

2015

## A Novel Characterization for Certain Semigroups by Soft Union Ideals

Aslıhan Sezgin Sezer

*Department of Mathematics, Amasya University, 05100 Amasya, Turkey, aslihan.sezgin@amasya.edu.tr*

Naim Çağman

*Department of Mathematics, Gaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat, Turkey, aslihan.sezgin@amasya.edu.tr*

Akın Osman Atagün

*Department of Mathematics, Bozok University, Yozgat, Turkey, aslihan.sezgin@amasya.edu.tr*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.aaru.edu.jo/isl>

---

### Recommended Citation

Sezgin Sezer, Aslıhan; Çağman, Naim; and Osman Atagün, Akın (2015) "A Novel Characterization for Certain Semigroups by Soft Union Ideals," *Information Sciences Letters*: Vol. 4 : Iss. 1 , Article 3.  
Available at: <https://digitalcommons.aaru.edu.jo/isl/vol4/iss1/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Arab Journals Platform. It has been accepted for inclusion in Information Sciences Letters by an authorized editor. The journal is hosted on Digital Commons, an Elsevier platform. For more information, please contact [rakan@aarj.edu.jo](mailto:rakan@aarj.edu.jo), [marah@aarj.edu.jo](mailto:marah@aarj.edu.jo), [u.murad@aarj.edu.jo](mailto:u.murad@aarj.edu.jo).

# A Novel Characterization for Certain Semigroups by Soft Union Ideals

Aslıhan Sezgin Sezer<sup>1,\*</sup>, Naim Çağman<sup>2</sup> and Akin Osman Atagün<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Amasya University, 05100 Amasya, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Gaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat, Turkey

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Bozok University, Yozgat, Turkey

Received: 3 Aug. 2014, Revised: 16 Sep. 2014, Accepted: 18 Sep. 2014

Published online: 1 Jan. 2015

**Abstract:** In this paper, we characterize semisimple semigroups, duo semigroups, right (left) zero semigroups, right (left) simple semigroups, semilattice of left (right) simple semigroups, semilattice of left (right) groups and semilattice of groups in terms of soft union semigroups, soft union ideals of semigroups. Moreover, we define soft normal semigroups and give some characterizations of semigroups with soft normality.

**Keywords:** Soft set, soft union semigroup, soft union (interior, quasi, bi, generalized bi) ideal, semisimple semigroups, duo semigroups, semilattice of groups

## 1 Introduction

Soft sets was introduced by Molodtsov [19] for modeling vagueness and uncertainty in 1999. Many related concepts with soft sets, especially soft set operations [18, 4, 24, 5] have recently undergone tremendous studies. Soft set theory have found its wide-ranging applications in the mean of algebraic structures such as groups [3, 25], semirings [10], rings [1, 26], BCK/BCI-algebras [13, 14, 15], BL-algebras [31], near-rings [23], and soft substructures and union soft substructures [6, 27].

In [28], Sezgin et al. defined soft union semigroups, soft union left (right, two-sided) ideals and bi-ideals and soft semiprime ideals of semigroups and obtained their basic properties. And in [28], Sezgin et al. [29] defined soft union interior ideals, quasi-ideals, generalized bi-ideals and investigate the interrelations of them. Moreover, they characterized regular, intra-regular, completely regular, weakly regular and quasi-regular semigroups by the properties of these ideals in [28, 29]. Thus, they made a new approach to the classical semigroup theory via soft set theory with these concepts.

In this paper, we characterize certain classes of semigroups, such as semisimple semigroups, duo semigroups, right (left) zero semigroups, right (left) simple semigroups, semilattice of left (right) simple

semigroups, semilattice of left (right) groups and semilattice of groups in terms of soft union ideals, bi-ideals, interior ideals, quasi-ideals, generalized bi-ideals. Furthermore, we define soft union normal semigroups and discuss on the relation of this concept with semigroups.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notions relevant to semigroups and soft sets. A *semigroup*  $S$  is a nonempty set with an associative binary operation. Throughout this paper,  $S$  denotes a semigroup. A nonempty subset  $A$  of  $S$  is called a *right ideal* of  $S$  if  $AS \subseteq A$  and is called a *left ideal* of  $S$  if  $SA \subseteq A$ . By *two-sided ideal* (or simply *ideal*), we mean a subset of  $S$ , which is both a left and right ideal of  $S$ . A subsemigroup  $X$  of  $S$  is called a *bi-ideal* of  $S$  if  $XSX \subseteq X$ . A nonempty subset  $A$  of  $S$  is called an *interior ideal* of  $S$  if  $SAS \subseteq A$ . A nonempty subset  $Q$  of  $S$  is called a *quasi-ideal* of  $S$  if  $QS \cap SQ \subseteq Q$ .

We denote by  $L[a](R[a], J[a], B[a]Q[a], I[a])$ , the principal left (right, two-sided, bi-ideal, quasi-ideal, interior ideal) of a semigroup  $S$  generated by  $a \in S$ , that

\* Corresponding author e-mail: [aslihan.sezgin@amasya.edu.tr](mailto:aslihan.sezgin@amasya.edu.tr)

is,

$$\begin{aligned} L[a] &= \{a\} \cup Sa, \\ R[a] &= \{a\} \cup aS, \\ J[a] &= \{a\} \cup Sa \cup aS \cup SaS \\ Q[a] &= \{a\} \cup (aS \cap Sa) \\ I[a] &= \{a\} \cup \{a^2\} \cup SaS. \end{aligned}$$

A semigroup  $S$  is called *regular* if for every element  $a$  of  $S$ , there exists an element  $x$  in  $S$  such that  $a = axa$  or equivalently  $a \in aSa$ . An element  $a$  of  $S$  is called a *completely regular* if there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $a = axa$  and  $ax = xa$ . A semigroup  $S$  is called *completely regular* if every element of  $S$  is completely regular. A semigroup  $S$  is called *left (right) regular* if for each element  $a$  of  $S$ , there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $a = xa^2$  ( $a = a^2x$ ). A semigroup is called *left (right) regular* if for each element  $a$  of  $S$ , there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that

$$a = xa^2 \quad (a = a^2x).$$

A *semilattice* is a structure  $S = (S, \cdot)$ , where “ $\cdot$ ” is an infix binary operation, called the *semilattice operation*, such that “ $\cdot$ ” is associative, commutative and idempotent. For all undefined concepts and notions about semigroups, we refer to [11, 21].

**Definition 1.**[7, 19] A soft set  $f_A$  over  $U$  is a set defined by

$$f_A : E \rightarrow P(U) \text{ such that } f_A(x) = \emptyset \text{ if } x \notin A.$$

Here  $f_A$  is also called an *approximate function*. A soft set over  $U$  can be represented by the set of ordered pairs

$$f_A = \{(x, f_A(x)) : x \in E, f_A(x) \in P(U)\}.$$

**Definition 2.**[7] Let  $f_A, f_B \in S(U)$ . Then,  $f_A$  is called a *soft subset* of  $f_B$  and denoted by  $f_A \subseteq f_B$ , if  $f_A(x) \subseteq f_B(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ .

**Definition 3.**[7] Let  $f_A, f_B \in S(U)$ . Then, *union* of  $f_A$  and  $f_B$ , denoted by  $f_A \cup f_B$ , is defined as  $f_A \cup f_B = f_{A \cup B}$ , where  $f_{A \cup B}(x) = f_A(x) \cup f_B(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ .

**Definition 4.**[7] Let  $f_A, f_B \in S(U)$ . Then, *intersection* of  $f_A$  and  $f_B$ , denoted by  $f_A \cap f_B$ , is defined as  $f_A \cap f_B = f_{A \cap B}$ , where  $f_{A \cap B}(x) = f_A(x) \cap f_B(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup and  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be soft sets over the common universe  $U$ . Then, *soft union product*  $f_S * g_S$  is defined by

$$(f_S * g_S)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{x=yz} \{f_S(y) \cup g_S(z)\}, & \text{if } \exists y, z \in S \text{ such that } \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \in S$ .

**Theorem 1.**[28] Let  $f_S, g_S, h_S \in S(U)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} i) & (f_S * g_S) * h_S = f_S * (g_S * h_S). \\ ii) & f_S * (g_S \widetilde{\cup} h_S) = (f_S * g_S) \widetilde{\cup} (f_S * h_S) \text{ and } (f_S \widetilde{\cup} g_S) * h_S = \\ & (f_S * h_S) \widetilde{\cup} (g_S * h_S). \end{aligned}$$

$$iii) f_S * (g_S \widetilde{\cap} h_S) = (f_S * g_S) \widetilde{\cap} (f_S * h_S) \text{ and } (f_S \widetilde{\cap} g_S) * h_S = (f_S * h_S) \widetilde{\cap} (g_S * h_S).$$

$$iv) \text{ If } f_S \subseteq g_S, \text{ then } f_S * h_S \subseteq g_S * h_S \text{ and } h_S * f_S \subseteq h_S * g_S.$$

$$v) \text{ If } t_S, l_S \in S(U) \text{ such that } t_S \subseteq f_S \text{ and } l_S \subseteq g_S, \text{ then } t_S * l_S \subseteq f_S * g_S.$$

**Definition 6.**[28] Let  $X$  be a subset of  $S$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}_{X^c}$  the *soft characteristic function* of the complement  $X$  and define as

$$\mathcal{S}_{X^c}(x) = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } x \in X, \\ U, & \text{if } x \in S \setminus X \end{cases}$$

**Definition 7.**[28] Let  $S$  be a semigroup and  $f_S$  be a soft set over  $U$ . Then,  $f_S$  is called a *soft union semigroup* of  $S$ , if

$$f_S(xy) \subseteq f_S(x) \cup f_S(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ .

**Definition 8.**[28] A soft set over  $U$  is called a *soft union left (right) ideal* of  $S$  over  $U$  if

$$f_S(ab) \subseteq f_S(b) \quad (f_S(ab) \subseteq f_S(a))$$

for all  $a, b \in S$ . A soft set over  $U$  is called a *soft union two-sided ideal (soft union ideal)* of  $S$  if it is both soft union left and soft union right ideal of  $S$  over  $U$ .

**Definition 9.**[28] A soft union semigroup  $f_S$  over  $U$  is called a *soft union bi-ideal* of  $S$  over  $U$  if

$$f_S(xyz) \subseteq f_S(x) \cup f_S(z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ .

**Definition 10.**[29] A soft set over  $U$  is called a *soft union interior* of  $S$  over  $U$  if

$$f_S(xyz) \subseteq f_S(y)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ .

**Definition 11.**[29] A soft set over  $U$  is called a *soft union quasi-ideal* of  $S$  over  $U$  if

$$(f_S * \widetilde{\theta}) \widetilde{\cup} (\widetilde{\theta} * f_S) \widetilde{\supseteq} f_S.$$

**Definition 12.**[29] A soft set over  $U$  is called a *soft union generalized bi-ideal* of  $S$  over  $U$  if

$$f_S(xyz) \subseteq f_S(x) \cup f_S(z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ .

For the sake of brevity, soft union semigroup, soft union right (left, two-sided, interior, quasi, generalized bi-) ideal are abbreviated by *SU-semigroup, SU-right (left, two-sided, interior, quasi, generalized bi-) ideal*, respectively.

It is easy to see that if  $f_S(x) = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in S$ , then  $f_S$  is an *SU-semigroup (right ideal, left ideal, ideal, bi-ideal, interior ideal, quasi-ideal, generalized bi-ideal)* of  $S$  over  $U$ . We denote such a kind of *SU-semigroup (right ideal, left ideal, ideal, bi-ideal)* by  $\theta$  [28].

**Lemma 1.** Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -semigroup over  $U$ . Then, we have the followings:

- i)  $\tilde{\theta} * \tilde{\theta} \supseteq \tilde{\theta}$ . (If  $S$  is regular,  $\tilde{\theta} * \tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}$ .)
- ii)  $f_S * \tilde{\theta} \supseteq \tilde{\theta}$  and  $\tilde{\theta} * f_S \supseteq \tilde{\theta}$ .
- iii)  $f_S \tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}$  and  $f_S \tilde{\theta} = f_S$ .

**Definition 13.**[28] A soft set  $f_S$  over  $U$  is called soft union semiprime if for all  $a \in S$ ,

$$f_S(a) \subseteq f_S(a^2).$$

**Theorem 2.**[28, 29] Let  $X$  be a nonempty subset of a semigroup  $S$ . Then,  $X$  is a subsemigroup (left, right, two-sided ideal, bi-ideal, interior ideal, quasi-ideal, generalized bi-ideal) of  $S$  if and only if  $\mathcal{S}_X$  is an  $SU$ -semigroup (left, right, two-sided ideal, bi-ideal, interior ideal, quasi-ideal, generalized bi-ideal) of  $S$ .

**Proposition 1.**[28, 29] Let  $f_S$  be a soft set over  $U$ . Then,

- i)  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -semigroup over  $U$  if and only if  $f_S * f_S \supseteq f_S$ .
- ii)  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -left (right) ideal of  $S$  over  $U$  if and only if  $\tilde{\theta} * f_S \supseteq f_S$  ( $f_S * \tilde{\theta} \supseteq f_S$ ).
- iii)  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  over  $U$  if and only if  $f_S * f_S \subseteq f_S$  and  $f_S * \tilde{\theta} * f_S \supseteq f_S$ .
- iv)  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$  over  $U$  if and only if  $\tilde{\theta} * f_S * \tilde{\theta} \supseteq f_S$ .
- v)  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal of  $S$  over  $U$  if and only if  $f_S * \tilde{\theta} * f_S \supseteq f_S$ .

**Theorem 3.**[28] Every  $SU$ -left (right, two sided) ideal of a semigroup  $S$  over  $U$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  over  $U$ .

**Proposition 2.**[29] For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) Every  $SU$ -ideal of a semigroup  $S$  over  $U$  is an  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$  over  $U$ .
- 2) Every  $SU$ -quasi ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -semigroup of  $S$ .
- 3) Every one-sided  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$ .
- 4) Every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ .

**Theorem 4.**[28] For a semigroup  $S$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is regular.
- 2)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -right ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  over  $U$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$  over  $U$ .

**Theorem 5.**[28] For a semigroup  $S$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is regular.
- 2) For every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$ ,  $f_S = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * f_S$ .

**Theorem 6.**[29] Let  $f_S$  be a soft set over  $U$ , where  $S$  is a regular semigroup. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  over  $U$ .
- 2)  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$  over  $U$ .

**Theorem 7.**[28] For a left regular semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) Every left ideal of  $S$  is a two-sided ideal of  $S$ .
- 2) Every  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .

### 3 Semisimple semigroups

In this section, we characterize semisimple semigroups with respect to  $SU$ -ideals of semigroups. A semigroup  $S$  is called *semisimple* if  $J^2 = J$  holds for every ideal  $J$  of  $S$ , that is, every ideal of  $S$  is idempotent.

**Proposition 3.**[30] For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is semisimple.
- 2)  $a \in (SaS)(SaS)$  for every element  $a$  of  $S$ , that is, there exist elements  $x, y, z \in S$  such that  $a = xayaz$ .

**Proposition 4.** Every  $SU$ -interior ideal of a semisimple semigroup  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_S$  be an  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ . Then, since  $S$  is semisimple, there exist elements  $x, y, z \in S$  such that

$$a = xayaz.$$

Thus, we have

$$f_S(ab) = f_S((xayaz)b) = f_S(xay)a(zb) \subseteq f_S(a)$$

Hence,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ . Similarly, one can prove that  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Thus,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .

Now we shall give a characterization of a semisimple semigroup by  $SU$ -ideals.

**Theorem 8.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is semisimple.
- 2)  $f_S * f_S = f_S$  for every  $SU$ -ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ . (That is, every  $SU$ -ideal is idempotent).
- 3)  $f_S * f_S = f_S$  for every  $SU$ -interior  $f_S$  of  $S$ . (That is, every  $SU$ -interior ideal is idempotent).
- 4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -ideals  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 5)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 6)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -interior ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 7)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -interior ideals  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 8) The set of all  $SU$ -ideals of a semisimple semigroup  $S$  is a semilattice under the soft union product, that is,  $f_S * (g_S * h_S) = f_S * (g_S * h_S)$ ,  $f_S * g_S = g_S * f_S$  and  $f_S * f_S = f_S$  for all  $SU$ -ideals  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 9) The set of all  $SU$ -interior ideals of a semisimple semigroup  $S$  is a semilattice under the soft union product.

*Proof.* First assume that (1) holds. Let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -interior ideals of  $S$ . Since,  $\tilde{\theta}$  itself is an  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$  and since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  by Proposition 4, we have:

$$f_S * g_S \supseteq f_S * \tilde{\theta} \supseteq f_S \text{ and } f_S * g_S \supseteq \tilde{\theta} * g_S \supseteq g_S.$$

Thus,  $f_S * g_S \subseteq \widetilde{f_S} \widetilde{g_S}$ .

Now, let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Since there exist elements  $x, y, z, w \in S$  such that

$$a = (xay)(zaw),$$

and since  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  are  $SU$ -interior ideals of  $S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_S * g_S)(a) &= \bigcap_{a=pq} (f_S(p) \cup g_S(q)) \\ &\subseteq f_S(xay) \cup g_S(zaw) \\ &\subseteq f_S(a) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= (f_S \widetilde{\cup} g_S)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so we have  $f_S * g_S \subseteq \widetilde{f_S} \widetilde{g_S}$ . Hence,

$$f_S * g_S = f_S \widetilde{\cup} g_S.$$

So, (1) implies (7). (7) implies (6), (6) implies (4), (7) implies (5), (5) implies (4), (4) implies (2), (7) implies (3), (3) implies (2) and (7) implies (9), (9) implies (8), (8) implies (2).

Assume that (2) holds. Let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{(J[a])^c}$  of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  and since  $a \in J[a]$ , we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{(J[a])^c}(a) = \emptyset$$

Now, let  $a \notin J[a]J[a]$ . Thus, there do not exist  $b, c \in J[a]$  such that  $a = J[a]J[a]$ . Hence,

$$(\mathcal{S}_{(J[a])^c} * \mathcal{S}_{(J[a])^c})(a) = \bigcap_{a=bc} (\mathcal{S}_{(J[a])^c}(b) \cup \mathcal{S}_{(J[a])^c}(c)) = U$$

But this is a contradiction and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} a \in J[a]J[a] &= (\{a\} \cup aS \cup Sa \cup SaS)(\{a\} \cup aS \cup Sa \cup SaS) \\ &= \{a^2\} \cup a^2S \cup aSa \cup aSaS \cup aSa \cup aSaS \cup aSSa \cup aSSaS \\ &\quad \cup Sa^2S \cup SaSa \cup SaSaS \cup SaSa \cup SaSaS \cup SaSSa \cup SaSSaS \subseteq (SaS)(SaS) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $S$  is semisimple and so, (2) implies (1).

## 4 Regular duo semigroups

In this section, we characterize a left (right) duo semigroup in terms of  $SU$ -ideals. A semigroup  $S$  is called *left (right) duo* if every left (right) ideal of  $S$  is a two-sided ideal of  $S$ . A semigroup  $S$  is *duo* if it is both left and right duo.

**Definition 14.** A semigroup  $S$  is called *soft union left (right) duo* if every  $SU$ -left (right) ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  and is called *soft union duo*, if it is both soft left and soft right duo.

**Theorem 9.** For a regular semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is left (right) duo.
- 2)  $S$  is soft union left (right) duo.

*Proof.* First assume that  $S$  is left duo. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ . It is known that  $Sa$  is a left-ideal of  $S$ . And so, by hypothesis, it is a two-sided ideal of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular, we have

$$ab \in (aSa)b \subseteq (Sa)S \subseteq Sa$$

This implies that there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that

$$ab = xa.$$

Thus, since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$f_S(ab) = f_S(xa) \subseteq f_S(a)$$

This means that  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$  and so  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ . Thus,  $S$  is soft union left duo and (1) implies (2).

Conversely, assume that  $S$  is soft union left duo. Let  $A$  be any left ideal of  $S$ . Then, the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{A^c}$  of  $A$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . By assumption,  $\mathcal{S}_{A^c}$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  and so  $A$  is a two-sided ideal of  $S$ . Thus,  $S$  is left duo and (2) implies (1). The right dual of the proof can be seen similarly. So, the proof is completed.

**Theorem 10.** For a regular semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is duo.
- 2)  $S$  is soft union duo.

Every  $SU$ -right (left) ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  ([28]). Moreover, we have the following:

**Theorem 11.** Let  $S$  be a regular duo semigroup. Then, every  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a, b$  be any elements of  $S$ . It is known that  $Sa$  is a left ideal of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is a duo semigroup,  $Sa$  is a right ideal of  $S$ . And since  $S$  is regular, we have

$$ab \in (aSa)b \subseteq a((Sa)S) \subseteq aSa$$

This implies that there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that

$$ab = axa.$$

Then, since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$f_S(ab) = f_S(axa) \subseteq f_S(a) \cup f_S(a) = f_S(a).$$

This means that  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ . It can be seen in a similar way that  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Therefore,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 12.** [9, 20] For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a regular duo semigroup.
- 2)  $A \cap B = AB$  for every left ideal  $A$  and every right ideal  $B$  of  $S$ .

- 3)  $Q^2 = Q$  for every quasi-ideal of  $S$ . (That is, every quasi-ideal is idempotent.)
- 4)  $EQE = E \cap Q \cap E$  for every ideal  $E$  and every quasi-ideal  $Q$  of  $S$ .

**Theorem 13.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a regular duo semigroup.
- 2)  $S$  is a regular soft union duo semigroup.
- 3)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -bi-ideals  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and for all  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 5)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and and for all  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$
- 6)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and for all  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 7)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -quasi-ideals  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 8)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and for all  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 9)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -left ideal  $f_S$  and for all  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 10)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -left ideal  $f_S$  and for all  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 11)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  and  $h_S * k_S = h_S \tilde{\cup} k_S$  for all  $SU$ -right ideals  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  of  $S$  and for all  $SU$ -left ideal  $h_S$  and  $k_S$  of  $S$ .
- 12) Every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$  is idempotent.

*Proof.* The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from Theorem 10. Assume that (2) holds. Let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideals of  $S$ . Then, by Theorem 11,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$  and  $g_S$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular, it follows by Theorem 4 that

$$f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$$

Thus, (2) implies (3). It is clear that (3) implies (4), (4) implies (5), (5) implies (8), (8) implies (11), (11) implies (3), (3) implies (6), (6) implies (7), (7) implies (8) and (6) implies (9), (9) implies (10), (10) implies (11).

Assume that (11) holds. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any left ideal and right ideal of  $S$ , respectively. Let  $a$  be any element of  $A \cap B$  and  $a \notin AB$ . Then,  $a \in A$  and  $a \in B$  and there do not exist  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  such that  $a = xy$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_{A^c}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{B^c}$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal and  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ , respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{A^c}(a) = \mathcal{S}_{B^c}(a) = \emptyset.$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{A^c} * \mathcal{S}_{B^c})(a) = U$$

But this is a contradiction, so  $a \in AB$ . Thus,  $A \cap B \subseteq AB$ . For the converse inclusion, let  $a$  be any element of  $AB$  and  $a \notin A \cap B$ . Then, there exist  $y \in A$  and  $z \in B$  such that  $a = yz$ . Thus,

$$(\mathcal{S}_{A^c} \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{S}_{B^c})(a) = U$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{A^c} * \mathcal{S}_{B^c})(a) = \bigcap_{a=mn} (\mathcal{S}_{A^c}(m) \cup \mathcal{S}_{B^c}(n)) \subseteq (\mathcal{S}_{A^c}(y) \cup \mathcal{S}_{B^c}(z)) = \emptyset$$

Hence,  $(\mathcal{S}_{A^c} * \mathcal{S}_{B^c})(a) = \emptyset$ . But this is a contradiction. This implies that  $a \in A \cap B$  and that  $AB \subseteq A \cap B$ . Thus, we have  $AB = A \cap B$ . It follows by Theorem 12 that  $S$  is a regular duo semigroup. Thus (11) implies (1). It is clear that (7) implies (12) by taking  $g_S = f_S$ .

Conversely, assume that (12) holds. Let  $Q$  be any quasi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  be any element of  $Q$  and  $a \notin QQ$ . Then,  $\mathcal{S}_{Q^c}$  is an  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$ . Thus, we have  $\mathcal{S}_{Q^c}(a) = \emptyset$  and since there do not exist  $y, z \in Q$  such that  $a = yz$ ,

$$(\mathcal{S}_{Q^c} * \mathcal{S}_{Q^c})(a) = U$$

But this is a contradiction. Hence, we have  $a \in Q^2$  and  $Q \subseteq Q^2$ . Since the converse inclusion always holds,  $Q = Q^2$ . It follows by Theorem 12 that  $S$  is a regular duo semigroup and that (12) implies (1). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 14.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a regular duo semigroup.
- 2)  $f_S * g_S * f_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S * g_S * f_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

*Proof.* First assume that (1) holds. Let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideal and any  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ , respectively. Then, we have

$$f_S * g_S * f_S \tilde{\supseteq} (f_S * \tilde{\theta}) * \tilde{\theta} = f_S * (\tilde{\theta} * \tilde{\theta}) \tilde{\supseteq} f_S * \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\supseteq} f_S$$

On the other hand, since  $S$  is regular and duo,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  by Theorem 11. Hence, we have

$$f_S * g_S * f_S \tilde{\supseteq} (\tilde{\theta} * g_S) * \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\supseteq} g_S * \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\supseteq} g_S$$

and so

$$(f_S * g_S * f_S) \tilde{\supseteq} f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$$

In order to show the converse inclusion, let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Then, since  $S$  is regular, there exists an element  $x$  in  $S$  such that

$$a = axa = (axa)xa$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_S * g_S * f_S)(a) &= [f_S * (g_S * f_S)](a) \\ &= \bigcap_{a=pq} [f_S(a) \cup (g_S * f_S)(q)] \\ &\subseteq f_S(ax) \cup (g_S * f_S)(axa) \\ &= f_S(ax) \cup \left\{ \bigcap_{axa=bc} [g_S(b) \cup f_S(c)] \right\} \\ &\subseteq f_S(ax) \cup (g_S(a) \cup f_S(xa)) \\ &\subseteq f_S(a) \cup (g_S(a) \cup f_S(a)) \\ &= f_S(a) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= (f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f_S * g_S * f_S \subseteq f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . Thus, we obtain that

$$f_S * g_S * f_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S.$$

Hence, (1) implies (2). It is clear that (2) implies (3).

Assume that (3) holds. Let  $E$  and  $Q$  any two-sided ideal and quasi-ideal of  $S$ , respectively and  $a$  be any element of  $E \cap Q$  and  $a \notin EQE$ . Then,  $a \in E$  and  $a \in Q$  and there do not exist  $x, z \in E$  and  $y \in Q$  such that  $a = xyz$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_{E^c}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{Q^c}$  is an  $SU$ -ideal and  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$ , respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{E^c}(a) = \mathcal{S}_{Q^c}(a) = \emptyset.$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{E^c} * \mathcal{S}_{Q^c} * \mathcal{S}_{E^c})(a) = U$$

But, this is a contradiction and so  $a \in EQE$ . Thus,  $E \cap Q \subseteq EQE$ . For the converse inclusion, let  $a$  be any element of  $EQE$  and  $a \notin E \cap Q$ . Then, there exist  $x, z \in E$  and  $y \in Q$  such that  $a = xyz$ . Thus,

$$(\mathcal{S}_{E^c} \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{S}_{Q^c})(a) = U$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{E^c} * \mathcal{S}_{Q^c} * \mathcal{S}_{E^c})(a) = \emptyset$$

But this is a contradiction and so  $a \in E \cap Q$ . Thus,  $EQE \subseteq E \cap Q$  and so  $EQE = E \cap Q$ . It follows from Proposition 12 that  $S$  is regular duo. Hence, (3) implies (1). This completes the proof.

## 5 Right (left) zero semigroup

In this section, we characterize right (left) zero semigroups in terms of  $SU$ -ideals of  $S$ . A semigroup  $S$  is called *right (left) zero* if  $xy = y$  ( $xy = x$ ) for all  $x, y \in S$ .

**Proposition 5.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) The set of all idempotent elements of  $S$  forms a left (right) zero subsemigroup of  $S$ .
- 2) For every  $SU$ -left (right) ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ ,  $f_S(e) = f_S(f)$  for all idempotent elements  $e$  and  $f$  of  $S$ .

*Proof.* First assume that the set  $I_S$  of all idempotent elements of  $S$  is a left zero subsemigroup of  $S$ . Let  $e, f \in I_S$  and  $f_S$  be an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Then, since

$$ef = e \text{ and } fe = f$$

we have

$$f_S(e) = f_S(ef) \subseteq f_S(f) = f_S(fe) \subseteq f_S(e)$$

and so

$$f_S(e) = f_S(f).$$

Thus, (1) implies (2).

Conversely, assume that (2) holds. Since  $S$  is regular, it is obvious that  $I_S \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{(L[f])^c}$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Thus, by assumption, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{(L[f])^c}(e) = \mathcal{S}_{(L[f])^c}(f) = \emptyset$$

and so  $e \in L[f] = Sf$ . (Here note that, if  $S$  is a regular semigroup,  $L[a] = Sa$  for every  $a \in S$  ([9]). Thus, for some  $x \in S$ , we have

$$e = xf = x(ff) = (xf)f = ef$$

This means that  $I_S$  is a left zero semigroup. Thus (2) implies (1). The case when  $S$  is right zero, the proof can be seen similarly. This completes the proof.

**Corollary 1.** For an idempotent semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is left (right) zero.
- 2) For every  $SU$ -left (right) ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ ,  $f_S(e) = f_S(f)$  for all elements  $e, f \in S$ .

**Proposition 6.** Let  $S$  be a group. Then, every  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is a constant function.

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a group with identity  $e$  and  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(a) &= f_S(eae) \subseteq f_S(e) \cup f_S(e) = f_S(e) = f_S(ee) = \\ f_S((aa^{-1})(a^{-1}a)) &= f_S(a(a^{-1}a^{-1})a) \subseteq f_S(a) \cup f_S(a) = \\ &= f_S(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f_S(e) = f_S(a)$ . This implies that  $f_S$  is a constant function.

**Proposition 7.** For a regular semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a group.
- 2) For every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ ,  $f_S(e) = f_S(f)$  for all idempotent elements  $e, f \in S$ .

*Proof.* Assume that (1) holds. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . Then, it follows from Proposition 6 that  $f_S$  is a constant function. This implies that

$$f_S(e) = f_S(f)$$

for all idempotent elements  $e, f \in S$ . Thus (1) implies (2).

Conversely, assume that (2) holds. Let  $e$  and  $f$  be any idempotent elements of  $S$ . As is well-known, if  $S$  is a regular semigroup,  $B[x]$ , the principal ideal of  $S$  generated by  $x \in S$  is  $B[x] = xSx$  ([9]). Moreover, since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{(B[f])^c}$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  and since  $f \in B[f]$ , we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{(B[f])^c}(e) = \mathcal{S}_{(B[f])^c}(f) = \emptyset$$

and so  $e \in B[f] = fSf$ , which means that  $e = fxf$  for some  $x \in S$ . One can similarly obtain that  $f = eye$  for some  $y \in S$ . Thus, we have

$$e = fxf = fx(ff) = (fxf)f = ef = e(eye) = (ee)ye = eye = f$$

Since  $S$  is regular,  $I_S \neq \emptyset$  and  $S$  contains exactly one idempotent. Thus, it follows from ([9], p.33) that  $S$  is a group. Thus (2) implies (1). This completes the proof.

## 6 Right (left) simple semigroups

In this section, we define soft union simple semigroup and give the relation of soft union simple semigroup with simple semigroup. A semigroup  $S$  is called *left (right) simple* if it contains no proper left (right) ideal of  $S$  and is called *simple* if it contains no proper ideal.

**Definition 15.** A semigroup  $S$  is called *soft union left (right) simple* if every  $SU$ -left (right) ideal of  $S$  is a constant function and is called *soft union simple* if every  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  is a constant function.

**Theorem 15.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is left (right) simple.
- 2)  $S$  is soft union left (right) simple.

*Proof.* First assume that  $S$  is left simple. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  and  $b$  be any element of  $S$ . Then, it follows from ([9], p. 6) that there exist elements  $x, y \in S$  such that  $b = xa$  and  $a = yb$ . Hence, since  $S$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ ,

$$f_S(a) = f_S(yb) \subseteq f_S(b) = f_S(xa) \subseteq f_S(a)$$

and so  $f_S(a) = f_S(b)$ . Since  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ , this means that  $f_S$  is a constant function. Thus, we obtain that  $S$  is soft union left simple and (1) implies (2).

Conversely, assume that (2) holds. Let  $A$  be any left ideal of  $S$ . Then,  $\mathcal{S}_{A^c}$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . By assumption,  $\mathcal{S}_{A^c}$  is a constant function. Let  $x$  be any element of  $S$ . Then, since  $A \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$\mathcal{S}_{A^c}(x) = \emptyset$$

and so  $x \in A$ . This implies that  $S \subseteq A$ , and so  $S = A$ . Hence,  $S$  is left simple and (2) implies (1). In the case, when  $S$  is soft union right simple, the proof follows similarly.

**Theorem 16.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is simple.
- 2)  $S$  is soft union simple.

As is well-known, a semigroup  $S$  is a group if it is left and right simple. From this, we have the following theorem:

**Proposition 8.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a group.
- 2)  $S$  is both soft union left and soft union right simple.

**Proposition 9.** Let  $S$  be a left simple semigroup. Then, every  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_S$  be an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ . Then, since  $S$  is left simple, there exists an element  $x$  in  $S$  such that

$$b = xa.$$

Then, since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$f_S(ab) = f_S(a(xa)) = f_S(a) \cup f_S(a) = f_S(a)$$

which means that  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ . This completes the proof.

## 7 Semilattices of left (right) simple semigroups

In this section, we characterize a semigroup that is a semilattice of left (right) simple semigroups by  $SU$ -ideals. A semigroup  $S$  is a *semilattice of left simple semigroups* if it is the set-theoretical intersection of the family of left simple semigroups  $S_i$  ( $i \in M$ ) such that,

$$S = \bigcap_{i \in M} S_i$$

such that the products  $S_i S_j$  and  $S_j S_i$  are both contained in the same  $S_k$  ( $k \in M$ ).

**Theorem 17.** [9, 22] For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of left simple semigroups.
- 2)  $S$  is left regular and every left ideal of  $S$  is two-sided.
- 3)  $S$  is left regular and  $AB = BA$  for any left ideals  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ .

**Theorem 18.** [28] For a left regular semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) Every left ideal of  $S$  is a two-sided ideal of  $S$ .
- 2) Every  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**Theorem 19.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of left simple semigroups.
- 2)  $S$  is left regular and every  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -left ideals of  $S$ .
- 4) The set of all  $SU$ -left ideals of  $S$  is a semilattice under the soft union product.
- 5) The set of all left ideals of  $S$  is a semilattice under the multiplication of subsets.

*Proof.* The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from Theorem 17 and Theorem 18. Assume that (2) holds. Let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -left ideals of  $S$  and  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Then, since  $S$  is left regular, there exists an element



$x \in S$  such that  $a = xa^2$ . By assumption,  $f_S$  is also an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ . So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f_S * g_S)(a) &= \bigcap_{a=yz} (f_S(y) \cup g_S(z)) \\ &\subseteq (f_S(xa) \cup g_S(a)) \\ &\subseteq (f_S(a) \cup g_S(a)) \\ &= (f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S)(a)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f_S * g_S \subseteq f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . On the other hand, by assumption,  $g_S$  is  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned}(f_S * g_S)(a) &= \bigcap_{a=yz} (f_S(y) \cup g_S(z)) \\ &\supseteq (f_S(yz) \cup g_S(yz)) \\ &= f_S(a) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= (f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S)(a)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f_S * g_S \supseteq f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . Thus,  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  and so (2) implies (3).

(3) implies (4) is clear. Assume that (4) holds. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any left ideals of  $S$  and  $a$  be any element of  $BA$  and  $a \notin AB$ . Then, there exist  $y \in B$  and  $z \in A$  such that  $a = yz$  and there do not exist  $m \in A$  and  $n \in B$  such that  $a = mn$ . Then, since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{A^c}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{B^c}$  are  $SU$ -left ideals of  $S$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{S}_{B^c} * \mathcal{S}_{A^c})(a) = \emptyset$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{A^c} * \mathcal{S}_{B^c})(a) = U.$$

But this is a contradiction. Hence,  $a \in AB$ . Thus,  $BA \subseteq AB$ . Similarly, we have  $AB \subseteq BA$ . Thus,  $AB = BA$ .

In order to see that any left ideal  $A$  of  $S$  is idempotent, let  $a$  be any element of  $A$  and  $a \notin AA$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_{A^c}$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{S}_{A^c} * \mathcal{S}_{A^c})(a) = U$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{A^c}(a) = \emptyset.$$

But this is a contradiction and so  $a \in A^2$ . Thus,  $A \subseteq A^2$  and so  $A = A^2$ . Therefore (4) implies (5).

Finally, assume that (5) holds. Let  $A$  be any left ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Then, since  $S$  itself is a left ideal, by assumption we have

$$AS = SA \subseteq A$$

Thus,  $A$  is a right ideal of  $S$ , and so  $A$  is a two-sided ideal of  $S$ .

Let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Then, since the left ideal  $L[a]$  of  $S$  is idempotent by assumption and since  $a \in L[a]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}a \in L[a]L[a] &= (\{a\} \cup Sa)(\{a\} \cup Sa) = \\ &= \{a^2\} \cup aSa \cup Sa^2 \cup SaSa \subseteq \{a^2\} \cup (aS)aSa \cup Sa^2 \cup SaSa \subseteq \\ &= \{a^2\} \cup SaSa \cup Sa^2 \subseteq \{a^2\} \cup Sa^2\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $S$  is left-regular. Thus, it follows by Theorem 17-(2) that  $S$  is a semilattice of left simple groups. That is to say (5) implies (1). This completes the proof.

The left-right dual of Theorem 19 reads as follows:

**Theorem 20.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of right simple semigroups.
- 2)  $S$  is right regular and every  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -right ideals of  $S$ .
- 4) The set of all  $SU$ -right ideals of  $S$  is a semilattice under the soft union product.
- 5) The set of all right ideals of  $S$  is a semilattice under the multiplication of subsets.

**Theorem 21.**[28] For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is left regular.
- 2) For every  $SU$ -left ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ ,  $f_S(a) = f_S(a^2)$  for all  $a \in S$ .

**Theorem 22.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of left simple semigroups.
- 2) For every  $SU$ -left ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ ,  $f_S(a) = f_S(a^2)$  and  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $S$  is a semilattice of left simple semigroups. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Then, by Theorem 17-(2),  $S$  is left regular and  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ . Let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Thus, by Theorem 21, we have

$$f_S(ab) = f_S((ab)^2) = f_S(a(ba)b) \subseteq f_S(ba).$$

Similarly, we have  $f_S(ba) \subseteq f_S(ab)$ . Hence, we obtain that

$$f_S(ab) = f_S(ba).$$

Thus, (1) implies (2).

Conversely, assume that (2) holds. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ . Since  $f_S(a) = f_S(a^2)$  for all  $a \in S$ , it follows from Theorem 21 that  $S$  is left regular. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any left ideal of  $S$  and  $ab$  be any element of  $AB$ . Since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{(L[ba])^c}$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  and since  $ba \in L[ba]$ , we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{(L[ba])^c}(ab) = \mathcal{S}_{(L[ba])^c}(ba) = \emptyset$$

This implies that

$$ab \in L[ba] = \{ba\} \cup Sba \subseteq BA \cup SBA \subseteq BA$$

and so we have  $AB \subseteq BA$ . Similarly, it can be seen that the converse inclusion holds. Thus, we obtain that

$$AB = BA$$

Then, it follows by Theorem 17-(3) that  $S$  is a semilattice of left simple semigroups. Therefore (3) implies (1). This completes the proof.

The right dual of Theorem 22 reads as follows:

**Theorem 23.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of right simple semigroups.
- 2) For every  $SU$ -right ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ ,  $f_S(a) = f_S(a^2)$  and  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .

### 8 A semilattice of left (right) groups

In this section, we characterize a semigroup that is a semilattice of left (right) simple groups by  $SU$ -ideals. An element  $a$  of  $S$  is said to be *left (right) cancellable* if, for any  $x, y \in S$   $ax = ay$  ( $xa = ya$ ) implies  $x = y$ . A semigroup  $S$  is called *left (right) cancellative* if every element of  $S$  is left (right) cancellative. A semigroup  $S$  is called a *left group* if it is left simple and right cancellable ([9]), that is, for all  $a \in S$ , there exists a unique element  $x \in S$  such that  $xa^2 = a$  ([21]). Dually, a semigroup  $S$  is called a *right group* if it is right simple and left cancellable.

**Theorem 24.**[21] For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups.
- 2)  $S$  is regular and  $aS \subseteq Sa$  for every  $a \in S$ .

**Theorem 25.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup that is a semilattice of left groups. Then, every  $SU$ -(generalized) bi-ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , and  $a$  and  $b$  any elements of  $S$ . Then, it follows from Theorem 24 that there exist elements  $x, y \in S$  such that

$$a = axa \text{ and } ab = ya.$$

Thus,

$$ab = (axa)b = (ax)(ab) = (ax)(ya) = a(xy)a.$$

Since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ ,

$$f_S(ab) = f_S(a(xy)a) \subseteq f_S(a) \cup f_S(a) = f_S(a).$$

Hence,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup that is a semilattice of left groups. Then, every  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ , that is to say,  $S$  is soft union left duo.

**Theorem 26.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup that is a semilattice of left groups. Then, every  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$ , and  $a$  and  $b$  any elements of  $S$ . Then, it follows from Theorem 24 that there exist element  $z \in S$  such that

$$b = bzb.$$

Thus,

$$ab = (axa)b = (ax)(ab) = (ax)(ya) = a(xy)a.$$

Since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ ,

$$f_S(ab) = f_S(a(bzb)) = f_S((a)b(zb)) \subseteq f_S(b).$$

Hence,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ .

**Theorem 27.**[29] For a semigroup  $S$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is regular.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S * f_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  and  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$  over  $U$ .

**Theorem 28.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 5)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 6)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 7)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 8)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 9)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 10)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 11)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 12)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -one-sided ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 13)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -one-sided ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 14)  $S$  is regular left duo.

*Proof.* First assume that (1) holds. Let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$ , respectively and  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Then, since  $S$  is regular by Theorem 24, there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that

$$a = axa (= axaxa).$$

Since  $g_S$  is an  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$ ,  $g_S((x)a(xa)) \subseteq g_S(a)$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_S * g_S)(a) &= \bigcap_{a=pq} (f_S(p) \cup g_S(q)) \\ &\subseteq f_S(a) \cup g_S((x)a(xa)) \\ &\subseteq f_S(a) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= (f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f_S * g_S \subseteq f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . Moreover, it follows by Theorem 25 that  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_S * g_S)(a) &= \bigcap_{a=pq} (f_S(p) \cup g_S(q)) \\ &\supseteq \bigcap_{a=pq} (f_S(pq) \cup g_S(pq)) \\ &= \bigcap_{a=pq} (f_S(a) \cup g_S(a)) \\ &= f_S(a) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= (f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f_S * g_S \supseteq f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . Therefore, we obtain that  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  and that (1) implies (10). It is clear that (10) implies (9), (9) implies (8), (8) implies (5), (5) implies (2), (10) implies (7), (7) implies (6), (6) implies (5), (5) implies (2), (7) implies (4), (4) implies (3), (3) implies (2) and (4) implies (12), (12) implies (11).

Assume that (2) holds. Then, it follows by Theorem 27 that  $S$  is regular. Let  $Q$  be any quasi-ideal of  $S$ . Then, the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_Q$  is an  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$ . Since  $\tilde{\theta}$  itself is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  and so by assumption, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_Q = \mathcal{S}_Q \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\theta} = \mathcal{S}_Q * \tilde{\theta}.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{S}_Q$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ , and so  $Q$  is a right ideal of  $S$ . Thus, any quasi-ideal of  $S$  is a right ideal of  $S$ . Let  $a \in S$ . Then, the quasi-ideal  $Sa$  is a right ideal of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular, we have

$$aS \subseteq (aS)aS = ((aS)a)S \subseteq (Sa)S \subseteq Sa.$$

Thus,  $aS \subseteq Sa$  and since  $S$  is regular,  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups by Theorem 24. Thus, (2) implies (1).

Assume that (11) holds. Let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -right ideal and any  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ , respectively. Then, since  $\tilde{\theta}$  itself is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  and so by assumption, we have

$$g_S = g_S \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\theta} = g_S * \tilde{\theta}$$

Thus,  $g_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ , that is,  $g_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ . Thus, by assumption,  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -right ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  over  $U$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$  over  $U$ . It follows by Theorem 4 that  $S$  is regular. As is proved in (2) implies (1), we have  $aS \subseteq Sa$ . Thus,  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups, so (11) implies (1).

Assume that (1) holds. Then, it follows by Theorem 24 that  $S$  is regular. Moreover, it follows by Corollary 2 that  $S$  is soft union left duo and so by Theorem 9,  $S$  is left duo. Thus (1) implies (13).

Conversely assume that (13) holds. Then, it follows by Theorem 9 that  $S$  is left duo, that is, every left ideal of  $S$  is a right ideal of  $S$ . In order to prove that  $S$  is semilattice of left groups, by Theorem 24, it suffices to show that  $aS \subseteq Sa$  for all  $a \in S$ . As is proved in (2) implies (1), we have  $aS \subseteq Sa$ . Thus,  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups, so (13) implies (1). This completes the proof.

The left-right dual of Theorem 29 reads as follows:

**Theorem 29.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of right groups.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 5)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 6)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 7)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 8)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 9)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 10)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 11)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 12)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -one-sided ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 13)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -one-sided ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 14)  $S$  is regular right duo.

**Theorem 30.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S * f_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S * f_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S * f_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

*Proof.* First assume that (1) holds. Let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal of  $S$ . Then, we have

$$f_S * g_S * f_S \supseteq f_S * \tilde{\theta} * f_S \supseteq f_S$$

On the other hand, since the  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$f_S * g_S * f_S \supseteq (\tilde{\theta} * g_S) * \tilde{\theta} \supseteq g_S * \tilde{\theta} \supseteq g_S$$

Therefore, we have

$$f_S * g_S * f_S \supseteq f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S.$$

Let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Then, it follows by Theorem 24 that there exist elements  $x, y \in S$  such that  $a = axa$  and  $ax = ya$ . Hence,

$$ax = axax = axax(ya) = (axa)(xya).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (f_S * g_S * f_S)(a) &= [(f_S * g_S) * f_S](a) \\ &= \bigcap_{a=pq} [(f_S * g_S)(p) * f_S(q)] \\ &\subseteq (f_S * g_S)(ax) \cup f_S(a) \\ &= \left\{ \bigcap_{ax=pq} (f_S(p) \cup g_S(q)) \right\} \cup f_S(a) \\ &\subseteq (f_S(axa) \cup g_S(xya)) \cup f_S(a) \\ &\subseteq (f_S(a) \cup g_S(a)) \cup f_S(a) \\ &= (f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so,  $f_S * g_S * f_S \subseteq f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . Thus,  $f_S * g_S * f_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  and (1) implies (4). It is clear that (4) implies (3) and (3) implies (2).

Assume that (2) holds. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -quasi ideal of  $S$ . Then,  $\tilde{\theta}$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  and so by assumption,

$$f_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\theta} = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * f_S$$

Thus, it follows by Theorem 5 that  $S$  is regular. On the other hand, let  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . then, by assumption,

$$g_S = \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\cup} g_S = \tilde{\theta} * g_S * \tilde{\theta}$$

Thus,  $g_S$  is an  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular,  $g_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  by Theorem 6. Therefore, we obtain that every  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  is an ideal of  $S$ . It follows by Theorem 7 that every  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ . Let  $a \in S$ . Since  $S$  is regular, the left ideal  $Sa$  is an ideal of  $S$ . Thus, we have

$$aS \subseteq (aS)a \subseteq a((Sa)S) \subseteq a(Sa) = (aS)a \subseteq Sa.$$

Thus,  $aS \subseteq Sa$  and since  $S$  is regular,  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups by Theorem 24. Thus (2) implies (1).

The left-right dual of Theorem 30 reads as follows:

**Theorem 31.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of right groups.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S * f_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S * f_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * g_S * f_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

**Theorem 32.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

*Proof.* First assume that (1) holds. Let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal and  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ , respectively. Then, we have

$$f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S = f_S * (\tilde{\theta} * g_S) \tilde{\supseteq} f_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} \tilde{\theta} * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} g_S.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 25 that  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ . Thus,

$$f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S = (f_S * \tilde{\theta}) * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} f_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} f_S * \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\supseteq} f_S.$$

Thus, we have  $f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ .

Let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Then, it follows by Theorem 24 that there exist elements  $x, y \in S$  such that  $a = axa$  and  $ax = ya$ . Hence,

$$ax = axax = axax(ya) = (axa)(xya).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S)(a) &= [(f_S * \tilde{\theta}) * g_S](a) \\ &= \left[ \bigcap_{a=pq} (f_S * \tilde{\theta})(p) \right] * g_S(q) \\ &\subseteq (f_S * \tilde{\theta})(ax) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= \left\{ \bigcap_{ax=pq} (f_S(p) \cup \tilde{\theta}(q)) \right\} \cup g_S(a) \\ &\subseteq (f_S(axa) \cup \tilde{\theta}(aya)) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= (f_S(a) \cup \emptyset) \cup g_S(a) \\ &\subseteq f_S(a) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= (f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so,  $f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S \subseteq f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . And so,  $f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . Thus, (1) implies (4).

It is clear that (4) implies (3) and (3) implies (2).

Assume that (2) holds. Let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -quasi-ideal and  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ , respectively. Then, by assumption, we have

$$f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S = f_S * (\tilde{\theta} * g_S) \tilde{\supseteq} f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S.$$

Hence, it follows by Theorem 4 that  $S$  is regular. Let  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Then, since  $g_S$  is an  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$  and since  $\tilde{\theta}$  itself is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$g_S = g_S \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\theta} = g_S * \tilde{\theta} * \tilde{\theta}.$$

Let  $L$  be any left ideal of  $S$ ,  $a \in L$  and  $a \notin LSS$ . Then, the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{L^c}$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Thus,

$$\mathcal{S}_{L^c}(a) = \emptyset$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{L^c} * \mathcal{S}_{S^c} * \mathcal{S}_{S^c})(a) = U$$

which is a contradiction, and so  $a \in LSS$ . Thus,  $L \subseteq LSS$ . Moreover, let  $a \in LSS$  and  $a \notin L$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{S}_{L^c}(a) = U$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{L^c} * \mathcal{S}_{L^c} * \mathcal{S}_{L^c})(a) = \emptyset$$

which is a contradiction, and so  $a \in L$ . Thus,  $LSS \subseteq L$ , and so  $LSS = L$ . Since  $Sa$  is a left ideal of  $S$ , we have  $(Sa)SS = Sa$  and so,

$$aS \subseteq (aS)aS = a(Sa)S = a((Sa)SS) \subseteq a((Sa)SS) \subseteq a(Sa) = (aS)a \subseteq Sa.$$

It follows by Theorem 24 that  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups and so (2) implies (1).

The left-right dual of Theorem 32 reads as follows:

**Theorem 33.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of right groups.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

**Theorem 34.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * h_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$ , for every  $SU$ -ideal  $h_S$  and every  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * h_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$ , for every  $SU$ -ideal  $h_S$  and every  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * h_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$ , for every  $SU$ -ideal  $h_S$  and every  $SU$ -left ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

*Proof.* First assume that (1) holds. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal of  $S$ ,  $h_S$  be any  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Then, we have

$$f_S * h_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} \tilde{\theta} * (\tilde{\theta} * g_S) \tilde{\supseteq} \tilde{\theta} * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} g_S$$

and

$$f_S * h_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} \tilde{\theta} * h_S * \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\supseteq} h_S.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 25, since  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$f_S * h_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} (f_S * \tilde{\theta}) * \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\supseteq} f_S * \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\supseteq} f_S.$$

Hence, we have

$$f_S * h_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S.$$

Let  $a \in S$ . Then, by Theorem 24,  $a = axa$  and  $ax = ya$  for some  $x, y \in S$ . Then,

$$ax = axaxax = axax(ya) = (axa)(xya).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_S * h_S * g_S)(a) &= [(f_S * h_S) * g_S](a) \\ &= \left[ \bigcap_{a=pq} (f_S * h_S)(p) \right] * g_S(q) \\ &\subseteq (f_S * h_S)(ax) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= \left\{ \bigcap_{ax=pq} (f_S(p) \cup h_S(q)) \right\} \cup g_S(a) \\ &\subseteq (f_S(axa) \cup h_S(xya)) \cup g_S(a) \\ &\subseteq (f_S(a) \cup h_S(a)) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= (f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so,  $f_S * h_S * g_S \tilde{\subseteq} f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . Thus,  $f_S * h_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  and (1) implies (4).

It is clear that (4) implies (3) and (3) implies (2).

Conversely, assume that (2) holds. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -quasi-ideal and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Then, since  $\tilde{\theta}$  itself is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ , we have by assumption that

$$f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * \tilde{\theta} * g_S = f_S * (\tilde{\theta} * g_S) \tilde{\supseteq} f_S * g_S.$$

It follows by Theorem 4 that  $S$  is regular. As in the above Theorem, one can easily show that  $aS \subseteq Sa$ . Thus,  $S$  is a semilattice of left groups. Thus, (2) implies (1). This completes the proof.

The left-right dual of Theorem 34 reads as follows:

**Theorem 35.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of right groups.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * h_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$ , for every  $SU$ -ideal  $h_S$  and every  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * h_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$ , for every  $SU$ -ideal  $h_S$  and every  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} h_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = f_S * h_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$ , for every  $SU$ -ideal  $h_S$  and every  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

## 9 A semilattice of groups

Let  $S$  be a semigroup. We shall say that  $S$  is a *semilattice of groups* if it is the set-theoretical union of a family of mutually disjoint subgroups  $G_i$  ( $i \in M$ ) such that, for any pair  $i, j$  in  $M$ , the products  $G_i G_j$  and  $G_j G_i$  are both contained in the same subgroups  $G_k$  ( $k \in M$ ). The following is due to [9, 17, 21].

**Proposition 10.** [9, 17, 21] For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of groups.
- 2)  $S$  is regular and  $aS = Sa$  for all  $a \in S$ .
- 3)  $LR = L \cap R$  for every left ideal  $L$  and every right ideal  $R$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $LB = L \cap B$  for every left ideal  $L$  and every bi-ideal  $B$  of  $S$ .

- 5)  $BR = B \cap R$  for every bi-ideal  $B$  and every right ideal  $R$  of  $S$ .
- 6)  $S$  is regular and every one-sided ideal of  $S$  is two-sided.

**Proposition 11.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup that is a semilattice of groups. Then, every  $SU$ -(generalized) bi-ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ . Then, it follows by Proposition 10 that

$$ab \in (aSa)S = (aS)(aS) = (aS)(Sa) = a(SS)a \subseteq aSa$$

Thus, there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $ab = axa$ . Hence,

$$f_S(ab) = f_S(axa) \subseteq f_S(a) \cup f_S(a) = f_S(a).$$

Hence,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ . Similarly,

$$ab \in S(bSb) = (Sb)(Sb) = (bS)(Sb) = b(SS)b \subseteq bSb$$

Thus, there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $ab = bxb$ . Hence,

$$f_S(ab) = f_S(bxb) \subseteq f_S(b) \cup f_S(a) = f_S(b).$$

Therefore,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . That is to say,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .

**Proposition 12.** [17] For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of groups.
- 2) The set of all (generalized) bi-ideals of  $S$  is a semilattice under the multiplication of subsets.

Now, we shall give a characterization of a semigroup which is a semilattice of groups in terms of  $SU$ -ideals of semigroups.

**Theorem 36.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of groups.
- 2)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -left ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \cup g_S$  for every  $SU$ -left ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -quasi ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \cup g_S$  for every  $SU$ -left ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 5)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -left ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 6)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 7)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -quasi-ideals  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 8)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 9)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 10)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

- 11)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 12)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -bi-ideals  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 13)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 14)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -right ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 15)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 16)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  and every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 17)  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$  for all  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideals  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 18)  $S$  is regular and every  $SU$ -one-sided ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ .
- 19) The set of all  $SU$ -quasi-ideals of  $S$  is a semilattice under the multiplication of soft union product.
- 20) The set of all  $SU$ -bi-ideals of  $S$  is a semilattice under the multiplication of soft union product.
- 21) The set of all  $SU$ -generalized-bi-ideals of  $S$  is a semilattice under the multiplication of soft union product.

*Proof.* First assume that (1) holds. In order to prove that (17) holds, let  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideals of  $S$ . Then, it follows by Proposition 11 that  $f_S$  and  $g_S$  are  $SU$ -ideals of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular by Proposition 10, it follows from Theorem 4 that  $f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . Hence, we obtain that (1) implies (17). It is clear that (17) implies (16), (16) implies (15), (15) implies (14), (14) implies (10), (10) implies (6), (6) implies (2), (17) implies (13), (13) implies (12), (12) implies (11), (11) implies (10), (13) implies (9), (9) implies (8), (8) implies (7), (7) implies (6) and (9) implies (5), (5) implies (4), (4) implies (3) and (3) implies (2).

Assume that (2) holds. Let  $L$  and  $R$  be any left and right ideal of  $S$ , respectively. Let  $a$  be any element of  $L \cap R$  and  $a \notin LR$ . Then,  $a \in L$  and  $a \in R$  and there do not exist  $x \in L$  and  $y \in R$  such that  $a = xy$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_{L^c}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{R^c}$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal and  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ , respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{L^c}(a) = \mathcal{R}_{R^c}(a) = \emptyset.$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_{L^c} * \mathcal{R}_{R^c})(a) = U$$

But this is a contradiction, so  $a \in LR$ . Thus,  $L \cap R \subseteq LR$ . For the converse inclusion, let  $a$  be any element of  $LR$  and  $a \notin L \cap R$ . Then, there exist  $y \in L$  and  $z \in R$  such that  $a = yz$ . Thus,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{L^c} \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{L}_{L^c})(a) = U$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_{L^c} * \mathcal{R}_{R^c})(a) = \bigcap_{a=mn} (\mathcal{L}_{L^c}(m) \cup \mathcal{R}_{R^c}(n)) \subseteq (\mathcal{L}_{L^c}(y) \cup \mathcal{R}_{R^c}(z)) = \emptyset$$

Hence,  $(\mathcal{L}_{L^c} * \mathcal{R}_{R^c})(a) = \emptyset$ . But this is a contradiction. This implies that  $a \in L \cap R$  and that  $LR \subseteq L \cap R$ . Thus, we have  $LR = L \cap R$ . It follows by Proposition 10 that  $S$  is a semilattice of groups and so (2) implies (1).

Assume that (1) holds. Then, as shown above, (17) holds and (21) holds. It is obvious that (21) implies (20) and (20) implies (19). Assume that (19) holds. Then, since every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$  is idempotent, it follows that  $S$  is regular ([29]). Let  $L$  and  $R$  be any left and right ideal of  $S$ , respectively. Then, since  $L$  and  $R$  are quasi-ideal of  $S$ , soft characteristic functions  $\mathcal{S}_{L^c}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{R^c}$  are  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$ . Thus, by assumption  $\mathcal{S}_{L^c} * \mathcal{S}_{R^c} = \mathcal{S}_{R^c} * \mathcal{S}_{L^c}$ . Let  $a \in LR$  and  $a \notin RL$ . Then,

$$(\mathcal{S}_{L^c} * \mathcal{S}_{R^c})(a) = \emptyset$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{R^c} * \mathcal{S}_{L^c})(a) = U.$$

But this is a contradiction, hence  $LR \subseteq RL$ . One can similarly show that  $RL \subseteq LR$  and so  $LR = L \cup R$ . Then, since  $S$  is regular, we have

$$R \cap L = RL = LR.$$

It follows by Proposition 12 that  $S$  is a semilattice of groups. Thus (19) implies (1).

Now assume that (2) holds. To see that (18) holds, let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Since  $\tilde{\theta}$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$f_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\theta} = f_S * \tilde{\theta}$$

Thus,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$ . One can similarly show that every  $SU$ -right ideal of  $S$  is an  $SU$ -left ideal of  $S$ . As shown above,  $S$  is regular. Thus, (2) implies (18). Assume that (17) holds. In order to show that (1) holds, let  $A$  and  $B$  be any generalized bi-ideals of  $S$  and  $a$  be any element of  $AB$  and  $a \notin BA$ . Then, the soft characteristic functions  $\mathcal{S}_{A^c}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{B^c}$  are  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideals of  $S$ . Thus,

$$(\mathcal{S}_{B^c} * \mathcal{S}_{A^c})(a) = U$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{A^c} * \mathcal{S}_{B^c})(a) = \emptyset.$$

But this is a contradiction and so  $a \in BA$ . Thus,  $AB \subseteq BA$ . It can be seen in a similar way that the converse inclusion holds. Thus, we obtain that  $AB = BA$ . Now, we shall prove that any generalized bi-ideal of  $S$  is idempotent. Let  $B$  be any generalized bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a \in B$  and  $a \notin BB$ . Then, since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{B^c}$  is an  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{S}_{B^c} * \mathcal{S}_{B^c})(a) = U$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{B^c}(a) = \emptyset$$

which is a contradiction and so  $a \in BB$ . Thus,  $B \subseteq BB$ . Similarly, one can show that  $BB \subseteq B$ . Hence,  $B = BB$ . This means that the set of all generalized bi-ideals of  $S$  is a semilattice under the multiplication of subsets. It follows by Proposition 12 that  $S$  is a semilattice of groups. Thus (2) implies (1). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 37.**[28] For a semigroup  $S$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is completely regular.
- 2) Every bi-ideal of  $S$  is semiprime.
- 3) Every  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is soft union semiprime.
- 4)  $f_S(a) = f_S(a^2)$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  and for all  $a \in S$ .

**Theorem 38.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of groups.
- 2) For every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ ,  $f_S(a) = f_S(a^2)$  and  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .
- 3) For every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ ,  $f_S(a) = f_S(a^2)$  and  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .
- 4) For every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$ ,  $f_S(a) = f_S(a^2)$  and  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .

*Proof.* First assume that (1) holds. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ . Then, since  $S$  is regular by Proposition 10, there exists an element  $x$  in  $S$  such that  $a = axa = axaxa$ . Since  $aS \subseteq Sa$  by Proposition 10, there exist elements  $y, z \in S$  such that  $xa = ya$  and  $ax = za$ . Thus, we have

$$a = axa = a(xaxaxa) = a(xa)x(axa) = a(ya)x(za)a = a^2(yxz)a^2.$$

Hence, since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$f_S(a) = f_S(a^2(yxz)a^2) \subseteq f_S(a^2) \cup f_S(a^2) = f_S(a^2) = f_S(a(axa)) \subseteq f_S(a(ax)a) \subseteq f_S(a) \cup f_S(a) = f_S(a)$$

and so  $f_S(a) = f_S(a^2)$ . Moreover, by Proposition 10, we have

$$(ab)^4 = a(ba)ba(ba)b \in (Sba)S(baS) = (baS)S(Sba).$$

Hence, there exists an element  $u \in S$  such that  $(ab)^4 = bauba$ . Thus,

$$f_S(ab) = f_S((ab)^2) = f_S((ab)^4) = f_S((ba)u(ba)) \subseteq f_S(ba) \cup f_S(ba) = f_S(ba).$$

Similarly, we have  $f_S(ba) \subseteq f_S(ab)$  and so  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$ . Thus, (1) implies (4).

It is clear that (4) implies (3) and (3) implies (2).

Conversely, assume that (2) holds. Then, it follows by Theorem 37 that  $S$  is completely regular and so regular. Let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . To see that  $aS = Sa$ , let  $ax$  be any element of  $aS$ . Since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{(B[ax])^c}$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , by assumption, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{(B[ax])^c}(ax) = \mathcal{S}_{(B[ax])^c}(xa) = \emptyset$$

and so  $ax \in B[ax] = \{xa\} \cup (xa)^2 \cup (xa)S(xa)$ . If  $ax = xa$ , then  $ax = xa \in Sa$ , and so  $aS \subseteq Sa$ . If  $ax = (xa)^2$ , then  $ax = (xax)a \in Sa$ . Hence,  $aS \subseteq Sa$ . If  $ax \in (xa)S(xa)$ , then

$$ax \in (xa)S(xa) = (xaSx)a \in Sa$$

and so  $aS \subseteq Sa$ . In any case,  $aS \subseteq Sa$ . Similarly,  $Sa \subseteq aS$ . Thus,  $aS = Sa$ . Hence, it follows by Proposition 10 that  $S$  is a semilattice of groups. Thus, (2) implies (1). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 39.** For a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is a semilattice of groups.
- 2)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = g_S * f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  and for all  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 3)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = g_S * f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  and for all  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 4)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = g_S * f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  and for all  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 5)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = g_S * f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  and for all  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 6)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = g_S * f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  and for all  $SU$ -ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .
- 7)  $f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S = g_S * f_S * g_S$  for every  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  and for all  $SU$ -interior ideal  $g_S$  of  $S$ .

*Proof.* First assume that (1) holds. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -generalized bi-ideal and  $g_S$  be any  $SU$ -interior ideal of  $S$ . It follows by Proposition 11 that  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ . Thus,

$$g_S * f_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} \tilde{\theta} * f_S * \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\supseteq} f_S.$$

Moreover,  $g_S * f_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} g_S * (\tilde{\theta} * g_S) \tilde{\supseteq} g_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} g_S * \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\supseteq} g_S$ . Therefore, we have

$$g_S * f_S * g_S \tilde{\supseteq} f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S.$$

Now, let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular by Proposition 10, there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $a = axa$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (g_S * f_S * g_S)(a) &= [(g_S * f_S) * g_S](a) \\ &= \left[ \bigcap_{a=pq} (g_S * f_S)(p) \right] * g_S(q) \\ &\subseteq (g_S * f_S)(a) \cup g_S(xa) \\ &= \left\{ \bigcap_{a=uv} (g_S(u) \cup f_S(v)) \right\} \cup g_S(a) \\ &\subseteq (g_S(ax) \cup f_S(a)) \cup g_S(a) \\ &\subseteq f_S(a) \cup g_S(a) \\ &= (f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and so,  $g_S * f_S * g_S \tilde{\subseteq} f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ . Thus,  $g_S * f_S * g_S = f_S \tilde{\cup} g_S$ , so, (1) implies (7). It is clear that (7) implies (6), (6) implies (4), (4) implies (2) and (7) implies (5), (5) implies (3) and (3) implies (2).

Assume that (2) holds. Let  $Q$  and  $J$  be any quasi-ideal and ideal of  $S$ , respectively. Let  $a \in JQJ$  and  $a \notin J \cap Q$ . Since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{Q^c}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{J^c}$  are  $SU$ -quasi-ideal and  $SU$ -ideal of  $S$ , respectively, we have

$$(\mathcal{S}_{J^c} \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{S}_{Q^c})(a) = U$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{J^c} * \mathcal{S}_{Q^c} * \mathcal{S}_{J^c})(a) = \emptyset$$

which is a contradiction and so  $a \in JQJ$ . Thus,  $J \cap Q \subseteq JQJ$ . Similarly, one can show that  $JQJ \subseteq J \cup Q$ . Therefore, we have that  $JQJ = J \cap Q$  for every quasi-ideal  $Q$  and ideal  $J$  of  $S$ , which implies that  $S$  is regular and (2) implies (1). This completes the proof.

## 10 Soft normal semigroups

In this section, we introduce the concepts of soft normality in a semigroup. It is known that a semigroup  $S$  is called normal if  $aS = Sa$  for all  $a \in S$ .

**Definition 16.** An  $SU$ -quasi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  is called union  $Q$ -normal if  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .

**Definition 17.** An  $SU$ -bi-ideal  $f_S$  of  $S$  is called union  $B$ -normal if  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .

**Definition 18.** A semigroup  $S$  is called soft union  $B^*$ -normal if every  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  is union  $B$ -normal.

**Definition 19.** A semigroup  $S$  is called soft union  $Q^*$ -normal if every  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$  is union  $Q$ -normal.

**Theorem 40.** Any soft union  $Q^*$ -normal semigroup is normal.

*Proof.* Let  $f_S$  be an  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of a soft union  $Q^*$ -normal semigroup of  $S$ . Let  $a$  be any element of  $S$ . To see that  $aS = Sa$ , let  $ax$  be any element of  $aS$ . Since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{(Q[ax])^c}$  is an  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$ , by assumption, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{(Q[ax])^c}(ax) = \mathcal{S}_{(Q[ax])^c}(xa) = \emptyset$$

which implies that

$$ax \in Q[ax] = \{xa\} \cup (xaS \cup Sxa) \subseteq Sa$$

Thus, we have  $aS \subseteq Sa$ . Similarly,  $Sa \subseteq aS$  holds. Thus,  $aS = Sa$  and  $S$  is normal. This completes the proof.

The following theorem shows that the converse of Theorem 40 holds for a regular semigroup.

**Theorem 41.** For a regular semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is soft union  $Q^*$ -normal.
- 2)  $S$  is normal.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that (2) implies (1). Assume that (2) holds. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular and normal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ab \in (aS)(bS) &= (aS)(ab)(Sb) \subseteq (aS)(abSb)(Sb) = \\ &= (aS)a(Sb)(Sb) \subseteq (Sb)(Sa)S = (Sb)(aS)S = S(ba)SS = \\ &= (ba)SSS \subseteq baS \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $ab = bax$ . Thus, since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$(f_S * \tilde{\theta})(ab) = \bigcap_{ab=pq} \{(f_S(p) \cup \tilde{\theta}(q))\} \subseteq f_S(ba) \cup \tilde{\theta}(x) = f_S(ba).$$

One can similarly show that

$$(\tilde{\theta} * f_S)(ab) \subseteq f_S(ba)$$

Since,  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -quasi-ideal of  $S$ ,



$$f_S(ab) \subseteq ((f_S * \tilde{\theta}) \cup (\tilde{\theta} * f_S))(ab) = (f_S * \tilde{\theta})(ab) \cup (\tilde{\theta} * f_S)(ab) \subseteq f_S(ba) \cup f_S(ba) = f_S(ba)$$

Similarly, it can be proved that  $f_S(ba) \subseteq f_S(ab)$ . Thus,  $f_S(ba) = f_S(ab)$ , and so  $S$  is soft union  $Q^*$ -normal and that (2) implies (1). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 42.** Any soft union  $B^*$ -normal semigroup is normal.

*Proof.* Let  $f_S$  be an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of a soft  $B^*$ -normal semigroup of  $S$ . Let  $a$  be any element of  $S$  and  $ax$  be any element of  $aS$ . Since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{(B[xa])^c}$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , by assumption, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{(B[xa])^c}(ax) = \mathcal{S}_{(B[xa])^c}(xa) = \emptyset$$

which implies that

$$ax \in B[xa] = \{xa\} \cup \{xaxa\} \cup (xa)S(xa) \subseteq Sa$$

Thus, we have  $aS \subseteq Sa$ . Similarly,  $Sa \subseteq aS$  holds. Thus,  $aS = Sa$  and  $S$  is normal. This completes the proof.

The following theorem shows that the converse of Theorem 42 holds for a regular semigroup.

**Theorem 43.** For a regular semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is soft  $B^*$ -normal.
- 2)  $S$  is normal.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that (2) implies (1). Assume that (2) holds. Let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular, we have

$$ab \in (aSa)(bSb) = (aS)(ab)(Sb) \subseteq (aS)(abSb)(Sb) = (aSa)b(Sa)(bSb) \subseteq (Sb)(aS)S = S(ba)SS = (ba)SSS \subseteq baS = (baSba)S = (baS)(Sba) = ba(SS)ba \subseteq baSba.$$

This implies that there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $a = baxba$ . Thus, since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$f_S(ab) = f_S((ba)x(ba)) \subseteq f_S(ba) \cup f_S(ba) = f_S(ba).$$

One can similarly show that  $f_S(ba) \subseteq f_S(ab)$ . Hence  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$  which implies that  $S$  is soft union  $B^*$ -normal and that (2) implies (1). This completes the proof.

**Proposition 13.** For an idempotent semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is commutative.
- 2)  $S$  is soft union  $Q^*$ -normal.
- 3)  $S$  is soft union  $B^*$ -normal.

*Proof.* (1) implies (3) and (3) implies (2) is obvious. Assume that (2) holds. Then,  $S$  is normal. Let  $a, b \in S$ . Then,  $ab \in Sb = bS$ . Thus, there exists an element  $x$  in  $S$  such that  $ab = bx$ . Similarly, we have  $ba = yb$  for some  $b \in S$ . Hence, since  $S$  is idempotent, we have

$$ab = bx = (bb)x = b(bx) = b(ab) = (ba)b = (yb)b = yb = ba$$

which implies that  $S$  is commutative. Hence (2) implies (1).

**Definition 20.** [21] A semigroup  $S$  is called archimedean if for all  $a, b \in S$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $a^n \in SbS$ .

**Definition 21.** [21] A semigroup  $S$  is called weakly commutative if for all  $a, b \in S$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $(ab)^n \in bSa$ .

**Proposition 14.** [21] Every weakly commutative semigroup is a semilattice of archimedean semigroups.

**Proposition 15.** Any soft union  $B^*$ -normal semigroup is a semilattice of archimedean semigroups.

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be any soft union  $B^*$ -normal semigroup. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ , and  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ . Since the soft characteristic function  $\mathcal{S}_{(B[ba])^c}$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , by assumption, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{(B[ba])^c}(ab) = \mathcal{S}_{(B[ba])^c}(ba) = \emptyset$$

and so

$$ab \in B[ba] = \{ba\} \cup \{baba\} \cup (baSba) \subseteq Sa$$

Thus, we have  $(ab)^2 \in baSba \subseteq bSa$ . Therefore,  $S$  is weakly commutative. Hence by Proposition 14,  $S$  is a semilattice of archimedean semigroups.

One can similarly prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 16.** Any soft union  $Q^*$ -normal semigroup is a semilattice of archimedean semigroups.

**Theorem 44.** For a completely regular semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $S$  is soft union  $Q^*$ -normal.
- 2)  $S$  is soft union  $B^*$ -normal.
- 3) For each elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $S$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $(ab)^n \in baSba$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that (2) implies (1). Assume that (1) holds. Then,  $S$  is normal. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ . Thus, we have

$$(ab)^3 = ababab = a(ba)bab \subseteq (Sba)(baS) = (baS)(Sba) = (ba)SS(ba) \subseteq baSba$$

which shows that (1) implies (3).

Conversely, assume that (3) holds. To see that (2) holds, let  $f_S$  be any  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$  and  $a$  and  $b$  be any elements of  $S$ . Then, by assumption, there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $(ab)^n = baxba$ . Since  $S$  is completely regular, for this positive integer, there exists an element  $y \in S$  such that  $ab = (ab)^n y (ab)^n$ . Then, since  $f_S$  is an  $SU$ -bi-ideal of  $S$ , we have

$$f_S(ab) = f_S((ab)^n y (ab)^n) \subseteq f_S((ab)^n) \cup f_S((ab)^n) = f_S((ab)^n) = f_S(baxba) \subseteq f_S(ba) \cup f_S(ba) = f_S(ba).$$

One can similarly show that  $f_S(ba) \subseteq f_S(ab)$ . Hence,  $f_S(ab) = f_S(ba)$  which implies that  $f_S$  is soft  $B^*$ -normal. Thus, (3) implies (2).

## 11 Conclusion

In this paper, we characterize certain classes of semigroups such as semisimple semigroups, duo semigroups, right (left) zero semigroups, right (left) simple semigroups, semilattice of left (right) simple semigroups, semilattice of left (right) groups and semilattice of groups via different soft union ideals of semigroups. Also, we define soft union normal semigroups and study on the relation of this concept with semigroups. In order to be useful to characterize the classical semigroups, some further work can be done on the properties of soft union semigroups and different classes of soft union ideals.

## References

- [1] Acar, U., Koyuncu, F., Tanay, B.: Soft sets and soft rings. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 3458-3463 (2010)
- [2] Ahsan, J., Khan, M. F., Shabir, M.: Characterization of monoids by the properties of their fuzzy subsystems, *Fuzzy Set. Syst.* **56**, 199-208 (1993)
- [3] Aktas, H., Çağman, N.: Soft sets and soft groups, *Inform. Sci.* **177**, 2726-2735 (2007)
- [4] Ali, M.I., Feng, F., Liu, X., Min, W.K., Shabir, M.: On some new operations in soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **57**, 1547-1553 (2009)
- [5] Ali, M.I., Shabir, M., Naz, M.: Algebraic structures of soft sets associated with new operations, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **61** (9), 2647-2654 (2011)
- [6] Atagün, A.O., Sezgin, A.: Soft substructures of rings, fields and modules, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61** (3), 592-601 (2011)
- [7] Çağman, N., Enginoğlu, S.: Soft set theory and uni-int decision making, *Eur. J. Op. Res.* **207**, 848-855 (2010)
- [8] Calois, J.: Demi-groupes quasi-inverseifs, *C.S. acad. Sci. Parisi* **252**, 2357-2359 (1961)
- [9] Clifford, A.H., Preston, G.B.: Algebraic theory of semigroups, AMS, Providence (1961)
- [10] Feng, F., Jun, Y.B., Zhao, X.: Soft semirings, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **56**, 2621-2628 (2008)
- [11] Howie, J. M.: Fundamentals of semigroup theory, Oxford University Press (1995)
- [12] Iseki, K.: A characterization of regular semigroups, *Proc. Japon. Academy.* **32**, 676-677 (1965)
- [13] Jun, Y.B.: Soft BCK/BCI-algebras, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **56**, 1408-1413 (2008)
- [14] Jun, Y.B., Park, C.H.: Applications of soft sets in ideal theory of BCK/BCI-algebras, *Inform. Sci.* **178**, 2466-2475 (2008)
- [15] Jun, Y.B., Lee, K.J., Zhan, J.: Soft  $p$ -ideals of soft BCI-algebras, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **58**, 2060-2068 (2009)
- [16] Kuroki, N.: Two-sided prity on regular semigroups, *Commentarii Mathematici Universitatis Sancti Pauli*, **24**, 21-26 (1975)
- [17] Lajos, S.: Theorems on (1,1)-ideals in semigroups II, Department of Mathematics, Karl Marx University of Economisc, Budapest, 1975.
- [18] Maji, P.K., Biswas, R., Roy, A.R.: Soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **45**, 555-562 (2003)
- [19] Molodtsov, D.: Soft set theory-first results, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **37**, 19-31 (1999)
- [20] Mordeson, J. N., Malik, D.S., Kuroki, N.: Fuzzy semigroups, *Studiness in Fuzziness and Soft Computing*, Springer (2003)
- [21] Petrich, M.: Introduction to Semigroups, Charles E. Merrill (1973)
- [22] Saito, T.: On semigroups which are semilattice of left simple semigroups, *Math. Japon.* **18** 95-97 (1973)
- [23] Sezgin, A., Atagün, A.O., Aygün, E.: A note on soft near-rings and idealistic soft near-rings. *Filomat* **25** (1), 53-68 (2011)
- [24] Sezgin, A., Atagün, A.O.: On operations of soft sets. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**(5), 1457-1467 (2011)
- [25] Sezgin, A., Atagün, A.O.: Soft groups and normalistic soft groups, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62** (2) 685-698 (2011)
- [26] Sezer Sezgin, A., A new approach to soft union rings, ideals and bi-ideals via soft int-uni product, *Knowledge Based-System*, 36, 300-314 (2012)
- [27] Sezgin, A., Atagün, A.O., Çağman, N.: Union soft substructures of near-rings and N-groups, *Neural Comput. Appl.*, 21 (1), 133-143, (2012).
- [28] Sezgin, A., Çağman, N., Atagün, A.O.: A new approach to semigroup theory I; Soft union semigroups, ideals and bi-ideals, submitted.
- [29] Sezgin, A., Çağman, N., Atagün, A.O.: A new approach to semigroup theory II; Soft union interior ideals, quasi-ideals and generalized bi-ideals, submitted.
- [30] Szasz, G.: Semigroups with idempotent ideals, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **21**, 115-117 (1974)
- [31] Zhan, J., Jun, Y. B.: Soft BL-algebras based on fuzzy sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (6), 2037-2046 (2010)



near-ring theory.



Development, Mathematics Education.

**Ashhan Sezgin Sezer** is Assistant Professor Doctor of Mathematics at Amasya University. She received the PhD degree in Mathematics at Gaziosmanpaşa University (Turkey). Her research interests are in the areas of soft set and its algebraic applications and general

**Naim Çağman** is Associate Professor of Mathematics at Gaziosmanpaşa University. He received the PhD degree in Mathematics at University of Leeds (UK). His main research interests are: Mathematical Logic, Fuzzy Logic, Soft Set Theory, Game



**Akin Osman Atagün**  
is Associate Professor  
of Mathematics at Bozok  
University. He received the  
PhD degree in Mathematics at  
Erciyes University (Turkey).  
His main research interests  
are: Near-ring, Prime ideals  
of near-rings and near-ring  
modules, Soft set and its

algebraic applications.