A TAXONOMIC AND GENETICAL STUDY OF THE ANOPHELES COUSTANI GROUP OF MOSQUITOES IN SOUTHERN AFRICA

by Maureen Coetzee

A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of Science, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, for the Degree of Master of Science

Johannesburg, March 1982

ABSTRACT

The <u>Anopheles coustani</u> (Diptera:Culicidae) group of mosquitoes in southern Africa originally comprised a single species taxon <u>A.coustani</u> with <u>tenebrosus</u> and <u>ziemanni</u> as "varieties" thereof. Thirteen years ago (1968) the varieties were given full specific rank on morphological evidence. While the adults were easily distinguished, previous workers had not been able to find any significant differences between the immature stages. In 1972 it was suggested, without evidence, that it was possible that the three forms are in fact morphs of one polymorphic population. Using both genetical and morphological techniques, the taxonomic and evolutionary status of these similar forms was reinvestigated in this present study.

Examination of the polytene chromosomes of the fourth instar larvae provided evidence for a total of five species, and preliminary starch-gel electrophoresis studies confirmed these findings. The morphology of the adults and immatures was investigated and good discriminating characters were found in the pupae of all five species.

These studies have shown that the hypothesis that a single polymorphic species is being dealt with is incorrect, and also revealed further sibling species in two of the taxa.

ii

As the members of the <u>A.coustani</u> group are highly anthropophilic, these results are potentially pertinant to studies of real dual malaria transmission in Africa. They also demonstrate the utility of new approaches for the adequate study of a difficult complex of species in <u>Anopheles</u>.

iii

DECLARATION BY CANDIDATE

I declare that this dissertation is my own work and that it has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in any other University. Throughout this study I have been an employee of the Department of Health.

plauren laetzee

iv

This work is dedicated to my husband Dolf who has been so patient and long-suffering.

PREFACE

This study was stimulated by the need to study the morphology of the immature stages of the three species of the sub-genus <u>Anopheles</u> found in this country. It was only later that the genetics of the group was also considered. Current genetical studies of anophelines are proving to be almost as useful and enlightening in the study of evolutionary concepts as those carried out on members of the genus <u>Drosophila</u>. This study contributes in a small way to our sum of knowledge of this important genus.

I am deeply indebted to Dr. Botha de Meillon, who first awakened an interest in me for mosquitoes, and who has subsequently kept the flame alive, encouraging and supporting me whenever I looked like flagging. But for him, this dissertation would never have been written.

I am most grateful to Prof. Hugh Paterson who has made all things possible. My time spent under his supervision was most imformative and very enjoyable. It was a privelege to come under his influence and so be exposed to all the newest ideas in this field of applied entomology.

Many thanks are due to Mr. Chris Green and Mr. Rich Hunt of the Department of Medical Entomology, South African

vi

Institute for Medical Research, Johannesburg, who put up with me for one year and helped to further my education. I appreciate their generosity in the free use of their equipment, the material they supplied me with, and for discussions regarding the ideas and techniques employed in this study. Also from the above Institute, I thank Dr. J. Ledger, Head of the Entomology department, for his interest and for granting permission to use the facilities, Mrs. J. Segerman for her help with pinned specimens and Mr. G. Newlands, Ms. C. Martindale, Mr. I. Davidson and Mr. S. Henning for their interest in my work.

For the collection of wild material I have been almost totally dependant on the co-operation of other people. In this respect I thank Dr. C. Hansford, Director of the National Institute for Tropical Diseases, Tzaneen, and the following members of his staff, in alphabetical order: Mr. H. Cross, Mr. J. Cross, Ms. D. Durand, Mr. C. du Toit, Mr. D. Eckhardt, Mr. E. Jansen, Mr. K. Newbetry, Mr. A. Quan, Mr. W. Sekgobela, Mr. J. Shipalane, Mr. D. Theron and Mr. G. van Eeden. Also Dr. P. Taylor of Blair Research Institute, Salisbury, Zimbabwe and Mr. J. le Grange of the Department of Health, Namibia.

For helpful discussions I am indebted to Mr. C. Green, Mr. R. Hunt, Mr. K. Newberry and Ms. D. Durand. I thank Dr. D. Lambert for ideas on the presentation of this work.

Last but not least, I thank my mother for all the support she has given me.

vii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| pa | ge |
|--------------------------|----|
| Title page | i |
| Abstract | ii |
| Declaration by Candidate | iv |
| Dedication | v |
| Preface | vi |

CHAPTER ONE

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

page

| 1.1 | Identification | 1 |
|-----|--|---|
| 1.2 | Sibling species | 3 |
| 1.3 | Objectives | 5 |
| 1.4 | A brief history of the <u>Anopheles</u> (<u>Anopheles</u>) | |
| | coustani group of mosquitoes | 6 |

CHAPTER TWO

| THE | CHROMOSOMAL ANALYSIS | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Introduction | 9 |
| 2.2 | Methods | |
| | 2.2.1 Mosquito collecting and rearing | |
| | techniques | 10 |

viii

| | 2.2.2 Salivary gland polytene chromosomes | page |
|-----|---|------|
| | technique | 11 |
| 2.3 | Cytological characteristics | |
| | 2.3.1 Chromosomal species | 14 |
| | 2.3.2 Floating inversion polymorphisms | 15 |
| 2.4 | Cross-mating experiments between the coustani | |
| | sibling species | 22 |
| 2.5 | Discussion | 24 |

CHAPTER THREE

THE ELECTROPHORETIC ANALYSIS

| 3.1 | Introduction | 29 |
|-----|--------------|----|
| 3.2 | Methods | 30 |
| 3.3 | Results | 31 |
| 3.4 | Discussion | 34 |

CHAPTER FOUR

THE MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

| 4.1 | Intro | duction | 38 |
|-----|-------|----------------|----|
| 4.2 | Adult | identification | |
| | 4.2.1 | Methods | 39 |
| | 4.2.2 | Results | 42 |
| 4.3 | Pupal | identification | |
| | 4.3.1 | Methods | 42 |
| | 4.3.2 | Results | 43 |
| | | | |

ix

| | 2.2.2 Salivary gland polytene chromosomes | page |
|-----|---|------|
| | technique | 11 |
| 2.3 | Cytological characteristics | |
| | 2.3.1 Chromosomal species | 14 |
| | 2.3.2 Floating inversion polymorphisms | 15 |
| 2.4 | Cross-mating experiments between the coustani | |
| | sibling species | 22 |
| 2.5 | Discussion | 24 |

CHAPTER THREE

THE ELECTROPHORETIC ANALYSIS

| 3.1 | Introduction | 29 |
|-----|--------------|----|
| 3.2 | Methods | 30 |
| 3.3 | Results | 31 |
| 3.4 | Discussion | 34 |
| | | |

CHAPTER FOUR

THE MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

| 4.1 II | .1 Introduction | | | |
|--------|-----------------|----------------|----|--|
| 4.2 A | dult | identification | | |
| 4 | .2.1 | Methods | 39 | |
| 4 | .2.2 | Results | 42 | |
| 4.3 Pt | upal | identification | | |
| 4 | .3.1 | Methods | 42 | |
| 4 | .3.2 | Results | 43 | |

ix

| 4.4 | Larval identification | | | |
|-----|--|----|--|--|
| | 4.4.1 Methods | 49 | | |
| | 4.4.2 Results | 49 | | |
| 4.5 | Egg identification | | | |
| | 4.5.1 Introduction | 54 | | |
| | 4.5.2 Scanning electron microscope technique | 55 | | |
| | 4.5.3 Results | 55 | | |
| 4.6 | Discussion | 60 | | |

CHAPTER FIVE

CONCLUTING DISCUSSION

| 5.1 | Introduction | 64 |
|-----|--|----|
| 5.2 | A recent example of the pitfalls of pure | |
| | morphological taxonomy | 64 |
| 5.3 | Species-specific characters | 66 |
| 5.4 | On identification | 69 |

APPENDIX I

APPENDIX II

| DEI | AILE | ED RECIPES | FOR | THE | STAINS | AND | BUFFERS | USED | |
|-----|------|------------|-------|------|---------|-----|---------|------|----|
| IN | THE | ELECTROPH | ORETI | C AI | NALYSIS | | | | 73 |

X

APPENDIX III

page

xi

| AS | SUMMARY | OF | THE | STUDIES | DONE | ON TH | HE IMM | ATURES | OF | |
|------------|---------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|--------|--------|--------|----|----|
| <u>A.C</u> | OUSTAN | <u>I, A</u> | A.ZII | EMANNI A | ND A. | TENEBF | ROSUS, | USING | | |
| COM | IPU"ER | TECH | INIQU | JES | | | | | | 76 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| ig. 2 | : The chromosomal map | of <u>A.coustani</u> A |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | showing the fixed | inversion differences |
| | with species B on | the X arm and various |
| | floating inversion | ns of both species on |
| | the autosomal arms | s 1 |

| Fig. 3 : | The chromosomal map of <u>A.ziemanni</u> A | |
|----------|--|----|
| | showing the inversion differences | |
| | found in species B | 17 |
| | The chromoscarl man of A tenchrocus | 10 |

| Fig. | 6 | : | The | aouble | heterozygote | | loops | on | arm | 3R | |
|------|---|---|-----|--------|--------------|---|-------|----|-----|----|----|
| | | | of | A.cous | stani | B | | | | | 23 |

Fig. 7 : Hybrid chromosomes of <u>A.coustani</u> B x A.... 25

page

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1 : The hind legs of the three species A.coustani, A.ziemanni and A.tenebrosus showing the differing amounts of pale areas..... 11 Fig. 2 : The chromosomal map of A.coustani A showing the fixed inversion differences with species B on the X arm and various floating inversions of both species on the autosomal arms..... 16 Fig. 3 : The chromosomal map of A.ziemanni A showing the inversion differences found in species B..... 17 Fig. 4 : The chromosomal map of A.tenebrosus..... 18 Fig. 5 : Fixed inversion differences on the X chromosome of four species belonging to the A.coustani group..... 19 Fig. 6 : The double hetercrygote loops on arm 3R of A.coustani B.... 23 Fig. 7 : Hybrid chromosomes of A.coustani B x A.... 25

xii

page

| Fig. 8 : a) Spermatozoa emerging from the | page |
|---|---|
| squashed testes of a normal fertile | |
| <u>A.coustani</u> A male. b) The testes of a | |
| F1 hybrid male <u>A.coustani</u> B x A with | |
| no evidence of spermatozoa being presen | |
| only early spermatids | . 26 |
| Fig. 9 : Esterase allozymes of the five species | |
| studied | . 32 |
| Fig. 10 : Esterase allozymes of the two species of | |
| coustani with F1 hybrids in the middle. | . 33 |
| Fig. 11 : Examples of some other enzyme systems | |
| tested | . 37 |
| Fig. 12 : The 15 spots measured on the wings, palps | |
| and legs of adults of A.coustani A and | |
| в | a la contra de la |
| Fig. 13 : The wings of A.ziemanni A and B showing | |
| the difference in size of the apical | |
| pale spot | . 41 |
| | |
| Fig. 14 : Pupal characters of the sibling species | . 44 |
| Fig. 15 : The pupa of <u>A.tenebrosus</u> | . 45 |
| | |

| Fig. | 16 | : | The pupa of <u>A.coustani</u> A | page 46 |
|------|----|---|--|------------|
| Fig. | 17 | : | The pupa of <u>A.ziemanni</u> A | 47 |
| Fig. | 18 | : | Larval characters of the sibling species | 50 |
| Fig. | 19 | • | The larva of <u>A.tenebrosus</u> | 51 |
| Fig. | 20 | : | The larva of <u>A.coustani</u> A | 52 |
| Fig. | 21 | : | The larva of <u>A.ziemanni</u> A | 53 |
| Fig. | 22 | : | The egg of <u>A.coustani</u> A | 56 |
| Fig. | 23 | : | The egg of <u>A.coustani</u> B | 56 |
| Fig. | 24 | : | The egg of <u>A.ziemanni</u> A | 57 |
| Fig. | 25 | ; | The egg of <u>A ziemanni</u> B. This specimen has collapsed slightly at the one end | 57 |
| Fig. | 26 | : | The egg of <u>A.tenebrosus</u> | 58 |
| Fig. | 27 | : | a) The rosettes of <u>A.tenebrosus</u> . b) The rosettes of <u>A.coustani</u> B | 59 |
| Fig. | 28 | : | Scatterplot of the computer analysis of the pupae | 78 |

xiv

| ······································ | |
|--|---|
| | xv |
| | page Fig. 29 : Scatterplot of the computer analysis of |
| | the larvae |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | the second s |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 2016년 1월 2017년 1월 201 1월 2017년 1월 2 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

LIST OF TABLES

-

| Table 1 : | The numbers of 2La and 3Ra chromosome | |
|-----------|---|----|
| | sequences found in the A.ziemanni B | |
| | population | 20 |
| Table 2 : | The numbers of 2Ra and 3Rc chromosome | |
| | sequences found in the <u>A.coustani</u> A | |
| | populations | 22 |
| Table 3 : | The number of specimens per species tested | |
| | for each enzyme system | 31 |
| Table 4 : | Frequency data for four enzyme systems studied | 35 |
| Table 5 : | Combined key for identification of families | |
| | of the five species <u>tenebrosus</u> , <u>coustani</u> A | |
| | coustani B, ziemanni A and ziemanni B | 61 |
| Table 6 : | Keys to the larvae and pupae of <u>A</u> "coustani" | |
| | A.tenebrosus and A. "ziemanni", whereby 91 | |
| | and 89% correct identification respectively | 1 |
| | was obtained | 77 |

xvi

page

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1 : The numbers of 2La and 3Ra chromosome |
|---|
| sequences found in the <u>A.ziemanni</u> B |
| population |
| mille 2 miles and 20 and 20 a shares |
| Table 2 : The numbers of 2Ra and 3Rc chromosome |
| sequences found in the <u>A.coustani</u> A |
| populations 22 |
| Table 3 : The number of specimens per species tested |
| 방법이 되었다. 방법이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 같은 것이 가지 않는 것이 많이 많다. |
| for each enzyme system |
| Table 4 : Frequency data for four enzyme systems |
| studied 35 |
| |
| Table 5 : Combined key for identification of families |
| of the five species <u>tenebrosus</u> , <u>coustani</u> A |
| coustani B, ziemanni A and ziemanni B 61 |
| |
| Table 6 : Keys to the larvae and pupae of <u>A</u> "coustani; |
| A.tenebrosus and A. "ziemanni", whereby 91 |
| and 89% correct identification respectively |
| was obtained |

xvi

page

CHAPTER ONE

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Identification

The need for the accurate identification of mosquitoes was appreciated at an early stage when observations showed that in any particular area malaria was transmitted by only one or two species of anophelines (Watson, 1921). This has led to a vast amount of literature being published on mosquito identification. In 1937, Edwards published a catalogue of mosquitoes of the world in which he recognised 1400 species. The most recent catalogue published (Knight & Stone, 1977) recognises 2960 species, more than double that of Edwards'. While there were morphological differences, classical taxonomists could describe new species. Problems arose however, when taxonomic species were found to comprise of cryptic genetical species. These are commonly referred to as sibling species.

Paterson (1975) states "... that medical entomological studies must be based on sound identification of <u>genetical</u> species (my emphasis) and gives an example of the resultant chaos if this is not adhered to, by quoting the

CHAPTER ONE

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Identification

The need for the accurate identification of mosquitoes was appreciated at an early stage when observations showed that in any particular area malaria was transmitted by only one or two species of anophelines (Watson, 1921). This has led to a vast amount of literature being published on mosquito identification. In 1932, Edwards published a catalogue of mosquitoes of the world in which he recognised 1400 species. The most recent catalogue published (Knight & Stone, 1977) recognises 2960 species, more than double that of Edwards'. While there were morphological differences, classical taxcnomists could describe new species. Problems arose however, when taxonomic species were found to comprise of cryptic genetical species. These are commonly referred to as sibling species.

Paterson (1975) states "... that medical entomological studies must be based on sound identification of <u>genetical</u> species." (my emphasis) and gives an example of the resultant chaos if this is not adhered to, by quoting the

1

<u>Anopheles gambiae complex in relation to malaria control.</u> Firstly, from the time of Ross' visit to West Africa until the discovery of the complex (Paterson, 1962, Davidson, 1962), malaria entomologists in Africa studied these important insects as if they were all members of a single species. As a consequence, it became necessary to collect once more all the biological data, but this time for each individual species. Secondly, the refusal by some authors to rerognise the complex as late as 1974 served merely to confuse the picture. As the different members of the <u>gambiae</u> complex have different behavioural and physiological characters and consequently different vector capacities, it is obviously most important to identify correctly which member of the complex one is dealing with in malaria control programmes.

Before starting on a study of species, it is necessary to state which concept of species one is adopting. In this case, because speciation is a genetical event, "species" is defined in genetical terms (as opposed to the taxonomic species concept which is concerned only with classification). According to the Recognition Concept (Paterson, 1978, 1980), species are populations of individual organisms which share a common specificmate recognition system (SMRS) and habitat preference. The SMRS comprises a co-adapted signal-response reaction chain whose function is to ensure fertilization. The SMRS may take the form of visual, cuditory, chemical or

2

Author Coetzee M Name of thesis A Taxonomic and Genetical study of the Anopheles Coustani group of mosquitoes in Southern Africa 1982

PUBLISHER:

University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg ©2013

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg Library website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the Library website.