# UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND JOHANNESBURG

SCHOOL OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

AN INVESTIGATION INTO PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF TRANSPIRATION FLOWS

M KRIEG

A dissertation presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Engineering

MARCH 1978

To my Mother and Father ..... and Summertime

# DECLARATION

I, MARK HANS ROBERT FRIEDRICH KRIEG, hereby declare that the dissertation is my own work and has not been submitted by me for a degree at any other University.

Whilef

M.H.R.F. KRIEG

## ABSTRACT

It was felt that by attaching a porous matrix to a rigid base with metering holes or slots, these materials would become more viable in transpiration cooling. Such an alteration was expected to affect the mean velocity profile of the injected turbulent boundary layer. This, and the more basic study of turbulent transport, required attention. An investigation of the literature showed that although a great deal of work had been done, there was little to compare with the present problem.

A wind tunnel was designed and constructed which produced a 2-D incompressible turbulent shear layer on a porous plate, through which a secondary stream was injected. A flow situation with a step change in injection was thus modelled. A main stream velocity of 21 m/s (corresponding to  $Re_{\pi} = 1,178 \times 10^{6}$ ), and an average injection ratio  $(v_{w}/v_{\infty})$  of 0,017, was attained. Results indicated that blockage below the porous surface resulted in an apparently higher injection ratio for the same total flow rate.

To further the understanding of turbulent transport, a programme was written which solved the momentum equation. The eddy-viscosity formulation suggested by Cebeci was tested in this solution. It could be used to calculate the velocity profiles, with varying injection and pressure gradients, of either laminar or turbulent external boundary layers.

A numerically calculated profile and the experimental results of another researcher compared very well. The trend for the 'transition' region from flat plate turbulent flow to the injected turbulent boundary layer was established experimentally, and reflected in the computer

iν

generated profiles. Exact comparison was not possible, owing to lack of time to rewrite part of the programme.

Experimental results were cross-plotted, and this indicated the possibility of a short-cut method for deriving velocity profiles with injection. Skin friction was not measured in the tests, and was found to be very inadequately documented in previous work. This must be a very important aspect of the injected turbulent shear layer, and requires further work.

A preliminary investigation of the flow in the porous matrix, especially the spread of an axis mmetric jet, was done. Initially experiment was expected to precede analysis, and a rig was built for future research.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to the following :-

- \* Mis B.A. Rotteveel, whose work guided me to this project, and who assisted me in the initial planning and analytical stage.
- \* Professor B.W. Skews, for his invaluable suggestions, encouragement and patience, and without whom this project could not have been completed.
- \* Dr D. van der Merwe, for his interest, and the loan of the spectrum analyser.
- \* Mr E.A. Moss, who assisted in the understanding of turbulent flows.
- \* Mr F.A.S. Bezuidenhout and the staff of the Mechanical Engineering Laboratory, who constructed the wind tunnel.
- \* Mr D. Hutson, formerly of DCE Vokes, for arranging the loan of a fan.
- \* Mr J. de Jager, for his helpful suggestions in computer programming.
- \* Jenny Marcus, for typing this dissertation.

Financial support was received in the form of :-A University of the Witwatersrand Senior Bursary A C.S.I.R. bursary An Adolph Wagner scholarship.

N.

Page

# COMTENTS

210

	Ŭ
DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
CONTENTS	vii
NOTATION	x

## CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	OBJECT	3
3	REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON THE TURBULENT BOUNDARY LAYER WITH INJECTION	
3.1	Introduction	5
3.2	Experimental Work	6
3.3	Analytical Developments	8
4	A THEORETICAL APPROACH TO THE TURBULENT BOUNDARY LAYER WITH TRANSPIRATION	
4.1	Introduction	18
4.2	The Continuity and Momentum Equations	20
4.3	Formulation for the Reynolds Stress	20
4.4	Eddy Viscosity Formulation for the Fully Turbulent Region	22
4.5	Boundary Conditions	24
4.6	The Transformed Momentum and Related Equations : A Summary	2 5
5	COMPUTER SOLUTION OF THE MOMENTUM EQUATION	
5.1	Introduction	26
5.2	Linearisation of the Momentum Equation	26
5.3	The Finite Difference Grid	27

viii

5.4	The Momentum Equation in Finite Difference Form	28
5.5	The Finite Difference Molecule	32
5.6	Flow Chart	34
5.7	Discussion of Various Features of the Programme	35
6	EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE	
6.1	Introduction	42
6.2	The Wind Tunnel	42
6.3	Hot Wire Anemometry	48
6.4	The Energy Spectrum	49
6.5	Experimental Procedure	50
7	PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	
97 J	Introduction	52
7.1	Initial Tests	53
7 2	Experimental Work	61
7.4	Computer Programme Results	98
8	CONCLUSIONS	117
9	SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER WORK	118
APPEN	IDIX	
A T	Transformation of the Momentum Equation	120
A1 A2	The Finite Difference Approximations	122
AZ	Flow in Porous Media	127
A A	Tests on the Porcus Matrix	131
<u>N4</u>		
B1	Design of the Wind Tunnel, Fan and Contraction	137
B2	The Working Section	142
B 3	Engineering Drawing	142
C1	Calibration of the Orifice Plate	144
C 2	Calibration of Thermocouple	144

Page

C 3	The Calibration of the Hot Wire	145
C 4	Temperature Correction for the Anemometer Output	146
C5	Correction for Proximity of the Wall	147
D1	The Experimental Results	148
E1	Accuracy of Experimental Equipment	174
F1	Some Details Pertaining to the Programme	177
F2	Initial Computer Runs	178
F3	Results of Main Computer Runs	182
F4	Input Data for the Programme	186
LIST	OF REFERENCES	198

īх

LIST OF REFERENCES

Page

# ΝΟΤΑΤΙΟΝ

## ROMAN

a	Pipe diameter
A	Van Driest damping length
A <sup>+</sup>	Van Driest damping constant
[A]	<b>Coefficient</b> matrix in $[A]{X} = {B}$
{ <i>B</i> }	Vector of constants
C <sub>f</sub>	Skin friction coefficient
d	Orifice diameter
D	Pipe diameter
D	Particle diameter
E	Voltage drop across hot-wire
Ec	Voltage drop across hot-wire for zero velocity
En	Voltage drop, corrected for temperature
E <sub>1</sub> (n)	Contribution to energy of fluctuation for frequency n + dn
£	Force vector (per unit volume)
f	Dimensionless stream function, general function
F	Local injection ratio, $v_w/v_{\infty}$
Fau	Average injection ratio, $v_w^{U_{Cl}}$
g	General function
G	Main stream mass flux, $G = 0^{-U}$
ħ	First step in n grid
Н	Shape factor
k	Roughness height
k <sup>+</sup>	Non-dimensionalised roughness height, $k^* = ku^* / v$

x

K	Ratio of adjacent n intervals
2	Mixing length
L	Length scale, porous matrix thickness in direction of flow
m "	Injection mass flux
n	Frequency
N-4	Number of equations in system $[A]{X} = {B}$
р	Pressure
<i>p</i> <sup>+</sup>	Pressure gradient term, - $dp/dx \cdot v/\rho(u_w^*)^3$
ReI	Reynolds number, $Re_{L} = U_{\infty}L/v$
t,	Temperature
T	Turbulence intensity
24	Axial velocity, $v^+ = u/u_{10}^*$
<sup>າ</sup> ພ <sup>*</sup> , <sup>u</sup> τ	Wall shear velocity
v	Velocity vector
υ	Velocity normal to wall
υω	Injection velocity, $v_{\omega}^{+} = v_{\omega}^{-}/u_{\omega}^{*}$
x	Co-ordinate parallel to flow
{ <i>x</i> }	Vector of unknowns
XTR	Start of portius plate, measured from origin
у	Co-ordinate normal to flow, $y^* = y u_w^* / v$

# <u>GREEK</u>

α	Constant in outer eddy-viscosity formulation
β	Pressure gradient parameter, $P = (2t) \cdot (du / dt) / u_c$
Ŷ	Intermittency term
δ	Boundary layer thickness

xi

	\$ *	Displacement thickness
	Δ	Differential, e.g. $\Delta p = p_2 - p_1$
	ε	Eddy viscosity, $\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon/v$
	η	Transformed y-co-ordinate
	θ	Momentum thickness
	к	Universal constant in logarithmic portion of turbulent profile
	μ	Dynamic viscosity
	ν	Kinematic viscosity
	ξ	Transformed x-co-ordinate
	ρ	Density
	τ	Shear stress
	φ	Translated stream function
1	ψ	Stream function

# SUBSCRIPTS

CL	Centre line at Working Section inlet
е	Edge of boundary layer
i	Inner region
0	Outer region, unblown condition
8	Static, laminar sub-layer
ω	Wall condition
-	Vector
-	Second order tensor

xii

# SUPERSCRIPTS

+	Non-dimensional
÷	Derivative with respect to n, fluctuating quantity
-	Integral averaged quantity (time based unless otherwise stated)

# MATHEMATICAL OPERATORS

grad, V	$(\delta/\delta x$	8/84	δ/δz)	
div v,	∇.υ δu/	δx +	$\delta v / \delta y + \delta w / \delta z$	
∇ <sup>2</sup>	$\delta^2/\delta x^2$	+ 5 <sup>2</sup>	$/\delta y^2 + \delta^2 / \delta z^2$	

## CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

The need for cooling engineering components becomes necessary when the components are in a high temperature environment. This problem frequently arises in flow situations. Important examples are :- turbine blades and combustion chambers in jet engines, exposed surfaces of supersonic vehicles such as aircraft, missiles and space craft. A further example is the lining of nuclear reactors. At present, turbine vanes and blades are film cooled by blowing cool air through discrete holes near the leading and trailing edge of the blades. This is a very important application, because the efficiency of the engine increases with cycle temperature.

- 1 -

An improvement in efficiency can have a dramatic effect in increasing the range of aircraft. Suciu (1970) showed that an aircraft with constant gross weight can transport a payload some 40% further if the cycle turbine inlet temperature is increased from 950°C to 1260°C. Metallurgical developments have resulted in much higher allowable metal temperatures, and film cooling has also made a significant contributior.

The coolant which is injected through the porous surface will certainly affect the boundary layer, skin friction, aerodynamic characteristics of an aerofoil (lift, drag) as well as the heat transfer coefficient. It was therefore decided that the mass transfer problem had to be investigated first. A great deal of work, both experimental and semi-analytic, has been done in this field. Unfortunately, because of the magnitude of the problem, and the large number of variables, tests have not been very systematic. Considerable thought was devoted to film cooling, and the possibility of using porous materials for the manufacture of turbine blades. As a result, an experimental research programme was embarked on.

In particular, a turbulent boundary layer was established on a smooth flat plate before it reached a porous plate, where the flow encountered injection. A computer programme was written to simulate this situation. A deeper understanding of turbulent flow thus became possible, which is necessary not only for the abovementioned applications. In the design of air intake ducts for aircraft, turbulence and intermittency must be accounted for to ensure the required flow rate. Further applications are boundary layer control on aircraft wings, using suction or injection, and hence drag reduction.

The work of various authors is discussed. It was found that there were fundamental differences between this work and that of other researchers. This made comparison very difficult. A new approach to the use of porous materials in the manufacture of turbine blades is shown. A stable computational technique was developed and the existence of a new two-parameter family of curves for the injected turbulent boundary layer is presented.

## CHAPTER 2

#### OBJECT

Investigation of existing turbine blades in aircraft gas turbines, e.g. Pratt and Whitney JT9, General Electric CF6 and Rolls Royce RB211, showed that film cooling has become an integral part in the design of first stage stator vanes and rotor blades. Impingement cooling is used in the second stage. Clearly, the technology for manufacturing these blades with internal ducting is fully developed. Welding a porous envelope onto a blade was suggested by Grootenhuis (1959).

Because the pressure distribution on an aerofoil would result in minimum transpiration at the leading edge, a complete envelope would be unsatisfactory. This could be overcome by having porous inserts at the leading edge and mid-chord positions. A configuration of solid wall, followed by porous wall thus arose. Furthermore, the porous wall is notoriously weak, and would require to be bonded to a solid wall at the inner surface to maintain the aerodynamic loads.



FIG 2.1 : CROSS SECTION OF BLADE WITH POROUS INSERTS

- 3 -

Main stream

Transpiration flow

# Fig 2.2 : BOUNDARY LAYER WITH STEP CHANGE IN ROUGHNESS AND INJECTION

It may be expected that the heit transfer from the metal to the coolant would a simplified because the surface area is greatly increased in the perous medium. Furthermore, the webs between discrete helps in film cooling are very thin and hen e promition within combustion.

A great deal of research has seen done in the field of transpiration cooling. Howe r, the effect of a short porous insert with blockage below the porous matrix still remained to be explored.

was designed. This coalled 'sts on a flat plate in 2-b flow to be carried out. A of data for uniform blowing was to be established, with which results for non-uniform injection could be compared.

The first stage was to consider only the effect of sudden mass transfer into an tablished turbulent boundary laver, without heat transfer.

The spread of the jet of coolant from the restricted inner porous surface to the open exposed surface clearly is an important consideration.

## CHAPTER 3

# REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON THE TURBULENT BOUNDARY LAYER WITH INJECTION

#### 3.1 Introduction

One of the earliest papers to appear on transpiration cooling was published by Duwez and Wheeler (1948). The authors concentrated on a porous tube with high temperature axial flow and cold air injection through the walls. Their results compared well with that of Krieg (1975). In 1956 Mickley and Davis followed with an extensive experimental investigation which is still used for qualifying rigs, and for comparison with analytical or computer profiles. Chemical engineers have shown great interest in fluidized beds, ie. the flow inside a porous matrix. This latter line of investigation was considered relevant to the present work (see Appendix A3). The research may be categorised as follows :

- (i) Fluidized beds;
- (ii) Turbulent boundary layers with suction or injection at the wall :
  - a) in channels
  - b) in tubes
  - c) on a flat plate.

Each of these may be further subdivided into experimental, analytic and numerical results, with or without heat transfer, and into compressible or incompressible flow.

Note that injection through discrete holes or slots has enjoyed much attention (e.g. Hartnett *et al* 1961), but is not reported on here.

### 3.2 Experimental Work

As mentioned above, the first thorough experimental investigation was that of Mickley and Davis (1956). Free stream velocities ranged from 5,2 m/s to 18,3 m/s, Re from 4 x 10<sup>4</sup> to 3 x 10<sup>6</sup> and v./ U values of 0; 0,001; 0,002; 0,003; 0,005 and 0,010. They found that the boundary layer decreased in thickness near the outlet of the tunnel. This latter problem was overcome by adding a flow divider to the outlet of the tunnel. The estimated values of  $\sigma_{f}/2$  were shown plotted against Re. Where eftended to 0 or to negative values, the results were not reported. This occurred at values of  $F = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  and above. Negative  $\sigma_f$  would indicate reverse flow, however, as will be discussed later, this need not be the case. Up to  $F = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ , the values of  $\sigma_f$  compare with those predicted by McQuaid (1967), if these curves are extrapolated. Their plot of  $u^{\dagger}$  vs log  $y^{\dagger}$  fitted the universal turbulent boundary layer profile acceptably. Graphs of  $u/U_{\infty}$ vs  $y/\delta$  were shown for F = 0,003 and = 0,005. Io predict these profiles, it was necessary to allow  $\kappa$ , the mixing length constant, to vary. K increased with r. The trend today is to assume  $\kappa$  constant, and to introduce a function in  $v_{ij}$ .

Grootenhuis (1959) concentrated on heat transfer, as did most early investigators. Appart from the experimental results and correlation, this paper points out numercus applications of effusion cooling, discusses types of porous materials, shows thermal conductivity vs porosity for sintered bronze and stainless steel, and the internal heat transfer correlation for porous bronze. A list is given of manufacturers of porous materials, both sintered and woven, the various grades, their porosity and U.T.S. Blade designs were suggested, but required a complete porous envelope, spot welded to a hollow stem (see Hig 3.1).

- 6 -



# FIG 3.1 : PROPOSED DESIGN FOR A POROUS TUPPINE BLADE OF VANE

Their research was to establish a basis of experimental data for skip friction and left transfer. Reynolds number, blowing fraction and Stanton number were viried systematically on a flat, porous plate. This floor cas supported on 24 plenum chambers which ills of F to be createdled as a function of F. Thetric heat is were installed below the porous plates, so that the transpiration flow could be heated. This unfortunately is sults, for an injected boundary layer, in an incorrect temperature profile. Results were presented for Stanton number virying with blowing fraction; these values showed good correlation.

The second part of this work and reported by Simpson *et al* (1969). Skin friction results were presented for  $v_{ii}$  constant,  $v_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}$ 

blowing fractions above 0,0078. Indications were that for  $b[-\dot{m}''/G(c_{fo}^{\prime}/2)]$  greater than 4,  $c_{f}$  tended to zero. Surface roughness was not included in the skin friction calculations.

Schetz and Nerney (1977) performed tests on an axisymmetric model. A skin friction balance was built into the porous wall : the floating element had its own transpiration supply. A crystal strain gauge was attached to the floating element support. The velocity profiles were obtained with a pitot rake. It was mentioned that the effect of roughness should first be ascertained for the porous wall without injection or suction. Note that the floating element is one of the more attractive techniques for measuring skin friction. Once true values are established, semi-analytic methods may be tested against these. It was found that blowing through the porous floating element made no difference to the results. The porous wall without injection showed significantly higher values of  $\sigma_c$  than the equivalent smooth wall. The roughness increased the turbulence intensity in the boundary layer. With the new skin friction results, the laws of the wall, one by Simpson, one by Stevenson, could be tested. It was shown clearly that these laws are inadequate. The "deductive" approach of Van Driest (1956) could not be extended as had been suggested, but a new formulation using the Reichardt model  $\{\rho \in \kappa \rho v[y^+ - y^+, \tanh(y^-/y^+_z)\}\}$  was presented. This new approach should yield significantly better results.

#### 3.3 Analytical Developments

Before commencing this subsection, it should be noted that turbulent flow is of such a random nature that any analysis must contain empirical or experimentally determined constants. When considering quantities such as velocity, a time based average value is implied.

- R --

Mickley and Davis (1956) concentrated on experimental work, and the determination of  $c_f$ . This was done by evaluating  $d\theta/dx$ , and solving for  $c_f$  from :

 $\frac{d\theta}{dx} = \frac{v_{w}}{v_{w}} + \left(2 + \frac{\delta}{\theta}\right) \frac{\theta}{v_{w}} \frac{dv_{w}}{dx} = \frac{T_{w}}{p_{w}v_{w}} = \frac{c_{x}}{2} \qquad \dots \qquad [3.3.1(a)]$ 

For neglible pressure gradient, this reduces to :-

The difficulty unfortunately lies in determining

The remainder of the analysis attempted to extend the mixing length theory for flow with  $v_{ij} \neq 0$ . Reasonable correlation was obtained up to F = 0,005, but  $\kappa$  was no longer constant, but increased with F. To plot experimental data non-dimensionalized with respect to the this quantity is calculated from semi-analytic considerations, seems very unsatisfactory. Only if  $\tau_{ij}$  is measured directly can an estimate of the reliability of the analysis be made.

It was assumed that there was only a laminar sublayer and a turbulent region (ie. no buffer layer), with a transition point at  $y_a$ . As do most researchers, they assumed the predominance of the  $v_w \delta u / \delta y$  term in the equation

 $u\frac{\delta u}{\delta x} + v\frac{\delta v}{\delta y} = \frac{1}{\rho}(\frac{\delta \tau}{y} - \frac{1}{dx}) \qquad \dots \qquad [3.3.2]$ 

For an incompressible layer, the following expressions were obtained :

Sublayer  $\frac{u_{T}}{v} = 2\frac{u_{T}}{v_{w}} \ln(1 + \frac{u_{w}}{u_{T}})$  ..... [3.3.3] Turbulent region  $\ln \frac{u_{T}y}{v} - \ln \frac{u_{T}ya}{v} = 2\kappa \frac{u_{T}}{v_{w}} \left[ (1 + \frac{u_{w}}{u_{T}})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (1 + \frac{v_{w}ua}{u_{T}^{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$  .... [3.3.4] Black and Sarnecki (1958) approached the problem in a very similar manner. The mixing length was considered proportional to distance from the wall,

Assuming incompressible, two dimensional flow, Prandtl's boundary layer equation [3.3.2] above, again forms the foundation of the analysis, with  $v_{w}\delta u/\delta y$  a dominant term.

The continuity equation is  $\frac{\delta u}{\delta x} + \frac{\delta v}{\delta y} = 0$  ..... [3.3.6] and the shear is  $\tau/\rho = v \frac{\delta u}{\delta y} - \overline{u^* v^*}$  ...... [3.3.7] with boundary conditions u = 0,  $v = v a^* y = 0$  [3.3.8]

Close to the wall, with dp/dx > 0, equation [3.3.2] reduces to

$$v_{\omega} \quad \frac{\delta u}{\delta y} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\delta \tau}{\delta y} \qquad \dots \qquad [3.3.9]$$

[3.3.9] was integrated, to yield :

$$v_{\omega}u = \frac{\tau - \tau_{\omega}}{\rho} \qquad \cdots \qquad [3.3.10]$$

and using the definition  $\frac{\omega}{\rho} = u^2$  ..... [3.3.1]

[3.3.12] was obtained :-

$$u_{\tau}^{2} + v_{\omega}^{2} = \tau/\rho$$
 ..... [3.3.12]

The momentum integral equation for the transpired boundary layer is thus :

$$\frac{d}{dx} (U_{\infty}^{2} \theta) + \frac{du}{dx} U_{\alpha}^{*} = \frac{T_{x}}{n} + u_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \qquad \dots \dots \quad [3.3.13]$$

Using the vorticity transfer theory of G.I. Taylor with  $l = v_1$  resulted in an expression which, for  $v_1 = 0$ , did not reduce to the generally accepted law for flat plate flow. Prandtl's momentum transfer theory in conjuntion with a linear mixing length yielded what Black and Sarnecki (1958) called the bilogarithmic law. This referred to the squared logarithmic term in their expression, derived as follows :

Substitute the momentum transfer formulation,

 $\frac{1}{\rho} = \kappa^2 y^2 \left(\frac{\delta u}{\delta y}\right)^2 \qquad \dots \qquad [3.3.14]$ into [3.3.12] :  $u_{\tau}^2 + v_{tb} u = \kappa^2 y^2 \left(\frac{\delta u}{\delta y}\right)^2 \qquad \dots \qquad [3.3.15]$ From [3.3.9],  $\frac{\delta u}{\delta y} = \frac{1}{\rho v_{tb}} \frac{\delta \tau}{\delta y} = \frac{1}{v_{tb}} \frac{\delta (\tau / \rho)}{\delta y}$   $u_{\tau}^2 + v_{tb} u = \kappa^2 \left(\frac{y}{v_{tb}} + \frac{k (u_{\tau} - u_{tb} v_{tb})}{\delta v_{tb}}\right)^2 \qquad \dots \qquad [3.3.16]$   $(u_{\tau}^2 + v_{tb} u) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{v_{tb}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\delta (u_{tb} - u_{tb} + v_{tb})}{\delta (1 h v_{tb})}\right) \qquad \dots \qquad [3.3.17]$ This integrates to :

$$u_{\tau}^{2} + v_{\omega}u = (\frac{v_{\omega}}{2\kappa} \ln y/d)^{2}$$
 ..... [3.3.18]

where d is a constant of integration.

Equation [3.3.18] is expected to hold for 2-D incompressible flow, with  $\delta p/\delta y$  negligible (Prandtl's assumption for [3.3.2], and a thin laminar sublayer.

#### - 11 -

[3.3.18] was expressed in a manner which allows both u and d to be determined from the slope and the intercept on the ordinate of a straight line drawn through the experimental points in the bilog region.  $\kappa$  was treated as a univer al constant.

Thus

$$\frac{u}{U_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \frac{v_{\omega}}{U_{\omega}} \left( \ln \frac{U_{\omega}\mu}{v} \right)^2 = \left[ \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \frac{v_{\omega}}{U_{\omega}} \left( \ln \frac{U_{\omega}d}{v} \right)^2 - \frac{u_{\omega}^2}{v_{\omega}^2} \right] - \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \frac{v_{\omega}}{u_{\omega}^2} \ln \frac{U_{\omega}d}{v} \right) \ln \frac{U_{\omega}y}{v} \qquad \dots \quad [3.3.19]$$

Let 
$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}, \frac{v_{\omega}}{v_{\omega}} \ln \frac{u_{\omega \mathcal{H}}}{v} = Y_i$$
 ..... [3.3.20]

$$\pi_{i} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{v_{ii}}{v_{\infty}}} \ln \frac{v_{\sigma}}{v_{\infty}} - \dots [3.3.21]$$

$$p_{1}^{2} = \frac{\mu_{1}}{\nu_{1}}$$
 ..... [3.3.22]

then  $\frac{u}{U_{\infty}} - Y_i^2 = (n_i^2 - p_i^2) + 2n_i Y_i$  .... [3.3.23]

Clearly, if  $- - Y_{r}^{2}$  is plotted against , and a straight line is fitted through the experimental points, the slope would be  $2n_{r}$ , and the ordinate intercept  $n_{r}^{2} - \dots$  This technique has numerous advantages over that of Mickley and Davis because the velocit, scale is now the known, measured valued of  $U_{\infty}$ , rather than  $u_{\tau}$ , obtained from the momentum integral equation.  $d\theta/d^{-}$  could be calculated from :

$$U_{\infty} \frac{d\theta}{dx} = u_{\tau}^{2} + v_{\omega} U_{\infty} \qquad \dots \qquad [3.3.24]$$

 $(3.3.13 \text{ for } dV_{\infty}/dx = 0)$ 

$$\frac{d\theta}{dx} = \frac{v_{12}}{v_{10}} (p_{12}^2 + 1) \qquad \dots \dots [3.3.25]$$

For values of F>0,005, it was found that the wall shear velocity became imaginary, ic.  $p_{1}^{2}<0$ . This gave  $\sigma_{f}<0$ , which was what Mickley and Davis (1956) found, but ascribed to experimental error. It is very important to note that in the above technique, negative  $\sigma_{f}$  does not imply reversed flow, as was found in un-injected flows with adverse pressure gradient.

This lengthy discussion has been given because the bilog technique is used for comparing the present results with those of Mickley and Davis (1956). Values of  $u_{\tau}$  were not reported, as they were imaginary, and were only needed for the graphical presentation. Surface roughness was mentioned in the paper, but did not feature in the analysis.

McQuaid (1967) introduced intemittency into his formulation. A two parameter family of curves was mentioned, but not given in this paper. The velocity profiles generated from the family were compared with some experimental data. Results were good, also when fitted to the Mickley and Davis results.

The law of the wall for  $v_{\mu} = 0$  is

$$\frac{d}{d_{T}} = f(\frac{u_{T}v}{v})$$
 ..... [3.3.26]

This could be extended for injected flows by adding  $v_{\mu}$ , e.g.

$$\frac{u}{u_{\tau}} = f\left(\frac{u_{\tau}}{v}, \frac{v_{\omega}}{v_{\tau}}\right)$$
  
or 
$$\frac{u}{v_{\omega}} = f\left(\frac{u_{\tau}}{v}, \frac{v_{\omega}}{v_{\tau}}\right)$$
  
$$(3.3.27)$$

and to include surface roughness 1

$$\frac{u}{u_{\tau}} = f(\frac{u_{\tau}u}{v}, \frac{u_{\tau}}{v}, \frac{u_{\tau}}{v})$$
  
ie.  $u + = f(y +, u_{\tau} +, k +)$  ..... [3.3.28]

McQuaid, however, suggested a function using  $U_{m}$  as a velocity scale, and retained wall shear in the term  $c_{f}$ , thus :

$$\frac{u}{U_{\infty}} = g\left(y/\theta, B, Re_{\theta}, \frac{v_{W}}{v_{\infty}}\right) \qquad \dots \qquad [3.3.29]$$

and

$$\sigma_{f} = \sigma_{f}(H, R\sigma_{\theta}, \frac{v_{\theta}}{v_{m}})$$

$$Re_{\delta_{\theta}} = Re_{\delta_{\theta}}(H, R\sigma_{\theta}, \frac{v_{\theta}}{v_{m}})$$

$$(3.3.30)$$

( $\delta_{\alpha}$  was defined as "twice the distance from the wall to the position where  $\gamma = 0, 5$ ").

McQuaid assumed the law presented by Black and Sarnecki for the sublayer [3.3.3], and modified Stevenson's 'law of the wall' for the turbulent region with suction or injection :

$$2 \frac{u_{\rm T}}{v_{\rm W}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{u_{\rm W}}{u_{\rm w}}, \frac{u_{\rm w}^2}{u_{\rm T}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right] = A \log \frac{u_{\rm T} \Lambda_{\rm H}}{v_{\rm W}} + B \qquad \dots [3.3.31]$$

A pressure gradient term was also derived, and was shown to be analogous to the pressure gradient term for unblown flows. This was given as :

$$\Delta_{\vec{z}} = \frac{v}{(u_{\pi}^{2} + v_{\mu}u_{f}^{2})^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{d\rho}{d\sigma} \qquad (3.3.32)$$

It was found that the model gave good agreement provided  $-0,004<\Delta_i<0,006$ . Furthermore, and this is of importance to the present work, the "fully developed state was not attained even at 33 boundary layer lengths downstream after a sudden change in injection rate".

Pletcher (1969) analysed an axisymmetric configuration, and developed a mixing length based on the Van Driest damping factor (Van Driest, 1956). An explicit finite difference technique is explained in the paper, and purports to give good results for flat plate, uninjected flows. The injected velocity profile shown agrees well with data from one of the Mickley and Davis tests. It was pointed out that the explicit method is more direct, and simpler to programme than an implicit method. Furthermore, it was not necessary to linearize the boundary layer equation before solving it, thus eliminating the need for an iterative process. However, it is well known that explicit methods are prone to instability, especially if the step length becomes too small.

Cebeci and Smith (1970a) also solved the Prandtl boundary layer equation for axisymmetric, compressible flow. This paper was preceded by numerous others that were very similar. This particular version showed the equations, boundary conditions, the finite difference grid and transformations in some detail. An implicit finite difference technique was employed to solve the linearized momentum equation with boundary conditions. A mixing length formulation based on Van Driest (1956) was used for the sublayer and the blending region, and a formulation using intermittency for the fully turbulent region. The energy equation was solved simultaneously, as this was a compressible flow situation. Grid spacing and CPU time required for solution were discussed.

Cebcci (1970b) showed how the Van Driest damping factor could be modified for pressure gradient and injection. Comparison with experimental results appeared excellent. The Van Driest velocity gradient relationship was extended to flows with injection :-

$$\frac{du^{+}}{dy^{+}} = \frac{2(v_{w}^{+}u^{+} + 1)}{1 + \{1 + 4(v_{w}^{+}u^{+} + 1)\kappa^{2}(y^{+})^{2}[1 - \exp(-y^{+}/A^{+})]^{2}\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

..... [3.3.33]

where  $A^+$  is the modified Van Driest damping constant. Us, ation [3.3.33] suggests an iterative solution for  $u^+$ . This work by Cebeci will be discussed in greater detail in Chapter 4.

Cebeci and Smith (1974) and Cebeci and Bradshaw (1977) continued the above work, but suggest changes to the Reynolds stress formulation, and a very much more sophisticated finite difference technique, known as Keller's box method. The Falkner-Skan equation requires additional transformation before computer solution is possible. The second book is of more general interest showing how Runge-Kutta can be used, and gives examples of computer programmes.

Bale (1975) concentrated on axial flow through a tube with mass extraction at the walls. A potential flow solution was obtained, which gave a good representation of the flow field inside the porous pipe, but gave no information with respect to shear stress at the wall.

Schetz and Nerney (1977), as mentioned above, concentrated on experimental work, and the direct measurement of skin friction. It was shown that an extension to the Van Driest mixing length could not account for surface roughness, but the Reichardt model could. The momentum equation could thus be written :

$$\frac{du^{*}}{dy^{*}} = \frac{1 + w_{w}^{*} u^{*}}{1 + e(1 + w_{w}^{*} u^{*})(y^{*} - y_{a}^{*}) \tan (y^{*}/y_{a}^{*})}$$
 [3.3.34]

(cf. equation 3.3.33)

- 16 -

 $y_a^+$  is a length scale, and is of the order of the laminar sublayer thickness. It was expected that  $y_a^+$  would decrease with roughness, and  $y_a^+ = y_a^+(k^+)$  was determined. The relationship  $y_a^+ = y_a^+(k^+, v_w^+)$  still needs to be found experimentally for various values of k, and types of roughness. For the unblown case, the Clauser shift for roughness was confirmed :

 $\frac{u}{u_{\tau}} = A \log \frac{u u_{\tau}}{v} + B - \Lambda(u/u_{\tau}) \qquad .... [3.3.35]$ 

ie. roughness did not change the slope of the fully turbulent region, only the intercept on the ordinate.

Presented above are a few papers written on the subject of the transpired boundary layer. There are innumerable papers on this topic : studying each one would result in a great deal of repetition, and possibly be of little use until the skin friction for shear flows with transpiration has been truly established. From this section, it should be clear that the present situation bears close resemblance to the confusion which existed in the 1940's as regards the fully turbulent region of the solid-wall turbulent boundary layer. With the introduction of reliable skin friction transducers, that position was clarified.

## CHAPTER 4

#### A THEORETICAL APPROACH TO THE TURBULENT BOUNDARY LAYER WITH TRANSPIRATION

#### 4.1 Introduction

The analysis follows the classical treatment by Prandtl for the boundary layer on a flat plate. For the turbulent shear layer, the Prandtl momentum transfer theory is considered and is modified by introducing the Van Driest damping factor. This technique is used to extend the analysis to include transpiration and pressure gradient. For the fully turbulent region, intermittency is introduced into the transport equation.

It was felt that more information regarding the boundary layer could be obtained following this type of analysis than using a potential flow solution. Knowledge with respect to skin friction, boundary layer growth and intermittency became available, and the analysis could be extended to include heat transfer and compressible flows.

# 4.1.1 Definition of parameters featured in turbulent flow analysis

One of the most important quantities in any analysis of this type is  $\tau_{\mu}$ , the shear stress at the wall. In nondimensional form,  $\tau_{w}$  appears in the local skin friction coefficient,  $e_{f}$ 

 $a_f = \frac{x_m}{\rho u_{\mu}}$ 

..... [4.1.1]

When analysing the turbulent shear layer, the wall shear velocity is invariably the velocity scale. By definition,

$$u_{\tau}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 ..... [4.1.2]  
 $u_{\tau} = u_{e} \sqrt{2}$  ..... [4.1.3]

The thickness of the boundary layer is denoted by  $\delta$ .  $y + \delta$  as yu/dy + 0. A more practical definition is

 $y = \delta$  when u = 0,99 .... [4.1.4]

Thus  $u_{0} = 0,99U_{\infty}$  ..... [4.1.5]

The displacement thickness,  $\delta^*$ , is the mass flow deficit which occurs in the boundary layer owing to the reduced velocity near the surface :

A deficiency in momentum in the boundary layer may be expressed as a momentum thickness,  $\theta$ :

$$\rho u_{\theta}^{2} \theta = \int_{0}^{0} \rho u (u_{\theta} - v_{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2$$

Note that the upper integrated limit is given here as  $\delta$ , but is more correctly -.

The ratio of displacement to momentum thickness, H, is a very useful shape factor,

$$H = \delta^* / 0$$
 .... [4.1.8]

\* see Addendum for further explanation.

#### 4.2 The Continuity and Momentum Equations

The derivation of the Prandtl boundary layer equations for an incompressible, newtonian fluid is well known (see Schlichting, 1968).

 $\underline{\text{Continuity}}: \quad \frac{\delta u}{\delta x} + \frac{\delta v}{\delta y} = 0 \qquad \dots \quad [4.2.1]$   $\underline{\text{Momentum}}: \quad \overline{u}\frac{\delta u}{\delta x} + \overline{v}\frac{\delta u}{\delta y} = u_e \frac{du_e}{dx} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\delta}{\delta y} (u \frac{\delta u}{\delta y} - \rho u'v') \quad [4.2.2]$ 

The bar denotes a time based average quantity, and is assumed in the following equations.

At the edge of the boundary layer :

 $u_{e}\frac{du}{dx} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dp}{dx}$ 

..... [4.2.3]

.....[4.3.3]

#### 4.3 Formulation for the Reynolds Stress

To solve equation [4.2.2], it is necessary to relate the Reynolds stress,  $\rho u'v'$  to the mean velocity. Following Schlichting (1968), a formulation analogous to the laminar shear stress is assumed :

$$\tau_{\pm} = \rho \frac{\delta u}{\delta y}$$
 ..... [4.3.1]

and then substitute Prandtl's momentum transfer theory for the eddy kinematic viscosity :

$$\varepsilon = t^{2} \left( \frac{\delta u}{\delta y} \right)$$
 ..... [4.3.2]

where  $l = \kappa y$ 

This approach leads to a useful velocity distribution for the fully turbulent region. Near the wall the profile is linear. See Grimson (1971) for the derivation of [4.3.4] and [4.3.5]. Laminar sublayer :  $u^+ = y^+$  ..... [4.3.4] Fully turbulent region :  $u^+ = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln y^+ + B$  ..... [4.3.5]

The Buffer or Blending region is not predicted.

Van Driest (1956) derived a continuous velocity and shear distribution by modifying the mixing length. By considering Stokes flow (ie. an infinite plate oscillating sinusoidally parallel to itself).

The modified mixing length became :-

 $l = \kappa_y [1 - \exp(-y/A)]$ 

sp(-y/A)] ..... [4.3.6]

Clearly, as y increases, the exponential term decreases, and  $l \rightarrow \kappa y$ , as before, for the fully turbulent region.

Using [4.3.6], [4.3.2] and [4.3.1],

 $A^+ = \frac{\Lambda u \omega^*}{N}$ 

 $\pi_{t} = -p\overline{u} \cdot v^{*} = p\kappa^{2} u^{*} (1 - exp(-u/A))^{*} \left| \frac{\delta u}{\delta y} \right| \frac{\delta u}{\delta y} \quad \dots \quad (4, 3, 7)$ 

A is the Van Driest damping length, dependent on the turbulence intensity and kinematic viscosity of the flow. It was determined experimentally, and in non-dimensional form,  $A^{+} = 26$  for  $\kappa = 0,4$ .

 $\tau = \tau_{2}^{+} \tau_{t}^{-} \qquad \dots \qquad [4.3.8]$  $\tau = \mu_{\delta W}^{\delta W} + \rho \kappa^{2} y^{2} [1 - \exp(-y/A)]^{2} \left| \frac{\delta}{\delta} \right|^{4} \left| \frac{\delta_{14}}{\delta_{14}} \right| \qquad \dots \qquad [4.3.9]$ 

Cebeci (1970b) modified A to include injection and pressure gradient :

Modified, 
$$A^{+} = 26\{-\frac{p^{+}}{v_{w^{+}}} [\exp(11,8v_{w^{+}}) - 1] + \exp(11,8v_{w^{+}})\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
  
..... [4.3.11]  
where  $p^{+} = -\frac{dv}{dx} - \frac{v}{p^{+}(u_{w^{+}})^{3}}; v_{w}^{+} - \frac{v_{w^{+}}}{u_{w^{+}}}$  ..... [4.3.12]

## 4.4 Eddy Viscosity Formulation for the Fully Turbulent Region

In turbulent boundary layers, the potential core often extends well into the boundary layer. A pictorial representation of the instantaneous layer is depicted below :-



Wall

### FIG 4.1 SKETCH OF THE INSTANTANEGUS TURBULENT BOUNDARY LAYER, SHOWING THE EXTENSION OF THE POTENTIAL CORE BELOW THE AVERAGE THICKNESS

If the Reynolds stress in the potential core is lero, then the intermittent extension of this 'clean' flow into the boundary layer must influence the shear distribution across the outer turbulent region. Samecki postulated that  $\gamma$ , the intermittency, was the fraction of total time for which the flow was turbulent at a fixed distance from the plate.  $\gamma$  ranges from 0 to 1, where  $\gamma = 1$  inside the continuously turbulent boundary layer, and  $\gamma \ge 0$  at the edge of the layer.



20

FIG 4.3 : REYNOLDS STRESS ACROSS THE BOUNDARY LAYER FOR A SMOOTH FLAT PLATE ZERO PRESSURE GRADIENT

Intermittency and Reynolds stress were determined experimentally with hot wire anemo stry by Klebauoff (Cebeci 1974, Schlichting 1968).
Clearly, even the modified mixing length theory cannot predict  $\varepsilon$  across the entire boundary layer. For in the outer region,  $\varepsilon$  must decrease, and experiments have shown that the decrease follows the intermittency curve, as could be expected. The eddy viscosity in the outer region was given as :

$$\varepsilon_0 = 2[\int (u_e - u) dy] \times \frac{1}{2}[1 - \text{erf } 5(y/\delta - 0, 78)] [4.4.]$$

The intermittency curve may be approximated (Cebeci 1974) by :

$$Y = \frac{1}{[1 + 5, 5(y/\delta)^{6}]} \qquad \dots \qquad [4.4.2]$$

 $\gamma$  is a constan<sup>+</sup>, with a value between 0,016 and 0,02. In the present work,  $\gamma = 0,0168$  is used. In the programme, the turbulent transport eddy viscosity was used until it was equal to the outer eddy viscosity. From this point outwards,  $\varepsilon_0$  was used.

[4.4.1] may be writte =-

 $\varepsilon_0 = \alpha u_e \delta^* \gamma$ 

y +00

..... [4.4.3]

#### 4.5 Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions for the turbulent shear layer are :-

u(x,0) = 0 ..... [4.5.1]

v(x,0) = 0 or  $v_w$  with mass transfer ..... [4.5.2] lim  $u(x,y) = u_v(x)$  ..... [4.5.3]

= 24 -

# 4.6 The Transformed Momentum and Related Equations : A Summary

Only the transformed equations are given here. Details of the transformation appear in Appendix A1.  $\phi$  is a transformed, non-dimensionalized stream function.

#### Momentum :

$$[(1 + e^{-1})\phi'']' + (\phi + \eta)\phi'' - \beta(\phi' + 2)\phi'$$
  
2\xi[(\phi' + 1)\frac{\delta\phi}{\delta\beta}' - \phi''\frac{\delta\phi}{\delta\beta}] ..... [4.6.1]

# Boundary conditions :

$\phi(\xi, 0) = 0$ for no transpiration	••••	[4.6.2a]
$\phi(\xi,0) = -\frac{1}{(2\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{D_{1}}{\mu u_{1}} d\xi  \text{with } v_{\omega} \neq 0$		[4.6.2b]
$\phi'(\xi,0) = -1$	• • • • •	[4.6.3]
lim φ′(ξ,η) = 0 n→n_	••••	[4.6.4]

Eddy viscosity equations :

$$\varepsilon_{0} = \alpha \cdot \left[ -\frac{(2\xi)^{2}}{\rho} \int_{0}^{\eta_{\infty}} \phi' d\eta \right] \times \left[ 1 + 5, 5 \left( \frac{\eta}{\eta_{\infty}} \right)^{6} \right]^{-1} \dots \left[ 4.6.5 \right]$$

Boundary layer parameters :

$$\sigma_{f} = \mu \left(\frac{2}{\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi''(\xi, 0) \qquad \dots \qquad [4.6.7]$$
  
$$\delta^{*} = -\frac{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho u_{0}} \int_{0}^{\eta_{m}} \phi'(\xi, \eta) d\eta \qquad \dots \qquad [4.6.8]$$

$$\theta = -\frac{(2\xi)^2}{\rho u} \int_0^{\eta_{\infty}} \eta_{\infty} \phi'(\xi,\eta) [1 + \phi'(\xi,\eta)] d\eta \qquad \dots \dots [..6.9]$$

# CHAPTER 5

## COMPUTER SOLUTION OF THE MOMENTUM EQUATION

#### 5.1 Introduction

If a generalised computer programme is developed and proved with respect to reliable experimental results, it becomes possible to calculate such parameters as boundary layer thickness, skin friction and heat transfer. Such a programme could be simple, e.g. for the case of a flat plate, and may be made more versatile to include transition, pressure gradient, roughness, transpiration, heat transfer, compressible flow, axisymmetric flow and three dimensional flow.

A finite difference technique, rather than a finite element method, is used in this dissertation to solve equation [4.6.1]. Because of the well known stability problems experienced with explicit methods, an implicit scheme was selected, with its attendant requirement to linearise the equation. In this chapter the linearisation and matrix solution are discussed.

# 5.2 Linearisation of the Momentum Equation

Linearisation is achieved by allowing those terms which make the equation non-linear to be set to values known from a previous station or interation. Clearly an iterative process is necessary, in which the latest value approaches that of the previous iteration. When linearising, care must be taken to retain at least one term of each derivative. The subscript o here denotes a previously known quantity :-

- 20 -

$$[(1 + e^{+})_{0} \phi'']' + (\phi_{0} + \eta) \phi'' - \phi' \beta(\phi_{0}' + 2) = 2\xi [(\phi_{0}' + 1) \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta \xi}' - \phi_{0}'' \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta \xi}] \qquad \dots \dots [5.2.1]$$

# 5.3 The Finite Difference Grid

Cebeci (1974) suggested a grid in which the step lengths normal to the wall were very small, near the wall, ie. in the region of rapid change in velocity, and increasing in size towards the edge. He proposed a grid of the form :-

$$\Delta n_{i-1} = K. \Delta n_{i-1}$$
 ..... [5.3.1]

An is the general step length, and for  $\kappa>1$ , this step length is larger than the previous step. If the first step length is h, the distance to the  $i^{th}$  grid point is :-

$$n_{k} = h \frac{\kappa^{i-1} - 1}{\kappa - 1} \qquad \dots \qquad [5.3.2]$$

The exponential growth in grid spacing ties up with the turbulent velocity profile.

An alternate expression for the  $i^{th}$  grid spacing is :-

 $\Delta n_{\vec{x}} = R^{\vec{x}-S} \cdot h$ 

..... [5.3.3]

The programme was written in a manner to allow variable step length in the streamwise direction. This may be a completely random step length. Because the programme "marches" in the x-direction when solving, the grid points in this direction were called stations, and are denoted by, e.g. the  $n^{th}$  station.

The ordinate was divided into points or steps, denoted by i. Note that the first  $\eta$  value, i.e. at the wall, is point i = 1, therefore  $\eta_1 = 0$ .



- 28 -

FIG 5.1 : THE FINITE DIFFERENCE GRID FOR k = 1,2 AND h = 1,5

#### 5.4 The Momentum Nquation in Finite Difference Form

Equation [5.2.1] is given with the subscripts as used in the programme :-

$$[(1 + e_{n,i_0})\phi_{n,i_1}'' + (\phi_{n,i_0} + n_i)\phi_{n,i_1}'' - \phi_{n,i_1}', b_n(\phi_{n,i_0}' + 2) ]$$

$$= 2\xi_n [(\phi_{n,i_0}' + 1)\phi_{n,i_1}'' + (\phi_{n,i_0}' + 1)\phi_{n,i_1}'', b_n(\phi_{n,i_1}'' + 2) ] \dots [5.4.1]$$

By taking the derivative of the first term at this stage  $d\epsilon^{+}/dy$  would be introduced, which may be determined numerically, but with the attendant error inherent in any such calculation, with the added difficulty that  $\epsilon$  was not a continuous function.  $\phi''$  would also appear. The derivative was solved implicitly in the final equation by treating the term as a separate function, say g. This is why the term  $(1 + \epsilon^{+})_{n,i-1_0}$  and at (n,i + 1) feature in the following equation. Values of  $\phi$  are known at n-1, n-2 and o. All these terms were kept on the right hand side, while

those in *n*,*i* were retained on the left hand side. The capital letters denote constants based on step size, and are defined in Appendix A2.

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_{n,i-2}(A,E1,(1+\varepsilon_{i}^{+})_{n,i-1}) \\ &+ \varphi_{n,i-2}(A,E2,(1+\varepsilon_{0}^{+})_{n,i-1} + B,D,(1+\varepsilon_{0}^{+})_{n,i} + (\phi_{0,n,i}^{+} + n_{i}^{+})D \\ &- B,A,(\phi_{0,n,i}^{+} + 2) - 2\varepsilon_{n}(\phi_{1,n,i}^{+} + 1),A,N) \\ &+ \varepsilon_{1}(A,E3,(1+\varepsilon_{0}^{+})_{n,i-1} + B,E,(1+\varepsilon_{0}^{+})_{n,i} + \varepsilon_{2}E4,(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{+})_{n,i+1} \\ &+ E,(\phi_{0,} + n_{i}^{+}) - B,B,(\phi_{0,n,i}^{+} + 2) - 2\xi_{n}(\phi_{0,n,i}^{+} + 1)N,B \\ &+ 2\xi_{n}\phi_{n,i}^{0},N) \\ &+ \phi_{i+1}(B,F,(1+\varepsilon_{0}^{+})_{n,i} + C,E5,(1+\varepsilon_{0}^{+})_{n,i+1} + E,(\phi_{0,n,i} + n_{i}^{+}) \\ &- B,C,(\phi_{0,n,i}^{+} + 2) - 2\xi_{n}(\phi_{0,n,i}^{+} + 1),R,E) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2\xi_{n} \{ (\phi'_{0} + 1) [ \mathbb{L}, \phi'_{n-2,i} + M \phi'_{n-2,i} ] - \phi''_{n,i} [ \mathbb{L}, \phi_{n-2,i} + M \phi_{n-2,i} ] \}$$
..... [5.4.2]

Lumping the coefficients into single constants reduces [5.4.2] to :-

 $\phi_{i+2} \{ C.X6.(1 + 1), i+1 \}$ 

$$\phi_{n,i-2} \cdot A_i + \dots \quad B_i + \phi_{n,i} \cdot C_i + \dots \quad + \phi_{n,i+2} \cdot E_i = F_i$$
......[5.4.3]

Remembering that i = 1 denoted  $\eta = 0$ , a system of linear simultaneous equations could be written starting with i = 3. If N is the number of  $\eta$  steps, a total of N-4 equations can be set up (because [5.4.3] must end with N-2). There are thus N unknowns and N-4 equations. Using boundary conditions,  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$ ,  $\phi_{N-1}$  and  $\phi_N$  were determined.

$$\phi_{n,N-4} \wedge N-2 + \phi_{n,N-3} \wedge N-2 + \phi_{n,N-2} \wedge N-2 + \phi_{n,N-1} \wedge N-2 + \phi_{n,N-1} \wedge N-2 = F_{N-2} \dots [5.4.4]$$

Because  $\phi_{n,1}$  and  $\phi_{n,2}$  are known from boundary conditions, they are taken to the right hand side :-

 $\phi_{n,3} c_3 + \phi_{n,4} b_3 + \phi_{n,5} c_3 = c_3 - \phi_{n,2} a_3 - \phi_{n,2} c_3$   $\phi_{n,3} b_4 + \phi_{n,4} c_4 + \phi_{n,5} b_4 + \phi_{n,6} c_4 = c_4 - \phi_{n,2} c_4$ [5.4.5]



FIG 5.2 : SKETCH OF VELOCITY PROFILE IN TRANSFORMED CO-ORDINATES

From Fig 5.2 it is seen that as  $\eta + \eta_{\infty}$ ,  $f'(\xi, \eta) + I$  and therefore  $\phi'(\xi, \eta) \rightarrow 0$ . Equation [4.6.4] states that  $\lim \phi'(\xi,n) = 0$ η≁η<sub>∞</sub> If N-2, N-1 and N are in this region, then using a simple finite difference approximation :- $\phi_{N-2} = \frac{\phi_N - \phi_{N-2}}{\eta_N - \eta_{N-2}} = 0$ ..... [5.4.7] ..... 15.4.8]  $\therefore \phi_N = \phi_{N-1}$ Similarly :- $\phi'_{N-2} = \frac{\phi_N - \phi_{N-2}}{\eta_N - \eta_{N-2}} = 0$ ..... [5.4.9] ..... [5.4.10] : • • = • N-2 • • N-2 The #-3 equation is :- $A_{N-3} \phi_{n,N-3} + B_{N-3} \phi_{n,N-4} + C_{N-3} \phi_{n,N-3} + \phi_{n,N-2} (D_{N-3} + E_{N-3})$  $= F_{N-3}$  ..... [5.4.11] and the N-2 equation (last) is :- $A_{N-2}\phi_{N-2} + B_{N-3}\phi_{N,N-3} + \phi \qquad (C_{N-2} + D_{N-2} + E_{N-2}) = F_{N-2}$ ..... [5.4.12] The general equation may be stated :-

- 31 -

5.5 The Finite Difference Molecule

The general finite difference molecule is shown in Fig 5.3



FIG 5.3 : THE FINITE DIFFERENCE MOLECULE

To solve for  $\phi$  at station *n*, it is necessary to know the values of  $\phi$ ,  $\phi'$  and  $\phi''$  at stations *n*-2 and *n*-1. Two prefiles were therefore required to start the solution at the third station. The programme then marched to *n*+1 and used *n*-1 and *n* to solve for  $\phi$  at *n*+1, and so on. For the first solution at say *n*, the values on the right hand side, denoted by *o*, were substituted by the values at *n*-1. Similarly in generating  $\varepsilon$ . The iterative process was based on the convergence of  $\phi''_{n-1}$  and  $\phi''_{n-1}$  and  $\delta$  \* and  $\delta_0^*$ .

To economise on core storage on the computer, only four vectors for  $\phi$ ,  $\phi'$ ,  $\phi''$  and were used. Solutions at n-2, n-1 and n were stored in the first three vectors; the present iteration, o, in the fourth. The first three rotated cyclicly. If the vectors are denoted by  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ and  $V_1$ , the solutions were stored :-

- 32 -

 $n=1, V_1$  $n=2, V_2$ os Va

Once convergence was achieved,  $\rightarrow V$  and print/plot  $V_1$  could be overwritten and the solution march to n=4

 $n=2, V_2$   $n=3, V_3$   $o V_4$ 

After convergence,  $V_{ij}$ , print/plot, and delete  $V_{ij}$ 

n=3, V n=4 V<sub>1</sub> 0 V<sub>4</sub>

After convergence,  $V \rightarrow V_{+}$ , print/plot, ct .

A tremendous saving was possible whetering matrix [A] because it was banded. It had twoe per and two lower codiagonals. Typically, the banded matrix required 500 storage locations, compared with 10 000 for full square matrix storage. In double precision, this is equivalent to 80 k bytes. n=1, V<sub>1</sub> n=2, V<sub>2</sub> o, V<sub>4</sub>

Once convergence was achieved,  $V \rightarrow V$ , and print/plot. V could be overwritten and the solution march to n=4

 $n=2, V_2$  $n=3, V_3$  $0 V_4$ 

After convergence, , print/plot, and delete V

n=3, V<sub>3</sub> n=4 V<sub>1</sub> 0 V<sub>4</sub>

After convergence,  $V_{4} + V_{2}$ , print/plot, etc.

A tremendous saving was possible when storing matrix [A] because it was banded. It had two upper and two lower codiagonals. Typically, the banded matrix required 500 storage locations, compared with 10 000 for full square matrix storage. In double precision, this is equivalent to 80 k bytes.



5

34 -

#### 5.7 Discussion of Various Features of the Programme

#### 5.7.1 Initial profiles

As stated above, profiles at n=1 and n=2 were required to start the solution. These were generated in MKOO1L (laminar) or MKOO4 (turbulent) - see Fig 5.5. Distance along the abcissa was used as a criterion for laminar or turbulent flow. Various stability techniques, critical Reynolds numbers and shape factors have been suggested (Cebeci 1974). However, these are often limited, and not sufficiently accurate, e.g. critical Reynolds number is for flat plate flow with zero pressure gradient, and spans a large range (3,5 x 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup>).

If  $\varepsilon=0$ , the solution is laminar, and from a given  $\xi$  station,  $\varepsilon>0$  and the programme entered the transition and hence turbulent solutions.

The laminar profile was generated from an equation given by Grimson (1971) :-

 $\frac{u}{v_{\infty}} = f(y/\delta) = f(\overline{y}) \qquad \dots \dots \quad [5.7.1]$ 

If a fourth order polynomial is used, a pressure gradient may be included :-

$$\frac{u}{v_{m}} = A_{0} + A_{1}\overline{y} + A_{2}\overline{y}^{2} + A_{3}\overline{y}^{3} + A_{4}\overline{y}^{4} \qquad \dots \dots \quad [5.7.2]$$

A pressure gradient related shape factor was introduced :-

 $\frac{u}{\overline{v}_{g}} = \overline{y}\left(2 - 2\overline{y}^{2} + \overline{y}^{3}\right) + \frac{\Lambda}{6} \overline{y}\left(1 - 3\overline{y} + 3\overline{y}^{2} - \overline{y}^{3}\right) \quad \dots \quad [5.7.4]$ 

- 35 -



## FIG 5.5 LAMINAR INPUT PROFILE

The turbulent profile was generated using Van Driest's mixing length theory. One difficulty here was that the edge of the boundary layer was over-estimated, and pressure gradient was not included. However, the programme would obtain the correct profile at n=6 after some iteration. In developing this subroutine, it was found that the results were significantly improved if the unequal, exponential type n grid was used. See Fig 5.6 for equal step length, and Fig 5.7 for exponential grid, using the well known semi-log plot. Fig 5.7 is reproduced on simple non-dimensionalised axes in Fig 5.8. The dashed lines on Fig 5.7 are for :-

u <sup>+</sup> =	= y <sup>+</sup>	• • • • • • •	[5.7.5]
and	$y^{+} = 5,757 \log y^{+} + 5,24$		[5.7.6]



- 37 -

-



Equation [3.3.33], with  $v_{ij}^+ = 0$ , simplified to that derived by Van Driest (1956) :-

$$\frac{du^{+}}{\alpha y^{+}} = \frac{2dy^{+}}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\kappa^{2}y^{+2}[1 - \exp(-y^{+}/A^{+})]^{2}}} \qquad \dots \quad [5.7.7]$$

by integrating this expression,  $u_i^+ = \int_0^y i^+ f(y^+)$ . This was performed numerically in subroutine MKOO4.  $u_i^+$  had to be converted to  $u/u_e$ , i.e. was required. was estimated in subroutine TAUF from the simplified equation

$$c_f = \frac{0.0595}{Re_{\mu} V^5}$$
 ..... [5.7.8]

In both laminar and turbulent profile generators, it was necessary to convert  $u/u_e(=f')$  to  $\phi'$ , and to calculate  $\phi$  and  $\phi''$ . The edge of the boundary layer was taken to be where  $\phi' < 0,0001$ .

To obtain  $\delta^*$  and  $\theta$ , the profile had to be integrated. This was done by using the trapezoidal rule, which was considered sufficiently accurate because the step length was so small. Note that the experimental profiles were integrated in a similar manner, and for a very coarse grid (20 points compared with 100 for the computer programme) the difference in  $\theta$  when using Simpson's rule was 1%. A typical equation appears in Appendix F1.

As the injection increased, the shear stress at the wall tends to zero, or even a negative value. However, reverse flow is not thought to occur. The programme was not designed to handle these possibilities. If  $\phi''$  was obtained from  $\phi$  using Newtons Divided Difference technique at the wall, negative shear was indicated at values of  $F \ge 0,005$ . This is in accordance with experiment, but resulted in difficulties when calculating  $u_{\omega}^{*}$ , and hence also  $v_{\omega}^{*}$  and  $p^{*}$ . The programme was written for a continuous velocity

- 39 -

profile and the condition of no-slip at the wall. Note too that the derivation of A' was for very small injection rates, and  $y_{\mu}^{+} = 11,8$  was the value for unblown flat plate flow.

By using a reasonably large initial  $\eta$  step (0,06 compared with values as low as 0,001 suggested by Cebeci (1970a), and calculating  $\phi''$  from  $\phi'$ , the programme remained stable up to values of F = 0,017.



FIG 5.9 : u-VELOCITY PROFILE NEAR THE WALL

The numbers shown in Fig 5.9 denote  $\eta$  grid points. If 2 is cutside the point of inflexion, the programme would effectively be unaware of this behaviour. If would be predicted to be the dashed line (depending on the finite difference equation). This value is incorrect, but allows real values of  $u_w^*$ ,  $v_w^*$ ,  $p^*$  etc. to be calculated. Although these errors are large, the effect of this on the complete solution of [5.4.13] appeared to be very small.

- 40 -

Because this technique produced results which appeared very promising, the loss of accuracy in  $u_w^*$ , and hence meaningless  $c_v$  for injected flows, was considered unimportant in the present phase of research. As will be pointed out later in Chapter 7, as characteristic velocity for injected boundary layers may well require reconsideration.

For zero pressure gradient flat plate flow,  $c_f$  predicted by the programme was very close to that experimentally measured and fitted by [5.7.8].

# CHAPTER 6

# EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE

## 6.1 Introduction

The object of the experiments was to obtain a number of mean velocity profiles on a porous plate preceded by a solid plate, ie. to subject a fully developed turbulent profile to injection. The next step was to constrict the lower porous surface, to ascertain whether this made a measurable difference to the profiles. To this end an open circuit wind tunnel with rectangular working section was designed. Three different grades of porous plate were tested.

#### 6.2 The Wind Tunnel

#### 6.2.1 General

The open circuit wind tunnel consisted of a Sirrocco centrifugal fan (ree Appendix B1 for the performance curve). Drive was provided by a Siemens A.C. induction motor rated at 22 kW, through three Fenner  $\beta$  type belts. By changing pulleys, the rotational speed of the fan could be varied, resulting in different flow rates and pressures. A flow d. mper at the fan inlet could be used to vary the flow rate, as could a by-pass at the beginning of the tunnel. This by-pass was only used at start-up (minimize starting load), because a power spectrum measured in the working section produced two additional spikes when the trap door was not fully closed (see 6.4).

The ducts leading to the Working Section were made up of a diffuser, settling chamber and contraction. Screens and honeycomb straightened the flow and decreased the turbulence intensity.



# FIG 6.1 : GENERAL VIEW OF WIND TUNNEL SHOWING (1) THE SETTLING CHAMBER, (2) CONTRACTION, (3) ENTRY TO WORKING SECTION, (4) REAR OF INSTRUMENT PANEL (5) RETZ MANOMETER

## 6 2.2 The working section

A rectangular working section 209 x 496 mm was built. The side walls were made of perspex, the roof and solid floor sections of aluminium. A boundary layer bleed was installed in the floor in the entry section. The plate preceding the porous section was 800 mm long, the leading edge milled to 45°. This configuration could be altered such that the initial solid plate was 150 mm long. heoretically a laminar boundary layer would reach the porous plate, but tests were not done with the short plate installed.

A traversing rig (Disa type 55H01) was mounted on slides. The probe could be lowered through a slit in the roof of the working section. The distance of the probe from the wall was measured with a Mitutoyo Dial Gauge. This entire rig was mounted on a separate frame which was isolated from the tunnel to prevent vibration being transmitted to the probe. The traversing rig allowed 4 degrees of freedom. Fig 6.2 shows the working section and the traversing rig. In the next photograph, Fig 6.3, a close-up of the working section interior is given. In this figure the hot wire probe can be seen mounted above the porous plate. Note that the short plate configuration is depicted.



FIG 6.2 : THE WORKING SECTION AND THE TRAVERSING RIG : (A) DIAL GAUGE, (B) DISA TRAVERSING MECHANISM, (C) PROBE HOLDER, (D) HOT WIRE PROBE, (E) POROUS PLATE



FIG 6.3 : INSIDE OF THE WORKING SECTION : (A) ENTRY TO WORKING SECTION, (B) PROBE HOLDER, (C) HOT WIRE PROBE, (D) SHORT LEADING PLATE, (E) POROUS PLATE

#### 6.2.3 Transpiration flow supply

The transpiration or secondary flow was drawn from the laboratory compressed air supply. An Ingersoll-Rand compressor fed two receivers, from which a 50 mm i.d. pipe ducted the air to a pressure regulator (Norgren type AG2O). The flow rate was measured with an orifice plate, preceded by an entry length of 30 diameters. The orifice plate was calibrated according to British Standards 1042. A fibre-type filter, supplied by Vokes, was placed in the plenum chamber below the porous plate. The filter removed particles down to 5 microns, as well as grease. The front cover of the plenum chamber had to be removed when changing porous plates. The height of the porous plate was adjusted with screws inside the chamber. The plates were made of sintered bronze by Sintered Products Ltd. of Nottingham, England. Each plate was 6,35 mm thick. The front and rear edges were milled to 45°. Grades E (highly porous) C and A were tested.



# Fig 6.4 :

THE WORKING SECTION AND THE PLENUM CHAMBER SHOWN WITH ITS FRONT COVER IN PLACE







- 97 -

## 6.3 Hot-wire Anemometry

Velocity traverses were obtained using a Disa type 55DO1 constant temperature anemometer. A single sensor, goldplated wire probe (Disa type 55FJ1) was inserted in a probe holder with a protection pin attached. By adjusting this pin, it was possible to touch the pin on the working section floor, and treat that as the first point of traverse. By reading the dial gauge at that point, the height above the plate was determined, and subsequent increases in distance from the floor known to 0,01 mm.

The probe holder was held by the Disa traversing mechanism. This rig had a range of 100 mm, and was operated manually through a pinion drive, for slipless traversing. For increased accuracy, the dial gauge was mounted above the rig.

Apart from mean velocity, the turbulence intensity and over spectrum of the Working Section mainstream was measured. For this, the probe was mounted at a x-station, in the centre of the Working Section. Because the anemometer output is non-linear, it required processing. This was done with a Type 55D10 Lineariser. The linearised turbulent signal was integrated on a Type 55D35 R.M.S. Voltmeter, which gave  $\sqrt{v^{+2}}$ . This unit, also made by Disa, had a voltage range of 0 - 300V. The integrator time constant could be set to 0,3, 1, 3, 10 and 30 seconds.

The calibration of the probes is discussed in Appendix C3.

To obtain the mean velocity in the boundary layer, a simple R.C. circuit was included in the circuit.

A Doric type DS100 digital voltmeter was used to measure the output from the anemometer.

#### 6.4 The Energy Spectrum

Turbulent flow is so irregular that early investigators gave up hope of capturing the flow in universal expressions. In its very randomness lies the opportunity of using statistical methods to characterise the motion. Thus the time based mean velocity and thickness could be defined. The hot wire anemometer with its high frequency response, and oscilloscopes to record the output, made more sophisticated investigations possible. Thus the correlation function and energy spectrum are suitable means of presenting data.

 $E_1(n)$  is defined such that  $E_1(n)dn$  is the contribution to  $\overline{u'^2}$  of the frequencies in the band n to n + dn.

As the frequency increases,  $E_1(n)$  decreases rapidly because

 $\overline{u^{r_2}} = \int_0^\infty E_1(n) dn$ 

..... [6.4.1]

logn –

FIG 6.7 : SKETCH OF AN ENERGY SPECTRUM FOR TURBULENT FLOW

From Fig 6.7,  $E_1(n)$  is c<sup>1</sup> · 1y greatest at low frequencies. The energy creating the rtices is drawn from the mainstream, and eventually a ssipated through the action of viscosity.

Obtaining a plot of  $E_1(n)$  vs *n* required the turbulent oscillations to be represented by a Fourier series, e.g.

# $f(n) = A_0 + A_1 \cos \frac{\pi n}{L} + A_3 \cos \frac{2\pi n}{L} + \dots$

and then plotting A. vs n. Equipment employing a band pass filter could be used to generate the spectrum. For this work, a Hewlett-Packard Spectrum Analyser, model 3580A, was used in conjunction with the linearised output from the single sensor probe.

This unit produced a display on a screen, but a graphical output was obtained as well. The x-co-ordinate signal (frequency) was converted by a Hewlett-Packard Log Volt-meter/Converter, type 7562. Thus a log-log plot was produced directly on a Riken Denshi X-Y Recorder.

# 6.5 Experimental Procedure

It was found that the anemometer (in particular, the lineariser) was prone to drift with temperature. Before an experiment could be started, the lineariser was allowed a warm-up period of two hours (suggested by manufacturer). A linearised calibration curve was obtained, and the hot wire transducer transferred to the working section. It was essential that the anemometer was not switched off between calibration and test.

At the start of a test, valve V1 (see Fig 6.6) was opened, and the desired transpiration flow set.

After opening the by-pass, the fan was started. Once running, the trap door was closed, and the baffle at the fan inlet set for the required air velocity in the working section. This was checked with a pitot tube in the entry length. The free stream temperature was monitored, and once steady it was assumed that the wind tunnel had reached operating conditions. Ten to fifteen minutes was usually required for steady state to be attained.

The hot wire transducer was then positioned at X1, y=1, and the anemometer switched on. The anemometer output required about two minutes to settle, during which time the parameters required for measuring the secondary flow rate were noted. These were : pressure drop across the orifice plate, and the upstream static pressure and temperature,  $\Delta h$ ,  $p_1$  and  $t_1$  respectively.

 $V_{LIN}$  was read on the DVM, and y on the dial gauge, and then the hot wire was moved to the next y point. This was continued to the end of the velocity traverse. Finally the centre line velocity was measured, which was assumed to be the local  $U_m$ , and the free stream temperature,  $t_2$ .

The traversing rig was shifted to X2, and the transducer was traversed to y=1. The entire operation was repeated. Velocity profiles were measured at X1, X2, X3 and X4.

Special care was taken to seal the plenum chamber. Prestik was used at the porous plate edges, to ensure that the secondary flow did pass through the porous plate, and not form jets at the edges. This was more important when testing the A grade plate, which had very low porosity.

TABLE 6.1 : x-co-ordinate positions

Position denoted	Distance from
by :-	leading edge (mm)
X1	780
X2	856
X3	945
X4	1045

# CHAPTER 7

#### PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

## 7.1 Introduction

This chapter is divided into three main sections : the proving of the tunnel, the experimental results and the computer runs.

A spanwise velocity traverse is presented, and some difficulties with regard of the tunnel are discussed. In the first part, turbulence intensity and the spectrum analysis are given.

Secondly, the velocity traverses are shown in the popular non-dimensional form,  $u/u_{,}$  vs  $y/\theta$ . Graphs containing information more compactly are given to illustrate trends when the lower porous surface was partially blocked. The bilog plotting technique of Black and Sarnecki (1959) is used to show the development of the profile with injection ratio, and also to compare the present results with those of Mickley and Davis (1956). The possibility of a new two-parameter family of curves is discussed, which appear to be independent of  $u_{,,}^*$  and F.

Plots obtained from the computer runs are included in the third section. Comparing these results with experimental work proved more problematic than was expected. For low injection ratio, it was possible to compare one of the profiles with that of Mickley and Davis (1959).

# 7.2 Initial Tests

#### 7.2.1 Transverse velocity profile

The transverse velocity profile was measured to ensure that two dimensional flow was approximated at the centre line. The transducer was fixed at a particular value of y and x. The traversing rig was moved across the tunnel, taking readings at various intervals. One of these profiles is reproduced in Fig 7.2.



FIG 7.1 : DEFINITION OF AXES : THE X-AXIS LIES ON THE CENTRE-LINE OF THE WORKING SECTION, COINCIDING WITH THE FLOOR, Y WAS NORMAL TO THE FLOOR, AND Z TRANVERSELY COINCIDENT WITH THE FLOOR. THE VELOCITY COMPONENTS ARE INDICATED BY u, v AND w RESPECTIVELY

- 53 -





The standard deviation of the transverse profile is s = 0,048 m/s, which is within the expected accuracy of the anemometer. The wind tunnel thu- produced essentially two dimensional flow in the Working Section. All profiles were measured on the centre line, ie. at z = 0.

# 7.2.2 Iurbulence and turbulence intensity

The turbulent fluctuations were observed by connecting the cutput of the lineariser to a Tektronix oscilloscope. This output was recorded and is presented in Figs 7.3 to 7.5. The first two traces show that the fluctuations were low in the main-stream. The trace depicted in Fig 7.5 was obtained by placing the probe 1 mm from the working section floor. It should be noted that the single wire sensor necessarily registered u', v' and a small percentage of w'. The probe was placed normal to the flow for all tests. Consideration of the results of Klebanoff, reproduced in Schlichting (1968), showed u', v' and w' all increased near the wall.

Figs 7.3 and 7.4 gave an indication of the autocorrelation, because the two superimposed traces were taken at time tand  $t + \tau$ . This was not quantified.



FIG 7.3 : TWO TRACES FOR  $U_{\infty}=16$  m/s, PROBE AT CENTRE LINE



Fig 7.4 : Two traces for  $\mathcal{V}_{\infty}=12\,\text{m/s}$  , prore at centre line



Fig 7.5 : SINGLE TRACE FOR  $U_{\infty}$ =16 m/s, PROBE 1 mm AROVE SOLID WALL

A series of tests were performed to ascertain the turbulence intensity of the wind tunnel. By definition,

$$T = \sqrt{1/3(\overline{u^{T^2}} + \overline{v^{T^2}} + \overline{w^{T^2}})/U_{\infty}} \qquad \dots \dots [7.2.1]$$

For isotropic flow (produced in wind tunnels behind a grid or screen)  $\overline{u'^2} = \overline{v'^2} = \overline{w'}^2$ , so for wind tunnels this equation becomes (Schlichting 1968)

$$T = \sqrt{u^{2}/U}$$

The transducer was subject to u' and v'. Because w' was parallel to the sensor wire, this contribution should have been small. If  $\overline{r'}^2 = \overline{u'}^2 + \overline{v'}^2$ , then define a wind tunnel turbulence intensity

$$T = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}r'^2}/U_{c}$$
 ..... [7.2.3]

The results of a number of runs at different velocities are given in Table 7.1. The integrator time constant was 10 sec. The probe was positioned at x = 800 mm, y = 112,5mm, z = 0.

TABLE 7.1

Test	$V_{\infty}(\text{volts})$	U <sub>∞</sub> (m/s)	Var (volts)	TS
A	3,190	15,359	0,891	0,59
B	2,558	12,335	0,640	0,53
C	2,191	10,579	0,504	0,49
D	1,835	8,876	0,457	0,53
E	1,392	6,756	0,368	0,56

 $U_{\infty}$  wis varied by opening the by-pass. Considering Fig 7.6, it would be expected that T would have been lower had a more sophisticated method for decreasing  $U_{\infty}$  been employed. In the main test, this was done by closing the fan intake with a baffle plate. The increased angle of the trap door may explain why T dropped initially, but then increased in the last two tests.


- 58 -

### FIG 7.6 : DETAIL OF BY-PASS, SHOWING POSSIBLE EFFECTS OF OPENING THE TRAP DOOR

### 7.2.3 The energy spectrum

The energy spectrum was measured in tests A through E. The results are reproduced in Figs 7.7a to 7.7e. These are plots of log  $E_1(n)$  vs log n. The inertial subrange was established ie. the portion of the curve which is fitted by the -5/3 law. This is a range in which vortices were neither generated or dissipated. It has been proposed that the large, low frequency eddies are formed by drawing energy from the main stream, creating the turbulent stresses. Because of the instability of the flow, smaller and smaller eddies appear until they are dissipated as heat (see Schlichting, 1968).

These plot show that a full spectral distribution of the energy of fluctuation was present in the tunnel, throughout the range of free stream velocities of interest.

In each spectrum the initial, eddy generating region can be seen. Furthermore, the function  $E_{I}(n) \propto n^{5/3}$  fitted the data in the inertial subrange. Noise from the anemometer and analyser disguised the high frequency range. Fig 7.7b to Fig 7.7e show an energy bulge at high frequency. This occurred at approximately 6 and 10 Hz and is thought to have been caused by vortex shedding behind the trap door. This was discussed in section 7.2.2 in relation to Fig 7.6, and resulted in the discontinued use of the by-pass as a method of flow control.



FIG 7.7a : TEST A



- 60 -

## 7.3 Experimental Work

In each test, the profile at a station just prior to the start of the porous section was measured. This station was denoted X1. The shape factor for these profiles ranged between 1,27 and 1,30, which is the correct value of H for the unblown, zero pressure gradient turbulent boundary layer. The simple Blasius profile was found not to fit the experimental data. Theoretical curves were constructed from Thompson's two parameter family (Thompson, 1965). Near the wall agreement was not as good, but it sheuld be borne in mind that the given families had to be interpolated to obtain the approximate value of y/0. Two of these profiles are given in Figs 7.8 and 7.9.

The reason for this result is thought to be as follows. The contraction was in the XZ-plane, and thus the transverse profile was exceptionally flat. In the YZ-plane, the tunnel height remained constant from the start of the diffuser. This resulted in a very thick boundary layer arriving in the entry section. It was therefore not possible to draw the entire boundary layer off through the boundary layer bleed. Almost duct flow resulted, rather than the ideal "flat plate in a free stream". Large  $\delta$  did have the advantage that the y measurement was less critical. and the rate of change of u with y was much smaller. The disturb.nce of a transducer in the shear layer was thus decreased.

It must be pointed out that numerous investigators used a pitot tube for measuring the velocity. Because this device is larger than the miniature hot wire transducer used in the present work, the disturbance to the flow in the region of measurement was necessarily greater. In addition, it was found to be essential that the pitot tube was exposed to the correct static pressure. When a pitot tube was used in the tunnel running at a pressure slightly higher than atmospheric, incorrect readings were obtained. The same comments would apply to a pitot rake.



FIG 7.8 : EXPERIMENTAL PROFILE MEASURED ON THE SOLID PLATE FOR TEST 23N1

- 62 -





Great care was taken in measuring the profiles for the present work. The hot wire was re-calibrated for each run. A difficulty always present when using hot wires is that the transducer is not to be traversed to y = 0 to determine that point. A shield was attached to the probe holder with a broken probe. This probe was traversed down until it just touched the floor, and the dial gauge reading noted. The calibrated transducer was then placed in the holder. Since the two transducers were of equal length, y was calculated.

The results were processed on an IBM 370 computer, which printed and plotted the data.

### 7.3.1 The experimental results

The basic data is given in Appendix E1. The non-dimensionalized plots for all the tests, and baseline data for each test are given in Fig 7.10. The velocity profiles are followed by graphs of shape factor, H vs physical x for the maximum main stream velocity tests, and the tests with blockage. In these graphs the change in profile caused by blockage is indicated.

In the tables of Fig 7.10 the heading includes the date, e.g. test 28S1 was run on the 28th September, the 1 stands for the number of the test. The second part indicates the grade of porous plate, then  $F_{av} \ge 10^{-3}$  and finally the main stream velocity, in m/s.  $Re_{\omega}$  was based on the distance measured from the leading edge. These were X1 = 0,7805 m, X2 = 0,8565, X3 = 0,9455, X4 = 1,0455. X1 was on the solid plate, X2 = 18,66°, X3 49,13° and X4 = 83,36° along the perous plate.  $F_{\omega}$  was based on the inlet velocity.

In Fig 7.10, the symbols used for plotting are : A for X1, + for X2, X for X3 and  $\otimes$  for X4. The co-ordinates used are  $u/u_{\mu}$  vs  $y/\theta$ . This is preferred to  $y/\delta$ , because  $\delta$  is less easy to determine. The first five tests were run at maximum free stream velocity, with an E grade plate installed. Results are shown in Fig 7.10a to e. In all tests, the increasing 'laminarisation' with x is evident, the curves coinciding at approximately  $y/\theta = 3,5+5$ , this value increasing with F. For high F, the profiles at X3 and X4 tended to be very similar (see Fig 7.10e).

In test 28S3, the profile at X3 showed a strange step. This profile was obtained with probe No.4, which appeared to be faulty, and was not used again. Although not broken, the calibration curve for this probe changed during a test. Possibly a particle in the stream collided with the transducer.

Five tests, very similar to the above, were performed on the E grade plate, but at the reduced free stream velocity;  $\eta = 13$  m/s at the Working Section inlet. Very similar results to the first set were obtained, but results were not identical. This indicates that the profile was not only dependent on F. Clearly Re. was different, but probably also the effective roughness,  $k^+$ . These tests are shown in Fig 7.10f to j.

Tests 0701 and 0601 were also run over the unblocked E grade plate, but at  $U_{CL} = 9$  m/s, and therefore at much lower  $Re_{\infty}$ .

The next set of tests, 09N1 to 11N2, shown in Fig 7.10m to q, were a repeat of the first 12 runs, but on the C grade, unblocked plate. The results were very similar, but showed a shift which was thought to have resulted from the change in roughness,  $k^+$ .

Finally, a sample test was performed, using the A grade plate. Because of the low porosity, and the resultant high pressure drop, great care was taken when sealing the plenum chamber. Powder metallurgy was used to make this plate, whereas the





FIG 7.10a : TEST 2851 E-7,58-17

PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TTA



FIG 7.10b : TEST 2851 E-7,58-17











FIG 7.10c : TEST 2852 E-12,41-17



# PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TIA





PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TTA

- 68 -











FIG 7.10h : TEST 0301 E-11,59-13

- 69 -



FIG 7.10j : TEST 0501 E-14,13-13

PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TTA





PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TTR NN N-10/1, N-10, D-10

- 70 -







PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TTA



FIG 7.101 : TEST 0601 E-12,03-9

- 71 -



FIG 7.10m : TEST 09N1 C-7.07-17





FIG 7 10n : TEST 10N1 C-9,82-17

- 72 -

PLOT OF U/JE VS Y/TTA

AR IN 1775 COLOR





FIG 7.100 : TEST 10N2 C-12.02-17

PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TTR





- 73 -



×

PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TTA

FIG 7.10q : TEST 11N2 C-12,22-13

FLOT OF U/UE VS TATTA



FIG 7.10r : TEST 16N1 A-7,55-17







PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TTA



### FIG 7.10t : TEST 23N2 E-12,0-17 50% BLOCKED

\$

- 75 -



PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/ITA

RUN 24-11/1.4 8-17E

# FIG 7.100 : TEST 24N1 E-14,51-17 50% BLOCKED

PLOT OF U UE VS 1 TTA



FIG 7.10v : TEST 28N1 E-9,04-17 67% BLOCKED





- 77 -

FIG 7.10w : TEST 29N1 E-11,77-17 67% BLOCKED

PLOT OF U/UE VS Y/TTA





100

PLOT OF UVUE VS Y/TTA



5

2

- 78 -

E and C grade plates were made by sintering spherical particles. The surface of the A grade plate was therefore much smoother, and the injection pattern was expected to be different from that experienced using grades E and C. (See Fig 7.11 and Appendix B).





FIG 7.11 I v PROFILES EXPECTED FOR A AND E GRADE PLATES

Profiles obtained for the A grade plate and for test 28S1 were virtually identical. Note that for the former,  $F_{0} = 0,00755$ , for the latter = 0,00758. The input profiles were the same as well. It was concluded that the velocity profile was mainly a function of injection ratio.

Having compiled a set of data for the unblocked porous plate, a few tests were done in which the lower porous surface was partially sealed with masking tape. The first tests were run with 50% blockage, as is shown in Fig 7.12.



FIG 7.12 : SKETCH SHOWING THE PARTIALLY BLOCKED POROUS PLATE, 50% BLOCKED









81 -









Fifted D

Tests 23N1 to 24N1 were run at  $U_m = 17 \text{ m/s}$ , 50% blockage of the E grade plate. See Fig 7.10s to u.

With the tape covering 67% of the plate,  $\alpha = 12,7$  mm and b = 6,35 mm, tests 28N1 and 29N1 were performed. These profiles are presented in Fig 7.10v and w.

Two tests were run with a = 12,7 mm, b = 3,18 mm; this resulted in 80% blockage. Fig 7.10x and y are the profiles for these tests.

The effect of blockage is shown in Fig 7.13. This plot of shape factor, H vs x-station, was thought to present the change in a more succinct way than the usual velocity profile of Fig 7.10. The injection relie, F, became a very average parameter. The definition of F now becomes :

$$F = \frac{Q_{\text{trans}}}{A_{\text{upper}^{U_{\infty}}}} \qquad \text{for } \rho \text{ constant} \qquad \dots \quad [7.3.1]$$

Where Q<sub>trans</sub> was the transpiration flow rate and A<sub>upper</sub> the area of porous plate exposed to the main stream.



FIG 7.14 : DISTRIBUTION OF  $v_{\omega}$  WITH  $\omega$  FOR THE PARTIALLY BLOCKED POROUS PLATE, / D THE SPREAD OF THE FLOW IN THE POROUS MEDIUM WITH y

By considering Fig 7.13, it is seen that the curves do not increase with F as they do for the unblocked plate. The profile is developing for an apparently higher F. Clearly  $v_w$  was alternately above and below the average transpiration velocity. The average momentum in the y-direction must remain the same as for the unblocked porous plate, however, some of the injected flow penetrates deeper into the shear layer. Thus it is concluded that with the same transpiration flow rate, blockage results in an apparent increase in F and fif the Stanton number is expected to be a function of (see Moffat and Kays, 1968), a decreased heat transfer coeff. would become possible with blockage.\* Intuitively, the opposite may be expected, however, only experiment is expected to resolve the matter.

It would therefore appear that the blockage caused by strengthening ribs below the porous surface, could result in improved performance.

### 7.3.2 The bilog la plotting technique

This method for determining from the velocity profile was discussed in Chapter , section 3, at some length. It was developed by Black and Sarnecli (1958), and yielded an effective imaginary shear velo ity at the wall. This was merely a technique, and did n t imply reverse flow, as may be encountered for flows in an alverse pressure gradient. The results are given in Fig 7.15a to c, Fig 7.16 and Fig 7.17. These graphs are presented because (i) Fig .15 showed the trend in the profiles for in reasing F, and (ii) the graphs allowed an interesting comparison of the present results with those of Mickley and Davis (1956).

Fig 7.15a to c are graphs of  $u/u_e^{-Y}$ ,<sup>2</sup> vs Y. for the basic data of Mickley and Davis (1956), and the present work. Fig 7.15a is for u = 17 m/s, E grade. This graph also shows the limiting curves, i.e. for  $u/u_e^{-1} = 0$  and  $u/u_e^{-1} = 1$ , i.e. the edge of the boundary layer. Plots shown in

\* see Addendum for further explanation.



ł

FIG 7.15c : SOME EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS PLOTTED ON THE BILOG CO-ORDINATES

5

86 -





87 -

FIG



FIG 7.15A : PRESENT DATA AND THAT OF MICKLEY AND DAVIS (1956) PLOTTED ACCORDING TO THE BILOG LAW TECHNIQUE

- - 88 -



FIG 7.16 : EXPERIMENTAL DATA AND BILOG PREDICTED PROFILE

- 89 -





- 90 -

Fig 7.15b are for  $U_{\infty} = 13 \text{ m/s}$  (2) and  $U_{\infty} = 9 \text{ m/s}$  (V), both sets on the E grade plate. Results obtained for  $U_{\infty} = 17 \text{ m/s}$ (2),  $U_{\infty} = 13 \text{ m/s}$  (×) on the C grade plate, and for the single run using the A grade plate ( $U_{\infty} = 17 \text{ m/s}$  (V)) are plotted on Fig 7.15c.

By fitting a straight line to the experimental points of a run (in the bilog region),  $u_{\tau}$  could be calculated from the slope and ordinate intercept of that line (see equations 3.3.21 to 3.3.23). If this line did not pass through the limiting line of  $u/u_{\tau} = 0$ ,  $u_{\tau}$  would be imaginary. This was the case for all values of  $F \ge 5$ . The uncertainty in fitting the straight line can be seen from the scatter of the points. Two examples are shown in the non-dimensional plot of  $u/u_{\tau}$ vs log  $y.u_{\tau}/v$  in Fig 7.16. Again comparable results of Mickley and Davis (1956) are included. The lines represent the profiles predicted by the bilog law.

Because it was of importance to know  $d\theta/dx$ , it was thought useful to show that the bilog technique could predict this derivative, but gave no indication of the origin of the boundary layer. Fig 7.17 shows a typical example for F =0,0071. The slope was calculated at a point, nd a straight line with that gradient drawn through the  $\theta = \pi$ . Good agreement was again obtained with the result  $e_1 = 0$  kley and Davis (1956).

It is felt that as this method yielded imaginary shear, it is only useful as a plotting technique, and is inadequate for estimating  $c_f$ . The graphs did allow comparison of the present work with that of other researchers.

## 7.3.3 A two-parameter family of curves

The two-parameter family of curves of Thompson (1965) was used to construct the mean velocity profile ahead of the porous wall. McQuaid (1967) suggested a family of curves for the transpired boundary layer. This set of curves predicted the velocity profile and the skin friction. Intermittency was included in the formulation (by the term  $\delta_{\mu}$ , see eqn.[3.3.30]). This author showed that  $u/U_{\infty}$ was a function of  $y/\delta_{\mu}$ ,  $v_{\omega}/U_{\infty}$ , which was transformed to eqn.[3.3.29]. This was a function of  $y/\theta$ , H,

A cross-plot of the present results was attempted, and is shown in Fig 7.18. These values were obtained from the velocity profiles (Fig 7.10) by keeping  $u/U_{\infty}$  constant, and reading  $y/\theta$  for the various x staticns (effectively  $Re_x$ , or  $Re_{\theta}$ ). It was thought that for constructing mean velocity profiles, it would be more use in to have u/u as one of the axes. Thus  $y/\theta$  was loop constant, and the value of  $u/u_{\infty}$ read from Fig 7.10. These values were plotted, and a number of points from the experimental work of Mickley and Davis (1956) and McQuaid (1967) added. A series of curves were obtained, apparently independent of  $v_{\infty}/U_{\infty}$ , and are presented as Fig 7.19 a to d.

This result may be stated :

H =	$H(R_{\theta}, v_{\psi}/v_{\infty})$	[7.3.2]
u/U <sub>00</sub>	$= f(y/\theta, H)$	

A limitation of this family is that *c* is not accounted for. McQuaid (1967) presented a skin friction law, for up to 0,010 :

 $c_f = c_f(H, R_0, v_0/v_m)$  ..... [7.3.4]

These curves were assumed to hold for a porous wall, continuous from x = 0. It was thought that the values of c, would be very different for discontinuous injection. However, it must again be emphasised that only direct measurement of would give reliable values of c. This would be nece-sure in each individual test, for its particular input prof  $c_{ij}$  borous plate configuration, surface roughness, pressure gradient, etc.



Fig 7.18 : cross plot of present results,  $u/u_e$  constant

- 93 -


94 -



- 95 -



<sup>- 96 -</sup>

2



- 97 -

#### 7.4 Computer Programme Results

As discussed previously, the programme required two initial profiles to start the solution. These could be either laminar or turbulent, but with  $v_{\mu} = 0$ . Starting the solution with transpiration from the boundary layer origin was therefore not possible. By installing a trip wire, it is possible to obtain a turbulent profile for very low values of  $Re_{x}$ experimentally. However, the equation used to generate the turbulent profile was valid for  $Re_{x} > 5 \times 10^{4}$ . Thus it was not possible to simulate experimental conditions very effectively.

The boundary layer thickness was over-estimated because the equation used was valid only to  $y^+ = 10^{4}$  (depending on Reynolds number). This is illustrated below in Fig 7.20.





Clearly, if the straight line was used,  $\delta^+ = \delta_2^+$ , instead of  $\delta_1^+$ , obtained from experimental points. If a wake law was not used in this region, a power law would probably be the easiest method of generating  $u^+$  near the edge of the layer.

### 7.4.1 Main computer runs

The results of the main computer runs are shown graphically in Fig 7.21 a to j. See also Appendix F3 for tabulated values of  $R_{1}$ , H, etc.

By generating the input profiles on a flat plate, the programme was a close simulation of the experimental work. It was, however, not possible to obtain the identical input profile that was measured experimentally without extensive reprogramming - the Van Driest profile was used instead. Furthermore, the programme as written is for the 'flat plate in an infinite stream', whereas almost channel flow was obtained in the tunnel, ic. the boundary layer growth in the tunnel was limited to the centre line of the tunnel.

Examination of Fig 7.21 shows that the profiles generated are very similar to those depicted in Fig 7.10. The trends with x and F were identical, i.e. increased 'laminarisation' with x and F.

The values of c appear to be highly erroneous. On the other hand, there is no experimental data for the step change in transpiration and surface roughness with which these values could be compared. If  $\phi''$  is obtained from  $\phi$ , negative , results, and hence imaginary p and v . Although this is predicted by the bilog law, this is not of much use, as the programme cannot solve the equations for imaginary shear velocity. We was therefore obtained from  $\phi'$ , and it is expected that the error in \*" does not affect the solution significantly (Note:-  $\phi$ " affects the inner eddy-viscosity equation). Clearly the finite difference equation which yields satisfactory values of  $c_p$  for the unblown layer was inadequate for the blown boundary layer. By decreasing the step size more accurate results would be obtained, but this would increase the cost of running the programme significantly. In this work, #=0,065 was common, whereas other investigators have reported H=0,001, with 300 steps.

- 99

.





















By using the approximation of  $\phi''$  as described, the programme was remarkedly solving for injection ratios up to F = 0,017. These high injection ratios have not been reported in the literature, and are approaching, if not exceeding, the limit of the boundary layer analysis.

The configuration and condition of the fluid for the main computer runs are reported in Table 7.2. Fig 7.22 shows the eddy viscosity distribution across the boundary layer.

Station	<i>x</i> (m)	ξ×10 <sup>4</sup>	Re_×10 <sup>5</sup>	Flot symbol	U = 17 m/s
3	0,790	2,416	7,274	0	$\frac{du}{dx} = 0^{-1}/s$ $p = 0.9971 \text{ kg/m}^{3}$ $\mu = 1.825 \text{ kg/ms}$ $XTR = 0.4 \text{ m}$ $IY = 100$ $K = 1.025$ $H = 0.065$ $DX = 0.005$
10	0,825	2,523	7,596	1	
20	0,875	2,676	8,C56	2	
30	0,925	2,829	8,516	3	
40	0,975	2,982	0,977	4	
50	1,025	3,135	9,437	5	
60	1,075	3,288	9,898	6	

TABLE 7.2 : Key to x-Stations used in Main Runs

### 7.4.2 Some additional runs

A run with injection and pressure gradient was performed the res 1ts presented in Fig 7.23. MHK1J003 was the comparable run with  $du_e/dx = 0$  Vs, and considering the shap factors at the same x stations show the difference very clearly, e.g. Station 60 :  $H_o = 1,45$ .  $H_{du/dx=10} = 1,36$ .  $\delta$  grew at a much slower rate (32,4 mm and 25,2 mm respec tively), which is as expected.

MHKLONG3 and MHKLONG5 were runs in which an uninterrupted porous plate was simulated. The porous plate started at x = 0,312 m. This was improved in MHKTEST3, which is compared with the Mickley and Davis results for their run C-3-50. See Fig 7.26.





FIG 7.23 : VELOCITY PROFILE WITH INJECTION AND PRESSURE GRADIENT





## TABLE 7.3 : Comparison Table

MINKTEST 3 STATION 60				MICKLEY AND DAVIS C-3-50 STATION H				
Reg	δ(mm)	0 (num)	Н	Re <sub>0</sub>	δ	0 (mm)	H	
2687	25,87	2,94	1,50	2830	22,86	2,85	1,46	

This is for the final station of run MHKTEST3, and  $R_{0}$  was still somewhat below that of the Mickley and Davis experiment.  $\delta$  was again high, and hence also  $\theta$ . The profiles compare well, and it is expected that if  $Re_{\theta}$  were more accurately matched, the correspondence would be even better.

The programme was thus shown to produce results which compared well with experiment. Qualifying the programme more extensively would require many more runs, changing the free stream conditions and injection ratio, and finding similar experimental profiles. Note that the computer was using local (Johannesburg) density for air, which was why  $Re_{\theta}$  was lower than that of Mickley and Davis given in Table 7.3, for similar values of  $\theta$  and

To allow smaller step lengths to be used, a thinner boundary layer was necessary. For this reason the main runs were performed from x=0,790 m, ie. fully turbulent flow, but  $\delta \simeq 23$  mm, whereas the experiment produced  $\delta \sim 45$ mm. The latter would require a much coarser grid, and hence loss of accuracy. It was therefore not possible to compare the main runs with the experimental results. However, the trend of the 'transition' region from impermeable wall to injected flow obtained experimentally was clearly reflected by the computer results.

Skin friction results were thought to be in error by orders of magnitude. As presented, the programme calculated  $\phi_{ii}^{ii}$  from a second order fit, which was very satisfactory for the unblown profile. If Fig 5.9 is a correct representation of *u*, then a linear fit with very small step length at the wall would produce better results.



FIG 7.2E : COMPUTER GENERATED PROFILE COMPARED TO EXPERI-MENTAL RESULTS OF MICKLEY AND DAVIS (1956)

- 116 -

### OHAPIER 8

#### CONCLUSIONS

### 8.1 Experimental Features

A two dimensional, open circuit wind tunnel was designed, built and commissioned. A free stream velocity of 21 m/s could be obtained in the working section. To simulate the conditions de ribed on page 7, a turbulent boundary layer was produced on a flat plate, hich was interspersed with a porous plate. The boundary liver thus encountered injection, which was introduced through the porous plate.

Using the het tir anomometer, a transverse velocity profile was obtained, and showed that the flow was two dimensional. The turbulence intensity tis better than Cos, which is less than the expected level for this type of tunnel (ref. Schlich) ting, 1968). The used energy pe tra at a number of free stream velocities compared favourably with accepted theoretical predictions and experimental data.

Velocity profiles on the smooth flat plate were ascertailed and shown to follow the profiles predited by the Thompson two pailleter family of cur .

To further qualify the performance of the tunnel, profiles with injection were plotted on the Bilog a es suggested by Black and Sarnecki, together ith experimental data of Mickley and Davis (1956). This allowed direct comparison with other authors work, and also showed the development of the profile with increasing injection. Furthermore, the need for accurate skin friction information was highlighted.

## 8.2 Velocity Profiles and Gen ral Experimental Results

Velocity profiles were measured for a range of free stream velocities and injection ratio . By using different grades of porous plate, the roughness of the wall was also varied. A set of data for the turbulent boundary layer with uniform injection was established. By partially blocking the lower surface of the porous plate, velocity profiles with nonuniform injection were ascertained, and compared with the initial set of results.

The experimental results gathered in the region in which the flow encountered the abrupt change in injection and roughness showed that the average velocity in the shear layer decreased with increasing x. The shape parameter H can be used to quantify thi change in momentum. Plotting H(x) = x showed that uincreased rapidly with x, with a decrease in slope for larger x. It also showed that in u ased with injection ratio. Consideration of the results  $u^{(1)}$  Mickley and Davis confirms this result, and indicates that H may asymptote to a constant value for a fixed injection ratio.

When the results for the partially blocked porous plate vere compared with the initial set of data, it was found that H was higher for a particular m station at the same average injection ratio. As is explained in the Addendum the result coul ' indi cate a lower wall temperature in the case of a heated stream and cold air injection (assuming constant heat transfer). This would be a very desirable result 'or the applications discussed on page 1, however, this c n only be confirmed with extensive additional experimentation.

To the author' knowledge, these tests constituted original work for the follow reasons :-

i) The turbulent boundary lay r encountered a step change in surface roughness and injection ratio, an intend 1 feature of the test rig. Previous investigators had considered the fully developed turbulent boundary layer with uniform injection. ii) Partial blockage of the porous plate, thus altering the injection profile, constituted a further controlled parameter. This feature simulated the attachment of the porous macrix to a rigid base, which would thus enable these materials to be used for effusion cooling in highly stressed materials.

A technique for predicting the turbulent boundary layer velocity profile with injection was developed. A two parameter family of curves was presented, which was independent of injection ratio. The results of Mickley and Davis as well as McQuaid were added to these plots, and indicate the existence of a correlation equation over a significant rang of injection ratios. It was thought that more experimental data was required before a correlation equation could confidently be deduced.

The lowest point is H = 1,3, which is the value for the uninjected turbulent boundary layer. As the 'ayer encountered injection, the value of the shape parameter increased, while u/u decreased for a fixed v lue of  $y/\theta$ . This trend continued until the value at X1 was reached which was very close to the results of Mickley and Davis, whose tests were done in the 'fully developed turbulent boundary layer'.

# R.3 Pourares Portinent fo the Assocrically obtained Results

The momentum equations were solved numerically, and the elocity profiles thus generated were plotted, reflecting the trends determined experimentally, i.e. the dicrease of velocity in the shear lay rewith increased injection ratio and detance along the plate. To qualify the programme, various test runs were performed. Firstly, the laminar profile generated was compared with analytical results given in Schlichting. Then a turbulent profile was plotted on semi log axes and compared with established experimental and empirical data. Various test cases were investigated, in which  $Re_x$  was varied with and without pressure gradient, and also one in which the computer solution was allowed to march into the transition region.

Finally, runs with injection were performed. One of these was compared with the experimental results of Mickley and Davis. In all these comparisons, correlation was excellent, and the programme was considered to yield accurate velocity profiles.

The programme was run for a range of injection ratios of F = 0,017. This was the highest ratio investigated experimentally, and was thought to be at the limit of the assumption of the analysis. It should be noted that F = 0,017 is significantly higher than any injection ratio encountered in the literature survey, both in experimental or numerical vork. The programme remained exceptionally stable, even at high values of F.

## CHAPTER 9

## SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

- Modifications to the wind tunnel, which would result in a thin boundary layer arriving in the entry section, allowing greater control.
- 2. Measurement of the local skin friction by direct means, so that this matter may be cleared up satisfactorily. Initially, the effect of surface roughness with transpiration would have to be determined. If a floating element balance was used, the element must be made of perous material with the same roughness as the plate; and with injection at its surface.
- 3. To establish the heat transfer characteristics of step change, distributed injection, with and without blockage, tests must be done with a heated mainstream. This latter provision is intended to obviate the inverted temperature profile which results from heating the transpiration flow. The inverted temperature profile acts as a turbulence inhibiter, thus allecting the heat transfer mechanism.
- The computer programme requires an improved finite difference technique for obtaining the second derivative, especially at the wall. From this, more realistic values of  $e_f$  may be expected. A more sophisticated turbulence transport equation will be necessary to account for surface roughness.
- 5. Alterations to the programme could be designed to allow for enclosed flow, and for more complicated pressure gradient situations.

- 7. A deeper understanding of the flow in the porous matrix should be obtained, mainly from experiment. The spread of the jet, and the exit velocity of the air at the exposed surface should be studied.
- 8. Turbine blades in cascade with porous inserts should be manufactured and tests on heat transfer characteristics done. These could be compared with tests for blades with discrete hole injection.

### APPENDIX A

#### Al Transformation of the Momentum Equation

For convenience, the continuity and momentum equations of section 4.2 are restated here :-

 $\underline{Continuity} : \frac{\delta u}{\delta x} + \frac{\delta v}{\delta y} = 0 \qquad \dots \quad [A1.1]$   $\underline{Momentum} : u \frac{\delta u}{\delta x} + v \frac{\delta u}{\delta y} = u_{\sigma} \frac{\delta u}{\delta x} + \frac{\delta}{\delta y} (v \frac{\delta u}{\delta y} - \overline{u^{T} v^{T}}) \qquad [A1.2]$ 

Numerous transformations exist for equation A1.2. a popular one is the transformation to the Von Mises plane. The equation takes on the form of an unsteady heat-conduction equation. The disadvantage in solving an equation which is pseudo-elliptic (ie. the entire flow-field has to be solved simultaneously), is the excessive computer time used. A transformation similar to the Falkner-Skan solution could be used in a finite difference scheme that marches in the direction of predominant flow. In this way, conditions at each successive step could be set as required, e.g. surface roughness, injection (steady or varying with x), and also step length.

Following the treatment of Cebeci and Smith (1970a), the Levy-Lees transformation was used. This  $\tau$  lted in combining two independent variables, u and , shortened the abscissa and lengthened the ordinate.

The Levy-Lees transformation for flat-plate, incompressible flow is :-

 $dt = \rho \mu u_e dx; \quad d\eta = \left(\frac{\rho u}{2\xi}\right) + \dots \quad [A1.3]$ Define a dimensionless stream function, f $\psi(x,y) = (2\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\xi,\eta) \qquad \dots \quad [A1.4]$   $\psi$  is the stream function which satisfies the continuity equation :- $\frac{\delta\psi}{\delta\mu} = \rho \mu$ ;  $\frac{\delta\psi}{\delta\mu} = -\rho v$  ...... [A1.5] Substituting A1.5 into A1.2, for  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  constant :

 $\frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\delta\psi}{\delta y} \frac{\delta^2 \psi}{\delta x \delta y} = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\delta\psi}{\delta x} \frac{\delta^2 \psi}{\delta y^2} = u_{\sigma} \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{\psi}{p} \frac{\delta}{\delta y} ((1 + \varepsilon^+) \frac{\delta^2 \psi}{\delta y^2}) \quad [A1.6]$ With  $\varepsilon^+ = \varepsilon/\psi$ 

Hence :-

 $\frac{\delta \psi}{\delta n} = (2\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} f'$ (A1.9)

Using [A1.9] and  $\beta = (2\xi) \cdot (du_e/d\xi)/u_e$ , eqn.[A1.7] becomes :  $[(1 + \epsilon^+)f'']' + ff'' + \beta(1 - f'^2) = (2\xi)[f'\frac{\delta f}{\delta \xi}' - f''\frac{\delta f}{\delta \xi}]$ .......[A1.10]

where the prime denotes  $o/\delta\eta$ , and  $f' = \delta f/\delta\eta = u/u$ .

The left hand side of [A1.10] will be recognised as the solution obtained by Falkner and Skan (1931) for the laminar boundary layer with  $u_{\mu}(x) \propto x^{M}$  (ie. the potential from was

proportional to a power of the length measured from the stagnation point).

Now introduce a translated stream function,  $\phi$ . (This was done to improve the numerical computation.)

 $f = \phi + \eta$   $f' = \phi' + 1$   $f'' = \phi''$  (A1.11)

Substitute [A1.11] into [A1.10]:-

$$\frac{(1 + \varepsilon^{+})\phi'']' + (\phi + \eta)\phi - \beta \cdot \phi'(\phi' + 2)}{2\xi[(\phi' + 1)\delta\phi'/\delta\xi - \phi''\delta\phi/d\xi]} \qquad \dots \qquad [A1.12]$$

This equation was also given as [4.6.1], and is an o.d.e. in  $\eta$  at  $\xi = \xi_{\eta}$ .

# A2 The Finite Difference Approximations

Consider a function f at grid points i-2, i-1, i, ... etc., and let the distance between these points be  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ ,  $\mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$  :-



FIG A2.1 : SKETCH OF GRID POINTS, SHOWING NOMENCLATURE Using Taylor's series to express  $f(x_{i+1}) = f(x_i) + h_3 f'(x_i) + \frac{h_2^2 f''(x_i)}{2!} + O(h_3^3)$ [A2.1]

$$f(x_{i-1}) = f(x_i) - h_R f^*(x_i) + \frac{h_R}{2!} f''(x_i) + 0(h_2^3) \dots [A2.2]$$

By doing the following manipulation : [A2.1] x  $h_3^2 =$  [A2.2] x  $h_3^2$  obtain :

$$f'(x_{i}) = \frac{-h_{z}f(x_{i-1})}{h_{z}(h_{z} + h_{z})} - \frac{(hz - h_{z})}{h_{z}h_{z}}f(x_{i}) + \frac{h_{z}f(x_{i+1})}{h_{z}(h_{z} + h_{z})} + O(h^{2})$$
..... [A.2.3]

This equation was written for  $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$ , which creates some difficulty in expressing the order of error. In [A2.3] it is approximately (1.1), and if  $h_{2} = h$ ,  $O(h^{2})$ .

Eliminating f' from [A2.1] and [A2.2] :

$$f''(x_i) = \frac{2f(x_{i-1})}{h_2(h_2 + h_3)} - \frac{2f(x_i)}{h_2h_3} + \frac{2f(x_i + 1)}{h_3(h_3 + h_3)} - \dots \quad [A2.4]$$

This equation has an error  $O(h_2)$  or  $O(h_3)$ , i.e. much less accurate than [A2.3].

Equations derived in a similar manner are :-

$$f''(x_{i+1}) = \frac{2f(x+1)}{h_3(h_3+h_2)} - \frac{2f(x+1)}{h_3h_4} + \frac{2f(x+1)}{h_4(h_3+h_4)} \quad \dots \quad [A2.5]$$

$$f''(x_{i+1}) = \frac{2f(x+2)}{h_2(h_1+h_2)} - \frac{2f(x+1)}{h_1h_2} + \frac{2f(x+1)}{h_2(h_2+h_2)} \quad \dots \quad [A2.6]$$

Considering Fig A2.1 again, and using eqn.[5.3.3]

 $\begin{aligned} h_{1} &= \Delta x_{i-1} &= h. \kappa^{i-3} \\ h_{2} &= \Delta x_{i} &= h. \kappa^{i-2} \\ h_{3} &= \Delta x_{i+1} &= h. \kappa^{i-1} \\ h_{4} &= \Delta x_{i+2} &= h. \kappa^{i} \end{aligned}$  ..... [A2.7]

Where h is the first step of the n grid.

Substituting [A2.7] into [A2.3] and [A2.4] :-

$$f'(x_{i}) = \frac{-f(x_{i-1})}{h\kappa^{1-3}(1+k)} - \frac{(1-k)f(x_{i})}{h\kappa^{1-1}} + \frac{f(x_{i+1})}{h\kappa^{1-2}(1+k)} \dots \text{ [A2.8]}$$

$$f''(x_{i}) = \frac{2f(x_{i-1})}{h^{2}\kappa^{2i-4}(1+k)} - \frac{2f(x_{i})}{h^{2}\kappa^{2i-3}} + \frac{2f(x_{i+1})}{h^{2}\kappa^{2i-3}(1+k)} \text{ [A2.9]}$$

Each term in the last two equations could be divided into three parts, namely  $f_i$ , a constant in K and h, and a co efficient in i. This fact was used to simplify the equations. The first term of equation [A2.8] thus becomes :

$$\frac{-f(x_{i-1})}{h\kappa^{1-3}(1+\kappa)} = -f(x_{i-1}) \cdot A = -f(x_{i-1}) \cdot \frac{A1}{\kappa^{1-3}} \quad \dots \quad [A2.10]$$

So  $AI = -K^3/h(1+K)$ , and all other coefficients dependent on h and K were calculated only once, ie. in subroutine MKOO2.

Because the x-stations were not restrained as the  $\eta$  points were, coefficients required for derivatives in the  $\xi$ -direction were calculated at each new station.

The coefficients that appeared in equation [5.4.2], are listed below :-

$$A = \frac{-K^{3}}{h(1+K)} \cdot \frac{1}{K} i = \frac{A1}{Ki}$$

$$B = -\frac{(1-K)K}{h} \cdot \frac{1}{K} i = \frac{B1}{K}$$

$$C = \frac{K}{h(1+K)} \cdot \frac{1}{K} i = \frac{C1}{K^{2}}$$

$$D = \frac{2K^{4}}{h^{2}(1+K)} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}} i = \frac{D1}{K}$$

$$E = -\frac{2K^{3}}{h^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}} i = \frac{B1}{K^{2}}$$

$$E = \frac{2K^{4}}{h^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}} i = \frac{B1}{K^{2}}$$

- 124 -

 $X1 = \frac{2K^{6}}{h^{2}(1+K)} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}i}$   $X2 = \frac{-2K^{5}}{h^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}i}$   $X3 = \frac{2K^{5}}{h^{2}(1+K)} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}i}$   $X4 = \frac{2K^{2}}{h^{2}(1+K)} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}i}$   $X5 = \frac{-2K}{h^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}i}$   $X6 = \frac{2K}{h^{2}(1+K)} \cdot \frac{1}{K^{2}i}$ 

Coefficients starting with X were not split up into two parts. This had been done initially, in a different form, and is the reason for real Gl, H1, I1, J1, K1 being defined in subroutine MK002.

The streamwise derivatives were calculated as follows :-

 $\frac{\delta \phi \hat{z}}{\delta \xi} \Big|_{n} = L \phi_{n-2, \hat{z}} + N \phi_{n-2, \hat{z}} + N \phi_{n, \hat{z}} \qquad \dots \quad [A2.12]$   $\frac{\delta \phi}{\delta \xi} \Big|_{n} = L \phi_{n-2, \hat{z}}' + N \phi_{n-2, \hat{z}}' + N \phi_{n, \hat{z}}' \qquad \dots \quad [A2.13]$ 

These equations were obtained in a manner very similar to the derivation of [A2.3]. They were backward difference equations, and the step length remained variable.



FIG A2.2 : SKETCH OF & GRID POINTS

$$\frac{\Delta \xi_{n-1}(\xi_{n-2})}{\Delta \xi_{n-1}(\Delta \xi_{n} + \Delta \xi_{n-1})} = \frac{(\Delta \xi_{n-1} + \Delta \xi_{n})f(\xi_{n-1})}{\Delta \xi_{n-1} \cdot \Delta \xi_{n}}$$
$$+ \frac{(\Delta \xi_{n-1} + 2\Delta \xi_{n})f(\xi_{n})}{\Delta \xi_{n-1} + \xi_{n}} \qquad \dots \dots \quad [A2.14]$$

Thus :-

$$L = \frac{\Delta \xi_n}{\Delta \xi_{n-1} (\Delta \xi_n + \Delta \xi_{n-1})}$$

$$M = \frac{-(\Delta \xi_{n-1} + \Delta \xi_n)}{\Delta \xi_{n-1} \cdot \Delta \xi_n} \qquad \dots \quad [A2.15]$$

$$N = \frac{(\Delta (n-1) + 2\Delta \xi_n)}{\Delta \xi_n (\Delta \xi_{n-1} + \Delta \xi_n)}$$

$$\Phi_1'' = \frac{\Phi_1' - \Phi_2}{\Pi_1 - \Pi_2} + (\Pi_1 - \Pi_1) = \frac{\Phi_2' - \Phi_3'}{\Pi_2 - \Pi_2} + \dots [A2.16]$$

From Chapter 5,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  were to be determined from boundary conditions. An equation was given for  $\phi_1$ , but  $\phi_2$  still remained to be calculated. From eqn.[4.6.3], one of the boundary conditions is

$$A'(\xi, 0) = -1$$
 ..... [A2.17]

Using a very simple finite difference equatio.

$$\phi_{1}' = \frac{\phi_{2} - \phi_{1}}{n_{2} - n_{1}} - 1 \qquad (A2.18]$$
  
$$\therefore \phi_{2} = \phi_{1} - 1(n_{2} - n_{1})$$

[A2.18] did not give very satisfactory results, but by using the divided difference technique, the following relationship was derived :-

$$\phi_{g} = \frac{\phi_{I} \times K \times (K+2) + \phi_{g} - K \times I \times (K+1)}{(K+1)^{2}} \quad \dots \quad [A2.19]$$

- 126 -
The unblown profile was checked, and [A2.19] was found to agree to 6 figures. After the experience gained with calculating  $\phi_1$ " from a second order fit. it was felt that the plot of  $\phi$  vs n should be reconsidered when injected, and a better fit developed for this curve. Although not tested, it was thought that a 4th order fit would be an improvement.

Except at the boundaries, central difference equations were used throughout. Note that these equations were derived to allow calculation of a function at i, and not mid-way between i-1 and i+1, which would have occurred had the function been calculated from a standard central difference equation for equal step length.

The equations derived were relatively simple, but produced a banded matrix with 5 columns. More complex equations, which become possible for equal step length, would have resulted in more columns in the banded matrix. This would require more core storage and more calculations, with related round-off errors, when solving  $[A]\{\phi\} = \{B\}$ . For generating the initial turbulent profile, it was found that the unequal step length improved the accuracy significantly. Because  $\delta u/\delta y$  was much lower for laminar profiles, equal steps were more suitable for these calculations. This could be obtained by setting K = 1.

### A3 Flow in Porous Media

One of the important aspects of using porous materials in transpiration cooling, is the need for strengthening the structure. As already suggested, this could be done by attaching the porous matrix to a rigid base with metering holes or slots.



# FIG A3.1 : THE POROUS MATRIX ( ) ATTACHED TO A RIGID HASE ( ) WITH METERING SLOTS

In the past, all results for pressure drop through the matrix have been obtained for the unrestricted case, ie, a one-dimensional flow situation. The average velocity at any y value in the porous material was constant. The pressure drop was found to be proportional to the superficial velocity, '\_\_\_\_ for the so-called laminar region, and to '\_\_\_\_\_ for the 'turbulent' region. This was found experimentally, and is useful if '\_\_\_\_\_ is constant.

The configuration shown in Fig A3.1 was expected to behave very differently. Because the jet is spreading 1 the matrix, the velocity is changing, and hence also  $\Delta p$ . To design a porous cover for transpiration cooling, it is necessary to know : (i) the spread of the jet with y, and from that, the distance between metering slots or holes to ensure a suitable insulating layer of cool air on the upper surface of the porous material, and (ii) 'he pressure drop for the two-dimensional flow situation

Two approaches to the problem are possible. The microscopic, analytic approach, or the macroscopic approach, discussed in Appendix A4.

Because a porous matrix is made up of randomly sintered particles with sizes spanning a given tolerance, it is not meaningful to consider the flow at a point. Following

- 128 -

Slattery (1972) the momentum equation was volume averaged, in much the same way that turbulent flows were averaged on a.time base.

Two theorems, developed by Slattery (1972), were used, as were the Reynolds rules of averages. The theorems were for the volume average of gradient, and of the divergence, of a second order tensor or a spatial vector field, called *B*.

 $\overline{\nabla \underline{B}} = \frac{1}{V} \int_{V_{f}} \nabla \underline{B} dV = \nabla \underline{B} + \frac{1}{V} \int_{S_{w}} \underline{B} \cdot \underline{n} dS \qquad \dots \dots \text{ [A3.1]}$   $\overline{div} \overline{B} = \frac{1}{V} \int_{V_{f}} div \underline{B} d = div \underline{B} + \frac{1}{V} \int_{S_{w}} \underline{B} \cdot \underline{n} dS \qquad \dots \dots \text{ [A3.2]}$ 

where  $V_f$  is the volume,  $S_w$  the surface area.



Fig A3.2 : The porous medium, showing volume  $v_f$ , and the enclosing surface s. Note that s must enclose solid and fluid.  $s_{\omega}$  coincides with the pore walls.  $\underline{n}$  is the normal vector.

- 129 -

The Navier-Stokes equation for the steady flow of an incompressible newtonian fluid may be derived from Cauchy's first law of motion (assume no external forces were present) :

$$\operatorname{iv}(v v^{1}) = -\nabla p + \mu \operatorname{div}(\nabla v)$$
 ..... [A3.3]

Taking the local volume average of cqn.[A3.3] :-

$$\frac{1}{v} \oint_{V_f} \rho \operatorname{div}(\underline{v} \ \underline{v}) dV = -\frac{1}{v} \oint_{V_f} \nabla p dV + \frac{1}{v} \oint_{V_f} \operatorname{udiv}(\nabla \underline{v}) dV \dots \text{[A3.4]}$$

Noting that  $(\underline{v} \ \underline{v} \cdot \underline{n}) = 0$  on the wall,  $S_{\underline{w}}$ , and introducing a quantity v', representing the deviation of the local point velocity from the local volume average velocity :-

 $\frac{v}{2} = \frac{v}{2} + \frac{v}{2} + \frac{v}{2}$  .... [A3.5]

Note v = v  $\overline{v}' = 0$ 

Equation [A3.3] become :-

 $pdiv(\underline{v} \ \underline{v}) = -\nabla p + \mu(\overline{\nabla^2 v}) - pdiv(\overline{v'v'}) + \frac{1}{V} \int pnds$  [A3.6]

The interesting feature of [A3.6] was the additional term, -pdiv $(\overline{v'v'})$  which is very similar to the Reynolds stress term produced in the time based averaging process used for turbulent flows.

Various techniques have been suggested to simplify [A3.6], and for one dimensional creep flow (ie. delete inertial terms) the Darcy law can be derived. Another simplification for two dimensional, inertial flow, suggested by Whitaker (1969), introduced a total resistance tensor R. The nine terms in this tensor would have to be evaluated experimentally, and were expected to be proportional to  $\mu$ , some length scale and the permeability of the porous medium.

1 v v is known as the dyadic product, and was treated as a second order tensor.

At the present stage it was felt that the above line of thought was not worth pursuing further : techniques for determining R were not known to the author.

# A4 lests on the Porous Matrix

At the preset state of the art, it was felt that a macroscepic approach could be expected to yield results more quickly. A preliminary investigation was done, starting with a dimensional analysis.



# FIG A4.1 : SKETCH OF POROUS MATRIX WITH JET AT LOWER SURFACE

Because designers of transpiration cooled turbine nozzle guide vanes have a very limited pressure differential to work with,  $\Delta p$  was considered the most important quantity.

- 131 -

The variation of b with a, L and V is required if a uniform cold layer is to be present on the outer surface.

$$\Delta p = f(L, a, D, b, V, \mu, \rho)$$
 ..... [A4.1]

Typically, the following relationship was produced :-

$$\frac{\Delta p}{\mu^2 / \rho \mathcal{U}^2} = (Re_D)^u (\frac{a}{D})^v (\frac{b}{D})^w (\frac{L}{D})^x$$

Because 3 length scales were present, these could be interchanged at will. The first dimensionless group was particularly interesting :-

 $\frac{\Delta p}{\mu^2 T \rho D^2} = \frac{\Delta p}{\rho V^2} \cdot R e_D^2 \qquad \dots \dots [A4.2]$ 

 $\Delta p / \rho V^2$  is the well known pressure drop coefficient  $C_p$ .

Define

$$C_{p_D}' = C_p \cdot Re_D^2$$

..... [A4.3]

Some tests were performed on a matrix made of plastic spheres,  $D_o = 37,2$  mm above an axisymmetric jet from a 80 mm pipe. The flow rate was measured with an orifice plate, and V was the average velocity in the pipe. A static pressure tapping was placed at the jet outlet.

A steam generator was placed upstream in the pipe, and the air passed over dry ice, thus producing a visible flow at the pore is matrix surface. (See Fig A4.2). It was not possible to measure h with any reliability.



### FIG A4.2 : LOW VELOCITY JET, V = 2,72 m/s, EMERGING FROM MATRIX

It was observed that at high velocity, the smoke formed a jet above the matrix, whereas at low velocity, the smoke filtered slowly through the matrix, and had spread much more. The conclusion reached was that for large L, an initial 'turbulent' region existed, causing a high pressure drop. This caused the jet to spread, hence slowing down to the laminar Reynolds number range. Creep flow resulted in this region - the loss of inertia being clearly visible when the smoke merely filtered through the last row of spheres. The 3 short lines on Fig A4.5 diverged from the solid line for increasing  $Re_{\underline{L}}$ . This was thought to have been caused by the small additional pressure drop through the 'Darcy' region. Fig A4.3 shows turbulent flow emerging from the porous matrix.



FIG A4,3 : TURBULENT JET : V = 6,5 m/s, EMERGING FROM MATRIX

The full results were not reported, but two of the graphs plotted are presented in Figs A4.4 and A4.5.



FIG A4.4 : PLOT OF C' VS Re-

- 135 -



FIG A4.5 ; PLOT O' C' VS ReL

136

# APPENDIX B

### B1 Design of the Wing Tunnel, Fan and Contraction

Subsonic wind tunnel design has become a well documented exercise. Basic decisions such as whether the tunnel should be open or closed circuit, and type of fan are very much cost dependent.

The tunnel built for this project was to form part of an existing unit. After certain sections had been constructed, it was found to be preferable to be independent of other research programmes, and a tunnel was designed around the parts already built. This was unfortunate, because the tunnel could have been better designed.

The open circuit tunnel consisted of a centrifugal fan, diffuser, settling chamber, contraction, entry section, working section and an exit diffuser.

Materials used were wood strips (100 mm wide), banded to 3 mm thick masonite, the smooth side of which formed the inside walls of the tunnel. The edges were supported with slotted angle iron. An outside company made the settling chamber and contraction of mild steel sheet. Details of the working section appear in Appendix B2. The entire tunnel is supported at shoulder height on wooden legs.

A centrifugal fan, somewhat overpowered by a 22 kW squirrel cage induction motor, was made available for the project. This unit was used to blow air down the tunnel, rather than sucking the air through the tunnel. If the ducting was attached to the fan inlet, it was expected that swirl due to the rotation of the fan would be transmitted back to the Working Section. The removal of such a vortex may have required straightner vanes, a complication which was to be avoided. The profile at the fan outlet was highly nonuniform, but this was to be controlled with screens. In the event, this proved more difficult than was expected. The profile in the entry section was much improved by the addition of honeycomb in the settling chamber. Aluminium honeycomb, 50 mm thick with a cell size of 6 mm, was used.

The contraction had an area ratio of 3:1. Theoretically this should have reduced the velocity fluctuations by a ninth. A potential flow analysis (see Cheers, 1945) was used to design the contraction. The equations were handled on a Hewlett-Packard 9810 calculator. The streamline denoted as contraction wall was plotted by the calculator (Fig B1.2).



TUN ER, CTI

FIG B1.1A : PLAN (C) EW OF THE WIND TUNNEL, SHOWING (A) THE SETTLING CHAMBER, (B) THE CONTRAC-TION, (C) THE ENTRY SECTION, (D) THE WORKING SECTION uniform, but this was to be controlled with screens. In the event, this proved more difficult than was expected. The profile in the entry section was much improved by the addition of honeycomb in the settling chamber. Aluminium honeycomb, 50 mm thick with a cell size of 6 mm, was used.

The contraction had an area ratio of 3:1. Theoretically this should have reduced the velocity fluctuations by a ninth. A potential flow analysis (see Cheers, 1945) was used to design the contraction. The equations were handled on a Hewlett-Packard 9810 calculator. The streamline denoted as contraction wall was plotted by the calculator (Fig B1.2).



FIG B1.1A : PLAN VIEW OF THE WIND TUNNEL, SHOWING (A) THE SETTLING CHAMBER, (B) THE CONTRAC-TION, (C) THE ENTRY SECTION, (D) THE WORKING SECTION

- 138 -



FIG B1.1B : SIDE VIEW OF THE TUNNEL WITH (A) THE FAN UNIT, (B) THE DIFFUSER, (C) SETTLING CHAMBER AND CONTRACTION, AND (D) THE COMPRESSED AIR SUPPLY

- 139 -



FIG B1.2 : CONTOUR OF WIND TUNNEL CONTRACTION

625mm DIA SI CD50 DUST FAN Nº 32 DENSITY'I 2 KGIM. TEMP: 20 C ABS PRESS'760mm HG. 700 650 600 8 PM 2720 AREA 0 052 M х 550 500 2500 23001 450 400 2100 350 1900-300 250 TWG 200 150 PRESSURE IN MM 100 80 60 7100 40 20 10 4 2 15 05 25 VOLUME IN MISEC. 2.5 NUT TITAL 20 K.W. INPUT TO FAN SHAFT 1.8 10 8 00 0 5 1 5 2 5 VOLUME IN M SEC.

0

C



- 141 -

# B2 The Working Section

A working section producing two dimensional flow with  $Re_L = 1,2 \times 10^6$  was required. The plate was to be solid, interspersed with a porous section through which a secondary flow could be introduced.

The working section was made rectangular, with dimensions 0,496 x 0,209 m. At a flowrate of 1,5 m<sup>3</sup>/s, a velocity of 17 m/s was expected in the jet. With the 1 m long, parallel duct ahead of the working section, various plates could be attached to the leading plate, allowing variation of  $R_{e_L}$ . With a leading plate 0,8 m long, as used in most of the tests,  $Ra_{E_L} = 1,2 \times 10^6$  was achieved at the end of the working section, which was 0,865 m long. A boundary layer bleed drew air off below the leading plate.

The plates and the roof of the working section were made of 3 mm aluminium sheet. Perspex (6,35 mm thick) was used for side walls. The porous plates had dimensions 500 x 244 x 6,35 mm. The porous plate leading and trailing edges were milled at 45°, and was pulled up to the aluminium plates with adjusting screws inside the plenum chamber. Filters were incorporated in the plenum chambers, to avoid the 'ower porous surface from becoming clogged with dirt or grease.

An exit diffuser was attached to the end of the working section. It was 1 m long, and prevented downstream conditions from affecting the flow in the jet. This section was on wheels, and could be removed to allow work inside the working section when setting up the hot wire transducer. The perspex sides were also removable.

# B3 Engineering Drawing

Fig B3.1 is a reduction of the assembly drawing of the Working Section.



143 -

# APPENDIX C

# C1 Calibration of the Orifice Plate

The orifice plate was calibrated according to BS 1042.

C2 <u>Calibration of Thermocouple</u>

Copper-constanten thermocouples were used. The calibration curve is shown in Fig C2.1 below.



Fig C2.1

### C3 The Calibration of the Hot Wires

The single sensor hot wires were calibrated using the Disa calibrating rig. This unit consisted of the Disa Pressure Control Unit, type 55 D 44, the Pressure Converter, type 55 D 46, and the nozzle unit, type 55 D 45. A Van Essen manometer model 6750 was used to measure the pressure drop across the nozzle.

The anemometer gain was adjusted using a square wave generator, and an oscilloscope to see when the amplifier became unstable. The zero flow voltage was determined, after amplification by the Auxilliary Unit, as was the hot wire resistance at the calibrating temperature.

Each hot wire (there were 4) was calibratel using the anemometer and the Auxilliary Unit.

King's Law was used to describe the calibration curves :-

 $V^2 = V_0^2 + B U^3$  .... [C3.1]

To obtain B and n, the data was plotted on log-log axes in the following form :-

 $\log\{(\frac{V}{V_o},)^2 - 1\} = n \log V$ 

Clearly, if the lhs was plotted against log U, the slope was *n*. Note that  $V_o' = \sigma V_o$ , where  $\sigma < 1$ . The value of  $\sigma$ was varied to find the value which gave the best straight line through the points.

The lineariser used the function

$V_{LIN} = K(V^2 - V_0^2) \frac{1}{n}$	[C3.2]
$v_{LEW} = \kappa (v_0^{-\alpha} - b u^n - v_0^{-\alpha}) \mathcal{H}$	[C3.3]
$V_{r,r,n} = KBU = cU$	[C3.4]

to convert the non-linear anemometer output to a linear voltage. Ine exponent was set or the unit, and the hot wire was calibrated with the lineariser.

# C4 Temperature Correction for the Anemometer Output

The heat loss from a hot-wire is influenced by the air velocity and by the air temperature. The anemometer output for a specific velocity must be corrected if the air temperature is different to the calibration temperature. This correction was performed from the following considerations :

The heat balance equation for a hot wire is :-

$$\frac{E^2}{R_w} = (T_w - T)h$$

..... [C4.1]

E = voltage drop across the hot wire  $R_{w}$  = working resistance of the hot wire  $T_{w}$  = working temperature of the hot wire T = fluid temperature h = heat transfer coefficient.

For constant h, the expression for the correction of the anemometer output for a change in T

 $E_n^2 = E^2 \frac{(T_{w} - T_{n})}{(T_{w} - T)} \qquad \dots \dots \quad \text{iC4.21}$  $E_n = \text{corrected voltage}$ 

 $T'_n$  = calibration temperature

The resistance of the hot wire changes with temperature according to :

 $R = R_n \{1 + \alpha (T - T_n)\} \qquad \dots \qquad [C4.3]$ 

R is the resistance at temperature T,  $\alpha$  is the thermal resistance coefficient :-

$$\alpha = 0,0036 \ 1/^{\circ}C$$
 ..... [C4.4]

Equation C4.2 may be rewritten :-

$$E_n^2 = E^2 \frac{(R_m - R_m)}{(R_w - R)}$$
 ..... [C4.5]

R was calculated from [C4.3], and the corrected anemometer reading from [C4.5].

# C5 Correction for Proximity of the Wall

It was expected that the heat loss from the hot wire would increase very near a wall (y < 2mm). Various attempts have been made to correct for this apparent increase in velocity, see Dryden (1936).

The change in voltage  $\Delta V$ , can be calculated from

$$\Delta V = \sigma n \frac{\left(T_{N} - T\right)^{2}}{v^{2}}$$

which was given by Horsley (1975). The value of c was estimated to be 2,53 x  $10^{-6}$ , for y ir nm. n was the exponent for the hot wire, discus n C4.

# APPENDIX D

# D1 The Experimental Results

Presented here are the results of the velocity profiles measured in the boundary layer. The velocity measurements were corrected for temperature and the proximity of the wall.

### Notes on experimental results

A point law was fitted to the input profile. It was given in the first lime of printout. FD was u/u. The coefficient of the first right hand term was the inverse of the power calculated.

A vircual origin for the first profile was calculated from

 $\delta = 0,383 x / Re_{\pi}^{1}/_{5}$ 

..... [D1.1]

 $Re_x$  was based on this virtual origin. The difference in x length between subsequent stations was added to the fir---value.

The programme, DP2, performed the integrations for  $\delta^*$  and  $\theta$ , and plotted the results. The graphs are given in Fig 7.10.

		- 149 -	2.4
	<pre>&gt; 00000000000000000000000000000000000</pre>	6 66525 0 6 66525 0 7	A . 00 NV
KG/CU +	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	с+ос ктта= во кс/си+м во кс/си+м с+ос мтта= во кс/си+м с+ос м+м/5 с+ос	WW THET
X= 2.245 RM3= 0.9985 NH= 9.18035	MEHO 2000044 NAO4N AD NACHO 200004 NAO4N AD NACHO 20004 NAO4N AD NAC	A 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	D-TR 7,25
00 4/5 11. J Det E- + KC/MS	0437750707000000000000000000000000000000	од мм 2 7 5 2 7 7 2 7 5 2 7 7 2	2.10 M
4F±  9 (= 0.22 17.40 = 0.80	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		9 9 6 1 9 9

851																															
16-00			VADEL	3.0124	0.0774	0 10 2	0.40.31	0.0 48	000 000	0* 9100	** *+25 P*	<ul> <li>0.4.655+04</li> </ul>								APPER	0. 11 W	1.1.0	1-6.0	0.01	0. 3715			0.74.75	0-441	TAO 4.6 VW	0435401
14/D1+-0-*	KG/CU.M	5 M M 20 1	U/uf	c . 2 . 0	0			0	200	200	A THETA	E.C. RTTA					R)	N'ND/"Y E	CE-04 M.M/S	-Envil			0404.10	5-10-D	1402.10	******	1012 0	64 CO 10	C. 9014	2HT H	440
x= 2.079	TH3= 1.0002	NU- C. 1710E	APPEA	0-143	0 8 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.105	2 2 2 E	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 00 A	5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1 5 63 4 F	0 TR= 0.523 E			STATION 2		X = 2	0 - 6 - 0 - 6 - 6 - 6	NU 0 1800	- Jee L.					14. 17. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19		100 0.0 0.0 0.0	6. 6 13	0.9.2	ACTON 5.58	
5 # 102100			12421		100	14	5		0	101				C-04.N= 1.23			274-14	500+1				5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -	2 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	535	4 632 - 161	0	1 2 4 7	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	00		
1 - 1 = 2 - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C -			i ana a					1 md	4. 00 T	c 30 60		n 4 11 11 11 0	1	HAPE TAC		 UINT= 17-	ACKH T. C.	н 7 5	M.C. 7			V0	101 6	110	11 12	17.13		100 100			DEL=

		*********		********	****
	SI FANIO	saf wi			
10 10 10 10	RE # 0	CP4E+C7	458		
F-10/2/11	T a T	DEG C	9 84 UN	4 V M/S	
2051					
0	(mm)A	1 Fund 1	40724	prue .	1.6/A
VZněl	7+82	915	C. 1 4 L	0 4 8 4 0 0 4 8 4 0 0 4 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ere Goo
0.0124 0.035		u a ( c, c, ( c) P	2	0 51 15 0 51 15	000
0.0107 0.0503	2	U 2 U U P U P P	1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	1 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4	
	0. 10 11. 0	01. 2 C	2 C. C.	(5	
041	2 U C		2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
		. L. I.V.		8 0 0 0 8 0 0 8 0	
0, 7041 0, 7041 0, 7045	a 4 a 4	- 40- - 40-	202 - 1 201 201 201 201	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
		- 35 two	0574= 6.26 H	THETA	*** **** **
	0	R 9. 1 . 5	RD518= C.64511	-E+ BTTA-	Q.4.6.78C+34
			40 F 2 C 1 F V U		
	DENF=	P .   u/s			
	RC D.	226. •	85 E = 1	20	
	7= 22+6	0 D C C	R-0 C \$80	9 - G/C ). 4	
3	w 1= 0+1	R25E-C4 KG M-	NU= 3.1 6L	E-CA VANIS	
4/5					
	LAN)L	U (*/S)	V / TTA	u / UE	APREL
YIDE	65 U. 4	6 . 6 & F 7 . 0 7 4	C 31		0 0217
C. 2195	4 4 4 C	1 4 5 4 0 1 1 4 5 4 7 0 1	NOIC FILC		
6	5 5 F 5 F 7 F			0 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
5 0.0 885 5 0.0 885			2 - 2 - 2 2 - 2 - 2 2 - 2 - 2	14 14 1 14 14 1 14 14 10	101 0
	C 2 4 C 4	1 2 2 2		0 8005 6 8370	4140 D
	100 V 200 P 201	7.244	00	0 8020 0 8020 0 8020	0 3667
	24 66 20. P.6 75 65	R 673 6 857 6 833	5 231 295 7 759	00000	0 5300
0.8902	43 * 86	000.0	9.232	0.639	0.6961
THETA= 4.23 NW	CEL =	13.94 MM	DE10- 7.21 M	1341	
P = = 0.42375+04	BOEL=	- S-0 9E+0 5	RD 510 - C. 8372	+ 64 2112	*8+30205*0 =

CUPAR & STAR LOCIEDD. 2. CERCI-51 +LOCIADI. C. 100-6-

1 1.795 PHD 0. 5856 KT/CU. NU= 1. 1426 34 M NU- 3.1 51 6-04 M LIVE PO === .574 E+C4 T ... 0 = 21 US D-19- 5.74 MM \*\*\*\* Will Sylspinet KG/45 5HAPE FACTO9 . . . 1.27 WIS CALTER KRAME 5-1- C-3 01-6 WARKS IN 15/01 0 - THE 19 - 17 - 17 PERS T. T. SHOOF ATT. U-NE 11.216 U/E EL . 47.65 PM T= 11+70 DEG.C 1-4X= 2-17048+07 1= 2- 51 D 6 C L.L= 77.67 M 

- 150 -

-

	***	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C (ATITV )	*******	****
	UTHF= 15	14647 VAL			
	REX 3	6 EF 17	165 v =0Ha	5 K-100-4	
E-12,41-17	r∪= C	04-CA KG/ME	1191 - C = 014	E-CA New/5	
2852					
	Lanit	iseni N	11172	UVJE	VIDEL
	10 m m		0.11 m	5.0018 5.0018 5.0018	11-C-C-C
	100			7 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	5 - 5 - 5 5 - 5 - 5
0	14.11	u a l	10 8 4 1 L	0.4553	5000 U
		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	F H P A L	0.5735	
	11.1	10000	CAP CI	3.5571 6.4101 6.4101	0.1154
7 F A	0°11	C	1444 1		0.1702
1	51.10 51.10	1 5 . 7 . 1	100. TO	0.8055	2125
	11.12	1	10000		Cack .
5.2			10 × 1	0 • 0 1 ¢ 0 0 • 0 1 4 1 0 • 0 1 4 1	1. 4 1. 1. 1 1. 4 1. 4 7. 4 1. 1 7. 4 1.
	100	a a a	00	0.9821	0、マカイケーの。 ひんほう
			0 1 30 M	M THET	4 H V
	ב רי		3766	C-PS DTTA	- 0 51045
	5011.5 • • • •		0 5 7 C F F G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
	UINTE	1 × + + + + = 5			
	S = X D =	L P N	X= 1+9	7=	
		2. 5.6.C	50 - 0 - 0	55 KG/CU.4	
	• = - Cod	BUAF-CN KG/MS	NUN Jeffa	3E-C ++M/S	
			0		V DEL
	22	0 14/1	111		
		PILPIL UNULUU     W (10 PLL)     PILPIL UNULUU     W (10 PLL)     PILPIL     PILPIL	N 4 - N 4 N COLOR DO	0000 0000	
	- Lav		1 2210 - 0121	THE?	*** 5.25 W
	Dr. L =		and a second	BTT	

-----THEFEN T. 2006 PHG= 5-54 46/CU.V U.M. シレーノン SAIDA VITENELS INA ra states Iscor 1 UINE 17+622 4/5 21+35591+1 =+30 5 940 GC 4 A Law 1

154= 1.172 F . 57 - 17 - 2 - C - C

X 1. \* 78 4

CUTADOCAGOUL CLOOPE 200 retra 4.41 44 THETAR 24 Restau 3.51925154 OFTAR 3.37 See. THETAT 24 -/H-H C-30, 1- 07 U alle ATEL. U -. 19: 35- CA FG/-12/21 CELT 49.64 W 

FDEL= 47 75- 5

- 151 -

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UTMF= 20,535 4/5 RFX= 5,2404244 X= 2,272	T= 23.27 DEG 249= 0. 9767 KU/CU.N		VINNE U CAPES VITA UJUC VINE		DEL= 51.70 A DSTR# 7.16 MM THETAE 4.68 MM		中国, 1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,19	UINF= 21.001 M/S REXE 0.26748.77 X= 2.372	T= 23.40 DES.C	NU= 0.1834E+2+ KG/MS NU= 0.1871E+0+ M.M.K	VINN U VSI VITTA UVIE VIDEL	DEL= 53.61 %* DSTR* 8.22 NE THETA* 5.60 NM RDEL= 0.53316+05 RD539* 0.92715+2% NETA= 2.50225+24
	Curve 1 FittDG(FD) = 0.11036.00 *LUG(V/D)+-1.217*E-04		U NFE 19-642 4/5 E-1379-17	Т. 22, п. 22, п. 22, п. 2052 	<ul> <li></li></ul>	DEL# 43.42 44 DSTR 5.4 44 THETA 4.22 M4	ROELE 0 4571E+15 APS RE 0+5597E+16 RT A 2+12	SH PE FACTOL A 23		UINF = 10,40~ M.S	41 - 23 2 3 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		DEL# 99.42 M.4 DSTR# 6.37 N.M THETA * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

- 152 -

12-20692 \*> \*|WAIDERS, 1+24111\*2 #[WAIDER SILE I HAURD

The Parada	Say an	***	,
TRAN TERST	840 + 24 BC		
a r.secel-la kores	11 L * 2 * 1 13	510+1 12-35	
Asend () Some	*****	-040-	1001
4555 4555 4555 4555 4555 4555 4555 455	- 1 E - 1	C 8 A 4 C 8	

C	- 1-51 N
NUCCUCCOUCCOU	10.53
	PARTY SAFE BA
	- 14

	9
	4
	•
	- 🖗
	8
	÷.
	- 4
	÷.
1.	
-	
12	
-	
100	
2	
1	
1	
1	
1	•
2	
100	

. \*

Southern with

「「「「「」

	ā	
	*	
	1	
	*	
	•	
	•	
	4	
	4	
	1	
	2	
5	1	
	a i	
2	4	
Ċ	4	
8	4	
E.		
6-	i i	
i.	6	
	-	
	1	
	4	
	•	
	-	

	State of the second		NUMER ADDREED BAD MOUNT
DINE SAN SAN TANTA	FERT DUBLICTONIA	The BRANK DIRAC	MUN Calufactes actes

ILC/A		
- Nrn	000001 1 00000000000000000000000000000	N A LOWL
81776		0.579× 5+32 wa
N TOPEK		Mm 12+0
Inch	0.0	051

4 4 4 9 9 4 4 9 9 8 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9		2* (Jot
		-
	UTHER IN. ANE WAS	A Description of the and

-17 0-17 2853

0

100 = 1 = 1	#40- 01-9933 KG/CO*#	Simen u3-30241+2 -())
Literinter and	S.Dad to.at .	Speller The auchited at
2	2	-

AJOET	-L10-V	1.10.3	5 55 5	6 2 2 6 7 6	0. 5474	ちゃく ひょう	C. CAO2	011 0	6-2-0	5-23-5	5 802 V	or ar c	C 4 4 7	0.59.3	0.6052	0 5172	1500 %	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
SUAU	7.25.0	0-22-0	1 - 3 2 2 1	5. 3642	5. 2504	0.440	9.5538	0.5007	0.6771	0 785	C.P.R. C	<b>3.</b> 4653	0.01-6	C. 0013	C 19613	C	C:00*0	a dea
Sala	P. L. S.	T . T . K	E. C. D.	THE C	r. A. G	r + U	0 0 0	0 0 1	1 - 7 - 9	2 4 4	0 0 1	C C - F	× 2 ×	110-9	7.237	8. 7	0. < 3	NAVE A 2 4 20
12201 0	A LOUG	4 404 4	F. 11. 1	F. 8 C. 9.	1 1 1 1 L	9. 10	L L L L	1 C *** 3 *	12-17-	1000	1 2 -	I S wild R	16.15	171.01	17.41	17.1 5.	A	
And La			221	5.77	222	7.23	= 27	1.27	1.27	12231	12.27	1 . 27	75.75	- 27	75460	11 27 77	75	

# MARK N

- 153 -

0.0 + 0.0

たちろい

11274

100

1000

Sea Ineal works

\*\* 2.191 Andre 2.9716 KG250.9 Aus 1.18356-64 \*\*\*\*\* TH LF.TH AFE.C 564 C.452455457427

VIDEL	 512.3	C.0167	C. C. 54	C .3 a S	0.0540	0- 2743	CELLE O	C. 1715	0.2333	6.3273	0. 4245	6143 C	0.5191	C. 8175	
True.	 0.21	1.40.0	213232	3-35-6	6 - 3 K - 0	0.4312	2.513	0.61 3	0.70.2	0.8143	0.8843	1710-0	0. 93A1	0.0697	
	r 101	5 2	U C	2	C	50	50	91	12	33	35	27	51	42	
1223	1		P. C	5.4	-	940	6.9.2	R	1+2	3.0	C" PI	4. 5	5.7	5+2	
121.01 11		\$ \$25 C	5.64.3	A . 6 ] 0	2000	100-1	0.457	1 305	12.933	15-029	16.310	= 16 - 9 I e	12.2.7	17-881	
+ Ca.0 1.	 	0 4 G	64.53	22.20	2. 0.2	E 4	59.5	6 a . 11	29-11	1. 7.7	11. 22	C0 . 45	21. 92	1.82	

POSTN+ G.GTEDE+R4 PTTAN D.5682E+DA

STELL 0.52665+25

BURNESSERTE SEALE

ADEYON D. AJAYON CA

\*1\*3\*65\*0 #130M

******				TJELY	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	A= 4.67 MM	= 0 3370E+C4	建建汽油 计	***					V DEL	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAU 186 44
**********	10	M CO M	E - 34 M M/	U/UE		I HET.	E RITA	3			94	72 KG/CU M	AF-04 X M	ante	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	THE THE
·*************************************	x 2 005	5176 0 ×2HA	11281 0 FIN	4774		9.5.9	1 5 0 5 H	STAT ON			X= 2 0	R HO 0 97	U U Z	822/4	00000	DSTR= 5.65
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 4/ 5	DEL	30E-3 + KGT 45	in Longs			• 70 MA			Saure ar S	17926- 17	4 D 6+C	83 K8	SINI	PE 487788.4448.4028.4028.4028. 88788.4028.4028.4028.4028.40 - 1 - 688.4228.4028.4028.4028.402 - 1 - 688.4228.4028.4028.4028.4028.4028.4028.40	C. 21 M
	UINF= 15,		g - 0 ≠ ∪ M			43.71		х П П		U INF 2 L	AEX= C.	1 23 7	1 0 = C.M	1 MIN A	0 - 18 3 7 2 5 - 14 5 5 4 5 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	- 130

-----

N 1.22

FD FR LA

35 80

	6 43 -	
N 0		M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M
3	0 K C.U. M	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
5 1 7 1 0 M	920+1 =1 1710-0 ECMA	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
**************************************	60 -20 -2 50 -20 -2 10 -4 10 -2 10 -4 10 -4 10 -4 10 -4	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	с с с 1 4 4 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

- 154 -

x

AVDFL an ku an ku	СССЕ Н СССЕ		ала сстания и с	••• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• ••		0.402
		0000000	20 - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C -	4444444 6 10 Po b V U 0		
	K	0000 	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NIM 3 A 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		
71011	E 10120 €	V/TTA 0.3586 0.3586	C	N 4 0 0 9 4 0 0 9 0 0		
	5/47A 50	NU= 0 8 25	83 L U KG/HS	100 100		
	К. СС. М	X 2 34	00 5 c	2 2 2 2		
			6. 34 4/ E	н 2 С		
······································	********		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			6 C
ar ww	THETAP	TE: 7.37 MM		н (40 н Сх		
	0000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0			<ul> <li>√</li> <li>4</li> <li>4</li></ul>		
	0002000 0002000	11× TNS×		1 0 0		
	0000000 0 11000 0 11000 0 11000 0 11000	N 27 V 7 N V 0 1 2 N C C 0 P O C		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
13C/A	0.1121		1 21 - 1 -	1 22 1 4		
	11 T T	NU- 1. E 55-	326-0- KG/MS	g S fu		0402
	KG/CI M	КНД: 9.3757	DEG -	T 23.89		969-13
		122°C X	-1-1 4/5	0 N R = 5		
	******	STATEON 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	****		
				****	*	

155

RT == 0.46765

PAS R= 0 49 F 9

T 6.3 3 ...

24 44

E - 9.E ATT C. 35441+54 \*\* 5L\*\* \*\*\* 1 ×2011 AT -389 76+0 -- V C/ALOD TO DO DOLATO B TO ALANT TAKE T APPEND 6 5 7 E 0 W 13 SANA SUTTON NAMES R 2 3 1 2 7 67 1 2 4 6 1 C 1 4 A 111 No. 「おおおちのか SH FE FA . Gu ! ! . T 23 & 0.3 40 3 7-3+ KG MS AUS DURTE-UN KENN 12/1 (-1-1-1) L 45 5 533 13 6 X= 0 177LE 1 U F 15. 351 4/5 57X C. 0 72. 1 22 1 X 

			*/DEL		5 10 K	C 3585+04	*******					A/DEL	
	KG/CU.N	-C • N/S	5/0E	000000 - WO ALUGLA - ALGO 	THE	E PTTA	**********			O KG/CU.M	E-D& N*14/S	avro	Coord
X=2-1	RHDT 0. CA 4	NU= 0 - 1 8595	V/TTA	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000		57R= 0.6-23E	**************************************		= 2.34	CR5 DHa	19	× / 774	40104000000000000000000000000000000000
			S.	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	5,0	5 PD		UI VI	-		KG / # 5	181	00 00000 - 000000000000000000000000000
5 550 W/	DEG.C	826F-14	U 64/	CIR MOR ODO HINN N M MIL 4 40	1 20 N	C 195 F C		TENDE N	- 34 36 F - C	0 DEG C	1825E+ LA	0 14.	
U 141 0	7= 22.4	P.0 = 0 + 3	1 4 1 4 4		а 14 - Е Г а	FDFLE		8 17 I D	RE = 0	= 23	MUS	24 2	0-07.48 450-Nedel Vercies

E-11.59 0301 8114 D. 3524E+34 DTTE . 6 . 404 77 10" 7 4410EL -HETA - 39 WW V/DEL 10-VE 1 FITE LOGIEDIE C. 11028 - 21-40 (Y/2) -- 2.57255-24 NU 2. P40E-64 NEW X= 2.575 P.D. 0.59 6 6.6.0.44 NAUF angra+ 0. 35- +04 AN 9513. - 4140 
 Weekee
 \$<0001304000</td>

 Conterration
 \$<000130400</td>

 Conterration
 \$<00013040</td>

 Conterration
 \$<00013040</td>
 ATTA I M 25 5 CTOP + 25 -- 2 -- 056.4C MJ . 0. 167 5-14 KENNE PDEL. 0.42627405 12/01 1 U'N'' ............ 12/101 UTHF= 15+242 0/5 REX = 176 F 6 28% 0-111126427 -= 21.50 DEG.C CELE SZUGA NA {nn} 

156

EURAL 2 FLT2. LOGITHIA D. 19465465405405405455455455455455455455455455

E-1279-13	10000000000000000000000000000000000000		00000000000000000000000000000000000000
× 6/ 60 -		CO V V V V	0.10 - 31181 - 1181 - 1181
00 1 8 0 - 016 8 0 - 1		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	40
50 4/5 2004 - 0 2004 - 86/45	L	≈2 2620 2620 2620 212 212 212 212 212 212	0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
U МПА Т R K X = 1 T X 20+ U X 101		201-1-2- 201-1-2- 201-1-2-2- 201-1-2-2- 201-1-2-2- 201-1-2-2- 201-1-2-2-2- 201-1-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-	<ul> <li>Monthless</li> <li>Estendent Construction</li> <li>Estendent Construction</li> <li>Estendent Construction</li> <li>Construction</li> <li>Construction</li> </ul>

се на статите статите статите и 4/ -5-670

- 2 - 51	0	E 0. 1411-06 4 1/3
D C. Rost. J7	Ta 22.15 DEv.	NU 51/10 0-10 00 00 00

10 (2.001)	Lation in the second	- 110/1 - 10 F = L - 1 - 10 L =		an and an	441.0	010= ==================================	a lon an labo	Tubrian 14.14 MM					- 1011 - 10
Warra Uni			0.21	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	412 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1/10	7. 50 F. 10 F.	DSTP= +15 MK	105 FE C 654 15 - 34			x= 2.359	1 2000 - 10.00
I THIST	TAL ST	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			104 -54	600 ····		5.0 - 7 M.A	0 423 - 0	Concession in the second	 15+11-0 A/S	0. 425. c	
5 ge - 3 -	500	an fr	2014	10.11	Neite	e	1.2	140	1.500		 1.148.4	20	

AINC.	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 12-12	C. 4051 104
UZUF	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	THI	C4 GT 7Am
A TTA	PMS 2200 P - 4 30 4 MM 24 P PMS 220 P P - 4 30 4 MM 24 P N 4 9 M - 5 M P M C - 3 M A M A 0 C C 0 0 0	PS758 0+05 PM	· BATTS D = STROA
12762.0	0.2 - 0.7 - 0.3 IF 2.3 F 4.4 G (P = 4.4)	. 52	201-1-10E-1
1.00.4		Dola a	505L - 0

-

CGTR= 4.40 % THET 4.5 44

2\_L= 52.7 Å 226L= €1552.05

-

- 157 -

N = 3 . 3 62-34 MEN/3

G 1425 - KG/W

Number

-)

е е вести стати стати стати стати. Статии стати

Y/31 - 467 E - 02 - 00

C1-C1 12-22	1030	ě O	
1			
	34 K. CU.M 26 K. CU.M	ALT P. 00000000000000000000000 P. 440000000000000000000 P. 44000000000000000000000 P. 4400000000000000000000000000000000000	
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	RMU= 2.07	200-200-200-200-200-200-200-200-200-200	
	15.005 M/S • 18766.07 98 766.0 18377-00 K G/MS	0.00 0.00	
2 4 11	и кин и кин и ки и ки и ки и ки и ки и к		

STATUS STATUS

A C +

x

STATIN STATES

																			-		1	5	8		din			
	Y /DFL	0-10-0	4 C V C 4	2 2 2				3 C	1 C	C 1 1 D		C-7305	0.26-4	0 2020	0 U M U	SAAL C	F1 47 * C	ы С	C 61 15	- nn - 0	C. RAAT		- C - L - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C					
17 ×6/CU+ 4	U/U/C	1 C 6 2 + C	12731	C 4117	000000	N 10 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0-044	5 r 2 c 0	0 7253	0-10-0	0 7 93	0 8175	0- 0:30	0-8532	0 13 8	5 8 A	0 01 0	0- 5 1	0 45 0	2 25 0	M	E-Q- HTTA				1	
2.3	ATTA	C 11 C	7 . 277	0.420	0. 5. J	737	5 5 5 1 C	5-1-1	6.2.1	1 7 4	1 . 3 - 3	2-2-3	2.5.8		O P	2112			0	2-1-5	9.429	DSTP= 8 11 M	RDSTP = 0.64-1		C. C		20 月、日本	
				C.20			463	010	05	A P R	206			000	04.0		2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	100	2 V C V C V			No L C	. 61 E - 4 7 S	ACT - H= 1.5	Ah . P.= 3 9			6 36A M/S
		1 2 2 2 2	• • •	2 2 4		-7-		- 1	а 1 ч (9 ,	J • •	J J	5/ -	220	-			- 22	7-4	2	77.1		ی ۱ ا	1 1 1 1	112111		*****		

	<ul> <li>A</li> <li>A</li> <li>A</li> <li>C</li> <li>C</li></ul>
0 XG/CU. X	AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
жни с н с и	Сорания Со
16	44 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1
С14F= REXE MOE 0.	

-

<b>u</b>
1.10
1
5
0
- C
-
0
-
-
C
No.
>
-
13
0
-
()
W
4.1
0
-
4-4
S
-
10
-
1
-
L
0
0
-
4
1

10-

ê

1010	1010												2	34										
			Vanes.	C. 1117	0.1413	0.05.2	2. 118	0. 023 C. 333	a. 479		0. 697	0 1 0	5 - 12 4	C 33 5E							AZDEL	5-0-0	0.224	0.0845
rì	A KG/CU. M	E-DA N-N/S	near	6100.3	0	8 C	5-1-2 0		4	0	C = 23	C-171	N THEYA	E				30	2 KG.CU.M	500++ +3-3+	L UF	0.6 18 0 0.5 1 1 0		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
X= 2.32	DHO C 073	N. 1 2 1 2	*12.04	1-1-	515			0	1 6	242	0.0°	1 1 1 4 7	x e a	Cu		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		P1 4 61	5 - D H d	Patric Pari	40.544	0 u 0 u		
367E 47	DEG.C	34F-CA COMS	is rusel	4 1 7 6					0 + 0 - 1			1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	2.2	ul a de la compañía de la	6. HA GULU		 1 1 0	20	5 - 5 - C	Back-la KG/W	11 14/21	14141		(, )  -  -
REY= C 12	TH TH HT	W1	1001-		CIT I	2.15	0 * * 0 6 * 1 1	8+14				e se m					 C N	RE= = -	10 L 11 11 10	*U= C.1 <sup>6</sup>	{ nn } A	2	N E	e e e 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1

V/DEL	2112-0	e	1 n2 1 0	3. 7. A A	C. C. S	C. 0 835	C. I 1 7	0.1: 9	C . 1 & 9	2.1721	C. 2213	9.2244	C . 7 5 2 6	0. 300H	C-3373	D. 37 3	501 FC	0.6310	C.57 &	C.6423	0.7514	0. 4255	200 A 10		3000E+0
L UF	2.2.26	5 × 2 ×	0 0	5 - 5 2 A	0 5407	0-70-5	5.7344	12=2=0	C . 7 7 19	C TOHS	0.004	1	0-9-0	0.355.7	C . 6673	G = 5717	0.8930	1200-1	0.0×13	C . 34 7	C. 35,74	0. 00.0		THE	+14 02+
41514	0	2 C 2	5	5 . L . C	C . F 76	0.000	8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	1 C 2 J	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	01 0	5 ° °	. c . c	A . F . P	1 6 1	- 656		K 123	6.0.0	7 24	V V G	8 775		NA 30-0 46135	RETE
11 PERSI	No. of Lot of Lo	1			THE PARTY NEW	100 F	0 · C · F															0 496		274.24 W	0-71848+55
E an JA			21.	e ( 4		ы. е н м		2 + 4 - 2	N		e .		12 . 2		-				10		3 + 6	• •		- T22	DEL-

No.

-

1 2. 48.8 UTHE= 104586, M/E 

NU 0.1850E-0.0 New/S RH0 = 0 5695 KG/CU H WI 7-18-25-C4 45/MS

A/BEL		A= 5.70 MM	
C PUE		E.C. RITA	
41272	UC COUDE - H-DNNOPERAESE	D 270 7.02 M	
O tariel		Carls We Carls	
1001		FEL.	

NU- 0. 15035-04 WWYS X= 2.583 RMC= 0.0 6 KG/CI/M W/= C. (Ratter serve - Fet : DEG .C

REX- "AILENSTRE"

A/DEL	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	NN 01-26-0
1.10F	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	+EA RTTA
47744	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 5 19
U THIEFY	NWC.OBINUUN 1918 6 - JOSHI K.F N.N.C G.C N.C. F 3 N.N JOF I O - P. 400 - P. 	63+39 MM 0+2663E+0
I MM	NE - 50 C - MUN	CE =

-

1

1

٠

2144 12.414 495	105 24 1200-5 2000 2012-5 200	. «G/CH+4	E 12	03-9
5/8 D 28 A	* / * *	0 / OC	20.24	
Contract (Contract)     Contract     C	9 619 619 0 1999 July 2 1999 1997 - 2 - 3 0 0 40 17 N N 4 1997 - 2 - 3 0 0 40 17 N N 4 1997 - 2 - 3 0 0 40 17 N N 4 1997 - 2 - 3 0 0 1997 - 3 0 0 1	44074 Cne 12NA 400 4473 Cne 12NA 400 4473 Cne 120 4474		
64, 75 64, 75 601 801 801 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	2 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0		100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	

矿硫 金田 金钟 医气管炎 化化过去分词 化消化剂 化结合物		8= 2+815		State States
	he 1 2 13	r = 2 33 E . ?	A.*	U 0+ 135+ 46/45

00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
4000000 4000000 4000000 4000000 4000000 400000000	11 1 1 1 1
	105 F- 7. 0 MM
13 M 11 COONSENSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSESSESSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSESSES 14 M 12 COONSENSES 14 M	5: 0 M 0.32 05
	535L =

2.5

	• • •	
* * *	*	
	9 16 19 19	
	* * * *	
* * * *	*	
* * * 2 *		
****	L L L	
2224	5 # # # #	
	* * * *	
2 7 7	***	SIT
****		7 J J -
	***	-
*		P NG

-

27 11 Diana	DH = 0 =	1 × 6/C + .	
= 0.14115 KG MS	NUE 0 = 1 M	SINAN DE-SY	
TAN U LASS	YTTA	二つ ノンデ	14
1	C.122	1000-0	10
	C	0.404.0	ő
٤ C	C. 345	0. 4.9	+
	0 11 0	0 + 54 - 5	č.
C 2 23	C . 074	C * 20- 4	2
	1. U. J	0.6	

NM 6045 - NM 7 9M - 2 3 4 4M NC 4 M 7 1 - N 7 6 1 M 1 C 2 0 G 2 7 1 3 - M 2 C 5 N 1 - C 7 0 C C C C 6 10 C M D C C C C C 1 - N C	ми 2.13 ми 2.13 ма
	57+22 M 57+25 5-31-725 FACON 10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1
····	н • • в 2 - • с

	X= 2.474	HU 01-1657 6.7CU H	NL	
L'NFALL. 5 IS	H XH C I H L . L	T* 27.0* DE++-	AUT C. LUT KG/MC	

47066		2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TUN	4123 4124 4124 4124 4124 4124 4124 5124 5124	T STA
8/A	000000	CSTR= 0.40 MM
U 1 15	960 4/15 C J(JOP 40 - 80 M B + 1 J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	59 25 M C 3 57E 5
1 2 2 1		כבר יסבר

- 3

M. \*1 #1\* -1 1.24 2 34145

- 160 -

- 84.0	
_	
100	
-	
6	
-	
1000	
1.0	
-	
- 10	
1.00	
1.616	
1.64	
-	
12	
-	
1.0	
-	
100	
-	
20	
Ē.	
1	
E.	
1 1/1	

SUN V

.

C-7.07-17	how.	NEW														4.4																		
			1000	-	100			1000		Num E * O		THU TO	and 12 10 100	a work all with a			********						6 8		0.7	0 T	2	N <sup>2</sup> Fu I	1 Pg 1	£	9 F			1+ 146 7 C + C +
		Samed allering	tori		5.5		54 75 × -	57 % - Y	5.0	8.	n K I		and a	NAME AND ADDRESS						a sair as			AND ADDRESS	and the second se		(* 1 - 1		C - 2	1.1.1		5 - L	TOP TO A		antie of
1. N.	I MILE N. CI	1.1 2 0 th	112.00				1.1.1		10 4 × 1		1000		STRL Put 10	Adda and a					of a said	11210 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		(adda)	1004	820	1011	Contraction of the local division of the loc	1999	1111	ACT AND	22/22		12. No.17 MM	144 6 2 12 400 -	A
	4 246.42	2005+ 03-01-04	Sartan In					2	4	11 L L 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		112-11	24.1 ML 14	TTTTAT+	C+11 ++ 1 +26		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$10 66.	TOTING'S	The set		10 14/241 (C	24004	a second		A STATE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11.000	1	10.0	1.499.4	14 mm 101	Surgery and	
TTAE Sa	1276 av	the sta	Canton.	Cer.	12.50	it was		194.4		6		Distan.	abur we	** - 745 A	Copie TA			38 23425	LAN PALS	#1 14/10	408 E+13	LANKS		1.11					. 1 . 1		15.41	reak ar.	Theis C. "?	

the Calabra and				
FFFE Saliterary	N= 2.34			
"" 25" P 3 10 4 4	Table Canad	10/0/ ···		
NIT T. TREAT CA. WRANS	11291*_ HIN	5/n+# +5-0		
*1845 ··· 4445 ··	NLLAL	6 MIT	44015	
1.1.1.1 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	110 T 11	11.7.17.0		
P. CI (219) 3-1 	5 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	ANALA	the state	
123	A A	C = store		
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	C. 2 C. 7	3		
10 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	n	्य न । ।	н <i>и</i> С с	
		20	2	
	1111	94 e.		
08.25 44.25 40	D.5700 4474 44	2.042	14 B. 74 80	
P2010 4.2010146	PERETER TATAL	ein FTE		
29-90-8 3-207-00-14-8 (+8-2				16
*****				1
UTURE 102 FOR MAR				
Aurascases and	194. 0			
Te 78. 55 01640	104 715 124	A SATLAN		
ANDER REALLAST S. MAR	NUA 7416312	E2635 82-		

ATTAN CLAURDENIA Surtae augh wh

upstee 0.4542Eaca 0.53C# 2141 mil

OCUNCO WRYSIGMA-SUK

È,

2.5
		************	**************************************	*******	*****
		S/ P ( V	c - c - 2	4	
C-9,28-17		1 DEG 4	CO O FLHA	J G/CU M	
TONI	0 8 4	N5 E- K 7 NC.	h = 0.1925	1-34 M-4/S	
	I MM I A	L 1. 151	V / F T N	. WANT	Y.DFL
4-10409-10-4 0-10409-10-4 0-1040-10-4 0-1040-10-4 0-1040-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10		0 - <	Contra Schonler 1000 	01000000000000000000000000000000000000	20000000000000000000000000000000000000
33	026	40.21 #4	DSTF= 7.00 MM	HETA	R 4.78 WM
381+38	R)RLE Sk of	A 06 - 01	ROSTE 0 600 E	+ 0 4 +	C. 431 E+34
	1				
5 7 5 5 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 7 7 7 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	****	9 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	2 - X L R	C7 E	X 2.42	7	
	C - 61	8 254 - KG/WS	NU= 0 930	9 KG CU M E+04 M+M/S	
				4 	
3	A NK	5 2 2	ATTA	20×0	V/DEL
5: 4×5055 - 4450 - 550 -	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	00005.00-07-0700000 07-070-075130000 *	-000-000000000000000000000000000000000	NUL 4400000000000000000000000000000000000	
7. TT	CEL=	+0 02 F4	DSTR & J MM	THETA	11 A C C 13
* U	RJEL	0	R0578 C 60336	+ 34 RTTA=	C * 467E+04
	E E E	ACTON 1. 55			

2446 1 411: JG(FA)= 3412501 + 10+L0G1 /01+-5+1342E-0

10N1			
O	> 00.000000000000000000000000000000000		
2 KG/CU K	COCCODCC	ла и стан и	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
X= 2.162 Phie 0.5488	<ul> <li>CCCC</li> <li>MULLIGE + 10</li> </ul>		NAULU TOTATA CONTACTA 
6.37. 4/5 14.46.07 76.46.47 84.6.46	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	5 2 2 4 3 2 6 5 4 3 2 6 5 4 3 2 6 5 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6	N CONSTRUCTOR STATES N CONSTRUCTOR STATES
0 1 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2			

£ .)

- 162 -

\*

-
2.2
121
- 10
.w
-
-
-
-
m
-
1.52
100
2
0
2.5
5
3
30
CSE
202 E
2 C 5 E
1205E
1205E
12 C 5 E
- 1 2 C 5 E
1202E
= 12C5E
= 12C5E
I= 12056
31= 112C5E
RI= 12056
- DI = 1205 E
rn1 = 12056
L C I = 12 C 5 E
L
GLTDI = 12056
10 LPI = 12056
36 L P I = 12 C 5 E
.36LPDI = 1205E
LOG PDI = 12056
LOG PPI - 12 C5 E
LOG LPI = 12056
11. LOGITRI = 12056
11 _ 26 LPR = 1205 E
111 _ 261 PPI = 112056
111 LOGITOI = 12056
11: JGLPDI = 12056
1 11 - LOGIPPI - 12 CSE
1 111 _ JGITPI = 12056
1 1 2 3 G L P 1 2 3 5 5 5
1 1 1 2 201 PI = 12056
1 1 1 1

C2.0 x 1, 102 1111= 2.0567 4.704 M 10N C2.0 10N	<pre></pre>	A TAN DATA A CLASTICA CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN CAN C
17 12 13 17 12 1 10 722 - KO/VS	<pre>&gt;</pre>	2 - M 1 - 1 - 20 2 - 2 - 5 2 - 2 - 5 1 - 2 - 7 2 - 2 - 7 2 - 2 - 7 Ac - 4 - 6 - 6
0 0 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2		

12. 14	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 36. 45. O
211	C-000000000000000000000000000000000000	AT THE TA
4 / L	1992: 2040 01, 1970 1992: 2040 01, 1970 1992: 2040 01, 1970 1993: 2040 01, 1970 1995: 2040 00, 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970	-37 Pa 2.45 ***
0 . ZS	-N NOMENEROSESS DIN 3513233 503233 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 50325 5035 503	R. L.
1 221		11-

5	N
ł	-
l	2
l	2

1 2+147

UINF # 1 45.0

	SULAT KG/NS	NU - I H	75-04 MAN/3	
45.1	14/51	7 /TTA	20×0	1
č		0 C T - U	04 15 45	0
. 0	0 1 1	5 T T T	0, 13, 1	0
- 2 1	G. /*3	* C + U		
C 1	2 4 7 4 7		0 41 0	10
e		61 CA TO	0 524	-0
5	7. 84	6- 626	5-225-0	99
- 20	C 2 3	- ビー 	5445	20
	1 0 0 1 1 1	74	1 4 5 4 T	0
	300 5	2.129	1997 - 2	0
5	5 - 4 5	2, 5', 7	5 FB + 3	0
2		2 7 5	111	00
ະກ	2 C P + 1	P3	405	21

4

00000000000000000000000000000000000000	A = 4 0 V
0000000 	ι. Π. μ.
	• U S C # 4 S C 4
	20 30 40 19
ំផ្ទីដំណីចំណីសសិកលស្សាសុស្សណ្ណា និងខ្ម	لت ب ب ب ب

******		×6/CU **	5/ -M VC-
** # ~* # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	x 2-24	1 Tan 0 =0H *	NU= 9-8E-
***************	1 - C - E - J	34	de E-2+ ×6/ ×5

4 60 F	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
20.00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
"Nearen		
U AISI		
- 7		

SHARE FACTON N= 1. 11

JANNE FACTORING 1+ 41.

- 163 -

化学的 化学学 计学学学会学会学会学会学会学会学会学会学

	<b>4</b> (E		********	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
*\$\$83E+0E*+LDG**/0)*+2+\$***		U NF = 2	21m .21				
		REX = 0.1	3 16 + 17 DRu -	2724 × 2727	KG/CU.M		
** 1+55*		MU= 0. 6	150-4+ KG/45	NC8 0.1907E	-CA M=M/S		
HEN 0.96 M KG/CU.M Num 3.18496-34 M-M/S			13/17 11	×7	0/04	71051	
<pre></pre>		0NE 30 0P 2000	11000× 000××× +× 1 • 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Cccaccoccoccocc	
			1001 1001 1001 11 11 11 11 11	8014000 - 60000 0 - 6000 0 - 6000 0 - 6000 0 - 6000 - 600	0000000 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		
4. 0 N 0. 01 V 0. 01 V V		0E-= 26	44 · · ·	0578 7.4 HM	THETA	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
TRE 5.0 MM HE A. 9.1		RDE = C		RCS R= 0 4 3 5	n 4 1 1 M 40 4	0 00	
D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
а вя екана ва			424 N/S				
		R X = 0	4. 2. 7 0 0)=6. C	X 2.2 4	0 6/CU H		
NU C. SJE-SA NAM/S		0	ATE- KG/MS	NU= 01.912			
<ul> <li>V. V. V</li></ul>			00000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Сосососсоссоссо Сососсоссоссо Сососсоссоссо Сососсоссоссо Сососсоссо Сососсоссо Сососсоссо Сососсоссо Сососсоссо Сососсоссо Сососсоссо Сососо Сососсо Сососсо Сососо Сосос Сосос Сососо Сосос Сососо С	
		RDRL= 0 RDRL= 0	. 340-с. 05 140-с. 05 1670м 1.51		• C4 RTA=	0 36756 0	

\*\*\* \*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 

\*U = - 8 - - - + KG/-

1510 1 0

( m = 3 A

2

FL RJELE 9-2 2 - 25

U NF= 12.350 4/5 REX 12 12 - 7 15/11 7

Inel's

SHAPE FACT ..... 1. 29

DEL= +5.91 \*\*\*

.

- 164 -

***********************			Taara				6600							ALCON P	1000- 10 1000- 10			به ۲۵ م ۲۵ م	C. 0 N	4 E 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4	12 6.40 th	10+21251."E
* *** 2 # 4 * *		ares would	-110		× 4 × 4 × 4 × 4 × 4 × 4 × 4 × 4 × 4 × 4		0 7 7 7 9 9 9 9 9	H 14574			60		51 +25 A+A+C	- MA	1				1022 C	0000 -000 -000 -000 -000 -000 -000	THE T	NG+PA BTTAT
2. 一方信件利润计划	22542 + 1010 5242 + 48	1241 T. 1014	4224		1000	с. (, (, (, Г	- U fu - U fu	werne rely H	10110 CI2		84 242	a to a contra	111 A. 1 A. 1	\$ 0.12 a	1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				.u.N .P. d.C	the start and	whethe zubaca
	-155-467 No. 6. 4	arts clus	As Part at					the state		200 511 ·	22 - 22 - 42 - 41	3*5×4 1	Contract access	1.0001	1022	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	0 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	V . F .		00000 -000 -		
		WI sOm	44443			AL THE		4 944		1 M.F. 2	and the local	74 2E.C	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	21001	• C 44		e, (, e, +		c			Lasta L
		3																				
11-12 11-12		C-12.22		lines		174241			e achd te Gaelerteis						1 and A	SALL F.					1 6	NA 1514 2
242 200		a colruse	Selven These	Agen	9 K C - 2 C	6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7,			a subra	******	*******		at some of	Anna Ment		1000		1111	14	s C a r E h L h .	( ·	at the team
		175 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	When the first	++++++					anda nat				in the			ALC: N				Pit C 4	u r	a first and a
-15-0351 140			SHEEK KEANE	Azent II		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.			al la marine			114 224	114114	A11-11 \$2344		2 42 4 2		1011	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		110111	
			2						2 .													5

- 165 -

\*

SALOF FALTURA SUSAN

\*2\*2+2+5\* = 144 = 5-144

0.)

		0511 9905 0 33E+04	.66				+01 MH THET ** 0+5220575+61 MH	RDSTR= 0.6423276+04 + + ETA= 0.431344.04		
V R VL CHINE FILE FILE FILE FILE 550000 C T = 500000 C T = 50000 C T = 500000 C T = 5000000 C T = 5000000 C T = 5000000 C T = 50000000 C T = 500000000 C T = 50000000000 C T = 50000000000000000000000000000000000	WILT	Average and the Post of Alter Cardon	UTAR SALESSENCE MARK AT X= 6+1045504 +CH	EAR STATE CHACK	T# 202		DELTAR 0.133121E101 A.M. DSTR= 0.756956E	RX= 0.4512 E-00 RUEL= 0.452 57E+C5	SPARE 1 10- 10-14/11-1 = 0.14+752E+61	
	25 ·····			10(12			35+81 MM } } 40 } *** 0*** 0*** 00 85 5 4 8 MM	R_ST = 2-1131 - 494		
	C+ 167* :- + K	ACD LEVEL IN CALLER AND ACT	STRATAT CANADO CANADO			1 10 00 50 00345+50 N. C. 16 20365+50	56+62 ( MA) 2388 018291	C	10-3-7-2-7 * 18-1-4-5	

	н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н н	A 70 F L 700 178 700 178 700 178 700 178 700 552 700 170 700 178 700 178 70
ссе станование с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с	ос. с. с. с	и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и
	С с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с	77 77
**************************************	<pre>% ( % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %</pre>	аги али состания али состан
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	россобослосососососососососососососососос	
-7.27-17	50 Blocked	
5035-72		0
.ns(*/n)+=0.9		
0.11.92E.0031		0511 111 11111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 11111 11111 11111 11111 111111
11: _06(F))    		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

MAG

8 241

- 167 -

	- 168 -	
7.000000000000000000000000000000000000	A 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
13 хбусо. М 1 с х сусо. М 1 с х с	0.869 0.869 0.8272 0.9772 0.97720 0.97720 0.077000000000000000000000000000000	533 531 XG CU. M 528 528 528 528 528 528 528 528 528 528
К С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С	ла 99 3. 99 4. 20 1. 20 1	АН С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С
и		20 TEG: XG/WS 20 TEG
	NEWERS 200	2012 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0
E-12 D-1 23N2 50% Blocked		
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
ал истории и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	20000000000000000000000000000000000000
26666 00 °L 26666 00 °L 26666 00 °L 2600 °L 2000 °L 2000 °L 2000 °L 2000 °L 2000 °L 20		200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
C 2001 11 1 C 2001 1 1 C 2001 1 C		
	UIC+ + U UIC+ + U UIC+ + U CIC+ + U.H	

-

160 
 ••••
 ••••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 •••
 ••••
 ••••
 ••••
 ••••
 - 19 MM C00C0CCC---THETA= 25 R= 10.79 MM NW44944448000011100mm44 24N1 50 & Blocked E-14,51-17 
 0.1 WF = 15.137 w/S
 5\*4710V 2

 AEE = 0.16977 w/S
 2\*133

 AEE = 0.16977 m/S
 2\*133

 MU = 26.80 nE6.7
 3:90553 kG/CU M

 MU = 2.18465-04 kG/MS
 0:9095-05 kG/CU M
 101100 11100 11100 11100 11100 V /DEL CURVE 1 FIFE LOG(FD)= 0+1135E+00+LDS(Y/D)+-0+3739E-02 X× 2.133 R.O. 5.9553 KG/CU.M N.L- 0.1999E-5& X01/S 940 = 2.062 KG/CU.4 7587 8272 8272 86772 88272 88272 9885 9928 9589 0 0578= 7.20 MM 0 83578= 0.57055= 6 ULVE 15.573 4/5 46x2 0.16465 477 1- 26.14 7666 47 405 0.18466 474 86/48 U 14/51 50.+ + L 10 2 + L Y C MM

170 · 如果是有有一些的时候,我们有有些有些的。" "你们的,你们们有这些有些的,你们也不是有一些的,我们有些不是不是,我们也不能不能。" 512F+0 0. 95.36 59 44 54 54 54 FOSTA C. 73/3 -415Ca 有有有能有力 化品牌 化带用油 医体育者 軍官 28N1 57% Blocked E-90/-17 计发射 网络副科学学家的名 医脑副系统 医甲酚酸盐 化白色 医外外 医尿管管 的复数 化化合物 医外外的 医外外的 医外外的 医外外的 医外外的 化 C - 2 4 5 TWC X/D - C 2443E-VS NU = 0,000 NEW X NU = 0,000 KG/CU.W NU = 0,000 NEW X NU = 0,000 0,00 Хт. 2.120 Рнст. 0.0667 УС./ГР. № NU/T. 0.16176174 № НИ/S COSTRE C.5ALTE ADE DE COLOR ANGENERAL 2 - 2 05175 IDV 1 FT LTG FN = 5. 6. 6. 9 0 V 5. 9 6. 6. 9 P 6. 10 4 8 0 بات 10 20 20 20 20 1 4 0 4 0 4 2 • • • • • • стания политика Каки К.С. ч.С. С. С. К. К.К.С. ч.С. С. Г. С. К. Г. Ч.

			STATUN 3		***********
100-	E-11,77-17	UI - 17.131 M/S E20855+57	X= 2.3.9	10/00 · M	
6/CU.M	1N92	T= 6 18 DEG C KG/WS	NU= 0+190 E-	5/2=2 00	
1 203 8		Level II Corel	11-74	1/05	1,000
/UE	67% Blocked	0.01	C=0=C	0.4470	
		9.42 5.01	0.514		C 22 3 3
+ 1 C D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D		72 0.0	00000	1	C. C.C.C.
• C = 1 C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C		2+++ 7-1	0 525	0. 4571	6.053
-71.0		3.72 A.41	0.653	4932	C C C M
• 75 0 • 75 0		6.12 0.21 S	0.000	5672	C 1046
• 77 • 7		6.72	1+2-2	0.5207	141
		7.72	2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	0.7120	r 1655
3.07				- 7617	200
			נז ריו ריו	545	2787
1001 ··································		14-021 24	100	0.8452	C. 2118
1490			6.13	5.55 E	14.9 2 2 2 2 2
		2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	20 C	0.9157	
.95.7		24 25 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	227	0350	643
• 5 7 70 • • 5 7 70	2		2 E.S. C. #	1.5582 HF14=	NA 65
ATTA SPEC	0		FDS R 0.77 G	ATTa=	C. CIEr+C
		JC FACTOR H 1. 54			
*******		12 C 72 C 7 D+ 71	*****************		***********
		中学学会 化甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基		***	****
		计数据存储器 化合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合		*******	*******
66/50°.8					
ALE Y CE					
- 3375 C. C!	1				
2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	41 - 4 CH	UINF= 17.453 M/S RFX= .2217F+57 T= 23.42 756.0	X= 2.419 RHC= 0.451	KG/CU.M	

- 171 -

UINF = 17:453 V/S 77 75 - 222175 C7 77 75 - 222175 C7 77 75 - 222175 C7 77 75 - 222175 C7 77 75 - 222175 C7 70 75 - 2020 70 75 - 2020		1051	C + CC 4 S	C. C. L 4.4	0 M A O	C-0-3		5.C712								1	29762	C. E729	C.6175	¢.7622	NA 26"S	C . E 4 2 2 E + C 4	
UINNE 17.2573 V/S ZFX= 17.2573 V/S TFX= 17.2573 V/S TF= 25.523177 27 TF= 25.523177 27 TF= 25.5235 756 4 V/M21 U (V/S) V/T TA 2.172 0.100 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	5 KG/CU+M	GZUE	0.2418	0.2310	0.2250	0.3759	3.4141	0 + 4 C C	0.5023	0.53.9	0.6050	0.6453	0.7005	0.7655	0.8173	0.8523	0 + 8515	0.119	0.9374	0.5552	HHE .	+04 RTTAT	
UINF= 17.453 P/S ZFX= -2.22157+57 T= -2.2.422157+57 WUM2.422157+57 WUM2.422157+57 WUM2.422157+57 WUM2.422157+57 WUM2.422157+57 WUM2.422157+57 WUM2.422157+57 0.172 0.172 0.172 0.177 0.1772	RHC = 0.441 RHC = 0.467 NU= 0.1009	7773	0 245	0 28	0.21	125-0	0. 407	0.630	0.805	1.058	1.312	1.505	1 503	2.411	2.5.3	0 400	4.270	5.115	5 561	6.800	STR= 9.51 MM	0.TR= C.6713E	
102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102	17-493 M/S 22178-67 32 056-67 18465-14 KG/MS	() [N/S]		4. 666	000	6.00	7.172	8.067	8.653	2.640	10.488	11-174	12 131	12.257	14.154	4 759	5.4.28	5.661	PEC YI		52.82 W	. 4841E+05 R	FACTCR H= 1 61
	U 1 NF = 1 2 F X 2	1 COLA				0		3.70		66	2	0.11	11.00	14.20	17.20	27.64	25.43	10.00		21.04			SHAULF

н н н DETR= 6.59 MM ROSTR= 6.5744E+34 CURVE FITT LCG/FD1= 0.12036+03=L0G/Y ULVT= 16.552 ×/3 F1A= 0.16455+57 T= 40.16455+57 T= 40.16455+57 RH3= 0.9559 K MUE 0.18425+00 KG/WS 

- - - ILOCATE VIATE UT - UT SO LAK" - ALLANNET FAT - I ANIM

r

	8
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	HILL HILL
1 = 1 = 0 1 = 1 = 0 1 = 1 = 0	$\begin{array}{c} p_{1} \neq r_{2} \neq q_{2} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{U} \in [0, \eta, \eta + 1] \cap \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{C} \\ p_{2} = \eta_{1} = r_{1} \neq q_{2} \in [0, \eta + 1] \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus [0, \eta + 1] = 0 \\ p_{2} = p_{2} \oplus \mathbb{C} \\ p_{3} = r_{3} \oplus r_{3} \oplus r_{3} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus$
1	THE CONTRACT OF THE STATE

	and the set of the
	L PLAND PLA P S
	>- 1 A 10 1 M
1	
1	
t i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
- C1	
1 2 2	
1.1	201 9 446 54
	2 - 41 C 17, mm
*	
5	
1 1. 1. 1	
4 10 1	
1 6	
1	aspect of as the
<ul> <li>.15</li> </ul>	et t' P P D e i di
w 14 Li	0 mm m 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
* ) · 11	
*	
1 4	
5 C	
· · · ·	
15	
10 N	
AL 3	-
1.5	Lanary C
0.5.5	A
1 - 1 4	2 - C - C - C - L -
1. 2	a net ent to
i i c	
1 - 1	
1	
ALC ALL A	
1- 1	
	and I want to be at the
P	THE POWER LANCE
	1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
A 10 TO 10	m a a ser fi si b
1 - 1 6 -	E.

ана с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с	14. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	- 4. CF
П - рукор 10 - р		A NTTA
		52 6,7 M2 TU 1,6 21.
	د در د د در د د د در د د د د د د در د د د د	775 575 205

L

4444444			
E-8.56-17	30 .: .	80% Blocked	

ж	

	ne weel en	2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011	
0 E			
		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	***
		2010 2010	****
Line and Ma Maria and Para			

- 172 -

- 1/3 -	
77107 7.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1	икс икс икс икс икс икс икс икс
6-11.66-17 80% Blocked	
a         A         A         A         A           a         A         A         A         A           b         A         A         A         A           c         C         C         C         C         C           c         C         C         C         C         C         C           c         C         C         C         C         C         C         C           c         C	a II 
	0 *** 0 9 *** • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
н 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
L 61	e e marce e mare care e e e mare e mare e mare e mare e e e mare e mare e mare e mare e e e mare e mare e mare e mare e mare e e e mare e m

## APPENDIX E

# El Accuracy of Experimental Equipment

Table E1.1 lists the equipment used, and the errors involved.

#### TABLE E1.1

INSTRUMENT, MAKE & MODEL	ACCURACY OR ERROR
Cambridge potentiometer, type 44228	± 0,01 millivolt
Doric DVM, type DS-100	± 0,001 volt
Riken Denshi X-Y Recorder, type F-3D	Within ± 0,3%
Positioning of hot-wire	± 0,5 mm
Water U-tubes	+ 0,4 mm H20
Van Essen manometer, model 6750	± 0,002" H20
Fortin barometer	± 0,001 mm Hg
Disa anemometer, type 55D01	Output read on DVM
Disa lineariser, type 55010	Output read on DVM
Disa RMS voltmeter type 55D35	Output read on DVM
Disa Auxilliary 1 t, type S5D25	Output read on DVM
Hewlett-Packard Spectrum analyser, type 3580 A	Output plotted by the X-Y recorder

- 175 -

TABLE E1.1 (cont.)

INSTRUMENT, MAKE & MODEL	ACCURACY OR ERROR		
Hewlett-Packard Log Voltmeter/ Converter, type 7562	Output plotted by the X-Y recorder		
Tektronix oscilloscope model with Polaroid camera	-		

The values presented in Table El.1 are the maximum errors expected.

When using the Van Essen manometer with the nozzle unit of the hot-wire calibration rig, the error in velocity may be estimated from :-

 $\frac{dv}{v} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{dh}{h} - \frac{\delta\rho}{\rho})$ 

..... [E1.1]

Assuming no error in  $\rho$ ,

V(m/s)	h("H2O)	% error
2,2	0,01	10
5,0 16,0	0,05 0,50	20,2

Clearly, the expected error at low velocities is very large. A linear regression analysis was used to fit a curve to the calibration values, and an error of 0,1 m/s was typical.

The error in measuring the transpiration flow rate was estimated as follows (using an ordinary water manometer) :-

Basic error for orifice plate : 2,3% (BS 1042)

 $\frac{dQ}{Q} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{dh}{h} + \frac{dw}{p_1} + \frac{dT}{T} \right] + 2 \frac{dT}{d} \qquad \dots \quad \text{[E1.2]}$   $\frac{dQ}{Q} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{0.5}{20} + \frac{0.5}{8534} + (0.01 + 0.004) \right] + 2 \frac{0.01}{38}$   $\frac{dQ}{Q} = 2\% + \text{basic error}$   $\frac{dQ}{Q} = 4.35$ As  $v_w$  was directly proportional to Q,  $\frac{dv_w}{v_w} = 4.3\%$ 

This could be even greater if an error had been made in calculating the surface area of the porous plate, However, as h increased, the large term, dh/h, in El.2 decreased.

In measuring the power spectrum, the emphasis was on the shape of the curve, and the occurrence of any peaks. The exact frequency and power of these peaks was not required to be known, and therefore an error analysis was not attempted for these measurements.

x

The main stream velocities measured with the anemometer did not drop below 5 m/s, which was within 2% and considered acceptable.

- 176 -

### APPENDIX F

## F1 Some Details Pertaining to the Programme

## F1.1 The pressure gradient term

A pressure gradient would clearly alter the main stream velocity, and therefore  $u_e$ ,  $\beta$  and  $p^+$ . It would, however, also affect the  $\xi$  grid spacing, even if constant x spacing was used in the physical plane. As a preliminary test, a constant pressure gradient was assumed. It was thought that the small increase in  $\mathcal{D}$  caused by the growth of the boundary layer in an enclosed flow situation could be fitted with a straight line.

Then  $du_e/dx = c$  ..... [F1.1]

This changes the x-axis transformation .

 $\int_{0}^{E} dE = u \rho \int_{0}^{\infty} (x \sigma + u_{eo}) dx$  ..... [F1.2]

For condition [F1.1]

$$\beta = 1 - \left(\frac{u_{e_0}}{u_e}\right)^2 \qquad \dots \dots \quad [F1.3]$$

where  $u_{e_o} = 0,99 \times U_o$ 

With injection, assumption [F1.1] proved inadequate.

## F1.2 Numerical Integration

Certain quantities were obtained by integrating.  $\delta^{\star}$  was obtained as follows.

$$\delta^* = -\left(\frac{2\xi}{\rho u_e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\eta_{\infty}} \phi^*(\xi,\eta) d\eta$$



FIG F1.1 : SKETCH SHOWING TRAPEZOIDAL RULE

Integral =  $\sum_{i=2}^{N} (\phi_i^{i} + \cdots + \sum_{i=2}^{n}) \times (\eta_i^{i} - \cdots + \sum_{i=2}^{n}) \times \frac{1}{2} \cdots + \frac{1}{2}$ 

#### F2 Initial Computer Runs

A few trial runs were attempted without transpiration. A laminar profile was generated near the point of transition, assumed to occur at  $Re_x = 3,2 \times 10^{\circ}$ . From this point  $\epsilon^+ \neq 0$ , and the programme marched into the transition region. The result obtained is shown in Fig F2.1. The uninjected laminar boundary layer with a small, linear pressure gradient  $(du/dx = 10^{1/2})$  was attempted next. The profiles, shown in Fig F2.2, varied slightly from the first profile in Fig F2.1 (laminar, zero pressure gradient). Finally, a series of turbulent profiles were generated by the programme, starting at  $Re_x = 3,24 \times 10^5$  with fully turbulent profiles. Values of  $e_f$  were not in satisfactory agreement with theory, thus the forward difference equation for  $\phi_w$ " was altered.

<b>x</b> (m)	ξ x 10 <sup>4</sup>	A <sup>+</sup>	Re <sub>x</sub> x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Reo	θ(mm)	H	δ(mm)	<sup>2</sup> f <sup>x10<sup>3</sup></sup>	
	MHKTRANS L-0-10 $(x_{trip} = 0,591 \text{ m})$								
0,588 0,597 0,606 0,615	1,0578 1,0740 1,0902 1,1064	26,0 26,0 26,0 26,0	3,185 3,233 3,232 3,331	330 328 333 343	0,609 0,606 0,616 0,633	2,56 2,15 1,90 1,81	5,04 5,08 5,23 5,63	1,41 2,35 4,18 4,46	
	MHKPUX10 10-10								
0,076 0,085 0,094 0,103	0,1420 0,1595 0,1771 0,1949	28,99 29,27 29,43 29,55	0,443 0,500 0,557 0,616	125 132 138 144	0,214 0,224 0,233 0,242	2,48 2,47 2,47 2,45	1,85 1,96 2,05 2,13	4,23 4,01 3,87 3,75	
MHKFLOW1 T-0-10									
0,598 0,607 0,616 0,625	1,0758 1,0920 1,1082 1,1244	26,0 26,0 26,0 26,0	3,239 3,287 3,336 3,385	881 893 905 916	1,627 1,649 1,670 1,691	1,41 1,41 1,41 1,41	18,20 18,70 19,2 19,3	5,06 5,16 5,15 5,15 5,11	

TABLE F2.1 Results of Initial Computer Runs



FIG F2.2 : LAMINAR PROFILES WITH PRESSURE GRADIENT



FIG F2.3 : TURBULENT INPUT PROFILES

2

# F3 <u>Results of Main Computer Runs</u>

- 182 -

TABLE F3.1

Station	A <sup>+</sup>	Re <sub>e</sub>	ε (mm)	H	δ (mm)	$c_f^{\times 10^3}$
		MHE	(IJ001 T	-1-17		
3	26,00	1812	1,97	1,33	23,14	4,085
10	23,11	<b>18</b> 94	2,06	1,34	25,01	5,120
20	23,03	2019	2,19	1,36	26,48	4,818
30	22,95	2139	2,32	1,38	27,23	4,560
40	22,89	2257	2,45	1,39	28,74	4,357
50	22,83	2372	2,58	1,39	29,47	4,190
60	22,78	2486	2,70	1,40	31,03	4,049
		MHI	KIJOO2 I	-2-17		
3	26,00	1812	1,97	1,33	23,14	4,085
10	20,93	1910	2,07	1,34	25,01	6,054
20	20,76	2065	2,24	1,37	26,48	5,580
30	20,59	2216	2,41	1,39	27,99	5,197
40	20,44	2364	2,57	1,41	28,74	4,896
50	20,31	2509	2,72	1,42	30,30	4,648
60	20,19	2652	2,88	1,43	31,89	4,438
MHKIJOO3 T-3-17						
3	26,00	1811	1,97	1,33	23,53	4,088
10	19,15	1925	2,09	1,35	24,73	6,845
20	18,89	2109	2,29	1,38	26,92	6,229
30	18,64	2288	2,49	1,41	28,46	5,743
40	18,42	2465	2,68	1,43	29,22	5,361
50	18,22	2639	2,87	1,44	30,80	5,046
60	18,05	2812	3,05	1,45	32,42	4,779

-

 1	8	3	_

Station	A <sup>+</sup>	Re <sub>0</sub>	θ(mm)	Н	δ (mm)	o <sub>f</sub> ×10 <sup>3</sup>			
	MHKIJO05 T-5-17								
3	26,00	1811	1,97	1,33	23,53	4,088			
16	16,47	1953	2,12	1,35	24,73	8,507			
20	16,09	2189	2,38	1,40	26,92	7,652			
30	15,72	2421	2,63	1,43	28,46	6,980			
40	15,40	2653	2,88	1,46	30,04	6,440			
50	15,11	2883	3,13	1,48	31,66	6,003			
60	14,84	3114	3,38	1,50	33,33	5,626			
		MH	CIJOO7 T	-7-17					
3	26,00	1811	1,97	1,33	23,25	4,091			
10	14,38	1978	2,15	1,36	25,13	9,909			
20	13,94	2260	2,45	1,41	27,37	8,897			
30	13,52	2542	2,76	1,46	28,93	8,085			
40	13,14	28 25	3,07	1,49	31,39	7,432			
50	12,79	3109	3,38	1,52	33,08	6,886			
60	12,47	3394	3,69	1,54	34,84	6,420			
		MHI	KIJOO9 T	-9-17					
3	26,00	1811	1,97	1,33	23,25	4,091			
10	12,81	2000	2,17	1,36	25,13	11,501			
20	12,39	2322	2,52	1,42	27,37	10,390			
30	11,94	2646	2,87	1,47	29,74	9,450			
40	11,55	2975	3,23	1,51	32,27	8,683			
50	11,18	3307	3,59	1,54	34,00	8,034			
60	10,84	3643	3,96	1,57	36,77	7,476			
MHK1J010 1-10-17									
3	26,00	1811	1,97	1,33	23,15	4,095			
10	11,97	2010	2,18	1,36	25,74	11,853			
20	11,56	2353	2,56	1,43	28,03	10,727			
30	11,11	2700	2,93	1,48	29,63	9,761			
40	10,70	3052	3,31	1,52	32,15	8,969			
50	10,33	3409	3,70	1,56	34,82	8,298			
60	9,98	3771	4,10	1,58	36,65	7,719			

Station	A <sup>+</sup>	Ree	0 (mm)	H	δ(mm)	$c_f \times 10^3$		
	MHKLIO12 T-12-17							
						4.004		
3	26,00	1811	1,97	1,33	23,33	4,096		
10	10,78	2029	2,20	1,36	25,23	13,279		
20	10,42	2403	2,61	1,43	28,25	12,142		
30	9,98	2786	3,03	1,48	30,70	11,092		
40	9,58	3177	3,45	1,53	33,30	10,216		
50	9,22	3576	3,88	1,56	35,09	9,467		
60	8,88	3982	4,32	1,60	37,96	8,817		
	L1	MHE	(IJ015 T	-15-17				
3	26.00	1810	1.97	1.33	23,70	4,098		
10	0 34	2051	2,23	1.35	25.62	15,419		
20	0,07	2461	2,67	1.42	27.90	14,318		
30	8 66	2886	3,13	1.47	31.18	13,155		
10	8 20	3325	3,61	1.52	33.82	12,162		
40	7 01	3776	4.10	1.56	36.63	11,301		
60	7,61	4239	4,60	1,59	39,61	10,547		
		MHI	KIJO17 1	[-17-17		1		
3	26,00	1810	1,97	1,33	23,22	4,100		
10	9,27	2052	2.23	1,35	25,82	15,201		
20	8,99	2464	2,68	1,42	28,12	14,105		
30	8.59	2891	3,14	1,47	30,56	12,957		
40	8.21	3332	3,62	1,52	34,08	11,977		
50	7.87	3785	4,11	1,56	36,91	11,129		
60	7,54	4249	4,61	1,59	39,92	10,386		

- 184 -

<i>x</i> (m)	Re_×10 <sup>6</sup>	δ(mm)	0 (mm)	Re <sub>0</sub>	Н	p <sup>+</sup> ×10 <sup>5</sup>	A <sup>+</sup>	c <sub>f</sub> ×10 <sup>-</sup>
0,790 0,825 0,875 0,925 0,975	1,069 1,132 1,224 1,320 1,418	22,05 22,32 23,30 23,63 24,61 24,91	1,78 1,80 1,84 1,88 1,92 1,95	2406 2464 2578 2685 2788 2888	1,31 1,31 ,33 1,34 1,35 1,36	3,49 1,81 1,89 2,00 2,09 2,16	26,55 21,03 21,00 20,95 20,93 20,91	3,842 6,003 5,584 5,250 4,979 4,759
1,075	1,622	25,19	1,98	2986	1,36	2,21	20,91	4,573

TABLE F3.2 Turbulent Shear Layer with Injection and Pressure Gradient

Test run MHKDUX003,  $du/dx = 10^{1}$ /s, F = 0,003, XTR = 0,8 m

<u>TABLE F3.3</u> Computer runs with XTR small,  $U_{\infty} = 17$  m/s,  $du/dx = 0^{1}/_{3}$ 

æ (m)	$Re_{x} \times 10^{5}$	δ(mm)	θ ·	200	Н	A <sup>+</sup>	of×10 <sup>3</sup>		
	$MHKLONG3 T-3 \qquad XTR = 0,312 m$								
0.254	2.325	8,27	0,73	665	1,46	26,00	5,001		
0.359	3,286	10,79	1,02	934	1,40	21,97	22,759		
0.509	4,659	14,52	1,45	1328	1,43	21,23	15,222		
0.659	6,032	18,08	1,92	1755	1,46	20,52	11,231		
0,809	7,405	21,89	2,40	2200	1,47	19,94	8,978		
0,959	8,778	26,02	2,89	2644	1,48	19,45	7,542		
1,109	10,151	30,52	3,38	3095	1.45		6,550		
	MHKI	ONG5 T-	4,765-17	XTR	= 0,312	m			
0.254	3.325	8,27	0,73	665	1,46	26,00	5,001		
0.359	3,286	10,80	1,01	925	1,35	20,72	31,735		
0,509	4,659	14,53	1,43	1313	1,37	19,75	20,820		
0,659	6,032	18,65	1,95	1786	1,42	18,78	14,980		
0,809	7,405	23,26	2,51	2 300	1,45	17,97	11,685		
0,959	8,778	27,64	3,10	2841	1,48	17,29	9,594		
1,109	10,151	32,41	3,71	3398	1,49	16,70	8,156		

F4 Input Data for the Programme

NX, the number of ξ(x) stations, including the first two DX, the ξ step length Δξ, for equal steps (as noted before, these steps need not be equal) X(1), the value of x at the first ξ station, in m XTR, the value of x at the start of the porcus section for injected flow IY, the number of η grid points K, the ratio of adjacent η step lengths. If K = 1, equal step length results H, the first η step length UINF, mainstream velocity, in m/s DUDX, a pressure gradient term, in s<sup>-1</sup> PSTAT, static pressure, in Pa T, temperature of mainstream, in °C VW, injection velocity, in m/s IJOB, O - no plot output 1 - plot velocity profile <sup>1</sup> For IJOB = 1, the JCL had to set up another joc

= 186 =

# EQUIVALENT NOTATION USED IN PROGRAMME

#### ROMAN

A +	AP	U <sub>CL</sub>	UCL
C <sub>f</sub>	CF	и.,	JE
du <sub>e</sub> /dx	DUDX	uw*, u <sub>t</sub>	USTR
f"	FDD	u+	UP
h	Н	$\mathcal{U}_{\rm max}$	UINF
Н	H12	υ <sub>w</sub>	VW, VW1
к	К	v*	VWP
p+	РР	æ	Х
Re_	REX	у	Y
Re K*	RDSTR	y +	ΥP
Rea	RTTA	y / 0	YOTTA
u	U	y / č	YODEL

## GREEK

-x

12.

β	BETA	μ	MU
δ	DEL	V	NU
δ*	DSTR	ξ	XI
ε+	EP	ρ	RHO
ε,+	EPI	τ	
η	ETA	φ	P
θ	ТТА	φ '	PD
ĸ	KL	φ"	PDD

- 188 -

************	*****			*****	
VEL 262 C. P3 7M		057,160	FURTRAN H EXTENDED	DATE 71-027/10-00-41	PAGE
ISSTED OPTIONSE					
LONS IN IFFECT: NA	MECHAINE COLL 111 1 URCE FREDIC NULLST NO	Th countle DECK DESPECT	NONAP NOTOPHAT NOGESTA	NET	(1)
LUNCH	INCOMPRESSIBLE LAMIN LAYER FLOKINITH SUCT NALL AND SHIRF GR	H REMENTED AN DE EURIE LON DIE THUE AD LENTE	E EQUATION FOR NEAT CHUMBARY NOTION AT THE		
~~~~	AN FROM-VISCOSITY FO	CHULATION 1	5 USED FIR TH		
1 5N 003.1 1 5N 0334 1 5N 0035 1 5N 0035 1 5N 0037 1 5N 0037 1 5N 0037 1 5N 0013 1 5N 0013 1 5N 0013 1 5N 0013 1 5N 0015	R(AL 44 KL.FA. KL.FTA) R:AL 44 A(46.5), b(30. REAL 44 F(4.100, 5), b(30. REAL 44 F(4.100, 5), b(30. REAL 44 F(4.100), 5), b(4. REAL 44 F(100), 5), b(4. REAL 45 F(100), 5), 52 REAL 43 F(100), 5), 52 REAL 43 F(100), 50 REAL 44 F(100), 50 REAL 45 F(100), 50 REAL	MP.KPL.F#4. 1. 1.33. YO YEE (10 1.33. FU3 (0 . RHO STA.U()()(0 . RHO STA.U()(0 . RHO . RHO 	012 01.4.3TTA11003 .1003.UV(100 .FD(1003 .1005.TA3,FF, AP TA,PSTAT .11.J1.K1.J2.K2 TR		
1 SN 0015 1 SN 0017 1 SN 0017 1 SN 0023 1 SN 0023 1 SN 0023 1 SN 0025 1 SN 0025 1 SN 0025 1 SN 0027 1 SN 0027 1 SN 0027 1 SN 0031	DATA       ATA = 2.29700       Iv=100       Na =3       Dx=0.0100       H=0.0700       X(1) = 0.9000       x(1) = 0.9000       DDx-0.000       DDx-0.000       T=27.000       PSTAT=5.000       v=1=0.1200       NL=0.400       NL=1.000       NC=1       IC00=0       JOBT *0				
154 0032	TE JOHPEC PLOT IS SU	PPESSED J.	D36=1 VFLOM2 6FOA		
1 SN 0033	UED=UINF#3.9900				
15N 001 15N 001 1000	#RI* 5(6.1000 ) UINF .D. FORMATI/////TI0. JIN #.//TID. VE/UINF *'.DI	UDX:VWU *=**D13:5** 1:6)	M/S1.//T10.10J/DX=1.0	13.6.1 1/81	
ISN 0035 ISN 0037	RHO= (PS1AT+3513+600)	+9.809507C	17.100+T)		
Ê	CALCULATE HU				
1 SN 0038	MU=(((T-275.0)/25.)*	0+121+1+72	3) •1 • 0D-5		
VEL 2.2 (SEPT 76)	MAIN	05/360	FURTRAN H EXTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.00.41	PX 62 - 3
C C	CALCULATE TAU				
1 SN 0039	TAUSTAU- (RHO - MU - X - DU	COMPOSULACE			
15N 0010 15N 0041 911	WR TELA. 9111 TAU. PHO FORMATE/15. TAU. 1.01	• MU 3 • 6 • 5 × • • RHC	3**+013+5+4×+**0+*+013+5	1	
15N 0032 C	DEFINE PAPAMETERS FO	R SUBROUTE:	JE LEOTIA		
15N 0013 15N 0044 15N 0045 15N 0055 15N 0057 15N 0047 15N 0047 25N 0047 25N 0047 25N 0047	DO 1 1=2.HV X(1)=X(1-1)+DX CONT HUF DO 2 J=1.HX X(1)=FU=3HO=X(J)=(X CONT HUF HO 2 HO 2	(J)+0U0X/2. • 0U0X/2+0+U	.000+060) (°0)		
C 15N 0051	CALCULATE CONSTANTS CALL MEODE (H.K.AL.BI	FOR NATRIX	A 1 - X1 - X2 - X3 - X4 - X5 - X6 J		
C ISN 0052 ISN 0053 ISN 0055 ISN 0055	NC=1 Citi 4K003(7.0907.04 IF(4(0)C) 117.0.000 IF(40C,10.10000 COTT 10 COTT 10 COTT 10 COTT 10 COTT 10 COTT 10 COTT 10 COTT	0.000 - 7 A.1 23 GU 10 3: 16	IC. PP. AP. TAU. 4HD. 4U. 441 ( 30	0579.vef.vel	

15N 0057 15N 0065 15V 0061 17 CALL MP00461 TASTARS I MUSERISPEROSLYSDUDYSVESHC-FDC-HOTL-UF-PP, #AP-X1-1771 -011 -SEDEL-DEL1 s ISN 0062 ISN 0043 ISN 0043 ISN 0045 ISN 0047 ISN 0047 ISN 0074 ISN 0074 ISN 0074 ISN 0074 ISN 0074 ISN 0074 300.0 82 C C C 

1 5N 0077 1 5N 0078 1 5N 0079 1 5N 0030 1 5N 0030 1 5N 0031

95

1 2,

1 VII 150	01 76)	HATH	DSZ360 FORTRAN H EXTENDIO	DATE 78.027/14.00.41	PAGE T
1 1.41 0012		HENC FOR NALL STOP			
154 0014	14	N.31 =."-NLA			
15 0017		NCA #1 60 70 6			
	*	NCA1 = 8 NLAC = 3 GU 10 6			
151	*	NCAT 10 NCAT 10			
1 NH 00	•	11 (NCA . N . 31 GO TO 1 GR 200+1	17		
1 SN 0019	17 C	CALCULATE OF IN TASPA	AND A+		
	112	CALCULATE TAU FOR NEW	X STATEJN		
15N 0100 15N 0101 15N 0103		FATTS INT (FX1) NATENCA			
1 SN 0103 1 SN 0105		TAUE ISPHUSUI SUS SOCI	NATALIZEX		
15N 0106	6	CAL. MKOON(X.DUDX.UEU	DITION		
ISN 2107		801-11-1V-10C-4011-	ALARINGAL JI-RTHAKPI		
15N 0109 15N 0110		PTAUPING LISEKEIKPIT	his of		
15N 0112	9141 C	CALCU AT TO I	RHJ.HU. AP .DEL .FP. NC. NCA.OST	R.KL.NCAL.NCAL.	
15N 0114	910	OUE .NIT .IY. H)	3.3X.*NCA=*.13.3X.*NCA1=*.13	. 3X. * NCA2 = * . 13 )	
155 0115	C	CALCULATE EPSI DASH			
101 0117	ĉ			XI .NC .K.IY .P.	
ISN OTT	e.	AND FAT B	DONCA.NCAL.NCA2.NC.IY.NTTER.	P.)	
154 0118	5	HUDIET & HID U	D(A, 1)=A(1, 2)(0)(A, 2)		
1 SN 0119 15N 0120		8(1-1) +07 +11-A(1-1)	(*) * 1.91		
1 SN 0122		(1.1) D.OPC	Velee(16+5)		
1 5N 0124 1 5N 0125 1 5N 0126		A(1.7)=0.000 A(1E.4)=0.000			
ISN 0127	SEPT 76	) FAIN A[IC-1.5]=0.000 A]=.5]=0.000 EAL ====.6.51 EAL ===0.112.001 EAL ===0.112.001 EAL ==0.112.001.0010	DS7360 FORTHAN H EXTEND	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	2453 -4
ISN 0120 ISN 0120 ISN 0130 ISN 0131 ISN 0132 ISN 0133	SEPT 76	ATIS-1.6100.000 ATIS-1.610.000 EALL FERGELOIN EALL FERGELOIN CALL MEDIALS. CALL MEDIALS. CALL MEDIALS. CALL FERDICES. CALL FER	057360 FORTHAN H EXTEND	ED DA1E 78.027/16.00.41	8463 -4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0135	SEPT 76	) •••••• ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	DS7360 FORTHAN H EXTEND DO	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	8450 -4
IVEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0128 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136	SEPT 76	ATTENTION CONVERGENCE	OS/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND DS/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND TO SEC TO S	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	2453 -4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136	SEPT 76	) ••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 ••••••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 •••••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 •••••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 ••••••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 ••••••••• ••••••••••••••••••••••	OS/360 FORTRAN H EXTEND DOLLAR DOLAR DOLAR TA+ EDEL+ITI DOLAR NUE+NOEL+K+H+DSTR+NC+ETA) H=NCA1	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	8450 -4
WEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0138 1 SN 0138	SEPT 76	) FAIN A(16-1.5)=0.000 A(1.5)=0.000 A(1.5)=0.000 A(1.5)=0.000 A(1.5)=0.000 CALL = **********************************	057360 FORTHAN H EXTEND 100	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	2453 -4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0140 1 SN 0140 1 SN 0140 1 SN 0140	SEPT 76	) ••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 •••••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 •••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 •••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 •••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 •••••• CALL ****** IF(************************************	OS/360 FORTRAN H EXTEND DOLLANCE TA- EDEL-ITI D-ULE-NOEL-K+H-DSTR-NC-ETA) TI-NCA1 TI-TI	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	2442 - 4
YFL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0137 1 SN 0137 1 SN 0138 1 SN 0188 1 SN 0188	SEPT 76	) FAIN A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.	05/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND 	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	24421 - 4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0144	SEPT 76	) ••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 ••••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 •••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 •••••• A(15-1.5)=0.000 ••••••• CALL = **0.000 ••••••• IF(*1)=0.000 ••••••• •••••• •••••• •••••• ••••••	05/360 FORTRAN H EXTEND UNDAGY LAY R TA+ EDEL+IT3 UUE+NOEL+K+H+DSTR+NC+ETA) TI+NCA1 TI+13 G + JHD+ DIFS2 +LT+ 0+01033 G0	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	2442 - 4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0137 1 SN 0147 1 SN 0157 1 SN 0157 1 SN 017 1 SN 017 1	SEPT 76	) FAIN A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 A(IS-1.5)=0.000 CALL **CO3(PD.HD2L.6) CALL **CO3(PD.HD2L.6) CALL **CO3(PD.HD2L.6) CALL **CO3(PD.HD2L.6) A(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.000 D(IS-1.6)=0.0000 D(IS-1.6)=0.0000 D(IS-1.6)=0.0000 D(IS-1.6)=0.0000 D(IS	OS/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND 	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	24421 - 4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0144	SEPT 76	λΑΙΝ           Α(ΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           Α(ΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΕ-1.5)±0.000           ΑΙΕ-1.50±0.000           ΑΙΕ-1.50±0.000           ΤΕ-1.50±0.000           ΔΕ-2.0514           ΔΕ-2.0514     <	05/360 FORTRAN H EXTEND UNDAUY LAY:R TA: EDEL.IT J.UE.NOLL.K.H.DSTR.NC.ETA) I I I I I I I I I I I I I	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	3442 - 4
VFL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0138 1 SN 0148 1 SN 0148	SEPT 76	) FAIN A(IS-1.5) #0.000 A(IS-1.5) #0.000 A(IS-1.5) #0.000 CALL **K03(PD.HD2L.6) CALL *K03(PD.HD2L.6) CALL *K03(PD.HD2L.6) CALL *K03(PD.HD2L.6) IFST FOR CONVERSENCE IFST FOR CONVERSENCE IFS	OS/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND 	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	2442 - 4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0144	SEPT 76	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	05/360 FORTRAN H EXTEND UNDAUY LAY:R TA+ EDEL+113 JULE-NOEL+K+H-DSTR+NC+ETA) TI+NCA1 TI+13 G - JHD- DIF52 +LT+ 0+01031 GC # CLAVERGED	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	3442 -4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0137 1 SN 0142 1 SN 0145 1 SN 0145 1 SN 0154 1 SN 0154 1 SN 0154	SEPT 76	) FAIN A(15-1.5)=0.000 A(15-1.5)=0.000 A(15-1.5)=0.000 CALL **K03(PD.HJ2L.6) CALL *K013(PD.HJ2L.6) CALL *K013(PD.HJ2L.6) CALL *K013(PD.HJ2L.6) CALL *K013(PD.HJ2L.6) (ALL *K014(PD.HJ2L.6) (ALL *K01	OS/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND 	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	3450 -4
VEL 2.2 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0140 1 SN 0140 1 SN 0140 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0145 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0145 1 SN 0154 1 SN 0155 1	SEPT 76	λΑ1Ν           A(IS-1.S)TO.COO           A(IS-1.S)TO.COO           A(IS-1.S)TO.COO           A(IS-1.S)TO.COO           A(IS-1.S)TO.COO           A(IS-1.S)TO.COO           A(IS-1.S)TO.COO           CALL #KC13(PD.MDEL FE           IF(MITER .FO. O) NI           DIFE1 ODD14.1}-P           DIFE2 OSTB(FE) OSTB(FE)           DIFE3 OND14.1}-P           DIFE3 OND14.1]-P           DIFE3 OND14.1]-P           DIFE3 OND14.1]-P           DIFE3 OND14.1]-P           DIFE3 OND14.1]-P           III (11 S1 .1(T.O.O.OTD11)           III (2.1001) M           III (2.1001) M           III (11 S1 .1(T.O.) )	05/360 FORTRAN H EXTEND UNDAUY LAY:R TA. EDEL.IVI JULE.NOLL.K.H.DSIR.NC.FTA) IIINCAI IIINCAI IIINCAI IIINCAI CLINVERGED STATIO:-*.I*./TIO.11(***)./	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	3443 - 4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0138 1 SN 0147 1 SN 0157 1 SN 0157	SEPT 76	) FAIN A(I(5-1.5)=0.000 A(I(5-1.5)=0.000 A(I) ESTADLISH EDGE OF BY CALL #KC13(PD.HDEL.E CALL #KC13(PD.HDEL.E CALL #KC14(PD.R1.PHC 7FST FON CCNVERGENCE IF(NITCR .FG. 0) N1 DIFS: PDD(4.1)-P ( DIFS:	OS/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND US/360 FORTHAN US/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND US/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND US/36	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	3443 - 4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0145 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0145 1 SN 015 1	SEPT 76	> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >	05/360 FORTRAN H EXTEND UNDAUY LAY:R TA+ EDEL+113 JULE-NOEL+K+H+DSTR+NC+FTA) TL+NCA1 TL+NCA1 TL+NCA1 TL+NCA1 STATION, I++/TIO+11(+++)+/	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	3440 - 4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0132 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0133 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0138 1 SN 0147 1 SN 014 1 SN 014	SEPT 76	> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >	OS/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND US/360 FORTHAN US/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND US/360 FORTHAN H EXTEND US/36	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	3443 - 4
VEL 2.2 ( 1 SN 0127 1 SN 0130 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0131 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0135 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0136 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0143 1 SN 0144 1 SN 0144	SEPT 76	> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >	OS/360 FORTRAN H EXTEND UNDAGY LAY:R TA+ EDEL+IT3 JULE-NOEL+K+H-DSTR+NC+FTA) TI=NCA1 TI+I3 CONVERSED STATION-1, I++/TIO+II(+#+)+/ STATION-1, I++/TIO+II(+#+)+/	ED DATE 78.027/16.00.41	3440 - 4

- 24

- 190 -

VVPL 2.2 (	5191 761	1.510	US7360 FORTHAN H EXTENDED	•	DATE 78-027/10-00.41	PASE	5
154 0170 154 0171	1005	WRITELE.10051 IF ILIMATC/////1 .tub el.01	1.4.1 N/S11 124.101.140.101.155.1001.	169. U/UL '			
15N 0172	1006	*104, **/*14*, 100,*10****/					
134 0113	c						
1-1-0174	C	IF	0 3				
154 0176 154 0178		NUMBER OF OF OF OF TO 20	002				
1 SN 0191	2032	GO TO 2003 CONTINUE					
1 N 01		ICOUTICOUTI WRITFIE-LOOPI					
154 01 15 158 01 16		WRIT LE. 100" IV(1). PINC.	A. 11. PO(NCA. 11. POD(NCA. 1).				
- SH 0147	1.50	CONT PROF	A TE ROINGALLE PODINCALL				
15N 0149	1261	a:0(1):YCTTA(1)::P(1)	ALL PROTICE FLIPPEDCOCOCICE				
1 54 0190	1421	1 41 [# 1.0 C.0 #4]	10 (6.1501)				
1 SN 01 34		1FIFOL, T, 1,21 STO	ρ ΤΕ(5,1502)				
15N 0195		ARITE(10.1040C) YOTTA(	11.FD(1)				
15N 0201	124 1	00 1 1 11 YOTTAL	1) (FD(1)				
15N 0204	1252	CUNT INUE 02 1253 1250 - NH4 - 5	11 50(1)				
15N 0206	1253		110500)				
ISN 0209		164%C .GT. 31 68176410	105011				
15N 0214		11 (NC .CO. 201WAITE(10	100011				
15N 0213		1FINC .FO. 3011ETTELLC 1FINC .FO. 4010 RITE (10	0,100041 0,100041				
15N 0222		1111C .10. 601.RITE(10	. 10006)				
154 0227 154 0227		IF (NC .= Q. NX) Q TO 1	1505				
15N 0230	1505	63 19 2003 5 00 1245 111 KNW	(1),50(1)				
ISN 0232	1245	5 COAT INUE					
15N 0234		R175(10.10001)					
1 SN 0237		NRITE(10-12000) IF(1000 -61-61 60 10	2003				
			OSZIGO EDETRAN N EXTENDE	ED	DATE 78.027/16.00.41	PAGE	x
VEL 2.2	(SEPT 7	6) MAIN ICHIC .CO. NXI GO TO	2003				
15N 0242	1 150	BULLAT STORE THE . FRUIS	FAMIL STORMED BECAUSE AN ATT	Call 14 2 25 - 1			
1 SN 0244	150	2 PER ACLOPERATION PR	HANNE STORTED BICAMPE PO L	VZTTAPI XTT	۲LE=		
15N 0240	100	GD SHE'LLATA COMPLETENTS					
15N 0241		SH FURTHER STATE TO BE STATE	0. (HAN 13. THAN 1.7 LOO 00	22.2.2.1			
154 025		AN PORTATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		÷ 11			
ISN 025	3 110	AND ASSOCRATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	A LEDAR A INCODA S A SHCADAG	ANTINSTAL			
154 025 158 025	5 100	000 FORMATE LAOFLET 2" "					
15N 025	7 100	02 FILT A T LANS A T A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A					
1 SN 025	3 100	DOA FORMATINUAL L					
124 026	1 10 C	STEP TO NEXT & STATE	SN				
154 026	2 20	03 CONTINUE					
154 025 154 025	5 20	00 FOPPAT (//T . PHOGPAM	PE STOPPED DE CAUSE TERETORE	14			
154 026	7	5100 £HD					
PT LONS 1	NEFFECT	*NAMEEPATHE OPTIMIZETEE	LINECOUNT (GO) SIZE (MAX) ANTO	DOPLITIONET	URFE MIALC NOANSE TERM FLAG	(1)	
PT FORS	NEFFECT	· SOURCE TECTIC NOLIST NO	DECK ONJECT NIMAP NOPULPAT P	- Sunthanna	NAHE - MATH		
TETISTIC	5. 5	OUNCE STATEMENTS =	New Pulling Str				
TATISTIC	5+ HJ	DIAGIDSTICS GENERATED		315K 0716	S CF CORF NOT USED		
4 + + 4 EN	FOF COMP	1					

----

· 2.

2

÷

×

VCL 212 (51)	07 76)	o	57.160 F.DAT	RAN H ENTEN	loco.	PATE 10+0. 710.01.21	PAUE	
BUSICO DITER	r451				and the second second			
LICHS IN FEEL	CT: MARLEMATEL	C NOLIST NUCLECK	GIJICT HOM	P NOI UPPAT	NAGASTNE HOXHE	T NUALC NOANSP TERN FLAGEL		
15M 0002	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	HANNEL THE PAUL STATE		LIS DE LA	ALLAN FLOW	UTHF +		
ISN 0003 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0007 ISN 0007 ISN 0009 ISN 0010	REAL **	ra((ro)) (a.1.0) (ra((ro)) (a.1.0) (ra((ro)) (a.1.0)	PD(4.100).	EA.GA.E2	rn(1003			
154 0012 154 0012	FXITOSOF	**11+C) T(AF)						
1 5N 0015 1 5N 0015 1 5N 0017 1 5N 0017 1 5N 0017 1 5N 0019 1 5N 0020 5N 0220 5N 0222 1 5N 0223	C 6 ED 1 ET CL J== 1 CON IN T PIN SKITF(6 103 UF AT (.	TAC	C************************************	5x.+*DEL=*+0	913+€+ <b>/)</b>			
1 SN 0026 1 SN 0026 1 SN 0026 1 SN 0029 1 SN 0029	C 161 2 3 4 E 2 7 5 70 ( E 3 - E 2 F 4 - 1 7 6 C 4 - 5 70 6 F 0 1 1 - 1 C 0 - -	. 1 Y ) * ( T D ( 1 ) 1 * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( 1 * ( 1 * 3 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 3 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D * ( 1 * 5 D D - 7 . D	4-8-1 701 1 ( ) , 0 ()	0823-¥31				
1 5N 0031 1 5N 0032 1 5N 0033 1 5N 0033 1 5N 0035 1 5N 0037 1 5N 0037	C DETE NOFL-0 C DETE NOFL-0 C DE STATE C DE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STAT	+1+0 +1+0 +1+1+0 +1+1+0 +0+1+1+0 +0+1+1+0 +0+1+1+0 +0+1+1+0 +0+1+1+0 +0+1+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+1+0 +0+0+0 +0+0+0 +0+0+0 +0+0+0 +0+0+0 +0+0+0 +0+0+0 +0+0+0 +0+0+0+0 +0+0+0+0 +0+0+0+0+0 +0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0	ua 10 3					
VEL 2-2 ( 154 0743 154 0743 154 0743 154 0743 154 0745 134 00-5	D(F52*C S127 76) D(F52*C D(F52*C D(F52*C D(F52*C D(F52*C D(F52*C D(F52*C D(F52*C	MK001L 445151-521 1-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512 4-512	as/300 FO	RTRAN H EXT	ENDED	DATE 78.027/16.01.21	PAGE	
I SN 0030 I SN 0030 I SN 0050 I SN 0053 I SN 0053 I SN 0055 I SN 0054 I SN 0054 I SN 0055 I SN 0055 I SN 0055 I SN 0055 I SN 0055 I SN 0055 I SN 0075 I SN 0055 I SN 0	GO TO GO TO FD(J)= 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		λ(1)-2TA(J) (K)) (1)-4,3+70 (1)-4,3+70 (1) (1)-4,3+70 (1)-4,3+70 (1)-4,3+70 (1)-4,3+70 (1)-4,3+70 (1)-4,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70 (1)-2,3+70	)/ 2=05 0+F ( J)				

1 11 1 1 2 - 0 0 0 JJ 2 - 1 TL 0 7 - 1 5 0 ( ] - J) + 5 0 ( ] - JJ 3 3 + (E1 A ( J ) - E1 J ( J J ) 3 / 2 .000 E1 1 1 - 1 E1 1 1 - 1 E1 - 1 E ISN 0094 26

ATISTICSE NO DIAGNOSTICS GENERATIO

USZ360 FULTRAN H EXTENDED DATE 78.027716.01.18 ACSTLD OPTIONS: I DNS IN EFFECT: NAM (MAINS OF SINTZELLE LEN, COUNTEGO) SIZEEMAKS AUTOODELINGN"S SUBJECT HOLTST HUDECH OLIST HUDECT NONAP NOTORMAT NUGSSTAT MOKREF NOALC NOANSE TERM FLAGELS 0000 I SN 0002 I SN 0033 I SN 0036 I SN 0035 I SN 0037 I SN 0034 I SN 0034 I SN 0034 VEL 2.2 (SEPT 761 05/360 FORTHAN H EXTENDED DATE 78.027/16.01.30 PAGE 1 NESTED OPTIONS! IONS IN EFFECT: NAMELMAINI OFTIMIZE(1) LINECUINT(60) SIZEEMAN) AUTODRUINDE I SUURCE ENCOLO NOLIST NOOCON DUJOCT NOMAD NOTORMAT NOGOSTAT NOALC NOANSE TERM FLAGII) SUBJECT FOR THE CONTINUES ADJECT NUMAP REPORTATION SUBJECT FOR THE CONTINUES ADJECT NUMAP REPORTATION SJERCHTING MATCHERS ADJECT NUMAP REPORTATION STATUS AND ADJECT AND ADJECT NUMAP REPORTATION STATUS ADJECT ADJECT ADJECT NUMAP REPORTATION REAL STATUS ADJECT ADJE 154 0002 ISN 0003 ISN 0004 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0007 ISN 0010 ISN 0010 ISN 0011 ISN 0015 ISN 0015 ISN 0015 ISN 0017 ISN 0016 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0019 ISN 0019 ISN 0019 ISN 0019 ISN 0020 VEL 2.2 (SEPT 76) DS/J60 FORTRAN H EXTENDED DATE 78.027/16.01.35 WACE WESTED OPTIONS: TONS IN EFFECT: NAME(NAIN) OPTIMIZ (1) LINCOUNT(60) SIZE(MAX) AUTOOPLINCHET Source edical notist nodech object notar no urmat nogostat notar notale noan a tean flagitt SUBROUTINE HK002(H+K+A1+B1+C1+B1+F1+F1+31+32+33+34+35+36) PROGRAMM® TO CALCULATE THE CONSTANTS H. DIJRED IN SETTINE UP THE MATRIX # SEAL® H+K+A1+D1+C1+D1+E1+F1+G1+H1+11+J1+F1+K1M+KP1+K2+H2+H3 REAL® 31+32+33+34+35+36 15N 0002 1 SN 0000 1 SN 0004 С 1 SN 0005 1 SN 0006 1 SH 0007 1 SN 0006 1 SN 0009 K1 M = 1 + 0 7 0 - K KP 1 = 1 + 0 - 0 + H K2 + 4 P 1 = - 2 + 0 7 0 M2 = H + + 2 + 3 0 0 M3 = H + + 3 + 0 0 0 C Al==K++3:0007(H+KP)) 81==K1M4K7H C1=K7(H+KP)) TSN 0010 ISN 0011 ISN 0012 C1=K/(H+KP1) D1 ..000\*(K\*\*4.000)/(H:\*KP1) F1=2.000\*(K\*\*3.000)/(H:\*KP1) G1=-2.000\*(K\*\*3.000)/(H3\*K2) H1=2.00\*(K\*\*3.000\*K-1.00)\*K\*\*5.000/(H3\*K91) H1=2.0(\*1)\*K\*3.000\*K-1.000\*K\* 11=2.0(\*1)\*K\*3.000\*K1.1(\*000\*K2) H1=2.0(\*1)\*K\*3.000\*K1.1(\*000\*K2) H1=2.00(\*K\*\*2.000\*(H3\*K2)) K1=2.00(\*K\*\*2.000\*(H3\*K2)) K1=2.00(\*K\*\*2.000\*(H3\*K2)) K1=2.00(\*K\*\*5.000\*(H3\*K2)) K1=2.0 c 1 5N 0013 1 SN 0014 1 SN 0015 ISN 0013 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0019 ISN 0020 ISN 0020 ISN 0020 ISN 00223 ISN 0027 ISN 0027 ISN 0027 ISN 0027 С VEL 2.2 (SEPT 11) 03/ AUNTHANTH EXTENDED DATE 78.027/16.01.44 PAGE 1 UTSTED OPTIONSI

.

ICNS IN EFFECT MANUEL HOLIST N I CH UDJECT NUMAR N POPMAT NOGOSTNI NUMB F NDALC HOANSF TERM PLAGED

C PROSPANYS TO CALCULATE DEVIDE TAVAURAS VUSTE, AND VWP C SH 0003 PEAL +* DUDX: UF U: UE: DE TAVERE TAVERED. MU: Val. Val. USTR. NU; USTR3 AVAP. PT 4 54 0005 SH 005 SH 005	JSER.
SH         DO33         PEAL +*         DU31, UF 0, UE 1, EF 74, PP+ AP+ TAU+ RH3+*U, V#1, V#1, US 16, NU, US 163           avar, PF #         Avar, PF #           SH         D034         AH 44, P (#0)           SH         D035         AFA1 + N AP) AP2           SH         D035         AFA1 + N AP3 AP2           SH         D037         AFA + 1 (*0 - 40 - 74) 1 + 42           SH         D037         AFA + 1 (*0 - 40 - 74) 1 + 42           SH         D037         AFA + 1 (*0 - 40 - 74) 1 + 42           SH         D037         AFA + 1 (*0 - 40 - 74) 1 + 42           SH         D037         AFA + 1 (*0 - 40 - 74) 1 + 42	
54 0034 (F14) 7(70) 54 0035 (F14) 4 A(1) A (2) 54 0035 (F14) 4 A(1) A (2) 54 0036 (F14) 4 (-0.007) (F1) 54 0037 (F14) 4 (-0.004) (F14) 54 0039 (F14) (F14) (F14) (F14) (F14) 54 0039 (F14) (F14) (F14) (F14) (F14) (F14) 54 0039 (F14) (F	3.
S1 0005 BEAL + 1 AN AN AP2 SN 0005 BEAL + 1 AN AP2 SN 00057 BELTA + 1 + 1 ∩ 0 + 0 1 + + 2 SH 0007 BELTA + 1 + 1 ∩ 0 + 0 + 5 SH 0007 BELTA + 1 + 1 0 + 0 + 5 SH 0007 BELTA + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +	
SN         0036         UE #7 (NC) *0007           SN         0037         Ht 14 *1 * 000 - 01 (0 / 20 / 0 + 2)           SN         0037         Ht 14 *1 * 000 - 01 (0 / 20 / 0 + 2)           SN         0037         Ht 14 *1 * 000 - 01 (0 / 20 / 0 + 2)           SN         0037         Ht 14 *1 * 000 - 01 (0 / 20 / 0 + 2)           SN         0037         Ht 14 *1 * 000 - 01 (0 / 20 / 0 + 2)           SN         0037         Ht 14 *1 * 000 - 01 (0 / 20 / 0 + 2)	
SN 0007 BETA 41.400-44 070 1+42 SN 0007 BETA 17 H01+60.57 0 SN 0009 BETA 17 H01+60.57 0	
54 0039 US10+(1773)/++0.57 0	
SAL 0000 DUBLICA OLIVICA MULCEOLIVICA TUBLICA	
50 0010 IF LATEL . 65 . 2.29700 . AND. 2000 . 11. 2.7001 .00 10 1	
SN 6012 9440.000	
54 0013 API 1.000-11.0000 D	
SK 0014 AP126.000/E50#T(A211	
54 6015 WEITLAS, 1001 SC. 00, AD, USTR. UF	
54 0016 100 FUE ATERTS, 1412, 15, 24, 11 13, 6, 27, 14051, 713, 6, 55 (11770)	
ADI 3. 6. 24 SUE & SUI 3. 4 STA SECOND MODAL (1)	
50 0010 MER MAZISTO	
50 0026 FND	

2

3

VIL 4.2 (SEPT 761

- 193 -

 Items in Freicht Name (Paint obliktzelt) [lizeconstend]
 Izeenast autoblender]

 Summer Fredre Notest Notest autoblender Notest Note

		2	PROGRAMME TO GENERATE INITIAL VELOCITY PROFILES.
		16	N: 41 48 504
1.5 N	0003		NEAR AN AND AND AND AND AND ANALYSIN OF AD AD AD AD AD AND A FAIL SO TO
1 24	0034		AND THE ALL ON THE ALL THE LATER AND THE ADDRESS AND THE ADDRE
			The at the transmission of
E .Ted	0005		
1000			
1.000	0035		
R St At	0037		
	00014		HERE AN OFF
1.5.1	0.0.3.2		11570 - WHOF ODD 117 118 10, 50 / 12, 20 / 25 17 Mr 5 1 1 4 / 50 /
1 2 4	0004		HET WIET READ, IND
1.0 M	0010		
1 6 5	0013		Ex 12( -, 000 + x (NC)) + + C - 500
	0012		AF VI H AT ALLST RZ (AUDALE)
1 5 5	0015		
I C N	0015		VD(1)+AFX1+FTA(1)
1.5.1	0016	1.0	CONTINUT
1 3 14	0010	1	
1.5.1	0.017		6(1)+1,000
155	0.118		00 2 1=2.14
1 SN	0019		SN21Y74112AP
I SN	00 0		1F( R2 .(T. 0.0103) GO TO 300
1.54	\$2.00		P ¥ 20,000
1.5 1	0013		1F (SR2 -17, 1001 PEW=1-003/DEXP(SR2)
1 SN	00.15		SR4=1.000-PEW
1 SN	0036		60 TC 301
1 SN	0027	300	584=0.000
1 SN	00.18	301	SR1=7.000+R1+YH(1)+SR4
I SN	6019		SH #+541 # 42 +000
1 5N	0030		G(1)=2.c00/(1.c00+050R7(1.c00+SR3))
1 SN	0031	2	CONTINUE
		E	
		- C	INTEGRATION
1.5 1	0032		UF111=0-010
I SN	0033		00 3 1=2+1Y
1 5 4	0034		J−1−1
ISN	C035		fb(,)=(c())+c())+(Ab())+Ab())NS*000+04()
1 SN	0036	- 2	CONTINUE
1 SN	0017		OSEEOSTRZUE
ISN	0033		
1 SN	0039		PD(T) CONT 1 / COSt
1 5 N	0040	31	CONTINUE FOR A FUEL DATE AFE
			PETERSTRE EDGE DE BOUMDART LATER
E SN	001	-	
1 5 4	0042		
1 5 4	0.043		
1 64	0044		16(NOE) . EQ. 14) CO 10 9

057360 FORTHAN H EXTENSED DATE 78.027/16.01.49 HATTON SVEL 2-2 (SEPT 76) PACE G0 T0 8 ND2=NDEL D0 41 1=NC2+1V F0(1) 1=00C CONTINUE INTEGRATE TO DATAIN F F(1)=0+000 D0 5 1=2+1V J=1-1 f(1)=(TD(1)+FD(J))+(ETA(1)-ETA(J))/2+000+F(J) CONTINUE 15N 0048 15N 0049 15N 0050 15N 0051 15N 0052 s 41 C 0053 0055 0055 0056 0057 5-0 CONTINUE GENERATE P AND PD D0 6 141.14 P(NC.11\*6[]-[TAII] CONTINUE PUINC.11\*E[]-1.300 CONTINUE 0059 0059 0050 0051 0052 0052 6 CALFIN.ATE DSTR TEWP 0.000 D0 10 102.000 Jal-1 IfW 1stroefDO(N\*,1)+Sto(NC.J)+(fla(1) gla(J)+/2. 0 CONTINUE OS12(NC) FglaTEWP/(AM aut) COLT Fyla(MC) L) O(1 Fyla(MC)L) O(1 Fyla(MC)L) BETUBAL FNO 7 L C ISA 0054 ISA 0055 ISA 0057 ISA 0057 ISA 0038 ISA 0079 ISA 0079 ISA 0072 ISA 0072 ISA 0073 10 IMNS IN EFFECT. NAMELMATTIL DETENTZ (1) LEN COLITIANI IL CHART A TOURCHICHEN) Source fuchic nouist leter off of that n treat togister norrel norle normer tirm flag(1) SUNPOUTINE PRODA(G.G.G.S.K.H.IY.HC.ETA) GENTRAL DIFFICE (TEATING PLE.PRAM REA. 00 GE4.100).GS(4.100).K AFA( 00 ETA(170) PLAL 00 HEALM.KP).AS.DS.CS 15N 0002 ς 15N 0003 15N 00 + 15N 0005 ĸ 150 0036 150 0037 150 0033 150 0033 150 0033 150 0010 150 0011 150 0012 NI \*NC +I\*I.0'0\* KPI:K+I.0(0 I\*I:4'V 1 AS \*\*\* 1.CC0/(H\*\*\*)] AS \*\*\* 1.CO/(H\*\*\*)] CS\*</(H\*KP1) ۲ 00 1 1+2-1441 601 1-11-145-5641.1-11-15-55-6641.11-65-6641.101)/+++1 605-1446 12 305-644.00541.11 443 66641.71)+ A FIRST PEDEP POLYHOMIAL #11 15 USEG 150 0013 150 0014 150 0015 1 C C 15N 0016 15H 0017 . 154 0014 158 0012 CD(41'14)\*NH1\*VU(81'14M1)-44\*D(81'14M5) 14M5+14-5 c FF TU FH 154 0070 158 0071

- 24

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
 I
 N
 0011

 I
 N
 0012

 I
 N
 0013

 I
 N
 0014

 I
 N
 0015

 I
 N
 0017

 I
 N
 0017

 I
 N
 0017

 I
 N
 0021

 I
 N
 0024

 I
 N
 0032

 I
 N
 0047

 I
 N
 0045

 I
 N
 0045

 I
 N
 0045

 I
 N
 0045 40 a. 25:32.00(0\*1(1KC)\*N\*\*/\*)\*(PD(RT+1)\*1.030)/\*\*\*1 A(J,2)\*71\*22\*73 24-25 CONTINUE DD 3 J\*1.1E 13:37 12:2\*1 21:A1\*37(1\*COO\*EP(1 1))/K\*\*13 22:1\*A1\*37(1\*COO\*EP(1 1))/K\*\*13 23:1\*A1\*37(1\*COO\*EP(1 1))/K\*\*13 24:1\*(1\*COO\*EP(1 1))/K\*\*13 25:1\*(1\*COO\*EP(1 1))/K\*\*13 25:1\*(1\*COO\*E æ HKOCH DS/360 FORTRAN H EXTENDED PAGE DATE 75.027/16.02.05 VCL 2-2 (SEPT 76) VCL 2-2 (SEPT 7 ISN 0051 ISN 0052 ISN 0053 ISN 0055 ISN 0055 ISN 0055 ISN 0057 ISN 0057 ISN 0057 ISN 0057 ISN 0057 ISN 0055 ISN 0055 ISN 0055 ISN 0055 ISN 0055 
 76]
 BKOCK
 DS7360
 PDRINKK HILLARDOO

 12=201
 ISTACL PDRINKK HILLARDOO
 DATE FRONTFOREOS

 12=201
 ISTACL PDRINK HILLARDOO
 ISTACL PDRINK HILLARDOO

 12=201
 ISTACL PDRINK (PDINT, I) + 2.0.000 / K\*\*1

 25=2.000
 III / X\*\*1

 25=2.000
 IIII / X\*\*1

 25=2.000
 IIII / X\*\*1

 25=2.000
 IIII / X\*\*1

 25=2.000
 IIII / X\*\*1</t SUPPOLITING MRODELTIZTA.PDD.HHD.MU.AP.DEL.FF.NC.NCA.DSTR.KL.NCAL. MCA2. II.NITEP.IV.KI PROGRAMMI TO CALCULATE EPSI THPUUGHOUT FTA RANGI 1 SN 0002 ACAP, H. MITTO CALCULATE EPSI THPUUGHOUT PTA RANGT RFALPAMET TO CALCULATE EPSI THPUUGHOUT PTA RANGT RFALPA MIL RFALPA MILPA RFALPA RFALPA MILPA RFALPA MILPA RFALPA RFAL ŝ 
 15N
 00033

 15N
 0004

 15N
 0005

 15N
 0016

 15N
 0017

 15N
 0016

 15N
 0017

 15N
 0017

 15N
 0017

 15N
 0018

 15N
 0017

 15N
 0027

 15N
 0027

 15N
 0027

 15N
 0027

 15N
 0017

 15N
 0017

 15N
 0017

 15N
 0017

 15N
 0047
 </t 1 400

3

Ŀ.

- 194 -

FYEL 2.2 (	SEPT 761		05/360 FOR	TRAN P EXTENDED	DATE 78-027/16-02-10	PALLE	3
OUESTID OPT	1045						
TIONS IN CE	FECT: SU	UNCE ENCETE NOLIST NODEC	CON TEACH &	AP NOFORNAT NOGO THE	NOXREP NUALE NUANSE TERM FLAGE	11	
150 0002	5	SUBRINTING MK0091X1.P.F PROGRAMME TO CALCULATE	N.PPD.NCA.HG	A1 .NCA2 .NC . 1 Y .NI 18 H .	ט)		
1 0003	, E	RCAL A LLANA DHE DHETT	12.13.FA.14				
ISN 0035 ISN 0035 ISN 0035	2	REAL +4 PIA+100)+P0(4+10 -X#7.000*X1(NC) 	10				
154 0034 154 0010 158 0011	10	NT-NCAI					
1 SN 0312 1 SN 0013		DH1 = X1 (NC-1) - X1 (NC-1) DH2 = X1 (NC) - X1 (NC)					
15N 0014 15N 0015		MM == (0H1+0H2 )/(0H1+0H2	)				
1 5 0017		11 11+PP(NCA2.1)+MN+PD	(NCA1+1)				4
150 0010 150 0010		T3=1.L. PINCAP . 13+MMOPEN T4=PPD(11.13+T3	CA1+13				
154 0022		D(J+1)=F++172-143 CONTINUE					
15N 0024 15N 0025		END					
					DATE 78.077416.02.14	PAGE	a
EVEL 2.2	(5527 76	)	05/360 FO	RTRAN M EXTENSIED	INTE FOTOLFFICTOR		
QUESTED OP	11045:		ACCOUNTING.		I AND A REAL AND AND A TERM AT AGE	1.5	
UTONS IN LI	FFECTI	QUELT RACTIC NULLEST HOOF	CE GO HET NO	AVE NDEITHEAT HOCCOLS	OTREP NOALC NOANSP TERM FEAD		
154 0002	с	PROGRAMME TO BRITE D A	5 4				
1 SN 0003 15N 0004		16=14-4 00 1 J=1.1F					
ISN 0335		1 J+7 P(A+1)=B(J+1) CONTINUE					
I SHO DO S	-00	FIND DIALIY-11 AND PIA	. 171				
15N 0009 15N 0010		P(4, 1Y)=P(4, 1Y-2) P(4, 1Y)=P(4, 1Y-2)					
15N 0011	C	RETURN					
Date of	Assessed.						
EVEL 2.2	ISEPT 7	5)	05/360 F	DRTHAN H EXTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PAGE	×.
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF	(SEPT 7	51	05/360 F	DRTRAN M EXTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PAGE	
EVEL 2.2 Quester of Tions in E	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: Effect:	SI NANE(MAIN) OPTIMIZE(I) L SOURCE IBEDIC NOLIST NOJ	OSZ 360 FI	STITEN H EXTENDED SIZE(AAT) AUT DILIN MAP NOFORMAT NOGCSTM	DATE 78-027/16-02-19 NET NCKREF NUALC NUANSE TERM TLAG	PA60	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF HTIDNS IN E	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT:	SI NANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZE(I) L SOURC ISCOL NOLIST NO.	OS/360 F	DRIKAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLEIN DHAP NOFORMAT NOGESIN DUNDARY CONDITIONS	DATE 78-0277'6-02-19 INF ) IT NCXREP NUALC NUANSF TERM "LAG	PA 60	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC DF HTIONS IN E 154 0002 154 0003	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT:	SJ SOURCE I BODIC MOLIST NOJ SOURCE I BODIC MOLIST NOJ STATER P(4.1CO). M(4. REAL = 1.1.4.7A.5TB.TC.K	OS/360 FI INFCOUNTION ECK OBJECT N C PO, 4110 B IOCI 116P1.41M	DRTRAN H EXTENDED SIZE(IAI) AUT DILINJ DMAP NOFORMAT NOGOSTM DUNDARY CONDITIONS	DATE 78.027/16.02.19 NET NOXREF NUALC NUANSF TERM "LAG	PA 66	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF ITIDNS IN E ISH 0003 ISH 0005 ISH 0005 ISH 0005 ISH 0005	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT:	53 SOURC ( MA IN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC ( BEDIC NOLIST NO) STATER P(4.1CO).PT(4. REAL 44 B.M.TAID.TC.K PD(4.1)= 1.000 R01+K.01.000 TH41.000-K	OS/360 FI	DRTRAN H EXTENDED SIZE(AAT) ANTIOLINI DMAP NOFORMAT NOGOSTH DUNDARY CONDITIONS	DATE 78-0277'6-02-19 INT) IT NGXREF NUALC NUANSF TERM "LAG	PA68	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF ITIONS IN E ISN 0003 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: P	53 SOURCE 1800C MOLIST NOD SOURCE 1800C MOLIST NOD STATES P(4,100), M(4, REAL 94 1, M, TA, TB, TC, K PD(4,1)=1.000 K1441.000-K 1901-11-1 TA=-K+03.000/(H=KP1)	05/360 FI	DRINAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) AUTION HIN DHAP NOFORMAT NOGOSIN DUNDARY CONDITIONS	DATE 78-0277'6-02-19 Int NCXREP NUALC NUANSF TERM "LAG	PA64	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF ITIDNS IN E ISN 0003 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0007 ISN 0007 ISN 0003 ISN 0003 ISN 0010 ISN 0010	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT:	51 SOURC ( BEDIC HOLIST NO) SOURC ( BEDIC HOLIST NO) STATER P(4.1CO).000(4) REAL 44 8.H.TA.TD.TC.K PO[4.1]=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.0000 K]H=1.00000 K]H=1.00000 K]H=1.00000 K]H=1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	OS/360 FI	DRTRAN H EXTENDED SIZE(IAI) ANTIOLIN DHAP NOFORMAT NOGESIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS	DATE 78.0277'6.02.19	PALA	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF ITIONS IN E 154 0003 ISH 0004 ISH 0004 ISH 0004 ISH 0007 ISH 0007 ISH 0007 ISH 0007 ISH 0010 ISH 0011 ISH 0012 ISH 0013 ISH 0013	(SEPT Y PTIONS: EFFECT:	53 SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZC(1) L SOURC ISODIC NOLIST NO. STATER P(4.1COL.PT(4. PEAL = 9 S.H.TAID.TC.K PD(14.1)= 1.000 K]1451.000-K 1991.17-1 TATER = 3.0007(H=KP1) TATER = 4.44K/M TC=K/H#KP11 DO 1 = 2.1791 PD(4.1)=P(4.1-1)#TA/K	05/360 Fi INFCDUNC 00 SCK 00JCCT N C 00 JCCT N C 00 JCCT N 100 JCC 100 JCC 10	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLEIN JMAP NOFORMAT NOGOSIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS	DATE 78-0277'6-02-19	PAL2	
EVEL 2+2 OUESTEC DF ITIONS IN E ISN 0022 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0021 ISN 0012 ISN 0012 ISN 0012 ISN 0012 ISN 0014 ISN 0015 ISN 0015	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: E	5) SANE( MAIN) OPTIMI2C(1) L SOURC IBCDIC MOLIST NOD REAL # FIA. TODIC MOLIST NOD REAL # 1.000 REAL # 1.000 RIM:1.000-K IV11:1V-1 IA:-K:#3.000/(H*KP1) IA:-K:#4K/H TC=K/H*KP11 DO 1 1:2.IVM1 FO(4.1):P(4.1-1)*TA/K CONTINUT PD(4.1):P(4.1-1)*TA/K	05/360 Fi	DRTRAN H EXTENDED SIZE(141) AUT D I IN DHAP NOFORMAT NOGOSIN DUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPE4.ININTC/K1	DATE 78.027/'6.02.19	P464	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF ITIONS IN E ISN 0004 ISN 0004 ISN 0004 ISN 0007 ISN 0007 ISN 0007 ISN 0007 ISN 0007 ISN 0017 ISN 0014 ISN 0014 ISN 0014 ISN 0014	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT:	53 SANE( MAIN) OPTIMIZC(1) L SOURC = BEDIC MOLIST NOD: PEAL = PEAL OF ALTONIC PEAL OF ALTONIC PEAL = PEAL OF ALTONIC PEAL OF ALTONIC PEAL = PEA	05/360 Fi	DRTRAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLINI DHAP NOFORMAT NOGOSIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPEA.ENIINIC/KI	DATE 78.0277'6.02.19	PAL2	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF 1710N5 IN E 154 0028 154 0028 154 0028 154 0026 154 0026 154 0026 154 0026 154 0026 154 0026 154 0026 154 0021 154 0012 154 0012 154 0013 154 0014	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT:	53 SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC ISODIC MOLIST NOD FTA: *** P(4,1C0), PAIN PD(4,1) = 1.000 K141,000-K 1YM1=1Y-1 TA=-K:**3.000/(H*KP1) TA=-K:**3.000/(H*KP1) TA=-K:*****/M TC=K/H*KF11 D0 1 1*2.1YM1 if ++1 PD(4,1)=P(4,1-1)*TA/K CONTINUC PD(4,1)=P(4,1-1)*TA/K CONTINUC PD(4,1)=P(4,1-1)*TA/K CONTINUC	05/360 Fi 1.5.COUNT (0) 2.C. OBJECT N 1.0. 1.0. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLEINJ DHAP NOFORMAT NOGOSIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPEA.INIINTC/KI	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PALE () ) YAUT	
EVEL 2.2 EVEL 2.2 EVEL 2.2	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: E	53 SANE( MAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC ( BEDIC NOLIST NO) GFA ** # P(4:100).0**(4. REAL ** *.H.TAID.TC.K PD14:13:1.000 K141:000 K141:000-K 1991:17:1 TA=-K*3.0007(H*KP1) TA=-K*44K/H YC=K/FHKKP11 D0 1 1*2.1991 PD14:17:90.000 HC 10PH END 6]	05/360 Fi 1.45 CDUNCIO 5CK 0BJCCT N 1.50 + 1114 B 1.50 + 114 B 1.50 + 114 B 1.50 + 114 TU/ 05/360 F	DRTRAN H EXTENDED SIZE(MAT) ANTIO LIN DNAP NOFORMAT NOGOSIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPEA.ININTO/KI	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PAGE () ) Y40(	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC 05 110NS IN E 154 0003 154 0003 154 0005 154 0005 154 0005 154 0005 154 0005 154 0015 154 0015 154 0015 154 0016 154 0016 154 0017 154 0016 154 0016 154 0016 154 0017 154 0016 154 000000000000000000000000000000000000	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: 2 E (SEPT 7 PTIONS:	53 SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC ISODIC NOLIST NO. PTA-PP P(A.1COL.M.16. PEAL ** T.N.7A.1B.TC.K PD(A.1): 1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.000 KJ14:1.0	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLLIN DHAP NOFORMAT NOGOSIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPEA.ININTC/KI OHTPAN H EXTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PALC (1) YAU(	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF "TIONS IN E I SN 0003 I SN 0014 I SN 0017 I SN 0017	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: E (SEPT 7 PTIONS: EPFECT:	5) SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC FEDDIC MOLIST NOD RTA-SA P(4,100).PM(4, REAL-9 +.H.TA-TB-TC-K PD14,1)=1.000 K1=1.000-K IV1=IV-1 IA=-K:93,000/(H=KP1) IA=-K:94K/H TC=K/FAKP11 D0 1 1=2.IVM1 H ==1 PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K CONTINUC PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K PD14,1]=P(4,1=1)=TA/K PD14,	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLEIN- DHAP NOFORPAT NOGESIN DUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPEA.INIINTE/KI OHTPAN M ENTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.02.19 NET NEXREP NUALE NJANSF TERH *LAG DATE 78.027/16.02.24 NJALE NOANSF TERM FLAG	PAGE (1) (1)	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC 05 110NS IN 6 154 0033 154 003 154	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: I (SEPT 7 IPTIONS: LPFCCT:	53 SANE( MAIN) OPTIMIZC(1) L SOURCE ISOIC MOLIST NO. OTA' - R P(4.1CO). (*(4. REAL - 9 I.H.TAID.TC.K POIA 11 - 1.000 K14:1.000-K 1401-1(-0.0-K 1401-1(-1.000-K 1401-1(-1.000-K 1401-1(-1.000-K 1401-1(-1.000-K 1401-1(-1.000-K 1401-1(-1.000-K CONTINUT POIA 1171-0.000 METURM END 63 SUPROVISING MY212(P.5)	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(AAT) ANTIOLIN DAAP NOFORMAT NOGESTN OUNDARY CONDITIONS RINPIAN H EXTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PALA (1) (1)	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF "TIONS IN E ISH 0003 ISH 0004 ISH 0005 ISH 0005 ISH 0005 ISH 0005 ISH 0007 ISH 0012 ISH 0012 ISH 0012 ISH 0015 ISH 0015 ISH 0016 EVEL 2.2 DJESTED D "TID45 14 ISH 0005 ISH 0005	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: E (SEPT 7 OPTIONS: EPFCCT: 2 C	53 SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC ISCOL NOLIST NOD PTA-PA P(A.1COL.M-1(A. REAL ** T.H.TA.TB.TC.K POIA (1)=1.000 K141.000-K 1YM1=1Y-1 TA-FK ** 3.CODZ(N*KP1) TA-FK ** 3.CODZ(N*KP1) TA-FK ** 3.CODZ(N*KP1) TC-FZ/HAKP11 DO 1 1*2.TYM1 POIA (1)=P(A.1-1)*TAZK CONTINUC POIA (1)=P(A.1-1)*TAZK POIA (1)=P(A	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLLINJ DHAP NOFORMAT NGGESIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KJOPEA.IOIIOTE/K1 ONTPAN H EXTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PALC (1) (1)	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC DF ITIONS IN E ISN 0003 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0007 ISN 0010 ISN 0010 ISN 0011 ISN 0011 ISN 0014 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 ISN 0007 ISN 0002 ISN 0002 I	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: E SPTIONS: LPFCCT: 2	5) SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZC(1) L SOURC IBEDIC MOLIST NOD GTA-SA P(4,100).PP(4, REAL-9 +.H.A.TO.TC.K P0(4,1)=1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.000 C1=K+1.0000 C1=K+1.0000 C1=K+1.00	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIDILINI DAAP NOFORMAT NOGESTM DUNDARY CONDITIONS KIOPEA.IOIINIC/KI OHTPAN H EXTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.02.19 NI NCXREP NUALC NJANSF TERH *LAG DATE 78.027/16.07.24 NJALC NOANSF TERH FLAG	PALC (1) (1)	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC 05 110NS IN E 154 0002 154 0003 154 0005 154 0005 154 0005 154 0005 154 0005 154 0005 154 0015 154 0015 154 0015 154 0015 154 0017 154 0017 154 0017 154 0017 154 0017 154 0017 154 0005 154 005 154 005 1	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: 1 E (SEPT 7 IPTIONS: EPFECT: 2 S	53 SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC ISODIC NOLIST NOD OTA: ** P(4.1C01.**(4. PEAL ** *.H.7A.TB.TC.K PO(14.1)*1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.000 K]**(1.0000 K]**(1	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLIN JHAP NOFORMAT NOGOSIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPEA.ININTE/KI OUTPAN H EXTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PALE (1) (1)	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC DF "TIDNS IN E ISN 0003 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0015 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 I	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: 1 (SEPT 7 IPTIONS: EPFECT: 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5) SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) C SOURC IGEDIC MOLIST NOD GIA: 1001C MOLIST NOD GIA: 1000 K14:1000 K14:1000 K14:1000 K14:1000 K14:1000 K14:1000 K14:1000 K14:1000 K100 CONTINUE POIS INFO POIS INFO PO	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLLINJ DHAP NOFOMAT NOGESIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPEA.ININTEZKI OHTPAN H EXTENDED	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PAGE (1) (1)	
EVEL 2.2 OUESTEC DF ITIONS IN E ISN 0023 ISN 0025 ISN 0012 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 I	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: E SPFTIONS: E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	5) SANE( MAIN) OPTIMIZC(1) L SOURC IBCDIC MOLIST NOD PTA-SA P(4,100).000 REAL-9 E.M.TA.TO.TC.K PC1.11-1.000 RJM-1.000-K IV1101V-1 IAK+3.CNO/(H*KP1) IAK+3.CNO/(H*KP1) IAK+4K/H PO14.11-1.000 RCAL-SCORE CONTINUT PO14.11-1.000 RCAL-SCORE CONTINUT PO14.11-1.000 RCAL-SCORE SUPROVISION END SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROVISION F100 SUPROV	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOL IN DHAP NOFORMAT NOGESTN OUNDARY CONDITIONS RINP(4.101101C/K1 OHTPAN H EXTENDED IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PALC (1)	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC OF "TIONS IN E ISN 0003 ISN 0005 ISN 0005 ISN 0007 ISN 0010 ISN 0010 ISN 0010 ISN 0010 ISN 0011 ISN 0011 I	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: (SEPT 7 )PTIONS: EPFECT: 2 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 7 7 1	5) SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC ISCOLC NOLIST NOD PTA-PP P(A,1COL.MTIA, PEAL ** FINTA.TO.TC.K POIA (1)=1.000 K141.000-K 1YM1=1Y-1 TA=-K:*****/N TC=-K:M*K/N TC=-K:M*K/N TC=-K:M*K/N TC=-K:M*K/N TC=-K:M*K/N POIA (1)=P(A,1-1)*TA/K CONTINUC POIA (1)=P(A,1-1)*TA/K CONTINUC POIA (1)=P(A,1-1)*TA/K CONTINUC POIA (1)=P(A,1-1)*TA/K CONTINUC POIA (1)=P(A,1-1)*TA/K CONTINUC POIA (1)=COO KETUPN END 6) 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLLINJ DHAP NOFORMAT NGGESIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KJOPEA.IOIIONS ANTPAN H EXTENDED IZAOPDEA.IOIX	DATE 78.0277'6.02.19	PALC (1) (1)	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC DF "TIONS IN E ISN 0003 ISN 0010 ISN 0010 ISN 0011 ISN 0011 ISN 0011 ISN 0017 ISN 0007 ISN 0077 ISN 0077 I	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: B S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	5) SANE( MAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC IBODIC MOLIST NOD REAL A PLAINOUT REAL A PLAINOU REAL	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLEIN- DHAP NOFORPAT NOGESIN DUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPEA.ININTE/KI OHTPAN H ENTENDED TZANPD(A.ININTSAI///)	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PALA (1) (1)	
EVEL 2.2 OUESTEC DF ITIONS IN E ISN 0023 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0027 ISN 0027 ISN 0027 ISN 0012 ISN 0012 ISN 0014 ISN 0016 ISN 0016 ISN 0016 ISN 0016 ISN 0017 ISN 0017 I	(SEPT 7) PTIONS: EFFECT: E SPFECT: E SPFECT: C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	5) SANE( MAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC IBCDIC MOLIST NOD PEALSA PIA.(CO).PP(1) REALSA PIA.(CO).PP(1) PIA.(CO).PP(1).PP(1) PIA.(CO).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP(1).PP	05/360 Fi	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOL IN SHAP NOFORMAT NOGOSIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KINPEA.ININTE/KI OUTPAN H EXTENDED TZANPO(4.INI)*T3AJ/VI	DATE 78.027/16.02.19	PALC (1)	
EVEL 2.2 QUESTEC DF "TIDNS IN E ISN 0023 ISN 0023 ISN 0023 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0025 ISN 0012 ISN 0014 ISN 0014 ISN 0022 ISN 0022 ISN 0022 ISN 0021 ISN 0012 ISN 0022 ISN 0021 ISN 0012 ISN 0022 ISN 0022 ISN 0022 ISN 0012 ISN 0022 ISN 0022 ISN 0012 ISN 0022 ISN 0012 ISN 0022 ISN 0012 ISN 0012 I	(SEPT 7 PTIONS: EFFECT: 1 (SEPT 7 IPTIONS: EPFECT: 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	53 SANE(PAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) L SOURC ISODIC MOLIST NOD STATE P(A,1COL.MITAL REAL ** P(A,1COL.MITAL REAL ** P(A,1COL.MITAL REAL ** P(A,1COL.MITAL REAL ** P(A,1COL.MITAL REAL ** P(A,1COL.MITAL P(A,1)=1.000 K14:1.000-K IVMISITY IA=-K:**.CODZ(M*KP1) IA=-K:**.CODZ(M*KP1) IA=-K:**.CODZ(M*KP1) IA=-K:**.CODZ(M*KP1) IA=-K:**.CODZ P(A,1)=P(A,1-1)*TAZK CONTINUT P(A,1)=CODO REIDEN SUPARTITINE MYDIZ(P,5) P(A)=-K**.CODZ REIDEN END 63 54 54 54 54 54 55 55 55 55 55	05/360 Fi 1	DRTHAN H EXTENDED SIZE(HAT) ANTIOLLINJ DHAP NOCOMPAT NOGOSIN OUNDARY CONDITIONS KJOP(4.IO)ITIONS (/P 0)(1)	DATE 78.0277'6.02.19	PAGE (1) (1)	

2.

1 -

\*\*

đ

- 195 -

- 196 -

11045 18 11		AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND ALC HOANSE TERM FLAG()
154 0002	c	SUBERITIES WAS SUPERINGED AND LATER OF THE DOUNCARY LAYER.
15N 0014		ki at an trol (trol) (taki 100) Ki at an oli 553 (t) L Noti 50
15N 0015 15N 0016 15N 0017	3	NOLL NOT 11 0.0001001 CO TO 2
1 SN 0004 1 SN 0010		GU TO 1
154 0313 154 0314	2	TELEVISION LA MANA DISCON AND NDELSO 14.7)
154 0010 154 0017	1	NL THNN END
11045 IN EP	PECTI N	UNE CHAINE UNTER FEELE LEN MITTOUT STATINGE CEPAT NOGESTAT NOXEEF NOALE NOANSE TERM FLAGELS
154 0002	e	DEDITENT REDITED TITUT IC NUCL K.H.DGTR.NC.ETAT
15N 0003 15N 0034 15N 0035		REAL #R THIG, 100 1000 1000 1000 FX1 REAL #R THIG, 100 K, H, DSTP (4) + ATP + FX1 REAL #R X1(00)
15N 0036	C	A10±0+000 00 1 1±2+N0FL
15N 0034 15N 0033		J=1-1 ATDSATD+(DD(4+1)+DD(4+J))+(1TA(1)-TA(J))/2+000
154 0013 158 0011 159 0012	1	Tale ( P. BOLLAN IT VC IT AC . SPO Date ( P. P. C. ) A ATPACATUAL
150 0013 150 0214		END END
••		DATE 76.027/16.02-39
EVEL 2.2	(SEPT P	DSV360 FORTRAN & CATARCEN
TIONS IN E	FFECT:	ANTIMA INT OPTIMIZE(1) IN COUNTING SIZETMAX) AUTOL INCNET NOAREF NJALC NOANSE TERN FLAG(1)
154 0002		SUBPORTING HKOLDER DO, DO IN NCA IV)
15N 0003	C	PHDGRAWME TO WRITE THE PRESECT TELETION (4) REAL #R P(4+100)+PD(4+100)+PD(4+100)+DSTR(4)
15N 0003		DO 1 1=1+1Y P(NCA-1)=P(4-1) CONTINUE
15N 0007 15N 0008		00 2 1=1 (1Y PD() CA_1 1=PD(4+1)
154 0009 154 0010 154 0011	2	DO 3 1=1-19 PODINCA:13=PD0(4.1)
15N 0012 15N 0013 15N 0014	3	CDATINUT DSTR(ALD DSTR(A) RETURN
154 0015		END
110N5 1N 1	EPFECIA	SOURCE ESCOIC NOLIST NODEL HIJECT NOVAP NO-ONEXT NODESTAT NEXTER A CONTRACT OF CONTRACT NOTES
15N 0002	C C	BOORDENE TO CREEKETL THE FAN
15 0 154 0034 154 035		MEAL® REAL® HANNEL HOTTLESSTA.ATP.T.S. REAL®S FOLSSTATE
15N 0076		ATP:0.000 DO 1 1+2.NDEL
1 SN 0009 1 SN 001 J		T1 iPD(NCA, 1) +(1, -0)0 + PD(NCA, 1)) T2 + PD(NCA, 3) +(1, -0)0 + PD(NCA, 3) ATPATP1(1)+T2) +(ETA(1)) + CTA(3) + /2-000
154 0011	- 1- 1-	CONTINUE P 1 (x ((nc)+2.000)+40.500 P 2 1 (x (nc)+2.000)+40.500 P 2 1 (x (nc)+2.000)+40.500
154 0014 154 0015 144 0014		RETURN END
TIONS IN	EFFECT	NAME (MAIN) OPTIMIZE (1) LINECONNTIGON SIZE THE FLAGESTME NORREF NOALC NOANSE TERM FLAGEST
154 000	2	SUMPROVED IN ANTI-FIM, PROVIDE ANTA-PROVIDE ANTA-PROVIDE AND
	C	IN DEBARRY TH CALCULATE VARIABLES HATCH TO VETATING
15N 000	3	PFAL M FLOTINGELIOOI, AIL 1, AL MEALMA CF.USTH, DSTRIA 1, UE, TA 1, MJ, RTIA, PDEL, SHG, MJ, EDEL .D.L. 11A.
15N 000	e,	FX1=(x1(hC)+2.00)+4.0.10 FX1=(x1(hC)+2.00)+4.0.10
1 54 600 1 54 600	7 9	
15N 021 15N 021	2	US*1 +(TA((/PHU))**0+500 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
15N 001	3 1 4	
15N 001 15N 001 15N 001	5 6 7	R) TA STATE AND STATE
15N 001	л, С.	00 7 1+1+17 F1(11+17+1/A+1)+1+0
15N 002 15N 002	2	00 3 FETETS 00 3 FETETS CENTING
154 03. 158 002	3 3	CIUTINE (11. 0.10-5) CO TO 6
154 002	7	
154 00 154 00 154 00	10	
1 54 00 1 54 00 1 54 00	12 5	Environalitation Environalitation
		A set a set of more some some some some

5

×

'VLL	22	(SE)	1 763		05/360	FORTRAN H FATENOLD	DATE 78-027/10-02-54	PA LL	
1.0.5	11.0	091105	451						
( Dec	14	CFI LO	11 NA 50	MELMAIN) OPTIMIZE(1) FIN UNCE EDICATE NODECK	COUNT ( 6 OBJECT	01 SEACEMAN AUTOONLENON ) NOMAL NOTORINAL NOGOSINE NOXRE	P NOALC NOANSE YERH FLAG(1)		
2222222222222222	0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001	214567900123456	1 1 1 1 1	SUPPOLITING AA/M(AA,(),N) 1. LICIT FL AL*A (A-+(,G- UHM N ION A(00,07),K(00) JJ1=++1 NG (A J-1-N DG 2 I=+1.N CONTINUE CONTINUE J=3 DG 1000 I=1.3 A(1+1)=AA((,J) J=J=1 C(NTINUE	2) , AA(96,	51. ALWA. 11			
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	001 001 001 002 002	7 9 0 1	1001	J=A D0 1001 1=1.4 A(1.2)=AA(1.J) J=J=1 CONTINUE					
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	002	23456793	c	NPC-N-4 PU 1002 IS=1,N44 J-5 JJ-1542 IT=1544 DO 1003 I-IS.IT A(1,J) J=	(L.1)AA [~L				
1 SN 1 SN	003	0	1003	CONTINUE CONTINUE					
1977 1977 1977 1977	003 001 001 001 001	2 3 4 5 6 7		J=5 NMJ=N-3 NMJ=N-1 A(1,NMJ)AA(1,J) A(1,AA(1,AJ) J=J=1					
15N 15N 15N 15N	CO3 C33 O34 O04 O04	8 9 1 2	1004	CONTINUE J=5 D2 1005 1=NM2.N A(1+1=AA(1,J)					
	C 34 0 34 0 34 0 34 0 34 0 34 0 34 0 35	4 5 6 7 8 9	1005	CONTINUE DD 1006 1=1.N A(1.NP()-0(1.1) CONTINUE MT-1 N=JJI-1 IF(N-1(f+0)STOP					
124	005	6		021-1.					

EVEL	2.2	(SEPT 76)	AAZM	05/ 360	FORTRAN H EXTENDED	DATE 70.027/16.02.54	8-# Q6	2
1.5%	0.053		L =N-1					
I SN	0054		NP = 14+3					
1.5%	0055		03 36 K=1+L					
151	00.00		M=K+1					
1.5%	0057		ADD=DARSEACK+KJJ					
154	0259		14 mg					
1 1 12	C059		DU 38 1= M+ N					
ISN	0050		ATT CARSIA(I,K))					
1 5 1	0 3 5 1		1F ( A DD - AT T 3 J 7 ; 33 ; 38					
1 2 1	0002	37	AJD=AII 10+1					
121	0793	10	CON712000					
154	0.04 %	30	16 ( 18 - 1 ) 12 . 4 ] . 14					
154	0056	32	DETDET					
1.54	0057		DJ 40 JEF .NP					
1 5/1	0055		5=4(10,1)					
1.5N	0254		ALINAJA ILANJA					
151	00'0	40	A K S J Z #S					
1.54	0371	41	DO 34 1 M.N					
1 SN	0072		IF (A ( I + F ) + F U + 9 + 03601					
154	0214		STALLINIZALKINI					
154	0075							
1 2 4	0110	24	ALLEJIMAELEJI" STALKEJI					
I G N	0010	30						
	0073		00.43.131.4					
1.54	0110		K = 14 - 1					
1.51	6231		MARA 1					
1.54	0042		5-0.					
1.54	0013		DO 42 J.M.N					
1 S.N.	0324	4.2	SASHAEK, JPPFEJP					
1.54	0315		X(#1 EAER+HP)=5)/AEF+K1					
1 504	0235	43	CONT INJE					
1.5%	0037	14.00	IF (M7+(F+0)G010 30					
1 516	0217	20	CONT DWA					
1 2 4	0000							
1.5 %	0032	1007	CONTINUE					
155	0233	1001	MI T 15 14					
1.54	0024		£ 14D					
>r 101	S 1N	EFFECT+ NA	KCEVENI OPTIMEZZELI) LIN	COMPLE	0) SIZCEMAR) AUTODAL (10	DITE 3		
>110	5 IN	EFFECTESI	IRCE FREDIC HOLIST NOOFG	C OBJECT	NEWAR NOTORHAT NUCCOST.	T HORNER HOALS HOANSP TERM FLAGTED		
TATES	511554	2004	CE STATEMENTS = 93+	PRUGPAH	SIZE = 76. A. SURPI	DOGRAM NART - LAKZM		
TA 11	11105-		CHOST ICS CONFRAMED					

ATTATION AND DE CONSTRATION ATTATISTICS NO DIAGNASTICS THIS STEP

375K HATES OF CORE NOT USED
## LIST OF REFERENCES

- BALE B.A. Mass Transfer Through a Porous Tube.
  M.Sc. Thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, 1975.
- 2 BIRD R.B., STEWART W.E. and LIGHTFOOT E.N. Transport Phenomena. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1960.
- 3 BLACK T.J. and SARNECKI A.J. The Turbulent Boundary Layer with Suction and Injection. British Aeronautical Research Council, R & M, No 3387, London, 1958.
- EBECI T. and SMITH A.M.O. A Finite Difference Method for calculating Compressible Laminar and Turbulent Boundary Layers. Journal of Basic Engineering. (Trans. ASME Series D), V.12,3 : pp 523-535, 1970 a.
- 5 CEBECI T. Behaviour of Turbulent Flow near a porous Wall with Pressure Gradient. AIAA Journal, V.3,12 : pp 2152 - 2156, J' ) b.
- 6 CEBECI T. and MOSINSKIS G.J. Calculation of Incompressible Boundary Layers with Mass Transfer, including highly Acce ating Flows. Journal of Heat Transfer, (Trans. ASME series C), V.93,3 : pp 271-280, 1971.
- 7 CEBECI T. and SMITH A.M.O. Analysis of Turbulent Boundary Layers. Academic Press New York, 1974.
- 8 CEBECI T. and BRADSHAW P. Momentum Transfer in Boundary Laye s. Hemisphere Publishing Co., Washington, 1977.
- 9 CHEERS B.A. Note on Wind Tunnel Contractions. British Aeronautical Research Council, R & M, No 2137, London, 1945.

- 10 DRYDEN H.L. Air Flow in the Boundary layer near a Plate. N.A.C.A. Report No 562, Washington, 1936.
- 11 DUWEZ P. and WHEELER H.L. Experimental Study of Cooling by Injection of a Fluid Through a Porous Material. Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, V.15, pp 509-521, 1948.
- 12 FALKNER V.M. and SKAN S.W. Some approximate solutions of the boundary layer equation. Philosophical Magazine, V.12, pp 865-896, 1931.
- 13 GRIMSON J. Fluid Dynamics and Heat Transfer. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Maidenhead, 1971.
- 14 GROOTENHUIS P. The Mechanism and Application of Effusion Cooling. The Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society, V.63, 578, pp 73-89, 1'59.
- 15 HARTNETT J.P., BIRKEBAK R.C. and ECKERI E.R.G. Velocity Distributions, Temperature Distributions, Effectiveness and Heat Transfer for Air Injected Through a Tangential Slot into a Turbulent Boundary Layer. Journal of Heat Transfer (Trans. ASME Series C), V.83, 3, pp 293-306, 1961.
- HILDEBRAND F.B. Advanced Calculus for Applications. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962.
- 17 HORSLEY R.R. An Experimental and Theoretical Analysis of transient demisting phenomena as applied to wall jet flows. PhD thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, 1975.
- 18 KINNEY R.B. Skin Friction Drag of a Constant Property Boundary Layer with Uniform Injection. AIAA Journal, V.5, 4, pp 624-630, 1967.

- 19 KRIEG H.R.F. Determination of velocity and temperature profiles, and the heat transfer characteristics in injection cooled porous pipes with turbulent axial flows. B.Sc. thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, 1975.
- 20 LAUNDER B.E. and SPALDING D.B. Mathematical Models of Turbulence. Academic Press, London, 1972.
- 21 MAYHEW Y.R. and ROGERS G.F.C. Thermodynamic and Transport Properties of Fluids (S.I. Units). Blackwell and Mott, Oxford, 1970.
- 22 McQUAID J. The Calculation of Turbulent Boundary Layers with Injection. British Aeronautical Research Council, R & M, No 3542, London, 1967.
- 23 MICKLEY H.S. and DAVIS R.S. Momentum Transfer for Flow over a Flat Plate with Blowing. NACA TN 4017, 1956.
- 24 MILNE-THOMSON L.M. The Calculus of Finite Differences. MacMillan and Co., London, 1933.
- 25 MOFFAT R.J. and KAYS W.M. The Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Porous Plate : Experimental Heat Transfer with Uniform Blowing and Suction. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, V.11, 10, pp 1547-1566, 1968.
- 26 PLETCHER R.H. On a Finite Difference Solution for the constant Property Turbulent Layer. AIAA Journal, V.7, 2, pp 305-311, 1969.
- 27 PLETCHER R.H. Prediction of Transpired Turbulent Boundary Layers. Journal of Heat Transfer (Trans. ASME Series C), V.96, 1, pp 89-94, 1974.

- 28 POPE A. Wind Tunnel Testing. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, 1954.
- 29 SCHEIDEGCER A.E. The Physics of Flow through Porous Media. The MacMillan Company, New York, 1957.
- 30 SCHETZ J.A. and NERNEY B. Turbulent Boundary Layers with Injection and Surface Roughness. AIAA Journal, V. 15, 9, pp 1288-1294, 1977.
- 31 SCHLICHTING H. Boundary Layer Theory. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1968.
- 32 SIMPSON R.L., MOFFAT R.J. and KAYS W.M. The Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Porous Plate : Experimental Skin Friction with Variable Injection and Suction. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, V.12, 7, pp 771-787, 1969.
- 33 SLATTERY J.C. Momentum, Energy and Mass Transfer in Continua. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1972.
- 34 SMITH A.M.O. and CEBECI T. Solution of the Boundary Layer Equations for Incompressible Flow. Stanford Heat and Mass Transfer Conference, 1968.
- 35 SUCIU S.N. High Temperature Turbine Design Considerations. AGARD conference (Propulsion and Energetics Division), ref no 15, 1970.
- 36 THOMPSON B.G.J. A new two-parameter family of mean velocity profiles for incompressible turbulent boundary layers on smooth walls. British Aeronautical Research Council, R & M, No. 3463, London, 1965.

- 37 VAN DRIEST E.R. On Turbulent Flow near a Wall. Journal of the Aerospaces Sciences, V.23, 11, pp 1007-1011, 1956.
- 38 WHITEKAR S. Advances in Theory of Fluid Motion in Foreus Media. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, V.61, 12, 1969.
- 39 Instruction and Service Manual for Type 55001 Amenometer. DISA
- 40 Instruction and Service Mannal for Spectrum Analyser, Type 3580 A. Hewlett-Packard.

41 Flow Measurement. British Standard Code, BS1042, 1943.

## ADDENDUM

The ratio of displacement thickness to momentum thickness, H, numerically quan tifies the shape of the boundary layer. The "fuller" the profile, the smaller H.

The following admittedly over-simplified analysis suggests the trend di ted. A consideration of the following sketches indicates the typical values of H, and its relationship with other propertie of the boundary layer.



ie. as // increases, / det / a es.

Thus  $\pi = f(1/\sigma)$ 

From Reynolds Analogy, and experimental results for heat transfer.

St = -/2 (Bird, Stewart and Lightfoot, 1960) And with injection :- $St = -a/2 \times 1,16$  p = 0,004 (Moffat and Kays, 1968) Thus St = -f(1/H) However, a, decreases with injection ratio, as does St (see Moffat and Kays, 1968).

Thus, as H increases, St decreases. From Fig 7.13, it is clear that H increased with F, and for the restricted flow case, H was higher for a given x station and injection ratio, for fixed primary and secondary flow rates.

By definition,  $St = \frac{h}{0}$  h = heat transfer coefficient

Heat transfer,  $Q = h(t_{\rho} - t_{\rho})$ 

If St decreases, so does h, and for hypothetically constant Q and  $t_e$ ,  $t_w$  must decrease. Hence a lower wall temperature results, which is the desired ffect.

Considering the injection velocity profile with blockage shown in Fig 7.14, leads to comparing it with the turbulent velocity, varying in space rather than with time. Although the average injected momentum is unaltered for a fixed secondary flowrate, it may be more meaningful to use some form of root mean square value for  $v_{\perp}$  with blockage. The greater penetration of y momentum clearly has a greater decellerating effect on the boundary layer - it may also decrease the heat transfer coefficient. However, only extensive experimentation can conclusively verify this qualitative speculation.

## Author Krieg M Name of thesis An investigation into practical aspects of transplantation flows 1978

**PUBLISHER:** University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg ©2013

## LEGAL NOTICES:

**Copyright Notice:** All materials on the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg Library website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

**Disclaimer and Terms of Use:** Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the Library website.