

Introduction

- We rely on cues provided by body language and facial expressions to navigate social interactions
- There is little research on how these cues influence interactions between pedestrians and drivers at intersections
- Eye-tracking data allows better understanding of how drivers detect and evaluate pedestrians
- Hypothesis
 - Participants believe it is safe to proceed if the pedestrian is perceived to be aware of the car

Method

Participants

- 10 university students (2 males, 8 females)
 - Age:
 - 19 43 yrs old ($\mu = 24.2$ yrs; SD = 6.94 yrs) Driving experience:
 - 0.5 27 yrs ($\mu = 8.2$ yrs; SD = 7.17 yrs)

<u>Procedure</u>

Vision Screening

- Acuity test: Snellen Eye Chart
- Color Vision Test: Ishihara Test
- Calibrated to Tobii 2 Pro Eye Tracking Glasses
- Participants given scenario asking them to imagine they were driving a car and to evaluate whether it was safe to proceed through the intersection
- Participants watched 18 driving videos, some contained pedestrians with different characteristics:
 - Body position (facing toward or away from the road)
 - Actions (walking or standing)
 - ' Eye contact or no eye contact
- After each video, participants were asked:
 - 1. If it was safe to continue driving straight
 - 2. If there was a pedestrian in the scene
 - 3. Their confidence rating the pedestrian's awareness of the car
- Demographics survey
 - Acquired gender, age, and driving experience

Who goes first? What cues do drivers rely on to evaluate a pedestrian's intention at an intersection?

Shivani Patel, B.S. & Alex Chaparro, Ph.D. Department of Human Factors and Behavioral Neurobiology









Figure 1: The left image is an example of a participant calibrated to the eye-tracking glasses, right shows the lab set-up



Figure 2: This is a scene from one of the driving videos. The bottom sections show the left and right rear view mirrors.



Figure 3: Gaze pattern of a participant viewing the driving scene

Data Analysis

Table 1.

Data source

Eye-tracking

Questions

<u>Results</u> Results will show how participants' judgments of "safe or unsafe" to proceed are related to a pedestrian's body position (facing toward or away from the road), actions (walking or standing), and eye contact.

University Press. expérimentale, 71(2), 172.



Next Steps

• Import eye-tracking recordings into Tobii software

• Define pedestrians as Areas of Interest (AOIs) for each participant's eye-tracking recording

• Analyze collected data (Table 1)

Data logged during study

2	<u>Parameter</u>
9	Time to first fixation (AOI) Visit count (AOI) Total fixation duration (AOI) Fixation count (AOI)
	Q1 – safe or unsafe Q2 – pedestrian detection Q3 – awareness rating

References

Adams, R. B., Ambady, N., Shimojo, S., & Nakayama, K. (Eds.). (2011). The science of social vision: The science of social vision (Vol. 7). Oxford

Foulsham, T., & Kingstone, A. (2017). Are fixations in static natural scenes a useful predictor of attention in the real world?. Canadian Journal of

Experimental Psychology/Revue canadienne de psychologie

Tobii Pro. Understanding Pro Lab's eye tracking metrics. Retrieved from https://www.tobiipro.com/learn-and-support/learn/steps-in-an-eyetracking-study/data/understanding-Pro-Labs-eye-tracking-metrics/