutions/well) were added to the cells and incubated for 1h. The supernatants were removed, and the wells were washed twice with sterile PBS. Fresh complete MEM was added to the wells, and the cells were incubated for 96 h. Viability of the cells was evaluated using MTT assay. MTT (0.5 mg/ml) containing medium was added to the wells for 4h. The supernatants were removed, and cells were lysed using DMSO. Absorbance was measured at 590nm. The data obtained were normalised with 100% cell viability being defined as the mean of the absorbance recorded from the control sample (0 TCID₅₀/well) and TCID₅₀ units were evaluated in each sample. The same assay was used to validate the cytopathic effects of 100TCID₅₀ and 50TCID₅₀ units of the samples. Data for cytopathic effects of 100TCID₅₀ units for all 15 cases and 15 controls, has been provided in the Supplementary Table S1. The representative data for cases (n=2) and controls (n=2) are presented as the mean of the normalised triplicates \pm SEM Significance was determined using the two-way ANOVA (n = 3) test (ns no significance, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.0001).

Figure 7: rfhSP-D pre-treatment of SARS-CoV-2 significantly inhibited its replication

Vero cells (5 x 10^4 /well) were seeded in complete MEM in 96-well culture plates and grown overnight at 37°C under 5% CO₂. Cells were washed with sterile PBS twice. SARS-CoV-2 clinical samples (100TCID₅₀/ well; MOI 0.01) (symptomatic contacts of lab-confirmed cases (Cat 2) (n=2), hospitalised severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) patients (Cat 4) (n=3), asymptomatic direct and high-risk contacts of lab-confirmed cases (Cat 5a) (n=7) and hospitalised symptomatic influenza-like illness (ILI) patients (Cat 6) (n=3) that had tested positive by RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2) were preincubated with rfhSP-D [0 µg/ml (0 µM), 50 µg/ml (0.835 µM) or 100 µg/ml (1.67 µM)] in MEM containing 5mM CaCl₂ for 1h at RT. The pre-treated or untreated virus in the sample was added to the cells and incubated for 1h at 37°C under 5% CO₂. The wells were washed with PBS twice, and infection medium (MEM+0.3% BSA) was added to the cells and incubated for 24h at 37°C. The supernatants were collected, RNA was extracted by Perkin Elmer automated extractor, and subjected to qRT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2. For control samples, the volume of the sample taken was equivalent to the volume of the case sample (100 TCID₅₀) where no RdRp expression was detected. The relative expression of RdRp was calculated using rfhSP-D untreated cells (0 µM rfhSP-D), infected with respective samples as the calibrator. Data is provided in Supplementary Table S1 and data of representative cases (n=2) is presented as the mean of triplicates (n=3). Error bars represent ± SEM. Significance (compared to 100µM Remdesivir) was determined using the two-way ANOVA test (* $p \le 0.05$, and ***p < 0.0001).

Figure 8: rfhSP-D pre-treatment of SARS-CoV-2 significantly inhibited its infectivity

Vero cells (5 x 10⁵/well) were seeded in complete MEM in 12-well culture plates and grown overnight at 37°C under 5% CO₂. Cells were washed with sterile PBS twice. SARS-CoV-2 clinical samples (500TCID₅₀/well, MOI 0.05) (symptomatic contacts of lab-confirmed cases (Cat 2) (n=2), hospitalised severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) patients (Cat 4) (n=3), asymptomatic direct and high-risk contacts of lab-confirmed cases (Cat 5a) (n=7) and hospitalised symptomatic influenza-like illness (ILI) patients (Cat 6) (n=3) that had tested positive by RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2) were preincubated with rfhSP-D [0 µg/ml (0 µM), 50 µg/ml (0.835 µM) or 100 µg/ml (1.67 µM)] in MEM containing 5mM CaCl₂ for 1h at RT and 1h at 4°C. This pre-treated or untreated virus containing sample was added to the cells and incubated for 1h at 37°C under 5% CO₂. The wells were washed with PBS twice,

and infection medium (MEM+0.3% BSA) was added to the cells and incubated for 2h at 37°C under 5% CO₂. The cells were scraped, and the media containing scraped cells were collected. RNA was extracted and subjected to RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2. For control samples, the volume of the sample taken was equivalent to the volume of the case sample (500 TCID₅₀); no RdRp expression was detected the relative expression of RdRp was calculated by using rfhSP-D untreated cells (0 μ M rfhSP-D), infected with respective samples as the calibrator. Data is provided in Supplementary Table S1 and data for representative cases (n=2) is presented as the mean of triplicates (n=3). Error bars represent ± SEM. Significance [compared to control sample (Cells + Virus)] was determined using the two-way ANOVA test (ns no significance, and ***p < 0.0001).

Figure 9: rfhSP-D binds Spike/RBD and hACE-2 and inhibits SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication. Interaction of rfhSP-D with RBD/Spike of SARS-CoV-2 and hACE-2 inhibited the interaction of RBD/Spike and hACE-2, essential for viral entry into the host cells. This dual interaction of rfhSP-D plausibly conferred the significant inhibition of infection and replication of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples.

Sample	Age	Sex	Ct value for SARS-CoV-2 E	Ct value for SARS-CoV-2	Category
	- 8-		gene	RdRp gene	
1S	32	М	18.5	15.46	2
2S	41	F	17.48	15.36	6
3S	44	М	13.46	12.01	6
4S	39	F	13.22	12.67	2
5S	36	М	12.08	14.55	6
6S	42	F	12.28	9.4	4
7S	37	М	16.26	15.42	4
8S	28	F	17.15	15.62	4
9AS	32	М	10.45	10.8	5a
10AS	31	F	12.32	12.4	5a
11AS	26	М	15.33	12.52	5a
12AS	35	F	10.59	12.4	5a
13AS	38	М	15.77	12.89	5a
14AS	29	F	18.07	10.72	5a
15AS	33	М	15.64	13.81	5a
1C	33	М	Nd	Nd	Control
2C	39	F	Nd	Nd	Control
3C	45	М	Nd	Nd	Control
4C	39	F	Nd	Nd	Control
5C	34	М	Nd	Nd	Control
6C	44	F	Nd	Nd	Control
7C	35	М	Nd	Nd	Control
8C	30	F	Nd	Nd	Control
9C	30	М	Nd	Nd	Control
10C	32	F	Nd	Nd	Control
11C	29	М	Nd	Nd	Control
12C	33	F	Nd	Nd	Control
13C	40	М	Nd	Nd	Control
14C	32	F	Nd	Nd	Control
15C	30	М	Nd	Nd	Control
*Nd = No	ot dete	ected			

Table 1: Characteristics of clinical samples utilised in the study

S No	Recentor	Ligand	Binding energy	Interactions	
5. NO	Receptor	Liganu	(kcal/mol)	Receptor ^{\$}	Ligand*
				Ser19	Ala475
				Gln24	Asn487
1					Phe456, Glu484,
				Lys31	Tyr489,
					Gln493
				His34	Leu455, Tyr453
				Glu35	Gln493
			Cravatal	Glu37	Tyr505
	ACE-2	S protein	crystal	Asp38	Tyr449
			suucture	Tyr41	Thr500
				Gln42	Gln498
				Met82	Phe486 [@]
				T02	Gly496, Asn487,
				1 y105	Tyr489
				Glu329	Arg439
				Lys353	Tyr505, Gly502
				Gly354	Tyr505
	rfhSP -D	S protein (Open)		Gln219	Gln493
				His220	Tyr449
				Ala223	Gln493, Phe490
			-20.63	Ser226	Ser494
2				Lys229	Tyr449
2				Ser239	Asn450
				Gly241	Asn448, Gln498
				Glu242	Gln498
				Gln263	Arg346
				Thr308	Arg466
				Ser19	Ser328
				Asp30	Thr255
				Lys31	Thr305
				His34	Gln258
3	ACE-2	rfhSP-D	-24.30	Glu35	Pro307, Gly309
				Glu75	Lys299
				Gly319	Ala275
				Pro321	Ala275
				Gln552	Ala274, Tyr314

Table 2: Results of docking of S protein, ACE-2, and rfhSP-D

*The S protein residues in bold are predicted to be part of the common binding site for ACE2 and rfhSP-D.

^{\$}The ACE2 residues in bold interact with both S protein and rfhSP-D (docked structure).

[@]The structural coordinates of Phe486 is missing in the open conformation S protein (PDB ID: 6VYB).



Residue	GLN	1258	THR	305	PRC	307	GLY	309	SER	328	В	AA	idues GLN	219	HIS	220	LYS	229	GLY	241	GLU	242
ALA	4	-0.596		-1.054	-	-1.071	•	-0.646	•	-0.075		ALA		-0.584	•	-0.207	1	-1.602	•	-0.628	•	-0.467
ARG		-0.062	Ŷ	0.324	•	-1.086	•	-0.644	P	0.303		ARG	Ŷ	0.274	Ŷ	0.408	•	-0.625	•	-0.888	•	-0.506
ASN		-0.234	•	-0.964	•	-0.393	+	-0.43	•	0.06		ASN		-0.504	•	-0.348	4	-1.396	•	-0.672	•	-0.512
ASP	Ŷ	0.386	•	-1.058	•	-0.521	•	-0.239	1	0.241		ASP		-0.242		-0.666	\$	-1.646	•	-0.788	•	-0.12
CYS		-0.643	•	-1.024	•	-1.061	•	-0.753	•	-0.201		CYS		-0.862	•	-0.435	4	-1.423	•	-0.879		-0.49
GLN		0	Ŷ	0.764	•	-0.547	•	-0.37	Ψ.	-0.005		GLN		0	•	-0.322	•	-0.681	•	-0.814		-0.488
GLU	Ŷ	0.849	•	-0.642	•	-0.28	•	-0.115	1	0.228		GLU		-0.043	•	-0.572	•	-1.235	•	-0.669		0
GLY	•	-0.492	4	-1.085	•	-1.031		0	•	-0.127		GLY		-1.008	•	-0.652	\$	-1.638		0	•	-0.418
HIS		-0.07	•	-0.103	\$	-1.356	•	-0.196	\$	0.203		HIS		-0.32		0	Ŷ	0.556	•	-0.596	•	-0.144
ILE	•	-0.233	•	-0.675	•	-0.291	•	-0.873	•	-0.125		ILE		-0.539	1	0.416	•	-0.786	•	-0.878	•	-0.231
LEU	•	-0.4	•	-0.614	•	-0.491	•	-0.886	•	-0.073		LEU	+	-0.098	•	-0.361	4	-0.74	•	-0.917	•	-0.246
LYS	Ŷ	0.325	•	-0.537	•	-0.628	•	-0.519	1	0.169		LYS		-0.919	Ŷ	0.447		0	•	-0.832	Ŷ	0.195
MET	•	-0.338	•	-0.586	•	-1.103	•	-0.81	•	-0.015		MET		-0.168	•	-0.249	4	-1.387	•	-0.797	•	-0.237
PHE	•	-0.441	•	-0.147	•	-0.453	•	-0.505		-0.113		PHE		-0.489	+	-0.04	•	-0.306	•	-0.404	1	0.03
PRO	•	-0.785	•	-1.041		0	•	-0.931	•	-0.159		PRO		-0.575	•	-0.768	4	-1.375	•	-0.911	Ŷ	1.008
SER	4	-0.301	•	-0.94	•	-0.174	•	-0.494		0		SER		-0.651	•	-0.23	Ŷ	-1.701	Ψ.	-0.124	•	-0.4
THR	4	-0.452		0	•	- <mark>0.23</mark> 4	+	-0.66	•	0.078		THR	-	-0.544	•	-0.302	4	-1.426	•	-0.481	•	-0.521
TRP	•	-0.305	•	-0.289	•	-0.376	+	-0.388	Ŷ	0.218		TRP	-	-0.439	Ŷ	0.005	•	-0.029	•	-0.405	Ŷ	0.199
TYR	4	-0.317	Ŷ	0.516	+	-0.779	•	-0.426	1	0.029		TYR		-0.407	+	-0.059	+	-0.083	•	-0.513	Ŷ	0.104
VAL	-	-0.322	4	-0.151	m	0.828	4	-0.602		-0.125		VAL	4	-0.496	-	-0.02	+	-1.178	-	-0.773	4	-0.342



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5













Supplementary Figures.



Supplementary Figure S1: Docked poses of S protein (Green) and rfhSP-D (Red) complex with ACE2 (Blue) (A-C) and ACE2 and rfhSP-D complex with S protein (D).



Supplementary Figure S2: ELISA showing binding of Biotinylated human Angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (hACE-2) to immobilised SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (S protein) and the Ribosome Binding Domain (RBD) of the S protein in a linear range

Microtiter wells were coated with 0.3 μ g/ml (0.54nM) of S protein, or 0.1 μ g/ml of RBD (2.5nM) of S protein. Decreasing concentration of hACE-2 (0.12, 0.06 and 0.00 μ g/ml or 0.52, 0.26, 0.0 nM) were added to the wells. S protein or RBD: hACE-2 binding was detected with Streptavidin-HRP. Background was subtracted from all data points. The data were expressed as the mean of triplicates ± SD. Significance [compared to control sample (0 μ g/ml of biotinylated hACE2)] was determined using the two-way ANOVA test (***p < 0.0001).



Supplementary Figure S3: Vero cell viability assay via MTT following treatment with rfhSP-D

5 x 10⁴ Vero cells/ well were seeded in complete MEM in 96-well culture plates and grown overnight at 37°C, 5% CO₂. The cells were then treated with rfhSP-D (0, 10, 20, 100 μ g/ml or 0, 0.167, 0.334, 1.67 μ M) for 24 h. 0.5 mg/ml MTT containing medium was added to the wells for 4h. The supernatants were removed, and cells were lysed using DMSO. Absorbance was measured at 590nm. Background was subtracted from all data points. The data obtained were normalised with 100% cell viability being defined as the mean of the absorbance recorded from the control sample (0 μ g/ml of rfhSP-D). The data were presented as the mean of the normalised triplicates \pm SEM. Significance was determined using the two-way ANOVA test and no significant reduction in cell viability was observed (ns no significance).

Supplementary Materials and Methods

Clinical Samples

The clinical samples (Table 1), nasopharyngeal (NP) and oropharyngeal (OP) swabs (n=30) used in this study, were stored at the BSL-3 facility of the Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, Delhi. These clinical samples (n=15) were from symptomatic contact of labconfirmed cases (Cat 2), hospitalised severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) case-patients (Cat 4), asymptomatic direct and high-risk contacts of lab-confirmed case (Cat 5a) and hospitalised symptomatic influenza-like illness (ILI) case-patients (Cat 6) that had tested positive by RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2. The samples obtained were placed in the viral transport medium (Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) supplemented with 2% heatinactivated FBS, 100 µg/ml Gentamicin and 0.5 µg/ml of Amphotericin B. NP and OP samples (n=15) that tested negative by RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 were used as controls. The 50% Tissue culture Infective Dose ($TCID_{50}$) of the clinical samples obtained was confirmed using an MTT assay. Briefly, 5 x 10⁴ Vero cells in Vero growth media (MEM Glutamax, supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum, 1% v/v Penicillin-Streptomycin and 1%v/v sodium pyruvate [Gibco, Thermofisher]) were seeded in a 96 well plate and grown overnight. The clinical samples from the 15 confirmed COVID-19 patients, and the 15 controls were added to the cells and incubated for 1h. Post incubation, the wells were washed with PBS twice, and fresh Vero growth medium was added to the cells. The cells were then incubated for 96 h at 37°C, 5% CO2. A 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was performed to assess the viability of the cells by incubating the cells with 12mM of MTT for 4 h at 37°C, 5% CO2. The formazan created was dissolved using DMSO, and the samples were read at 590 nm using a microplate reader.

In silico Analysis

The co-crystallised structure of human ACE-2 receptor with Spike S protein (PDB id: 6VW1) was separated into its receptor (ACE-2) and ligand (Spike S) components. The receptor and ligand were then re-docked using Patchdock web server (E1, E2) to validate the docking protocol. The therapeutic agent, rfhSP-D trimer, (PDB id: 1PW9) was individually blind docked with the structure of RBD of S protein in the open conformation (PDB id: 6VYB) and dimeric ACE-2 (PDB id: 6VW1) using Patchdock. Top 100 docked poses were selected and further refined using FireDock web server (E3, E4) for calculation of global free energy. The top 5 refined structures were filtered based on interactions between receptor binding motif (RBM) of S protein, CRD (CRD: aa 240-355) of rfhSP-D and N-terminal of ACE2. The effect of binding of trimeric rfhSP-D to S-protein and dimeric ACE2 on ACE2–S protein interaction was evaluated by further docking the docked complex of S protein and rhfSP-D with ACE2 and rfhSP-D with S protein using Patchdock.

Expression and Purification of a Recombinant Fragment of Human SP-D Containing Neck and CRD Regions

The expression and purification of rfhSP-D from *E. coli* was performed as previously described (E5, E6). Briefly, the pUK-D1 plasmid that codes for the 8 Gly-X-Y repeats, neck and CRD regions of human SP-D was transformed into Escherichia coli BL21 (λ DE3) pLysS (Invitrogen). The transformed colonies (selected by ampicillin resistance) were grown in Luria-Bertani media supplemented with a final concentration of 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 34 µg/ml chloramphenicol (Sigma-Aldrich) to an OD600 of 0.6. The bacterial culture was then induced to produce the recombinant protein by the addition of 0.5 M isopropyl β -d-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (Sigma-Aldrich) and was allowed to grow for a further 3 h. Post incubation, the bacteria were harvested and lysed using lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH7.5,

200 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA pH 8, 0.1% v/v Triton X-100, 0.1 mM phenyl-methyl-sulfonyl fluoride, 50 μ g/ml lysozyme) and sonicated (five cycles, 30 s each). The sonicate was harvested via centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 30 min. This was followed by solubilisation of inclusion bodies in refolding buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol) containing 8 M urea. and stepwise dialysis of the solubilised fraction against refolding buffer containing 4 M, 2 M, 1 M, and no urea. rfhSP-D was purified from the dialysate by affinity chromatography using a maltose agarose column (5 ml; Sigma-Aldrich). The bound rfhSP-D to the maltose was eluted using elution buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, and 10 mM EDTA) and passed through a PierceTM High-Capacity Endotoxin Removal Resin (Thermofisher) to remove endotoxin. Finally, the endotoxin levels were measured via the QCL-1000 Limulus amoebocyte lysate system (Lonza) and found to be <5 pg/µg of rfhSP-D. The purified rfhSP-D was subjected to western blotting after running on 12% w/v acrylamide SDS-PAGE to assess purity and immunoreactivity (E5).

ELISA

Assays to determine the binding of the S protein or its RBD of SARS-CoV-2 was performed using the SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Inhibitor Screening Kit from Acrobiosystems (EP-105) as per the manufacture's protocol. Briefly, S protein or RBD protein diluted in Coating Buffer (15 mmol/L sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃), 35 mmol/L sodium hydrogen carbonate (NaHCO₃), pH 9.6) to a final concentration of 0.3 μ g/ml or 0.1 μ g/ml respectively were added to 96 well plates and incubated overnight (~16 h) at 4°C. The uncoated protein was removed by washing the wells with Wash Buffer (PBS with 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, pH 7.4) three times. The wells were then blocked using the Blocking Buffer (PBS with 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20 and 2% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA), pH 7.4) for 1.5 h at 37°C.

To assess the direct binding of rfhSP-D to S protein, rfhSP-D (20, 10 and 5 µg/ml) were added to the wells. The plate was then incubated for 1 h at 37°C, and any unbound protein was removed by washing the wells three times with the wash buffer. The wells were probed using either polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies against SPD at a dilution of 1:5000 for 1 h at 37°C to detect S protein-rfhSP-D binding. Unbound antibodies were removed by washing three times using the wash buffer. Anti-mouse IgG-Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Cat # 31430, Invitrogen), anti-rabbit IgG HRP (Cat # 31466, Invitrogen) or Protein A HRP (Cat # 18-160, Merck) at 1: 5000 dilution was used secondary antibodies by adding them to the respective wells of the appropriate primary antibodies and incubating them for 1 h at 37°C. Following washes with wash buffer three times, the binding was detected using 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate (100 µl/well) (DuoSet ELISA Ancillary Reagent Kit, R&D Systems) as per the manufacturer's instruction, followed by stopping the reaction using 1M sulphuric acid (100 µl/well) (Cat # Q29307, Thermofisher). The plate was read at 450 nm using a microplate absorbance reader (Synergy H1 multimode plate reader, Biotek). Full-length Surfactant Protein D (FL SP-D) (20 µg/ml) was also used in a similar manner to assess the binding of S protein to FL SP-D. A similar experiment was carried out in parallel using rfhSP-D (20, 10 and 5 µg/ml), or FL SP-D (20 µg/ml) supplemented with 10mM EDTA and probed with polyclonal antibodies against SPD (1:5000) to evaluate if the S protein-SP-D binding was calcium-dependent.

The binding of rfhSP-D or FL SP-D to ACE-2 was evaluated using a similar experiment as above. Briefly, FL SP-D ($0.1 \mu g/ml$) or rfhSP-D ($0.1 \mu g/ml$) were coated in a 96 well plate and probed with decreasing concentration of ACE-2 hACE-2 (0.12, 0.06 and $0.00 \mu g/ml$). The binding was detected using streptavidin tagged with HRP (1:5000) (EP-105, Acrobiosystems), and the colour was developed as described above.

In a separate experiment to assess if rfhSP-D inhibited the interaction between the S protein and biotinylated human Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (hACE-2), decreasing concentration of rfhSP-D (5, 1 and 0 μ g/ml) were added to wells coated with S protein (0.3 μ g/ml) and blocked as described above. The plate was incubated for 1h at 37°C and washed with the wash buffer three times to remove any unbound proteins. Biotinylated hACE-2 was added to the wells and plate was incubated for 1h at 37°C. After washing, the S protein-hACE-2 binding was measured by probing the wells with the HRP tagged Streptavidin antibody (1:5000) for 1h at 37°C. Colour was developed using 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate. The reaction was stopped using 1 M H₂SO₄, and the absorbance was read at 450 nm using a microplate absorbance reader. rfhSP-D (5 μ g/ml) supplemented with either with 10mM EDTA was used in a similar manner to evaluate if the rfhSP-D mediated inhibition of the interaction between the S protein and biotinylated hACE-2 occurred in a calcium-independent manner. rfhSP-D mediated inhibition of the interaction between the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 S protein and biotinylated hACE-2 was also assessed in a similar manner.

Vero Cell Infection Assay

Vero cell line (derived from African green monkey epithelial Kidney cells) (ATCC® CCL– 81TM) (5x10⁴) were cultured for 16 h in each well of a 12 well plate in serum-free medium (MEM Glutamax, containing 1% v/v Penicillin-Streptomycin and 1%v/v sodium pyruvate [Gibco, Thermofisher]). SARS-CoV-2 clinical samples (100 TCID₅₀/ well, MOI 0.01) were preincubated with rfhSP-D [0 µg/ml (0 µM), 50 µg/ml (~2.5µM) or 100 µg/ml (~5µM)] in MEM containing 5mM CaCl₂ for 1h at RT and 1h at 4°C. This pre-treated or untreated virus was added to the cells (Cells + rfhSP-D + Virus). After 1h incubation at 37°C, 5% CO2, the medium was removed, and cells were washed with PBS to remove any unbound CoVs. Infection medium (MEM+0.3% BSA) was added to the cells and incubated for 24 h to assess replication. The cells were then harvested by scraping with a sterile disposable cell scraper and centrifuged at 1500 x g for 5 minutes. Total RNA was extracted using the Perkin Elmer automated extractor and subjected to Real-time RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 using Pathodetect kits from MyLabs, as per manufacture's protocol. For the replication analysis of SARS-CoV-2, Ct value for SARS-CoV-2 RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) gene was used for analysis. Cells incubated with rfhSP-D, without virus was used protein control (Cells + rfhSP-D) and cells incubated with BSA (100μ g/ml), and the virus was used as non-specific protein control (Cells + Virus). Sterile PBS with the virus was used as negative control.

The effect of rfhSP-D on viral infection was assessed by culturing Vero cells $(5x10^5)$ in a 12 well plate in serum-free MEM. SARS-CoV-2 clinical samples (500 TCID₅₀/ well, MOI 0.05) were treated with rfhSP-D and added to the cells as described above. However, after the addition of the infection medium, the cells were incubated only for 2h, after which they were harvested, and Real-time RT-PCR was performed using the same controls and parameters described above.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis and Graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism 8.0 software. Significant values were considered as indicated in the figure legends between treated and untreated conditions. Error bars show the SD or SEM, as indicated in the figure legends.

References for supplementary materials and methods

E1. Duhovny D, Nussinov R, and Wolfson HJ, Efficient unbound docking of rigid molecules. Algorithms in Bioinformatics, Proceedings, 2002. 2452: p. 185-200.

E2. Schneidman-Duhovny D, Inbar Y, Nussinov R, and Wolfson HJ, PatchDock and SymmDock: servers for rigid and symmetric docking. Nucleic Acids Research, 2005. 33(Web Server issue): p. W363-W367 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gki481.

E3. Andrusier N, Nussinov R, and Wolfson HJ, FireDock: Fast interaction refinement in molecular docking. Proteins-Structure Function and Bioinformatics, 2007. 69(1): p. 139-159 DOI: 10.1002/prot.21495.

E4. Mashiach E, Schneidman-Duhovny D, Andrusier N, Nussinov R, and Wolfson HJ, FireDock: a web server for fast interaction refinement in molecular docking. Nucleic Acids Res, 2008. 36: p. W229-W232 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkn186.

E5. Murugaiah V, Agostinis C, Varghese PM, Belmonte B, Vieni S, Alaql FA, et al., Hyaluronic Acid Present in the Tumor Microenvironment Can Negate the Pro-apototic Effect of a Recombinant Fragment of Human Surfactant Protein D on Breast Cancer Cells. Front Immunol, 2020. 11: p. 1171 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01171.

E6. Singh M, Madan T, Waters P, Parida SK, Sarma PU, and Kishore U, Protective effects of a recombinant fragment of human surfactant protein D in a murine model of pulmonary hypersensitivity induced by dust mite allergens. Immunol Lett, 2003. 86(3): p. 299-307 DOI: 10.1016/S0165-2478(03)00033-6

Supplementary Raw Data

Table S1: Mean Ct values of SARS-CoV-2 RdRp	gene for the replication	on assay
---	--------------------------	----------

	100 TCID ₅₀ (MOI 0.01)									
Samples	Mean Ct value ± SD of samples treated with 0 µg/ml rfhSP-D	Mean Ct value ± SD of samples treated with 50 µg/ml rfhSP-D	Mean Ct value ± SD of samples treated with 100 μg/ml rfhSP-D	Mean Ct value ± SD of samples treated with Remdesivir (100μM)	% cell viability	Vero cells alone				
18	19.900 ± 0.327	23.900 ± 0.245	26.100 ± 0.327	24.800 ± 0.163	56.22 ± 5.5	Nd				
28	19.650 ± 0.531	23.833 ± 1.087	25.700 ± 0.163	25.000 ± 0.163	45.86 ± 4.7	Nd				
38	20.900 ± 0.163	22.400 ± 0.163	26.600 ± 0.327	25.900 ± 0.163	47.99 ± 3.5	Nd				
4 S	$\begin{array}{c} 20.900 \pm \\ 0.163 \end{array}$	21.800 ± 0.082	26.200 ± 0.082	26.250 ± 0.367	46.44 ± 6.3	Nd				
58	21.600 ± 0.082	24.350 ± 0.204	27.000 ± 0.163	-	52.73 ± 3.2	Nd				
68	19.700 ± 0.082		26.150 ± 0.367	-	55.4 ± 6	Nd				
78	20.550 ± 0.204		25.750 ± 0.122	-	48.4 ± 5.1	Nd				
85	20.800 ± 0.163		26.500 ± 0.245	-	47.34 ± 2.1	Nd				
9AS	19.350 ± 0.122		27.100 ± 0.163	25.700 ± 0.245	58.1 ± 7.5	Nd				
10AS	20.600 ± 0.245		27.150 ± 0.286	25.950 ± 0.531	49.62 ± 3.9	Nd				
11AS	20.450 ± 0.204		26.050 ± 0.122		64.3 ± 7.5	Nd				
12AS	20.500 ± 0.082		27.150 ± 0.122		45.8 ± 3.85	Nd				
13AS	21.050 ± 0.122		26.950 ± 0.204		51.7 ± 4.2	Nd				
14AS	19.500 ± 0.163		26.200 ± 0.245		57.3 ± 5.7	Nd				
15AS	21.250 ± 0.204		26.150 ± 0.367		53.8 ± 6.6	Nd				
1C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	97.34 ± 5.5	Nd				
2C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	88.4 ± 7.3	Nd				
3C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	87.9 ± 4.7	Nd				
4C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	91.2 ± 6.4	Nd NJ				
50 60	Nd	ING NA	Na Na	Na Na	93.33 ± 4.3 87.34 ± 9.2	Na Na				
7C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	07.34 ± 0.3 85.7 ± 0.3	Nd				
7C 8C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	97 ± 6.7	Nd				
9C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	88.53 ± 7.2	Nd				

10C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	89.6 ± 7.7	Nd		
11C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	93.43 ± 5.6	Nd		
12C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	95.8 ± 6.2	Nd		
13C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	89.45 ± 7.3	Nd		
14C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	85.77 ± 9.2	Nd		
15C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	93.8 ± 5.8	Nd		
*Nd = Not Detected								

		500 TCID ₅₀ (MOI 0.05)								
	Mean Ct value ±	Mean Ct value ±	Mean Ct value ±	Mean Ct value ± SD of						
Sample	SD of samples	SD of samples	SD of samples	samples treated with	Vero cells					
Sample	treated with 0	treated with 50	treated with 100	Remdesivir	alone					
	µg/ml rfhSP-D	μg/ml rfhSP-D	μg/ml rfhSP-D	(100µM)						
26	$21.300 ~\pm$	$22.500 \ \pm$	$23.400 \pm$	21.500 ± 0.162	NJ					
25	0.245	0.163	0.082	21.500 ± 0.163	Ind					
26	21.300 ±	22.200 ±	23.750 ±	21 (00 - 0 227	NT 1					
38	0.327	0.082	0.122	21.600 ± 0.327	Na					
9AS	21.900 ±	23.200 ±	23.900 ±	21.000 0.1(2	NT 1					
	0.163	0.163	0.082	21.900 ± 0.163	Nd					
10.40	21.600 ±	22.800 ±	24.200 ±	22.200 0.245	NT 1					
IUAS	0.163	0.163	0.163	22.200 ± 0.245	Na					
1140	21.100 ±	23.400 ±	24.500 ±	21.850 + 0.122	NJ					
1145	0.163	0.245	0.163	21.830 ± 0.122	ING					
1C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd					
2 C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd					
3 C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd					
4 C	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd					
*Nd = No	ot Detected									

Table S2: Mean Ct values of SARS-CoV-2 RdRp gene for the infection assay