

University of Groningen

Fatigue among Long-Term Breast Cancer Survivors

Maass, Saskia W. M. C.; Brandenburg, Daan; Boerman, Liselotte M.; Verhaak, Peter F. M.; de Bock, Geertruida H.; Berendsen, Annette J.

Published in:
Cancers

DOI:
[10.3390/cancers13061301](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13061301)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Maass, S. W. M. C., Brandenburg, D., Boerman, L. M., Verhaak, P. F. M., de Bock, G. H., & Berendsen, A. J. (2021). Fatigue among Long-Term Breast Cancer Survivors: A Controlled Cross-Sectional Study. *Cancers*, 13(6), [1301]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13061301>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Article

Fatigue among Long-Term Breast Cancer Survivors: A Controlled Cross-Sectional Study

Saskia W. M. C. Maass ^{1,*}, Daan Brandenburg ¹, Liselotte M. Boerman ¹, Peter F. M. Verhaak ², Geertruida H. de Bock ³ and Annette J. Berendsen ¹

¹ Department of General Practice and Elderly Care Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, PO Box 196, 9700 AD Groningen, The Netherlands; d.brandenburg@umcg.nl (D.B.); liselotte.boerman@radboudumc.nl (L.M.B.); a.j.berendsen@umcg.nl (A.J.B.)

² NIVEL, Netherlands Institute of Health Services Research, 3513 CR Utrecht, The Netherlands; pverhaak@xs4all.nl

³ Department of Epidemiology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, PO Box 30001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands; g.h.de.bock@umcg.nl

* Correspondence: s.w.m.c.maass@umcg.nl; Tel.: +31-50-3616151; Fax: +31-50-3610739

Simple Summary: The number of long-term breast cancer survivors is increasing. Earlier research showed that many breast cancer survivors suffer from fatigue during and shortly after treatment. Fatigue is distressing and can severely impact quality of life. In this research, we assessed whether the prevalence of fatigue is also elevated long after breast cancer treatment. We showed that even ten years after diagnosis, one in four breast cancer survivors experience fatigue. This is more than women of the same age without a history of cancer. In addition, we found that fatigue among long-term breast cancer survivors was associated with symptoms of depression and anxiety.



Citation: Maass, S.W.M.C.; Brandenburg, D.; Boerman, L.M.; Verhaak, P.F.M.; de Bock, G.H.; Berendsen, A.J. Fatigue among Long-Term Breast Cancer Survivors: A Controlled Cross-Sectional Study. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 1301. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13061301>

Academic Editor: Anne Déborah Bouhnik

Received: 25 January 2021
Accepted: 9 March 2021
Published: 15 March 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Background: Fatigue is the most common and persistent symptom among women in the first five years after a breast cancer diagnosis. However, long-term prevalence of fatigue, among breast cancer survivors, needs further investigation. Aim: To compare fatigue experienced by long-term breast cancer survivors with that in a reference population and to evaluate the determinants of that fatigue. Design and Setting: A cross-sectional cohort study of 350 breast cancer survivors ≥ 5 years after diagnosis and a reference population of 350 women matched by age and general practitioner. Method: Fatigue was measured using the Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI-20), and a sum score of >60 (multidimensional fatigue) was the primary outcome. Logistic regression was applied to compare the prevalence of multidimensional fatigue between the survivor and reference populations, adjusted for body mass index (BMI) and for cardiovascular and psychological variables. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were estimated. Logistic regression was applied to evaluate the determinants of multidimensional fatigue among the survivors. Results: Breast cancer survivors (median 10 years after diagnosis), more often experienced multidimensional fatigue than the reference population (26.6% versus 15.4%; OR, 2.0 [95% CI, 1.4–2.9]), even after adjusting for confounders. The odds of multidimensional fatigue were also higher among survivors with symptoms of depression (32.2% versus 2.7%; OR, 17.0 [95% CI, 7.1–40.5]) or anxiety (41.9% versus 10.1%; OR, 6.4 [95% CI, 3.6–11.4]). Conclusion: One in four breast cancer survivors experience multidimensional fatigue and fatigue occurs more frequently than in women of the same age and general practitioner. This fatigue appears to be associated with symptoms of depression and anxiety.

Keywords: breast neoplasms; fatigue; cancer survivors; long-term adverse effects; anxiety disorder; depressive disorder

1. Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women [1], and due to an ageing population, its incidence is increasing in Western countries [2]. Fortunately, better treatment

regimens mean that survival has also increased over recent decades, leading to a growth in the number of long-term survivors [3]. A downside of this has been that a growing population of women are experiencing the negative long-term effects of breast cancer therapy [4]. Among these effects, fatigue is the most common complaint among survivors, with a prevalence of 40%–80% [5,6]. Fatigue can be distressing and can severely affect daily life, potentially persisting into the long term [7]. Several mechanisms have been described to explain fatigue in survivors. It may be related to the disease itself, to the treatment, or to the physical or psychological side effects [8], having known associations with anxiety, depression, and cardiac dysfunction [9,10]. Fatigue also appears to be multidimensional, with different subtypes described, including general, physical, or mental fatigue, as well as reduced activity and reduced motivation [11]. Despite being studied extensively among breast cancer survivors, little is known about the long-term prevalence of fatigue in this population. Indeed, most studies have only reported data up to 5 years after diagnosis, and they have not compared fatigue among survivors to that among women with no cancer [12–16]. Given that fatigue is common in the general population, and the prevalence varies between studies (10.8%–21.6%) [17,18], such a comparison is necessary to enable the accurate interpretation of the data.

In this study, we evaluated the prevalence of multidimensional fatigue, and its persistence over time, among long-term breast cancer survivors compared to a reference population. We also evaluated the association of multidimensional fatigue with factors such as breast cancer treatment, cardiac dysfunction, depression, and anxiety.

2. Method

2.1. Context

In the Netherlands, almost all inhabitants are registered with a general practitioner (GP). All GPs record clinical contacts in electronic databases in which they assign codes according to the International Classification for Primary Care, and prescribed medication is coded using the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification system [19,20].

2.2. BLOC Study

This present study was embedded in the previously published cross-sectional BLOC study [21,22], which was designed to explore the long-term effects of breast cancer treatment on cardiac dysfunction and psychological distress. Between 2013 and 2016, we compared 350 women treated for breast cancer 5 or more years previously to 350 women with no history of cancer matched by age and GP. Women with a local/locoregional recurrence were included if the recurrence was diagnosed more than five years ago. Half of the breast cancer survivors were treated with chemotherapy (with or without radiotherapy) and the other half were treated with radiotherapy alone. After receiving written informed consent, all participants completed questionnaires, underwent echocardiography, and had their BMI calculated. Details of cancer treatment and cardiovascular diagnoses were extracted from hospital files and GP medical records. The study was registered at [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov) (accessed on 25 January 2021)(ID: NCT01904331) and approved by the medical ethics committee of the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG).

2.3. Current Study

For the current study, we compared multidimensional fatigue between the two cohorts and explored the factors associated with multidimensional fatigue in breast cancer survivors. These factors included age, time since breast cancer diagnosis, type of cancer treatment, systolic cardiac dysfunction, cardiovascular disease (CVD), depression, and anxiety.

2.3.1. Primary Outcome

Multidimensional fatigue was measured with the Dutch version of the 20-item Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI-20) questionnaire [23]. This measures five subtypes

of fatigue: general fatigue, physical fatigue, mental fatigue, reduced activity, and reduced motivation. In turn, each subtype has four questions that are each scored on a five-point Likert-scale to give subtypes scores of 4–20. Fatigue was considered present for a subtype if the subtype score was >12 [24], and multidimensional fatigue was considered present if the total score was >60 [25]. Participants were also classified as having severe multidimensional fatigue if they scored >12 on all subtypes.

2.3.2. Variables

Information on CVD was obtained from the electronic medical records of the participants' GPs. All CVD diagnoses up to the time of the cross-sectional study were included. A list of CVD codes that were included is provided in Supplementary Data S1. The presence of any of these codes in the participant's file was taken to indicate a diagnosis. Echocardiography was also performed to measure systolic cardiac function, which we defined as a left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF) $<54\%$, using the biplane method of disk summation (modified Simpson's rule) recommended by the European Association for Cardiovascular Imaging/American Society of Echocardiography (EACVI/ASE) [26].

Depression and anxiety were assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), a self-reporting questionnaire that comprises subscales for symptoms of depression (HADS-D) and anxiety (HADS-A). Each subtype consists of seven items, with scores ranging from 0 to 3 per item. For this study, we used a cut-off of ≥ 8 per subscale to indicate the presence of depression or anxiety symptoms (includes both mild and severe). The HADS performs well in recognizing depression and anxiety disorders [27]. Contrasting with other questionnaires to identify depression or anxiety symptoms, the HADS does not include a question about tiredness or sleeping difficulties [23].

2.4. Statistics

Medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) are reported to describe continuous variables, whereas numbers and percentages are reported to describe categorical variables. For the purpose of analyses, the date of breast cancer diagnosis, functioned as the index date for the matched control. Hereby, we could make a comparison between the breast cancer survivors and matched controls, including adjusting for time since diagnosis. Univariate logistic regression was used to evaluate the prevalence of multidimensional fatigue (sum score >60), and its persistence over time, among long-term survivors compared to the reference population. We set the presence of multidimensional fatigue (yes or no) as the outcome of interest and stratified the analyses according to time since diagnosis for women <10 years and those at ≥ 10 years after diagnosis. In multivariable logistic regression, the results were adjusted for time since diagnosis ≥ 10 years, BMI >30 , LVEF $<54\%$, CVD ≥ 1 , HADS-D ≥ 8 , and HADS-A ≥ 8 . The presence of severe multidimensional fatigue and the fatigue subtypes were evaluated in the same way. In addition, an independent-samples *t*-test was conducted to compare the mean sum MFI score for breast cancer survivors to the mean sum MFI of the reference population. This analysis was repeated, stratified for time since diagnosis for women <10 years versus ≥ 10 years after breast cancer diagnosis.

We performed further univariate logistic regression analyses to evaluate the associations between being a breast cancer survivor with or without multidimensional fatigue (the outcome) and the various factors of interest (the determinants). The following factors were considered: age > 65 years at assessment, ≥ 10 years since diagnosis, BMI > 30 , treatment (chemo- and or radiotherapy), hormonal therapy, CVD ≥ 1 , LVEF $< 54\%$, HADS-D ≥ 8 , and HADS-A ≥ 8 . We applied a full model in the multivariable logistic regression, adjusting for all variables and describing the absolute effects of statistically significant associations between the factors and multidimensional fatigue. This was repeated for severe multidimensional fatigue and all fatigue subtypes. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

Participants had a median age of 63 (IQR 57–68) years and breast cancer survivors had a median follow-up duration of 10 (IQR 7–14) years after diagnosis (Table 1). A total of 22 breast cancer survivors were included (≥ 5 years) after a local/locoregional recurrence.

Table 1. Characteristics of breast cancer survivors and a reference population at the time of assessment [21,22].

	Breast Cancer Survivors **** (n = 350)	Controls (n = 350)
Age; years, median (IQR)	63 (57–68)	63 (57–68)
Time since diagnosis of breast cancer or index date; years, median (IQR)	10 (7–14)	10 (7–14)
BMI > 30, n (%)	69 (19.7%)	70 (20%)
	n (%)	n (%)
Breast cancer stage		
Stage 0	13 (3.7%)	
Stage I	123 (35.1%)	
Stage II	161 (46%)	
Stage III	28 (8%)	
Unknown	25 (7.1%)	
Breast cancer treatment *		
Chemotherapy ***	175 (50.0%)	–
Radiotherapy ****	295 (84.3%)	–
Hormonal therapy	146 (41.7%)	–
Variables		
LVEF < 54%	52 (15.3%)	24 (7.0%)
CVD ≥ 1	54 (15.4%)	31 (8.9%)
Cardiac risk factor **	139 (39.7%)	135 (38.6%)
HADS-D ≥ 8	37 (10.6%)	17 (4.9%)
HADS-A ≥ 8	65 (18.6%)	57 (16.3%)

* as registered in the hospital and general practitioners' files. IQR = interquartile range; BMI = body mass index; LVEF = left ventricle ejection fraction; CVD = cardiovascular diseases; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. ** Cardiac risk factors: diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidemia. *** Including breast cancer survivors who have received chemo- and radiotherapy $n = 120$ (68.6%), and breast cancer survivors who have received chemotherapy and hormonal therapy $n = 109$ (62.3%). **** Including breast cancer survivors who have received radiotherapy and hormonal therapy, $n = 37$ (21.1%). ***** Including 22 breast cancer survivors ≥ 5 years after a local/locoregional recurrence.

3.1. Fatigue among Survivors and Reference Population

Breast cancer survivors experienced multidimensional fatigue significantly more often than the reference population (26.6% vs. 15.4%; OR, 2.0 [95%CI, 1.4–2.9]; Table 2). This remained for survivors <10 years after diagnosis (29.2% vs. 13.7%; OR, 2.6 [95%CI, 1.5–4.5]), but the significant difference was lost for those >10 years after diagnosis (24.3% vs. 16.9%; OR, 1.6 [95%CI, 0.95–2.6]). The mean sum score of the MFI was significantly higher for breast cancer survivors in comparison to the reference population. Moreover, breast cancer survivors in both stratified groups (time since diagnosis <10 years or ≥ 10 years) had significantly higher mean sum scores on the MFI than the reference population.

Table 2. Scores on fatigue amongst breast cancer survivors ($n = 350$) in comparison to a reference population ($n = 350$), measured with the MFI-20 questionnaire.

	Breast Cancer Survivors $n = 350$	Reference Population $n = 350$	Univariate Analyses OR (95%CI) *	Multivariable Analyses ** OR (95%CI)
Multidimensional fatigue				
All women ($n = 350$)				
Sum score >60, n (%)	93 (26.6%)	54 (15.4%)	2.0 (1.4–2.9)	1.7 (1.1–2.6)
Sum score, mean (SD) ****	49.0 (17.9)	42.7 (15.7)		
Time since diagnosis < 10 yrs ($n = 161$)				
Sum score, mean (SD)	47 (29.2%)	22 (13.7%)	2.6 (1.5–4.5)	2.0 (1.0–3.7)
Age: median 61 (IQR 55–67) years	50.9 (19.1)	41.3 (14.6)		
FU: median 7 (IQR 6–8) years				
Time since diagnosis ≥ 10 yrs ($n = 189$)				
Sum score, mean (SD)	46 (24.3%)	32 (16.9%)	1.6 (0.95–2.6)	1.5 (0.8–2.7)
Age: median 65 (IQR 58–69) years	47.3 (16.8)	43.8 (16.5)		
FU: median 14 (IQR 11–17) years				
Severe multidimensional fatigue				
All 5 subtypes scores >12, n (%)	23 (6.6%)	6 (1.7%)	4.0 (1.6–10.1)	3.1 (1.2–8.3)
Fatigue, subtypes				
General fatigue				
Median (25–75%)	11 (7–15)	9 (5–13)		
n (%) >12) ***	142 (40.7%)	89 (25.4%)	2.0 (1.5–2.8)	1.9 (1.4–2.8)
Physical fatigue				
Median (25–75%)	10 (6–14)	10 (6–14)		
n (%) >12)	114 (32.6%)	73 (20.9%)	1.8 (1.3–2.6)	1.6 (1.1–2.3)
Reduced activity				
Median (25–75%)	9 (6–12)	9 (6–12)		
n (%) >12)	84 (24.0%)	49 (14.0%)	1.9 (1.3–2.9)	1.7 (1.1–2.6)
Reduced motivation				
Median (25–75%)	8 (5–11.5)	8 (5–11.5)		
n (%) >12)	62 (17.8%)	41 (11.7%)	1.6 (1.1–2.5)	1.3 (0.8–2.1)
Mental fatigue				
Median (25–75%)	8 (5–13)	8 (5–13)		
n (%) >12)	92 (26.3%)	50 (14.3%)	2.1 (1.5–3.1)	2.0 (1.3–3.1)

* Significant results are in bold. MFI = Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory; IQR = interquartile range; FU = follow-up; BMI = body mass index; LVEF = left ventricle ejection fraction; CVD = cardiovascular diseases; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence intervals. ** Multivariable analysis for breast cancer adjusted for time since diagnosis ≥ 10 years, BMI > 30, LVEF > 54%, CVD ≥ 1 , HADS-D ≥ 8 , HADS-A ≥ 8 . *** Definitions: fatigue, subtype score >12; multidimensional fatigue, overall score >60; severe multidimensional fatigue, all subtypes score >12. **** Tested with the independent-samples t -test, significant $p < 0.05$.

Severe multidimensional fatigue was significantly more prevalent among survivors than in the reference population, (6.6% vs. 1.7%; OR, 4.0 [95%CI, 1.6–10.1]), as was each fatigue subtype: general fatigue (40.7% vs. 25.4%; OR, 2.0 [95%CI, 1.5–2.8]), physical fatigue (32.6% vs. 20.9%; OR, 1.8 [95%CI, 1.3–2.6]), reduced activity (24.0% vs. 14.0%; OR, 1.9 [95%CI, 1.3–2.9]), reduced motivation (17.8% vs. 11.7%; OR, 1.6 [95%CI, 1.1–2.5]), and mental fatigue (26.3% vs. 14.3%; OR, 2.1 [95%CI, 1.5–3.1]). After adjusting for time since diagnosis (≥ 10 years), BMI > 30, systolic cardiac dysfunction, CVD, depression, and anxiety, the odds remained significant for all fatigue subtypes except for reduced motivation.

3.2. Fatigue among Breast Cancer Survivors

As shown in Table 3, symptoms of depression or anxiety were significantly more common among breast cancer survivors with (OR, 17.0 [95%CI, 7.1–40.5]) than without (OR, 6.4 [95%CI, 3.6–11.4]) multidimensional fatigue. These odds remained significant after adjusting for age, time since diagnosis (≥ 10 years), BMI > 30, chemo- and or radiotherapy, hormonal therapy, systolic cardiac dysfunction, CVD, depression, and anxiety. Multidimensional fatigue among breast cancer survivors was not significantly associated with cardiac dysfunction (OR, 1.3 [95%CI, 0.7–2.5]) or CVD (OR, 1.8 [95%CI, 0.97–3.3]). Finally, multidimensional fatigue was experienced by 81.1% of the survivors with symptoms of depression and by 60.0% of those with symptoms of anxiety, with both being considerably higher than the 26.6% (95%CI, 22.0–31.5%) observed among all breast cancer survivors (Table 4). Comparable data were observed for severe multidimensional fatigue and all subtypes of fatigue.

Table 3. Comparison of breast cancer survivors with ($n = 93$) and without ($n = 257$) multidimensional fatigue **.

		Survivors with Multidimensional Fatigue $n = 93$	Survivors without Multidimensional Fatigue $n = 257$	Univariate Analyses	Multivariable Analyses ^a
		n (%)	n (%)	OR (95%CI) *	OR (95%CI)
Age at time of assessment >65 years	No	54 (58.1%)	162 (63.0%)	1	1
	Yes	39 (41.9%)	95 (37.0%)	1.2 (0.8–2.0)	1.2 (0.6–2.2)
Time since diagnosis breast cancer ≥ 10 years	No	47 (50.5%)	114 (44.4%)	1	1
	Yes	46 (49.5%)	143 (55.6%)	0.8 (0.5–1.3)	0.8 (0.5–1.5)
BMI > 30	No	80 (86.0%)	201 (78.2%)	1	1
	Yes	13 (14.0%)	56 (21.8%)	0.6 (0.3–1.1)	0.5 (0.2–1.2)
CT (+/–RT)	No	44 (47.3%)	131 (51.0%)	1	1
	Yes	49 (52.7%)	126 (49.0%)	1.2 (0.7–1.9)	1.0 (0.6–2.0)
Hormonal therapy	No	47 (50.5%)	152 (59.1%)	1	1
	Yes	46 (49.5%)	105 (40.9%)	1.4 (0.9–2.3)	1.3 (0.7–2.4)
LVEF < 54%	No	72 (77.4%)	215 (83.7%)	1	1
	Yes	16 (17.2%)	36 (14.0%)	1.3 (0.7–2.5)	0.9 (0.4–1.9)
CVD ≥ 1	No	73 (78.5%)	223 (86.8%)	1	1
	Yes	18 (19.4%)	34 (13.2%)	1.8 (0.97–3.3)	1.9 (0.9–4.0)
HADS-Depression ≥ 8	No	63 (67.7%)	250 (97.3%)	1	1
	Yes	30 (32.3%)	7 (2.7%)	17.0 (7.1–40.5)	8.9 (3.4–23.5)
HADS-Anxiety ≥ 8	No	54 (58.1%)	231 (89.9%)	1	1
	Yes	39 (41.9%)	26 (10.1%)	6.4 (3.6–11.4)	3.7 (1.9–7.3)

* Significant results are in bold. ^a Adjusted for: age at the time of assessment > 65 years, time since diagnosis ≥ 10 years, BMI > 30, treatment (chemo- and or radiotherapy), hormonal therapy, LVEF > 54%, a diagnosis of CVD, HADS-D ≥ 8 , HADS-A ≥ 8 . BMI = body mass index; RT = radiotherapy; CT = chemotherapy; LVEF = left ventricle ejection fraction; CVD = cardiovascular diseases; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence intervals. ** Definition: multidimensional fatigue, overall score >60.

Table 4. Fatigue among breast cancer survivors ($n = 350$) overall and stratified for women with symptoms of depression ($n = 37$) or anxiety ($n = 65$).

	All Breast Cancer Survivors $n = 350$	HADS-Depression ≥ 8 $n = 37$	HADS-Anxiety ≥ 8 $n = 65$
	n (%; 95%CI's) *	n (%)	n (%)
Multidimensional fatigue	93 (26.6%; 22.0–31.5)	30 (81.1%)	39 (60.0%)
Severe multidimensional fatigue	23 (6.6%; 4.2–9.7)	10 (27.8%)	13 (20.3%)
Fatigue, subtypes			
General fatigue	142 (40.7%; 35.4–45.9%)	30 (83.3%)	45 (70.3%)
Physical fatigue	114 (32.6%; 27.7–37.8)	28 (75.7%)	39 (60.0%)
Mental fatigue	92 (26.3%; 21.7–31.2)	27 (73.0%)	44 (67.7%)
Reduced activity	84 (24.0%; 19.6–28.8)	22 (59.5%)	28 (43.1%)
Reduced motivation	62 (17.8%; 13.9–22.1)	23 (62.2%)	26 (40.0%)

HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; CI = confidence intervals. * Bold if the percentage with fatigue is higher than the upper bound of the 95%CI for the group 'All breast cancer survivors'.

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary

A quarter of the long-term breast cancer survivors in our cohort experienced multidimensional fatigue, and this occurred significantly more often than in a reference population of the same age. After considering the time since diagnosis, survivors experienced more fatigue than the reference population. Multidimensional fatigue among survivors was significantly associated with symptoms of depression and anxiety, but not with cardiac dysfunction or CVD. Indeed, breast cancer survivors with symptoms of depression or anxiety had higher levels of all fatigue subtypes. There is a need for greater awareness of persistent multidimensional fatigue and psychological problems in these women.

4.2. Comparison with Existing Literature

Fatigue is known to be a persistent symptom after treatment for breast cancer [28]. In our study, we additionally confirmed that this fatigue persists for a longer period than has previously been reported. Indeed, after a median follow-up of 10 years, 26.6% of breast cancer survivors experienced multidimensional fatigue, which is consistent with previous estimates from studies with shorter follow-up periods. A meta-analysis of patients with several types of cancer, reported a pooled prevalence of fatigue of 26.9% with a maximum follow-up of 10 years [29]. Studies of breast cancer survivors ≥ 5 years after diagnosis report prevalence rates of 16%–35% [13,30–32]. These estimates are particularly noteworthy given that we included survivors with a median of 10 years' follow-up (IQR 7–14 years), whereas other researchers have included survivors up to 7 years after diagnosis, except for Bower et al., who included patients up to 10 years after diagnosis (mean 6.3 ± 1.0 years). In addition, Cella et al. reported that, ≥ 5 years after diagnosis, 33% of breast cancer survivors experienced at least 2 weeks of fatigue in the month before they were interviewed [28]. The wide range of estimates can be explained by the use of different questionnaires and contrasting time periods from diagnosis.

Overall, we showed that the differences in multidimensional fatigue between breast cancer survivors and controls were statistically significant up to 10 years after diagnosis, supporting the results of studies with different methodologies [33,34]. However, in this study, also breast cancer survivors 10 or more years after diagnosis scored significantly higher on fatigue than the reference population. The relatively older age of our long-term breast cancer survivors may contribute both to their symptom burden and to the persistence of fatigue, similar to the results of a study amongst older breast cancer survivors questioned 3 years after diagnosis [35]. After 10 years of follow-up, however, any differences become less significant because of the increase in the prevalence of fatigue among the reference

population. This finding was also reported in a study comparing cancer patients of different ages with the general population [36].

In the present study, symptoms of depression and anxiety were significantly associated with multidimensional fatigue among long-term breast cancer survivors. Similarly, other researchers have established an association between fatigue, depression, and anxiety in studies of breast cancer survivors over 2 years [37]. Bower et al., for example, found an association between psychological factors and adverse fatigue trajectories up to 6 years after diagnosis [38].

4.3. Strengths and Limitations

A strength of this study is that we performed the comparison with a reference population matched by age and GP. This approach allowed us to separate the effects of breast cancer and its treatment from the effects of ageing over the long study duration. By including a random sample of breast cancer survivors and then enquiring about fatigue, we are also able to provide a more accurate prevalence measure than had we relied on women visiting a clinic. Another strength is that we only included breast cancer survivors at least 5 years after diagnosis, which resulted in a cohort median of 10 years since diagnosis. Although previous studies have reported that fatigue is persistent, none has used such a long follow-up period. Given the increasing number of long-term breast cancer survivors, it is important to know if fatigue persists this long after diagnosis. Measuring fatigue with the MFI-20 was also beneficial because it includes multiple fatigue subtypes, allowing for these to be stratified and for key issues to be identified.

Despite the strengths of our research, it is important to note that using strict cut-offs for the fatigue and severe fatigue subtypes may have resulted in an underestimation of the true prevalence [39]. Another limitation is that GPs may have coded inconsistently, which could have led to cases of CVD being missed and an underestimation of CVD diagnoses. By matching survivors and controls by the same GP, we anticipate that the impact on the comparison should be minimized. However, absolute numbers could have been underestimated and resulted in an underpowered analysis of the association with multidimensional fatigue. In addition, we did not adjust for all known determinants for fatigue [29,40]. We also measured cardiac function by echocardiography rather than the gold standard of cardiovascular MRI [41], although this should be tempered with knowledge that measuring the ejection fraction by Simpson's 2D echo has been shown to produce comparable results [42]. Finally, the cross-sectional design precludes any comment on the course and causality related to fatigue among breast cancer survivors.

4.4. Implications for Research and/or Practice

Of note, approximately a quarter of breast cancer survivors still experienced multidimensional fatigue after more than 10 years of follow-up. However, because more women in the reference population also experienced multidimensional fatigue as women aged, the statistical significance of the difference was lost over time. Future research should explore this finding and the course of fatigue in a longitudinal study.

Regarding the clinical implications, our findings indicate that GPs should be vigilant for long-term (multidimensional) fatigue. Other research has shown that physical activity and exercise improve cancer-related fatigue, depression, and overall quality of life [43]. If fatigue is related to depression or anxiety, these could be modifiable factors that could improve fatigue if targeted appropriately. Fortunately, treatment options for depression and anxiety have proven to be as effective in cancer survivors as in the general population [44]; for example, cognitive behavioral therapy has been proven effective in breast cancer survivors [45]. It was also evident that depression and anxiety were associated with reduced motivation among breast cancer survivors, possibly implying that survivors with fatigue and reduced motivation may have depression or anxiety, or that stimulating motivation may be a necessary first step towards improvement.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/13/6/1301/s1>, Supplementary Data S1. International Classification of Primary Care Codes for Cardiovascular Diseases.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.W.M.C.M., L.M.B., G.H.d.B. and A.J.B.; methodology, S.W.M.C.M., D.B., P.F.M.V., G.H.d.B. and A.J.B.; software, L.M.B.; validation, S.W.M.C.M., D.B., L.M.B., G.H.d.B. and A.J.B.; formal analysis, S.W.M.C.M., D.B.; investigation, S.W.M.C.M., L.M.B.; resources, A.J.B.; data curation, S.W.M.C.M., L.M.B. and A.J.B.; writing—original draft preparation, S.W.M.C.M., D.B., P.F.M.V., G.H.d.B. and A.J.B.; writing—review and editing, S.W.M.C.M., D.B., L.M.B., P.F.M.V., G.H.d.B. and A.J.B.; visualization, S.W.M.C.M.; supervision, P.F.M.V., G.H.d.B. and A.J.B.; project administration, S.W.M.C.M., L.M.B. and A.J.B.; funding acquisition, L.M.B., G.H.d.B. and A.J.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by unrestricted grants from Pink Ribbon (grant no. 2011.WO18.C118), Stichting de Friesland (“BLOC-studie” DS 20), the University of Groningen, and the UMCG.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the medical ethics committee of the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG) (METc 2012.323, 28 February 2013).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The anonymized data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank all the women and GPs who participated. We also want to thank the medical students who helped to obtain the data.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ferlay, J.; Colombet, M.; Soerjomataram, I.; Mathers, C.; Parkin, D.; Piñeros, M.; Znaor, A.; Bray, F. Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. *Int. J. Cancer* **2019**, *144*, 1941–1953. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Bray, F.; Møller, B. Predicting the future burden of cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2006**, *6*, 63. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Bray, F.; McCarron, P.; Parkin, D.M. The changing global patterns of female breast cancer incidence and mortality. *Breast Cancer Res.* **2004**, *6*, 229–239. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Mols, F.; Vingerhoets, A.J.; Coebergh, J.W.; van de Poll-Franse, L.V. Quality of life among long-term breast cancer survivors: A systematic review. *Eur. J. Cancer* **2005**, *41*, 2613–2619. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Minton, O.; Stone, P. How common is fatigue in disease-free breast cancer survivors? A systematic review of the literature. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2008**, *112*, 5–13. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Saligan, L.N.; Olson, K.; Filler, K.; Larkin, D.; Cramp, F.; Sriram, Y.; Escalante, C.P.; Del Giglio, A.; Kober, K.M.; Kamath, J. The biology of cancer-related fatigue: A review of the literature. *Supportive Care Cancer* **2015**, *23*, 2461–2478. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. de Ligt, K.; Heins, M.; Verloop, J.; Ezendam, N.; Smorenburg, C.; Korevaar, J.; Siesling, S. The impact of health symptoms on health-related quality of life in early-stage breast cancer survivors. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2019**, *178*, 703–711. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Curt, G.A.; Breitbart, W.; Cella, D.; Groopman, J.E.; Horning, S.J.; Itri, L.M.; Johnson, D.H.; Miaskowski, C.; Scherr, S.L.; Portenoy, R.K.; et al. of cancer-related fatigue on the lives of patients: New findings from the Fatigue Coalition. *Oncologist* **2000**, *5*, 353–360. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Janssens, K.A.; Zijlema, W.L.; Joustra, M.L.; Rosmalen, J.G. Mood and Anxiety Disorders in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, Fibromyalgia, and Irritable Bowel Syndrome: Results from the LifeLines Cohort Study. *Psychosom. Med.* **2015**, *77*, 449–457. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Bartels, M.N. Fatigue in cardiopulmonary disease. *Phys. Med. Rehabil. Clin. N. Am.* **2009**, *20*, 389–404. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Portenoy, R.K.; Itri, L.M. Cancer-related fatigue: Guidelines for evaluation and management. *Oncologist* **1999**, *4*, 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Ganz, P.A.; Desmond, K.A.; Leedham, B.; Rowland, J.H.; Meyerowitz, B.E.; Belin, T.R. Quality of life in long-term, disease-free survivors of breast cancer: A follow-up study. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **2002**, *94*, 39–49. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Goldstein, D.; Bennett, B.K.; Webber, K.; Boyle, F.; de Souza, P.L.; Wilcken, N.R.; Scott, E.M.; Toppler, R.; Murie, P.; O’Malley, L.; et al. Cancer-related fatigue in women with breast cancer: Outcomes of a 5-year prospective cohort study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2012**, *30*, 1805–1812. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Helgeson, V.S.; Tomich, P.L. Surviving cancer: A comparison of 5-year disease-free breast cancer survivors with healthy women. *Psychooncology* **2005**, *14*, 307–317. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Servaes, P.; Gielissen, M.F.; Verhagen, S.; Bleijenberg, G. The course of severe fatigue in disease-free breast cancer patients: A longitudinal study. *Psychooncology* **2007**, *16*, 787–795. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Andrykowski, M.A.; Curran, S.L.; Lightner, R. Off-treatment fatigue in breast cancer survivors: A controlled comparison. *J. Behav. Med.* **1998**, *21*, 1–18. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

17. Engberg, I.; Segerstedt, J.; Waller, G.; Wennberg, P.; Eliasson, M. Fatigue in the general population—associations to age, sex, socioeconomic status, physical activity, sitting time and self-rated health: The northern Sweden MONICA study 2014. *BMC Public Health* **2017**, *17*, 1–9. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Galland-Decker, C.; Marques-Vidal, P.; Vollenweider, P. Prevalence and factors associated with fatigue in the Lausanne middle-aged population: A population-based, cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open* **2019**, *9*, e027070. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Lamberts, H.; Wood, M. *ICPC, International Classification of Primary Care*; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 1987.
20. Anonymous. *WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology (1999) ATC Index with DDS*; World Health Organisation: Oslo, Norway, 1999.
21. Boerman, L.M.; Maass, S.W.M.C.; van der Meer, P.; Gietema, J.A.; Maduro, J.H.; Hummel, Y.M.; Berger, M.Y.; de Bock, G.H.; Berendsen, A.J. Long-term outcome of cardiac function in a population-based cohort of breast cancer survivors: A cross-sectional study. *Eur. J. Cancer* **2017**, *81*, 56–65. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Maass, S.; Boerman, L.; Verhaak, P.; Du, J.; de Bock, G.; Berendsen, A. Long-term psychological distress in breast cancer survivors and their matched controls: A cross-sectional study. *Maturitas* **2019**, *130*, 6–12. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Smets, E.; Garssen, B.; Cull, A.; De Haes, J. Application of the multidimensional fatigue inventory (MFI-20) in cancer patients receiving radiotherapy. *Br. J. Cancer* **1996**, *73*, 241. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Schwarz, R.; Krauss, O.; Hinz, A. Fatigue in the general population. *Onkologie* **2003**, *26*, 140–144. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Tian, J.; Hong, J. Application of the Chinese version of the MFI-20 in detecting the severe fatigue in cancer patients. *Supportive Care Cancer* **2013**, *21*, 2217–2223. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Lang, R.M.; Badano, L.P.; Mor-Avi, V.; Afilalo, J.; Armstrong, A.; Ernande, L.; Flachskampf, F.A.; Foster, E.; Goldstein, S.A.; Kuznetsova, T.; et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: An update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J. Am. Soc. Echocardiogr.* **2015**, *28*, 1–39.e14. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Bjelland, I.; Dahl, A.A.; Haug, T.T.; Neckelmann, D. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: An updated literature review. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **2002**, *52*, 69–77. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Cella, D.; Davis, K.; Breitbart, W.; Curt, G. Fatigue Coalition Cancer-related fatigue: Prevalence of proposed diagnostic criteria in a United States sample of cancer survivors. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2001**, *19*, 3385–3391. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Abrahams, H.; Gielissen, M.; Schmits, I.; Verhagen, C.; Rovers, M.; Knoop, H. Risk factors, prevalence, and course of severe fatigue after breast cancer treatment: A meta-analysis involving 12 327 breast cancer survivors. *Ann. Oncol.* **2016**, *27*, 965–974. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Bower, J.E.; Ganz, P.A.; Desmond, K.A.; Bernards, C.; Rowland, J.H.; Meyerowitz, B.E.; Belin, T.R. Fatigue in long-term breast carcinoma survivors: A longitudinal investigation. *Cancer* **2006**, *106*, 751–758. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Reinertsen, K.V.; Cvancarova, M.; Loge, J.H.; Edvardsen, H.; Wist, E.; Fosså, S.D. Predictors and course of chronic fatigue in long-term breast cancer survivors. *J. Cancer Surviv.* **2010**, *4*, 405–414. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Schmitz, K.H.; Speck, R.M.; Rye, S.A.; DiSipio, T.; Hayes, S.C. Prevalence of breast cancer treatment sequelae over 6 years of follow-up: The Pulling Through Study. *Cancer* **2012**, *118*, 2217–2225. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Doege, D.; Thong, M.S.; Koch-Gallenkamp, L.; Bertram, H.; Eberle, A.; Holleczeck, B.; Pritzkeleit, R.; Waldeyer-Sauerland, M.; Waldmann, A.; Zeissig, S.R. Health-related quality of life in long-term disease-free breast cancer survivors versus female population controls in Germany. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2019**, *175*, 499–510. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Klein, D.; Mercier, M.; Abeillard, E.; Puyraveau, M.; Danzon, A.; Dalstein, V.; Pozet, A.; Guizard, A.; Henry-Amar, M.; Velten, M. Long-term quality of life after breast cancer: A French registry-based controlled study. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2011**, *129*, 125–134. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Mandelblatt, J.S.; Zhai, W.; Ahn, J.; Small, B.J.; Ahles, T.A.; Carroll, J.E.; Denduluri, N.; Dilawari, A.; Extermann, M.; Graham, D. Symptom burden among older breast cancer survivors: The Thinking and Living With Cancer (TLC) study. *Cancer* **2019**, *126*, 1183–1192. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Hinz, A.; Weis, J.; Brähler, E.; Härter, M.; Geue, K.; Ernst, J. Fatigue in cancer patients: Comparison with the general population and prognostic factors. *Supportive Care Cancer* **2020**, *28*, 4517–4526. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Hagen, K.B.; Aas, T.; Kvaløy, J.T.; Eriksen, H.R.; Søyland, H.; Lind, R. Fatigue, anxiety and depression overrule the role of oncological treatment in predicting self-reported health complaints in women with breast cancer compared to healthy controls. *Breast* **2016**, *28*, 100–106. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Bower, J.E.; Wiley, J.; Petersen, L.; Irwin, M.R.; Cole, S.W.; Ganz, P.A. Fatigue after breast cancer treatment: Biobehavioral predictors of fatigue trajectories. *Health Psychol.* **2018**, *37*, 1025. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Lin, J.S.; Brimmer, D.J.; Maloney, E.M.; Nyarko, E.; BeLue, R.; Reeves, W.C. Further validation of the Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory in a US adult population sample. *Popul. Health Metr.* **2009**, *7*, 18. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. Bower, J.E. Cancer-related fatigue—Mechanisms, risk factors, and treatments. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2014**, *11*, 597. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Marcu, C.B.; Beek, A.M.; van Rossum, A.C. Clinical applications of cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *CMAJ* **2006**, *175*, 911–917. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Bellenger, N.G.; Burgess, M.I.; Ray, S.G.; Lahiri, A.; Coats, A.J.; Cleland, J.G.; Pennell, D.J. Comparison of left ventricular ejection fraction and volumes in heart failure by echocardiography, radionuclide ventriculography and cardiovascular magnetic resonance. Are they interchangeable? *Eur. Heart J.* **2000**, *21*, 1387–1396. [[CrossRef](#)]

43. Meneses-Echávez, J.F.; González-Jiménez, E.; Ramírez-Vélez, R. Effects of supervised exercise on cancer-related fatigue in breast cancer survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* **2015**, *15*, 77. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Mitchell, A.J.; Chan, M.; Bhatti, H.; Halton, M.; Grassi, L.; Johansen, C.; Meader, N. Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and adjustment disorder in oncological, haematological, and palliative-care settings: A meta-analysis of 94 interview-based studies. *Lancet Oncol.* **2011**, *12*, 160–174. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Sun, H.; Huang, H.; Ji, S.; Chen, X.; Xu, Y.; Zhu, F.; Wu, J. The efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy to treat depression and anxiety and improve quality of life among early-stage breast cancer patients. *Integr. Cancer Ther.* **2019**, *18*, 1534735419829573. [[CrossRef](#)]