The Dietary Behaviour of Early Pleistocene Bovids from Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans, South Africa



### **Christine Marrie Steininger**

Thesis presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Science

In the School of Geosciences

University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

29 August 2011

### Declaration

I declare that this work is my own, unless indicated by author citations, and has not been submitted before for any other degree or examination at any other university. It is being submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.

Christine Star

29<sup>th</sup> of August 2011

#### Abstract

There is ongoing speculation about how an increasingly arid environment contributed to the extinction of *Paranthropus robustus*, given that a mosaic landscape with a major part of the area consisting of predominantly open grassland environment accompanied by an escalating cooler drier climate remains the persistent palaeoecological reconstruction for this species. It has been suggested that *P. robustus*, a dietary specialist, was not able to adapt to an increasingly xeric habitat. This notion has been challenged by recent multi-disciplinary research on *P. robustus* remains, including stable light isotope and dental microwear analyses, which portray a more complex diet. *Paranthropus robustus* is present in a number of key fossil assemblages spanning the period ca. 1.8 to 1.0 Ma. Analysis of the stable carbon isotope composition of bioapatites and dental microwear texture analysis of different bovid taxa, associated with *P. robustus* remains from five discrete deposits, were used to reconstruct dietary behaviour and by inference availability of local resources.

The overall pattern emerging from the bovid data indicates a more mixed and varied diet than previously thought, suggesting a heterogeneous environment, and hence a less static ecological profile for *Paranthropus*. The significant occurrence of mixed diets and relatively few obligate  $C_4$  grazers suggest that although  $C_4$  grasses were available in a mosaic environment, a  $C_4$ -dominated ecosystem was not present. Swartkrans Member 2 (ca. 1.6 Ma) contains substantially more  $C_3$  feeders than other *P. robustus* deposits, signifying a vegetation community structure that was more  $C_3$ -dominated than the other deposits. There is an apparent indication of shifting vegetation structure between *P. robustus* deposits. Thus, despite its derived craniodental morphology, *P. robustus* seems to have thrived through a range of climatic and ecological shifts by selecting from a variety of available foods present on the landscape.

#### Acknowledgements

Gratitude is given to Edward Steininger, Shiue Speedie and Brett Eloff. Thank you for your love and support. No acknowledgements are complete without a thank you to friends for their love and encouragement.

I wish to acknowledge the invaluable contribution and support my supervisors have made to this thesis: Lee Berger, Nikolaas van der Merwe and Peter Ungar. Thank you to Francis Thackeray, director of the Institute for Human Evolution and former director of the Transvaal Museum for your belief in the people and the new Institute. I am grateful to Bernhard Zipfel and Mike Raath from the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, along with Teresa Kearney and Stephany Potze from the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History, Pretoria for access to fossil and modern bovid dentition. I thank John Lanham and Ian Newton for their assistance in the Stable Light Isotope Facility, University of Cape Town. In addition, Jessica Scott and Rob Scott for their support on the *Sensofar* white-light scanning confocal microscope at the University of Arkansas, USA.

My sincerest gratitude to Graham Avery and Cynthia Kemp for their patience with editing and providing helpful comments that improved my thesis.

My appreciation goes to several colleagues who have been generous with their time and support: Fernando Abdala, Lucinda Backwell, Marion Bamford, Kristian Carlson, Thure Cerling, Benjamin Childs, Ron Clarke, Darryl Codron, Bonita de Klerk, Darryl de Ruiter, Fred Grine, Grant Hall, Tea Jashashvili, Cynthia Kemp, Job Kibii, Brian Kuhn, Rodrigo Lacruz, Julia Lee-Thorp, Andrea Leenen, Gildas Merceron, Norman Owen-Smith, Lucy Pereira, Robyn Pickering, Travis Pickering, Kaye Reed, Lloyd Rossouw, Bruce Rubidge, Blaine Schubert, Robert Scott, Jessica Scott, Matt Sponheimer, Dominic Stratford, Deano Stynder, Morris Sutton, Mark Teaford and Phillip V. Tobias.

I extend a warm thank you to a renaissance scientist, C.K. Brain, for his invaluable contribution to science and to his gracious family for their hard work at and love of Swartkrans.

A debt of gratitude goes to Lazarus Choky Kgasi, Meshack Kgasi, Francina Ndaba, Sarah Rauhele and Danny Mithi for being valuable members of Cooper's Cave field and laboratory team.

This research was generously supported by the Palaeontological Scientific Trust (PAST), the National Research Foundation (NRF) Mobility Grant, and a Postgraduate Merit Award and Postgraduate Scholarship from the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

### Contents

| Declaration   | ii                         |
|---|----------------------------|
| Abstract  | iii                        |
| Acknowledgements  | v                          |
| Contents  | vii                        |
| List of Figures   | x                          |
| List of Tables  | xiii                       |
| Nomenclature Table  | XV                         |
| <ul> <li>Chapter 1. Introduction</li></ul>  | 1<br>2<br>8<br>9           |
| Chapter 2. Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans   | 11<br>11<br>11<br>15<br>18 |
| <ul> <li>2.3 Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3</li> <li>2.3.1 Geology</li> <li>2.3.2 Palaeoecological profile</li> <li>2.4 Taphonomy</li> </ul> |                            |
| <ul><li>2.5 Bovids from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3</li><li>2.6 Discussion</li></ul>                                       | 25<br>25                   |
| <ul><li>Chapter 3. Profile of Modern Bovid Diets and Ecology</li><li>3.1 Introduction</li></ul>   | 27<br>27<br>27             |
| <ul> <li>3.3 Profile of modern bovid diets and ecology</li></ul>  |                            |

| 3.3.6      | Neotragini   |     |
|------------|--|-----|
| 3.3.7      | Peleini  |     |
| 3.3.8      | Reduncini  | 40  |
| 3.3.9      | Tragelaphini   |     |
| 3.4 Dis    | cussion  |     |
| Chapter 4. | Stable Carbon Isotopes                                 |     |
| 4.1 Intr   | oduction   |     |
| 4.2 Stal   | ble carbon isotope variation in the African ecosystems | 45  |
| 4.2.1      | C <sub>3</sub> pathway                                 | 45  |
| 4.2.2      | CAM pathway  |     |
| 4.2.3      | C <sub>4</sub> pathway                                 |     |
| 4.3 Ma     | terials  | 49  |
| 4.3.1      | Modern Bovids  | 49  |
| 4.3.2      | Fossil bovids  | 52  |
| 4.4 Me     | thods  | 60  |
| 4.4.1      | Cleaning and treatment of samples                      |     |
| 4.4.2      | Trophic categories                                     |     |
| 4.4.3      | Incorporating faecal data                              | 65  |
| 4.4.4      | Statistics   |     |
| 4.5 Die    | tary profiles for modern boyids                        |     |
| 4.5.1      | Alcelaphini  |     |
| 4.5.2      | Antilopini   |     |
| 4.5.3      | Bovini   |     |
| 4.5.4      | Hippotragini   |     |
| 4.5.5      | Neotragini   |     |
| 4.5.6      | Peleini  |     |
| 4.5.7      | Reduncini  |     |
| 4.5.8      | Tragelaphini   | 77  |
| 4.6 Die    | tary profiles for fossil bovids                        | 85  |
| 4.6.1      | Alcelaphini  |     |
| 4.6.2      | Antilopini   | 89  |
| 4.6.3      | Bovini   |     |
| 4.6.4      | Hippotragini   |     |
| 4.6.5      | Neotragini   |     |
| 4.6.6      |  |     |
| 4.0./      | Peleini  |     |
| 4.0.8      | Tragalanhini   |     |
| 4.0.9      |  |     |
| 4.7 Pala   | aeoecological Profile                                  |     |
| 4.8 Dis    | cussion  | 119 |
| Chapter 5. | Dental Microwear Texture Analysis                      |     |
| 5.1 Intr   | oduction   | 125 |

| 5.2 Materials                                 | 128        |
|---|------------|
| 5.2.1 Modern Bovids                           | 130        |
| 5.2.2 Fossil Bovids                           | 132        |
| 5.3 Methods                                   | 130        |
| 5.3.1 Length-scale analysis                   | 141        |
| 5.3.2 Area-scale analysis                     | 141        |
| 5.3.2 Volume-scale analysis                   | 142<br>144 |
| 5.3.4 Statistics                              | 1/10       |
|   | 177        |
| 5.4 Results of modern bovid diets             | 152        |
| 5.4.1 Statistics                              | 152        |
| 5.4.2 DMTA dietary profiles for modern bovids | 170        |
| 5.4.2.1 Aepycerotini                          | 170        |
| 5.4.2.2 Alcelaphini                           | 170        |
| 5.4.2.3 Bovini                                | 172        |
| 5.4.2.4 Hippotragini                          | 172        |
| 5.4.2.5 Neotragini                            | 173        |
| 5.4.2.6 Reduncini                             | 174        |
| 5.5 Results of fossil bovid diets             | 181        |
| 5.5.1 Statistics                              | 181        |
| 5.5.2 DMTA dietary profiles for fossil bovids | 182        |
| 5.5.2.1 Alcelaphini                           | 183        |
| 5.5.1.2 Antilopini                            | 184        |
| 5.5.1.3 Neotragini                            | 185        |
| 5.5.1.4 Ovibovini                             | 186        |
| 5.5.1.5 Peleini                               | 186        |
| 5.5.1.6 Tragelaphini                          | 186        |
| 5.6 Discussion                                | 192        |
| Chapter 6. Conclusion                         | 198        |
| References                                    | 200        |

# List of Figures

| Figure 2.1. Map of early Pleistocene sites in South Africa                                  | 14  |
|---|-----|
| Figure 2.2. Cooper's D locality   | 19  |
| Figure 3.1. Biomes of South Africa.   | 30  |
| Figure 4.1. Isotope fractionation between $C_3$ and $C_4$ plants.                           | 48  |
| Figure 4.2. Box and whiskers plot of modern $\delta^{13}C$ enamel                           | 64  |
| Figure 4.3. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern wildebeest by locality                          | 70  |
| Figure 4.4. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern tsessebes and blesbok by locality               | 70  |
| Figure 4.5. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern springbok by locality                           | 71  |
| Figure 4.6. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern African buffaloes by locality                   | 73  |
| Figure 4.7. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern sables by locality                              | 74  |
| Figure 4.8. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern steenbok by locality                            | 75  |
| Figure 4.9. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern mountain reedbucks by locality                  | 76  |
| Figure 4.10. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern eland by locality                              | 79  |
| Figure 4.11. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern kudu by locality.                              | 79  |
| Figure 4.12. $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil <i>Connochaetes</i> by locality    | 86  |
| Figure 4.13. $\delta^{13}$ C values of <i>Damaliscus</i> by locality                        | 88  |
| Figure 4.14. $\delta^{13}$ C values of <i>Megalotragus</i> sp. by locality                  | 89  |
| Figure 4.15. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern and fossil Antilopini by locality              | 91  |
| Figure 4.16. $\delta^{13}$ C values of <i>Syncerus</i> by locality                          | 92  |
| Figure 4.17. $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil <i>Hippotragus</i> by locality     | 93  |
| Figure 4.18. $\delta^{13}C$ values of modern and fossil O. oreotragus and O. ourebi         | by  |
| locality  | 94  |
| Figure 4.19. $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil <i>R. campestris</i> by locality   | 95  |
| Figure 4.20. $\delta^{13}$ C values of <i>P. capreolus</i> by locality                      | 97  |
| Figure 4.21. $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil <i>R. fulvorufula</i> by locality  | 97  |
| Figure 4.22. $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil <i>T. oryx</i> by locality         | 98  |
| Figure 4.23. $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil <i>T. strepsiceros</i> by locality | 99  |
| Figure 4.24. $\delta^{13}$ C results of bovids from Makapansgat Member 3 and Sterkfont      | ein |
| Member 4 1  | 114 |

| Figure 4.25. $\delta^{13}$ C results of bovids from Swartkrans Member 1 Hanging Remnant |
|---|
| and Swartkrans Member 2 115   |
| Figure 4.26. $\delta^{13}C$ results of bovids from Cooper's D 116                       |
| Figure 4.27. Sea surface temperatures from marine sediments 117                         |
| Figure 4.28. $\delta^{13}C$ results of bovids from Olduvai East Tuff 1B and 1F 118      |
| Figure 4.29. $\delta^{13}C$ results of bovids from Kanjera                              |
| Figure 5.1. Differential food particles result in pitting or striated features on the   |
| enamel occlusal surface   |
| Figure 5.2. Photosimulations of surfaces used for DMTAof modern bovids 133              |
| Figure 5.3. Photosimulations of surfaces used for DMTA of fossil bovids 136             |
| Figure 5.4. Shearing facet 1 on bovid permanent molars                                  |
| Figure 5.5. Length-scale analysis   |
| Figure 5.6. Rosette plots of relative lengths   |
| Figure 5.7. <i>epLsar</i>   |
| Figure 5.8. Area-scale analysis   |
| Figure 5.9. Plot of relative area over scale  |
| Figure 5.10. <i>Asfc</i>  |
| Figure 5.11. <i>HAsfc</i>   |
| Figure 5.12. Tfv and FTfv   |
| Figure 5.13. Bivariate plot of <i>epLsar</i> and <i>Asfc</i> for modern bovid taxa      |
| Figure 5.14. PCA results for modern bovid taxa  |
| Figure 5.15. Loadings for Components 1 and 2  |
| Figure 5.16. DFA results for modern bovid taxa based on broad diet categories166        |
| Figure 5.17. DFA results for modern bovid taxa based on five diet categories168         |
| Figure 5.18. <i>epLsar</i> and <i>Asfc</i> values of modern bovid taxa                  |
| Figure 5.19. Smc and HAsfc values of modern bovid taxa                                  |
| Figure 5.20. <i>Tfv</i> values of modern bovid taxa                                     |
| Figure 5.21. 3D scatterplot of <i>epLsar</i> , <i>Asfc</i> and <i>Tfv</i> variables     |
| Figure 5.22. epLsar and Asfc values used to compare fossil bovids to modern taxa        |
|   |
| Figure 5.23. Smc and HAsfc values used to compare fossil bovids to modern taxa          |
|   |

# List of Tables

| Table 2.1. Minimum number of individuals (MNI) of bovids recovered from  |
|--|
| Cooper's D and Swartkrans  |
| Table 4.1. Modern bovid taxa used for comparison with fossil bovids  |
| Table 4.2. Specimens of P. capreolus and O. oreotragus sampled   |
| Table 4.3. Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1- 3 fossil bovid specimens 55  |
| Table 4.4. $\delta^{13}C$ values for fossil bovid taxa from other studies  |
| Table 4.5. Dietary classification with $\delta^{13}C$ ranges   |
| Table 4.6. Independent t-test comparison between faecal and enamel $\delta^{13}C$ values 80  |
| Table 4.7. Faecal and enamel $\delta^{13}C$ values compared  |
| Table 4.8. Descriptive statistics using preindustrial $\delta^{13}C$ values for modern bovid   |
| taxa by southern African locality  |
| Table 4.9. Descriptive statistics using preindustrial $\delta^{13}C$ values for modern bovid   |
| taxa from East Africa  |
| Table 4.10. Statistical analysis of $\delta^{13}C$ data of modern southern African bovids. 84  |
| Table 4.11. $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil boyid taxa from Cooper's D and Swartkrans  |
|  |
| Members 1- 3   |
| Members 1- 3   |
| Members 1- 3.101Table 4.12. $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from<br>other studies.104  |
| Members 1- 3.       101         Table 4.12. δ <sup>13</sup> C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from other studies.       104         Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D and   |
| Members 1- 3.       101         Table 4.12. δ <sup>13</sup> C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from other studies.       104         Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3.       106  |
| Members 1- 3.       101         Table 4.12. δ <sup>13</sup> C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from other studies.       104         Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3.       106         Table 4.14. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies.       107   |
| <ul> <li>Members 1- 3</li></ul>  |
| Members 1- 3.       101         Table 4.12. δ <sup>13</sup> C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from other studies.       104         Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3.       106         Table 4.14. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies       107         Table 4.15. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other South African deposits.       108  |
| Members 1- 3.101Table 4.12. $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from<br>other studies.104Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D and<br>Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3.106Table 4.14. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies.107Table 4.15. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other South African<br>deposits.108Table 4.16. Statistical analysis of $\delta^{13}$ C data comparing fossil bovid taxa   |
| Members 1- 3.101Table 4.12. $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from<br>other studies.104Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D and<br>Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3.106Table 4.14. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies.107Table 4.15. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other South African<br>deposits.108Table 4.16. Statistical analysis of $\delta^{13}$ C data comparing fossil bovid taxa109Table 5.1. Bovid taxa used for DMTA131  |
| Members 1- 3.101Table 4.12. $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from<br>other studies.104Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D and<br>Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3.106Table 4.14. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies107Table 4.15. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other South African<br>deposits.108Table 4.16. Statistical analysis of $\delta^{13}$ C data comparing fossil bovid taxa109Table 5.1. Bovid taxa used for DMTA131Table 5.2. DMTA values for modern taxa134  |
| Members 1- 3.101Table 4.12. $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from<br>other studies.104Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D and<br>Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3.106Table 4.14. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies107Table 4.15. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies107Table 4.16. Statistical analysis of $\delta^{13}$ C data comparing fossil bovid taxa109Table 5.1. Bovid taxa used for DMTA131Table 5.2. DMTA values for fossil bovid taxa137   |
| Members 1- 3.101Table 4.12. $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovid taxa from Swartkrans Members 1- 3 from<br>other studies.104Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D and<br>Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3.106Table 4.14. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies.107Table 4.15. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies.107Table 4.16. Statistical analysis of $\delta^{13}$ C data comparing fossil bovid taxa109Table 5.1. Bovid taxa used for DMTA131Table 5.2. DMTA values for modern taxa134Table 5.4. Spearman's rho correlations between variables for modern bovid taxa |

| Table 5.5. Statistical analysis of DMTA data of modern bovids.       1         | 57  |
|--|-----|
| Table 5.6. PCA for modern bovid taxa.    1                                     | 63  |
| Table 5.7. DFA results using three diet categories.       1                    | 67  |
| Table 5.8. DFA results using five diet categories.    1                        | 69  |
| Table 5.9. Summary of DMTA descriptive statistics for modern bovid taxa 1      | 76  |
| Table 5.10. Descriptive statistics for DMTA of modern bovid taxa from oth      | ner |
| studies1   | 78  |
| Table 5.11. Descriptive statistics for DMTA of fossil bovids from Cooper's D a | nd  |
| Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3 1  | 87  |

## Nomenclature Table

| Aepyceros melampus (impala)                                  | Ame                                  |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Antidorcas bondi   | Ab                                   |
| Antidorcas marsupialis (springbok)                           | Ama                                  |
| Antidorcas recki   | AR                                   |
| Area scale of fractal complexity                             | Asfc                                 |
| C <sub>3</sub> pathway (leaves, fruits, forbs, tubers, etc.) | C <sub>3</sub>                       |
| C <sub>4</sub> pathway (grasses)                             | $C_4$                                |
| Connochaetes sp.   | Csp                                  |
| Connochaetes taurinus (blue wildebeest)                      | Ct                                   |
| Cooper's D   | CD                                   |
| Damaliscus lunatus (tsessebe)                                | Dl                                   |
| Damaliscus pygargus (blesbok)                                | Dp                                   |
| Damaliscus sp.   | Dsp                                  |
| delta  | δ                                    |
| Dental microwear texture analysis                            | DMTA                                 |
| Discriminant Function Analysis                               | DFA                                  |
| Exact proportion length scale anisotropy of relief           | epLsar                               |
| Fine texture fill volume                                     | Ftfv                                 |
| Gazella sp.  | Gsp                                  |
| Heterogeneity of area scale fractal complexity               | HAsfc                                |
| Hippotragus niger (sable)                                    | Hn                                   |
| Hippotragus sp.  | Hsp                                  |
| Kobus leche (lechwe)   | Kl                                   |
| Kruger National Park   | KNP                                  |
| Litocranius walleri (gerenuk)                                | Lw                                   |
| Makapania sp.  | Masp                                 |
| Makapansgat Member 3   | MK M3                                |
| Megalotragus sp.   | Mesp                                 |
| Million years ago  | Ma                                   |
| Mixed feeder   | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| Oreotragus oreotragus (klipspringer)                         | Oor                                  |
| Oryx gazella (gemsbok)                                       | Og                                   |
| Ourebia ourebi (oribi)                                       | Oou                                  |
| Pelea capreolus (Grey rhebok)                                | Pc                                   |
| per mil (parts per thousand)                                 | ‰                                    |
| Principal Component Analysis                                 | PCA                                  |
| Rabaticeras porrocornutus                                    | Rp                                   |
| Raphicerus campestris (Steenbok)                             | Rc                                   |
| Redunca arundinum (southern reedbuck)                        | Ra                                   |
| Redunca fulvorufula (mountain reedbuck)                      | Rf                                   |

| Scale of maximum complexity         | Smc   |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Scale-sensitive fractal analysis    | SSFA  |
| Swartkrans Member 1 Hanging Remnant | SK HR |
| Swartkrans Member 1 Lower Bank      | SK LB |
| Swartkrans Member 2                 | SK M2 |
| Swartkrans Member 3                 | SK M3 |
| Syncerus caffer (African buffalo)   | Sc    |
| Syncerus sp.                        | Ssp   |
| Sterkfontein Member 4               | ST M4 |
| Texture fill volume                 | Tfv   |
| Tragelaphus oryx (eland)            | То    |
| Tragelaphus sp.                     | Tsp   |
| Tragelaphus strepsiceros (kudu)     | Tst   |

#### **Chapter 1. Introduction**

Abundant and diverse faunal assemblages associated with *Paranthropus robustus* have been recovered from a series of dolomite-hosted caves in South Africa. These assemblages represent a broad time span between ca. 1.8 to 1.0 million years ago (Ma) (Vrba 1974, 1975, 1982; Brain 1993a; Brock et al. 1997; Delson 1988; Keyser et al. 2000; Thackeray et al. 2002; Herries et al. 2006; Adams et al. 2007; de Ruiter et al. 2009; Herries et al. 2009; Herries et al. 2010). Critical events in hominin evolution occur at this time: the appearance and extinction of *P. robustus*, geographic expansion of the genus *Homo* (Wood and Collard 1999, Wood and Richmond 2000); and behavioural complexity that is reflected in the development of Early Stone Age technology (Leakey 1970, 1971; Clark 1993; Kuman 1994; Plummer 2004; Roche et al. 2009), resource-specific bone tool utilization (Brain and Shipman 1993; Backwell and d'Errico 2008; d'Errico and Backwell 2009) and the first evidence of controlled use of fire in Swartkrans (Brain and Sillen 1988).

There is speculation concerning how much an increasingly arid habitat contributed to the extinction of *P. robustus* (Robinson 1963; Wood and Strait 2004; de Ruiter et al. 2008). The unique craniodental morphology of *P. robustus* and Scanning Electron Microscopy based microwear studies indicates a hominin specialized in consuming hard, brittle foods (Grine and Kay 1988). It has been suggested that as the environment became more xeric, *P. robustus*, a dietary specialist, was not able to adapt its behaviour to cope with the changing conditions (Robinson 1954, 1963). This has been challenged by Wood and Strait

(2004), who suggest that *P. robustus* exploited a wide variety of foods. Research on *P. robustus* dentition, including stable light isotopes (e.g. Lee-Thorp et al. 1994; 2000; Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1993; Sponheimer et al. 2005 a, b, 2006; Lee-Thorp et al. 2010), and microwear studies (Ungar and Grine 1991; Ungar et al. 2008; Scott et al. 2005), reveals a more complex and flexible diet than previously thought. A critical question thus remains -did the environment shape the behavioural adaptations of P. robustus? To begin addressing this question, I will use information from the species rich and abundant Bovidae assemblages associated with P. robustus in order to establish the environmental context. Reconstruction of past environments is based on the animals that inhabited them as they provide the most informative ecological clues. Since bovids are primary consumers, they are strongly linked to their habitats and their foraging behaviours may accordingly yield ecological insights. Specifically, their diets reflect resource availability, which in turn reflects types of vegetation on the landscape. Elucidation of bovid foraging behaviour and its inferred ecological characteristics can provide the interpretative framework in which to link possible evolutionary events. Reconstructing past ecosystems can provide a context in which to identify potential selective pressures placed on hominin morphology and behavioural adaptations.

#### **1.1** Palaeodietary analyses

The importance of bovid dietary behaviour in the interpretation of palaeoecology has been established in numerous studies, and includes research on taxonomic (species) abundance (Vrba 1980, 1984, 1985, 1995, 2000; Bobe and Eck 2001;

Bobe et al. 2002; Alemseged 2003; Bobe and Behrensmeyer 2004; de Ruiter et al. 2008) and body mass (Demment and Van Soest 1985; Underwood 1983; Van Soest 1994, 1996; Reynolds 2007). These reconstructions rely heavily on taxonomic uniformitarianism. This method examines habitat characteristics based on the most closely related living taxon (Vrba 1977; Gentry 1978, 1985; Harris 1991) and resulting interpretations are based mainly on this analogy. Problems associated with this method are: (1) extinct forms may not have a modern counterpart; (2) some species are behaviourally flexible and may occupy a wide range of ecosystems; and (3) a species may change their habitat and dietary preferences through time (Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 2003; Codron 2006).

Ecological morphology (Fortelius 1985; Janis 1988; Janis and Fortelius 1988; Kappelman 1984; Plummer and Bishop 1994; Solounias et al. 1995; Spencer 1995, 1997; Kappelman et al. 1997) and ecological diversity (Reed 1996, 1997, 1998, 2008, Reed and Rector 2007; Assefa et al. 2008) use a taxon-free approach. However, this approach requires standardization of modern ecosystems and their associated faunal community as well as assuming continuity in the composition of fossil communities (Kingston and Harrison 2007). Ultimately, these approaches involve weak chains of inferences. For example, ecomorphology is a product of behavioural adaptation and phylogeny, and when examined in a phylogenetic context, morphology may misrepresent behaviour (Sponheimer et al. 1999; Klein et al. 2010).

Another approach to interpreting dietary behaviour is mesowear. Mesowear is the result of attrition and abrasion on the tooth. This is based on the degree of wear observed on the buccal cutting edge of postcanine dentition (Fortelius and Solounias 2000). For example, a diet high in abrasives results in low, rounded or blunt cusps, whereas less abrasion results in sharper cusps. Many studies have utilized this approach to reconstruct modern and palaeodiets of various bovid taxa (Fortelius and Solounias 2000; Franz-Odendaal 2002; Franz-Odendaal and Kaiser 2003; Schubert 2007). There are several concerns about the use of mesowear. It is subjective and may produce high interobserver errors, limiting its usefulness when comparisons are made between various researchers. Even within this kind of analysis, accuracy in diet classification is only as high as 75% (Fortelius and Solounias 2000).

Stable carbon isotope composition ( $\delta^{13}$ C) of bovid bioapatites provides direct dietary evidence, indicating the relative amounts of C<sub>3</sub> plants (trees, shrubs, forbs<sup>1</sup>, temperate grasses) and C<sub>4</sub> plants (tropical grasses) consumed. The distinct carbon isotope difference between C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants is significant, and has been widely used for reconstructing dietary preference of and resource availability for both modern bovids (Vogel 1978; Cerling et al. 2003; Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron 2006; Codron et al. 2007a, b) and fossil bovids (Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1993; Lee-Thorp 2000; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007; Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 1999a; van der Merwe et al. 2003; Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 2003; Sponheimer et al. 2003; Cerling et al. 1997a; Cerling and Harris 1999; Luyt 2001; Luyt and Lee-Thorp 2003; Codron 2006; Kingston and Harrison 2007; Plummer et al. 2009; White et al. 2009).

Though the use of stable isotopes is unbiased, and directly based on diet, its limitation is twofold. In the short term, small dietary changes (>20%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A broad-leaf herb that is not grass and is frequently associated with grasslands.

proportion of grass or browse) are dampened in signals from body tissues that integrate information over several months (Tieszen et al. 1983, Tieszen and Fagre 1993; Ambrose and Norr 1993; Ayliffe et al. 2004). Secondly, tooth enamel mineralization takes many months to complete, thus isotopic overprinting further attenuates the dietary signal (Balasse 2002; Passey and Cerling 2002). For instance, field observations indicate that the eland consumes a small portion of grass (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). Isotopically this would be masked by the predominance of browsing behaviour. Secondly, researchers may misinterpret dietary behaviour base solely on the isotopic signal. The  $\delta^{13}$ C signature shows lechwe is a mixed feeder (Sponheimer et al. 2003), but field observations indicate this species is commonly a grazer (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). The mixed signature in this case may be related to the lechwe preference for grazing both C<sub>4</sub> grasses and C<sub>3</sub> sedges, which the dental microwear analysis may clarify.

As with stable carbon isotopes, dental microwear provides direct evidence of the diet of the consumer (Solounias et al. 1988; Teaford 1988a, b; Solounias and Moelleken 1993; Solounias and Hayek 1993; Rose and Ungar 1998; Solounias and Semprebon, 2002; Rivals and Deniaux, 2003; Schubert et al. 2006; Merceron et al. 2004 a, b, 2005 a, b, 2006; Merceron and Ungar 2005; Ungar et al. 2007). As the animal masticates, food particles leave distinctive microwear patterns (such as scratches and/or pits) on the dental occlusal surfaces. Dental microwear captures the last few days of dietary intake before death. This method is useful for capturing dietary information that would otherwise be masked by other techniques. For example, eland will graze to a small extent, and although the graze may not be picked up using stable carbon isotope analysis, microwear patterns may pick up the grazing aspect of their diet. The majority of specimens provide browsing signatures, but a few specimens may manifest a grazing signature.

Although discrepancies are revealed among the methods, this should not be taken to minimize one method over another. The best understanding of feeding ecology will be obtained if we consider the results provided by all methods and try to recognize possible causes that provoke contrasting results (Kingston and Harrison 2007). Researchers have noted that combined techniques with multiple more detailed palaeodietary inferences. robust proxies provide and Moelleken palaeoenvironmental reconstructions (Solounias and 1993: Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 1999a).

The following two points should be considered when determining the appropriate combination of methods to determine diet. Firstly, the relationship of the different time scales involved should be established. It should utilize both long-term and short-term contexts of the dietary behaviour of a species. Long-term effects provide a general picture of the diet, whereas short-term effects may capture the diet versatility of the species or population (i.e. herd). Secondly, the methods should limit subjectivity and possible interobserver errors, these methods being based on quantitative analysis that provides repeatability, and hence results in a more robust interpretation of palaeoenvironments. Two such approaches involve using stable light isotopes (the long-term effect), and examining dental microwear texture analysis (the short-term effect). Reconstructing bovid dietary behaviour utilizing a dual proxy approach provides empirical data on dietary behaviour (Schubert et al. 2006). These empirical approaches are independent of

inferences that are a major drawback of other techniques. Few studies have combined these approaches, especially for the South African bovid community.

While there has been proliferation of research on the feeding strategies of bovids, dietary behaviour of fossil taxa from *P. robustus* sites have hitherto not been examined. Furthermore, studies regarding diet variability across space and time are seldom documented (Codron 2006). Refining concepts about the relationship between diet and ecology requires study that rigorously addresses intraspecific variation (op. cit.) My research examines diet variability within bovid species and through the *P. robustus* sequence.

The palaeodiets of various bovid taxa from Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans is investigated. Bovids from five discrete deposits from these sites were analyzed (Cooper's D, and Swartkrans Member 1 Hanging Remnant and Lower Bank, Swartkrans Member 2 and Swartkrans Member 3). Both sites have *P. robustus* remains, and an abundant and diverse faunal assemblage with many bovid species represented. The fauna from these deposits represent the complete time range for *P. robustus* (ca. 1.8 to 1.0 Ma).

Much research has focused on reconstructing the palaeoecology of Swartkrans hominins using various fauna from Members 1 (Hanging Remnant and Lower Bank), 2 and 3. The dominant palaeoecological interpretation has been that of a mosaic landscape, with a major part of the area comprising of open and grassy ecosystems, as well as elements of woodland and riverine components accompanied these deposits a drier climate (Vrba 1975; 1980, 1985; Brain 1995; McKee 1991; Watson 1993; Avery 2001; Reed 1997; Reed and Rector 2007; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007; de Ruiter et al. 2008). Recent palaeoecological interpretations of Cooper's D, based only on taxonomic uniformitarianism, indicates a similar ecosystem as Swartkrans (de Ruiter et al. 2009).

This research is the first to combine dental microwear texture analysis with stable carbon isotope analysis in order to examine the dietary behaviour and diet versatility of several bovid taxa from five discrete *P. robustus* deposits.

#### 1.2 Objectives

This research seeks to interpret the foraging strategies of fossil bovids and by inference, the vegetation structure in which *P. robustus* lived. The assumption is that food preferences of bovids are linked to ecological characteristics of the habitat. The specific aims of the research are:

- 1. To detail the foraging strategies of fossil bovids using stable carbon isotope analysis. This method is based on an extant baseline series of bovids collected from southern Africa. I have added two bovid species, *Oreotragus oreotragus* (klipspringer) and *Pelea capreolus* (grey rhebok) to the existing modern baseline given that they are common in the early Pleistocene South African assemblages. The resulting isotopic data will reflect the average and range of dietary behaviour. It is hypothesised that the dietary behaviour of fossil bovids from Cooper's D and Swartkrans is similar to that of their modern counterparts.
- To use dental microwear texture analysis in order to determine the foraging behaviour of modern bovids and compare it to Cooper's D and Swartkrans fossil bovids. The unique combinations of microscopic

features on the occlusal surface of teeth should distinguish between diet categories and reveal unique diet differences between species. Because of the rapid turnover of microwear, it is expected that there should be more dietary variation in comparison to stable carbon isotope analysis and that microwear patterns for fossil bovids should be similar to those of modern counterparts.

3. To determine the vegetation structure of the environment that *P*. *robustus* occupied and if any changes to the ecosystem may have led to the extinction of *P. robustus*. It is expected that analysis of the dietary behaviour of bovids derived from these two techniques would show a grass-dominated ecosystem throughout the *P. robustus* sequence.

#### **1.3** Thesis outline

In the following Chapter 2, I present a description of the geology, associated dates and, where possible, previously proposed palaeoecological reconstruction of Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3. In Chapter 3, known foraging behaviours of extant bovids based on field observations and rumen content in the available literature is provided. Bovid species is discussed in terms of where they are found in southern Africa, the habitat(s) they prefer, habitat requirements and dietary behaviour. This information is used as a baseline for further comparisons with stable carbon isotopes and dental microwear texture analysis of modern bovids in the ensuing chapters. Components of the data are presented in a series of chapters, each addressing specific question(s). Chapters' 4 and 5 present results of stable carbon isotope analysis and dental microwear texture analysis respectively, each outline the principles behind the technique and my interpretations of the data. In Chapter 4, the isotope results are presented in two parts: (1) palaeodiet profile for modern and fossil bovids examined in the present study, and (2) palaeoecological profile using all available bovid taxa from each deposit. The palaeodiet profile examines the dietary behaviour of each fossil species in comparison to its modern counterpart or closely related taxa, and than by deposit. This helps to identify any temporal changes in dietary behaviour. The palaeoecological profile is identified for deposit using the dietary behaviour of bovids. The palaeoecological profiles are then used to examine potential ecological differences between deposits. Chapter 5 details the dental microwear texture analysis of both modern and fossil bovid taxa. Microwear data are then compared to the isotope data to examine similarities and differences. Due to the limited number of taxa represented in each deposit, palaeoecological profiles using just dental microwear texture analysis was not feasible. Chapter 6 presents the conclusion of the thesis in which the dietary reconstructions of bovids from Cooper's D and Swartkrans are compared by integrating the results from the stable carbon isotopes and dental texture microwear analysis. Finally, the implications of these results for palaeoecological reconstructions are discussed. Interpretation of the vegetation structure which P. robustus occupied is addressed as well as whether changes to the vegetation structure could have led to the extinction of *P. robustus*.

#### **Chapter 2. Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans**

#### 2.1 Introduction

This research involves two early Pleistocene hominin fossil sites from South Africa, Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans (Figure 2.1). Together these sites include five deposits that span the known temporal range for *P. robustus*. A large faunal assemblage has been described from both sites. For each site, I here review briefly the geological structure and stratigraphy, the spatio-temporal context, previous ecological interpretations and taphonomy.

Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans are located in dolomites of the Monte Cristo Formation (Malmani Subgroup, Transvaal Supergroup) that forms a karstic landscape with numerous infilled caves. Like most of the caves in the area, the two sites occur on the intersection of two fault lines that trend roughly East-West and North-South (Brain 1993a; Partridge 2000). Only two kilometres separate Cooper's Cave from Swartkrans (Figure 2.1).

#### 2.2 Cooper's Cave

This is the first comprehensive site history review of Cooper's Cave. The early history of Cooper's Cave contains many unanswered questions regarding the provenance of the fossils collected prior to 1995. There are reports of fossil mammals being collected by Julius Staz and J.C. Middleton Shaw around Mr. Cooper's farm in the early 1930s (Middleton Shaw 1937, 1939, 1940). On an annual tour of the Sterkfontein Caves in December 1938, dental students from the

University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, took a leisurely walk across the landscape towards the newly found hominin site of Kromdraai (Phillip V. Tobias, personal communication). Along the way Julius Staz, Middleton Shaw's senior assistant, found an entrance to a cave with hundreds of blocks of stone excavated by miners. He proceeded to break open some blocks and in one, he found an upper third molar of a hominin (Middleton Shaw 1939, 1940). Middleton Shaw suggested that the tooth be provisionally unidentified, but later in the same article stated that the tooth presents 'an early African human type' (Middleton Shaw 1940). Subsequently, Broom and Schepers (1946) suggested that the features on the tooth aligned it closely with *Plesianthropus* (Australopithecus). Robinson's (1956, p. 97) reanalysis of the tooth came to the same conclusion. Unfortunately, the tooth is missing today. Robert Broom assigned the tooth a specimen number 'TM 1514'at the Transvaal Museum<sup>2</sup>. In the museum logbook, in a handwritten message next to the specimen number (in a different hand from Broom's and likely to be that of John Robinson) it is indicated that the specimen was moved to the Dental Museum at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. Phillip V. Tobias last saw it in Julius Staz's office in the 1950's (personal communication).

After the tooth was found, Robert Broom and John Robinson periodically made trips to the farm to look for fossils in the miner's dump (C.K. Brain, personal communication).

For his doctoral thesis, C.K. Brain initiated a geological investigation of fossil-bearing caves in the Sterkfontein Valley. One of these sites was Cooper's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Recently changed to the Ditsong Museum in Pretoria.

Cave. With Robinson as a guide, the location of where the tooth was found was shown to Brain (C.K. Brain, personal communication). Brain assigned the location as 'Cooper's B'. He states that 'a large volume of breccia was excavated and numerous loose mining blocks were broken up' from this location, but that the cave was sterile of fossils (Brain 1958, p. 100). His field notes, however, indicates otherwise: 'Daniel and Lobelo have not got any bone from the loose blocks of breccias on the surface above the Cooper's ape-man site, so I started them breaking blocks underneath the overhang and we got several bones almost immediately, including the incisor of a porcupine' (Brain field notes, 31 March 1955).

Brain's investigations at Cooper's Cave lasted for a few months between 1954 and 1955. On 31 March 1955, Brain indicates in his field notes that 'another small site quite close to Cooper's faunal site (Cooper's B) yielded a number of isolated teeth in the decaying breccias on the surface; 'two of these appear to be of *Simopithecus* (*Theropithecus*).' It is most likely that these specimens came from Cooper's A. A large sample of breccia from this locality and a limited sample from Cooper's B were taken back to the Transvaal Museum for preparation (C.K. Brain, personal communication). At least prior to 1957, all specimens were labelled as 'CO' followed by a number and then a letter (e.g., CO 106B, CO 106C, CO 134D). Sometime after 1957, the specimens in the museum were relabelled as 'COA' through to 'COE' and even 'KA2'. Peculiar was the 'KA2', some of which still had 'CO' labeled on them. The letter that precedes the number is probably unrelated to the provenance of the specimen. There is no record of where these specimens came from, or why the specimens were relabeled.



**Figure 2.1.** Map of early Pleistocene sites in South Africa (from de Ruiter et al. 2009).

Renewed interest in the site began in the early 1990s. During this time, Lee Berger and graduate students from the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, selected several fossiliferous mining blocks from the outer rim of Cooper's A and took them back to the university for preparation. In 1994 Martin Pickford found a central incisor of a hominin in the collections housed at the Transvaal Museum (Berger et al. 1995; de Ruiter et al. 2009).

In 1998, I was appointed by Lee Berger (then the site permit holder) to manage the excavations of Cooper's Cave. In order to understand the assemblage profile prior to excavation, I sorted through the collection, where I found a crushed partial face of *P. robustus* that had been misidentified as a pelvis (Steininger et al. 2008). Excavations at Cooper's A were carried out periodically between 1999 and 2001. Several faunal specimens were collected and accessioned

at the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History labeled 'COA 1000' and up (removing any confusion between older and newer collections). Roughly 200 specimens have been collected from Cooper's A (Steininger in preparation). During an investigation of another deposit at Cooper's, I found an isolated *Metridiochoerus andrewsi*<sup>3</sup> tooth *in situ*. The tooth provided a last recorded appearance date of ca 1.6 Ma for this species and the area, which is now known as Cooper's D, was identified as a potentially new locality for future excavations.

In 2001, excavation work on the Cooper's D deposits began with the help of Duke University students. Within the first week, we were rewarded with a deciduous hominin tooth and several other faunal remains. Between 2003 and 2009, the permit for Cooper's Cave was held jointly by Lee Berger and the author. During this period, excavations concentrated on Cooper's D. The faunal assemblage proved to be species rich and abundant with hominin remains and early stone tools (Berger et al. 2003; de Ruiter et al. 2009). Thus far, *P. robustus* has been the only hominin species recovered from this deposit (Berger et. al 2003; de Ruiter et al. 2009). As of 2010, the sole permit holder of Cooper's Cave has been the author, Christine Steininger.

#### 2.2.1 Geological context

An EDM laser theodolite was utilized to plot the north, east and height coordinates of all specimens excavated from 1999 onwards. The datum reference points follow the Gauss Conform System Lo27°. The Global Positioning System (GPS) location of Cooper's Cave is 26°00'46"S, 27°44'45"E. The excavations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Extinct species of pig, similar to modern warthog.

have focused on three spatially distinct localities (Cooper's A, B, D), all of which preserve fossil-bearing calcified sediments (de Ruiter et al. 2009). Recently, Cooper's D has been the focus of excavations, as this locality is abundant in fossils and species-rich. Another two localities near Cooper's D with fossiliferous calcified clastic sediments have been identified, but to date these deposits have not been excavated.

Like other caves in the Witwatersrand area, Cooper's speleothems<sup>4</sup> (mainly flowstones and stalagmites) were mined for lime, which was used as a flux in the gold-mining industry, as well as an ingredient in fertilizer and toothpaste (Pickering, 2004). Piles of waste rock is still present on many sites. Recently published work on Cooper's Cave has focused on Cooper's D, and has considered the palaeontology, chronology of chemical sediments and cave geology (sedimentology in particular) at macro-scale (Berger et al. 2003; de Ruiter et al. 2009).

The fauna of Cooper's D provided a biostratigraphic age of 1.6 to 1.9 Ma for Cooper's D (Berger et al. 2003). This was determined by correlating the faunal assemblage with the known assemblages at nearby deposits of Swartkrans and Kromdraai A and East African fossil sites.

Cooper's Cave comprises two distinct episodes of fill that has been termed 'Cooper's D east' and 'Cooper's D west' (Figure 2.2), with Cooper's D west having smaller, finer grained and more fossiliferous deposits of the two (Berger et al. 2003; de Ruiter et al. 2009). Based on the faunal assemblage from the two deposits, Cooper's D east and Cooper's D west are contemporaneous (Berger et

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Secondary mineral deposits formed in a cave. They are mainly composed of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>).

al. 2003; de Ruiter et al. 2009). The deposits in the east are characterized by a fining upward sequence of well-calcified massive sediments with abundant fossil bone, dolomite blocks up to 50cm diameter, as well as quartz (op. cit.) The clasts are dominantly stained with manganese oxides. The deposits in the west show some weak laminations and finer grain size, but are generally large and well-calcified (op. cit.)

There are three distinct facies, the classification based on abundance, degree of sorting and type of clasts and fossils (Figure 2.2). Facies A consists of a coarsegrained, relatively fossil-poor deposit. Facies B consists of finer grain sizes and is abundant in fossil bone, teeth and other clasts. A finely laminated, very finegrained and fossil-rich deposit was defined as Facies C, this being particularly rich in microfossils and entirely devoid of large collapse dolomite blocks. The facies formed because of hydrodynamic sorting, where coarser grained material has accumulated near the two entrances, and the finer grained material (sediment and microfauna) has washed further into the cave. Detailed sedimentary analysis (at macro and micro-scale), the geochemistry and petrography of Cooper's Cave are currently in preparation for publication.

Uranium series yielded an age range of 1.413 Ma for the top of Cooper's D deposit and  $1.526 \pm 0.088$  for the bottom (de Ruiter et al. 2009). Presently, the U-Pb dates for Cooper's D are the best-constrained dates of any *P. robustus* deposit.

#### 2.2.2 Palaeoecological profile

The faunal assemblage composition of Cooper's D is species rich and abundant. Aside from the recovered hominin material, Cooper's is rich in carnivores, suids, bovids, cercopithecids and other mammals (Berger et al. 2003). Many species recovered at Cooper's Cave are uncommon for the early Pleistocene fossil assemblages in the Witwatersrand area (Berger et al. 2003). Recent faunal analysis based on taxonomic uniformitarianism suggests a mosaic environment (de Ruiter et al. 2009). The Alcelaphini, Antilopini, Reduncini, *Equus*, *Metridiochoerus* and *Theropithecus* indicate the presence of grass, while the *Sivatherium* and *Tragelaphus strepsiceros* suggest the presence of a woodland component. Comparable to other *P. robustus* sites, Cooper's D fauna suggest a drier mosaic palaeoenvironment with both grassland and woodland components present.

#### 2.3 Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3

A comprehensive discussion of Swartkrans has been published in several articles and books (for an in-depth site history, see Brain 1993a). A brief discussion of the content is given here. Early work at Swartkrans was conducted by Robert Broom and John Robinson between 1948 and 1949 (Broom 1949; Broom and Robinson 1952). After Broom's death in 1951, Robinson continued excavations until 1953 (Brain 1993a). Some of the Swartkrans Hanging Remnant fossils were derived from a large block that was removed and processed (SKR specimens) and from *ex situ* specimens that were taken from the mining dumps (Brain 1981). It was during this time that most of the *P. robustus* and early *Homo* specimens



**Figure 2.2.** Cooper's D locality (a) aerial photograph, (b) Plane-table geological map and (c) simplified cross-section.

were recovered (Broom and Robinson 1952; Clarke et al. 1970; Clarke and Howell 1972; Clarke 1977). The site remained in a state of hiatus until C.K. Brain resumed excavations in 1965 (Brain 1993a). Subsequently, Brain's work at Swartkrans (1965 to 1986) has resulted in the recovery of numerous faunal and archeological samples from Swartkrans Member 1 Lower Bank, Member 2 and Member 3 (Brain 1981, 1993a). Paranthropus robustus was found at Swartkrans Members 1–3, while early *Homo* was found in Members 1 and 2. Stone tools have been found in all members, except the Hanging Remnant (Clark 1993; Field 1999). Bone tools have been found in Swartkrans Member 1 Lower Bank, Members 2 and 3, with small samples coming from the Hanging Remnant (Brain and Shipman 1993; Backwell and d'Errico 2001, 2003, 2008; d'Errico and Backwell 2009). It has been hypothesized that the bone and stone tools in the Hanging Remnant may have been reworked into the Lower Bank (Backwell and d'Errico 2003). Most of the Hanging Remnant material comes from the mining dumps that were collected and processed by Broom and Robinson. At the original cave entrance of Swartkrans Member 3, burnt bone was found, suggestive of the controlled use of fire (Brain and Sillen 1988; Brain 1993d).

In 2005 the Swartkrans Palaeoanthropological Research Project was initiated by C.K. Brain and Travis Pickering and excavations managed by Morris Sutton (Sutton et al. 2009). The focus of the project was to excavate Member 4 and the extension of Swartkrans Lower Bank (op. cit.) Several middle Stone Age tools have been recovered from Swartkrans Member 4. From the Lower Bank, several new hominin specimens were recovered along with an array of other faunal remains (op. cit.) Swartkrans Member 4 is not discussed here as it consists
predominantly of Middle Stone Age artefacts (Brain 1993a; Sutton et al. 2009), or Swartkrans Member 5, which has been dated to 11,000 years BP (Brain 1993).

# 2.3.1 Geology

The current subdivisions of the Swartkrans formation into five members comes from the work done by Brain (1958, 1993b). This literature forms the basis of the following summary of Swartkrans Members 1–3. Members 4 and 5 are not discussed here, as they are much younger in age (ca. 110 and 11 Ka respectively) and are not included in this research.

# **Swartkrans Member 1**

Member 1 Unit A has an approximately two-metre thick flowstone band, formed when the cave had a competent roof. In the southeast corner, the cave roof opened to the surface allowing surface sediments to deposit into a thirty-metre vertical shaft. The accumulation formed a steep talus cone consisting of a well-calcified clast-rich reddish-brown sandy matrix. This forms Member 1 Unit B, commonly known as the Lower Bank. According to Brain (1993a), this unit formed rapidly, within 20 thousand years. Member 1 Unit C or the Hanging Remnant accumulated in a new shaft opening near the north wall of the cave comprising a series of 20–40° dips of infill influxes separated by pale reddish brown, well-calcified sand silt with flowstone lenses.

Based on biostratigraphy, the Lower Bank was considered older than the Hanging Remnant, at approximately 1.7 Ma (Vrba 1985; Churcher and Watson 1993; de Ruiter 2003b), although some have suggested an even older date of 1.8

Ma (Brain 1995; Vrba 2000). Based on various faunal remains, Hanging Remnant has been biostratigraphically dated to 1.6 Ma (Vrba 1982, 1985; Delson 1984; Brain 1995; Berger et al. 2002; de Ruiter 2003b). Taken together, the fauna from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant and Lower Bank were estimated between 2.1 to 1.65 Ma. This is broadly supported by Electron Spin Resonance supplying an age of 2.0 Ma from hominin enamel and 1.4 Ma from bovid enamel (Curnoe et al. 2001), although one bovid tooth provided a date of 0.6 Ma. The author notes that the precise provenience of the bovid enamel is unknown and may have been eroded out of younger deposits (Curnoe et al. 2001; Herries et al. 2009). The first attempts of U-Pb dating of bovid enamel for Swartkrans Member 1 suggest a date of 2.0  $\pm$  0.02 Ma (Albarède et al. 2006), which concurs with faunal dates.

According to Partridge (1973), cave openings occurred through an incision and widening of valleys during the erosional cycles. The possible date of the cavern opening can be determined using rates of cyclic nickpoint migration (op. cit.) Nickpoint migration suggests that the cave opened at 2.6 Ma (op. cit.) Speleothem samples from the bottom of Lower Bank to the top of Hanging Remnant were dated using uranium-lead, and are currently in preparation for publication (Robyn Pickering, personal communication).

#### Swartkrans Member 2

At the centre of the cave, an erosional period created a gap between the Hanging Remnant and Lower Bank. The gap was filled with a heavily calcified reddishbrown sand-silt matrix with the flowstone bands dividing subunits of calcified sediments into Member 2 (Partridge 2000). At roughly the same time, at the north end of the cave behind the Hanging Remnant, another small shaft opened to the surface and accumulated well-stratified subunits (op. cit.) The estimated ages based on the fauna are between 1.7 and 1.1 Ma (Brain 1995; Vrba 1995; Herries et al. 2009). Uranium series suggest an age between 1.65 and 1.07 Ma (Balter et al. 2008; see Herries et al. 2009).

## Swartkrans Member 3

Swartkrans Member 3 consists of a six-metre-deep gully along the west wall of the cave that eroded into parts of Members 1 and 2. Based on faunal evidence, the age of this site has been reported as being anywhere from 1.5 to 0.7 Ma (Brain 1993b, 1995; Vrba 1995; Herries et al. 2009). Uranium series suggest an age of 1.04 and 0.61 Ma (Balter et al. 2008; see Herries et al. 2009).

#### 2.3.2 Palaeoecological profile

## Swartkrans Member 1

The majority of reconstructions for Swartkrans Member 1 combine Hanging Remnant and Lower Bank fauna to interpret two discrete members. Several reconstructions point to an open habitat with woodlands on the banks of a natural watercourse (Vrba 1975; Watson 1993; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007; Reed and Rector 2007; de Ruiter et al. 2008) and edaphic grasses<sup>5</sup> present (Reed 1997; Avery 2001). Based on taxonomic abundance, de Ruiter et al. (2008) suggest some 'underrepresentation of grassland taxa at Swartkrans Hanging Remnant', but concludes that the palaeoenvironments of all older members of Swartkrans are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> These include grasses from seasonally flooded valley grasslands (Spencer 1997).

'predominantly open grasslands'. Conversely, Benefit and McCrossin (1990) identified the palaeoecology as mesic, closed woodland.

## Swartkrans Member 2

The reconstructed environment for Swartkrans Member 2 was considered similar to Member 1. According to several authors, however, there is an increase in grazing animals for this member (Vrba 1975; Reed 1997; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007).

## Swartkrans Member 3

Only Reed (1997) considered a palaeohabitat for Swartkrans M3 separately. Based on the ecological diversity, she observed an increase in fresh-grass grazing animals and reconstructed Member 3 as open grassland with a river nearby supporting edaphic grasslands (Reed 1997).

## 2.4 Taphonomy

Most of the faunal assemblages that occur in cave deposits in South Africa were collected by multiple taphonomic agents (Brain 1981, 1993c; de Ruiter and Berger 2000; Pickering 2001; Newman 1993). Based on correspondence analysis, de Ruiter et al. (2009) have shown that carnivore-produced bone accumulations are broadly representative of animal communities and are reliable indicators of the surrounding environment (Behrensmeyer et al. 1979; Reed 1997; de Ruiter et al. 2008: Kuhn et al. 2010).

## 2.5 Bovids from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1 – 3

Representative taxa of alcelaphini, antilopini, bovini, hippotragini, neotragini, ovibovini, peleini, reduncini and tragelaphini are found in all deposits (Table 2.1). In this study, ovibovini is represented by *Makapania* sp. and has been recovered from only Swartkrans Hanging Remnant.

### 2.6 Discussion

Though similar in composition, each cave deposit has its own unique complexity. The deposits are consistently homogeneous in terms of their bovid assemblage. The uranium series dates for Swartkrans Member 2 and Swartkrans Member 3 are similar to fauna dates. The infills represent temporally distinct units that were deposited later than Swartkrans Member 1. Swartkrans Member 1 is more problematic and may represent a series of depositional events. The younger ESR dates for Member 1 suggests intermixing from younger deposits, however, the provenience of the bovid enamel used to extract the ESR dates are questionable. *Paranthropus robustus* seems consistently associated with a mosaic landscape. Many reconstructions follow similar scenarios: open grassland, with a nearby riparian wooded area adjacent to the extensive water source that also supports edaphic grasses (Vrba 1975, 1985; McKee 1991; Watson 1993; Avery 2001; Reed 1997; de Ruiter et al. 2009; Reed and Rector 2007).

**Table 2.1.** Minimum number of individuals (MNI) of bovids recovered from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1 (including Hanging Remnant and Lower Bank), 2 and 3.

| Tribe        | Таха                                       | CD | SK HR | SK LB | SK M2 | SK M3 |
|--------------|--|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes sp.                           | 15 | 48    | 23    | 19    | 33    |
|              | <i>Damaliscus</i> sp.                      | 7  | 18    | 7     | 29    | 17    |
|              | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.                    | 5  | 7     | 3     | 4     | 4     |
|              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus                  | 0  | 2     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas bondi                           | 0  | 33    | 3     | 0     | 0     |
|              | Antidorcas marsupialis                     | 18 | 0     | 13    | 19    | 28    |
|              | Antidorcas recki                           | 12 | 12    | 0     | 3     | 5     |
|              | Gazella sp.                                | 0  | 7     | 5     | 5     | 14    |
| Bovini       | Syncerus sp.                               | 2  | 2     | 2     | 2     | 3     |
|              | <i>Pelorovis</i> sp.                       | 0  | 0     | 0     | 1     | 0     |
| Hippotragini | <i>Hippotragus</i> sp.                     | 5  | 2     | 0     | 9     | 3     |
|              | Hippotragus gigas                          | 0  | 1     | 0     | 0     | 1     |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus                      | 1  | 1     | 1     | 3     | 1     |
|              | Ourebia ourebi                             | 0  | 0     | 0     | 3     | 0     |
|              | Raphicerus campestris                      | 2  | 1     | 1     | 7     | 4     |
| Ovibovini    | <i>Makapania</i> sp.                       | 0  | 3     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Reduncini    | Kobus leche                                | 0  | 0     | 0     | 1     | 1     |
|              | Redunca arundinum                          | 0  | 1     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
|              | Redunca fulvorufula                        | 2  | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Peleini      | Pelea sp.                                  | 3  | 3     | 1     | 10    | 2     |
| Tragelaphini | <i>Tragelaphus</i> sp. aff. <i>angasii</i> | 0  | 0     | 0     | 1     | 0     |
|              | Tragelaphus oryx                           | 0  | 0     | 0     | 1     | 2     |
|              | Tragelaphus scriptus                       | 0  | 0     | 0     | 4     | 0     |
|              | Tragelaphus strepsiceros                   | 7  | 7     | 0     | 6     | 2     |
|              | <i>Tragelaphus</i> sp.                     | 2  | 0     | 0     | 1     | 0     |

For the majority of bovids, estimates of minimum number individuals (MNI) were from de Ruiter (2003a) and de Ruiter et al. (2008). Taxa in bold indicate extinct species.

# **Chapter 3. Profile of Modern Bovid Diets and Ecology**

### 3.1 Introduction

Bovidae family is species rich and prominent on the African landscape. They are dietarily diverse across taxa, occupy a range of ecological niches and form an integral component of the animal community, making them suitable for reconstructing palaeoecology (Kappelman 1984; Vrba 1980, 1982, 1985; Shipman and Harris 1988; Harris 1991; Plummer and Bishop 1994; Reed 1997; Spencer 1997; Sponheimer et al. 1999). Modern bovid diets are often studied along a browser-grazer continuum. (Hofmann and Stewart 1972; Jarman 1974; Demment and Van Soest 1985; Janis 1988; Owen-Smith 1997; Reed 1996; Fortelius and Solounias 2000; Mendoza et al. 2002; Perez-Barberia et al. 2004; Merceron et al. 2004 a, b, 2005a, b). 'Browse' refers to the consumption of leaves, shoots and fruits, while 'graze' is primarily the consumption of various grasses. The browser-grazer continuum forms the basis for most distinctions of bovid dietary preferences.

## **3.2** History of bovid diets

Temporal changes in diet preferences have been observed in the fossil bovid record. Generally, browsing has been regarded as an ancestral condition, with transitions to grazing occurring in the Late Miocene (Janis et al. 1994, 2000; Perez-Barberia et al. 2001). The origins of Bovidae with brachydont dentition is dated back to the Middle Miocene, ca. 17 Ma, with the appearance of *Eotragus* sp. (Tribe: Boselaphini) found in Gebel Zelten, Lybia (Vrba 1995; Solounias 2007).

The emergence of grazing ungulates coincided with the expansion of the Grassland Biome (e.g., Coupland 1993; O'Connor and Bredenkamp 1997; see Mucina and Rutherford 2006), which became globally prominent in the Late Miocene (Cerling 1992; Cerling et al. 1997 a, b; Retallack 2001; Ségalen et al. 2007). During the grassland expansion in the Late Miocene in East Africa C<sub>4</sub> plants were an important part of the local ecosystem though they make up less than half of the total biomass (Cerling 1992). This theory is supported by the work of Ségalen et al. (2007) on pedogenic and biomineral carbonates, in which the authors suggest that C<sub>4</sub> plants were present at low-latitudes at ca. 7 to 8 Ma, later expanding to mid-latitudes. Interestingly, the appearance of Alcelaphini (5 Ma), a bovid tribe adapted to open habitats coincided with this expansion (Vrba 1995). By ca. 1.7 Ma, the cooling temperatures of the sea and land resulted in further xericification<sup>6</sup> (Marlow et al. 2000), allowing  $C_4$  plants to become a dominant feature in the biomass (Cerling, 1992; Quinn et al. 2007; Hopley et al. 2007). At the same time, isotopic analyses for South African and East Africa suggest a greater C<sub>4</sub> component (Luyt 2001; Luyt and Lee-Thorp 2003; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007; Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 2009; Plummer et al. 2009). Thus, temporal changes in the dietary behaviour of bovid taxa may provide evidence of local ecological changes (van der Merwe and Thackeray 1997; Kingston and Harrison 2007; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007; Plummer et al. 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Extremely dry habitat

## **3.3** Profile of modern bovid diets and ecology

The review provided here is used as a baseline with which to compare in later chapters modern dietary behaviour with fossil forms. The bovid species selected represent eight tribes and 18 species, all found in southern Africa with the exception of the gerenuk. I have included the gerenuk to increase the number of browsers examined and because other researchers have included them for isotopic and microwear analysis. The bovids used in this research were chosen because of their varied dietary behaviours and habitat ranges, and because most have fossil counterparts that are found in the early Pleistocene fossil record.

The modern taxa examined consist of *Aepyceros melampus* (impala), *Antidorcas marsupialis* (springbok), *Connochaetes taurinus* (blue wildebeest), *Damaliscus lunatus* (tsessebe), *Damaliscus pygargus* (blesbok), *Hippotragus niger* (sable), *Kobus leche* (lechwe), *Litocranius walleri* (gerenuk), *Oreotragus oreotragus* (klipspringer), *Oryx gazella* (gemsbok), *Ourebia ourebi* (oribi), *Pelea capreolus* (grey rhebok), *Raphicerus campestris* (steenbok), *Redunca arundinum* (southern reedbuck), *Redunca fulvorufula* (mountain reedbuck), *Syncerus caffer* (African buffalo), *Tragelaphus oryx* (eland), and *Tragelaphus strepsiceros* (kudu). These bovids live in a wide range of biomes in South Africa: Fynbos, succulent Karoo, desert, Nama-Karoo, grassland, savanna, Albany thicket forest, Indian Ocean coastal belt, forests and azonal (Figure 3.1).

I present a synthesis of the dietary behaviour and ecology of modern bovids. The synopsis derives from a variety of literature based on field observations and stomach contents. Bovid species are presented in alphabetical order by tribe.

29



Figure 3.1. Biomes of South Africa (Mucina and Rutherford 2006).

## 3.3.1 Aepycerotini

## Aepyceros melampus (impala)

This is the only modern species within the tribe Aepycerotini. The impala is distributed throughout the eastern woodland ecosystems of northeastern part of southern Africa. The species occurs on the ecotone of woodland and open grassland or on the floodplain (Skinner and Smithers 1990). In woodland habitats, impalas prefer light, open areas and low to medium grasses (Rowe-Rowe 1994). Cover and availability of surface water are essential habitat requirements (op. cit.) Impalas never venture a few kilometres from a water source they depend on daily (Young 1972). Their home range may increase depending on the region and seasonality (Murray 1982). Impalas are classified as mixed feeders (Hofmann 1973). During the wet season, they inhabit open grasslands and floodplains to feed on fresh grasses (Dunham 1980). During the dry season, they move to near riverines where grass is available, but their grass consumption decreases and the selection of eudicots<sup>7</sup> increases (op. cit.) If they are not close to a water source, they may obtain their moisture requirements from succulents (Skinner and Smithers 1990). Impalas have a catholic diet, which includes a variety of grasses and C<sub>3</sub> vegetation: forbs, twigs of shrubs or trees, fresh leaf buds, wild fruits and seedpods (Dunham 1980). Van Rooyen and Skinner (1989) found differences in diet between sexes, noting that females tend to select a greater amount of eudicots during pregnancy and lactation (op. cit.) Based on carbon isotope composition of faeces, impala individuals varied widely in the amount of grass they consumed on a monthly, seasonal, annual and regional scale (Codron 2006; Codron et al. 2006;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Flowering plants following Doyle and Hotton (1991).

Codron et al. 2007a, b). Impalas select foods – whether browse or graze – based on the quality of food available (Codron 2006; Codron et al. 2006). An increase in grass intake is related to the high crude protein and high energy levels found in the available grass (Codron 2006; Codron et al. 2006). The selective nature and variability of consumed plant species for this species illustrates complexity of dietary behaviour.

### 3.3.2 Alcelaphini

## Connochaetes taurinus (blue wildebeest)

This species was widely distributed throughout southern Africa in the past. Currently, this species is restricted to the savannas of the Limpopo and Mpumalanga provinces of South Africa (Skinner and Smithers 1990). Shade and water are habitat requirements with a preference for short grasses (no more than 100 to 150 mm high). The blue wildebeest are known to consume small portions of eudicots and fruit (Skinner and Smithers 1990). They are migratory and will travel large distances during the rainy season in search of patches of fresh, short grass. Skinner and Smithers (1990) have noted their sensitivity to localized rainstorms and that they will move several kilometres towards an oncoming storm in search of fresh grazing. Blue wildebeest select different species of grass depending on the season and locality. Hypsodont dentition, wide blunt snout morphology and physiology of the digestive system of the blue wildebeest are well adapted for consuming short grasses in bulk quantities (Estes 1991; Skinner and Smithers 1990).

32

## Damaliscus lunatus (tsessebe)

This species was once widespread throughout Africa. In South Africa, it is today found in the Limpopo, North-West, Mpumalanga and Northern Cape provinces. Tsessebe require grass, water and shade. During the dry season, they prefer the floodplains where palatable grass is plentiful, but during the wet season, they tend to move out into open woodland habitats. Their highest densities are found in hydromorphic<sup>8</sup> grasslands (Garstang 1982). The tsessebe are exclusive grazers (Skinner and Smithers 1990).

#### Damaliscus pygargus (blesbok)

Blesbok and bontebok are endemic to southern Africa. Once considered two separate species, genetic evidence now groups them into one species, *Damaliscus pygargus* (Kumamoto et al. 1996). Many zoologists still recognize two subspecies based on their colour pattern, *D. p. pygargus* (bontebok) and *D. p. phillipsi* (blesbok) (Fabricius et al. 1989). I treat them as one species here and use the common name of 'blesbok'. Once numbered in the thousands, blesbok are now restricted to game reserves in the highveld plateau grasslands, near water sources (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). They are water dependent, requiring it daily in the morning and afternoon (op. cit.) Their habitat tolerances are wide ranging from grassland, savanna and Nama-Karoo biomes. They are associated with short to medium length grasses (Van Zyl 1965; David, 1973; Rowe-Rowe 1983). Blesbok are variable grazers preferring fresh grass. On rare occasions, they have been seen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The presence of excess water all or part of the time.

to browse (Van Zyl 1965). Although they prefer sweetveld grasses, they are known to select sourveld grasses during the dry season (Estes 1991).

#### 3.3.3 Antilopini

### Antidorcas marsupialis (springbok)

The southern Africa endemic springbok is found in the Free State, Northern Cape, Eastern Cape and Western Cape provinces (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). Recently, this species was introduced into KwaZulu-Natal and the Limpopo provinces (op. cit.) Springbok are mainly found in arid regions of the desert, succulent Karoo, Nama-Karoo and in the savanna and grassland biomes. Springbok are mixed feeders with an inclination to select leaves and fruit when available (Hofmann and Stewart 1972). In areas where summer is hot and raining, they consume sprouting fresh grass, herbs and melons (Hofmann and Stewart 1972). In areas where the winters are cool and dry, they consume karroid and other shrubs, leaves of select trees, roots, tubers and succulents (op. cit.) They are also known to consume pods and fruit (Skinner and Smithers 1990). There are dietary differences between the sexes: rams utilize less nutrient plants than females or their young (Davies et al. 1986). Dreyer's (1987) thesis notes that the springbok is not dependent on water, but will drink up to three litres every day when available (as cited by Skinner and Chimimba 2005). In dry areas, they dig up roots and tubers to obtain their moisture quota (Williamson 1987). They have wide habitat tolerances in arid regions and may vary their diet depending on wet and dry seasons (Liversidge 1970).

## Litocranius walleri (gerenuk)

This species range is bounded by the western wall of the Rift Valley in Ethiopia, Somalia and Kenya (Estes 1991) and typically inhabits semiarid bush (op. cit.) The gerenuk avoids open savanna, preferring habitats with woody vegetation (op. cit.) They feed only on  $C_3$  vegetation: leaves, shoots, flowers, lianas and fruits (Leuthold 1978). During the wet season, they prefer new leaves of deciduous trees. In the dry season they feed on evergreen scrub and trees (Estes 1991).

#### 3.3.4 Bovini

## Syncerus caffer (African buffalo)

This is the only African species belonging to the Bovini tribe (Gentry 1992). It is found in the Limpopo, Mpumalanga and KwaZulu-Natal provinces and in a small coastal area in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Currently, they occupy the savanna and Indian Ocean coastal biomes. Their habitat requirements include plenty of grass, cover for shade and water (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). Buffaloes require water twice a day and will graze near it (Skinner and Smithers 1990), generally favouring mixed tree savannas in the summer and riverine habitats in the winter (Funston 1992). Open grasslands and floodplains are only utilized for transit (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). In Central and East Africa, they occupy swamps and floodplains. They are found in the montane grasslands and forests of major mountains (Estes 1991). Classified as a grazer, they feed on old tall grass and are less partial to fresh grass than other grazers (Hofmann 1973; Skinner and Smithers 1990). Buffaloes can digest fibrous food more efficiently than other bovids (op. cit.) Their grazing on older coarse grasses thereby reduces grasslands to heights

preferred by other grazers (Estes 1991). They have been observed browsing, but this is rare and usually only when grass is scarce or of poor quality (Skinner and Smithers 1990).

### 3.3.5 Hippotragini

## Hippotragus niger (sable)

Historically the sable range included the North-West and Mpumalanga provinces. They are now restricted to the savanna biome of the Limpopo province. Sable prefers open woodland for shade and nearby grassland for food and lives in close proximity to a water source (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). They are predominately grazers, preferring fresh grass, but will also feed on dry grasses. Their preferred grazing height is between 40 to 140 mm, but they have been observed (rarely) consuming grasses up to 300 mm high (Grobler 1981). In winter, sable will browse to a small extent on forbs, leaves and fruits (Wilson and Hirst 1977; Grobler 1981). Estes (1991) notes that browse make-up 20% of their diet, and they should be classified as a variable grazer (Gagnon and Chew 2000). Field observations have shown that some individuals chew bones to supplement their calcium and phosphorus requirements (Sekulic and Estes 1977).

#### Oryx gazella (gemsbok)

The species is distributed in the arid regions of the Nama-Karoo and desert biomes of the Northern Cape, Western Cape, Eastern Cape and the Free State provinces and distributed in the savanna biome of the Northern Cape, North-West and Limpopo provinces. The habitat requirements for this species include arid open areas: open grassland, open bush and open woodland. The gemsbok consume a large variety of food, preferring green grass, but are capable of browsing when grass is minimal (Dieckmann 1980) and require a minimum of 2.5 litres of water per day (Taylor 1968; Knight 1995). In arid areas, roots, bulbs, tubers, wild melons and cucumbers are favoured fulfilling their moisture requirements (Dieckmann 1980; Williamson 1987). Reissig's (1995) study showed that gemsbok have adapted mechanisms to retain most of their body water (as cited in Skinner and Chimimba 2005), and are well suited to hot, dry habitats (Skinner and Chimimba 2005).

## 3.3.6 Neotragini

### Oreotragus oreotragus (klipspringer)

Klipspringer occurs throughout most of the South African provinces, except for Gauteng. They are also found in every type of biome, except for forests. They favour rocky habitats and have been known to travel up to 10 km on open flat terrain between rocky outcrops (Wilson and Child 1965). Klipspringers are adapted to extreme elevations and temperatures, and are predominately browsers (Norton 1984). On rare occasions, some individuals may consume grass, but this represents only a small departure from their normal diet since they have little capacity for digesting cellulose (Dunbar and Dunbar 1974; Norton 1984). Among the browse items consumed are leaves, berries, fruits, seedpods, flowers, herbs and young shoots (Wilson and Child 1965; Norton 1984). They are capable of standing on their hind limbs to browse at heights of 1.2 metres (Kok and van Wyk 1982). They have also been observed to climb trees up to 5.4 m to browse (op.

cit.) They can live relatively independently of water, but when seasonally available they will drink (Skinner and Chimimba 2005).

## Ourebia ourebi (oribi)

Oribi are associated with open habitats (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). In South Africa, they are found in small patches of open savanna in KwaZulu-Natal and in the grassland biome of the Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Free State and Eastern Cape provinces (op. cit.) They have specific habitat requirements that include short grass for food and tall grass for shade (Perrin and Everett 1992). They also have specific topography requirements; preferring ridge terraces inclined less than 10° on the northern and eastern sides of terraces (Rowe-Rowe 1983). The oribi is predominately a grass feeder preferring fresh shoots in burnt areas (Shackleton and Walker 1985; Everett et al 1991, 1992). Reilly et al. (1990) noted that oribi select certain forbs during the South African summer months. They are not dependent on water and obtain most of their moisture requirements through food (Skinner and Chimimba 2005).

#### *Raphicerus campestris* (steenbok)

Steenbok are found in the various biomes of the nine provinces of South Africa and have few habitat requirements, among them open areas with some shade in the form of tall grasses, bush or scrub (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). This species favours ecologically unstable low rainfall conditions, and benefit from the destruction of woodland by other animals, (Estes 1991). Based on gut morphology and stomach contents, steenbok are mixed feeders (Hofmann 1973), consuming a wide variety of resources: forbs, leaves, shoots of low scrubs and trees, creepers, lianas, seeds, seedpods, berries, fruits and fresh grass (Smithers 1971; du Toit 1993), with forbs making up the bulk of their dietary intake (du Toit 1993). Depending on the location, they will consume grass in varying degrees, up to 66% particularly after rains (Smithers 1971; Hofmann 1973). Because of their gut morphology, they are unlikely to subsist on grass alone (Hofmann 1973). They are also known to select succulents and dig for roots and tubers in extremely dry environments (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). Steenbok will drink when water is available, but are not dependent on it (op. cit.)

## 3.3.7 Peleini

## Pelea capreolus (grey rhebok)

Pocock (1910) originally classified this species as Reduncini (as cited in Skinner and Chimimba 2005) and then reclassified by Gentry (1978) as Caprini. Currently, the grey rhebok falls into a distinct subfamily, Peleinea (Spinage 1986) and tribe Peleini (Vrba 1985). It is the only South African species represented in this tribe. Grey rhebok are endemic to South Africa and are widely distributed throughout the region (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). They frequent rocky terrain in open areas and prefer good grass coverage in these areas. They are not water dependent (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). According to field observations, grey rhebok are mostly browsers that feed on leaves, forbs and green shoots (Ferreira and Bigalke 1987; Beukes 1988).

## 3.3.8 Reduncini

#### *Kobus leche* (lechwe)

In the southern African subregion, lechwe occur in northern Namibia and Botswana near floodplains. They are water adapted with specialized habitat requirements of permanent water nearby, usually on shallow floodplains that border swamps, rivers or lakes adjacent to terrestrial plant communities (Williamson 1979). They feed on grasses, sedges and eudicots, preferring new plant growth. They are known to feed on grasses and sedges in water up to their bellies or shoulders (op. cit.) During cool dry weather, they are not dependent on water, but during dry hot weather, they will drink up to three times per day (Williamson 1979; see Skinner and Smithers 1990).

#### *Redunca arundinum* (southern reedbuck)

They are found in Limpopo, Kwa-Zulu Natal, Mpumalanga, Free State and Eastern Cape provinces of the eastern parts of South Africa, and in Swaziland. The biomes where they are found consist of the savanna, grassland and Indian Ocean coastal belt. Essentially this species requires cover and a nearby water supply – water is a daily requirement. The greatest numbers are observed in floodplains, but in drier areas, grasslands near permanent water are also a known habitat (Skinner and Chimimba 2005; Estes 1991). Observations on the feeding behaviour of reedbuck indicate that they are predominately grazers that feed on various types of grasses, but also reeds. In limited quantities, they will feed on forbs or browse when the nutritional value of grass is low during the dry months.

## *Redunca fulvorufula* (mountain reedbuck)

Once found throughout southern Africa the species is currently restricted to the eastern parts of the region. Mountain reedbuck is found in the Limpopo, North-West, Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Free State, KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape and Western Cape provinces. They occur in the grassland and savanna biomes. As a testament to their colloquial name, mountain reedbuck are found on grass covered hills and mountains, preferring lower altitude areas of southern-facing slopes that are moister and cooler (Rowe-Rowe 1983). They will venture into flatter terrain only to feed and drink. Mountain reedbucks are able to digest coarse low-quality grass efficiently, but prefer fresh grass (Hofmann and Stewart, 1972). They are exclusive grazers that select different species of grass depending on the season (op. cit.)

#### 3.3.9 Tragelaphini

#### Tragelaphus oryx (eland)

Commonly known as *Taurotragus oryx*, new mtDNA evidence places the eland in the genus *Tragelaphus* (Gatesy et al. 1997; Matthee and Robinson 1999). Eland are widely distributed in a variety of habitats, which include the Nama-Karoo, succulent Karoo, fynbos, grassland and savanna biomes of the North-West, Northern Cape, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape provinces (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). They are found in low and high altitudes (Estes 1991), avoiding deserts and dense forests (op. cit.) Eland are well adapted to arid habitats, versatile in their ability to select different types of foods and independent of water (Posselt 1963). They are mixed feeders (Hofmann 1989), but in some areas, they may browse extensively (Hillman 1979). Although some observers have noted they are primarily browsers (Estes 1991). During the wet season, they may consume from 50% to 80% grass of their total dietary intake (Hillman 1979). They consume a variety of plants: leaves, seedpods, seeds, forbs, tubers, fruits and fresh grass (Hofmeyr 1970; Estes 1991).

## Tragelaphus strepsiceros (kudu)

In South Africa, the kudu have a patchy distribution in the savanna, Nama-Karoo and grassland biomes of the North-West, Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Free State and Northern Cape provinces. Their habitat requirements include the presence of woodland or scrub and they prefer broken rocky terrain (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). The species is considered a browser (Hofmann 1989), consuming a wide variety of resources: leaves, shoots, seedpods, forbs, herbs, fallen fruits, succulents, vines, tubers, flowers and some fresh grass. Grass consumption increases after rains (Du Plessis and Skinner 1987).

#### 3.4 Discussion

Bovids show a remarkably high diversity and abundance in the modern ecosystems. They are found in varied habitats, ranging from forest to desert environments, and show a remarkable versatility in diet behaviour. Based on modern observations, stomach contents and faeces, some bovids may alternate their diet depending on their sex, food seasonality and spatial distribution of resources. Some are specialized feeders selecting only one type of food source such as grass. Other may have preferences, for example browse, but may also select grass when seasonally available. Yet others will select a wide range of vegetation. There tends to be a pattern in choice of diet reflecting availability and by inference vegetation structure.

The relatively high abundance of bovids compared to other faunal remains in fossil assemblages, and their association with early hominins make them an essential component for inferring the ecological context in which early hominins lived.

# **Chapter 4. Stable Carbon Isotopes**

### 4.1 Introduction

The stable carbon isotope composition of modern and fossil herbivore tooth enamel is directly related to the isotopic composition of terrestrial plants in the food web (DeNiro and Epstein 1978; Tiezsen et al. 1983; Ambrose and DeNiro 1986; Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1987; Cerling and Harris 1999; Cerling et al. 2003; Sponheimer et al. 2003). Plants using different photosynthetic pathways under varying climatic and environmental conditions are differentiated by the ratios of two naturally occurring stable isotopes of carbon, <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>13</sup>C. These isotopic differences in plants are passed on to the consumer and can be analyzed to reveal dominant dietary sources. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> contains roughly 1.1% of the nonradioactive isotope <sup>13</sup>C and 98.9% of <sup>12</sup>C. In the last 200 years of industrialization, the  $\delta^{13}$ C value of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> has become 1.5‰ lighter, depleted from -6.5‰ to -8.0‰ (Friedli et al.1986; Marino and McElroy 1991; Marino et al. 1992).

In plants, the stable carbon isotopes are fractionated by photosynthesis. The  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$  isotopic ratios of plants decrease relative to atmospheric isotopic ratios (Bender 1971). The lighter isotope is preferentially used because of the physical and chemical properties associated with its mass (O'Leary 1988). Plants utilize three different types of metabolic pathways to process carbon. These pathways are: (1) the C<sub>4</sub> pathway (the Hatch-Slack cycle) where the dicarboxylic acid utilizes CO<sub>2</sub> through carboxylation of phosphoenolpyruvate and forms a four-molecule carbon (O'Leary 1988). (2) In the C<sub>3</sub> pathway (the Calvin-Benson

cycle), the first phase of photosynthesis, the phosphoglyceric acid utilizes  $CO_2$  through the enzyme ribulose biphosphate carboxylase to fix  $CO_2$ , forming a threemolecule carbon (O'Leary 1988). (3) The Crassulacean Acid Metabolism (CAM) pathway also uses ribulose biphosphate carboxylase to take in  $CO_2$ , but in the last phase, the process is similar to the C<sub>4</sub> pathway (Bender 1971; O'Leary 1988).

# 4.2 Stable carbon isotope variation in the African ecosystems

#### 4.2.1 $C_3$ pathway

In the African ecosystem, the C<sub>3</sub> pathway is characteristic of trees, forbs, shrubs, flowers, and some species of sedges, as well as, high altitude grasses that grow in cool wet seasons. Various researchers report different C<sub>3</sub> plants values. In one study, C<sub>3</sub> plants ranged from -35 to -22‰ with a mean  $\delta^{13}$ C of -26.5‰ (Smith and Epstein 1971). In other studies, C<sub>3</sub> plants ranged from -32 to -20‰ with a mean value of -27.1‰ (Deines 1980; O'Leary 1981, 1988; Farquhar et al. 1982, 1989).

Variability in  $\delta^{13}$ C values reflect the dynamics of a particular environment. The  $\delta^{13}$ C value of C<sub>3</sub> plants in closed canopy habitats with low light and high humidity and where the exchange of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is limited, tend to be more negative (Medina and Minchin 1980; Sternberg et al. 1989; van der Merwe and Medina 1989, 1991). The C<sub>3</sub> plants in open, arid and hot environments are less negative; this is also the case for C<sub>3</sub> plants at higher elevations (Tieszen et al. 1979; Körner et al. 1991; Sparks and Ehleringer 1997).

## 4.2.2 CAM pathway

The CAM plants consist primarily of desert succulents (O'Leary 1988) and are common in the Fynbos biome (Jones et al. 2003). CAM plants have a range of -10 to -20‰, which distinguishes them from  $C_3$  plants, but not  $C_4$  plants (O' Leary 1988).

## 4.2.3 C<sub>4</sub> pathway

C<sub>4</sub> plants are characteristically found in warm, arid environments. C<sub>4</sub> plants include tropical grasses, some sedge species, and some fruits and vegetables (O'Leary 1988). C<sub>4</sub> plants have  $\delta^{13}$ C values ranging from -17 to -9‰ with a mean of -13.1 ± 1.2 (O'Leary 1988). There are two main C<sub>4</sub> subpathways based on plant anatomy and the biochemical pathways of the plant. The arid-adapted C<sub>4</sub> species utilize the NAD-me and PEP-ck subpathway (Hattersley 1982; Chapman 1996), with an average  $\delta^{13}$ C value of -13.0 ± 0.7‰ (Cerling et al. 2003). The third, the NADP subpathway, is found in mesic environments, such as riparian or lake margin setting, and has an average  $\delta^{13}$ C of -11.8 ± 0.2‰ (Cerling et al. 2003).

When herbivores consume plants, the carbon isotopes are fractionated once again. By determining the fractionation factor of the consumer, the carbon isotopic signature can be used to determine the dietary pattern, in terms of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  consumption (DeNiro and Epstein 1978; van der Merwe 1982).

Stable carbon isotope analyses of bone collagen were first used in archaeology to determine the diets of Holocene humans and animals (van der Merwe and Vogel, 1978; DeNiro and Epstein 1978; van der Merwe 1982; Ambrose and DeNiro 1986). Bone collagen is composed of carbonated hydroxyapatite (akin to, but not identical)  $Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_2$  with structural carbonate that is susceptible to alteration (Lee-Thorp 2000). Because of isotopic exchange during diagenesis, the bones may increase in precipitation and absorb ions from their surrounding deposits that tend to increase reactivity and solubility (Driessen et al. 1978; LeGeros 1991; Lee-Thorp 2000).

Enamel is roughly 96% inorganic by weight, is comprised of greater than 95% hydroxyapatite, and has low porosity compared to bone. Because of these factors, the recrystallization and crystal growth is low during diagenesis. Because enamel remains relatively stable, it is less susceptible to diagenesis and can maintain its isotopic signature for millions of years (Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1987; Quade et al. 1992; Wang and Cerling, 1994; Koch et al. 1997; Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 1999b). Thus, enamel retains the original biogenic signal reflecting the diet of the consumer. This has implications for not only interpreting modern and ancient diets of bovids (Vogel 1978, van der Merwe and Thackeray 1997; Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1993; Lee-Thorp et al. 1994; Cerling and Harris 1999; Cerling et al. 2003; Lee-Thorp et al. 2000; Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 1999a; Sponheimer et al. 1999; Sponheimer et al. 2003; Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 2003), but also using diets to measure shifts in C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> biomass of modern and ancient environments (Cerling and Harris 1999; Sponheimer et al. 1999; Luyt 2001; Franz-Odendaal et al. 2002; van der Merwe et al. 2003; Lee-Thorp and Sponheimer 2005; Kingston and Harrison 2007; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007).

Several values have been reported for the <sup>13</sup>C enrichment factors for dietary carbon and bioapatite carbonate for bovids, ranging from 14.6‰ (Passey

47

et al. 2005), 14.1‰ (Bocherens and Mariotti 1992; Cerling and Harris 1999), and 13.7‰ (Balasse 2002) to 12-13‰ (Krueger and Sullivan 1984; Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1987). These various reported enrichment factors make little difference in the  $\delta^{13}$ C distinction between C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> consumers since the bioapatite-diet spacing can be between 12‰ and 14‰ (Figure 4.1; Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1987; Passey et al. 2005; Lee-Thorp and Sponheimer 2007).



**Figure 4.1.** Isotope fractionation between atmospheric  $CO_2$  and  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  plants (O'Leary 1988), as well as fractionation between plants and bovid bioapatite (Passey et al. 2005).

## 4.3 Materials

### 4.3.1 Modern Bovids

As museums and institutions have become increasingly hesitant in allowing continuous sampling of their modern and fossil material, it was necessary to request reliable  $\delta^{13}$ C data (i.e., those that followed similar sampling and cleaning procedures) from other researchers. The majority of the modern  $\delta^{13}$ C data come from specimens collected from various southern African localities. Matt Sponheimer, Daryl Codron, Julia Lee-Thorp and Nikolaas van der Merwe generously provided  $\delta^{13}$ C data. Thure Cerling kindly provided the mean, standard deviation and ranges for some of the modern taxa from East Africa. Modern comparisons were limited to bovids that included the same species, or bovid forms closely related to those found in Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans.

A number of these studies have reconstructed the dietary behaviour of modern bovids using calculated means (Cerling et al. 2003; Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron 2006). While the calculated mean is useful for interpreting the typical diet, it limits our understanding of diet versatility within a species (Kingston and Harrison 2007). Some species have a broad dietary range, while others have a narrow range. To examine intraspecific dietary variability, each species from southern Africa was separated into localities. Cerling et al. (2003) noticed intraspecific discrepancies in  $\delta^{13}$ C values for some East African taxa. These dietary differences may be related to the composition of the local vegetation (Cerling et al. 2003, 2004). Detailed isotopic work on South African bovids incorporating locality with associated vegetation structure was first done by Codron (2006), but the study was conducted only in or around the Kruger National Park. All modern bovids from southern Africa were examined by locality to look for intraspecific dietary differences in their  $\delta^{13}$ C signatures. In addition, these differences help to identify species that might represent 'isotopic ecological indicators' (Kingston and Harrison 2007). The data from East Africa did not indicate specific localities where the individual bovid samples were collected; therefore, the data was used only to compare the two regions. Modern taxa were used as a baseline for interpreting fossil bovid dietary behaviour.

Modern bovid taxa from southern Africa (Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron 2006) were compiled for analysis (Table 4.1). Species that were analyzed comprise Antidorcas marsupialis (springbok), Connochaetes taurinus (blue wildebeest), Damaliscus lunatus (tsessebe), Damaliscus pygargus (blesbok), Hippotragus niger (sable), Oryx gazella (gemsbok), Ourebia ourebi (oribi), Raphicerus campestris (steenbok), Redunca fulvorufula (mountain reedbuck), Syncerus caffer (African buffalo), Tragelaphus oryx (eland), and Tragelaphus strepsiceros (kudu).

*Oreotragus oreotragus* (klipspringer) and *Pelea capreolus* (grey rhebok) were sampled by this author because these species are usually associated with *P*. *robustus* sites (Table 4.2).

| Tribe        | Таха                     | Common Name       | Abbreviation |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes taurinus    | blue wildebeest   | Ct           |
|              | Damaliscus lunatus       | tsessebe          | DI           |
|              | Damaliscus pygargus      | blesbok           | Dp           |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas marsupialis   | springbok         | Ama          |
| Bovini       | Syncerus caffer          | African buffalo   | Sc           |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger        | sable             | Hn           |
|              | Oryx gazella             | oryx              | Og           |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus    | klipspringer      | Oor          |
|              | Ourebia ourebi           | oribi             | Oou          |
|              | Raphicerus campestris    | steenbok          | Rc           |
| Peleini      | Pelea capreolus          | grey rhebok       | Рс           |
| Reduncini    | Redunca fulvorufula      | mountain reedbuck | Rf           |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus oryx         | eland             | То           |
|              | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | kudu              | Tst          |

**Table 4.1.** Modern bovid taxa used for comparison with fossil bovids.

**Table 4.2.** Specimens of *P. capreolus* and *O. oreotragus* sampled by this author.

|                |          |          |          | 10                  |            |      |       |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|
| Pre-industrial | % values | are more | positive | than $\delta^{13}C$ | c enamel 9 | ‰ by | 1.5‰. |

| Tribe      | Таха                  | Specimen  | δ <sup>13</sup> C enamel ‰ | Pre-industrial ‰ |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Peleini    | Pelea capreolus       | BPI/C/71  | -16.0                      | -14.5            |
|            |                       | BP/C/72   | -14.0                      | -12.5            |
|            |                       | BPI/C/608 | -12.9                      | -11.4            |
|            |                       | BP/4/897  | -12.8                      | -11.3            |
|            |                       | BP/4/605  | -12.7                      | -11.2            |
|            |                       | BPI/C/673 | -11.7                      | -10.2            |
| Neotragini | Oreotragus oreotragus | TM13376   | -16.6                      | -15.1            |
|            |                       | BP/4/1156 | -13.5                      | -12.0            |
|            |                       | BPI/C/675 | -12.4                      | -10.9            |
|            |                       | BPI/C/681 | -12.2                      | -10.7            |
|            |                       | TM10908   | -10.8                      | -9.3             |

## 4.3.2 Fossil bovids

Isotopic research was conducted on various mammalian groups at Swartkrans, but only a limited sample of bovids was used (Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1993; Lee-Thorp et al. 1994; Lee-Thorp et al. 2000; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007). The bovid taxa selected for these studies were often used to identify endmembers for browsing ( $C_3$ ) and grazing ( $C_4$ ) guilds that were then used to establish the dietary behaviour of hominins (Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1993; Lee-Thorp et al. 1994; Lee-Thorp et al. 2000). These isotopic contributions have in part been constrained by the difficulty of taxonomic identification beyond the tribe level (Kingston and Harrison 2007). Various species within a tribe may have different dietary behaviours. For example, species within the Neotragini and Antilopini tribes have variable diets from browsers to grazers. Here all specimens collected were identified at least to generic level.

As per museum regulations, previously sampled fossil specimens were not resampled. The published  $\delta^{13}$ C data of fossil bovid taxa (Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1993; Lee-Thorp et al. 1989, 1994, 2000, 2007) supplements the data presented in this study. Of 140 bovid specimens examined here, 105 were collected by the author. Several new species were added along with new specimens for each deposit. All specimens used in other studies were re-examined to determine their accuracy of taxonomic identification. There were no discrepancies in individual identifications.

The fossil bovid taxa analyzed in this study include (Table 4.3): Antidorcas bondi, A. marsupialis, A. recki, Connochaetes sp., Damaliscus sp., Gazella sp., Hippotragus sp., Makapania sp., Megalotragus sp., Ourebia ourebi, Pelea capreolus, Rabaticeras porrocornutus, Raphicerus campestris, Redunca fulvorufula, Syncerus sp., Tragelaphus oryx, Tragelaphus strepsiceros and Tragelaphus sp.

Since enamel removal is an invasive procedure, curation policies of the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History, Pretoria, and the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, where fossil samples were taken, have strict guidelines as to the number of damaged teeth that may be used per species and the amount of enamel that may be removed. Only selected samples of damaged upper and lower molars were used, with a preference for second and third molars. Enamel removed by other researchers was not duplicated. The total allowable number of six specimens per species per deposit was adhered to as outlined by these institutions.

Another key aspect of interpreting the dietary behaviour of fossil bovid taxa from South Africa was to evaluate changes in  $\delta^{13}$ C values through time. Published  $\delta^{13}$ C enamel datasets of bovid taxa from Makapansgat Member 3 (Sponheimer et al. 1999; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007; Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 2009) and Sterkfontein Members 4 and 5 (Luyt 2001; van der Merwe et al. 2003; Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 2009) were utilized for comparison (Table 4.4). Makapansgat Member 3 was assigned an age using palaeomagnetic reversals. According to Herries (2003), Makapansgat Member 3 had normal polarity and was dated between 2.9 to 2.6 Ma. The new uranium series dates from Sterkfontein set Member 4 between 2.6 to 2.0 Ma (Pickering and Krammers 2010). Sterkfontein Member 5 was suggested to be no older than 2.0 Ma (op. cit.) Based on ESR (Electron Spin Resonance) dates, Sterkfontein Member 4 was estimated at 2.37 Ma and Sterkfontein Member 5 at 1.72 Ma (Schwartz et al. 1994). Makapansgat Member 3 and Sterkfontein Member 4 were older than the *P*. *robustus* deposits, but Sterkfontein Member 5 was of similar age.

| Specimen          | Таха             | Deposit          |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Tribe Alcelaphini |                  |                  |
| CD 7452           | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       |
| CD 7414           | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       |
| CD 1896           | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       |
| CD 7402           | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       |
| CD 6181           | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       |
| CD 244            | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       |
| CD 3702           | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       |
| SK 2703           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2482           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2284           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2422           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2586           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SKX 8530          | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 LB |
| SKX 13821         | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 LB |
| SKX 5843          | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 LB |
| SKX 9353a         | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 LB |
| SKX 2829          | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M2    |
| SKX 29279         | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M3    |
| SKX 20050         | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M3    |
| SKX 37639         | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M3    |
| SKX 29325         | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M3    |
| SKX 37187a        | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M3    |
| CD 297            | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 8153           | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 5405           | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 1928           | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 6202           | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 219            | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 1926           | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 8182           | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| SK 3832           | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 3135           | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 11777          | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 3123           | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M2    |

 Table 4.3. Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1- 3 fossil bovid

specimens.

continued on next page

| Tabl | e 4. | 3. | continu | ed |
|------|------|----|---------|----|
|      |      |    |         |    |

| Specimen             | Таха                      | Deposit          |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Tribe Alcelaphi      | ni                        |                  |
| SK 1520              | Damaliscus sp.            | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 5123              | Damaliscus sp.            | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 11390             | Damaliscus sp.            | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 7335              | Damaliscus sp.            | Swartkrans M2    |
| SKX 32639            | Damaliscus sp.            | Swartkrans M3    |
| CD 6190              | Megalotragus sp.          | Cooper's D       |
| CD 1247              | Megalotragus sp.          | Cooper's D       |
| CD 5411              | Megalotragus sp.          | Cooper's D       |
| SK 3031              | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2245              | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SKX 9582             | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M1 LB |
| SKX 1349             | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 1953              | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 3249              | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | Swartkrans M2    |
| SKX 1243             | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M2    |
| SKX 29602            | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M3    |
| SKX 27800            | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M3    |
| SK 1961              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 3002              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2985              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 3043              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| Tribe Antilopin      | 1                         |                  |
| SK 2404              | Antidorcas bondi          | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 9385              | Antidorcas bondi          | Swartkrans M2    |
| CD 1273              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       |
| CD 6209              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       |
| CD 7449              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       |
| CD 8171              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       |
| CD 8161              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       |
| CD 3160              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       |
| CD 3701              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       |
| CD 7485              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       |
| CD 5853              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       |
| SK 3037              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Swartkrans M2    |
| SKX 33839            | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Swartkrans M3    |
| CD 8179              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       |
| CD 7448              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       |
| CD 6165              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       |
| CD 1886              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       |
| CD 8166              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       |
| SKX 811 <sup>3</sup> | Antidorcas recki          | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 2972              | Gazella sp                | Swartkrans M1 HR |

continued on next page
# Table 4. 3. continued

| Specimen        | Таха  | Deposit          |
|-----------------|---|------------------|
| Tribe Bovini    |   |                  |
| CD 11062        | Syncerus sp.  | Cooper's D       |
| SK 3130         | Syncerus sp.  | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 3074         | Syncerus sp.  | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| Tribe Hippotrag | gini  |                  |
| CD 6179         | Hippotragus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 3119         | Hippotragus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 7456         | Hippotragus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| SKX 34892       | Hippotragus sp.   | Swartkrans M3    |
| SKX 37042       | Hippotragus sp.   | Swartkrans M3    |
| Tribe Neotragii | ni  |                  |
| SK 14168        | Ourebia ourebi  | Swartkrans M2    |
| CD 1214         | Raphicerus campestris   | Cooper's D       |
| SK 2108         | Raphicerus campestris   | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 2719         | Raphicerus campestris   | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 5930         | Raphicerus campestris   | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 4287         | Raphicerus campestris   | Swartkrans M2    |
| SKX 38091       | Raphicerus campestris   | Swartkrans M3    |
| Tribe Ovibovini | i de la companya de l |                  |
| SK 3113         | <i>Makapania</i> sp.  | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2373         | <i>Makapania</i> sp.  | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 3150         | Makapania sp.   | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2759         | Makapania sp.   | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| Tribe Peleini   |   |                  |
| CD 5430         | Pelea capreolous  | Cooper's D       |
| CD 15604        | Pelea capreolous  | Cooper's D       |
| SK 2273         | Pelea capreolous  | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2682         | Pelea capreolous  | Swartkrans M1 HR |
| SK 2990         | Pelea capreolous  | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 6047         | Pelea capreolous  | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 2981         | Pelea capreolous  | Swartkrans M2    |
| SK 2246         | Pelea capreolous  | Swartkrans M2    |
| Tribe Reduncin  | i   |                  |
| CD 1220         | Redunca fulvorufula   | Cooper's D       |
| Tribe Tragelapi | hini  |                  |
| SK 114171       | Tragelaphus oryx  | Swartkrans M2    |
| SKX 4026        | Tragelaphus oryx  | Swartkrans M2    |
| CD 255          | Tragelaphus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 7473         | Tragelaphus sp.   | Cooper's D       |
| CD 7474         | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | Cooper's D       |
| CD 309          | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | Cooper's D       |
| CD 5399         | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | Cooper's D       |
| SK 3000         | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | Swartkrans M1 HR |

| Specimen     | Таха                   | Deposit          | Source                    |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Tribe Alcela | phini                  |                  |                           |
| SK 2061      | Connochaetes sp.       | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1989     |
| SK 2110      | Connochaetes sp.       | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1989     |
| SK 2261      | Connochaetes sp.       | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1989     |
| SK 2354      | Connochaetes sp.       | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1989     |
| SK 5946      | Connochaetes sp.       | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1989     |
| SK 2483      | Connochaetes sp.       | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007     |
| SK 3097      | Connochaetes sp.       | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007     |
| SF91         | Connochaetes sp.       | Sterkfontein M5  | Luyt 2001                 |
| SF334        | Connochaetes sp.       | Sterkfontein M5  | Luyt 2001                 |
| SF92         | Connochaetes sp.       | Sterkfontein M5  | Luyt 2001                 |
| SF95         | Connochaetes sp.       | Sterkfontein M5  | Luyt 2001                 |
| Sts2200      | Connochaetes sp.       | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                 |
| SF114        | Connochaetes sp.       | Sterkfontein M4  | van der Merwe et al. 2003 |
| SF112        | Connochaetes sp.       | Sterkfontein M4  | van der Merwe et al. 2003 |
| SK 10653     | Damaliscus sp.         | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007     |
| SK 4241      | Damaliscus sp.         | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007     |
| SK 9897      | Damaliscus sp.         | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007     |
| SE1185       | Damaliscus sp.         | Sterkfontein M5  | Luyt 2001                 |
| SE1828       | Damaliscus sp.         | Sterkfontein M5  | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007     |
| SE1728.1     | Damaliscus sp.         | Sterkfontein M5  | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007     |
| SK 2063      | Megalotragus sp.       | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1994     |
| Tribe Antilo | pini                   |                  |                           |
| SK 12273     | Antidorcas bondi       | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2000     |
| SK 2574      | Antidorcas bondi       | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2000     |
| SK 3841      | Antidorcas bondi       | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2000     |
| SK 5907      | Antidorcas bondi       | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2000     |
| SK 5922      | Antidorcas bondi       | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2000     |
| SK 5962      | Antidorcas bondi       | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2000     |
| SK 6123      | Antidorcas bondi       | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2000     |
| Sts1577      | Antidorcas bondi       | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                 |
| Sts1125      | Antidorcas bondi       | Sterkfontein M4  | van der Merwe et al. 2003 |
| SKX 1896     | Antidorcas marsupialis | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007     |
| SKX 2736     | Antidorcas marsupialis | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007     |
| SKX 811      | Antidorcas recki       | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp et al. 1994     |
| SE 1855.1    | Antidorcas recki       | Sterkfontein M5  | Luyt 2001                 |
| SE 1258      | Antidorcas recki       | Sterkfontein M5  | Luyt 2001                 |
| Sts 1944     | Antidorcas recki       | Sterkfontein M4  | van der Merwe et al. 2003 |
| Sts 1435     | Antidorcas recki       | Sterkfontein M4  | van der Merwe et al. 2003 |
| Sts 1325a    | Antidorcas recki       | Sterkfontein M4  | van der Merwe et al. 2003 |

Table 4.4.  $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovid taxa from other studies.

continued on next page

| <b>Table 4.4.</b> co | ontinued |
|----------------------|----------|
|----------------------|----------|

| Specimen     | Таха                     | Deposit          | Source                           |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Tribe Antilo | pini                     |                  |                                  |
| Sts 1400     | Antidorcas recki         | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Sts 2076     | Antidorcas recki         | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Sts 2369     | Antidorcas recki         | Sterkfontein M4  | van der Merwe et al. 2003        |
| Sts 1596     | Antidorcas recki         | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Tribe Ovibo  | vini                     |                  |                                  |
| Sts 952      | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Sts 1925     | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Sts 2059b    | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Sts 1721     | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Sts 2565     | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| M 978        | Makapania broomi         | Makapansgat M3   | Sponheimer 1999                  |
| M 1398       | Makapania broomi         | Makapansgat M3   | Sponheimer 1999                  |
| M 6528       | Makapania broomi         | Makapansgat M3   | Sponheimer 1999                  |
| M 6274       | Makapania broomi         | Makapansgat M3   | Sponheimer 1999                  |
| Tribe Neotr  | agini                    |                  |                                  |
| SK 1631      | Oreotragus oreotragus    | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1993 |
| M 6293       | Oreotragus oreotragus    | Makapansgat M3   | Sponheimer 1999                  |
| M 997        | Oreotragus oreotragus    | Makapansgat M3   | Sponheimer 1999                  |
| Tribe Trage  | laphini                  |                  |                                  |
| SK 2329      | <i>Tragelaphus</i> sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1993 |
| SK 2304      | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1989            |
| SK 2576      | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1989            |
| SK 2681      | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1989            |
| SK 3023      | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1989            |
| SK 2541      | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 1994            |
| SK 14112     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007            |
| SK 2095      | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007            |
| SK 2281      | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007            |
| SK 3110      | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | Lee-Thorp et al. 2007            |
| Sts 1573     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Sts 46       | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Sts 2121     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |
| Sts 1300     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Sterkfontein M4  | Luyt 2001                        |

### 4.4 Methods

### 4.4.1 Cleaning and treatment of samples

Enamel samples were removed under a low-power microscope (10x to 40x) where available. When a microscope was not available, a head visor with 10-x magnification was used to ensure removal of impurities and adherent dentine. Enamel samples were first cleaned, and then 4 mg of the sample was removed. Both processes used a rotary drill with an attached diamond grit 1.0 mm diameter dental burr (Proxxon D-54518 Niersbach, Germany), set to approximately 10% to 20% of maximum speed to avoid thermal decomposition of carbonate. Larger pieces of enamel that flaked off from broken samples were first cleaned with the diamond drill bit and then ground manually with an agate mortar and pestle. All tools were cleaned with 1.0 molarity<sup>9</sup> (M) of hydrochloric acid and distilled water between samples to prevent contamination. The resultant powdered enamel was measured into individual 5ml microcentrifuge tubes. The powered enamel was pretreated to remove diagenetic carbonates using the protocol developed by Lee-Thorp et al. (1997). 1.0 ml of 1.75%v/v of sodium hypochlorite (50% NaOCI: distilled water) was placed into centrifuge tubes for 45 minutes and then centrifuged for three minutes. The diluted NaOCl was vacuum-suctioned out and rinsed with distilled water. This procedure was repeated three times. Samples were treated with 0.1 M acetic acid (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH), 0.1 ml per mg for 15 minutes. Koch et al. (1997) has shown 0.1 M of CH<sub>3</sub>COOH causes minimal bioapatite alteration, while an increase of CH<sub>3</sub>COOH to 1.0 M treatment would cause

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The concentration of a solution, expressed as the number of moles of solute per liter of solution.

recrystallization of bioapatites leading to incomplete removal of impurities (Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1991).

After treatment, the samples were centrifuged. Then the treatment solution vacuum-suctioned out and the sample was rinsed with distilled water. This process was repeated three times, after which samples were freeze-dried. Purified enamel bioapatite samples (2 mg) and standards (0.1 mg) were reacted with 100% phosphoric acid (H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) at 70 $^{\circ}$ C in individually sealed reaction tubes, cryogenically cleaned and combusted using an automated Elemental Analyzer (Carlo Erba Instruments, Milan, Italy). The resultant CO<sub>2</sub> gas was introduced into the Finnigan MAT 252 mass spectrometer (Finnigan, Bremen, Germany). The raw data were calibrated using Cavendish marble and Carrara Z marble (carbonate internal lab standards) and the National Bureau of Standards, NBS18 (carbonate external lab standard). The standard deviation of 10 replicates of three standard was less than 0.1 in all cases: Cavendish Marble,  $0.49 \pm 0.08\%$ , Carrara Z marble, 2.28  $\pm$  0.04‰ and NBS 18 -4.81  $\pm$  0.07‰. The precision for  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the standards was a standard deviation of 0.1‰. Only data between 1000 and 7000 amplitude were used. Anything below or above these numbers was considered unreliable.

Stable carbon isotopes results are reported in standard notation and in parts per mil<sup>10</sup> (‰). <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratios are expressed in delta notation ( $\delta$ ). The differences of the <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio are measured with reference to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite, derived from the equation:  $\delta^{13}$ C (‰) = (R<sub>sample</sub> / R<sub>standard</sub> – 1) x 1000, where R = the <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio of the sample and standard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> parts per thousand

### 4.4.2 Trophic categories

Traditional trophic classifications used in isotope analysis are generally broad and are based on three categories: browser, mixed feeder and grazer. Though these categories are useful, Gagnon and Chew (2000) attempted to provide a more detailed dietary classification scheme based on a synthesis of available literature on African bovids, they include: frugivores (>70% fruits, little monocots), browsers (>70% dicots), generalist (>20 of all food types), browser-grazer intermediates (30 - 70% of dicots and monocots, <20% fruits), variable grazers (60 - 90% monocots) and obligate grazers (>90% monocots consumption). In an effort to provide a more detailed classification for isotopic analysis, Cerling (et al. 2003) applied similar parameters and classified dietary behaviour in five categories: hyperbrowsers or frugivores, browsers, mixed feeders, grazers and hypergrazers. Because of the varied diets, and the multiple and sometimes contradicting techniques used to determine diet, there is no consensus as to how one classifies the dietary behaviour of bovids. In order to express the dietary behaviour of Lateoli herbivores along the browser-grazer continuum using isotopic analysis, Kingston and Harrison (2007) identified five categories: obligate browser (>95% dicots), variable browser (75 - 95% dicots), browsergrazer intermediate, variable grazer (75 - 95% monocots), and obligate grazer (>95% monocots). These categories were based on the combination of methods by Tiszen et al. (1979), Gagnon and Chew (2000), and Cerling et al. (2003).

I have based my classification on a number of factors. (1) Unlike other studies that have a category for frugivores, I have chosen not to use it since frugivores are difficult to separate from browsers by isotopic analysis. (2) Trophic categories follow Kingston and Harrison (2007). The bovid taxa are divided into five trophic categories based on modern bovid  $\delta^{13}$ C data sampled from southern Africa (Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron 2006) (Figure 4.2). The five trophic categories used are obligate C<sub>3</sub> consumer (OC<sub>3</sub>, < -12.8‰), variable C<sub>3</sub> consumer (VC<sub>3</sub>, -10.0‰ to -12.8‰), mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> (-3.3 to -10.0‰), variable C<sub>4</sub> consumers (VC<sub>4</sub>, 0.5‰ to -3.3‰) and obligate C<sub>4</sub> consumer (> 0.5‰) (see Table 4.5 and Figure 4.2). (3) Using terms such as 'browser' or 'grazer' is incorrect, as the type of vegetation an individual bovid may select varies. For example, a browser may select a wide variety of foods that include leaves, fruits, forbs, seeds and grass, where a grazer may select C<sub>3</sub> or C<sub>4</sub> grasses and include other types of vegetation. In order to bypass these terms, I use the categories stated above in (2) to isotopically designate the vegetation the bovid selects (Lee-Thorp et al. 2007). (4) In order to compare modern bovids to fossil forms, it was necessary to add 1.5‰ to the modern enamel values to correct for the depletion of atmospheric  $\delta^{13}$ C instigated by industrialization (Table 4.5).



**Figure 4.2.** Box and whiskers plot of  $\delta^{13}$ C enamel of relevant modern samples. Taxa placed in order of  $\delta^{13}$ C values (preindustrial effect of 1.5‰ was not added to these values). Each box encloses 50% of the data with the median value of the variable indicated by a vertical line in the box. The sides of the box mark the limits of ± 25% of the variable population. The lines extending from the sides mark the minimum and maximum values within the data set. Outliers (circles) and extreme outliers (stars) are displayed as individual points.

| Diet<br>classification               | Abbrev.         | Foraging strategy                | Approximate<br>modern δ <sup>13</sup> C<br>range | Pre-industrial<br>(+1.5‰) δ <sup>13</sup> C<br>range |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Obligate C <sub>3</sub>              | OC <sub>3</sub> | Almost exclusively eudicot       | < -12.8‰   | <-11.3‰  |
| Variable $C_3$                       | VC <sub>3</sub> | Predominantly eudicot            | –12.8 to –10.0‰                                  | –11.3 to -8.5‰                                       |
| Mixed C <sub>3</sub> –C <sub>4</sub> | mixed           | Intermediate eudicot and monocot | –10.0 to –3.3 ‰                                  | -8.5 to -1.8‰  |
| Variable C <sub>4</sub>              | $VC_4$          | Predominantly monocot            | −3.3 to 0.5 ‰                                    | -1.8 to 2.0‰   |
| Obligate C₄                          | 004             | Almost exclusively monocot       | > 0.5%   | > 2.0‰   |

**Table 4.5.** Dietary classification with  $\delta^{13}$ C ranges.

Categories based on modern bovid foraging strategies from field observations and rumen content (Skinner and Chimimba 2005), and stable carbon isotopes (Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron 2006). For pre-industrial  $\delta^{13}$ C range, 1.5‰ was added to the modern enamel values to offset the 'fossil fuel effect' to facilitate comparisons with fossil taxa.

### 4.4.3 Incorporating faecal data

A large number of modern bovid data used in this thesis are from Codron (2006). He examined various plants and modern herbivore faeces from various localities near or in the Kruger National Park. In order to incorporate faecal data into this study it was important to note the differences in scale between faeces and enamel  $\delta^{13}$ C. This is due to the different isotope discriminations between the two materials. Relative to diet (i.e., plant), faeces were –0.9‰ depleted in  $\delta^{13}$ C (Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron et al. 2005). To obtain the original  $\delta^{13}$ C value before the offset, 0.9‰ was added. While enamel bioapatite can range between 12‰ to 14.6‰ enriched, the Passey et al. (2005) enrichment factor of 14.6‰ was selected, which provided a  $\delta^{13}$ C enamel value. This enrichment factor seems to be consistent with other studies that examine the fractionation between diet and enamel of ruminants (Cerling and Harris 1999; Balasse 2002). Temporal scale is

an important issue that must be addressed when using faecal data. Fecal data records what the bovid ate in the last few days and should show more diet variability then observed for enamel, which shows long-term dietary intake. The carbon signature is laid down as the tooth is developing which gives a dietary profile only from the first few years of an animal's life. Whereas the carbon signature obtained from faecal remains may provide the overall diet of the animal at any stage of life. Here difference and similarities were examined between the two datasets, and vetted on a case-by-case basis.

### 4.4.4 Statistics

Comparisons between faecal and enamel  $\delta^{13}$ C calues were compared using an independent t-test. Since faecal samples were large compared to enamel samples, this disparity may produce large sample bias. In a large sample, very small differences can be detected as significant. In order to test this, 1000 recombination using bootstrapping was performed.

A one-way ANOVA or Independent t-test depending on sample size was applied to assess if significant variation exists between localities for each species. The multiple comparison (pairwise) tests were used to determine the sources of significant variation. Because even small sample sizes for bovid species were expected to indicate dietary differences, Fisher's LSD a priori test was used to compare species. Fisher's LSD does not adjust the ear rate and as the least conservative of the *post hoc* tests has been criticized for false positives (Proschan 1997). It does nonetheless indicate the presence of variation. A Tukey's HSD *post hoc* test was also performed to balance risks of Type I and Type II errors (Cook and Farewell 1996). If the variance were unequal between the taxa then the Tamhane's T2 *post hoc* test was applied.

# 4.5 Dietary profiles for modern bovids

Descriptive statistics using preindustrial  $\delta^{13}$ C values for southern African modern bovids by locality are given in Table 4.8. Where possible, the southern African bovids where compared to East African bovids (Table 4.9). For some of the descriptive tables, abbreviations (see pages xv – xvi) were used instead of full taxa designation. Statistical analyses for stable carbon isotopes are shown in Table 4.10. The distributions of  $\delta^{13}$ C values for bovid taxa are shown in Figures 4.3 to 4.11.

# 4.5.1 Alcelaphini

### Connochaetes taurinus (Ct)

There were significant differences between faecal and enamel (Independent t-test, t = -2.8, P < 0.05; Table 4.6). The faecal sample showed more positive  $\delta^{13}$ C values compared to the enamel sample (Table 4.7). However, both enamel and faecal  $\delta^{13}$ C means (1.3 ± 1.7‰ and 2.7 ± 1.0‰ respectively) show modern wildebeest to be a dedicated  $C_4$  feeder (Table 4.7). A compilation of <sup>13</sup>C values from southern Africa indicates that the blue wildebeest have a C<sub>4</sub> diet ( $\overline{x} = 2.6 \pm$ 1.1‰, range 1.4‰ to 6.0‰). When the sample was split into eleven localities in southern Africa (Table 4.8), the blue wildebeest consistently had a predominately  $C_4$  diet (Figure 4.3). Of 274 specimens, only two specimens had negative values. Isotopic profiles for the East African forms have similar ranges (1.0% to 5.4%). Compared to other localities, three specimens from the Central Kalahari Game Reserve had values that were slightly more negative (-1.4 to 0.2%). The Central Kalahari Game Reserve is mostly a flat landscape covered with open grassland, grass-covered dunes and bushveld vegetation (Van Rooyen 2001). A small percentage of C<sub>3</sub> foods may have supplemented wildebeest diets in an arid environment that has low rainfall and high temperatures.

Codron (2006) noted there were differences in dietary intake between the Hans Merensky Nature Reserve outside the Kruger National Park (n = 110) and

Northern basalts in the Kruger National Park (n = 115). The significant differences between the two localities may not be behaviourally relevant since the difference in mean was only 0.4‰. This disparity may perhaps be a reflection of large sample size bias. In large sample sizes, very small differences can be detected as significant. In order to test this, recombination using bootstrapping was performed. After 1000 recombination, there were no significant differences between the two localities (Independent t-test, t = 1.3, P > 0.05; Table 4.10).

### Damaliscus lunatus (DI)

There were significant differences between faecal and enamel (Independent t-test, t = 2.2, P < 0.05; Table 4.6). The faecal sample was variable, however, the means for enamel (3.9 ± 0.7‰) and faecal (3.2 ± 1.0‰) indicated obligate C<sub>4</sub> feeders (Table 4.7). The combined South Africa mean ( $\bar{x} = 3.3 \pm 1.0\%$ , n = 62; Table 4.8) was similar to East African specimens ( $\bar{x} = 3.4 \pm 1.0\%$ , n = 11; Table 4.9).

# Damaliscus pygargus (Dp)

The modern blesbok was examined only from South Africa. Similar to the tsessebe, blesbok are OC<sub>4</sub> consumers,  $\bar{x} = 2.0 \pm 1.5\%$  (Table 4.8 and Figure 4.4).



**Figure 4.3.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern wildebeest by locality.



**Figure 4.4.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern tsessebe and blesbok by locality.

### 4.5.2 Antilopini

# Antidorcas marsupialis (Ama)

Modern springboks were selected from five localities from southern Africa (Table 4.8). The dietary intake for this species ranged from OC<sub>3</sub> (-12.4‰) to mixed C<sub>3</sub>– C<sub>4</sub> (-3.6‰) with an average of -8.6 ± 2.1‰ (n = 21) (Table 4.8 and Figure 4.5). Field observations have shown the springboks consume a mixture of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> vegetation (Hofmann and Stewart 1972). The  $\delta^{13}$ C range supports the fact that they select a variety of vegetation, although on average, they tend to concentrate on C<sub>3</sub> vegetation.



**Figure 4.5.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern springbok by locality.

### 4.5.3 Bovini

### Syncerus caffer (Sc)

There were significant differences between faecal and enamel (Independent t-test, t = -4.1, P < 0.05; Table 4.6). The faecal sample was more variable than the enamel sample (Table 4.7). The minimum  $\delta^{13}$ C values for enamel (-1.6‰) and for faecal (-1.5‰) were similar. However, the maximum values for faecal (5.2‰) were higher than enamel (1.7‰). Nonetheless, both samples show that this species is predominately a C<sub>4</sub> feeder (Figure 4.6). Isotopic signals from 325 specimens from seven localities within southern Africa had a mean of 2.3 ± 1.1‰ (Table 4.8). Specimens from three areas within the Kruger National Park were selected for statistical analysis: Northern basalts (n = 146), Punda Maria (n = 131) and Southern basalts (n = 37). There were no dietary differences between the various localities (ANOVA, F = 1.4, P > 0.05).

The 'savanna' dwelling African buffalo from East Africa had similar  $\delta^{13}$ C values to those of southern Africa specimens (Table 4.8 and 4.9). The dietary behaviour of African buffaloes is complex. Cerling et al. (2004) analyzed the carbon isotope signature of buffaloes from the Ituri Forest in the Democratic Republic of Congo; they had an extremely negative  $\delta^{13}$ C value of -16.2‰ and it was noted that they selected fruits, seeds and leaves. To highlight habitat and diet versatility, buffaloes in the succulent thickets of the Eastern Cape browse up to 28% in the wet season and 35% in the dry season (Tshabalala et al. 2010). Modern African buffaloes are capable of adjusting their diet to include large amounts of C<sub>3</sub> plants, the selection of which may depend on the availability of prevalent

resources within their habitat. This species may be an isotopic ecological indicator, but further research is required.



**Figure 4.6.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern African buffaloes by locality.

### 4.5.4 Hippotragini

### *Hippotragus niger* (Hn)

There were no significant differences between faecal and enamel values (Independent t-test, t = -1.7, P < 0.05; Table 4.6). The modern sable was examined from southern Africa (Table 4.8). The  $\delta^{13}$ C means for southern Africa 4.0 ± 0.8‰ (n = 128, range 1.0‰ to 5.8‰; Figure 4.7) and for East Africa was 2.5 ± 2.5‰ (n = 4, range -0.8‰ to 4.6‰; Table 4.9). Both regions reflect an obligate C<sub>4</sub> diet.



**Figure 4.7.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern sables by locality.

# 4.5.5 Neotragini

# Oreotragus oreotragus (Oor)

For the present study, five specimens of modern klipspringers from South Africa were sampled. This species ranged from -15.1% to -9.3%,  $\bar{x} = -11.6 \pm 2.2\%$ .

# Ourebia ourebi (Oou)

Two oribis were examined from South Africa (Table 4.8). They had a VC<sub>4</sub> diet ( $n = 2, \bar{x} = 0.3 \pm 2.1\%$ ). One specimen from East Africa had a mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> diet (-3.8‰; Table 4.9). In different habitats and seasons, oribis will range from obligate C<sub>4</sub> to mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> feeders especially during the dry season (Hofmann 1973; Estes 1991).

### Raphicerus campestris (Rc)

There were no significant differences between faecal and enamel (Independent ttest, t = -1.6, P > 0.05; Table 4.6). The steenbok sampled from five localities in South Africa suggest a variable diet from OC<sub>3</sub> (-12‰) to mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> (-3.1‰) with a mean of -9.7‰ (Table 4.8 and Figure 4.8). Two localities were statistically compared: Northern granites (n = 13) and Punda Maria (n = 7) in the Kruger National Park. There were no significant differences between the localities (Independent t-test, t = 1.5, P > 0.05). In comparison to other localities, Northern granites and Southern basalts in the Kruger National Park had a few outliers that were more <sup>13</sup>C-enriched, ranging into mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>. These outliers come from faecal samples that highlight the occasional selection C<sub>4</sub> vegetation.

Based on gut morphology and stomach contents, the steenbok were considered mixed feeders (Hofmann 1973). However, the carbon signatures for the steenbok suggest a preference for  $C_3$  foods.



**Figure 4.8.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern steenbok by locality.

# 4.5.6 Peleini

# Pelea capreolus (Pc)

Six South African grey rhebok had an OC<sub>3</sub> diet ( $\bar{x} = -11.9 \pm 1.5\%$ ). Their  $\delta^{13}$ C values ranged between -14.5% to -10.2%.

# 4.5.7 Reduncini

# *Redunca fulvorufula* (Rf)

Mountain reedbucks were examined from southern Africa (Table 4.8). The values ranged from VC<sub>4</sub> (0.8‰) to OC<sub>4</sub> (4.4‰) (Figure 4.9). The one specimen from East Africa was within the range of southern African reedbucks (Table 4.9).



**Figure 4.9.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern mountain reedbucks by locality.

### 4.5.8 Tragelaphini

#### Tragelaphus oryx (To)

There were no significant differences between faecal and enamel (Independent ttest, t = -1.7, P > 0.05; Table 4.6). Modern eland were sampled from southern Africa (Table 4.8). Field observations have noted that eland are mixed feeders that consume over 50% grass during the wet season (Hofmann 1989), but in some areas they may browse exclusively (Hillman 1979; Estes 1991). Taken together, the eland from southern Africa ranged from OC<sub>3</sub> (-14.5‰) to mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> (-7.3‰) (Figure 4.10). The eland from East Africa had slightly more positive values (-11.7‰ to -6.0‰; Table 4.9) than from southern Africa. On average in all five localities examined, the eland were variable C<sub>3</sub> feeders. Only two localities had sample sizes sufficient for statistical analysis: Mountain Zebra National Park (n = 4) and Northern basalts (n = 5). Eland showed no dietary difference between the two localities (Independent t-test, t = -2.2, P > 0.05).

### Tragelaphus strepsiceros (Tst)

There were significant differences between faecal and enamel (Independent t-test, t = -5.9, P < 0.05; Table 4.6). The mean  $\delta^{13}$ C values for both faecal (-9.4‰) and enamel (-10.8‰) samples are predominately C<sub>3</sub> feeders (Table 4.7 and Figure 4.11). However, some of the faecal samples showed a mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> diet. This highlights the capable range of food selection by the Kudu. The mean values for southern Africa kudu (-9.5 ± 0.9‰) where similar to East African specimens (-10.3 ± 1.0) (Table 4.9).

Of the nine southern African localities, four were suitable for statistical analysis: Central Etosha, Namibia (n = 6), Northern granites (n = 21), Punda Maria (n = 107) and Southern basalts (n = 14). There are significant dietary differences between localities (ANOVA, F = 5.6, P < 0.05; Table 4.10). Specifically pairwise comparisons demonstrated that kudu from Central Etosha were different from those from Punda Maria. Kudu from Punda Maria in the Kruger National Park had a more variable diet (range from -10.5‰ to -7.6‰), whereas specimens from Central Etosha had a predominately C<sub>3</sub> diet (range - 11.0‰ to -9.7‰). However, this does not appear to be a true reflection of differences between localities as the range for Etosha was within the Punda Maria range, and most likely a factor of sample size difference between the two localities. When bootstrapping was performed, after 1000 recombination there were no significant differences between the two localities (Independent t-test, t = 1.3, P > 0.05).

Kudu from Nyika, Malawi and the Okavango Delta, Botswana were more <sup>13</sup>C-depleted compared to other localities. Nyika Plateau is situated roughly 2,607 metres above sea level and miombo woodland covers 60% of the plateau (Burrows and Willis 2005). The depleted values from Nyika may be related to the plateau's higher altitude (Tieszen et al. 1979). Okavango Delta which has an altitude of 1000 metres comprises many habitats, but unique to this area are the variety of sedges and semi-aquatic grasses that grow there (Roodt 1998), however this would not account for the <sup>13</sup>C- depletion observed. Caution must be applied here since in each area only one specimen was sampled.



Figure 4.10.  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern eland by locality.



**Figure 4.11.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern kudu by locality.

 Table 4.6. Independent t-test comparison between faecal

and enamel  $\delta^{13}C$  values.

| Таха                     | t    | df  | P value |
|--------------------------|------|-----|---------|
| Connochaetes taurinus    | -4.3 | 272 | .02     |
| Damaliscus lunatus       | 1.7  | 60  | .05     |
| Hippotragus niger        | -1.7 | 126 | .18     |
| Raphicerus campestris    | -1.6 | 32  | .09     |
| Syncerus caffer          | -4.1 | 323 | .01     |
| Tragelaphus oryx         | -1.7 | 16  | .09     |
| Tragelaphus strepsiceros | -5.9 | 157 | .01     |

**Table 4.7.** Faecal and enamel  $\delta^{13}$ C values compared.

| Tribe        | Таха                     |        | Ν   | Min   | Max  | Range | Mean  | SD  |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------|-----|-------|------|-------|-------|-----|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes taurinus    | Enamel | 13  | -1.4  | 4.6  | 6.0   | 1.3   | 1.7 |
|              |                          | Faecal | 261 | 5     | 6.0  | 6.4   | 2.7   | 1.0 |
|              | Damaliscus lunatus       | Enamel | 7   | 2.6   | 4.6  | 2.0   | 3.9   | .7  |
|              |                          | Faecal | 55  | 1.0   | 6.0  | 5.0   | 3.2   | 1.0 |
| Bovini       | Syncerus caffer          | Enamel | 6   | -1.6  | 1.7  | 3.3   | .5    | 1.2 |
|              |                          | Faecal | 319 | -1.5  | 5.2  | 6.7   | 2.3   | 1.1 |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger        | Enamel | 6   | 2.1   | 4.9  | 2.8   | 3.5   | 1.1 |
|              |                          | Faecal | 122 | 1.0   | 5.8  | 4.8   | 4.0   | .8  |
| Neotragini   | Raphicerus campestris    | Enamel | 8   | -12.0 | -8.3 | 3.7   | -10.6 | 1.1 |
|              |                          | Faecal | 26  | -10.9 | -3.1 | 7.8   | -9.4  | 2.1 |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus oryx         | Enamel | 13  | -14.5 | -8.5 | 6.1   | -10.8 | 1.4 |
|              |                          | Faecal | 5   | -10.5 | -8.1 | 2.4   | -9.6  | 1.0 |
|              | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Enamel | 14  | -14.5 | -9.1 | 5.4   | -10.8 | 1.5 |
|              |                          | Faecal | 145 | -11.0 | -7.3 | 3.8   | -9.4  | .8  |

**Table 4.8.** Descriptive statistics using preindustrial  $\delta^{13}$ C values for modern bovid taxa by southern African locality.

| Tribe        | Таха | Locality                      | Ν   | Min   | Max  | Mean  | SD  |
|--------------|------|-------------------------------|-----|-------|------|-------|-----|
| Alcelaphini  | Ct   | De Beers Venetia NR           | 2   | 0.4   | 0.9  | 0.6   | 0.3 |
|              |      | Hans Merensky NR              | 110 | -0.5  | 4.7  | 2.8   | 0.8 |
|              |      | Central Kalahari Game Reserve | 3   | -1.4  | 0.2  | -0.6  | 0.8 |
|              |      | Klaserie NR                   | 1   |       |      | 2.8   |     |
|              |      | Mashatu Game Reserve          | 2   | 1.5   | 1.5  | 1.5   | 0.0 |
|              |      | Morea (Mpumalanga) Estates    | 2   | 3.9   | 4.6  | 4.2   | 0.5 |
|              |      | Northern basalts, KNP         | 115 | 0.0   | 6.0  | 2.4   | 1.0 |
|              |      | Northern granites, KNP        | 1   |       |      | 3.2   |     |
|              |      | Nxai Pan NP                   | 1   |       |      | 0.6   |     |
|              |      | Orapa NR                      | 1   |       |      | 1.0   |     |
|              |      | Southern basalts, KNP         | 35  | 0.0   | 6.0  | 2.9   | 1.4 |
|              |      | unknown                       | 1   |       |      | 2.3   |     |
|              |      | Total                         | 274 | -1.4  | 6.0  | 2.6   | 1.1 |
|              | DI   | Klaserie NR                   | 1   |       |      | 2.6   |     |
|              |      | Morea (Mpumalanga) Estates    | 3   | 3.2   | 4.3  | 3.8   | 0.5 |
|              |      | Northern basalts, KNP         | 55  | 1.0   | 6.0  | 3.2   | 1.0 |
|              |      | unknown                       | 3   | 4.3   | 4.6  | 4.4   | 0.2 |
|              |      | Total                         | 62  | 1.0   | 6.0  | 3.3   | 1.0 |
|              | Dp   | Soetdoring NR                 | 1   |       |      | 0.7   |     |
|              |      | unknown                       | 2   | 1.7   | 3.7  | 2.7   | 1.4 |
|              |      | Total                         | 3   | 0.7   | 3.7  | 2.0   | 1.5 |
| Antilopini   | Am   | Aspoort Karoo                 | 1   |       |      | -9.4  |     |
|              |      | Hutchinson                    | 1   |       |      | -12.4 |     |
|              |      | Kimberley                     | 1   |       |      | -11.4 |     |
|              |      | Soetdoring NR                 | 10  | -9.5  | -6.2 | -7.6  | 1.3 |
|              |      | Takatshwane                   | 3   | -10.6 | -3.6 | -7.5  | 3.6 |
|              |      | unknown                       | 5   | -10.6 | -8.1 | -9.8  | 1.0 |
|              |      | Total                         | 21  | -12.4 | -3.6 | -8.6  | 2.1 |
| Bovini       | Sc   | Northern basalts, KNP         | 146 | -1.5  | 5.0  | 2.4   | 1.0 |
|              |      | Northern granites, KNP        | 5   | 1.2   | 2.6  | 2.0   | 0.5 |
|              |      | Nyika NP                      | 2   | 1.4   | 1.7  | 1.6   | 0.2 |
|              |      | Okavango                      | 1   |       |      | 0.3   |     |
|              |      | Punda Maria, KNP              | 131 | -1.0  | 5.2  | 2.2   | 1.1 |
|              |      | Savuti                        | 1   |       |      | 1.0   |     |
|              |      | Southern basalts, KNP         | 37  | 0.3   | 3.9  | 2.3   | 0.8 |
|              |      | unknown                       | 2   | -1.6  | 0.4  | -0.6  | 1.4 |
|              |      | Total                         | 325 | -1.6  | 5.2  | 2.3   | 1.1 |
| Hippotragini | Hn   | Morea (Mpumalanga) Estates    | 1   |       |      | 4.9   |     |
|              |      | Nyika NP                      | 2   | 2.1   | 2.1  | 2.1   | 0.0 |
|              |      | Punda Maria, KNP              | 32  | 3.8   | 5.6  | 4.4   | 0.4 |
|              |      | Southern basalts, KNP         | 90  | 1.0   | 5.8  | 3.9   | 0.9 |
|              |      | unknown                       | 3   | 3.8   | 4.2  | 3.9   | 0.2 |
|              |      | Total                         | 128 | 1.0   | 5.8  | 4.0   | 0.8 |

continued on next page

# Table 4.8. continued

| Tribe        | Таха | Locality               | Ν   | Min   | Max   | Mean  | SD  |
|--------------|------|------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Neotragini   | Oor  | unknown                | 5   | -15.1 | -9.3  | -11.6 | 2.2 |
|              | Oou  | unknown                | 2   | -1.1  | 1.8   | 0.3   | 2.1 |
|              | Rc   | Northern basalts, KNP  | 3   | -10.6 | -9.8  | -10.4 | 0.5 |
|              |      | Northern granites, KNP | 13  | -10.2 | -3.1  | -9.0  | 2.3 |
|              |      | Punda Maria, KNP       | 7   | -10.9 | -9.0  | -10.4 | 0.6 |
|              |      | Southern basalts, KNP  | 3   | -10.1 | -4.1  | -7.7  | 3.2 |
|              |      | Takatshwane            | 3   | -12.0 | -10.3 | -10.9 | 0.9 |
|              |      | unknown                | 5   | -11.5 | -8.3  | -10.4 | 1.3 |
|              |      | Total                  | 34  | -12.0 | -3.1  | -9.7  | 1.9 |
| Peleini      | Рс   | unknown                | 6   | -14.5 | -10.2 | -11.9 | 1.5 |
| Reduncini    | Rf   | Aasvogelberg           | 1   |       |       | 0.8   |     |
|              |      | Mokopane               | 2   | 2.7   | 3.6   | 3.1   | 0.6 |
|              |      | Takatshwane            | 1   |       |       | 4.4   |     |
|              |      | unknown                | 2   | 2.7   | 3.1   | 2.9   | 0.3 |
|              |      | Total                  | 6   | .8    | 4.4   | 2.9   | 1.2 |
| Tragelaphini | То   | Etosha (Western)       | 3   | -11.1 | -10.0 | -10.5 | 0.6 |
|              |      | Mountain Zebra NP      | 4   | -14.5 | -10.3 | -11.8 | 2.0 |
|              |      | Northern basalts, KNP  | 5   | -10.5 | -8.1  | -9.6  | 1.0 |
|              |      | Nyika NP               | 1   |       |       | -12.1 |     |
|              |      | Percy Fyfe NR          | 1   |       |       | -10.1 |     |
|              |      | unknown                | 4   | -10.8 | -8.5  | -9.9  | 1.0 |
|              |      | Total                  | 18  | -14.5 | -8.1  | -10.5 | 1.4 |
|              | Ts   | Etosha (Central)       | 6   | -11.0 | -9.7  | -10.2 | 0.6 |
|              |      | Klaserie               | 1   |       |       | -10.7 |     |
|              |      | Mapungubwe NP          | 1   |       |       | -10.3 |     |
|              |      | Northern basalts, KNP  | 3   | -9.3  | -8.4  | -9.0  | 0.5 |
|              |      | Northern granites, KNP | 21  | -10.7 | -7.3  | -9.6  | 0.8 |
|              |      | Nyika NP               | 1   |       |       | -14.5 |     |
|              |      | Okavango               | 1   |       |       | -13.4 |     |
|              |      | Punda Maria, KNP       | 107 | -10.5 | -7.6  | -9.3  | 0.7 |
|              |      | Southern basalts, KNP  | 14  | -11.0 | -8.2  | -9.8  | 0.8 |
|              |      | unknown                | 4   | -11.9 | -9.1  | -10.1 | 1.2 |
|              |      | Total                  | 159 | -14.5 | -7.3  | -9.5  | 0.9 |

1.  $\delta^{13}$ C data for modern bovids, with the exception of *Oreotragus oreotragus* and *Pelea capreolus* were compiled from Sponheimer et al. (2003) and Codron (2006).

2. Park or Reserve classifications: Kruger National Park (KNP), National Park (NP), and Nature Reserve (NR).

| Tribe        | Таха                      | Ν  | Min   | Max  | Mean  | SD  |
|--------------|---------------------------|----|-------|------|-------|-----|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes taurinus     | 38 | 1.0   | 5.4  | 3.4   | 1.3 |
|              | Damaliscus lunatus        | 11 | 1.7   | 5.0  | 3.4   | 1.0 |
| Bovini       | Syncerus caffer (forest)  | 12 | -14.7 | 2.2  | -5.0  | 5.4 |
|              | Syncerus caffer (savanna) | 61 | -1.0  | 4.3  | 2.6   | 1.0 |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger         | 4  | -0.8  | 4.6  | 2.5   | 2.5 |
| Neotragini   | Ourebia ourebi            | 1  |       | •    | -3.8  | •   |
| Reduncini    | Redunca fulvorufula       | 1  |       | •    | 1.0   | •   |
| Tragelephini | Tragelaphus oryx          | 13 | -11.7 | -6.0 | -9.4  | 1.4 |
|              | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | 4  | -11.4 | -9.0 | -10.3 | 1.0 |

**Table 4.9.** Descriptive statistics using preindustrial  $\delta^{13}$ C values for modern bovid taxa from East Africa (Cerling et al. 2003).

# **Table 4.10.** Statistical analysis of $\delta^{13}C$ data of modern southern African bovids.

### A. ANOVA

| Таха                     | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F   | P value |
|--------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|-----|---------|
| Connochaetes taurinus    | 11.8           | 2  | 5.9         | 5.7 | .004    |
| Syncerus caffer          | 4.8            | 3  | 1.6         | 1.4 | .231    |
| Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 9.3            | 3  | 3.1         | 5.6 | .001    |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

### B. Pairwise comparisons

### 1. Connochaetes taurinus

| Tamhane T2                                  | Hans Merensky | Northern basalts |  |  |  |
|---|---------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Northern basalts                            | .005          |                  |  |  |  |
| Southern basalts                            | .958          | .161             |  |  |  |
| Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05) |               |                  |  |  |  |

# 2. Tragelaphus strepsiceros

| Tukey HSD         | Central Etosha | Northern granites | Punda Maria |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Northern granites | .297           |                   |             |
| Punda Maria       | .014           | .200              |             |
| Southern basalts  | .664           | .878              | .054        |
|                   |                |                   |             |

Significant differences in bold (P = < 0.05)

### C. Independent t-test

| Таха                  | t    | df | P value |
|-----------------------|------|----|---------|
| Connochaetes taurinus | 1.3  | 79 | .214    |
| Raphicerus campestris | 1.5  | 18 | .149    |
| Tragelaphus oryx      | -2.2 | 7  | .060    |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

### 4.6 Dietary profiles for fossil bovids

A list of  $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovids is found in Table 4.11 and 4.12. Descriptive statistics for Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1–3 bovids examined in this study are summarized in Table 4.13. Descriptive statistics from other studies are summarized in Table 4.14 and 4.15. Statistical analyses for stable carbon isotopes are shown in Table 4.16. The distribution of  $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovid taxa is shown in Figures 4.12 to 4.23.

### 4.6.1 Alcelaphini

### Connochaetes sp. (Csp)

This species was sampled from all four deposits utilized in this study (Table 4.11, Figure 4.12). The average for *Connochaetes* sp. from Cooper's D ( $\bar{x} = -2.1 \pm 1.7\%$ ), Swartkrans Member 2 ( $\bar{x} = -2.1\%$ ), and Swartkrans Member 3 ( $\bar{x} = -1.9 \pm 0.9\%$ ) indicate mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> diets (Table 4.13). Swartkrans Hanging Remnant specimens ranged from mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> (-2.7‰) to VC<sub>4</sub> (0.4‰) (Table 4.13). Seven other specimens from the Swartkrans Hanging Remnant examined by Lee-Thorp et al. (1989, 2007) had similar ranges (-3.7‰ to 1.0‰) (Table 4.14). Specimens from Swartkrans Lower Bank were VC<sub>4</sub> consumers (range -1.1‰ to 0.2‰). The values from these deposits were more negative compared to those of modern wildebeest.

From other deposits, three specimens from Sterkfontein Member 4 and three of the four specimens from Sterkfontein Member 5 (Table 4.15) had similar mean values (-2.5‰ and 0.2‰, respectively) compared to Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1–3 specimens. One specimen from Sterkfontein Member 5 had an  $OC_4$  diet (2.7‰). This was inconsistent with the data from other deposits.

ANOVA was performed to compare specimens from Cooper's D, Swartkrans Hanging Remnant, Swartkrans Lower Bank, Swartkrans Member 3, Sterkfontein Member 5 and modern bovids. There were significant differences (ANOVA, F = 70.1, P = 0.000). Pairwise comparisons indicate dietary differences between fossil and modern *Connochaetes* (Table 4.16). There were also significant differences between Cooper's D and Sterkfontein Member 5 (Table 4.16). This is due to the one outlier for Sterkfontein.

From 2.6 Ma (Sterkfontein M4) to 1.0 Ma (Swartkrans Member 3), *Connochaetes* sp. consumed a significant amount of  $C_3$  plants, indicating at least in South Africa that fossil *Connochaetes* were not dedicated  $C_4$  specialists.



**Figure 4.12.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil *Connochaetes* by locality.

# Damaliscus sp. (Dsp)

This species was sampled from Cooper's D, and Swartkrans Hanging Remnant and Member 2 (Table 4.11, Figure 4.13). *Damaliscus* sp. from Cooper's D,  $-1.4 \pm$ 1.2‰ (range -3.6‰ to -0.2‰) and Swartkrans Hanging Remnant,  $-1.5 \pm 1.3\%$ (range -2.5% to -0.6%) are more  $^{13}$ C-depleted compared to modern forms. Six specimens from Swartkrans Member 2 yield more positive values compared to other deposits,  $0.3 \pm 1.5\%$  and (range -1.6% to 2.0%). Five specimens sampled from Swartkrans Member 2 were analyzed by Lee-Thorp et al. (2007). The isotopic values ranged from -0.7‰ to 2.2‰ (Table 4.14). These values are consistent with the values from Swartkrans Member 2 presented here. When specimens from Cooper's D, Swartkrans Member 2 and modern specimens were statistically analyzed, there were significant dietary differences (ANOVA, F =75.3, P = 0.000). Pairwise comparisons indicated that fossil specimens exhibited differences in dietary behaviour from modern specimens (Table 4.16). They also showed significant differences between Cooper's D and Swartkrans Member 2 (Table 4.16). The dietary differences observed between the two deposits may be a reflection of the two different species (e.g., D. niro and possibly D. pygargus) selecting slightly different proportions of C<sub>3</sub>. The only other fossil site with Damaliscus sp. present is Sterkfontein Member 5 (Luyt 2001; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007). Three specimens from this deposit had a mixed  $C_3-C_4$  diet (-2.7‰) (Table 4.15).



**Figure 4.13.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of *Damaliscus* by locality.

### Megalotragus sp. (Mesp)

This species was sampled from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1 – 3 (Table 4.11, Figure 4.14). Diets ranged from mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> (-4.2‰) to OC<sub>4</sub> (0.4‰). Similar to other fossil alcelaphines, *Megalotragus* sp. values from Cooper's D (range -1.9‰ to -0.1‰), Swartkrans Hanging Remnant (range -0.2‰ to 0.4‰), Swartkrans Member 2 (range -4.2‰ to -1.5‰), and Member 3 (range -1.6‰ to -0.8‰) were <sup>13</sup>C-depleted compared to modern alcelaphines. Swartkrans Member 2 specimens had consistently more negative values compared to specimens from other deposits. One *Megalotragus* sp. sampled from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant by Lee-Thorp et al. (1994) had a positive  $\delta^{13}$ C value of 2.2‰ (Table 4.12). This value was higher than the rest of the samples obtained in the present study. *Megalotragus* sp. were flexible feeders.



**Figure 4.14.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of *Megalotragus* sp. by locality.

### Rabaticeras porrocornutus (Rp)

This species has only been recorded in Swartkrans Hanging Remnant (Watson 1993). Four specimens were analysed with an average of  $-1.9 \pm 2.2\%$ , ranging from mixed feeder (-3.9‰) to variable grazer (0.2‰).

### 4.6.2 Antilopini

### Antidorcas marsupialis (Am)

Fossil springbok were sampled from Cooper's D, Swartkrans Members 2 and 3 (Table 4.11, Figure 4.15). As with modern springbok, the  $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil specimens indicate a flexible diet, specimens ranged from OC<sub>3</sub> (-11.6‰) to mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> (-5.6‰). In the current study, one specimen was sampled from Swartkrans Members 2 and one specimen from Member 3. Both had the same  $\delta^{13}$ C value of - 11.6‰. Two specimens from Swartkrans Member 2 in the Lee-Thorp et al. (2007)

study ranged from -11.5‰ to -10.6‰ (Table 4.14). Specimens from Cooper's D ranged from OC<sub>3</sub> (-11.5‰) to mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> (-5.6‰) diets. Compared to Swartkrans, on average, the specimens from Cooper's D were more <sup>13</sup>C-enriched.

### Antidorcas recki (Ar)

Four out of five specimens of *A. recki* from Cooper's D had mixed  $C_3-C_4$  diets, ranging from -10.5‰ to -4.7‰, with a mean of -7.4 ± 2.2‰ (Table 4.11, Figure 4.15). One specimen was sampled from Swartkrans Member 2 by Lee-Thorp et al. (1994) was an OC<sub>3</sub> consumer (-12.9‰) (Table 4.12). Most specimens from Sterkfontein Member 4 (-11.3 ± 3.3‰) and Member 5 (-11.7 ± 1.4‰) had similar means to Swartkrans Member 2, except for one specimen from Sterkfontein Member 4 that had a mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> diet (-4.5‰)(Table 4.12).

Antidorcas recki from Cooper's D and Sterkfontein Member 4 were statistically compared with A. marsupialis from Cooper's D and modern specimens. There were significant dietary differences (ANOVA, F = 4, P = 0.000). Pairwise comparisons indicate significant dietary differences between A. *recki* from Cooper's D and Sterkfontein Member 4 (Table 4.16). The range for A. *recki* and A. marsupialis from Cooper's D was within the modern A. marsupialis range.

### Antidorcas bondi (Ab)

One specimen of *A. bondi* from Swartkrans Member 2 was a mixed  $C_3-C_4$  feeder (-2.8‰) (Table 4.11). This is within the range for the eight specimens sampled (-4.5‰ to -1.7‰) from the same deposit by Lee-Thorp et al. (2000) (Table 4.14).

Antidorcas bondi were more <sup>13</sup>C-enriched compared to A. marsupialis and A. recki (Figure 4.15).

# Gazella sp. (Gsp)

One specimen from Swartkrans Member 2 was available for isotopic analysis and had a mixed  $C_3-C_4$  diet (-7.6‰) (Table 4.11, Figure 4.15).



**Figure 4.15.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil Antilopini by locality.

### 4.6.3 Bovini

### Syncerus sp. (Ssp)

This species was sampled from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Member 1 (Table 4.11, Figure 4.16). One specimen from Cooper's D had a VC<sub>3</sub> diet (-10.7‰), and the two specimens from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant had a mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> diet (range, -7.6‰ and -6.4‰). Though only three individuals were sampled, all had negative  $\delta^{13}$ C values compared to their modern 'savanna' counterparts. The  $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil buffaloes appear similar to their modern counterparts from the forested or highland areas of eastern Africa (see Section 4.5.3).



**Figure 4.16.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of *Syncerus* by locality.
### 4.6.4 Hippotragini

## *Hippotragus* sp. (Hsp)

This species was sampled from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Member 3 (Table 4.11, Figure 4.17). Data from Cooper's D yielded a mean of  $-3.4 \pm 2.4\%$  (range - 5.4‰ to -0.7‰) and from Swartkrans Member 3, a mean of  $-3.4 \pm 1.9\%$  (range - 4.7‰ to -2.1‰). Specimens from these two fossil deposits indicate some C<sub>3</sub> plant selection. In contrast to the modern forms that have an OC<sub>4</sub> diet, fossil *Hippotragus* sp. had a flexible diet.



**Figure 4.17.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil *Hippotragus* by locality.

### 4.6.5 Neotragini

## Oreotragus oreotragus (Oor)

The fossil klipspringer from Swartkrans Member 2 had a  $\delta^{13}$ C value of -11.7‰ (Table 4.11, Figure 4.18). Two specimens from Makapansgat Member 3 had a value of -11.6‰ (Table 4.12). The fossil klipspringer had similar values to modern forms.

## Ourebia ourebi (Oou)

One specimen sampled from Swartkrans Member 2 indicates a mixed  $C_3$ – $C_4$  diet (-4.2‰) and was more negative than modern oribi (Table 4.11, Figure 4.18).



**Figure 4.18.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil *O. oreotragus* and *O. ourebi* by locality.

### Raphicerus campestris (Rc)

One specimen from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Member 3 had a mixed  $C_3-C_4$ diet (5.9‰ and -8.0‰, respectively; Table 4.11 and Figure 4.19). Four specimens from Swartkrans Member 2 ranged from OC<sub>3</sub> (-11.4‰) to mixed  $C_3-C_4$  (-7.1‰). There were no significant dietary differences between modern and fossil specimens from Swartkrans Member 2 (ANOVA, F = 1.2, P>0.05; Table 4.16). On average, the modern springbok is a C<sub>3</sub> feeder. The fossil steenbok from Cooper's D had more positive  $\delta^{13}C$  values compared to the modern form suggesting a more sustained and important reliance on C<sub>4</sub> vegetation.



**Figure 4.19.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil *R. campestris* by locality.

### 4.6.6 Ovibovini

### Makapania sp. (Masp)

Four specimens were sampled only from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant (Table 4.11). These specimens had flexible diets, ranging from -5.1‰ to -2.0‰. Several specimens of *Makapania broomi* from Makapansgat Member 3 and Sterkfontein Member 4 were examined (Table 4.12). The specimens from Sterkfontein Member 4 had values that ranged from VC<sub>3</sub> (-10.3‰) to mixed (-3.2‰). Four specimens from Makapansgat Member 3 had similar values to Swartkrans Hanging Remnant, ranging from -5.3‰ to -1.0‰. ANOVA was used to determine if there were significant differences between fossil deposits. There were dietary differences (F = 5.5, P = 0.024; Table 4.16). Pairwise comparisons indicate differences between Sterkfontein Member 4 and Makapansgat Member 3 and Swartkrans Hanging Remnant (Table 4.16).

### 4.6.7 Peleini

### Pelea capreolus (Pc)

The mean  $\delta^{13}$ C value for Cooper's D, (-9.3 ± 1.7‰), Swartkrans Member 1, (-10.0 ± 2.9) and Swartkrans Member 2, (-10.1 ±.8) indicate an average VC<sub>3</sub> diet (Table 4.11, Figure 4.20). The fossil rhebok diet ranged from OC<sub>3</sub> (-12.0‰) to mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> (-7.9‰). Modern specimens had more positive  $\delta^{13}$ C values than fossil forms. To examine if there were any significant dietary difference between modern and fossil *P. capreolus*, an Independent t-test was performed with no significant dietary differences observed (t = -2.2, P > 0.05; Table 4.16).



**Figure 4.20.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of *P. capreolus* by locality.

# 4.6.8 Reduncini

# Redunca fulvorufula (Rf)

Only one specimen was sampled from Cooper's D and had an  $OC_4$  diet (2.5‰) similar to its modern counterpart (Table 4.11, Figure 4.21).



**Figure 4.21.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil *R. fulvorufula* by locality.

### 4.6.9 Tragelaphini

### Tragelaphus oryx (To)

Two specimens were sampled from Swartkrans Member 2 and had values of - 10‰ and -9‰ with an average  $-9.5 \pm 0.7\%$  (Table 4.11, Figure 4.22).



**Figure 4.22.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil *T. oryx* by locality.

### Tragelaphus strepsiceros (Tst)

Fossil kudu were sampled from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Hanging Remnant (Table 4.11, Figure 4.23). Specimens from Cooper's D had  $\delta^{13}$ C values of -9.2 ± 2.5‰ (range -10.9‰ to -6.3‰). The Swartkrans Hanging Remnant specimen was within the range for Cooper's D (-10.1‰). Nine specimens from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant were sampled by previous studies (Table 4.12). The  $\delta^{13}$ C values for these specimens ( $\bar{x} = -10.4 \pm 1.2\%$ , range -12.4 to -8.2) were slightly more negative than Cooper's D (Table 4.13). Four kudu specimens from

Sterkfontein Member 4 were variable and ranged from -10.0‰ to -8.1‰ with a mean of -8.8  $\pm$  0.8‰ (Table 4.15). Fossil kudu from Sterkfontein Member 4 and Swartkrans Hanging Remnant were statistically compared to moderns and significant differences were found (ANOVA, F = 5.3, P = 0.006; Table 4.16). Pairwise comparisons support dietary differences between Swartkrans Hanging Remnant and Sterkfontein Member 4 (Table 4.16).



**Figure 4.23.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values of modern and fossil *T. strepsiceros* by locality.

# *Tragelaphus* sp. (Tsp)

The two specimens from Cooper's D had a mixed  $C_3-C_4$  diet (-3.1‰) and a specimen from Swartkrans Member 2 had a  $\delta^{13}$ C value of -1.4‰ (Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe 1993). These specimens are more reliant on  $C_4$  resources compared to fossil specimens of *T. oryx* and *T. strepsiceros*. Both, sitatunga (*T. spekei*) and nyala (*T. angasii*) specimens range from  $C_3$  to  $C_4$  feeders (Sponheimer

et al. 2003; Codron 2003) and the specimens from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Member 2 may represent either of these species. However, classification to species level is not permissible at this point and requires more material for appropriate identification.

| Tribe AlcelaphiniCooper's D-4.8Mixed $C_3-C_4$ CD 7414Connochaetes sp.Cooper's D-3.4Mixed $C_3-C_4$ CD 1896Cannachaetes sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed $C_3-C_4$ CD 6181Connachaetes sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed $C_3-C_4$ CD 6181Connachaetes sp.Cooper's D-1.2V $C_4$ CD 702Cannachaetes sp.Cooper's D-0.4V $C_4$ CD 3702Cannachaetes sp.Sooper's D-0.2V $C_4$ K 2703Connachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.7Mixed $C_3-C_6$ SK 2482Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.0Mixed $C_3-C_6$ SK 2482Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.8V $C_4$ SK 2482Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.8V $C_4$ SK 2586Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.8V $C_4$ SK 3830Connachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HB-0.7V $C_4$ SKX 5843Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HB-0.7V $C_4$ SKX 2842Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HB-0.5V $C_4$ SKX 2843Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HB-0.2V $C_4$ SKX 2843Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HB-0.7V $C_4$ SKX 2843Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HB-0.5V $C_4$ SKX 2843Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HB-0.5V $C_4$ SKX 2829Cannachaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HB-0.6   | Specimen            | Таха             | Deposit          | δ <sup>13</sup> C | Diet                                 |
|---|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| $ \begin{array}{ccccc} CD 7452 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -4.8 & Mixed C_{3}-C_{4} \\ CD 7414 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -3.4 & Mixed C_{3}-C_{4} \\ CD 7402 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -1.9 & Mixed C_{3}-C_{4} \\ CD 244 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -1.2 & VC_{4} \\ CD 244 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.4 & VC_{4} \\ CD 3702 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.2 & VC_{4} \\ CD 3702 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SX 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Sx 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -2.7 & Mixed C_{3}-C_{6} \\ SK 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -1.8 & VC_{4} \\ SK 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.8 & VC_{4} \\ SK 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.8 & VC_{4} \\ SK 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & 0.8 & VC_{4} \\ SK 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & 0.8 & VC_{4} \\ SK 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & 0.8 & VC_{4} \\ SK 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & 0.7 & VC_{4} \\ SKX 5843 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HB & 0.7 & VC_{4} \\ SKX 5843 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & 0.7 & VC_{4} \\ SKX 2829 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & 0.2 & VC_{4} \\ SKX 2829 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & 0.2 & VC_{4} \\ SKX 2829 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -0.2 & Mixed C_{3}-C_{4} \\ SKX 29353 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -2.2 & Mixed C_{3}-C_{4} \\ SKX 37639 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -2.2 & Mixed C_{3}-C_{4} \\ SKX 37639 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -2.2 & Mixed C_{3}-C_{4} \\ SKX 37639 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -1.8 & VC_{4} \\ CD 247 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -2.1 & Mixed C_{3}-C_{4} \\ CD 8405 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_{4} \\ CD 249 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.5 & VC_{4} \\ CD 5405 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.5 & VC_{4} \\ CD 5405 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.5 & VC_{4} \\ CD 1926 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & VC_{4} \\ SK 3135 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & VC_{4} \\ SK 3135 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & V$                                 | Tribe Alcelaphir    | ni               |                  |                   |                                      |
| $ \begin{array}{ccccc} CD 7414 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -3.4 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 1896 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -2.7 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 6181 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -1.2 & VC_4 \\ CD 3702 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.4 & VC_4 \\ CD 3702 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.2 & VC_4 \\ SX 2703 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -2.7 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SX 2284 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -2.0 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SX 2284 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -2.0 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SX 2284 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ SX 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & 0.4 & VC_4 \\ SX 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & 0.4 & VC_4 \\ SX 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HB & 0.7 & VC_4 \\ SX 3530 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HB & 0.7 & VC_4 \\ SX 3530 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 LB & 0.7 & VC_4 \\ SXX 3530 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 LB & 0.5 & VC_4 \\ SXX 2829 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 LB & 0.5 & VC_4 \\ SXX 2829 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & 3.0 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SXX 29279 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & 2.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SXX 2050 & Connachaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & 2.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SXX 37187a & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & 2.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SXX 37187a & Connachaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -1.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 297 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -3.6 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 4153 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -2.3 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 4153 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.5 & VC_4 \\ CD 411 & Megalotragus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.5 & VC_4 \\ SK 3323 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & VC_4 \\ SK 3325 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & VC_4 \\ SK 3325 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & VC_4 \\ SK 3433 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & VC_4 \\ SK 3433 &$                              | CD 7452             | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       | -4.8              | Mixed $C_3$ - $C_4$                  |
|   | CD 7414             | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       | -3.4              | Mixed $C_3 - C_4$                    |
| $ \begin{array}{ccccc} CD 7402 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -1.9 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 6181 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.2 & VC_4 \\ CD 244 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.2 & VC_4 \\ SK 2703 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -2.7 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -2.0 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -1.8 & VC_4 \\ SK 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -1.8 & VC_4 \\ SK 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ SK 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.4 & VC_4 \\ SK 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & -0.1 & VC_4 \\ SK 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & -0.7 & VC_4 \\ SK 2584 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & -0.7 & VC_4 \\ SK 2583 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & -0.2 & VC_4 \\ SK 2583 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & 0.2 & VC_4 \\ SK 2583 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & 0.2 & VC_4 \\ SK 2583 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 B & 0.2 & VC_4 \\ SK 2050 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -3.0 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 2050 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -3.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 2050 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -3.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 239325 & Connochaetes sp. \\ Swartkrans M3 & -1.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 297 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -3.6 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 5405 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -3.6 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 5405 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.5 & VC_4 \\ CD 219 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D & -0.5 & VC_4 \\ CD 313 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & VC_4 \\ SK 3123 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & VC_4 \\ SK 3123 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.6 & VC_4 \\ SK 3135 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Swartkrans M1 HR & -0.7$                             | CD 1896             | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       | -2.7              | Mixed $C_3 - C_4$                    |
| CD 6181         Connochaetes sp.         Cooper's D         -1.2         VC4           CD 244         Connochaetes sp.         Cooper's D         -0.4         VC4           CD 3702         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -2.7         Mixed C3-C4           SK 2703         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -2.0         Mixed C3-C4           SK 2482         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -0.8         VC4           SK 2422         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -0.8         VC4           SK 2422         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -1.1         VC4           SK 2586         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.7         VC4           SKX 5843         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.7         VC4           SKX 2829         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -0.0         VC4           SKX 2829         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.1         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 2829         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.2         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 37879         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -0.5         VC4   | CD 7402             | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       | -1.9              | Mixed $C_3 - C_4$                    |
| CD 244         Connochaetes sp.         Cooper's D         -0.4         VC4           CD 3702         Connochaetes sp.         Cooper's D         -0.2         VC4           SK 2703         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -2.0         Mixed C3-C4           SK 2482         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -1.8         VC4           SK 2482         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -0.8         VC4           SK 2586         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -0.8         VC4           SK 2586         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HB         -0.1         VC4           SKX 3843         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HB         -0.7         VC4           SKX 18821         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.5         VC4           SKX 2829         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.1         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 2829         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.2         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 37639         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.2         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 374539         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -1.8         VC4   | CD 6181             | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       | -1.2              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| $ \begin{array}{ccccc} CD 3702 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SK 2703 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SK 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SK artkrans M1 HR \\ -2.7 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 HR \\ -2.8 & Wixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 2482 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 HR \\ -1.8 & VC_4 \\ SK 2422 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 HR \\ -0.8 & VC_4 \\ SK 2586 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 LB \\ -0.7 & VC_4 \\ SK 2580 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 LB \\ -0.7 & VC_4 \\ SK 2584 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 LB \\ -0.7 & VC_4 \\ SK 2584 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 LB \\ -0.7 & VC_4 \\ SK 2584 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 LB \\ -0.7 & VC_4 \\ SK 2582 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 LB \\ -0.7 & VC_4 \\ SK 2582 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 LB \\ -0.7 & VC_4 \\ SK 2529 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M3 \\ -2.1 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 25279 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M3 \\ -2.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 20050 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M3 \\ -2.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 20050 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M3 \\ -2.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SK 237639 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M3 \\ -2.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SKX 37639 & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M3 \\ -2.2 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ SKX 37187a & Connochaetes sp. \\ SWartkrans M3 \\ -2.3 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 297 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D \\ -2.3 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 5405 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D \\ -2.3 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 5405 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D \\ -2.3 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 1928 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D \\ -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 6202 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D \\ -0.8 & VC_4 \\ CD 6190 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D \\ -0.5 & VC_4 \\ CD 1926 & Damaliscus sp. \\ Cooper's D \\ -0.5 & VC_4 \\ CD 1926 & Damaliscus sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 HR \\ -2.5 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 1926 & Damaliscus sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 HR \\ -2.5 & Mixed C_3-C_4 \\ CD 1926 & Damaliscus sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 HR \\ -2.6 & VC_4 \\ SK 3123 & Damaliscus sp. \\ SWartkrans M1 HR \\ -2.6 & VC_4 \\ SK 3123 & Damaliscus sp. \\ SWartkrans M2 \\ -1.1 & VC_4 \\ SK 3135 & Damaliscus sp. \\ SWartkrans M3 \\ -2.2 & VC_4 \\ SK 3259 & Dam$ | CD 244              | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       | -0.4              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| Sk 2703Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.7Mixed $C_3-C_4$ Sk 2482Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.0Mixed $C_3-C_4$ Sk 2484Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-1.8VC_4Sk 2422Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.8VC_4Sk 2586Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC_4Sk 3830Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB-1.1VC_4Sk 3843Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB-0.5VC_4Sk 3843Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB0.2VC_4Sk 29279Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M2-2.1Mixed $C_3-C_4$ Sk 29279Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-3.0Mixed $C_3-C_4$ Sk 29279Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-2.2Mixed $C_3-C_4$ Sk 29325Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-2.2Mixed $C_3-C_4$ Sk 29325Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-0.5VC_4CD 297Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-3.6Mixed $C_3-C_4$ CD 4050Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.3Mixed $C_3-C_4$ CD 5405Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.3Mixed $C_3-C_4$ CD 1928Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC_4CD 219Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC_4CD 219Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC_4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6<  | CD 3702             | Connochaetes sp. | Cooper's D       | -0.2              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 2482         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -2.0         Mixed C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SK 2284         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         1.8         VC <sub>4</sub> SK 2422         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -0.8         VC <sub>4</sub> SK 2586         Cannochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -0.8         VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3586         Cannochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -1.1         VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3583         Cannochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.7         VC <sub>4</sub> SKX 3583         Cannochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.7         VC <sub>4</sub> SKX 2829         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.7         VC <sub>4</sub> SKX 2829         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -3.0         Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 2050         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.2         Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 37639         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.2         Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 37187a         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -0.5         VC <sub>4</sub> CD 297         Damaliscus sp.         Cooper's D         -2.3         <   | SK 2703             | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR | -2.7              | Mixed $C_3$ -C <sub>4</sub>          |
| SK 2284         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -1.8         VC4           SK 2422         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -0.8         VC4           SK 2586         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -0.4         VC4           SK 2586         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -1.1         VC4           SKX 13821         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.7         VC4           SKX 39353         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.5         VC4           SKX 39353         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M2         -2.1         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 20050         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -3.0         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 37639         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.2         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 37639         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -1.8         VC4           SKX 37874         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -1.8         VC4           SKX 37874         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -0.5         VC4           CD 297         Damaliscus sp.         Cooper's D         -2.1         Mixed C3-C4   | SK 2482             | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR | -2.0              | Mixed $C_3 - C_4$                    |
| SK 2422         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         -0.8         VC4           SK 2586         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         0.4         VC4           SKX 5830         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -1.1         VC4           SKX 5843         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.7         VC4           SKX 5843         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         0.2         VC4           SKX 2829         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -0.0         Mixed C2-C4           SKX 29279         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.1         Mixed C2-C4           SKX 29270         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.2         Mixed C2-C4           SKX 2050         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.2         Mixed C2-C4           SKX 37639         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -1.8         VC4           SKX 37187a         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -1.8         VC4           CD 297         Damaliscus sp.         Cooper's D         -2.3         Mixed C3-C4           CD 5405         Damaliscus sp.         Cooper's D         -0.8         VC4   | SK 2284             | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR | -1.8              | VC₄                                  |
| SK 2586         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 HR         0.4         VC4           SKX 8530         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -1.1         VC4           SKX 13821         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.7         VC4           SKX 35843         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.7         VC4           SKX 35843         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M1 LB         -0.2         VC4           SKX 29279         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -3.0         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 2050         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -2.2         Mixed C3-C4           SKX 37639         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -1.8         VC4           SKX 37187a         Connochaetes sp.         Swartkrans M3         -1.8         VC4           CD 297         Damaliscus sp.         Cooper's D         -3.6         Mixed C3-C4           CD 5405         Damaliscus sp.         Cooper's D         -2.3         Mixed C3-C4           CD 2192         Damaliscus sp.         Cooper's D         -0.8         VC4           CD 2192         Damaliscus sp.         Cooper's D         -0.8         VC4           CD 2192   | SK 2422             | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR | -0.8              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SKX 8530Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB1.1 $VC_4$ SKX 13821Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB-0.7 $VC_4$ SKX 5843Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB-0.5 $VC_4$ SKX 8353aConnochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB0.2 $VC_4$ SKX 2829Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M2-2.1Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 29279Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-3.0Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 20050Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-2.2Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 37639Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-2.2Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 37639Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-1.8 $VC_4$ SKX 37187aConnochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-0.5 $VC_4$ CD 297Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-3.6Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 5405Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.3Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 1928Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8 $VC_4$ CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8 $VC_4$ CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2 $VC_4$ SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6 $VC_4$ SK 1323Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6 $VC_4$ SK 1323Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1 $VC_4$ SK 1323Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6 $VC_4$ SK 1320Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6  | SK 2586             | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR | 0.4               | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SKX 13821Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB $-0.7$ VC4SKX 5843Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB $-0.5$ VC4SKX 9353aConnochaetes sp.Swartkrans M1 LB $0.2$ VC4SKX 2829Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M2 $-2.1$ Mixed C3-C4SKX 2050Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3 $-3.0$ Mixed C3-C4SKX 20050Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3 $-2.2$ Mixed C3-C4SKX 20050Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3 $-2.2$ Mixed C3-C4SKX 20050Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3 $-2.2$ Mixed C3-C4SKX 20152Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3 $-1.8$ VC4SKX 37187aConochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3 $-0.5$ VC4CD 297Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D $-3.6$ Mixed C3-C4CD 5405Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D $-2.3$ Mixed C3-C4CD 1928Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D $-0.8$ VC4CD 219Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D $-0.6$ VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D $-0.5$ VC4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR $-0.6$ VC4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR $-0.6$ VC4SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2 $-1.1$ VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2 $-1.6$ VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2 $-1.1$ VC4 <t< td=""><td>SKX 8530</td><td>Connochaetes sp.</td><td>Swartkrans M1 LB</td><td>-1.1</td><td>VC<sub>4</sub></td></t<>  | SKX 8530            | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 LB | -1.1              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SKX 5843       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M1 LB       -0.5       VC4         SKX 9353a       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M1 LB       0.2       VC4         SKX 2829       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M2       -2.1       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 2829       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -3.0       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 20050       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 37639       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 37187a       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -1.8       VC4         SKX 37187a       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -0.5       VC4         CD 297       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -3.6       Mixed C3-C4         CD 5405       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.3       Mixed C3-C4         CD 5405       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC4         CD 2192       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC4         CD 219       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.6       VC4         CD 8482       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.5       VC4   | SKX 13821           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 LB | -0.7              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SKX 9353a       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M1 LB       0.2       VC4         SKX 2829       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -3.0       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 29279       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -3.0       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 20050       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 37639       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 37639       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 37639       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -1.8       VC4         SKX 37187a       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -0.5       VC4         CD 297       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -3.6       Mixed C3-C4         CD 5405       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.1       Mixed C3-C4         CD 6202       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC4         CD 1926       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.6       VC4         CD 8182       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M1 HR       -2.5       Mixed C3-C4         SK 3135       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M2       -1.1       VC4 </td <td>SKX 5843</td> <td>Connochaetes sp.</td> <td>Swartkrans M1 LB</td> <td>-0.5</td> <td>VC4</td>   | SKX 5843            | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 LB | -0.5              | VC4                                  |
| SKX 2829       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M2       -2.1       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 29279       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -3.0       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 20050       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 20050       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 29325       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C3-C4         SKX 37187a       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -0.5       VC4         CD 297       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -3.6       Mixed C3-C4         CD 8153       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.3       Mixed C3-C4         CD 5405       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.1       Mixed C3-C4         CD 6202       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC4         CD 1926       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.6       VC4         CD 8182       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.5       VC4         CD 8182       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M1 HR       -2.5       Mixed C3-C4         SK 3135       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M2       -1.6       VC4   | SKX 9353a           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M1 LB | 0.2               | VC4                                  |
| SKX 29279       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -3.0       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 20050       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 29325       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 29325       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -1.8       VC <sub>4</sub> SKX 37187a       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -0.5       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 297       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -3.6       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 4153       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.3       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 5405       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.1       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 1928       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 219       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1926       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.6       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1926       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M1 HR       -0.6       VC <sub>4</sub> SK 11377       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M2       -1.6       VC <sub>4</sub> SK 1123       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M2       -1.6       VC   | SKX 2829            | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M2    | -2.1              | Mixed C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SKX 20050       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 37639       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 29325       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -1.8       VC <sub>4</sub> SKX 37187a       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -0.5       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 297       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -3.6       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 8153       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.3       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 5405       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.1       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 5405       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1928       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 219       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.6       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1926       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.2       VC <sub>4</sub> CB 8182       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M1 HR       -2.5       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SK 1123       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M2       -1.6       VC <sub>4</sub> SK 1123       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M2       1.3       VC <sub>4</sub>   | SKX 29279           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M3    | -3.0              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SKX 37639       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -2.2       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SKX 37639       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -1.8       VC <sub>4</sub> SKX 37187a       Connochaetes sp.       Swartkrans M3       -0.5       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 297       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -3.6       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 8153       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.3       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 5405       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -2.1       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 6202       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1926       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.8       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 8182       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.6       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 8182       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.5       VC <sub>4</sub> CD 8182       Damaliscus sp.       Cooper's D       -0.2       VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3135       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M1 HR       -2.5       Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SK 11777       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M2       -1.1       VC <sub>4</sub> SK 1120       Damaliscus sp.       Swartkrans M2       -1.1       VC <sub>4</sub>  | SKX 20050           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M3    | -2.2              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SXX 29325Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-1.8VC4SXX 29325Connochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-0.5VC4CD 297Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-3.6Mixed C3-C4CD 8153Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.3Mixed C3-C4CD 5405Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.1Mixed C3-C4CD 1928Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC4CD 6202Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CB 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C3-C4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 1123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 52411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.4VC4 <td>SKX 37639</td> <td>Connochaetes sp.</td> <td>Swartkrans M3</td> <td>-2.2</td> <td>Mixed C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub></td>   | SKX 37639           | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M3    | -2.2              | Mixed C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SKX 37187aConnochaetes sp.Swartkrans M3-0.5VC4CD 297Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-3.6Mixed C3-C4CD 8153Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.3Mixed C3-C4CD 5405Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.1Mixed C3-C4CD 1928Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC4CD 6202Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C3-C4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 52411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2V  | SKX 29325           | Connochaetes sp  | Swartkrans M3    | -1.8              | VC4                                  |
| CD 297Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-3.6Mixed C3-C4CD 8153Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.3Mixed C3-C4CD 5405Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.1Mixed C3-C4CD 1928Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC4CD 6202Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC4CD 219Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC4SK 3832Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C3-C4SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC4SK 1123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4 <t< td=""><td>SKX 37187a</td><td>Connochaetes sp.</td><td>Swartkrans M3</td><td>-0.5</td><td>VC4</td></t<>   | SKX 37187a          | Connochaetes sp. | Swartkrans M3    | -0.5              | VC4                                  |
| CD 8153Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.3Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 8153Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.1Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 5405Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1928Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC <sub>4</sub> CD 6202Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC <sub>4</sub> CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3832Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC <sub>4</sub> CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC <sub>4</sub> SK 43031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.4VC <sub>4</sub> SK 5020Megalotragus sp.Swart   | CD 297              | Damaliscus sp    | Cooper's D       | -3.6              | Mixed C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| ColorDamaliscus sp.Cooper's D-2.1Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 5405Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1928Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC <sub>4</sub> CD 6202Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC <sub>4</sub> CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC <sub>4</sub> CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3832Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> Ch 190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC <sub>4</sub> SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC <sub>4</sub> Ch 247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC <sub>4</sub> Ch 247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4 <td>CD 8153</td> <td>Damaliscus sp.</td> <td>Cooper's D</td> <td>-23</td> <td>Mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub></td>  | CD 8153             | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       | -23               | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 1928Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC4CD 6202Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC4CD 219Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC4SK 3832Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4Ch 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4Ch 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.4VC4SK 3021Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.1VC4SK 3021Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3021Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 3021Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 3021Meg   | CD 5405             | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       | -2.5              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 6202Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.8VC4CD 6202Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC4CD 219Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC4SK 3832Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C3-C4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 1520Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SWartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4SWartkrans M1 HR-0.4VC4   | CD 1928             | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       | -0.8              |                                      |
| CD 219Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.6VC4CD 219Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC4SK 3832Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C3-C4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 1520Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4   | CD 6202             | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       | -0.8              | VC4                                  |
| CD 213Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 1926Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC4CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C3-C4SK 3832Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M22.0VC4SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4  | CD 219              | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       | -0.6              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| CD 8182Damaliscus sp.Cooper's D-0.2VC4SK 3832Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C3-C4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 1123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 1520Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M22.0VC4SKX 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4   | CD 1926             | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       | -0.5              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 3832Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-2.5Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 1520Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC <sub>4</sub> SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC <sub>4</sub> SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC <sub>4</sub> SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC <sub>4</sub> SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.4VC <sub>4</sub> SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC <sub>4</sub>   | CD 8182             | Damaliscus sp.   | Cooper's D       | -0.2              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 3032Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR2.5Mixed C3 C4SK 3135Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.6VC4SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 1520Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M22.0VC4SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.4VC4  | SK 3832             | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M1 HR | -2.5              | Mixed Ca-Ca                          |
| SK 5155Dumunscus sp.Swartkrans M1 mkF0.0VC4SK 11777Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.6VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 1520Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.4VC4  | SK 3135             | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M1 HR | -0.6              |                                      |
| SK 11777Dumunscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.0VC4SK 3123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 1520Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M22.0VC4SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4  | SK 11777            | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | -0.0              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-1.1VC4SK 1520Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2-0.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M22.0VC4SK 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4  | SK 3173             | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | -1.0              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 1320Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M2O.1VC4SK 5123Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M22.0VC4SKX 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4   | SK 1520             | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | -0.1              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 3123Dumuniscus sp.Swartkrans M21.3VC4SK 11390Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M21.6VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M22.0VC4SKX 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4SK 0510Magalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4  | SK 5123             | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | 13                | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 11350Dumunscus sp.Swartkrans M21.0VC4SK 7335Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M22.0VC4SKX 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4  | SK 11200            | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | 1.5               | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 7333Dumunscus sp.Swartkrans M22.0VC4SKX 32639Damaliscus sp.Swartkrans M3-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4   | SK 11390            | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | 2.0               |                                      |
| SKX 32039Dumunscus sp.Swartkrans MS-7.2Mixed C3-C4CD 6190Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4  | 212 2222            | Damaliscus sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | 2.0               |                                      |
| CD 0130Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-1.9Mixed C3-C4CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4  | SKA 32039           | Magalotragus sp  | Swartkians wis   | -7.2              | Mixed $C_3 - C_4$                    |
| CD 1247Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.5VC4CD 5411Megalotragus sp.Cooper's D-0.1VC4SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4  | CD 0150             | Megalotragus sp. | Cooper's D       | -1.9              |                                      |
| SK 3031Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR-0.2VC4SK 2245Megalotragus sp.Swartkrans M1 HR0.4VC4   |                     | Magalotragus sp. | Cooper's D       | -0.5              |                                      |
| SK 2245 Megalotragus sp. Swartkrans M1 HR 0.4 VC <sub>4</sub>   | CU 3411<br>CK 2021  | Megalotragus sp. | Swartkrang M1 UD | -0.1<br>0.2       |                                      |
| $SK 2243$ iviegulotingus sp. $SW dI LKI dI IS IVI TIK U.4$ $VL_4$   |                     | Magalotragus sp. | Swartkrans M1 UD | -0.2              |                                      |
|   | 3N 2243<br>SKV 0503 | Magalotragus sp. | Swartkraps M1 LD | U.4<br>E O        |                                      |

**Table 4.11.**  $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil bovid taxa from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1–3.

continued on next page

Table 4.11. continued

| Specimen             | Таха                      | Deposit          | δ <sup>13</sup> C | Diet                                 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Tribe Alcelaphin     | ni                        |                  |                   |                                      |
| SKX 1349             | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M2    | -9.1              | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 1953              | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M2    | -4.2              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 3249              | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | -3.0              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SKX 1243             | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | -1.5              | $VC_4$                               |
| SKX 29602            | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | Swartkrans M3    | -1.6              | $VC_4$                               |
| SKX 27800            | Megalotragus sp.          | Swartkrans M3    | -0.8              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 1961              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | Swartkrans M1 HR | -3.9              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 3002              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | Swartkrans M1 HR | -3.7              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 2985              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | Swartkrans M1 HR | -0.1              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SK 3043              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | Swartkrans M1 HR | 0.2               | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| Tribe Antilopini     |                           |                  |                   | - 4                                  |
| SK 2404              | Antidorcas bondi          | Swartkrans M2    | -2.8              | Mixed C₃-C₄                          |
| SK 9385              | Antidorcas bondi          | Swartkrans M2    | -3.3              | Mixed C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 1273              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       | -11.5             | 00.2                                 |
| CD 6209              | Antidorcas marsunialis    | Cooper's D       | -10.6             |                                      |
| CD 7449              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       | -10.5             |                                      |
| CD 8171              | Antidorcas marsunialis    | Cooper's D       | -9.6              |                                      |
| CD 8161              | Antidorcas marsunialis    | Cooper's D       | -9.6              | VC-                                  |
| CD 3160              | Antidorcas marsunialis    | Cooper's D       | _9.0              |                                      |
| CD 3701              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       | -8.6              |                                      |
|                      | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       | -0.0              | VC3<br>Mixed C C                     |
|                      | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Cooper's D       | -7.0              | Nixed $C_3$ - $C_4$                  |
| CD 3635<br>5007      | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Swartkrans M2    | -5.0              |                                      |
| SK 3037              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | Swartkrans M2    | -11.0             | 0C₃                                  |
| SKA 33839            | Antidorcus marsupians     | Swartkrans wis   | -11.0             |                                      |
| CD 8179              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       | -10.5             |                                      |
| CD 7448              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       | -8.3              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 6165              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       | -7.2              | Mixed $C_3$ - $C_4$                  |
| CD 1886              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       | -6.2              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 8166              | Antidorcas recki          | Cooper's D       | -4.7              | Mixed $C_3$ - $C_4$                  |
| SKX 811 <sup>3</sup> | Antidorcas recki          | Swartkrans M2    | -12.9             | OC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 2972              | Gazella sp.               | Swartkrans M1 HR | -7.6              | Mixed $C_3$ - $C_4$                  |
| Tribe Bovini         |                           |                  |                   |                                      |
| CD 11062             | Syncerus sp.              | Cooper's D       | -10.7             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 3130              | Syncerus sp.              | Swartkrans M1 HR | -7.6              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 3074              | Syncerus sp.              | Swartkrans M1 HR | -6.4              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| Tribe Hippotrag      | ini                       |                  |                   |                                      |
| CD 6179              | <i>Hippotragus</i> sp.    | Cooper's D       | -5.4              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 3119              | <i>Hippotragus</i> sp.    | Cooper's D       | -4.3              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 7456              | Hippotragus sp.           | Cooper's D       | -0.7              | VC <sub>4</sub>                      |
| SKX 34892            | Hippotragus sp.           | Swartkrans M3    | -4.7              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SKX 37042            | <i>Hippotragus</i> sp.    | Swartkrans M3    | -2.1              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| Tribe Neotragin      | i                         |                  |                   |                                      |
| SK 14168             | Ourebia ourebi            | Swartkrans M2    | -4.2              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 1214              | Raphicerus campestris     | Cooper's D       | -5.9              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
|                      |                           |                  |                   |                                      |

| <b>Table 4.11.</b> con | tinued |
|------------------------|--------|
|------------------------|--------|

| Specimen       | Таха                     | Deposit          | δ <sup>13</sup> C | Diet                                 |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| SK 2719        | Raphicerus campestris    | Swartkrans M2    | -10.7             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 5930        | Raphicerus campestris    | Swartkrans M2    | -10.1             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 4287        | Raphicerus campestris    | Swartkrans M2    | -7.1              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SKX 38091      | Raphicerus campestris    | Swartkrans M3    | -8.0              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| Tribe Ovibovii | ni                       |                  |                   |                                      |
| SK 3113        | <i>Makapania</i> sp.     | Swartkrans M1 HR | -5.1              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 2373        | <i>Makapania</i> sp.     | Swartkrans M1 HR | -3.8              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 3150        | <i>Makapania</i> sp.     | Swartkrans M1 HR | -2.7              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 2759        | <i>Makapania</i> sp.     | Swartkrans M1 HR | -2.0              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| Tribe Peleini  |                          |                  |                   |                                      |
| CD 5430        | Pelea capreolous         | Cooper's D       | -10.5             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| CD 15604       | Pelea capreolous         | Cooper's D       | -8.1              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 2273        | Pelea capreolous         | Swartkrans M1 HR | -12.0             | OC₃                                  |
| SK 2682        | Pelea capreolous         | Swartkrans M1 HR | -7.9              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 2990        | Pelea capreolous         | Swartkrans M2    | -10.8             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 6047        | Pelea capreolous         | Swartkrans M2    | -10.4             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 2981        | Pelea capreolous         | Swartkrans M2    | -10.2             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 2246        | Pelea capreolous         | Swartkrans M2    | -8.9              | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| Tribe          |                          |                  |                   |                                      |
| Reduncini      |                          |                  |                   |                                      |
| CD 1220        | Redunca fulvorufula      | Cooper's D       | 2.5               | $OC_4$                               |
| Tribe Tragela  | phini                    |                  |                   |                                      |
| SK 114171      | Tragelaphus oryx         | Swartkrans M2    | -10.0             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SKX 4026       | Tragelaphus oryx         | Swartkrans M2    | -9.0              | C <sub>3</sub>                       |
| CD 255         | <i>Tragelaphus</i> sp.   | Cooper's D       | -4.0              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 7473        | <i>Tragelaphus</i> sp.   | Cooper's D       | -2.2              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| CD 7474        | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Cooper's D       | -10.9             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| CD 309         | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Cooper's D       | -10.4             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| CD 5399        | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Cooper's D       | -6.3              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 3000        | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -10.1             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |

|       |       | a13 a          |        |     |        |       |      |      | ~ .        |         |
|-------|-------|----------------|--------|-----|--------|-------|------|------|------------|---------|
| Table | 4.12. | $\delta^{13}C$ | values | for | fossil | bovid | taxa | from | Swartkrans | Members |

1–3 from other studies.

| Specimen     | Таха                    | Deposit          | δ <sup>13</sup> C | Diet                    |
|--------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Tribe Alcelo | aphini                  |                  |                   |                         |
| SK 2061      | Connochaetes sp.        | Swartkrans M1 HR | 1.0               | $VC_4$                  |
| SK 2110      | Connochaetes sp.        | Swartkrans M1 HR | -3.1              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| SK 2261      | Connochaetes sp.        | Swartkrans M1 HR | -1.2              | $VC_4$                  |
| SK 2354      | Connochaetes sp.        | Swartkrans M1 HR | -1.4              | $VC_4$                  |
| SK 5946      | Connochaetes sp.        | Swartkrans M1 HR | -0.9              | $VC_4$                  |
| SK 2483      | Connochaetes sp.        | Swartkrans M1 HR | -3.7              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| SK 3097      | Connochaetes sp.        | Swartkrans M1 HR | 0.1               | $VC_4$                  |
| SF91         | Connochaetes sp.        | Sterkfontein M5  | -1.4              | $VC_4$                  |
| SF334        | Connochaetes sp.        | Sterkfontein M5  | -1.1              | $VC_4$                  |
| SF92         | Connochaetes sp.        | Sterkfontein M5  | 0.7               | $VC_4$                  |
| SF95         | Connochaetes sp.        | Sterkfontein M5  | 2.7               | $OC_4$                  |
| Sts2200      | Connochaetes sp.        | Sterkfontein M4  | -4.9              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| SF114        | Connochaetes sp.        | Sterkfontein M4  | -1.9              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| SF112        | Connochaetes sp.        | Sterkfontein M4  | -0.7              | VC <sub>4</sub>         |
| SK 10653     | Damaliscus sp.          | Swartkrans M2    | -0.7              | $VC_4$                  |
| SK 4241      | Damaliscus sp.          | Swartkrans M2    | 0.7               | $VC_4$                  |
| SK 9897      | Damaliscus sp.          | Swartkrans M2    | 2.2               | OC <sub>4</sub>         |
| SE1185       | Damaliscus sp.          | Sterkfontein M5  | -4.9              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| SE1828       | Damaliscus sp.          | Sterkfontein M5  | -1.6              | VC <sub>4</sub>         |
| SE1728.1     | Damaliscus sp.          | Sterkfontein M5  | -1.5              | $VC_4$                  |
| SK 2063      | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp. | Swartkrans M1 HR | 2.2               | $OC_4$                  |
| Tribe Antilo | opini                   |                  |                   |                         |
| SK 12273     | Antidorcas bondi        | Swartkrans M2    | -3.8              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| SK 2574      | Antidorcas bondi        | Swartkrans M2    | -4.5              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| SK 3841      | Antidorcas bondi        | Swartkrans M2    | -1.7              | $VC_4$                  |
| SK 5907      | Antidorcas bondi        | Swartkrans M2    | -2.9              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| SK 5922      | Antidorcas bondi        | Swartkrans M2    | -2.4              | Mixed C₃-C              |
| SK 5962      | Antidorcas bondi        | Swartkrans M2    | -4.2              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| SK 6123      | Antidorcas bondi        | Swartkrans M2    | -4.3              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| Sts1577      | Antidorcas bondi        | Sterkfontein M4  | -1.9              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |
| Sts1125      | Antidorcas bondi        | Sterkfontein M4  | -1.2              | $VC_4$                  |
| SKX 1896     | Antidorcas marsupialis  | Swartkrans M2    | -10.6             | VC <sub>3</sub>         |
| SKX 2736     | Antidorcas marsupialis  | Swartkrans M2    | -11.5             | OC <sub>3</sub>         |
| SKX 811      | Antidorcas recki        | Swartkrans M2    | -12.9             | OC <sub>3</sub>         |
| SE 1855.1    | Antidorcas recki        | Sterkfontein M5  | -12.7             | OC <sub>3</sub>         |
| SE 1258      | Antidorcas recki        | Sterkfontein M5  | -10.8             | VC <sub>3</sub>         |
| Sts 1944     | Antidorcas recki        | Sterkfontein M4  | -13.9             | OC <sub>3</sub>         |
| Sts 1435     | Antidorcas recki        | Sterkfontein M4  | -13.7             | OC <sub>3</sub>         |
| Sts 1325a    | Antidorcas recki        | Sterkfontein M4  | -13.3             | OC <sub>3</sub>         |
| Sts 1400     | Antidorcas recki        | Sterkfontein M4  | -13.3             | OC <sub>3</sub>         |
| Sts 2076     | Antidorcas recki        | Sterkfontein M4  | -12.0             | OC <sub>3</sub>         |
| Sts 2369     | Antidorcas recki        | Sterkfontein M4  | -10.5             | VC <sub>3</sub>         |
| Sts 1596     | Antidorcas recki        | Sterkfontein M4  | -4.5              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C |

continued on next page

| Table 4.12. continued |
|-----------------------|
|-----------------------|

| Specimen    | Таха                     | Deposit          | δ <sup>13</sup> C | Diet                                 |
|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Tribe Ovibo | ovini                    |                  |                   |                                      |
| Sts 952     | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | -10.8             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| Sts 1925    | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | -8.6              | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| Sts 2059b   | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | -7.7              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| Sts 1721    | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | -6.8              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| Sts 2565    | Makapania broomi         | Sterkfontein M4  | -3.2              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| M 978       | Makapania broomi         | Makapansgat M3   | -5.3              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| M 1398      | Makapania broomi         | Makapansgat M3   | -3.6              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| M 6528      | Makapania broomi         | Makapansgat M3   | -3.5              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| M 6274      | Makapania broomi         | Makapansgat M3   | -1.0              | $VC_4$                               |
| Tribe Neoti | ragini                   |                  |                   |                                      |
| SK 1631     | Oreotragus oreotragus    | Swartkrans M2    | -11.7             | OC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| M 6293      | Oreotragus oreotragus    | Makapansgat M3   | -11.7             | OC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| M 997       | Oreotragus oreotragus    | Makapansgat M3   | -11.4             | OC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| Tribe Trage | elaphini                 |                  |                   |                                      |
| SK 2329     | <i>Tragelaphus</i> sp.   | Swartkrans M2    | -1.4              | $VC_4$                               |
| SK 2304     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -10.9             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 2576     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -10.8             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 2681     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -10.9             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 3023     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -10.7             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 2541     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -12.4             | OC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 14112    | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -10.6             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 2095     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -8.2              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| SK 2281     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -9.4              | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| SK 3110     | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Swartkrans M1 HR | -9.9              | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| Sts 1573    | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Sterkfontein M4  | -10.0             | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| Sts 46      | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Sterkfontein M4  | -9.0              | VC <sub>3</sub>                      |
| Sts 2121    | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Sterkfontein M4  | -8.2              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |
| Sts 1300    | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | Sterkfontein M4  | -8.1              | Mixed C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> |

**Table 4.13.** Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid enamel from Cooper's D andSwartkrans Members 1–3.

| Tribe        | Таха                      | Locality | Ν | Min   | Max  | Mean  | SD  |
|--------------|---------------------------|----------|---|-------|------|-------|-----|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes sp.          | CD       | 7 | -4.8  | -0.2 | -2.1  | 1.7 |
|              |                           | SK HR    | 5 | -2.7  | 0.4  | -1.4  | 1.2 |
|              |                           | SK LB    | 4 | -1.1  | 0.2  | -0.5  | 0.5 |
|              |                           | SK M2    | 1 | •     |      | -2.1  | •   |
|              |                           | SK M3    | 5 | -3.0  | -0.5 | -1.9  | 0.9 |
|              | Damaliscus sp.            | CD       | 8 | -3.6  | -0.2 | -1.4  | 1.2 |
|              |                           | SK HR    | 2 | -2.5  | -0.6 | -1.5  | 1.3 |
|              |                           | SK M2    | 6 | -1.6  | 2.0  | 0.3   | 1.5 |
|              | Megalotragus sp.          | CD       | 3 | -1.9  | -0.1 | -0.9  | 0.9 |
|              |                           | SK HR    | 2 | -0.2  | 0.4  | 0.1   | 0.4 |
|              |                           | SK LB    | 1 | •     |      | -5.0  | •   |
|              |                           | SK M2    | 4 | -4.2  | -1.5 | -2.9  | 1.3 |
|              |                           | SK M3    | 2 | -1.6  | -0.8 | -1.2  | 0.6 |
|              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | SK HR    | 4 | -3.9  | 0.2  | -1.9  | 2.2 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas bondi          | SK M2    | 1 | •     |      | -2.8  | •   |
|              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | CD       | 9 | -11.5 | -5.6 | -9.2  | 1.8 |
|              |                           | SK M2    | 1 |       |      | -11.6 |     |
|              |                           | SK M3    | 1 |       |      | -11.6 |     |
|              | Antidorcas recki          | CD       | 5 | -10.5 | -4.7 | -7.4  | 2.2 |
|              | Gazella sp.               | SK HR    | 1 | •     |      | -7.6  | •   |
| Bovini       | Syncerus sp.              | CD       | 1 |       |      | -10.7 |     |
|              |                           | SK HR    | 2 | -7.6  | -6.4 | -7.0  | 0.8 |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus sp.           | CD       | 3 | -5.4  | 7    | -3.4  | 2.4 |
|              |                           | SK M3    | 2 | -4.7  | -2.1 | -3.4  | 1.9 |
| Neotragini   | Ourebia ourebi            | SK M2    | 1 |       |      | -4.2  |     |
|              | Raphicerus campestris     | CD       | 1 |       |      | -5.9  |     |
|              |                           | SK M2    | 4 | -11.4 | -7.1 | -9.8  | 1.9 |
|              |                           | SK M3    | 1 |       | •    | -8.0  | •   |
| Ovibovini    | <i>Makapania</i> sp.      | SK HR    | 4 | -5.1  | -2.0 | -3.4  | 1.4 |
| Peleini      | Pelea capreolus           | CD       | 2 | -10.5 | -8.1 | -9.3  | 1.7 |
|              |                           | SK HR    | 2 | -12.0 | -7.9 | -10.0 | 2.9 |
|              |                           | SK M2    | 4 | -10.8 | -8.9 | -10.1 | .8  |
| Reduncini    | Redunca fulvorufula       | CD       | 1 | 2.5   | 2.5  | 2.5   |     |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus oryx          | SK M2    | 2 | -10.0 | -9.0 | -9.5  | 0.7 |
|              | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | CD       | 3 | -10.9 | -6.3 | -9.2  | 2.5 |
|              |                           | SK HR    | 1 |       |      | -10.1 |     |
|              | Tragelaphus sp.           | CD       | 2 | -4.0  | -2.2 | -3.1  | 1.2 |

| Tribe        | Таха  | Locality | Ν | Min   | Max       | Mean  | SD  |
|--------------|---|----------|---|-------|-----------|-------|-----|
| Alcelaphini  | <i>Connochaetes</i> sp. <sup>1, 5</sup>     | SKHR     | 7 | -3.7  | 1.0       | -1.3  | 1.7 |
|              | <i>Damaliscus</i> sp.⁵                      | SK2      | 5 | -0.7  | 2.2       | 0.7   | 1.5 |
|              | Megalotragus sp. <sup>3</sup>               | SKHR     | 1 |       |           | 2.2   |     |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas bondí <sup>4</sup>               | SK2      | 8 | -4.5  | -1.7      | -3.4  | 1.0 |
|              | Antidorcas marsupialis <sup>5</sup>         | SK2      | 2 | -11.5 | -<br>10.6 | -11.1 | 0.6 |
|              | Antidorcas recki <sup>3</sup>               | SK2      | 1 |       | •         | -12.9 |     |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus <sup>2</sup>          | SK2      | 1 | •     | •         | -11.7 |     |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus sp. <sup>2</sup>                | SK2      | 1 |       |           | -1.4  |     |
|              | Tragelaphus strepsiceros <sup>1, 3, 5</sup> | SKHR     | 9 | -12.4 | -8.2      | -10.4 | 1.2 |

**Table 4.14.** Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other studies.

Data from: <sup>1</sup>Lee-Thorp et al. (1989), <sup>2</sup>Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe (1993), <sup>3</sup>Lee-Thorp et al. (1994), <sup>4</sup>Lee-Thorp et al. (2000), and <sup>5</sup>Lee-Thorp et al. (2007).

| Tribe        | Таха                                  | Deposit         | Ν | Min   | Max   | Mean  | SD  |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes sp. <sup>2,3</sup>       | Sterkfontein M5 | 4 | -1.4  | 2.7   | 0.2   | 1.9 |
|              |                                       | Sterkfontein M4 | 3 | -4.9  | -0.7  | -2.5  | 2.2 |
|              | Damaliscus sp. <sup>2,4</sup>         | Sterkfontein M5 | 3 | -4.9  | -1.5  | -2.7  | 1.9 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas bondi <sup>2,3</sup>       | Sterkfontein M4 | 2 | -1.9  | -1.2  | -1.6  | 0.5 |
|              | Antidorcas recki <sup>2,3</sup>       | Sterkfontein M5 | 2 | -12.7 | -10.8 | -11.7 | 1.4 |
|              |                                       | Sterkfontein M4 | 7 | -13.9 | -4.5  | -11.6 | 3.3 |
| _            | Gazella sp. <sup>1</sup>              | Makapansgat M3  | 2 | -12.4 | -10.7 | -11.5 | 1.2 |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus <sup>1</sup>    | Makapansgat M3  | 2 | -11.7 | -11.4 | -11.6 | 0.2 |
| Ovibovini    | Makapania broomi <sup>1,2</sup>       | Sterkfontein M4 | 5 | -10.8 | -3.2  | -7.4  | 2.8 |
|              |                                       | Makapansgat M3  | 4 | -5.3  | -1.0  | -3.3  | 1.7 |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros <sup>2</sup> | Sterkfontein M4 | 4 | -10.0 | -8.1  | -8.8  | 0.9 |

 Table 4.15. Descriptive statistics for fossil bovid taxa from other South African deposits.

Data from: <sup>1</sup>Sponheimer (1999), <sup>2</sup>Luyt (2001), <sup>3</sup>van der Merwe et al. (2003), and <sup>4</sup>Lee-Thorp et al. (2007).

# Table 4.16. Statistical analysis of $\delta^{13}C$ data comparing fossil bovid taxa.

| Таха                     | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Squares | F    | P value |
|--------------------------|----------------|----|--------------|------|---------|
| Connochaetes             | 454.0          | 5  | 90.8         | 70.1 | .000    |
| Damaliscus               | 188.9          | 2  | 94.5         | 75.3 | .000    |
| Antidorcas               | 63.9           | 3  | 21.3         | 4.0  | .015    |
| Raphicerus campestris    | 8.6            | 2  | 4.3          | 1.2  | .326    |
| <i>Makapania</i> sp.     | 50.6           | 2  | 25.3         | 5.5  | .024    |
| Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 9.5            | 2  | 4.7          | 5.3  | .006    |

## A. ANOVA

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

## B. Pairwise comparisons

### 1. Connochaetes fossils compared to moderns

| Tukey HSD       | Cooper's<br>D | Modern | Sterkfontein<br>M5 | Swartkrans<br>HR | Swartkrans<br>LB |
|-----------------|---------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Modern          | .000          |        |                    |                  |                  |
| Sterkfontein M5 | .017          | .001   |                    |                  |                  |
| Swartkrans HR   | .754          | .000   | .163               |                  |                  |
| Swartkrans LB   | .245          | .000   | .941               | .805             |                  |
| Swartkrans M3   | 1             | .000   | .056               | .928             | .434             |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

### 2. Damaliscus fossils compared to moderns

| Tukey HSD        | Cooper's D Northern Basalts |      |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| Northern basalts | .000                        |      |
| Swartkrans M2    | .002                        | .000 |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

### 3. Antidorcas fossils compared to moderns

| Tukey HSD              | A. marsupialis,<br>CD | <i>A. marsupialis,</i><br>modern | <i>A. recki,</i><br>CD |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| A. marsupialis, modern | .930                  |                                  |                        |
| A. recki, CD           | .518                  | .713                             |                        |
| A. recki, Sts M4       | .173                  | .025                             | .017                   |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

## 4. Makapania

| Tukey HSD       | Makapansgat M3 | Sterkfontein M4 |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Sterkfontein M4 | .042           |                 |
| Swartkrans HR   | 1              | .044            |
|                 |                |                 |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

### 4. Tragelaphus fossils compared to moderns

| Tukey HSD       | Modern | Sterkfontein M4 |  |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------|--|
| Sterkfontein M4 | .341   |                 |  |
| Swartkrans HR   | .013   | .016            |  |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

### C. Independent t-test

| Таха         | t    | df | P value |
|--------------|------|----|---------|
| P. capreolus | -2.2 | 8  | .063    |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

### 4.7 Palaeoecological profile

The relatively low number of taxa for Swartkrans Lower Bank (n = 2) and Swartkrans Member 3 (n = 6) were excluded from interpretations of vegetation structure for these deposits. Though not all bovid species from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant and Swartkrans Member 2 were sampled numerous bovid species are represented from each deposit. The sampled carbon isotopic data from these two deposits indicate a wide range of dietary strategies, and were used to develop an ecological profile. All known bovid species from Cooper's Cave were sampled. In this study, Cooper's Cave provides the most comprehensive ecological profile based on the dietary behaviour of bovids.

The first appearance of the modern "grazing" guild (*Connochaetes* sp., *Damaliscus* sp., *A. bondi, H. equinus*, and *R. arundinum*) and extinct forms (*Parmularius* sp., *Hippotragus cookei* and *Redunca* cf. *darti*) coexist at Sterkfontein M4 (Kibii 2004). The  $\delta^{13}$ C values for alcelaphines tend to be more versatile from Sterkfontein Member 4 compared to Makapansgat Member 3 (Figure 4.24). The bovids from Sterkfontein Member 4 may have required adaptability in their diet along with niche jostling as several new 'graze' species move into the area all within the context of a changing environment (Kingston and Harrison 2007). At this time, southern Africa was moving into a cooler and drier climate (deMenocal 2004; Maslin and Christensen 2007) (Figure 4.27). A significant component of mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> first observed at Sterkfontein Member 4 remained dominant in the *P. robustus* sequence (Figures 4.25 and 4.26). The relatively few C<sub>4</sub> specialists and the increase of mixed feeders do not support the interpretations that these deposits were dominated by extensive open grassland

habitats (e.g. Reed and Rector 2007; Lee-Thorp et al. 2007; de Ruiter et al. 2009). Although grasses were present, proportions and distribution of grass with respect to other types of vegetation is unknown. Here, grass presence does not equate to grassland-dominated ecosystem. During the early Pleistocene, and even today to a lesser extent, these deposits were adjacent to a river, and were topographically locally diverse suggesting that the fauna had access to variable habitats (Reed 1997). Uniquely, Swartkrans Member 2 (ca. 1.6 Ma) contains substantially more C<sub>3</sub> feeders. A few researchers have hinted that Swartkrans Member 2 was more 'closed and wet' compared to other Swartkrans Members, yet interpret 'predominantly open grassland' for all *P. robustus* deposits at Swartkrans (Lee-Thorp et al. 2007; de Ruiter et al. 2008). A shift to a drier period was observed at Sterkfontein Member 4 and continues throughout the *P. robustus* sequence with a possible wet pulse within this trend at Swartkrans Member 2.

Differential timing of grass expansion between East Africa and southern Africa is proposed. A C<sub>4</sub>-dominated ecosystem occurred in East Africa prior to its occurrence in southern Africa. This is supported by  $\delta^{13}$ C studies of Olduvai and Kanjera bovids. Kanjera is dominated by C<sub>4</sub> consumers (Plummer et al. 2009), and at Olduvai Tuff 1B and 1F, the 'graze' bovids are more <sup>13</sup>C-enriched compared to similar species found in *P. robustus* deposits (van der Merwe *in press*). The dietary behaviours of bovid taxa from the East Africa deposits suggest the presence of a C<sub>4</sub>-dominated ecosystem between 2.3 Ma and 1.75 Ma (Figures 4.28 and 4.29; Plummer et al. 2009; van der Merwe *in press*). Whereas Sterkfontein Member 4 and *P. robustus* assemblages suggest a mosaic landscape that had more C<sub>3</sub> vegetation compared to East Africa deposits around the same

time. I hypothesize that a C<sub>4</sub>-dominated ecosystem in the Witwatersrand area of South Africa probably only occurred with the onset of pronounced 100 Kyr glacial cycles after 1.2 - 0.8 Ma (deMenocal 2004; Maslin and Christensen 2007) (Figure 4.28).











**Figure 4.25.**  $\delta^{13}$ C results of bovids from Swartkrans Member 1 Hanging Remnant and Swartkrans Member 2. The  $\delta^{13}$ C values from the present study were combined with Lee-Thorp et al. (1989; 1994, 2000, 2007) and Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe (1993) data from both deposits. The shading reflects the relative

importance of  $C_3$  versus  $C_4$  in the diets of bovids. Values less than -8.5‰ reflect a diet of  $C_3$  vegetation and greater than -1.8‰ a diet of  $C_4$  vegetation.



**Figure 4.26.**  $\delta^{13}$ C results of bovids from Cooper's D. The shading reflects the relative importance of C<sub>3</sub> versus C<sub>4</sub> in the diets of bovids. Values less than -8.5‰ reflect a diet of C<sub>3</sub> vegetation and greater than -1.8‰ a diet of C<sub>4</sub> vegetation.



**Figure 4.27.** Sea surface temperatures from marine sediments. Cores taken from South Atlantic ODP Site 1084 document changes over the last 5 million years (graph from deMenocal 2004). After 3 Ma, the palaeoclimatic indices that demonstrate a drier cooler trend with intermittent wet pulses.





**Figure 4.28.**  $\delta^{13}$ C results of bovids from Olduvai East Tuff 1B and 1F ( $\delta^{13}$ C values from van der Merwe *in press*). The shading reflects the relative importance of C<sub>3</sub> versus C<sub>4</sub> in the diets. Values less than -8.5‰ reflect a diet of C<sub>3</sub> vegetation and greater than -1.8‰ a diet of C<sub>4</sub> vegetation.



**Figure 4.29.**  $\delta^{13}$ C results of bovids from Kanjera ( $\delta^{13}$ C values from Plummer et al. 2009). The shading reflects the relative importance of C<sub>3</sub> versus C<sub>4</sub> in the diets. Values less than -8.5‰ reflect a diet of C<sub>3</sub> vegetation and greater than -1.8‰ a diet of C4 vegetation.

### 4.8 Discussion

In general,  $\delta^{13}$ C values for modern bovids follow the predicted diets based on field observations and rumen content. A few discrepancies are noted. From field observations, springbok, steenbok and eland are considered to have a mixed diet. Although a few individuals were mixed feeders, most specimens from southern Africa selected C<sub>3</sub> vegetation. For the majority of fossil bovids, dietary variations are poorly documented. Only a few studies have yielded invaluable insights into dietary changes on a temporal and local scale (e.g., Kingston and Harrison 2007). The present study included a considerable number of bovid taxa analysed for five *P*. *robustus* deposits, providing the first detailed diet profiles for some of these bovid taxa. In addition, studies from South Africa which tracked environmental changes for *P. robustus* use a variety of herbivores and carnivores, but with a negligible sample of bovids. The dietary data gleaned from the present study of several fossil bovid taxa provides the full range of dietary strategies. This study offers new information that can be used to decipher changes in vegetation structure.

The overall dietary patterns in fossil bovids are more diverse than modern forms. The isotope signals suggest that many of the fossil taxa were more generalist relative to modern taxa. The isotopic profiles for modern alcelaphines from southern Africa reflect C<sub>4</sub> diets (Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron 2006). This is a similar pattern to that of East African alcelaphines (Cerling et al. 2003). Fossil Alcelaphines from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1–3 were <sup>13</sup>C-depleted suggesting a flexible diet with some selection of C<sub>3</sub> vegetation. From other South African early hominin sites, alcelaphines from Sterkfontein Members 4 and 5 had more negative  $\delta^{13}$ C values than those of Makapansgat Member 3 (Lee-Thorp et al. 2007). With the exception of Makapansgat Member 3, fossil alcelaphines are less specialized in grazing niches compared to their modern counterparts. The low percentage of alcelaphines in the assemblage and the positive values from Makapansgat Member 3, may suggest less competition for resources in a limited grazing niche, thereby allowing the alcelaphines to select their preferred resource. Although grass was available, the more negative  $\delta^{13}$ C values associated with *P*. *robustus* assemblages indicate a stronger reliance on C<sub>3</sub> vegetation compared to their modern counterparts. A higher proportion and selection of forbs and/or C<sub>3</sub> grasses in the past may account for the <sup>13</sup>C-depleted values.

Based on modern  $\delta^{13}$ C values, springbok are predominately C<sub>3</sub> feeders (Sponheimer et al. 2003). Fossil Antilopini had variable diets, ranging from obligate C<sub>3</sub> to mixed C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> diets. Antidorcas bondi had the most positive  $\delta^{13}C$ values from mixed C3-C4 leaning towards a more C4 diet. Specimens from Sterkfontein M4 were more <sup>13</sup>C-enriched compared to specimens from Swartkrans Lower Bank and Member 2. Fossil A. marsupialis and A. recki were similar in their dietary behaviours. Both had variable diets ranging between OC<sub>3</sub> to mixed feeders. This range is similar to that of their modern counterpart. Previous studies indicate that A. recki from Sterkfontein Members 4 and 5, and Swartkrans Hanging Remnant had a predominately C<sub>3</sub> diet (Lee-Thorp et al. 1994; Luyt 2001). However, one specimen from Sterkfontein Member 4 had a mixed  $C_3-C_4$ diet (Luyt 2001). Antidorcas marsupialis and A. recki from Cooper's D were on average more  $\delta^{13}$ C-enriched than specimens from other deposits. Interestingly, where A. bondi was absent from deposits, A. marsupialis and A. recki tend to have values that were more positive (Cooper's D). The one Gazella from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant was a mixed feeder. This was in contrast to Makapansgat Member 3 were Gazella had an OC<sub>3</sub> diet (Sponheimer 1999) showing a temporal change in dietary behaviour for this genus.

*Syncerus* sp. from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant and Cooper's D selected a significant portion of C<sub>3</sub> vegetation. These values were more negative compared

to those of modern savanna buffalo (Cerling et al. 2003; Sponheimer et al. 2003) and for middle Pleistocene buffalo (Bedaso et al. 2010), but not atypical for the forest buffalo with values as low as -16.2‰ (Cerling et al. 2004). This result was not surprising when dental morphology was considered. *Syncerus* has mesodont dentition, and the hypsodonty index align this species with mixed feeders (Janis 1988). The mesodont dentition and C<sub>3</sub> selection suggest that although the *Syncerus* may prefer fresh grass, they are capable of consuming a variety of vegetation. The  $\delta^{13}$ C values for fossil and modern buffalo highlight the fact that not only is *Syncerus* capable of selecting a wide range of resources, but it was adapted to a wide range of environments.

Modern hippotragines had a similar C<sub>4</sub> range to that of alcelaphines (Cerling et al. 2003; Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron 2006). For Swartkrans and Cooper's D assemblages, fossil hippotragines and alcelaphine also have similar ranges, although dietarily they are more versatile than their modern counterparts, ranging from mixed to VC<sub>3</sub>. Hippotragines from Sterkfontein Members 4 and 5 are also <sup>13</sup>C-depleted compared to modern forms.

Overall, neotragines were similar to their modern counterparts. The mixed feeding  $\delta^{13}$ C signature of the fossil ourebi was similar to the modern ourebi from East Africa, being mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>, where in South Africa they had a more <sup>13</sup>C-enriched diet. Unfortunately, the locality of the East African sample was unknown and only one tooth was sampled (Cerling et al. 2003). The average OC<sub>3</sub> diet of *O. oreotragus* has remained constant in specimens from Makapansgat Member 3, Swartkrans Member 2 and modern forms. The majority of fossil steenbok specimens from Swartkrans Member 2 and Member 3 were within modern range.

This is consistent behaviour of South African steenboks where they tend to be predominately  $C_3$  feeders (Du Toit 1993). However, a specimen from Swartkrans Member 2 and Member 3 and a specimen from Cooper's D were more <sup>13</sup>C-enriched, suggesting that a few individuals had incorporated a significant proportion of C<sub>4</sub> vegetation into their diet.

The mixed diet for *Makapania* was observed from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant and Makapansgat Member 3, however from Sterkfontein Member 4, *Makapania* had a wider dietary repertoire, ranging from VC<sub>3</sub> to mixed feeder. The arrive of new 'modern' species at Sterkfontein Member 4 may have temporarly forced some individuals of Makapania into increasing their C<sub>3</sub> intake.

Fossil grey rhebok were predominately  $C_3$  consumers, but some ranged into mixed  $C_3$ - $C_4$ . A mixed  $C_3$ - $C_4$  diet was not observed for their modern counterparts.

*Redunca fulvorufula* from Cooper's D had an OC<sub>4</sub> diet similar to that of modern specimens.

Modern tragelaphines have variable diets (Cerling et al. 2003; Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron 2006). Eland and kudu are predominately browsers, but kudu have a versatile diet ranging from OC<sub>3</sub> to mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>. The dietary behaviour of fossil tragelaphines was variable, ranging from OC<sub>3</sub> to VC<sub>4</sub> diets. On average, fossil kudu and eland had similar  $\delta^{13}$ C values to their modern counterparts. Some species of *Tragelaphus* consume variable portions of C<sub>4</sub> foods. *Tragelaphus* sp. from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Member 2 had unusually more positive values compared to other *Tragelaphus* species, indicating a reliance on more C<sub>4</sub> resources.

The stable isotopic data from the P. robustus sequence indicate a wide range of foraging strategies. Many species have highly variable diets. Lee-Thorp et al. (2007) noted an increase in C<sub>4</sub> diets and decrease in C<sub>3</sub> diets throughout the southern African early Pleistocene sequence. The results of dietary behaviour of bovids presented in this study show an apparent shift in the increased selection mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> vegetation throughout the P. robustus sequences. Many specimens that are typical 'grazing' species such as alcelaphines and hippotragines had flexiable diets with many individuals having mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> diets. Connochaetes, Damaliscus and Hippotragus were not C4 specialists like their modern counterparts. Although C<sub>4</sub> grasses were a component of the available vegetation, only R. fulvorufula from Cooper's D had a pure C<sub>4</sub> diet. A significant C<sub>3</sub> signature was present at Swartkrans Member 2 and Cooper's D. The relatively few C<sub>4</sub> specialists and an increase of mixed feeders with a significant component of C<sub>3</sub> selectors suggests that although C<sub>4</sub> grass was available, it was not an open C<sub>4</sub> dominated grassland as suggested by other researchers. Swartkrans Member 2 (ca. 1.6 Ma) contains substantially more  $C_3$  feeders than other *P. robustus* deposits, signifying a vegetation structure that was more C<sub>3</sub>-dominated than other deposits.

# **Chapter 5. Dental Microwear Texture Analysis**

### 5.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses the application of Dental Microwear Texture Analysis (DMTA) on modern and fossil bovid tooth surfaces. Fossil specimens come from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members, 1, 2, and 3. These fossil specimens provide the first dental microwear texture analysis of early Pleistocene bovids from both sites.

There is an association between diet and resource availability. Bovids that consume  $C_4^{11}$  vegetation indicate the availability of monocots, whereas bovids that select  $C_3$  foods represent eudicot accessibility (Sponheimer et al. 1999; Skinner and Chimimba 2005). The relationship between diet and microwear patterns has allowed researchers to infer feeding behaviours of both fossil and modern taxa (Rose and Ungar 1998; Schubert et al. 2006). The data from dental microwear analysis is important not only for reconstructing palaeodiets, but it also has the potential for reconstructing past ecologies.

Dental wear occurs when foods are masticated between upper and lower dentition. During mastication, different foods leave unique microwear patterns on the occlusal surface of the tooth. Wear can be produced by attrition (tooth-to-tooth contact) or abrasion (tooth-food-tooth) contact (Baker et al. 1959; Walker et al. 1978; Grine 1981; Kay and Covert 1983; Walker 1984; Teaford and Runestad

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>As stated in Chapter 4, the terms 'browser' and 'grazer' are misnomers. Both groups consume a variety of vegetation. 'Grazers' are able to select  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  grasses, and forbs, and will at times browse. 'Browsers' consume a wide range of foods: leaves, fruits, seeds, forbs and a small amount of grass. Here I use modal diet categories as outlined in Chapter 4.

1992; Fortelius and Solounias 2000). Abrasion may be caused by other factors such as sand or other hard objects entering the mouth (Teaford and Runestad 1992).

Bovids chew their food using a translatory masticatory cycle. This type of chewing requires a one-phase upward and inward jaw movement. There is differential width of upper and lower dentition so that one row moves across the other while maintaining occlusal contact (Rensberger 1973; Fortelius 1985; Franz-Odendaal and Kaiser 2003).

Many of the foods bovids consume contain hard silica bodies called 'phytoliths' which are found in the cell walls of eudicot and monocot plants. Because phytoliths can be harder than enamel, they can leave wear patterns on the occlusal surfaces of teeth (Baker et al. 1959). Older grasses and leaves have a higher concentration of phytoliths on their cell walls, whereas fresh grass, shoots and young leaves have a lower concentration (Marion Bamford, personal communication).

Different foods have different properties and shapes, requiring a variety of different strategies for mastication. Bovids that consume tough and fibrous grasses move their lower teeth in an extreme lateral motion across their upper teeth in a cyclical pattern (Figure 5.1). This grinding action causes the phytoliths and grit adherent to food items to move across the occlusal surfaces of teeth, resulting in heavy abrasion. This abrasion at the microscopic level appears as linear scratches and is usually oriented bucco-lingually. Bovids that select C<sub>4</sub> vegetation have more linear scratches on the occlusal surfaces of teeth than C<sub>3</sub> selectors. Alternatively, consuming hard, brittle foods of various sizes and shapes

such as twigs, fruit and seeds causes the jaw to apply vertical pressure for breaking (Figure 5.1). Vertical pressure is concentrated in a small focal area, causing less abrasion than grinding and higher attrition (tooth–on–tooth contact). Microscopically, browsers have more pitting on occlusal surfaces of teeth. Different size pits may suggest different aetiologies. Larger pits may indicate concentrated pressure on hard food items between the teeth. Smaller pits may be due to more tooth-on-tooth contact resulting in microscopic fracturing of prisms at pit boundaries (Walker 1984; Teaford and Oyen 1989 a, b; Teaford and Runestad 1992). Fracturing of prisms at the boundaries would be seen in animals like primates with larger enamel occlusal surfaces. However, since bovids have smaller tooth–on–tooth enamel contacting surfaces, smaller pits may not be the result of prism fracturing. Other factors such as differential size and depth in pitting and striations may be due to the varying shapes and sizes of phytoliths occurring within different plants and plant elements.

Most dental microwear studies conducted on fossil ungulates were based on photomicrographs produced by scanning electronic microscopy (Solounias et al. 1988; Hayek et al. 1992). Because of the expensive nature of SEM work, the use of a light stereomicroscope using 35x magnification resurfaced (Solounias and Semprebon 2002; Kaiser 2003; Godfrey et al. 2004; Semprebon et al. 2004; Green et al. 2005). Microwear features were identified and classified by the eye of the observer. A variant of this approach involves compromise techniques that combine imaging by light stereomicroscopy with a camera attachment and measurement of features on a computer screen using a semi-automated software programme (Merceron et al. 2004 a, b; Merceron et al. 2005 a, b). Specimens were then examined using a Sensofar Pl $\mu$  white-light scanning confocal microscope (Merceron and Ungar 2005; Schubert et al. 2006). Once again, the microwear was analyzed using a semi-automated software programme (Microwear 4.02). These programmes allow the user to identify and demarcate features, although they are hampered by measurement errors that often lead to subjective classifications and interobserver errors (Grine et al. 2002). Further, the various techniques provide noncomparable results (Schubert et al. 2006; Ungar et al. 2008).

Dental Microwear Texture Analysis conducted on fossil dentition is a new technique that has been applied to several species including bovids (Ungar et al. 2007). This technique uses a white-light confocal microscope to scan a section of the tooth surface providing cloud points on the X, Y and Z axis and combines it with scale-sensitive fractal analysis (SSFA). The Sensofar Plµ confocal imaging profiler then uses the cloud points to reconstruct a 3D image of the tooth surface. The results provide a quantitative description of the microwear surface.

# 5.2 Materials

All available adult teeth from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Member 1, 2 and 3 consisting of  $M^2$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_1$  were examined. Most previous microwear research has focused on primates where the amount of wear depends on the position of the tooth (Gordon 1982). Upper and lower M3 yield more observable microwear than upper and lower M1 (op. cit.) with the upper and lower M2 having intermediate wear. It has been shown recently that artiodactyls followed the same differential wear as primates (Merceron et al. 2005b). Recently, Schubert (2004) incorporated
lower M1s of modern and fossils bovid species in his studies. His results demonstrated that there was 'no relationship between the percentages of pitting in M1s versus M2s (Schubert 2004). All teeth were assessed for obvious taphonomic damage on occlusal surfaces, such as chipping, breakage or obscurity due to heavy manganese coating. Heavy manganese staining has been observed on fossil dentition from Swartkrans and Cooper's Cave. Criteria for assessing taphonomic damage followed Teaford (1988b) and King et al. (1999). Also excluded from the sample are heavily worn or unworn teeth. Only those that preserved unobstructed antemortem microwear were included in this analysis. For more obscure microscopic wear or damage, an Olympus SZ51 light microscope with an 8 to 40 objective was used.

High-resolution casts of the occlusal surfaces were produced following Ungar (1996), Grine (1986) and Rose (1983). The samples were first cleaned with cotton swabs soaked in acetone. The cotton swabs were gently rolled across the surface of the tooth to clean away debris, and then discarded. This process was repeated until the occlusal surface under observation was clear of all noticeable debris. Polyvinylsiloxane dental impressions were made using Affinis<sup>™</sup> Perfect Impressions Regular Body, no. 6510 (Coltene/Whaledent Inc.) and buttressed with Affinis<sup>™</sup> Perfect Impressions Soft Putty, no. 6530 (Coltene/Whaledent Inc.). Positive replicas were made using 1:5 ratios of hardener and Epotek 501 epoxy resin (Epoxy Technologies Inc.).



**Figure 5.1.** Differential food particles result in pitting or striated features on the enamel occlusal surface.

## 5.2.1 Modern Bovids

Modern African bovids were analyzed to serve as a core sample for interpretation of the Swartkrans and Cooper's Cave fossil bovids. Specimens included wild shot museum specimens from the Iziko South African Museum in Cape Town (SAM), the Northern Flagship Institute, Ditsong National Museum of Natural History (TM) in Pretoria, the Museum of Natural History (AMNH) in New York, and The Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology (MCZ) in Massachusetts. Some of the moulds were made available through Blaine Schubert and Peter Ungar (see Schubert et al. 2006); the rest were collected by this author (Table 5.2). Of the 193 modern specimens examined, 61 specimens were usable. These included 13 taxa representing a wide range of diets and habitat preferences (Table 5.1 and 5.2 and Figure 5.2), among them the following: *Aepyceros melampus* (impala), *Antidorcas marsupialis* (springbok), *Connochaetes taurinus* (blue wildebeest), *Damaliscus pygargus* (blesbok), *Hippotragus niger* (sable), *Kobus leche* (lechwe), *Litocranius walleri* (gerenuk), *Oreotragus oreotragus* (klipspringer), *Oryx gazella* (gemsbok), *Raphicerus campestris* (steenbok), *Syncerus caffer* (African buffalo) and *Tragelaphus strepsiceros* (kudu).

| Tribe        | Species                  | Common Name     | Abbrev. |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Aepycerotini | Aepyceros melampus       | impala          | Ame     |
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes taurinus    | blue wildebeest | Ct      |
|              | Damaliscus pygargus      | blesbok         | Dp      |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas marsupialis   | springbok       | Ama     |
|              | Litocranius walleri      | gerenuk         | Lw      |
| Bovini       | Syncerus caffer          | African buffalo | Sc      |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger        | sable           | Hn      |
|              | Oryx gazella             | oryx            | Og      |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus    | klipspringer    | Oor     |
|              | Raphicerus campestris    | steenbok        | Rc      |
| Reduncini    | Kobus leche              | lechwe          | KI      |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | kudu            | Tst     |

Table 5.1. Bovid taxa used for DMTA.

#### 5.2.2 Fossil Bovids

Fossil bovids from Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3 have been identified to species or genus level. The Cooper's D sample comes from The Palaeosciences Centre, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. The Swartkrans sample is housed at the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History in Pretoria. Of the large number of bovid teeth in the deposits of Swartkrans and Cooper's D, a high proportion of specimens are unsuitable for microwear analysis. Taphonomic damage is common for fossil bovid molars as their thin enamel bands are frequently chipped or broken. An added factor particularly relevant to the Witwatersrand early Pleistocene fossil sites is the presence of manganese that precipitates out of dolomite (Cukrowska et al. 2005). Many of Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans fossils are heavily coated with manganese that obscures microwear on the already thin enamel bands. The combination of taphonomic damage and manganese staining proved problematic and samples for some species were limited. Of the 226 specimens from 21 taxa selected for possible surface microwear, only 39 specimens representing 12 fossil taxa were useable (Table 5.3 and Figure 5.3). The available sample is represented by Antidorcas bondi, A. recki, A. marsupialis, Connochaetes sp., Damaliscus sp., Gazella sp., Makapania sp., Megalotragus sp., Oreotragus oreotragus, Pelea capreolus, Rabaticeras porrocornutus and Tragelaphus strepsiceros.



**Figure 5.2.** Photosimulations of surfaces used for DMTA (Field of view 138 x 102 μm) of modern bovids (a-l): (a) *Aepyceros melam*pus, MCZ 25542; (b) *Antidorcas marsupialis*, ZM 36916; (c) *Connochaetes taurinus*, AMNH 81850; (d) *Damaliscus pygargus*, ZM 38681; (e) *Hippotragus niger*, TM 13136; (f) *Kobus leche*, TM 35485; (g) *Litocranius walleri*, (h) *Oreotragus oreotragus*, ZM 33992; (i) *Oryx gazella*, AMNH 81155; (j) *Raphicerus campestris*, ZM 36032; (k) *Syncerus caffer*, ZM 36855; (l) *Tragelaphus strepsiceros*, TM 16601.

# Table 5.2. DMTA values for modern taxa.

| Specimen           | Таха                   | epLsar | Asfc | Smc  | HAsfc <sub>9 cells</sub> | Tfv     | FTfv    |
|--------------------|------------------------|--------|------|------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| Tribe Aepycerotini |                        |        |      |      |                          |         |         |
| MCZ 25542          | Aepyceros melampus     | 0.0049 | 1.11 | 0.27 | 0.70                     | 2364.9  | 8897.0  |
| TM 17657           | Aepyceros melampus     | 0.0061 | 2.10 | 0.15 | 0.65                     | 7232.0  | 13671.7 |
| TM 17686           | Aepyceros melampus     | 0.0065 | 1.15 | 0.27 | 0.57                     | 19961.6 | 26623.7 |
| Tribe Alcelaphini  |                        |        |      |      |                          |         |         |
| AMNH 81789         | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0079 | 2.02 | 0.27 | 0.31                     | 15687.6 | 20255.3 |
| AMNH 81790         | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0041 | 2.92 | 0.15 | 0.35                     | 12340.0 | 20454.6 |
| AMNH 81794         | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0060 | 1.38 | 0.21 | 0.35                     | 18757.7 | 26452.1 |
| AMNH 81799         | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0070 | 3.16 | 0.15 | 0.57                     | 23578.0 | 32341.0 |
| AMNH 81850         | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0081 | 1.16 | 0.42 | 0.27                     | 17151.3 | 22957.0 |
| TM 13161           | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0058 | 1.94 | 0.15 | 0.42                     | 13547.8 | 19840.5 |
| TM 13165           | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0035 | 1.23 | 0.21 | 0.40                     | 15913.7 | 24895.4 |
| TM 3095            | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0058 | 0.71 | 0.15 | 0.28                     | 7763.2  | 15015.7 |
| ZM 36085           | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0040 | 0.87 | 0.27 | 0.43                     | 18465.8 | 25377.8 |
| ZM 36147           | Connochaetes taurinus  | 0.0073 | 1.84 | 0.42 | 0.54                     | 13251.2 | 20776.3 |
| AMNH 118481        | Damaliscus pygargus    | 0.0033 | 1.94 | 0.27 | 0.32                     | 18109.7 | 26451.1 |
| AMNH 81729         | Damaliscus pygargus    | 0.0034 | 2.08 | 0.27 | 0.36                     | 18109.7 | 26451.1 |
| AMNH 81731         | Damaliscus pygargus    | 0.0039 | 2.01 | 0.15 | 0.53                     | 14881.2 | 21263.1 |
| AMNH 81733         | Damaliscus pygargus    | 0.0037 | 0.77 | 0.15 | 0.35                     | 23635.5 | 29671.8 |
| TM 12601           | Damaliscus pygargus    | 0.0032 | 0.57 |      | 0.54                     | 24658.0 | 31270.4 |
| ZM 36949           | Damaliscus pygargus    | 0.0063 | 1.35 | 0.15 | 0.44                     | 14836.2 | 22735.3 |
| ZM 38681           | Damaliscus pygargus    | 0.0060 | 0.98 |      | 0.40                     | 18986.8 | 24791.3 |
| ZM 9772            | Damaliscus pygargus    | 0.0056 | 1.63 | 0.15 | 0.48                     | 18109.0 | 25303.1 |
| Tribe Antilopini   |                        |        |      |      |                          |         |         |
| ZM 35718           | Antidorcas marsupialis | 0.0064 | 3.00 | 0.21 | 0.58                     | 4957.1  | 11781.9 |
| ZM 36916           | Antidorcas marsupialis | 0.0040 | 1.76 | 0.34 | 0.66                     | 1880.7  | 7837.9  |
| ZM 36923           | Antidorcas marsupialis | 0.0079 | 2.93 | 0.15 | 0.36                     | 15623.0 | 23026.8 |
| AMNH 179216        | Litocranius walleri    | 0.0012 | 2.30 | 0.21 | 0.48                     | 447.2   | 4087.2  |
| AMNH 161172        | Litocranius walleri    | 0.0028 | 2.61 | 0.15 | 0.43                     | 11235.6 | 15785.5 |
| AMNH 179218        | Litocranius walleri    | 0.0030 | 2.53 | 0.27 | 0.61                     | 784.3   | 8272.3  |
| AMNH 179221        | Litocranius walleri    | 0.0018 | 1.21 | 0.15 | 0.58                     |         | 1354.4  |
| AMNH 187829        | Litocranius walleri    | 0.0013 | 3.59 | 0.15 | 0.40                     | 5515.9  | 12028.3 |
| AMNH 54204         | Litocranius walleri    | 0.0012 | 2.45 | 0.21 | 0.42                     | 6155.0  | 10511.4 |
| ANMH 98140         | Litocranius walleri    | 0.0025 | 1.90 | 0.34 | 0.52                     | 2915.0  | 7611.2  |
| MC Z13231          | Litocranius walleri    | 0.0029 | 2.46 | 0.21 | 0.49                     | 3584.9  | 10445.9 |
| MC Z8734           | Litocranius walleri    | 0.0036 | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0.51                     | 5632.2  | 10316.2 |

continued on next page

### Table 5.2. continued

| Specimen          | Таха                     | epLsar | Asfc | Smc  | HAsfc <sub>9 cells</sub> | Tfv     | FTfv    |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--------|------|------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| Tribe Bovini      |                          |        |      |      |                          |         |         |
| ZM 36248          | Syncerus caffer          | 0.0087 | 1.22 | 0.84 | 0.36                     | 9774.6  | 16164.2 |
| ZM 36855          | Syncerus caffer          | 0.0033 | 1.10 | 0.71 | 0.58                     | 10283.7 | 16810.8 |
| ZM 37780          | Syncerus caffer          | 0.0067 | 1.11 | 0.89 | 0.36                     | 7133.6  | 14398.6 |
| Tribe Hippotragir | ni                       |        |      |      |                          |         |         |
| AMNH 216381       | Hippotragus niger        | 0.0085 | 2.68 | 0.15 | 0.32                     | 10468.9 | 14580.4 |
| AMNH 83606        | Hippotragus niger        | 0.0065 | 1.12 | 0.60 | 0.49                     | 14519.8 | 21996.0 |
| TM 13136          | Hippotragus niger        | 0.0066 | 0.62 | 0.74 | 0.34                     | 9909.8  | 17710.5 |
| AMNH 161701       | Hippotragus niger        | 0.0081 | 1.29 | 0.15 | 0.46                     | 11659.7 | 18329.5 |
| AMNH 184662       | Hippotragus niger        | 0.0079 | 1.36 | 0.21 | 0.47                     | 15517.5 | 23178.6 |
| AMNH 184663       | Hippotragus niger        | 0.0041 | 0.84 | 0.15 | 0.37                     | 16110.9 | 24112.6 |
| AMNH 81154        | Oryx gazella             | 0.0047 | 0.82 | 0.51 | 0.36                     | 17233.2 | 23850.5 |
| AMNH 81155        | Oryx gazella             | 0.0076 | 1.12 | 0.15 | 0.54                     | 10545.6 | 16741.6 |
| AMNH 165102       | Oryx gazella             | 0.0035 | 0.41 |      | 0.39                     | 17083.0 | 23389.6 |
| ZM 15806          | Oryx gazella             | 0.0045 | 1.56 | 0.27 | 0.69                     | 9116.4  | 15095.7 |
| ZM 38707          | Oryx gazella             | 0.0064 | 1.05 | 0.51 | 0.58                     | 13246.0 | 20459.8 |
| AMNH 81153        | Oryx gazella             | 0.0074 | 0.82 | 0.15 | 0.43                     | 7726.3  | 16133.5 |
| Tribe Neotragini  |                          |        |      |      |                          |         |         |
| ZM 33992          | Oreotragus oreotragus    | 0.0025 | 1.48 | 0.51 | 0.49                     | 4384.0  | 11495.8 |
| ZM 36032          | Raphicerus campestris    | 0.0014 | 3.98 | 0.15 | 0.62                     | 6281.4  | 14063.4 |
| ZM 36286          | Raphicerus campestris    | 0.0045 | 2.44 | 0.27 | 0.38                     | 788.6   | 3720.4  |
| ZM 37136          | Raphicerus campestris    | 0.0015 | 2.85 | 0.15 | 0.37                     | 4806.0  | 9837.7  |
| ZM 37426          | Raphicerus campestris    | 0.0015 | 1.75 | 0.21 | 0.52                     | 7072.6  | 13413.8 |
| ZM 38440          | Raphicerus campestris    | 0.0017 | 2.88 | 0.21 | 0.44                     | 4941.3  | 12903.2 |
| Tribe Reduncini   |                          |        |      |      |                          |         |         |
| TM 35481          | Kobus leche              | 0.0018 | 1.01 | 0.51 | 0.43                     | 10283.3 | 17612.0 |
| TM 35485          | Kobus leche              | 0.0061 | 1.23 | 0.34 | 0.50                     | 10955.0 | 17441.3 |
| TM 35507          | Kobus leche              | 0.0028 | 1.05 | 0.27 | 0.95                     | 9241.6  | 16531.4 |
| Tribe Tragelaphir | ni                       |        |      |      |                          |         |         |
| TM 1030           | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 0.0050 | 2.34 | 0.21 | 0.37                     | 10063.7 | 15601.0 |
| TM 13170          | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 0.0042 | 1.61 | 0.15 | 0.55                     | 16461.0 | 23082.3 |
| TM 16601          | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 0.0014 | 3.71 | 0.15 | 0.83                     | 9205.5  | 15428.5 |

Cast of modern teeth were made by Blaine Schubert and Peter Ungar. These teeth were sampled from the American Museum of Natural History, New York (AMNH); the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, MA (MCZ); Iziko Museum, Cape Town (ZM); and the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History, Pretoria (TM). This author made casts of modern teeth that were sampled from the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History.

A blank space indicates corrupt data for more than two scans. In this case, the value was not used for analysis.



**Figure 5.3.** Photosimulations of surfaces used for DMTA (Field of view 138 x 102 μm) of fossil bovids (a-l): (a) *Antidorcas bondi*, SK 3092: (b) *Antidorcas marsupialis*, SKX 35038; (c) *Antidorcas recki*, SK 3009; (d) *Connochaetes* sp., SKX 1491; (e) *Damaliscus* sp., SK 14122; (f) *Gazella* sp., SK 10440; (g) *Makapania* sp., SK 3150; (h) *Megalotragus* sp., CD 3194; (i) *Oreotragus oreotragus*, SKX 14059; (j) *Pelea capreolus*, SK 10694; (k) *Rabaticeras porrocornutus*, SK 3213; (l) *Tragelaphus strepsiceros*, CD 5410.

| Specimen     | Таха                      | Deposit | epLsar | Asfc | Smc  | HAsfc <sub>9cell</sub> | Tfv      | FTfv     |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------|--------|------|------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| Tribe Alcelo | aphini                    |         |        |      |      |                        |          |          |
| CD6180       | Connochaetes sp.          | CD      | 0.0030 | 2.00 | 0.27 | 0.35                   | 16000.05 | 23086.64 |
| SK2482       | Connochaetes sp.          | SK1HR   | 0.0064 | 1.73 | 0.21 | 0.42                   | 16410.26 | 23016.78 |
| SKX6195      | Connochaetes sp.          | SK3     | 0.0028 | 0.54 | 0.34 | 0.43                   | 16182.37 | 23099.63 |
| SKX2829      | Connochaetes sp.          | SK2     | 0.0022 | 2.54 | 0.27 | 0.47                   | 14148.98 | 21503.74 |
| SKX1266      | Connochaetes sp.          | SK2     | 0.0028 | 1.29 | 0.34 | 0.54                   | 16304.83 | 22570.64 |
| SKX1491      | Connochaetes sp.          | SK2     | 0.0048 | 1.09 | 0.34 | 0.40                   | 14203.58 | 19730.24 |
| SKX2856      | Connochaetes sp.          | SK2     | 0.0068 | 1.89 | 0.21 | 0.30                   | 13849.41 | 21737.44 |
| SKX4034      | Connochaetes sp.          | SK2     | 0.0069 | 0.95 |      | 0.39                   | 13034.55 | 20005.61 |
| SKX19832     | Connochaetes sp.          | SK3     | 0.0052 | 1.93 | 0.34 | 0.58                   | 10007.73 | 16487.85 |
| SKX28027     | Connochaetes sp.          | SK3     | 0.0037 | 3.37 | 0.15 |                        | 17967.17 | 26388.00 |
| SKX29279     | Connochaetes sp.          | SK3     | 0.0037 | 1.59 | 0.21 | 0.31                   | 18386.10 | 27776.55 |
| SKX35041     | Connochaetes sp.          | SK3     | 0.0069 | 1.86 | 0.15 | 0.78                   | 14054.40 | 18312.54 |
| SKX35753     | Connochaetes sp.          | SK3     | 0.0061 | 2.52 | 0.21 | 0.62                   | 15705.83 | 22296.86 |
| SKX39541     | Connochaetes sp.          | SK3     | 0.0028 | 1.58 | 0.21 | 0.38                   | 15678.10 | 23528.67 |
| SKX39601     | Connochaetes sp.          | SK3     | 0.0026 | 1.74 | 0.21 | 0.74                   | 9678.95  | 15650.17 |
| SKX39872     | Connochaetes sp.          | SK3     | 0.0069 | 1.57 | 0.28 | 0.46                   | 12008.28 | 20586.19 |
| SK2957       | Damaliscus sp.            | SK1HR   | 0.0051 | 1.81 | 0.74 | 0.44                   | 13444.33 | 19925.11 |
| SK14122      | Damaliscus sp.            | SK2     | 0.0050 | 2.34 | 0.21 | 0.37                   | 10063.66 | 15600.97 |
| CD1247       | Megalotragus sp.          | CD      | 0.0090 | 5.78 | 0.15 | 0.48                   | 16103.91 | 22846.81 |
| CD3194       | Megalotragus sp.          | CD      | 0.0030 | 1.51 | 0.27 | 0.35                   | 11819.18 | 16628.08 |
| SK3081       | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | SK1HR   | 0.0039 | 1.53 | 0.43 | 0.35                   | 20634.72 | 26398.06 |
| SK3213       | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | SK1HR   | 0.0061 | 1.48 | 0.42 | 0.38                   | 8578.44  | 15165.29 |
| Tribe Antilo | ppini                     |         |        |      |      |                        |          |          |
| CD10891      | Antidorcas marsupialis    | CD      | 0.0059 | 1.19 |      | 0.38                   | 11616.91 | 18479.02 |
| CD7453       | Antidorcas marsupialis    | CD      | 0.0035 | 1.31 | 0.27 | 0.34                   | 9195.66  | 15612.48 |
| SKX35038     | Antidorcas marsupialis    | SK3     | 0.0071 | 1.22 | 0.42 | 0.37                   | 10598.09 | 16839.99 |
| SKX35320     | Antidorcas marsupialis    | SK3     | 0.0025 | 1.12 | 0.27 | 0.49                   | 9604.13  | 15302.82 |
| SKX39908     | Antidorcas marsupialis    | SK3     | 0.0042 | 1.91 | 0.21 | 0.65                   | 10555.94 | 17934.70 |

# Table 5.3. DMTA values for fossil bovid taxa.

continued on next page

## Table 5.3. continued

| Specimen     | Таха                     | Deposit | epLsar | Asfc | Smc  | HAsfc <sub>9cell</sub> | Tfv      | FTfv     |
|--------------|--------------------------|---------|--------|------|------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| Tribe Antilo | ppini                    |         |        |      |      |                        |          |          |
| SK3092       | Antidorcas bondi         | SKM2    | 0.0057 | 0.89 | 0.25 | 0.28                   | 12495.8  | 19550.1  |
| SK3095       | Antidorcas recki         | SK1HR   | 0.0028 | 3.66 | 0.15 | 0.45                   | 2029.39  | 7690.32  |
| SKX14147     | Antidorcas recki         | SK1LB   | 0.0069 | 1.96 | 0.27 | 0.55                   | 12948.22 | 18708.96 |
| SK2256       | Antidorcas recki         | SK2     | 0.0057 | 1.39 |      | 0.49                   | 12375.35 | 19666.02 |
| SK3009       | Antidorcas recki         | SK2     | 0.0083 | 1.01 |      | 0.58                   | 9554.73  | 15728.58 |
| SK3054       | Antidorcas recki         | SK2     | 0.0019 | 1.19 | 0.15 | 0.66                   | 5634.79  | 14498.98 |
| SK3092       | Antidorcas recki         | SK2     | 0.0057 | 0.89 | 0.28 | 0.36                   | 12495.84 | 19550.10 |
| SK 10440     | Gazella sp.              | SK1HR   | 0.0043 | 1.88 | 0.21 | 0.67                   | 1124.05  | 7560.05  |
| Tribe Ovibo  | vini                     |         |        |      |      |                        |          |          |
| SK3150       | Makapania sp.            | SK1HR   | 0.0042 | 1.23 | 0.27 | 0.36                   | 9846.07  | 16345.88 |
| Tribe Neotr  | ragini                   |         |        |      |      |                        |          |          |
| SK14059      | Oreotragus oreotragus    | SK1HR   | 0.0063 | 2.18 | 0.21 | 0.57                   | 7879.34  | 14773.08 |
| Tribe Pelein | ni                       |         |        |      |      |                        |          |          |
| SK10694      | Pelea capreolus          | SK2     | 0.0040 | 1.64 | 0.27 | 0.47                   | 6509.95  | 14320.94 |
| Tribe Trage  | laphini                  |         |        |      |      |                        |          |          |
| CD5410       | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | CD      | 0.0044 | 1.18 |      | 0.25                   | 9221.88  | 16528.19 |

Collections were sampled from the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History, Pretoria and the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. All specimens were collected by the author.

Blank spaces indicate corrupt data for more than two scans. In this case, the value was not used for analysis.

#### 5.3 Methods

Sensofar Pl $\mu$  confocal imaging profiler (Solarius Developments Inc., Sunnyvale, California) using a 100x objective, was used to scan surface data on Facet 1 of each replicated tooth. During chewing, Facet 1 on the upper and lower dentition is in contact (Teaford and Walker 1984; Merceron et al. 2004b, 2005b; Schubert et al. 2006; Ungar et al. 2007). Facet 1 is located on the distobuccal enamel band of the mesial cuspid of M<sub>1</sub> or M<sub>2</sub>, or the mesiabuccal enamel band of the mesial cusp of M<sup>2</sup> (Figure 5.4).



**Figure 5.4.** Shearing facet 1 on bovid permanent molars. The area of observation is circled. Drawing courtesy of Merceron et al. (2004b).

The white-light confocal microscope collects 3D cloud points representing the surface, with a lateral (x, y) sampling interval of 0.18  $\mu$ m, a vertical (z) resolution of 0.005  $\mu$ m and with a field of view of 138 x 102  $\mu$ m. Four adjoining fields were collected totalling an area of 276 x 204  $\mu$ m. The raw data from the scans were prepared and edited using Solarmap Universal Software (Solarius Inc.). In Solarmap, the surface of each scan was leveled. Irregular artifacts due to fossilization, recovery and curation were erased in Solarmap.

The resulting scans were analyzed in ToothFrax and Sfrax programmes (Surfract Corporation, www.surfract.com) using scale-sensitive fractal analysis (SSFA). The latter is based on the principle of fractal geometry whereby the length of a rough profile, the area of a rough surface and the volume within it change with the scale of observation (Scott et al. 2006). At course scales, the surface appears smooth and at fine scales, the surface appears rough (op. cit.). The SSFA principle was used for length-scale, area-scale and volume-scale analysis. Length-scale, area-scale and volume-scale algorithms were used to generate measurements that characterize surface texture (op. cit.). In the present study, five parameters were used to characterize dental microwear surface texture: anisotropy, complexity, scale of maximum complexity, heterogeneity and textural fill volume. Length-scale algorithm (one-dimensional) was used to calculate anisotropy (op. cit.). Area-scale algorithm (three-dimensional) was used to calculate complexity, scale of maximum complexity and heterogeneity (op. cit.). Volume-scale algorithm (three-dimensional) was used to calculate textural fill volume (op. cit.). For a more detailed discussion on the technical considerations of dental microwear texture analysis using the SSFA principle, see Scott et al. (2006). A brief description of the five parameters follows.

140

### 5.3.1 Length-scale analysis

Length-scale rotational algorithm calculates relative lengths (RelL). Relative lengths are the sum of segments of a curved line of a given scale fit to a profile<sup>12</sup> divided by the projected length of the profile. Not only can different scales of measurement be used, but also profiles can be taken from different orientations from any given profile orientation, as the scale of measurement decreases the relative lengths of a curved line increases providing a more accurate digital representation of the surface (Figure 5.5).

#### Anisotropy (*epLsar*)

Relative lengths of profiles differ with orientation when the roughness of the surface has directionality (Bergstrom and Brown 1999). As described by Scott et al. (2006) and Ungar et al. (2007), relative lengths at given orientations are defined as vectors. Here the vectors were calculated at intervals of  $5^{\circ}$  at 1.8 µm scale of observation and then normalized using the exact proportion method. Normalized relative length vectors can be graphically displayed as a rosette diagram for a visual interpretation of directionality (Figure 5.6). The length of the mean vector is a measure of surface anisotropy called exact proportion Length-scale anisotropy of relief (*epLsar*). The median *epLsar* was calculated for all four adjoining scans for each specimen in ToothFrax (Surfract Corporation, www.surfract.com).

Anisotropy can distinguish a diet of hard foods from tough foods. Tough foods are often associated with scratches and produce a directional pattern,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> A cross section through a surface.

particularly when occlusal morphology dictates constrained chewing motions (Figure 5.7). A surface dominated by parallel striations will have greater anisotropy (*epLsar*) values (Scott et al. 2006; Ungar et al. 2007). For example, the sable consuming grass will have a higher *epLsar* value compared to the gerenuk that consumes leaves (Ungar et al. 2007).

### 5.3.2 Area-scale analysis

Using the same SSFA principle, area-scaling tiling algorithm calculates relative areas (RelA) using replicated triangular tiles to represent the surface. The area-scaling algorithm calculates relative area across a range of scales up to the sampling interval of the selected microwear surface. The relative area is calculated by dividing the surface area (calculated by the sum of the triangles of a selected scale) by area of that surface. As the scale becomes finer on a rough surface, the more triangles are needed to recreate the surface, and thus the relative area of the surface becomes greater (Figure 5.8).

# Complexity (Asfc)

Complexity depends on the change in roughness at decreasing scales of observation and uses area-scale tiling algorithm to calculate relative area. The differences between the scales can be used to describe the overall surface complexity using the calculation of relative area over a range of scales. The relative areas for each scan used ranged from 7200  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> to 0.20  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> with one order magnitude in ToothFrax (Scott et al. 2006). Surface complexity is measured by Area-scale fractal complexity (*Asfc*). Complexity is calculated by the slope of

"the steepest part of the curve, fit to a log-log plot of relative area over the range of scales multiplied by -1000" (Scott et al. 2006, p. 341) (Figure 5.9). Median *Asfc* for the four adjoining scans was used to calculate a single value for each specimen.

Microwear, dominated by pits of various sizes or deep pits and scratches, will have higher complexity values (Figure 5.10). Ungar et al. (2007) have noted that among ruminants, *Tragelaphus strepsiceros* (a browser) consumes harder plant elements, and has higher *Asfc* values than *Redunca arundinum* (a grazer).

# Scale of maximum complexity (Smc)

This is the fine scale limit of the steepest part of the curve described for the complexity (*Asfc*) measure. Surfaces with lower values for scale of maximum complexity (*Smc*) tend to have more wear at very fine scales. A surface dominated by fine scratches and the absence of large pits will have a low *Smc*. For example, two cercopithecoids, *Cebus apella* and *Lophocebus albigena*, are both hard object feeders, but the *Smc* variable for each is very different. While *C. apella* has fine microwear features, *L. albigena* has very coarse features (Scott et al. 2006) suggesting two varied diets. The *Smc* variable is able to separate between two similar diets.

### Heterogeneity (HAsfc)

This parameter is a measure of variation of complexity across a surface. Four adjoining scans per specimen may each give varying values. This indicates that the amount of variation in complexity across subsections of a facet may be important in characterizing microwear (Figure 5.11). To quantify this variation, the heterogeneity of area-scale fractal complexity algorithm, or HAsfc, is calculated. Heterogeneity is calculated by splitting each individual scan into smaller sections with equal numbers of rows and columns beginning first with 2 x 2 then into smaller subsections up to 13 x 13. The resulting distributions are typically skewed, so the relative variation in complexity for each set of subregions are calculated as the median absolute deviation of *Asfc* divided by the median of *Asfc*. The median *HAsfc* is then calculated for each specimen. Scott et al. (2006) have noted that this variable has been successful in distinguishing between hard object feeders, and may be related to factors such as varying sizes of wear-causing particles.

## 5.3.3 Volume-scale analysis

Volume-scaling algorithm fills a surface with square cuboids of different volumes. This is calculated using the Sfrax program (Surfract Corporation, www.surfract.com).

#### **Textural fill volume** (*Tfv*)

This examines the summed volume of squared cuboids of a given scale that fill a surface. This is computed as the difference in summed volume for larger 10  $\mu$ m cuboids (the shape of the surface) and at very fine 2  $\mu$ m cuboids (texture of the surface) (Figure 5.12). The summed differences between the two scales remove the overall shape of the surface and limit characterization to the microwear features. The fill volume can be calculated at either fine (*FTfv*) or course scale

(*Tfv*). Deeply excavated wear means more rectangular prisms are packed in and greater *Tfv*. High *Tfv* values indicate features that are 'larger, deeper and more symmetrical' (Scott et al. 2006). The *Tfv* value may have the potential to distinguish between individuals that consume foods with different fracture properties (op. cit.)



**Figure 5.5.** Length-scale analysis. (a) As the scale of measurement decreases the relative length of a curved line increases. (b) Profiles can be taken in different orientations. Drawing modified from Scott et al. (2006).



**Figure 5.6.** Rosette plots of relative lengths. Photosimulations represent (a) striated surface and (b) pitted surface. Illustrations courtesy of Peter Ungar.



**Figure 5.7.** *epLsar* variable. Anisotrophic and isotropic striations. Figure from Ungar et al. (2007).



**Figure 5.8.** Area-scale analysis. An area-scale algorithm using triangles of different sizes used to measure surface roughness (a-c). Note that roughness of surface increases with a finer scale of measurement. Figure from Scott et al. (2005).



**Figure 5.9.** Plot of relative area over scale. Here complexity has been calculated for pitted surface (a) and a striated surface (b). Figure courtesy of Peter Ungar.



**Figure 5.10.** *Asfc*, complex and simple microwear surfaces. Figure from Ungar et al. (2007).



**Figure 5.11.** *HAsfc*, heterogeneous and homogenous microwear surfaces. Illustration from Scott et al. (2006).



**Figure 5.12.** *Tfv* and *FTfv*, square cuboids at coarse (a) and fine (b) scale filling a surface relief. Illustration from Scott et al. (2006).

# 5.3.4 Statistics

Descriptive statistics for each species were calculated, including the mean, median and standard deviation (SD). All statistical analyses were performed in SPSS 11.0 (SPSS Corp.) or PAST (Palaeontological Statistics, version 1.81: Hammer et al. 2001). Statistical analyses were performed to determine the extent of variation in microwear texture between species following Ungar et al. (2007). All data were ranktransformed before analysis because unranked microwear data typically violate assumptions associated with parametric statistical tests (Conover and Iman 1981).

Spearman's rho correlations were used to measure linear relationships between paired variables. A score of +1 indicates the paired variables have an identical relationship, whereas a score of -1 indicates the variables are an inverse relationship. There is no relation between the paired variables if the score is zero.

For multivariate analysis of variance, model data for the variables were compared among species, with species as the factor, and dental microwear variables (*epLsar*, *Asfc*, *Smc*, *HAsfc*, and *Tfv*) as the dependent variables, and values for each individual as the replicates. This test assesses the significance of variation among the taxa in overall microwear surface texture.

A one-way ANOVA was used to determine the sources of significant variation. Multiple comparison tests were used to determine the source of significant variation. Because even small sample sizes were expected to reflect dietary differences, Fisher's LSD a priori tests were used to compare species. This is the least conservative of the *post hoc* tests and has been criticized for false positives (Proschan 1997). It does nonetheless indicate the presence of variation. A Tukey's HSD *post hoc* test was also performed to balance risks of Type I and Type II errors (Cook and Farewell, 1996). For unequal variances, the conservative Tamhane's T2 will balance the risk of Type I error.

To identify dietary patterns in the data, Principal Components Analysis (PCA) was used. PCA seeks to describe in a few dimensions the patterns of dietary variation present in a larger multidimensional data set. The PCA is used to form unrelated linear combinations of the observed variables (Dytham 1999). The first component has the greatest variance and successive components explain progressively lesser portions of the variance. These are all uncorrelated with each other. The correlation matrix is used as the basis for determining the principal components of different variables with different variances. Correlated variables are given equal loadings in the determination of maximum variance. These loading indicate how strongly a variable affects the distribution of the sample. It is important to determine how many axes are necessary to depict adequately a range of variation. In the present analysis, the sample sizes are small and it is noted that small sample sizes have little impact on axes determination (Falsetti et al. 1993). Biplots were also examined within the Principal Component Analysis. The biplot shows inter-unit distances and indicates clustering of units as well as display correlations of the variables (Gabriel 1971).

The main purpose of a Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) is to predict group membership based on a linear combination of the interval variables. The predictors do not have to be normal distribution, equal variance or linearly related (Tabachnick and Fidell 1996). The procedure begins with a set of observations where both group membership and the values of the interval variables are known. This results in a model that allows prediction of group membership. DFA is applied to predict whether diet classifications were correct using DMTA variables. The analysis will determine how often an individual of known diet (based on  $\delta^{13}$ C averages for modern bovids) would be attributed to the correct group using DMTA variables (*epLsar*, *Asfc*, *Smc*, *HAsfc*, and *Tfv*). The grouping variable was the diet, and the independents were the DMTA variables. The DFA could then be used to determine which variables were the best predictors of diet classifications. Second, DFA is used to understand of the data set, as a careful examination the prediction model can give insight into the relationship between group membership and the variables used to predict group membership.

#### 5.4 **Results of modern bovid diets**

Lists of DMTA values for modern bovid taxa are found in Tables 5.2. Examples of microwear surfaces are illustrated in Figures 5.2. Statistical analyses for DTMA are shown in Tables 5.4 - 5.8 and Figures 5.13 - 5.17. Descriptive statistics for modern bovid taxa are in Table 5.9.

## 5.4.1 Statistics

Ungar et al. (2007) have noted that C<sub>3</sub> feeders exhibit more complex microwear surfaces and as a result, they tend to have higher *Asfc* and lower *epLsar* values. The microwear surface of bovids that select C<sub>4</sub> vegetation will have more striations, hence higher *epLar* and lower *Asfc* values. These differences in diet categories were confirmed by ANOVA results (P = 0.000). They also observed variations between species within diet categories (browsers, P = 0.046; grazers, P= 0.0017).

The importance of these variables for analysing different feeding guilds is tested on a larger sample of modern bovids. A bivariate plot of *epLsar* and *Asfc* for the various bovid species shows that the  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  consumers tend to fall into two clusters with some overlap between them (Figure 5.13). Here  $C_3$  feeders tend to have lower *epLsar* values and higher *Asfc* values compared to C<sub>4</sub> feeders. *Asfc* and *epLsar* values are quite variable for each feeding category. Notable taxa were lechwe, springbok and impala. Each group had unique microwear features. Lechwe had variable *epLsar* values similar to C<sub>3</sub> feeders, but low *Asfc* values similar to C<sub>4</sub> feeders. Impala had moderate *epLsar* values with lower to moderate *Asfc* values. Springbok had highly variable *epLsar* values and the *Asfc* values were in the range of C<sub>3</sub> feeders. The  $\delta^{13}$ C values show both lechwe and impala as mixed feeders, and springbok as a variable C<sub>3</sub> feeder. In addition to *Asfc* and *epLsar*, other variables, such as *Smc*, *HAsfc*, *Tfv* and *FTfv*, may offer finer resolution in explaining the dietary behaviours of bovids. Nonetheless, these results highlight the efficiency of the DMTA technique for differentiating diet categories.

Spearman's rho correlations show linear relationships between paired variables (Table 5.4), including *epLsar*, *Asfc*, *Smc*, *HAsfc*, *Tfv* and *FTfv*. Most correlations were low between variables, suggesting that variables can be differentiated and treated independently. A significant association exists between *Tfv* and *FTfv* (0.98). Both variables examine textural fill volume, *FTfv* being at a finer resolution than *Tfv*. Since both variables provide similar information, only the *Tfv* variable will be considered in the remaining analyses.



**Figure 5.13.** Bivariate plot of *epLsar* and *Asfc* for modern bovid taxa. Individual point values for each specimen have been plotted. Open symbols represent  $C_3$  feeders, closed symbols indicate  $C_4$  feeders, and hash and cross markers are mixed  $C_3$ – $C_4$  feeders.

| Variables | epLsar | Asfc | Smc  | HAsfc | Tfv  |
|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Asfc      | 275    |      |      |       |      |
| Smc       | .117   | 418  |      |       |      |
| HAsfc     | 216    | .109 | .031 |       |      |
| Tfv       | .385   | 259  | .033 | 338   |      |
| FTfv      | .370   | 299  | .074 | 299   | .980 |

 Table 5.4.
 Spearman's rho correlations between variables for modern bovid taxa.

Multivariate test results indicated significant variation among modern bovid taxa in overall microwear texture (Table 5.5a). In ANOVA, the individual variables for variables epLsar, Asfc and Tfv showed significant variation among the taxa (Table 5.5b). The results for Smc were insignificant (P = .143), and HAsfc was borderline insignificant (P = .052). Most species had additional wear at very fine scales (Smc) and a low degree of within-facet variation in microwear across different scales (HAsfc). Pairwise comparisons indicated unique texture patterns for several of the modern taxa. At least one or more species exhibited differences from one or more other species for epLsar, Asfc and Tfv (Table 5.5c). The Tukey's test results indicated significant variation between species for the variable epLsar. For this variable, gerenuk and steenbok (obligate C<sub>3</sub> consumers) varied from wildebeest, buffalo, sable (obligate C<sub>4</sub> consumers), gemsbok (variable C<sub>4</sub> consumers) and impala (mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> consumer). Gerenuk varied from springbok (variable C<sub>3</sub> consumer). The Fishers LSD tests indicated significant variation between species for the variable Asfc. For the most part  $C_3$  and mixed  $C_3$ - $C_4$  feeders varied from C<sub>4</sub> feeders. The steenbok varied from C<sub>4</sub> consumers and springbok. Gerenuk and springbok varied from lechwe, sable and gemsbok. Springbok also varied from buffalo. Gemsbok varied from kudu and another  $C_4$  feeder, wildebeest. The Tamhane's T2 test showed significant variation for *Tfv* variable. Gerenuk and steenbok (obligate C<sub>3</sub> feeders) varied from wildebeest; blesbok and sable (obligate  $C_4$  feeders).

# Table 5.5. Statistical analysis of DMTA data of modern bovids.

## A. Multivariate tests

| Effect            | Value | F    | df   | Р    |
|-------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| Pillai's Trace    | 1.96  | 2.13 | 60.0 | .000 |
| Wilks' Lambda     | .05   | 2.60 | 60.0 | .000 |
| Hotelling's Trace | 5.09  | 3.17 | 60.0 | .000 |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

#### B. ANOVA between modern bovid taxa

| Variables | Sum of<br>Squares | df | Mean<br>Squares | F    | Р    |
|-----------|-------------------|----|-----------------|------|------|
| epLsar    | 9162.93           | 10 | 916.29          | 6.90 | .000 |
| Asfc      | 6491.11           | 10 | 649.11          | 3.44 | .002 |
| Smc       | 3952.08           | 10 | 395.21          | 1.58 | .143 |
| HAsfc     | 4864.14           | 10 | 486.41          | 2.04 | .052 |
| Tfv       | 8929.29           | 10 | 892.93          | 7.16 | .000 |

Significant differences in bold (P= < 0.05)

# C. Pairwise comparisons results for modern bovid taxa

| 1. Anisotropy | (epLsar) |
|---------------|----------|
|---------------|----------|

| Таха | Ame  | Ama  | Ct   | Dp   | Hn   | Kl   | Lw   | Og   | Rc   | Sc   |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Ama  | 1    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Ct   | 1    | 1    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Dp   | .785 | .914 | .617 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Hn   | .999 | .990 | .919 | .089 |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| KI   | .566 | .728 | .431 | 1    | .071 |      |      |      |      |      |
| Lw   | .014 | .032 | .000 | .326 | .000 | .964 |      |      |      |      |
| Og   | 1    | 1    | 1    | .579 | .998 | .390 | .002 |      |      |      |
| Rc   | .044 | .086 | .005 | .598 | .000 | .991 | 1    | .010 |      |      |
| Sc   | 1    | 1    | 1    | .808 | .999 | .590 | .016 | 1    | .048 |      |
| Tst  | .683 | .827 | .574 | 1    | .114 | 1    | .908 | .515 | .970 | .706 |

Significant differences in bold (P > .05) with Tukey's HSD Multiple Comparisons Test.

# 2. Complexity (*Asfc*)

| Таха | Ame  | Ama  | Ct   | Dp   | Hn   | Kl   | Lw   | Og   | Rc   | Sc   |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Ama  | .060 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Ct   | .072 | .583 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Dp   | .084 | .645 | .944 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Hn   | .012 | .695 | .219 | .299 |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| КІ   | .008 | .393 | .112 | .152 | .551 |      |      |      |      |      |
| Lw   | .494 | .108 | .123 | .154 | .014 | .010 |      |      |      |      |
| Og   | .003 | .315 | .049 | .084 | .448 | .958 | .002 |      |      |      |
| Rc   | .974 | .034 | .029 | .041 | .003 | .003 | .393 | .001 |      |      |
| Sc   | .011 | .479 | .157 | .205 | .670 | .882 | .016 | .827 | .005 |      |
| Tst  | .768 | .109 | .147 | .161 | .027 | .016 | .742 | .007 | .716 | .023 |

Significant differences in bold (P > .05) with Fisher's Least Significance Test.

#### 3. Textural fill volume (*Tfv*)

| Таха | Ame | Ama | Ct   | Dp   | Hn   | KI   | Lw   | Og   | Rc   | Sc |
|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| Ama  | 1   |     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |    |
| Ct   | 1   | 1   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |    |
| Dp   | 1   | 1   | 1    |      |      |      |      |      |      |    |
| Hn   | 1   | 1   | 1    | .526 |      |      |      |      |      |    |
| КІ   | 1   | 1   | .213 | .051 | .984 |      |      |      |      |    |
| Lw   | 1   | 1   | .001 | .000 | .012 | .204 |      |      |      |    |
| Og   | 1   | 1   | .998 | .793 | 1    | 1    | .551 |      |      |    |
| Rc   | 1   | 1   | .000 | .000 | .009 | .169 | 1    | .544 |      |    |
| Sc   | 1   | 1   | .226 | .119 | .876 | 1    | .874 | 1    | .838 |    |
| Tst  | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | .993 | 1    | .995 | 1  |

Significant differences in bold (P > .05) with Tamhane's T2 for unequal variances

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) seeks to describe the patterns of diet variation present in the dataset. Each bovid species were assigned to a diet category based on the stable carbon isotope averages (see Table 4.4). Three dietary categories (C<sub>3</sub>, mixed and C<sub>4</sub>) were used instead of the five categories discussed in Chapter 4 (Table 4.5) because the sample sizes for each of the five categories were too small. Component 1 represents a sample variability of 39.4% for data comparing DMTA variables. This component separates  $C_3$  feeders from C<sub>4</sub> feeders. Generally, C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>3</sub> feeders are widely separated from each other by epLsar, Smc and Tfv values indicating a surface pattern dominated by course scale microwear with deep striations (Table 5.6). This pattern is consistent with a diet of tough fibrous foods, such as grass. Conversely, C<sub>3</sub> feeders were explained by Asfc and *HAsfc* variables (Figure 5.15) showing a complex microwear surface. Mixed feeders (lechwe and impala) were allocated between  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  feeders. Component 2 was less variable at 23.1%. Component II was explained by HAsfc and Smc variables (Figure 5.14). Lechwe, buffalo and gemsbok loaded almost exclusively for HAsfc and Smc, while wildebeest and blesbok loaded for Asfc, epLsar and Tfv (Figure 5.15). Component 2 tends to distinguish between  $C_4$ feeders and lechwe, a mixed feeder. PCA highlighted not only interspecific dietary variability, but also intraspecific variability. The PCA showed the variables that contributed most to differences between taxa. The technique emphasizes interspecific and intraspecific dietary differences. Based on these results, it appears evident that these same variables will differentiate feeding guilds between fossil bovid species.

For DFA, each species was assigned a diet category based on known  $\delta^{13}$ C averages for modern bovids. First, species were assigned broad dietary categories: C<sub>3</sub>, mixed and C<sub>4</sub>. Function 1 discriminated C<sub>4</sub> from C<sub>3</sub> consumers by variables *epLsar*, *Smc* and *Tfv* representing 86.3% of variance (Figure 5.16, Table 5.7). Mixed feeders overlap between the two groups (Figure 5.6). Function 2 shows 13.7% of variance and discriminates groups by HAsfc (Table 5.7). This function only separated mixed feeders from the other two groups. Using these broad diet categories, 84.2% of the original grouped cases were correctly classified (Table 5.7).

In the next analysis, diet categories were expanded to include five diet categories (OC<sub>3</sub>, VC<sub>3</sub>, mixed, VC<sub>4</sub> and OC<sub>4</sub>). Function 1 accounted for 77.5% of variance, while Function 2 only had 19% of variance (Figure 5.17, Table 5.8). Similar to the first analysis, Function 1 discriminated the groups by *epLsar*, *Smc* and *Tfv*, and Function 2 by *HAsfc*. For this test, 77.2% of the original grouped cases were classified correctly (Table 5.8).



**Figure 5.14.** PCA results for modern bovid taxa demonstrating unique dietary patterns and variation with each diet category. Diagram (A) shows clusters based on diet. Each species was placed into a diet category based on the  $\delta^{13}$ C averages for modern bovids. This diagram represents where diets cluster. Each feeding category was grouped by convex hulls representing ranges that connect. Symbols represent different feeding guilds:  $\nabla$  = Obligate C<sub>3</sub>,  $\triangle$  = Variable C<sub>3</sub>,  $\bigcirc$  = Mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>,  $\diamondsuit$  = Variable C<sub>4</sub> and  $\varkappa$  = Obligate C<sub>4</sub>. Diagram (B) shows the same cluster as diagram A, but based on taxon. Each taxon was grouped by convex hulls. A specimen is associated with individual values. Abbreviations represent different species: *Aepyceros melampus* = Ame, *Antidorcas marsupialis* = Ama, *Connochaetes taurinus* = Ct, *Damaliscus pygargus* = Dp, *Hippotragus niger* = Hn, *Oryx gazella* = Og, *Kobus leche* = K1, *Litocranius walleri* = Lw, *Pelea capreolus* = Pc, *Syncerus caffer* = Sc and *Tragelaphus strepsiceros* = Ts.



Figure 5.15. Loadings for Components 1 and 2.

# Table 5.6. PCA for modern bovid taxa.

# A. Eigenvalue

| PC | Eigenvalue | % of Variance | Cumulative % |
|----|------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1  | 1.97       | 39.4          | 39.4         |
| 2  | 1.15       | 23.1          | 62.5         |
| 3  | 0.81       | 16.2          | 78.7         |
| 4  | 0.60       | 12.1          | 90.8         |
| 5  | 0.46       | 9.2           | 100          |

### **B.** Principal Component Loadings

| PC 1          | Axis 1 | Axis 2 | Axis 3 | Axis 4 | Axis 5 |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| epLsar        | 0.53   | -0.10  | 0.20   | 0.80   | -0.17  |
| Asfc          | -0.51  | -0.35  | -0.26  | 0.49   | 0.56   |
| Smc           | 0.35   | 0.68   | -0.29  | 0.05   | 0.57   |
| H <i>Asfc</i> | -0.36  | 0.38   | 0.82   | 0.12   | 0.18   |
| Tfv           | 0.44   | -0.51  | 0.36   | -0.33  | 0.55   |

continued on next page

# Table 5.6. continued

C. Scores

| Таха | Axis 1 | Axis 2 | Axis 3 | Axis 4 | Axis 5 |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Lw   | -1.14  | -0.08  | -1.17  | -0.90  | -0.05  |
| Lw   | -1.50  | 0.60   | -1.10  | -0.52  | -0.87  |
| Lw   | -1.69  | -0.72  | -1.61  | -0.01  | 0.63   |
| Lw   | -0.87  | 1.05   | -0.63  | -0.31  | -0.39  |
| Lw   | -0.76  | -0.80  | -0.53  | -0.43  | 0.37   |
| Lw   | -1.13  | 0.24   | -0.70  | 0.16   | -0.46  |
| Lw   | -1.44  | 0.96   | -0.20  | 0.62   | -0.28  |
| Lw   | -0.24  | 0.57   | 0.36   | -0.99  | -2.23  |
| Ama  | -0.88  | 1.50   | 0.45   | 0.51   | -0.57  |
| Ama  | -0.86  | 0.02   | 0.18   | 2.16   | 0.03   |
| Ama  | 0.34   | -1.67  | -0.29  | 1.75   | 0.56   |
| Rc   | -2.22  | -0.36  | -0.19  | 0.51   | 1.53   |
| Rc   | -1.32  | -0.47  | -1.60  | -0.44  | -0.26  |
| Rc   | -0.93  | 0.36   | -0.16  | -1.23  | -0.44  |
| Rc   | -1.34  | -0.11  | -1.21  | -0.24  | 0.18   |
| Rc   | -0.70  | 0.33   | -1.57  | 0.98   | -0.99  |
| Ts   | -2.35  | 0.06   | 1.52   | 0.34   | 2.09   |
| Ts   | -0.04  | -0.59  | 1.12   | -0.77  | 0.20   |
| Ts   | -0.14  | -0.64  | -0.81  | 0.42   | -0.15  |
| Ame  | -0.59  | 1.53   | 1.19   | 0.43   | -1.47  |
| Ame  | -0.62  | 0.16   | 1.19   | 1.26   | -0.63  |
| Ame  | 0.86   | -0.33  | 1.67   | -0.24  | 0.56   |
| кі   | 0.20   | 1.17   | -0.80  | -1.87  | 0.45   |
| кі   | -1.03  | 1.74   | 3.16   | -0.80  | 0.15   |
| кі   | 0.50   | 0.45   | 0.39   | 0.24   | -0.38  |
| Og   | -0.47  | 0.80   | 1.39   | 0.07   | -0.08  |
| Og   | 1.28   | 0.37   | -0.43  | -1.26  | 0.76   |
| Og   | 0.83   | 1.12   | 0.88   | 0.23   | 0.67   |
| Og   | 0.60   | -0.03  | 0.30   | 0.63   | -2.37  |
| Og   | 0.44   | -0.09  | 1.15   | 0.86   | -1.50  |

continued on next page
## Table 5.6. continued

C. Scores

| Таха | Axis 1 | Axis 2 | Axis 3 | Axis 4 | Axis 5 |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Ct   | 0.34   | -0.56  | 0.02   | -1.47  | -0.18  |
| Ct   | 0.75   | -0.36  | 0.46   | -1.64  | 0.10   |
| Ct   | -0.46  | -1.27  | -0.97  | 0.23   | 0.51   |
| Ct   | 0.18   | -0.88  | 0.09   | 0.28   | -0.32  |
| Ct   | 0.66   | -0.32  | -0.84  | -0.36  | -2.58  |
| Ct   | 0.95   | -1.08  | 0.08   | -0.48  | -0.03  |
| Ct   | 0.11   | -1.82  | 1.51   | 1.16   | 2.40   |
| Ct   | 0.60   | 0.36   | 0.59   | 1.14   | 0.84   |
| Ct   | 0.99   | -1.05  | -0.53  | 1.06   | 0.15   |
| Ct   | 1.76   | -0.44  | -0.66  | 0.42   | 0.10   |
| Dp   | 0.36   | -1.00  | -0.74  | -1.28  | 0.95   |
| Dp   | 0.24   | -0.95  | -0.50  | -1.08  | 1.15   |
| Dp   | 1.01   | -1.36  | 0.49   | -2.36  | 0.05   |
| Dp   | -0.31  | -0.65  | 0.71   | -0.52  | 0.36   |
| Dp   | 0.41   | -0.97  | 0.89   | -0.31  | 0.15   |
| Dp   | 0.55   | -0.73  | 0.56   | 0.00   | -0.73  |
| Hn   | 0.60   | -0.74  | 0.12   | -1.55  | -0.93  |
| Hn   | 1.19   | 1.06   | 0.18   | 0.16   | 1.14   |
| Hn   | 1.65   | 1.71   | -1.22  | 0.06   | 0.35   |
| Hn   | 0.88   | -0.57  | 0.87   | 0.75   | -0.48  |
| Hn   | 0.67   | -0.48  | 0.68   | 1.04   | -1.40  |
| Hn   | 0.35   | -1.29  | -0.77  | 2.18   | -0.54  |
| Sc   | 0.40   | 2.16   | -0.01  | -0.88  | 1.58   |
| Sc   | 1.47   | 2.31   | -1.69  | 0.74   | 1.16   |
| Sc   | 1.84   | 1.80   | -1.26  | 1.53   | 1.17   |



Figure 5.16. DFA results for modern bovid taxa based on broad diet categories.

# Table 5.7. DFA results using three diet categories.

## A. Classification

| Diet                  | Predicte       | d Group Men | Total          |    |  |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----|--|
|                       | C <sub>3</sub> | Mixed       | C <sub>4</sub> |    |  |
| C <sub>3</sub>        | 18             | 1           | 2              | 21 |  |
| М                     | 0              | 3           | 3              | 6  |  |
| <b>C</b> <sub>4</sub> | 1              | 2           | 27             | 30 |  |

84.2% of original grouped cases correctly classified

## B. Eigenvalues

| Function | Eigenvalue | % of Variance | Cumulative % | Canonical Correlation |
|----------|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 1        | 1.972      | 86.3          | 86.3         | .815                  |
| 2        | .312       | 13.7          | 100          | .488                  |

## C. Standardized Canonical Discriminant Function Coefficients

| DMTA variables | Funct | tion |
|----------------|-------|------|
|                | 1     | 2    |
| epLsar         | .505  | .003 |
| Asfc           | 473   | 490  |
| Smc            | .239  | 089  |
| HAsfc          | .021  | .925 |
| Tfv            | .773  | 031  |



Figure 5.17. DFA results for modern bovid taxa based on five diet categories.

 Table 5.8. DFA results using five diet categories.

## A. Classification

| Diat -          |                 | Predict         | Total                   |   |                 |       |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------|-------|
| Diet            | OC <sub>3</sub> | VC <sub>3</sub> | Mixed VC <sub>4</sub> C |   | OC <sub>4</sub> | Total |
| OC <sub>3</sub> | 9               | 0               | 0                       | 0 | 0               | 9     |
| $VC_3$          | 3               | 6               | 1                       | 0 | 2               | 12    |
| М               | 0               | 0               | 6                       | 0 | 0               | 6     |
| $VC_4$          | 0               | 0               | 0                       | 5 | 0               | 5     |
| $OC_4$          | 0               | 2               | 1                       | 4 | 18              | 25    |

77.2% of original grouped cases correctly classified

## B. Eigenvalues

| Function | Eigenvalue | % of Variance | Cumulative % | Canonical Correlation |
|----------|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 1        | 2.083      | 77.5          | 77.5         | .822                  |
| 2        | .510       | 19.0          | 96.5         | .581                  |
| 3        | .074       | 2.8           | 99.3         | .263                  |
| 4        | .020       | .7            | 100          | .140                  |

## C. Standardized Canonical Discriminant Function Coefficients

| DMTA      |      |      | Function |      |  |
|-----------|------|------|----------|------|--|
| variables | 1    | 2    | 3        | 4    |  |
| epLsar    | .535 | .125 | .527     | 646  |  |
| Asfc      | 398  | 587  | .694     | .207 |  |
| Smc       | .243 | 179  | 106      | .450 |  |
| HAsfc     | .023 | .895 | .406     | .298 |  |
| Tfv       | .781 | 156  | .161     | .661 |  |

## 5.4.2 DMTA dietary profiles for modern bovids

Descriptive statistics are summarized in Tables 5.9 and 5.10. For some of the descriptive tables, abbreviations are used (page xv - xiv). These abbreviations are listed next to the species in the results section. Distribution of DMTA values for bovid taxa are shown in Figures 5.18 – 5.20.

## 5.4.2.1 Aepycerotini

#### Aepyceros melampus (Ame)

Impalas have a catholic diet that includes a variety of vegetation: forbs, twigs of shrubs or trees, fresh leaf buds, wild fruits, seedpods, and during the wet season they feed on fresh grass (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). The mean for impala were .0058 *epLsar*, 1.45 *Asfc*, 0.23 *Smc*, 0.64 *HAsfc* and 9852.9 *Tfv*. The microwear surface was varied with parallel scratches and some pitting. These features were moderately deep. The DMTA values indicate a variable diet that includes grass and other types of vegetation.

## 5.4.2.2 Alcelaphini

## Connochaetes taurinus (Ct)

Blue wildebeest will select fresh short grasses and feed on eudicots and fruit in small quantities (Skinner and Smithers 1990). The DMTA mean for the wildebeest were .0059 *epLsar*, 1.72 *Asfc*, 0.24 *Smc*, 0.39 *HAsfc* and 15645.6 *Tfv*. The microwear surface was complex with deep scratches and pits. The DMTA variables showed that this species is a grazer, but the high *Asfc* values may

indicate that they may select other types of vegetation or short grasses that could have contained grit from the adjacent soil.

## Damaliscus pygargus (Dp)

Blesbok feed on short to medium length grasses (Van Zyl 1965). On the rare occasion, they have been observed selecting tree leaves (Van Zyl 1965). They had mean of .0044 *epLsar*, 1.41 *Asfc*, 0.19 *Smc*, 0.43 *HAsfc* and 18915.8 *Tfv*. This species had moderately complex microwear surface. The features were deep and symmetrical. The blesbok has a very similar diet to the wildebeest.

## Antilopini

#### Antidorcas marsupialis (Ama)

Springbok select a variety of vegetation: fresh grass, leaves, roots, tubers, succulents, forbs, melons, seedpods and fruit (Hofmann and Stewart 1972). They had mean of .0061 *epLsar*, 2.56 *Asfc*, 0.23 *Smc*, 0.54 *HAsfc* and 7487.0 *Tfv*. Of the modern bovids observed in this study, springbok were distinct in having high *epLsar*, *Asfc* and *HAsfc* values. The microwear surface was complex, dominated by fine striations and pits of varying sizes and shapes. The high *HAsfc* values indicate variations in complexity for each set of subregions. This species consumed a higher portion of tough fibrous food then other browsers.

## Litocranius walleri (Lw)

Gerenuks are concentrated  $C_3$  feeders. They will select tree leaves, shoots, flowers, lianas and fruits (Leuthold 1978). The mean for gerenuk were .0023

*epLsar*, 2.19 *Asfc*, 0.20 *Smc*, 0.50 *HAsfc* and 4533.8 *Tfv*. The microwear was a combination of pits and striations of varying sizes overlaying one another. This species had a conservative diet, consuming mostly hard foods. The *epLsar* and *Asfc* values presented here are similar to Ungar et al. (2007) (Table 5.10).

## 5.4.2.3 Bovini

## Syncerus caffer (Sc)

African buffaloes feed on old tall grass that other bovids would avoid (Skinner and Smithers 1990). The species had mean of .0062 *epLsar*, 1.14 *Asfc*, 0.81 *Smc*, 0.43 *HAsfc* and 9064.0 *Tfv*. The overall surface was dominated by parallel striations with some pitting. The features were coarse. African buffalo had the highest *Smc* value, suggesting it selected a different type of  $C_4$  resource to that of other grazing bovids.

## 5.4.2.4 Hippotragini

## Hippotragus niger (Hn)

Sables prefer fresh grass, but will to a small extent select forbs, tree leaves and fruits (Grobler 1981). The mean for the sable were .0070 *epLsar*, 1.32 *Asfc*, 0.33 *Smc*, 0.41 *HAsfc* and 13031.1 *Tfv*. This species had the highest *epLsar* value among the bovids. The tooth surface was dominated by deep scratches and some pitting. The DMTA results here suggest a diet comprised mainly of grass. The *epLsar* and *Asfc* results presented here are similar to Ungar et al. (2007) (Table 5.10).

## Oryx gazella (Og)

Gemsbok consume a variety of food, preferring green grass, but also select roots, tubers, melons and wild cucumbers (Dieckmann 1980). The mean for the gemsbok were .0057 *epLsar*, 0.96 *Asfc*, 0.32 *Smc*, 0.50 *HAsfc* and 12491.8 *Tfv*. The overall microwear surface was dominated by deep symmetrical striations. Ungar et al. (2007) results for *epLsar* (.0070) and *Asfc* (1.39) were dissimilar to the present study (Table 5.10). The difference in values between the two studies may be due to the different part of the facet that was selected for scanning. Nonetheless, the five DMTA values used in the PCA grouped gemsbok with other  $C_4$  feeders.

## 5.4.2.5 Neotragini

## Oreotragus oreotragus (Oor)

Klipspringers consume leaves, berries, fruits, seedpods, flowers, herbs, young shoots and on rare occasions fresh grass (Wilson and Child 1965; Norton 1984). The species had a mean of .0025 *epLsar*, 1.48 *Asfc*, 0.49 *HAsfc* and 4384.0 *Tfv* (Table 5.9). The tooth surface was moderately complex with fine features. The DMTA values presented here show a diet of soft  $C_3$  vegetation.

#### Raphicerus campestris (Rc)

Steenbok feed on mainly forbs, but also eat tree leaves, shoots, creepers, lianas, seeds, seedpods, berries, fruits and fresh grass (Smithers 1971; du Toit 1993). Five specimens had a mean of .0021 *epLsar*, 2.75 *Asfc*, 0.20 *Smc*, 0.47 *HAsfc* and

4778.0 *Tfv*. The microwear surface was complex, dominated by fine pits. Steenbok selected mostly hard, brittle foods.

## 5.4.2.6 Reduncini

## Kobus leche (Kl)

Lechwes feed mainly on grasses that include sedges. They rarely consume eudicots, but when they do, they prefer new growth (Williamson 1979). Three specimens had mean of .0036 *epLsar*, 1.10 *Asfc*, .37 *Smc*, .63 *HAsfc* and 10160.0 *Tfv*. The overall description that best describes the microwear features was moderate. The *epLsar*, *Asfc*, *Smc* and *Tfv* values all fell within the mid-range. The high *HAsfc* values indicated variations in complexity for each set of subregions. The results suggest they consume a variety of foods. In comparison to Ungar et al. (2007) study, the *Asfc* values were similar, but the *epLsar* values were different. The higher *epLsar* value in the Ungar et al. (2007) study was similar to field observations (Table 5.10), which showed that this taxon consumes mostly monocots (Williamson 1990).

## 5.4.2.7 Tragelaphini

#### Tragelaphus strepsiceros (Tst)

Kudu consume a wide variety of resources: leaves, shoots, seedpods, forbs, herbs, fallen fruits, succulents, vines, tubers, flowers and some fresh grass (Du Plessis and Skinner 1987; Owen-Smith and Cooper 1989). The mean for kudu were .0035 *epLsar*, 2.55 *Asfc*, 0.17 *Smc*, 0.58 *HAsfc* and 11910.1 *Tfv*. The tooth surface was complex with some striations, but mostly dominated by varying size and shaped

pits. There were variations in complexity across the surface. One individual (.0014 *epLsar*, 3.71 *Asfc*) was similar to the results of Ungar et al. (2007) in which it was found that it consumed mostly hard, brittle eudicots. In Ungar et al. (2007) study, the *epLsar* value was lower (.0018) and the *Asfc* value was higher (4.45). Although kudu are browsers, they select a large variety of vegetation. The results in this study reflect field observations made by Du Plessis and Skinner (1987), Hofmann (1989), and Owen-Smith and Cooper (1989).

**Table 5.9.** Summary of DMTA descriptive statistics for modern bovid taxa.

| Tribe        | Таха                     | Ν  | Min   | Max   | Mean  | SD    |
|--------------|--------------------------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Aepycerotini | Aepyceros melampus       | 3  | .0049 | .0065 | .0058 | .0008 |
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes taurinus    | 10 | .0035 | .0081 | .0059 | .0016 |
|              | Damaliscus pygargus      | 8  | .0032 | .0063 | .0044 | .0013 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas marsupialis   | 3  | .0040 | .0079 | .0061 | .0020 |
|              | Litocranius walleri      | 9  | .0012 | .0036 | .0023 | .0009 |
| Bovini       | Syncerus caffer          | 3  | .0033 | .0087 | .0062 | .0027 |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger        | 6  | .0041 | .0085 | .0070 | .0016 |
|              | Oryx gazella             | 6  | .0035 | .0076 | .0057 | .0017 |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus    | 1  |       |       | .0025 | •     |
|              | Raphicerus campestris    | 5  | .0014 | .0045 | .0021 | .0013 |
| Reduncini    | Kobus leche              | 3  | .0018 | .0061 | .0036 | .0022 |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 3  | .0014 | .0050 | .0035 | .0019 |

| A. Anisotropy | (epLsar) |
|---------------|----------|
|---------------|----------|

# B. Complexity (Asfc)

| Tribe        | Таха                     | Ν  | Min  | Max  | Mean | SD   |
|--------------|--------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|
| Aepycerotini | Aepyceros melampus       | 3  | 1.11 | 2.10 | 1.45 | .56  |
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes taurinus    | 10 | .71  | 3.16 | 1.72 | .82  |
|              | Damaliscus pygargus      | 8  | .57  | 2.08 | 1.41 | .59  |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas marsupialis   | 3  | 1.76 | 3.00 | 2.56 | .70  |
|              | Litocranius walleri      | 9  | .66  | 3.59 | 2.19 | .85  |
| Bovini       | Syncerus caffer          | 3  | 1.10 | 1.22 | 1.14 | .07  |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger        | 6  | .62  | 2.68 | 1.32 | .72  |
|              | Oryx gazella             | 6  | .41  | 1.56 | .96  | .38  |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus    | 1  |      |      | 1.48 |      |
|              | Raphicerus campestris    | 5  | 1.75 | 3.98 | 2.78 | .81  |
| Reduncini    | Kobus leche              | 3  | 1.01 | 1.23 | 1.10 | .12  |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 3  | 1.61 | 3.71 | 2.55 | 1.07 |

continued on next page

# Table 5.9. continued

| C. Scale of maximum complexity (Sinc) | C. | Scale | of | maximum | comp | lexity | 1 | (Smc) | ) |
|---------------------------------------|----|-------|----|---------|------|--------|---|-------|---|
|---------------------------------------|----|-------|----|---------|------|--------|---|-------|---|

| Tribe        | Таха                     | Ν  | Min | Max | Mean | SD  |
|--------------|--------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Aepycerotini | Aepyceros melampus       | 3  | .15 | .27 | .23  | .07 |
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes taurinus    | 10 | .15 | .42 | .24  | .10 |
|              | Damaliscus pygargus      | 6  | .15 | .27 | .19  | .06 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas marsupialis   | 3  | .15 | .34 | .23  | .10 |
|              | Litocranius walleri      | 9  | .15 | .34 | .20  | .07 |
| Bovini       | Syncerus caffer          | 3  | .71 | .89 | .81  | .09 |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger        | 6  | .15 | .74 | .33  | .27 |
|              | Oryx gazella             | 5  | .15 | .51 | .32  | .18 |
| Neotragini   | Raphicerus campestris    | 5  | .15 | .27 | .20  | .05 |
| Reduncini    | Kobus leche              | 3  | .27 | .51 | .37  | .12 |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 3  | .15 | .21 | .17  | .03 |

D. Heterogeneity (HAsfc<sub>9 cells</sub>)

| Tribe        | Таха                     | Ν  | Min | Max | Mean | SD  |
|--------------|--------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Aepycerotini | Aepyceros melampus       | 3  | .57 | .70 | .64  | .07 |
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes taurinus    | 10 | .27 | .57 | .39  | .10 |
|              | Damaliscus pygargus      | 8  | .32 | .54 | .43  | .08 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas marsupialis   | 3  | .36 | .66 | .54  | .16 |
|              | Litocranius walleri      | 9  | .40 | .61 | .50  | .07 |
| Bovini       | Syncerus caffer          | 3  | .36 | .58 | .43  | .12 |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger        | 6  | .32 | .49 | .41  | .08 |
|              | Oryx gazella             | 6  | .36 | .69 | .50  | .13 |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus    | 1  |     |     | .49  |     |
|              | Raphicerus campestris    | 5  | .37 | .62 | .47  | .10 |
| Reduncini    | Kobus leche              | 3  | .43 | .95 | .63  | .28 |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 3  | .37 | .83 | .58  | .23 |

continued on next page

# Table 5.9. continued

| Ε. | Textural | fill | volume | (Tfv) |
|----|----------|------|--------|-------|
|----|----------|------|--------|-------|

| Tribe        | Таха                     | Ν  | Min     | Max     | Mean    | SD     |
|--------------|--------------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Aepycerotini | Aepyceros melampus       | 3  | 2364.9  | 19961.6 | 9852.9  | 9086.4 |
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes taurinus    | 10 | 7763.2  | 23578.0 | 15645.6 | 4295.9 |
|              | Damaliscus pygargus      | 8  | 14836.2 | 24658.0 | 18915.8 | 3588.2 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas marsupialis   | 3  | 1880.7  | 15623.0 | 7487.0  | 7212.0 |
|              | Litocranius walleri      | 8  | 447.2   | 11235.6 | 4533.8  | 3464.0 |
| Bovini       | Syncerus caffer          | 3  | 7133.6  | 10283.7 | 9064.0  | 1691.0 |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger        | 6  | 9909.8  | 16110.9 | 13031.1 | 2686.0 |
|              | Oryx gazella             | 6  | 7726.3  | 17233.2 | 12491.8 | 4050.2 |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus    | 1  |         |         | 4384.0  |        |
|              | Raphicerus campestris    | 5  | 788.6   | 7072.6  | 4778.0  | 2422.2 |
| Reduncini    | Kobus leche              | 3  | 9241.6  | 10955.0 | 10160.0 | 863.4  |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 3  | 9205.5  | 16461.0 | 11910.1 | 3964.5 |

**Table 5.10.** Descriptive statistics for DMTA of modern bovid taxa from Ungar et al.(2007).

| Tribe        | Taxon                    | N | Stats | epLsar | Asfc |
|--------------|--------------------------|---|-------|--------|------|
| Antilopini   | Litocranius walleri      | 9 | Mean  | 0.0020 | 2.33 |
|              |                          |   | SD    | 0.0012 | 0.87 |
| Hippotragini | Hippotragus niger        | 5 | Mean  | 0.0073 | 1.51 |
|              |                          |   | SD    | 0.0014 | 0.70 |
|              | Oryx gazella             | 6 | Mean  | 0.0062 | 1.99 |
|              |                          |   | SD    | 0.0024 | 1.22 |
| Reduncini    | Kobus leche              | 5 | Mean  | 0.0056 | 1.45 |
|              |                          |   | SD    | 0.0021 | 1.03 |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros | 4 | Mean  | 0.0019 | 4.63 |
|              |                          |   | SD    | 0.0008 | 1.82 |





**Figure 5.18.** *epLsar* and *Asfc* values of modern bovid taxa. Open symbols represent  $C_3$  feeders, closed symbols indicate  $C_4$  feeders and hash and cross markers are mixed  $C_3$ – $C_4$  feeders.





Figure 5.19. *Smc* and *HAsfc* values of modern bovid taxa. Open symbols represent  $C_3$  feeders, closed symbols indicate  $C_4$  feeders and hash and cross markers are mixed  $C_3$ – $C_4$  feeders.



**Figure 5.20.** *Tfv* values of modern bovid taxa. Open symbols represent  $C_3$  feeders, closed symbols indicate  $C_4$  feeders and hash and cross markers are mixed  $C_3$ – $C_4$  feeders.

## 5.5 **Results of fossil bovid diets**

A list of DMTA values are found in Table 5.3. Examples of microwear surfaces for fossil bovid taxa are illustrated in Figure 5.3. Statistical results for DMTA are shown in Figure 5.21.

## 5.5.1 Statistics

A 3D scatterplot of variables *epLsar*, *Asfc* and *Tfv* was used to demonstrate differences between diet categories (Figure 5.21). Each fossil species was assigned to a diet category based on the stable carbon isotope results (Table 4.11). For each diet category there was great degree of variability for *epLsar* and *Asfc*,

while Tfv was able for the most part to show C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> feeders tend to fall into two clusters with some degree of overlap. Except for Tfv, the same variables used to differentiate modern taxa do not apply here.



**Figure 5.21.** 3D scatterplot of *epLsar*, *Asfc* and *Tfv* variables. Each species was assigned a diet category (C<sub>3</sub>, mixed or C<sub>3</sub>) based on corresponding  $\delta^{13}$ C results from the present study.

## 5.5.2 DMTA dietary profiles for fossil bovids

Descriptive statistics are summarised below, in Table 5.11. Distribution of DMTA values for bovid taxa are shown in Figures 5.22–5.24.

## 5.5.2.1 Alcelaphini

#### Connochaetes sp.

The DMTA mean for *Connochaetes* sp. from Cooper's D were .0030 *epLsar*, 2.0 *Asfc*, 0.27 *Smc*, 0.35 *HAsfc* and 16000.1 *Tfv*. The low *epLsar* and high *Asfc* values indicate a surface dominated by deep pits and some striations.

The DMTA mean from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant were .0064 *epLsar*, 1.73 *Asfc*, 0.21 *Smc*, 0.42 *HAsfc* and 16410.3 *Tfv*. The tooth surface was dominated by deep scratches and moderate pitting.

Connochaetes sp. from Swartkrans Member 2 had mean of .0047 epLsar 1.55 Asfc, 0.29 Smc, 0.42 HAsfc and 14308.3 Tfv. These values indicate deep striations with moderate pitting.

At Swartkrans Member 3, *Connochaetes* sp. mean were .0045 *epLsar*, 1.86 *Asfc*, 0.23 *Smc*, 0.54 *HAsfc* and 14407.7 *Tfv*. The microwear surface was made up of deep scratches with varied sized pits.

## Damaliscus sp.

Species from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant had mean of .0051 *epLsar*, 1.81 *Asfc*, 0.74 *Smc*, 0.44 *HAsfc* and 13444.3 *Tfv*. The microwear was dominated by deep scratches and some pitting.

At Swartkrans Member 2, this taxon had mean of .0050 *epLsar*, 2.34 *Asfc*, 0.21 *Smc*, 0.37 *HAsfc* and 10063.7 *Tfv*. The microwear pattern was complex with striations and pits of varied sizes. The surface was uniform throughout.

## Megalotragus sp.

Species from Cooper's D had mean of .0030 *epLsar*, 1.51 *Asfc*, 0.27 *Smc*, 0.35 *HAsfc* and 11819.18 *Tfv*. The overall microwear surface had moderately complex features with some striations.

## Rabaticeras porrocornutus

Species from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant had mean of .0050 *epLsar*, 1.51 *Asfc*, 0.43 *Smc*, 0.36 *HAsfc* and 14606.6 *Tfv*. The tooth surface was moderately complex with deep features.

## 5.5.1.2 Antilopini

#### Antidorcas bondi

Species from Swartkrans Member 2 had mean of .0057 *epLsar*, 0.89 *Asfc*, 0.28 *Smc*, 0.25 *HAsfc* and 12495.8 *Tfv*. The surface was dominated by deep striations.

## Antidorcas marsupials

The fossil springbok from Cooper's D had a mean of .0047 *epLsar*, 1.25 *Asfc*, 0.27 *Smc*, 0.36 *HAsfc* and 10406.3 *Tfv*. The microwear surface had striations and some pitting.

The fossil springbok from Swartkrans Member 3 had a mean of .0046 *epLsar*, 1.42 *Asfc*, 0.30 *Smc*, 0.50 *HAsfc* and 10252.7 *Tfv*. The surface comprised of striations and pits. Springbok from Swartkrans Member 3 and Cooper's D had similar values.

## Antidorcas recki

From Swartkrans Hanging Remnant, *A. recki* had a mean of .0028 *epLsar*, 3.66 *Asfc*, 0.15 *Smc*, 0.45 *HAsfc* and 2029.4 *Tfv*. The overall surface was dominated by pits of varied size and shape. There was more wear at very fine scales.

Antidorcas recki from Swartkrans Lower Bank had a mean of .0069 epLsar, 1.96 Asfc, 0.27 Smc, 0.55 HAsfc and 12948.2 Tfv. The microwear surface was complex, with striations and varied sized pits.

This species from Swartkrans Member 2 had a mean of .0054 *epLsar*, 1.12 *Asfc*, 0.22 *Smc*, 0.53 *HAsfc* and 10015.2 *Tfv*. The microwear surface was dominated by striations. These specimens are very similar to those of Swartkrans Lower Bank.

## Gazella sp.

This species from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant had mean of .0043 *epLsar*, 1.88 *Asfc*, 0.21 *Smc*, 0.67 *HAsfc* and 1124.05 *Tfv*. The surface was moderately complex with striations and pits.

#### 5.5.1.3 Neotragini

## Oreotragus oreotragus

The fossil klipspringer from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant had mean of .0063 *epLsar*, 2.18 *Asfc*, 0.21 *Smc*, 0.57 *HAsfc* and 7879.3 *Tfv*. The species had a complex microwear surface dominated by scratches and pits.

## 5.5.1.4 Ovibovini

## Makapania sp.

This species from Swartkrans Hanging Remnant had mean of .0042 *epLsar*, 1.23 *Asfc*, 0.27 *Smc*, 0.36 *HAsfc* and 9846.07 *Tfv*. Striations and some pitting was found on the microwear surface.

## 5.5.1.5 Peleini

## Pelea capreolus

The fossil grey rhebok from Swartkrans Member 2 had mean of .0040 *epLsar*, 1.64 *Asfc*, 0.27 *Smc*, 0.47 *HAsfc* and 6509.95 *Tfv*. The surface was complex with striations and pitting.

## 5.5.1.6 Tragelaphini

## Tragelaphus sp.

This species from Cooper's D had mean of .0044 *epLsar*, 1.18 *Asfc*, 0.25 *HAsfc* and 9221.88 *Tfv*. The surface had striations and some pitting.

# **Table 5.11**. Descriptive statistics for DMTA of fossil bovids from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1–3.

| A. Anisotropy | (epLsar) |
|---------------|----------|
|---------------|----------|

| Tribe        | Таха                      | Deposit | N | Min   | Max   | Mean  | SD    |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes sp.          | CD      | 1 |       |       | .0030 |       |
|              |                           | SK HR   | 1 |       |       | .0064 |       |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 5 | .0022 | .0069 | .0047 | .0022 |
|              |                           | SK 3    | 9 | .0026 | .0069 | .0045 | .0018 |
|              | <i>Damaliscus</i> sp.     | SK HR   | 1 |       |       | .0051 |       |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 1 |       |       | .0050 |       |
|              | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | CD      | 1 |       |       | .0030 |       |
|              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | SK HR   | 2 | .0039 | .0061 | .0050 | .0016 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas bondi          | SK 2    | 1 |       |       | .0057 |       |
|              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | CD      | 2 | .0035 | .0059 | .0047 | .0017 |
|              |                           | SK 3    | 3 | .0025 | .0071 | .0046 | .0023 |
|              | Antidorcas recki          | SK HR   | 1 |       |       | .0028 |       |
|              |                           | SK LB   | 1 |       |       | .0069 |       |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 4 | .0019 | .0083 | .0054 | .0026 |
|              | <i>Gazella</i> sp.        | SK HR   | 1 |       |       | .0043 |       |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus     | SK HR   | 1 |       |       | .0063 |       |
| Ovibovini    | <i>Makapania</i> sp.      | SK HR   | 1 |       |       | .0042 |       |
| Peleini      | Pelea capreolus           | SK 2    | 1 |       |       | .0040 |       |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | CD      | 1 |       |       | .0044 |       |

B. Complexity (Asfc)

| Tribe        | Таха                      | Deposit | Ν | Min  | Max  | Mean | SD  |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------|---|------|------|------|-----|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes sp.          | CD      | 1 |      |      | 2.00 |     |
|              |                           | SK HR   | 1 |      |      | 1.73 |     |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 5 | .95  | 2.54 | 1.55 | .66 |
|              |                           | SK 3    | 9 | .54  | 3.37 | 1.86 | .77 |
|              | <i>Damaliscus</i> sp.     | SK HR   | 1 |      |      | 1.81 |     |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 1 |      |      | 2.34 |     |
|              | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | CD      | 1 |      |      | 1.51 |     |
|              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | SK HR   | 2 | 1.48 | 1.53 | 1.51 | .04 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas bondi          | SK 2    | 1 |      |      | .89  |     |
|              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | CD      | 2 | 1.19 | 1.31 | 1.25 | .09 |
|              |                           | SK 3    | 3 | 1.12 | 1.91 | 1.42 | .43 |
|              | Antidorcas recki          | SK HR   | 1 |      |      | 3.66 |     |
|              |                           | SK LB   | 1 |      |      | 1.96 |     |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 4 | .89  | 1.39 | 1.12 | .22 |
|              | <i>Gazella</i> sp.        | SK HR   | 1 |      |      | 1.88 |     |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus     | SK HR   | 1 |      |      | 2.18 |     |
| Ovibovini    | Makapania sp.             | SK HR   | 1 |      |      | 1.23 |     |
| Peleini      | Pelea capreolus           | SK 2    | 1 |      |      | 1.64 |     |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | CD      | 1 |      |      | 1.18 |     |

continued on next page

## Table 5.11. continued

# C. Scale of maximum complexity (Smc)

| Tribe       | Таха                      | Deposit | Ν | Min | Max | Mean | SD  |
|-------------|---------------------------|---------|---|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Alcelaphini | Connochaetes sp.          | CD      | 1 |     |     | .27  |     |
|             |                           | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .21  |     |
|             |                           | SK 2    | 4 | .21 | .34 | .29  | .07 |
|             |                           | SK 3    | 9 | .15 | .34 | .23  | .07 |
|             | Damaliscus sp.            | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .74  |     |
|             |                           | SK 2    | 1 |     |     | .21  |     |
|             | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | CD      | 1 |     |     | .27  |     |
|             | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | SK HR   | 2 | .42 | .43 | .43  | .01 |
| Antilopini  | Antidorcas bondi          | SK 2    | 1 |     |     | .28  |     |
|             | Antidorcas marsupialis    | CD      | 1 |     |     | .27  |     |
|             |                           | SK 3    | 3 | .21 | .42 | .30  | .11 |
|             | Antidorcas recki          | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .15  |     |
|             |                           | SK LB   | 1 |     |     | .27  |     |
|             |                           | SK 2    | 2 | .15 | .28 | .22  | .09 |
|             | <i>Gazella</i> sp.        | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .21  |     |
| Neotragini  | Oreotragus oreotragus     | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .21  |     |
| Ovibovini   | <i>Makapania</i> sp.      | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .27  |     |
| Peleini     | Pelea capreolus           | SK 2    | 1 |     |     | .27  |     |

# D. Heterogeneity (Hasfc<sub>9 cells</sub>)

| Tribe        | Таха                      | Deposit | Ν | Min | Max | Mean | SD  |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------|---|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes sp.          | CD      | 1 |     |     | .35  |     |
|              |                           | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .42  |     |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 5 | .30 | .54 | .42  | .09 |
|              |                           | SK 3    | 8 | .31 | .78 | .54  | .17 |
|              | Damaliscus sp.            | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .44  |     |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 1 |     |     | .37  |     |
|              | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | CD      | 1 |     |     | .35  |     |
|              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | SK HR   | 2 | .35 | .38 | .36  | .03 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas bondi          | SK 2    | 1 |     |     | .25  |     |
|              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | CD      | 2 | .34 | .38 | .36  | .03 |
|              |                           | SK 3    | 3 | .37 | .65 | .50  | .14 |
|              | Antidorcas recki          | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .45  |     |
|              |                           | SK LB   | 1 |     |     | .55  |     |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 4 | .36 | .66 | .53  | .13 |
|              | <i>Gazella</i> sp.        | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .67  |     |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus     | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .57  |     |
| Ovibovini    | <i>Makapania</i> sp.      | SK HR   | 1 |     |     | .36  |     |
| Peleini      | Pelea capreolus           | SK 2    | 1 |     |     | .47  |     |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | CD      | 1 |     |     | .25  |     |

continued on next page

## Table 5.11. continued

# E. Textural fill volume (Tfv)

| Tribe        | Таха                      | Deposit | Ν | Min      | Max      | Mean     | SD      |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------|---|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Alcelaphini  | Connochaetes sp.          | CD      | 1 |          |          | 16000.05 |         |
|              |                           | SK HR   | 1 |          |          | 16410.26 |         |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 5 | 13034.55 | 16304.83 | 14308.27 | 1209.91 |
|              |                           | SK 3    | 9 | 9678.95  | 18386.10 | 14407.66 | 3212.26 |
|              | Damaliscus sp.            | SK HR   | 1 |          |          | 13444.33 |         |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 1 |          |          | 10063.66 |         |
|              | <i>Megalotragus</i> sp.   | CD      | 1 |          |          | 11819.18 |         |
|              | Rabaticeras porrocornutus | SK HR   | 2 | 8578.44  | 20634.72 | 14606.58 | 8525.08 |
| Antilopini   | Antidorcas bondi          | SK 2    | 1 |          |          | 12495.84 |         |
|              | Antidorcas marsupialis    | CD      | 2 | 9195.66  | 11616.91 | 10406.28 | 1712.08 |
|              |                           | SK 3    | 3 | 9604.13  | 10598.09 | 10252.72 | 562.09  |
|              | Antidorcas recki          | SK HR   | 1 |          |          | 2029.39  |         |
|              |                           | SK LB   | 1 |          |          | 12948.22 |         |
|              |                           | SK 2    | 4 | 5634.79  | 12495.84 | 10015.18 | 3220.97 |
|              | <i>Gazella</i> sp.        | SK HR   | 1 |          |          | 1124.05  |         |
| Neotragini   | Oreotragus oreotragus     | SK HR   | 1 |          |          | 7879.34  |         |
| Ovibovini    | <i>Makapania</i> sp.      | SK HR   | 1 |          |          | 9846.07  |         |
| Peleini      | Pelea capreolus           | SK 2    | 1 |          |          | 6509.95  |         |
| Tragelaphini | Tragelaphus strepsiceros  | CD      | 1 |          |          | 9221.88  |         |





**Figure 5.22.** *epLsar* and *Asfc* values used to compare fossil bovids to modern taxa. Open symbols represent  $C_3$  feeders, closed symbols indicated  $C_4$  feeders, and hash and cross markers are mixed  $C_3$ – $C_4$  feeders.





**Figure 5.23.** *Smc* and *HAsfc* values used to compare fossil bovids to modern taxa. Open symbols represent  $C_3$  feeders, closed symbols indicated  $C_4$  feeders, and hash and cross markers are mixed  $C_3$ – $C_4$  feeders.



**Figure 5.24.** *Tfv* values comparing fossil bovids to modern taxa. Open symbols represent  $C_3$  feeders, closed symbols indicated  $C_4$  feeders, and hash and cross markers are mixed  $C_3$ – $C_4$  feeders.

#### 5.6 Discussion

Dental microwear texture analysis accurately reflects the dietary behaviour of modern bovids. The DMTA variables provided details of the unique dietary pattern for each taxon. From the statistical analysis, a few patterns emerged. Among the taxa, interspecific and intraspecific variability existed in diet and some degree of overlap in foraging strategies existed between bovid species. The *epLsar*, *Asfc* and *Tfv* variables showed significant variation between  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  feeders. The modern  $C_3$  consumer tended to have high *Asfc* and low *epLsar* and

*Tfv* values compared to the modern  $C_4$  consumers that had high *epLsar* and *Tfv* values and moderate to low *Asfc* values.

Ungar et al. (2008) noted that the ability to detect subtle interspecific variation might be the key to realizing the potential of dental microwear texture analyses. Based on the present study, the DMTA variables identified fine-scale diet differences among C<sub>3</sub>, mixed and C<sub>4</sub> consumers. Among C<sub>3</sub> feeders, gerenuk, klipspringer and steenbok had low *epLsar*, high to moderate Asfc and low Tfv values. These variables suggest these species consumed foods that caused fine, shallow pitting on the occlusal surface. All three species consumed leaves, shoots and fruits. The lower Tfv values for these bovids suggest that they were mainly feeding on leaves and shoots, as fruits would contain sclereids (stone cells) that would cause large and deeper pitting. Field observations noted the steenbok as a mixed feeder (Hofmann 1973) while others have suggested that they are predominately C<sub>3</sub> feeders in South Africa (Du Toit 1993). The DMTA variables suggested that they were mainly C<sub>3</sub> feeders. Unique among C<sub>3</sub> consumers were the kudu and springbok. Both had more varied diets compared to other bovids. The kudu had low *epLsar*, high *Asfc* and unusually high *Tfv* values. The *Tfv* value indicated deeply excavated features, in this case pits. Though the kudu preferred leaves and shoots, they had a catholic diet and consumed a greater variety of eudicot plants than other bovids (Owen-Smith and Cooper 1989; Skinner and Chimimba 2005). Among the C<sub>3</sub> feeders examined here, kudu consumed a larger portion of fruits (ripe or fallen). In one paper, it was noted that tubers were also found in the rumen of kudu (Wilson 1965). Fruits tend to have stone cells, and although tubers have a low concentration of phytoliths, they have grit on the

surface: these two food types were candidates for increased Tfv values. Springbok had an unusually high *epLsar*, high *Asfc* and low Tfv values. From field observations, springbok (considered intermediate feeders) (Hofmann et al. 1995) incorporated more monocots into their diet in comparison to other C<sub>3</sub> selectors.

Among the mixed feeders, impala had high *epLsar*, moderate *Asfc* and *Tfv*, and unusually high *HAsfc* values. On the other hand, lechwe had low *epLsar* values, with similar values for all other variables. Mixed feeders tended to have a varied diet that included eudicots and monocots and these may account for the high *HAsfc* variable observed for both impala and lechwe. The higher *epLsar* values suggested that the impala from this study consumed a significant proportion of grass. The lower *epLsar* and moderate *Asfc* values for lechwe were perplexing since this species consumed mostly aquatic grasses and sedges. Based on rumen content Williamson (1990) has noted that the lechwe will incorporate 21% of dicots into their diet. This observation is consistent with the moderate values for *Asfc*. As sedges tend to have less robust phytoliths than grass (Albarède et al. 2006), thus this may account for the lower *epLsar* value.

There were a few differences among the predominately monocot feeders. The blue wildebeest, blesbok and sable had similar values for *epLsar*, *Asfc* and *Tfv*. The high *epLsar* and *Tfv* values suggested that they were mainly consuming grass. Grasses, a tough food with coarse phytoliths, are scraped across the tooth surface during mastication, leaving parallel striations that are fairly deep and symmetrical. The isotope data for the forementioned taxa indicated that these bovid species were obligate C<sub>4</sub> consumers (Cerling et al. 2003; Sponheimer et al. 2003; Codron 2006). However, the moderate *Asfc* values indicated that they may be selecting different types of vegetation other than grass or the high *Asfc* values may have reflected grit on the grass blades. Oddly, the stable carbon isotope data for gemsbok suggested a variable C<sub>4</sub> feeder (Sponheimer et al. 2003), but the DMTA values are more consistent with an obligate C<sub>4</sub> feeder. The value for *epLsar* was high, but the *Asfc* value was the lowest among the bovids. Based on rumen contents, almost all grazers consumed varying amounts of eudicots (Skinner and Chimimba 2005). African buffalo were different from other C<sub>4</sub> feeders in that they had moderate *Tfv* and high *Smc* values. Although the *Smc* variables were shown to be insignificant among bovids (ANOVA), the PCA showed that this variable distinguished the taxon from the other C<sub>4</sub> consumers. African buffalo are known to select tall grass compared to other bovids (Skinner and Smithers 1990). The scale of the particles of the grass they were consuming left wide and moderately deep striations.

The DFA correctly classified 84.2% (three diet categories) and 77.2% (five diet categories) of the diets based on  $\delta^{13}$ C results and DMTA variables. The results demonstrate that stable carbon isotope and DMTA data reflect similar diet signals. Where slight differences occurred between the two methods, these differences were attributed to the general behaviour of bovids. Whether a bovid preferentially selects C<sub>3</sub> or C<sub>4</sub> vegetation, most will consume various amounts of other food types. For example, as a group, the wildebeest had an average  $\delta^{13}$ C value for an obligate C<sub>4</sub> feeder; however, DMTA results reflected a VC<sub>4</sub> feeder. This versatility in vegetation selection was also reflected among some C<sub>3</sub> feeders.

Most of the modern bovids examined in the present study had similar DMTA values compared to other DMTA studies, with the exception of gemsbok

and lechwe (Ungar et al. 2007). The difference in values between the two studies may be due to the different part of the facet that was selected for scanning, or vagaries of selection of different specimens given relatively small sample sizes.

The DMTA variables of fossil bovids were dissimilar to modern bovids. Although the sample sizes were small for each species and associated deposit, there was an overall pattern. In particular, the *epLsar* and *Asfc* variables for all fossil bovids regardless of species or deposit were varied. The isotope values provided in this study indicated more variability in dietary behaviour among fossil bovids in comparison to their modern counterparts. Thus, the epLsar and Asfc values may be a reflection of this versatility. The only DMTA variable to show distinction between dietary groups was Tfv. Using a 3D scatterplot, the variable Tfv contributed most to the differences between  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  feeders. Bovids that selected  $C_3$  vegetation tended to have lower Tfv values and those that selected  $C_4$ foods had higher *Tfv* values. This pattern was supported by the results of modern bovids, where C<sub>3</sub> foods tended to have particles that caused shallow and finer features compared to C<sub>4</sub> grass that caused coarse and deeper features on the microwear surface. This is not to suggest that the higher Tfv values indicate a grass dominated landscape. If grass was dominant, the "grazing" guild would preferentially select grass and the microwear surface would be dominated by striations. This is not the case since the occlusal surface of fossil "grazing" bovids show a mixture of pits and striations. However, when grass was consumed it left deep striations. Mixed feeders varied along the C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> spectrum for all DMTA variables. The DMTA results were similar to the isotopic results from fossil bovids. In the present study, the DMTA and carbon isotope results indicated that fossil bovids seemed to select from a variety of vegetation.

The DMTA results do warrant caution. The primary concern is related to the small sample sizes for fossil taxa used for this analysis. Sample sizes were related to a combination of factors: manganese staining and curation procedures. Dental remains from both Cooper's Cave and Swartkrans were coated with a layer of manganese. Cooper's D in particular was heavily coated in manganese. Curation procedures of Swartkrans specimens from earlier excavations included preserving material with glue, and extraction of fossils from the calcified clastic sediments left acid etching. Because of the nature of these cave deposits, taphonomic overprinting cannot be excluded. Fossil remains are shifted in sediment through unknown levels of sediment compaction, bioturbation and hydroturbation (de Ruiter et al. 2009).

## **Chapter 6. Conclusion**

The dual proxy approach of stable carbon isotopes and dental microwear analysis has provided a more robust diet reconstruction, and has enhanced the detail of the dietary behaviour of modern bovids. This study has successfully reconstructed the diet of modern species with known dietary preferences. Further, it has shown that the isotopic data and DMTA data were similar to each other.

The stable carbon isotopic analysis and DMTA of several fossil bovid taxa from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Members 1, 2 and 3 were used to reconstruct foraging strategies and by inference vegetation structure. Dietary patterns of the bovids represented in these deposits indicated diverse, mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> diets. The variability in both carbon isotopes and DMTA values of the fossil bovids relative to modern bovids, suggested generalized foraging. In particular, the typical 'grazer' guild in the past was more likely to be mixed feeders, unlike their modern counterparts that have specialized diets. Of interest were the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of bovid specimens from Cooper's D. Only R. fulvorufula from Cooper's D had an obligate C<sub>4</sub> diet. Typical C<sub>3</sub> feeders, A. marsupialis, A. recki, R. campestris, P. capreolus and T. strepsiceros from Cooper's were more <sup>13</sup>C-enriched compared to other deposits. The  $\delta^{13}$ C values of *Syncerus* sp. from Cooper's D and Swartkrans Hanging Remnant were <sup>13</sup>C-depleted compared to modern *Syncerus* from southern Africa. Two possibilities for these depleted values are: (1) the species had a versatile diet and therefore a wider niche tolerance in the past or (2) a different species of *Syncerus* is represented at these sites.

The foraging strategies of fossil bovids indicate a heterogeneous open woodland ecosystem. A C<sub>4</sub>-dominated ecosystem was not present. Evidence of some C<sub>4</sub> selection suggests that grass was present, but to what extent is unknown. Swartkrans Member 2 had substantially more C<sub>3</sub> and mixed feeders than other *P*. *robustus* deposits, signifying a vegetation community structure that was more C<sub>3</sub>dominated than the other *P*. *robustus* deposits. There was an apparent indication of shifting vegetation structure between *P*. *robustus* deposits. Thus, despite its derived craniodental morphology, *P*. *robustus* seems to have thrived through a range of climatic and ecological shifts. Selection of a variety of available resources may have been advantageous for *P*. *robustus*. A C<sub>4</sub>-dominated ecosystem in the Witwatersrand area of South Africa probably occurred with the onset of pronounced 100 Kyr glacial cycles after 1.2–0.8 Ma. This event might have led to the extinction of *P*. *robustus*. Future studies of younger deposits from South Africa, within this period, are required.

## References

- Adams, J.W., Herries, A.I.R, Conroy, G.C. and Kuykendall, K.L. 2007. Taphonomy of a South African cave: Geological and hydrological influences on the GD 1 fossil assemblage at Gondolin, a Plio-Pleistocene paleocave system in the Northwest Province. South Africa. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26: 2526–2543.
- Albarède, F., Balter, V., Braga, J., Blichert-Toft, J., Telouk, P. and Thackeray, F.
  2006. U–Pb dating of enamel from the Swartkrans Cave hominid site (South Africa) by MCICP-MS. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 70: A7.
- Alemseged, Z. 2003. An integrated approach to taphonomy and faunal change in the Shungura Formation (Ethiopia) and its implications for hominid evolution. *Journal of Human Evolution* 44: 451–478.
- Ambrose, S.H. and DeNiro, M.J. 1986. The isotopic ecology of East African mammals. *Oecologia* 69: 395–406.
- Ambrose, S.H. and Norr, L. 1993. Experimental evidence for the relationship of the carbon isotope ratios of whole diet and dietary protein to those of bone collagen and carbonate. In Lambert, J.B. and Grupe G. (eds.), *Prehistoric Human Bone: Archaeology at the Molecular Level*. Berlin: Springer, pp. 1–37.
- Assefa, A., Yirga, S. and Reed, K.E. 2008. The large-mammal fauna from the Kibish Formation. *Journal of Human Evolution* 55: 501–512.
- Avery, D.M., 2001. The Plio-Pleistocene vegetation and climate of Sterkfontein and Swartkrans, South Africa, based on micromammals. *Journal of Human Evolution* 41: 113–132.
- Ayliffe, L.K., Cerling, T.E., Robinson, T., West, A.G., Sponheimer, M., Bassey,
  B.H., Hammer, J., Roeder, B., Dearing, M.D. and Ehleringer, J.R. 2004.
  Turnover of carbon isotopes in tail hair and breath CO<sub>2</sub> of horses fed an isotopically varied diet. *Oecologia* 139: 11–21.
- Backwell, L.R. and d'Errico, F. 2001. Evidence of termite foraging by Swartkrans early hominins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 98(4): 1358–1363.
- Backwell, L.R. and d'Errico, F. 2003. Additional evidence on the early hominin bone tools from Swartkrans with reference to spatial distribution of lithic and organic artefacts. *South African Journal of Science* 99(5/6): 259–267.
- Backwell, L.R. and d'Errico, F. 2008. Early hominin bone tools from Drimolen. Journal of Archaeological Science 35(11): 2880–2894.
- Baker, G., Jones, L.W.P. and Wardrop, I.D. 1959. Cause of wear in sheeps' teeth. *Nature* 184: 1583–1584.
- Balasse, M. 2002. Reconstructing dietary and environmental history from enamel isotopic analysis: time resolution of intra-tooth sequential sampling. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 12: 155–165.
- Balter, V., Blichert-Toft, J., Braga, J., Telouk, P., Thackeray, F. and Albarède, F.
  2008. U–Pb dating of fossil enamel from the Swartkrans Pleistocene hominid site, South Africa. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 267: 236–246.

- Bedaso, Z., Wynn, J.G., Alemseged, Z. and Geraads, D. 2010.Paleoenvironmental reconstruction of the Asbole fauna (Busidima Formation, Afar, Ethiopia) using stable isotopes. *Geobios* 43: 165–177.
- Behrensmeyer, A.K., Western, D. and Dechant Boaz, D.E. 1979. New perspectives in vertebrate paleoecology from a recent bone assemblage. *Paleobiology* 5(1): 12–21.
- Bender, M.M. 1971 Variations in the <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratios of plants in relation to the pathway of photosynthetic carbon dioxide fixation. *Phytochemistry* 10: 1239–1245.
- Benefit, B.R. and McCrossin, M.L. 1990. Diet, species diversity, and distribution of African fossil baboons. *Kroeber Anthropological Society Papers* 72: 77–93.
- Berger, L.R., de Ruiter, D.J., Steininger, C.M. and Hancox, J. 2003. Preliminary results of excavations at the newly investigated Cooper's D deposit, Gauteng, South Africa. South African Journal of Science 99: 276–278.
- Berger, L.R., Lacruz, R. and de Ruiter, D.J. 2002. Brief communication: revised age estimates of *Australopithecus*-bearing deposits at Sterkfontein, South Africa. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 119: 192–197.
- Berger, L.R., Pickford, M. and Thackeray, F. 1995. A Plio-Pleistocene hominid upper central incisor from the Cooper's site, South Africa. South African Journal of Science 91: 541–542.
- Bergstrom, T.S. and Brown, C.A. 1999. Anisotropic artifacts introduced by horizontal scanning instruments in surface metrology. *Proceedings of the*

14th Annual Meeting of the American Society for Precision Engineering: 376–379.

- Beukes, P.C. 1988. Diet of grey rhebuck in the Bontebok National Park. South African Journal of Wildlife Research 18: 11–21.
- Bobe, R. and Behrensmeyer, A.K. 2004. The expansion of grassland ecosystems in Africa in relation to mammalian evolution and the origin of the genus *Homo. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 207: 399– 420.
- Bobe, R., Behrensmeyer, A.K. and Chapman, R.E. 2002. Faunal change, environmental variability and late Pliocene hominin evolution. *Journal of Human Evolution* 42: 475–497.
- Bobe, R. and Eck, G.G. 2001. Responses of African bovids to Pliocene climatic change. *Paleobiology Memoirs* 2(27): 1–48.
- Bocherens, H. and Mariotti, A. 1992. Biogéochimie isotopique du carbone dans les os de mammiféres actuels et fossiles de zones froides et tempérées. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Serie II, Paris* 315: 1147– 1153.
- Brain, C.K. 1958. The Transvaal Ape-Man-Bearing Cave Deposits. Transvaal Museum Memoir No. 11. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum.
- Brain, C.K. 1981. The Hunters or the Hunted. An Introduction to African Cave Taphonomy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Brain, C.K. (ed.), 1993a. Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man. Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum.

- Brain, C.K. 1993b. Structure and stratigraphy of the Swartkrans Cave in light of the new excavations. In: Brain, C.K. (ed.), *Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle* of Early Man. Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 23–24.
- Brain, C.K. 1993c. A taphonomic overview of the Swartkrans fossil assemblages.
  In: Brain, C.K. (ed.), *Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man*.
  Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 257–264.
- Brain, C.K. 1993d. The occurrence of burnt bones at Swartkrans and their implications for the control of fire by early hominins. In: Brain, C.K. (ed.), *Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man*. Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 230–242.
- Brain, C.K. 1995. The influence of climatic changes on the completeness of the early hominid record in South African caves, with particular reference to Swartkrans. In: Vrba, E.S., Denton, G.H., Partridge, P.C. and Burckle, L. (eds.) *Palaeoclimate and Evolution with Emphasis in Human Origins*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, pp. 451–458.
- Brain, C.K. and Shipman, P. 1993. The Swartkrans bone tools. In: Brain, C.K. (ed.), *Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man*. Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 195–215.
- Brain, C.K. and Sillen, A. 1988. Evidence from the Swartkrans Cave for the earliest use of fire. *Nature* 336: 464–466.
- Brock, A., McFadden, P.L. and Partridge, T. C. 1997. Preliminary paleomagnetic results from Makapansgat and Swartkrans. *Nature* 266: 249–250.

Broom, R. 1949. Another new type of fossil ape-man. Nature 163: 57.

- Broom, R. and Robinson, J.T. 1952. *Swartkrans ape-man: Paranthropus crassidens*. Transvaal Museum Memoir No. 6. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum.
- Broom, R. and Schepers, G.W. 1946. The South African Fossil Ape-man: The Australopithecinae. Transvaal Museum Memoirs No. 2. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum.
- Burrows, J. and Willis, C. (eds.), 2005. Plants of the Nyika Plateau: An Account of the Vegetation of the Nyika National Parks of Malawi and Zambia.
  Southern African Botanical Diversity Network, Report No. 31. Pretoria: SABONET.
- Cerling, T.E. 1992. Development of grasslands and savannas in East Africa during the Neogene. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 97: 241–247.
- Cerling, T.E. and Harris, J.M. 1999. Carbon isotope fractionation between diet and bioapatite in ungulate mammals and implications for ecological and paleoecolgical studies. *Oecologia* 120: 347–363.
- Cerling, T.E., Harris, J.M., Ambrose, S.H, Leakey, M.G. and Solunias, N. 1997a.
  Dietary and environmental reconstruction with stable isotope analyses of herbivore tooth enamel from the Miocene locality of Fort Ternan, Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution* 33: 635–650.
- Cerling, T.E., Harris, J.M., MacFadden, B.J., Leakey, M.G., Quade, J., Eisenmann, V. and Ehleringer, J.R. 1997b. Global vegetation change through the Miocene/Pliocene boundary. *Nature* 389: 153–158.

- Cerling, T.E., Harris, J.M. and Passey, B.H. 2003. Diets of East African Bovidae based on stable isotope analysis. *Journal of Mammalogy* 84(2): 456–470.
- Cerling, T.E., Hart, J.A. and Hart, T.B. 2004. Stable isotope ecology in the Ituri Forest. *Oecologia* 13:5–12.
- Chapman, G.P. 1996. *The Biology of Grasses*. Wallingford, Australia: CAB International.
- Churcher, C.S. and Watson, V. 1993. Additional fossil Equidae from Swartkrans.
  In: Brain, C.K. (ed.), *Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man*.
  Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 137–150.
- Clark, J.D. 1993. Stone artefact assemblages from Members 1–3, Swartkrans Cave. In: Brain, C.K. (ed.), Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man. Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 167–194.
- Clarke, R.J. 1977. The cranium of the Swartkrans hominid, SK 847 and its relevance to human origins. Ph.D. thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.
- Clarke, R.J. and Howell, F.C. 1972. Affinities of the Swartkrans 847 hominin cranium. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 37: 319–336.
- Clarke, R.J., Howell, F.C. and Brain, C.K. 1970. More evidence of an advanced hominid at Swartkrans. *Nature* 225: 1219–1222.
- Codron, D. 2006. The ecological and evolutionary significance of browsing and grazing in savanna ungulates. Ph.D. thesis, University of Cape Town.

- Codron, D., Codron, J., Lee-Thorp, J.A., Sponheimer, M., de Ruiter, D., Sealy, J., Grant, R. and Fourie, N. 2007b. Diets of savanna ungulates from stable carbon isotope composition of faeces. *Journal of Zoology* 273: 21–29.
- Codron, D., Codron, J., Lee-Thorp, J.A., Sponheimer, M., de Ruiter, D. and Brink, J.S. 2006. High-resolution dietary variation in impala *Aepyceros melampus* reorded by carbon isotope composition of feces. *Acta Zoologica Sinica* 52: 1015–1025.
- Codron, D., Codron, J., Sponheimer, M., Lee-Thorp, J.A., Robinson, T., Grant,C.C. and de Ruiter, D. 2005. Assessing diet in savanna herbivores using stable carbon isotope ratios of faeces. *Koedoe* 48: 115–124.
- Codron, D., Lee-Thorp, J.A., Sponheimer, M., Codron, J., de Ruiter, D. and Brink, J.S. 2007a. Significance of diet type and diet quality for ecological diversity of African ungulates. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 76: 526–537.
- Conover ,W.J. and Iman, R.L. 1981. Rank transformations as a bridge between parametric and nonparametric statistics. *American Statistician* 35: 124–129.
- Cook, R.J. and Farewell, V.T. 1996. Multiplicity considerations in the design and analysis of clinical trials. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, Series A 159: 93–110.
- Coupland, R.T. (ed.), 1993. Natural Grasslands. Vol.8B: Eastern Hemisphere and *Résumé*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Cukrowska, E.M., McCarthy, T.S., Pole, S., Backwell, L. and Steininger, C, 2005. The chemical removal of manganese dioxide coatings from fossil bones

from the *Cradle of Humankind*. South African Journal of Science 101: 101–103.

- Curnoe, D., Grün, R., Taylor, L. and Thackeray, F. 2001. Direct ESR dating of a Pliocene hominin from Swartkrans. *Journal of Human Evolution* 40(5): 379–391.
- David, J. H. M. 1973. The behaviour of the bontebok *Damaliscus dorcas dorcas* (Pallas 1766), with special reference to territorial behaviour. *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* 33: 38–107.
- Davies, R.A.G., Botha, P. and Skinner, J.D. 1986. Diet selected by springbok Antidorcas marsupialis and Merino sheep Ovis aries during Karoo drought. Transaction of the Royal Society of South Africa 46: 165–179.
- Deines, P. 1980. The isotopic composition of reduced organic carbon. In: Fritz, P. and Fontes, J.C. (eds.), *Handbook of Environmental Isotope Geochemistry*.
  Vol.1: The Terrestrial Environment, Part A. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 329–406.
- Delson, E. 1984. Cercopithecid biochronology of the African Plio-Pleistocene: correlation among eastern and southern hominid-bearing localities. *Courier Forschungsinstitut, Senckenberg* 69: 199–218.
- Delson, E. 1988. Chronology of South African Australopith site units. In: Grine,F.E. (ed.), *Evolutionary History of the "Robust" Austalopithecines*. NewYork: Adline de Gruyter Press, pp. 317–324.
- deMenocal, P.B. 2004. African climate change and faunal evolution during the Pliocene-Pleistocene. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 220: 3–24.

- Demment, M. and Van Soest, P.J. 1985. A nutritional explanation for body-size patterns of ruminant and non-ruminant herbivores. *The American Naturalist* 125: 641–672.
- DeNiro, M.J. and Epstein, S. 1978. Influence of diet on the distribution of carbon isotopes in animals. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 42: 495–506.
- d'Errico, F. and Backwell, L. 2009. Assessing the function of early hominin bone tools. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 36: 1764–1773.
- de Ruiter, D.J. 2003a. Relative abundance, skeletal part representation and accumulating agents of macromammals at Swartkrans. In: Brain, C.K. (ed.), *Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man*. Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 265–278.
- de Ruiter, D.J. 2003b. Revised faunal lists for members 1–3 of Swartkrans. Annals of the Transvaal Museum 40: 29–41.
- de Ruiter, D.J. and Berger, L.R. 2000. Leopards as taphonomic agents in dolomitic caves – implications for bone accumulations in the hominidbearing deposits of South Africa. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 27: 665–684.
- de Ruiter, D.J., Pickering, R., Steininger, C.M., Kramers, J.D., Hancox, P.J., Churchill, S.E., Berger, L.R. and Backwell, L. 2009. New Australopithecus robustus fossils and associated U-Pb dates from Cooper's Cave. Journal of Human Evolution 56: 497–513.
- de Ruiter, D.J., Sponheimer, M. and Lee-Thorp, J.A. 2008. Indications of habitat association of *Australopithecus robustus* in the Bloubank Valley, South Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 55: 1015–1030.

- Dieckmann, R.C. 1980. The ecology and breeding biology of the gemsbok *Oryx* gazella gazella (Linnaeus, 1978) in the Hester Malan Nature Reserve.
   M.Sc. thesis, University of Pretoria.
- Doyle, J. A. and Hotton, D.C.L. 1991. Diversification of early angiosperm pollen in a cladistic context. In: Blackmore, S. and Barnes, S.H. (eds.), *Pollen and Spores: Patterns of Diversification*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, pp. 169–195.
- Driessen, F.C.M., van Dijk, J.W.E. and Borrgreven, J.M.P.M. 1978. Biological calcium phosphates and their role in the physiology of bone and dental tissues. 1. Composition and solubility of calcium phosphates, *Calcified Tissue Research* 26: 127–137.
- Dunbar, R.I.M and Dunbar, E.P. 1974. Social organization and ecology of the klipspringer (Oreotragus Oreotragus) in Ethiopia. Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie 35: 481–493.
- Dunham, K.M. 1980. The diet of impala (*Aepyceros melampus*) in the Sengwa Wildlife Reearch Area, Rhodeisa. *Journal of Zoology, London* 192: 41–57.
- Du Plessis, M.A. and Skinner, J. D. 1987. Feeding patterns of the greater kudu *Tragelaphus strepsiceros* in an agricultural area on the Springbok Flats, northern Transvaal. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa* 46:199–208.
- Du Toit, J.T. 1993. The feeding ecology of a very small ruminant, the steenbok (*Raphicerus campestris*). *African Journal of Ecology* 31: 35–48.
- Dytham, C. 1999. Choosing and Using Statistics: A Biologist's Guide. Oxford: Blackwell Science.

- Estes, R.D. 1991. *The Behavior Guide to African Mammals*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Everett, P.S., Perrin, M.R. and Rowe-Rowe, D.T. 1991. Responses by oribi to different range management practices in Natal. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research* 21: 114–118.
- Everett, P.S., Perrin, M.R. and Rowe-Rowe, D.T. 1992. Diet of oribi on farmland in Natal. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research* 22: 7–10.
- Fabricius, C., van Hensbergen, H.J. and Zucchini, W. 1989. A discriminant function for identifying hybrid bontebok x blesbok populations. South African Journal of Wildlife Research 19: 61–66.
- Falsetti, A.B., Jungers, W.L. and Cole, T.M. 1993. Morphometrics of the callitrichid forelimb: a case study in size and shape. *International Journal* of Primatology 14: 551–572.
- Farquhar, G.D., Ehleringer, J.R. and Hubick, K.T. 1989. Carbon isotope discrimination and photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 40: 503–537.
- Farquhar, G.D., O'Leary, M.H. and Berry, J.A. 1982. On the relationship between carbon isotope discrimination and the intercellular carbon dioxide concentration in leaves. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 9: 121– 137.
- Ferreira, N.A. and Bigalke, R.C. 1987. Food selection by grey rhebuck in the Orange Free State. South African Journal of Wildlife Research 17(4): 123– 127.

- Field, A. S. 1999. An analytic and comparative study of the earlier stone age archaeology of the Sterkfontein valley. M.Sc. thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.
- Fortelius, M. 1985. Ungulate cheek teeth: development, functional, and evolutionary interactions. *Acta Zoologica Fennica* 180: 1–76.
- Fortelius, M. and Solounias, N. 2000. Functional characterization of ungulate molars using the abrasion-attrition wear gradient: a new method for reconstructing paleodiets. *American Museum Novitates* 3301: 1–36.
- Franz-Odendaal, T.A. 2002. Analysis of dental pathologies in the Pliocene herbivores of Langebaanweg and their palaeoenvironmental implications. Ph.D. thesis, University of Cape Town.
- Franz-Odendaal, T.A. and Kaiser, T.M. 2003. Differential mesowear in the maxillary and mandibular dentition of some ruminants (Artiodactyla). *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 40: 395–410.
- Franz-Odendaal, T.A, Lee-Thorp, J.A. and Chinsamy, A. 2002. New evidence for the lack of C<sub>4</sub> grassland expansions during the early Pliocene at Langebaanweg, South Africa. *Paleobiology* 28(3): 378–388.
- Friedli, H., Lotscher, H., Oeschger, H. and Siegenthaler, U. 1986. Ice core record of the <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in the past two centuries. *Nature* 324: 237–238.
- Funston, P.J. 1992. Movements, habitat selection and population structure of buffalo (*Syncerus caffer caffer* Sparrman) in the Sabi Sand Wildtuin.
  M.Sc. thesis, University of Pretoria.

- Gabriel, K.R. 1971. The biplot graphic display of matrices with application to principal component analysis. *Biometrika* 58(3): 453–467.
- Gagnon, M. and Chew, A.E. 2000. Dietary preferences in extant African Bovidae. Journal of Mammalogy 81: 490–511.
- Garstang, R. 1982. Analysis of home range utilization by tessebe *Damaliscus lunatus lunatus* (Burchell, 1823) in P.W. Willis Private Nature Reserve.
   M.Sc. thesis, University of Pretoria.
- Gatesy, J., Amato, G., Vrba, E., Schaller, G. and DeSalle, R. 1997. A cladistic analysis of mitochondrial ribosomal DNA from the Bovidae. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 7: 303–319.
- Gentry, A.W. 1978. Bovidae. In: Maglio, V.J. and Cooke, H.B.S. (eds.), *Evolution of African Mammals*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, pp. 540–572.
- Gentry, A.W. 1985. The Bovidae of the Omo group deposits, Ethiopia (French and American collections). Les Faunes Plio-Pléistocène de la basse vallée de l'Omo (Ethiopie). Vol. 1: 119–191.
- Gentry, A.W. 1992. The subfamilies and tribes of the family Bovidae. *Mammal Review* 22: 1–32.
- Godfrey, L.R., Semprebon, G.M., Jungers, W.L., Sutherland, M.R., Simons, E.L. and Solounias, N. 2004. Dental use wear in extinct lemurs: evidence of diet and niche differentiation. *Journal of Human Evolution*. 47: 145–169.
- Gordon, K.D. 1982. A study of microwear on chimpanzee molars: implications for dental microwear analysis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 59: 195–215.

- Green, J.L., Semprebon, G.M. and Solounias, N. 2005. Reconstructing the palaeodiet of Florida *Mammut americanum* via low-magnification stereomicroscopy. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 223: 34–48.
- Grine, F.E. 1981. Trophic differences between 'gracile' and 'robust' australopithecines: a scanning electron microscope analysis of occlusal events. *South African Journal of Science* 77: 203–230.
- Grine, F.E. 1986. Dental evidence for dietary differences in *Australopithecus* and *Paranthropus. Journal of Human Evolution* 15: 783–822.
- Grine, F.E. and Kay, R.F. 1988. Early hominid diets from quantitative image analysis of dental microwear. *Nature* 333: 765–768.
- Grine, F.E., Ungar, P.S. and Teaford, M.F. 2002. Error rates in dental microwear quantification using scanning electron microscopy. *Scanning* 24: 144–153.
- Grobler, J.H. 1981. Feeding behaviour of sable *Hippotragus niger niger* (Harris, 1838) in the Rhodes Matopos National Park, Zimbabwe. *South African Journal of Zoology* 16: 50–58.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A.T. and Ryan, P.D. 2001. PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis. *Paleontologia Electronica* 4(1): 1–9, 178kb.
- Harris, J.M. 1991. Koobi Fora Research Project, Vol. 3. The Fossil Ungulates: Geology, Fossil Artiodactyls, and Palaeoenvironments. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Hattersley, P.W. 1982. δ<sup>13</sup>C Values of C<sub>4</sub> types in grasses. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 9: 139–154.

- Hayek, L.A., Bernor, R.L., Solounias, N. and Steigerwald, P. 1992. Preliminary studies of hipparionine horse diet as measured by tooth microwear. In: Forstén, A., Fortelius, M. and Werdelin, L. (eds.), Björn Kurtén A memorial volume. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 28: 187–200.
- Herries, A.I.R. 2003. Magnetostratigraphic seriation of South African hominin palaeocaves. Ph.D. thesis, University of Liverpool, UK.
- Herries, A.I.R., Adams, J.W., Kuykendall, K.L. and Shaw, J. 2006. Speleology and magnetobiostratigraphic chronology of the GD 2 locality of the Gondolin hominin-bearing paleocave deposits, North West Province, South Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 51: 617–631.
- Herries, A.I.R., Curnoe , D. and Adams, J.W. 2009. A multi-disciplinary seriation of early *Homo* and *Paranthropus* bearing palaeocaves in southern Africa. *Quaternary International* 202: 14–28.
- Herries, A.I.R., Hopley, P.J., Adam, J.W., Curnoe, D. and Maslin, M.A. 2010.
  Letter to the editor: Geochronology and palaeoenvironments of southern
  African hominin-bearing localities a reply to Wrangham, et al., 2009.
  "Shallow-water habitats as sources of fallback foods for hominins." *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 143: 640–646.
- Hillman, J.C. 1979. The biology of the eland (*Taurotragus oryx* Pallas) in the wild. Ph.D. thesis, University of Nairobi, Kenya.
- Hofmann, R.R. 1973. The Ruminant Stomach: Stomach Structure and Feeding habits of East African Game Ruminants. East African Monographs in Biology, Vol. 2. Nairobi: East African Literature Bureau.

- Hofmann, R.R. 1989. Evolutionary steps of ecophysiological adaptation and diversification of ruminants: a comparative view of their digestive system. *Oecologia* 78: 443–457.
- Hofmann, R.R., Knight, M.H. and Skinner, J.D. 1995. On structural characteristics and morphophysiological adaptation of the springbok digestive system. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa* 50: 125-142.
- Hofmann, R.R. and Stewart, D.R.M. 1972. Grazer or browser: a classification based on the stomach structure and feeding habits of East African ruminants. *Mammalia* 36: 226–240.
- Hofmeyr, J.M. 1970. A review of the food preferences and feeding habits of some indigenous herbivores in the Ethiopian faunal region and some studies on animal:plant relationships. *Proceedings of the South African Society for Animal Production* 9: 89–99.
- Hopley, P.J., Marshall, J.D., Weedon, G.P., Latham, A.G., Herries, A.I.R. and Kuykendall, K.L. 2007. Orbital forcing and the spread of C<sub>4</sub> grasses in the late Neogene: stable isotope evidence from South African speleothems. *Journal of Human Evolution* 53: 620–634.
- Janis, C.M. 1988. An estimation of tooth volume and hypsodonty indices in ungulate mammals, and the correlation of these factors with dietary preference. In: Russell, D.E., Santoro, J. and Sigogneau-Russell, D. (eds.), Teeth Revisited: Proceedings of the VIIth International Symposium on Dental Morphology, Paris, 1986. *Muséum National de Histoire Naturalle Memoir sér*. 53: 367–387.

- Janis, C.M. and Fortelius, M. 1988. On the means whereby mammals achieve increased functional durability of their dentitions, with special reference to limiting factors. *Biological Reviews* 63: 197–230.
- Janis, C.M, Gordon, I. and Illius, A. 1994. Modelling equid/ruminant competition in the fossil record. *Historical Biology* 8: 15–29.
- Janis, C.M., Damuth, J. and Theodor, J.M. 2000. Miocene ungulates and terrestrial primary productivity: where have all the browsers gone? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 97: 7899–7904.
- Jarman, P.J. 1974. The social organization of antelope in relation to their ecology. *Behaviour* 48: 215–266.
- Jones, C.S., Cardon, Z.G. and Czaja, A.D. 2003. A phylogenetic view of lowlevel CAM in *Pelargonium* (Geraniaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 90:135–142.
- Kaiser, T.M. 2003. The dietary regimes of two contemporaneous populations of *Hippotherium primigenium* (Perissodactyla, Equidae) from the Vallesian (Upper Miocene) of Southern Germany. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 198: 381–402.
- Kappelman, J. 1984. Plio-Pleistocene environments of Bed I and Lower Bed II, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 48: 171–196.
- Kappelman, J., Plummer, T., Bishop, L., Duncan, A. and Appleton, S. 1997.Bovids as indicators of Plio-Pleistocene paleoenvironments in East Africa.*Journal of Human Evolution* 32: 229–256.

- Kay, R.F. and Covert, H.H. 1983. True grit: a microwear experiment. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 61: 33–38.
- Keyser, A.W., Menter, C.G., Moggi-Cecchi, J., Pickering, T.R. and Berger, L.R.
  2000. Drimolen: a new hominid-bearing site in Gauteng, South Africa.
  South African Journal of Science 96: 193–197.
- Kibii, J.M. 2004. Comparative taxonomic, taphonomic and palaeoenvironmental analysis of 4–2.3 million year old australopithecine cave infills at Sterkfontein. Ph.D. thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.
- King, T.P., Andrews, P. and Boz, B. 1999. Effect of taphonomic processes on dental microwear. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 108: 359– 373.
- Kingston, J.D. 2007. Shifting adaptive landscapes: progress and challenges in reconstructing early hominid environments. *Yearbook of Physical Anthropology* 50: 20–58.
- Kingston, J.D. and Harrison, T. 2007. Isotopic dietary reconstructions of Pliocene herbivores at Laetoli: implications for early hominin paleoecology. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 243: 272–306.
- Klein, R.G., Franciscus, R.G. and Steele, T.E. 2010. Morphometric identification of bovid metapodials to genus and implications for taxon-free habitat reconstruction. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 37: 389–401.
- Knight, M.H. 1995. Tsama melons, *Citrullus lanatus*, a supplementary water supply for wildlife in the southern Kalahari. *African Journal of Ecology* 33: 71–80.

- Koch, P. L., Tuross, N. and Fogel, M. L. 1997. The effects of sample treatment and diagenesis on the isotopic integrity of carbonate in biogenic hydroxylapatite. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 24: 417–429.
- Kok, O.B. and van Wyk, A.J. 1982. Boomklimmende klipspringer in die Namibwoestyn. *Madoqua* 13: 89–90.
- Körner, C., Farquhar, G.D. and Wong, S.C. 1991. Carbon isotope discrimination by plants follows latitudinal and altitudinal trends. *Oecologia* 88: 30–40.
- Krueger, H.W. and Sullivan, C.H., 1984, Models for carbon isotope fractionation between diet and bone. In: Turnlund, J.F. and Johnson, P.E. (eds.), *Stable Isotopes in Nutrition*. ACS Symposium Series 258, American Chemical Society, pp. 205–222.
- Kuhn, B.F., Berger, L.R. and Skinner, J.D. 2008. Examining criteria for identifying and differentiating fossil faunal assemblages accumulated by hyenas and hominins using extant hyenid accumulations. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 20: 15–35.
- Kumamoto, A.T., Charter, S.J., Houck, M.L. and Frahm, M. 1996. Chromosomes of *Damaliscus* (Artiodactyla, Bovidae): simple and complex centric fusion rearrangements. *Chromosome Research* 4(8): 614–621.
- Kuman, K. 1994. The archaeology of Sterkfontein past and present. Journal of Human Evolution 27: 471–495.
- Leakey, M.D. 1970. Stone artefacts from Swartkrans. Nature 225: 1222-1225.
- Leakey, M.D. 1971. *Olduvai Gorge: Excavations in Beds 1 and 2 (1960–1963)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Lee-Thorp, J. A. 2000. Preservation of biogenic carbon isotope signals in Plio-Pleistocene bone and tooth mineral. In: Ambrose, S.H. and Katzenberg, M. A. (eds.), *Biogeochemical Approaches to Paleodietary Analysis*. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 89–116.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A., Manning, L. and Sponheimer, M. 1997. Exploring problems and opportunities offered by down-scaling sample sizes for carbon isotope analyses of fossils. *Bulletin de la Société geologique de France* 168: 767– 773.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A. and Sponheimer, M. 2005. Opportunities and constraints for reconstructing palaeoenvironments from stable light isotope ratios in fossils. *Geological Quarterly* 49(2): 195–204.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A. and Sponheimer, M. 2007. Contribution of stable light isotopes to paleoenvironmental reconstruction. In: Henke, W. and Hard, T. (eds.), *Handbook of Paleoanthropology*. Berlin and Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 289– 310.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A., Sponheimer, M. and Luyt, J. 2007. Tracking changing environments using stable carbon isotopes in fossil tooth enamel: an example from the South African hominin sites. *Journal of Human Evolution* 53: 595–601.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A., Sponheimer, M., Passey, B.H., de Ruiter, D.J. and Cerling, T.E. 2010. Stable isotopes in fossil hominin tooth enamel suggest a fundamental dietary shift in the Pliocene. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society* 365: 3389–3396.

- Lee-Thorp, J.A., Thackeray, J.F. and van der Merwe, N.J. 2000. The hunters and the hunted revisited. *Journal of Human Evolution* 39: 565–576.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A. and van der Merwe, N.J. 1987. Carbon isotope analysis of fossil bone apatite. *South African Journal of Science* 83: 712–715.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A. and van der Merwe, N.J. 1991. Aspects of the chemistry of modern and fossil biological apatites. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 18: 343–354.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A. and van der Merwe, N.J. 1993. Carbon isotope studies of Swartkrans fauna and hominids. In: Brain, C.K. (ed.), Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man. Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 251–256.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A., van der Merwe, N.J. and Brain, C.K. 1989. Isotopic evidence for dietary differences between two extinct baboon species from Swartkrans. *Journal of Human Evolution* 18: 183–190.
- Lee-Thorp, J.A., van der Merwe, N.J. and Brain, C.K. 1994. Diet of *Australopithecus robustus* at Swartkrans from stable carbon isotopic analysis. *Journal of Human Evolution* 27: 361–372.
- LeGeros, R. Z. 1991. *Calcium phosphates in oral biology and medicine*. In: Myers, H. (ed.), Monographs in Oral Sciences, Vol. 15. Basle: Karger.
- Leuthold, W. 1978. On the ecology of the gerenuk *Litocranius walleri*. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 47: 561–580.
- Levin, N.E., Simpson, S.W., Quade, J., Cerling, T.E. and Frost, S.R. 2008. Herbivore enamel carbon isotopic composition and the environmental context of *Ardipithecus* at Gona, Ethiopia. In: Quade, J. and Wynn, J.G.

(eds.), *The Geology of Early Humans in the Horn of Africa*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 446, pp. 215–234.

- Liversidge, R. 1970. Identification of grazed grasses using epidermal characters. *Proceedings of the Grassland Society of South Africa* 5: 153–165.
- Luyt, J. 2001. Revisiting the palaeoenvironments of South African hominidbearing Plio-Pleistocene sites: new isotopic evidence from Sterkfontein.M.Sc. thesis, University of Cape Town.
- Luyt, J. and Lee-Thorp, J.A. 2003. Carbon isotope ratios of Sterkfontein fossils indicate a shift to open environments ca. 1.7 Ma. *South African Journal of Science* 99: 271–273.
- Marino, B.D. and McElroy, M.B. 1991. Isotopic composition of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> inferred from carbon in C<sub>4</sub> plant cellulose. *Nature* 349: 127–131.
- Marino, B.D., McElroy, M.B., Salawitch, R.J. and Spaulding W.G. 1992. Glacialto-interglacial variations in the carbon isotopic composition of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature* 357: 461–466.
- Marlow, J.R., Lange, C.B., Wefer, G. and Rosell-Melé, G. 2000. Upwelling intensification as part of the Pliocene-Pleistocene climate transition. *Science* 290: 2288–2291.
- Maslin, M.A. and Christensen, B. 2007. Tectonics, orbital forcing, global climate change, and human evolution in Africa: introduction to the African paleoclimate special volume. *Journal of Human Evolution* 53: 443–464.
- Matthee, C.A. and Robinson, T.J. 1999. Cytochrome b phylogeny of the family Bovidae: resolution within the Alcelaphini, Antilopini, Neotragini, and Tragelaphini. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 12: 31–46.

- McKee, J.K. 1991. Paleo-ecology of the Sterkfontein hominids: a review and synthesis. *Palaeontologia Africana* 28: 41–51.
- Medina, E. and Minchin, P. 1980. Stratification of  $\delta^{13}$ C values of leaves in Amazonian rain forests. *Oecologia* 45: 377–378.
- Mendoza, M., Janis, C.M. and Palmqvist, P. 2002. Characterizing complex craniodental patterns related to feeding behavior in ungulates: a multivariate approach. *Journal of Zoology* 258: 223–246.
- Merceron, G., Blondel, C., Brunet, M., Sen, S., Solounias, N., Viriot, L. and Heintz, E. 2004b. The late Miocene paleoenvironments of Afghanistan as inferred from dental microwear in artiodactyls. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 207: 143–163.
- Merceron, G., Blondel, C., de Bonis, L., Koufos, G.D. and Viriot, L. 2005b. A new method of dental microwear analysis: Application to extant primates and *Ouranopithecus macedoniensis* (Late Miocene of Greece). *Palaios* 20: 551–561.
- Merceron, G., de Bonis, L., Viriot, L. and Blondel, C. 2005a. Dental microwear of the late Miocene bovids of northern Greece: Vallesian/Turolian environmental changes and disappearance of *Ouranopithecus macedoniensis? Bulletin de la Société geologique de France* 176(5): 475– 484.
- Merceron, G. and Ungar, P. 2005. Dental microwear and palaeoecology of bovids from the early Pliocene of Langebaanweg, Western Cape Province, South Africa. South African Journal of Science 101: 365–370.

- Merceron G., Viriot, L. and Blondel, C. 2004a. Tooth microwear pattern in roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus* L.) from Chizé (Western France) and relation to food composition. *Small Ruminant Research* 53: 125–132.
- Merceron, G., Zazzo, A., Spassov, N., Geraads, D. and Kovachev, D. 2006. Bovid paleoecology and paleoenvironments from the late Miocene of Bulgaria: evidence from dental microwear and stable isotopes. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 241: 637–654.
- Middleton Shaw, J.C. 1937. Evidence concerning a fossil. *Journal of Dental Research* 16: 37–40.
- Middleton Shaw, J.C. 1939. Further remains of a Sterkfontein ape. *Nature* 142: 117.
- Middleton Shaw, J.C. 1940. Concerning some remains of a new Sterkfontein primate. *Annals of the Transvaal Museum* No. 20.
- Mucina, L. and Rutherford, M.C. (eds.), 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelitzia 19. Pretoria: South African National Biodiversity Institute.
- Murray, M.G. 1982. Home range, dispersal and the clan system of impala. *African Journal of Ecology* 20: 253–269.
- Newman, R. 1993. The incidence of damage marks on Swartkrans fossil bones from the 1979–1986 excavations. In: Brain, C.K. (ed.) Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man. Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 217–228.
- Norton, P.M. 1984. Food selection by klipspringer in two araes of the Cape Province. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research* 14: 33–41.

- O'Connor, T.G. and Bredenkamp, G.J. 1997. Grassland. In: Cowling, R.M., Richardson, D.M. and Pierce, S.M. (eds.), *Vegetation of Southern Africa*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 215–257.
- O'Leary, M.H. 1981. Carbon isotope fractionation in plants. *Phytochemistry* 20(4): 553–567.
- O'Leary, M.H. 1988. Carbon isotopes in photosynthesis. *Bioscience* 38: 328–336.
- Owen-Smith, N. 1997. Distinctive features of the nutritional ecology of browsing versus grazing ruminants. *International Journal of Mammalian Biology* 62: 176–191.
- Owen-Smith, N. and Cooper, S.M. 1989. Nutritional ecology of a browsing ruminant, the kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*), through the seasonal cycle. *Journal of Zoology* (London) 219: 29–43.
- Partridge, T.C. 1973. Geomorphological dating of cave opening at Makapansgat, Sterkfontein, Swartkrans and Taung. *Nature* 246: 75–79.
- Partridge, T. C. 2000. Hominid-bearing cave and tufa deposits. In: Partridge, T.C. and Maud, R.R. (eds.), *The Cenozoic of Southern Africa*. New York: Oxford, pp. 100–130.
- Passey, B. H. and Cerling, T. E. 2002. Tooth enamel mineralization in ungulates: implications for recovering a primary isotopic time-series. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 66: 3225–3234.
- Passey, B.H, Robinson, T.F., Ayliffe, L.K., Cerling, T.E., Sponheimer, M., Dearing, M.D., Roeder, B.L. and Ehleringer, J.R. 2005. Carbon isotope fractionation between diet, breath CO<sub>2</sub>, and bioapatite in different animals. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 32: 1459–1470.

- Perez-Barberia, F.J., Elston, D.A., Gordon, I.J. and Illius, A.W. 2004. The evolution of phylogenetic differences in the efficiency of digestion in ruminants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* 271(B): 1081– 1090.
- Perez-Barberia, F.J., Gordon, I.J. and Nores, C. 2001. Evolutionary transitions among feeding styles and habitats in ungulates. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 3: 221–230.
- Perrin, M.R. and Everett, P.S. 1992. Habitat requirements and conservation of Oribi *Ourebia ourebi* Zimmerman 1783. In: Spitz, F., Jaeau, G., Gonzalea, G. and Aulagnier, S. (eds.), *Ongulés/Ungulates 91*. Paris: SFEPM-IRGM, pp. 245–248.
- Pickering, R. 2004. The stratigraphy, chronology and palaeoenvironment of the Pleistocene cave fill, Gladysvale Cave, South Africa. M.Sc. thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.
- Pickering, R. and Krammers, J.D. 2010. Re-appraisal of the stratigraphy and determination of new U-Pb dates for the Sterkfontein hominin site, South Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 59: 70–86.
- Pickering, T. 2001. Reconsideration of criteria for differentiating faunal assemblages accumulated by hyenas and hominids. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 12: 127–141.
- Plummer, T.W. 2004. Flaked stones and old bones: biological and cultural evolution at the dawn of technology. *Yearbook of Physical Anthropology* 47: 118–164.

- Plummer, T.W. and Bishop, L.C. 1994. Hominid paleoecology at Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania as indicated by antelope remains. *Journal of Human Evolution* 27: 47–75.
- Plummer, T.W., Ditchfield, P.W., Bishop, L.C., Kinsgton, J.D., Erraro, J.V., Braun, D.R., Hertel, F. and Potts, R. 2009. Oldest evidence of toolmaking hominins in a grassland-dominated ecosystem. *PLoS ONE* 4(9): 1–8.
- Posselt, J. 1963. Domestication of the eland. *Rhodesia Journal of Agricultural Research* 1: 81–87.
- Proschan, M. 1997. Conditional power with Fisher's least significant difference procedure. *Biometrika* 84: 197–208.
- Quade, J., Cerling, T.E., Barry, J., Morgan, M.M., Pilbeam, D.R., Chivas, A.R., Lee-Thorp, J.A. and van der Merwe, N.J. 1992. A 16-Ma record of palaeodiet using carbon and oxygen isotopes in fossil teeth from Pakistan. *Chemical Geology* 94: 182–192.
- Quinn, R.L., Lepre, C.J., Wright, J.D. and Feibel, C.S. 2007. Paleogeographic variations of pedogenic carbonate  $\delta^{13}$ C values from Koobi Fora, Kenya: implications for floral compositions of Plio-Pleistocene hominin environments. *Journal of Human Evolution* 53: 560–573.
- Reed, K.E. 1996. The paleoecology of Makapansgat and other African Plio-Pleistocene hominid localities. Ph.D. thesis, State University of New York, Stony Brook, USA.
- Reed, K.E. 1997. Early hominid evolution and ecological change through the African Plio-Pleistocene. *Journal of Human Evolution* 32: 289–322.

- Reed, K.E. 1998. Using large mammal communities to examine ecological and taxonomic structure and predict vegetation in extant and extinct assemblages. *Paleobiology* 24(3): 384–408.
- Reed, K.E. 2008. Paleoecological patterns at the Hadar hominin site, Afar Regional State, Ethiopia. *Journal of Human Evolution* 54: 743–768.
- Reed, K.E. and Rector, A.L. 2007. African Pliocene paleoecology: hominin habitats, resources, and diets. In: Ungar P. (ed.), *Evolution of the Human Diet: The Known, the Unknown and the Unknowable*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 262–288.
- Reilly, B.K., Theron, G.K. and Bothma, J. du P. 1990. Food preference of oribi Ourebia ourebi in the Golden Gate Highlands National Park. Koedoe 33: 55–61.
- Rensberger, J.M. 1973. An occlusal model for mastication and dental wear in herbivorous mammals. *Journal of Paleontology* 47: 515–528.
- Retallack, G.J. 2001. Cenozoic expansion of grasslands and climatic cooling. *The Journal of Geology* 109 (4): 407–426.
- Reynolds, S.C. 2007. Mammalian body size changes and Plio-Pleistocene environmental shifts: implications for understanding hominin evolution in eastern and southern Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 53: 528–548.
- Rivals, F. and Deniaux, B. 2003. Dental microwear analysis for investigating the diet of an argali population (*Ovis ammon antique*) of mid-Pleistocene age, Caune de l'Arago Cave, eastern Pyrennes, France. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 193: 443–445.

- Robinson, J.T. 1954. The genera and species of the australopithecinae. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 12: 181–200.
- Robinson, J.T. 1956. *The Dentition of the Australopithecinae*. Transvaal Museum Memoir No. 9. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum.
- Robinson, J.T. 1963. Australopithecines, culture and phylogeny. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 21: 595–605.
- Roche, H., Blumenschine, R.J. and Shea, J.J. 2009 Origins and adaptations of early *Homo*: what archeology tells us. In: Grine, F.E., Fleagle, J.G. and Leakey, R.E. (eds.), *The First Humans: Origin and Early Evolution of the Genus Homo*.New York: Springer, pp. 135–147.
- Roodt, V. 1998. Trees and Shrubs of the Okavango Delta. Medicinal Uses and Nutritional Value. Gaborone, Botswana: Shell Oil Botswana.
- Rose, J.C. and Ungar, P.S. 1998. Cross dental wear and dental microwear in historical perspective. In: Alt, K.W., Rösing, F.W. and Teschler-Nicola, M. (eds.), *Dental Anthropology: Fundamentals, Limits and Prospects*. New York: Springer, pp. 349–386.
- Rose, J.J. 1983. A replication technique for scanning electron microscopy: Applications for anthropologists. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 62: 255–261.
- Rowe-Rowe, D.T. 1983. Habitat preferences of five Drakensberg antelopes. *South African Wildlife Research*. 13: 1–8.
- Rowe-Rowe, D. T. 1994. The Ungulates of Natal. Pietermaritzburg: Natal Parks Board.

- Schubert, B.W. 2004. Paleodiets of bovids from Makapansgat Limeworks Cave, South Africa: based on mesowear and microwear. Ph.D. thesis, University of Arkansas, USA.
- Schubert, B.W. 2007. Dental mesowear and the paleodiet of bovids from Makapansgat Limeworks Cave, South Africa. *Palaeontologia Africana* 42: 43–50.
- Schubert, B.W., Ungar, P.S., Sponheimer, M. and Reed, K.E. 2006. Microwear evidence for Plio-Pleistocene bovid diets from Makapansgat Limeworks Cave, South Africa. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 241: 301–319.
- Schwartz, H.P., Grun, R. and Tobias, P.V. 1994. ESR dating studies of the australopithecine site of Sterkfontein, South Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 26: 175–181.
- Scott, R.S., Ungar, P.S., Bergstrom, T.S., Brown, C.A., Childs, B.E., Teaford, M.F. and Walker A. 2006. Dental microwear texture analysis: technical considerations. *Journal of Human Evolution* 51: 339–349.
- Scott, R.S., Ungar, P.S., Bergstrom, T.S., Brown, C.A., Grine, F.E., Teaford, M.F. and Walker, A. 2005. Dental microwear texture analysis shows withinspecies diet variability in fossil hominins. *Nature* 436: 693–695.
- Ségalen, L., Lee-Thorp, J.A. and Cerling, T. 2007. Timing of C<sub>4</sub> grass expansion across sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 53: 549–559.
- Sekulic, R. and Estes, R.D. 1977. A note on bone-chewing in the sable antelope in Kenya. *Mammalia* 41: 537–539.

- Semprebon, G.M., Godfrey, L.R., Solounias, N., Sutherland, M.R. and Jungers, W.L. 2004. Can low-magnification stereomicroscopy reveal diet? *Journal* of Human Evolution 47:115–144.
- Shackleton, C. and Walker, B.H. 1985. Habitat and dietary species selection by oribi antelope at Mount Sheba Nature Reserve. South African Journal of Wildlife Research 15: 49–53.
- Shipman, P. and Harris, J.M. 1988. Habitat preference and paleoecology of Australopithecus boisei in Eastern Africa. In: Grine, F.E. (ed.), The Evolutionary History of the Robust Australopithecines. New York: Aldine de Gruyter, pp. 343–381.
- Skinner, J.D. and Chimimba, C.T. 2005. *The Mammals of the Southern African Subregion* (third edition). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Skinner, J.D. and Smithers, R.H.N. 1990. *The Mammals of the Southern African Subregion* (second edition). Pretoria: University of Pretoria.
- Smith, B.N. and Epstein, S. 1971. Two categories of <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratios for higher plants. *Plant Physiology* 47: 380–384.
- Smithers, R.H.N. 1971. The Mammals of Botswana. National Museum Monument Rhodesia Museum Memoir No.4. Salisbury: Trustees of the National Museums of Rhodesia.
- Solounias, N. 2007. Family Bovidae. In: Prothero, D. R. and Foss, S.E. (eds.), *The Evolution of Artiodactyls*. Maryland: The John Hopkins University Press, pp. 178–292.

- Solounias, N. and Hayek, L.A.C. 1993. New methods of tooth microwear analysis and application to dietary determination of two extinct antelopes. *Journal of the Zoological Society* (London) 229: 421–445.
- Solounias, N. and Moelleken, S.M.C. 1993. Tooth microwear and premaxillary shape of an archaic antelope. *Lethaia* 26: 261–268.
- Solounias, N., Moelleken, S.M.C. and Plavcan, J.M. 1995. Predicting the diet of extinct bovids using masseteric morphology. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 15(4): 795-805.
- Solounias, N. and Semprebon, G. 2002. Advances in the reconstruction of ungulate ecomorphology with application to early fossil equids. *American Museum Novitates* 3366: 1–49.
- Solounias, N., Teaford, M.F. and Walker, A. 1988. Interpreting the diet of extinct ruminants: the case of a non-browsing giraffid. *Paleobiology* 14: 287–300.
- Sparks, J.P. and Ehleringer, J.R. 1997. Leaf carbon isotope discrimination and nitrogen content for riparian trees along elevational transects. *Oecologia* 109 (3): 362–367.
- Spencer, L.M. 1995. Morphological correlates of dietary resource partitioning in the African Bovidae. *Journal of Mammalogy* 76: 448–471.
- Spencer, L.M. 1997. Dietary adaptations of Plio-Pleistocene Bovidae: implications for hominid habitat use. *Journal of Human Evolution* 32: 201–228.
- Spinage, C.A. 1986. *The Natural History of Antelopes*. Beckenham, U.K.: Croom Helm.

- Sponheimer, M. 1999. Isotopic paleoecology of the Makapansgat Limeworks fauna. Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, USA.
- Sponheimer, M., de Ruiter, D., Lee-Thorp, J. and Spa, A. 2005a. Sr/Ca and early hominin diets revisited: new data from modern and fossil tooth enamel. *Journal of Human Evolution* 48: 147–156.
- Sponheimer, M. and Lee-Thorp, J.A. 1999a. Using carbon isotope data of fossil bovid communities for palaeoenvironmental reconstruction. *South African Journal of Science* 99: 273–275.
- Sponheimer, M. and Lee-Thorp, J.A. 1999b. Alteration of enamel carbonate environments during fossilization. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 26: 143–150.
- Sponheimer, M. and Lee-Thorp, J.A. 2003. Using carbon isotope data of fossil bovid communities for palaeoenvironmental reconstruction. *South African Journal of Science* 99: 273–275.
- Sponheimer, M. and Lee-Thorp, J.A. 2009. Biogeochemical evidence for the environments of early *Homo* in South Africa. In: Grine, F.E., Fleagle, J.G. and Leakey, R.E. (eds.), *The First Humans: Origin and Early Evolution of the Genus Homo*. New York: Springer, pp. 185–194.
- Sponheimer, M., Lee-Thorp, J., de Ruiter, D., Codron, D., Codron, J., Baugh, A.T. and Thackeray, F. 2005b. Hominins, sedges, and termites: new carbon isotope data from the Sterkfontein valley and Kruger National Park. *Journal of Human Evolution* 48: 301–312.
- Sponheimer, M., Lee-Thorp, J.A., de Ruiter, D.J., Smith, J.M., van der Merwe, N.J., Reed, K., Crant, C.C., Ayliffe, L.K., Robinson, T.F., Heidelberger, C.

and Marcus, W. 2003. Diets of Southern African Bovidae: stable isotope evidence. *Journal of Mammalogy* 84(2): 471–479.

- Sponheimer, M., Passey, B.H., de Ruiter, D.J., Guatelli-Steinberg, D., Cerling, T.E. and Lee-Thorp, J.A. 2006. Isotopic evidence for dietary variability in the early hominin *Paranthropus robustus*. *Science* 314: 980–982.
- Sponheimer, M., Reed, K.E. and Lee-Thorp, J.A. 1999. Combining isotopic and ecomorphological data to refine bovid paleodietary reconstruction: a case study from the Makapansgat Limeworks hominin locality. *Journal of Human Evolution* 36: 705–718.
- Sternberg, L.D.L., Mulkey, S.S. and Wright, S.J. 1989. Ecological interpretation of leaf carbon isotope ratios: influence of respired carbon dioxide. *Ecology* 70: 1317–1324.
- Steininger, C., Berger, L.R. and Kuhn, B. 2008. Partial skull of Paranthropus robustus from Coopers Cave, South Africa. South African Journal of Science 104: 143–146.
- Sutton, M.B., Pickering, T.R., Pickering, R., Brain, C.K., Clarke, R.J., Heaton, J.L. and Kuman, K. 2009. Newly discovered fossil- and artifact-bearing deposits, uranium-series ages, and Plio-Pleistocene hominids at Swartkrans Cave, South Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 57: 688–696.
- Tabachnick, B. G. and Fidell, L. S. 1996. *Using multivariate statistics*. New York: Harper Collins.
- Taylor, C.R. 1968. The minimum water requirements of some eas African bovids. Symposia of the Zoological Society of London 21: 195–206.

- Teaford, M.F. 1988a. A review of dental microwear and diet in modern mammals. *Scanning Microscopy* 2: 1149–1166.
- Teaford, M.F. 1988b. Scanning electron microscope diagnosis of wear patterns versus artifacts on fossil teeth. *Scanning Microscopy* 2: 1149–1166.
- Teaford, M.F. and Oyen, O.J. 1989a. In vivo and in vitro turnover in dental microwear. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 80: 447–460.
- Teaford, M.F. and Oyen, O.J. 1989b. Live primates and dental replication: New problems and new techniques. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 80: 73–81.
- Teaford, M.F. and Runestad, J.A. 1992. Dental microwear and diet in Venezuelan primates. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 88: 347–364.
- Teaford, M.F. and Walker, A.C. 1984. Quantitative differences in dental microwear between primate species with different diets and a comment on the presumed diet of *Sivapithecus*. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 64: 191–200.
- Thackeray, J.F., Kirschvink, J.L. and Raub, T.D. 2002. Palaeomagnetic analysis of calcified deposits from the Plio-Pleistocene hominid site of Kromdraai, South Africa. South African Journal of Science 98: 537–540.
- Tieszen, L.L., Boutton, T.W., Tesdahl, K.G. and Slade, N.A. 1983. Fractionation and turnover of stable carbon isotopes in animal tissues: implications for <sup>13</sup>C analysis of diet. *Oecologia* 57: 32–37.
- Tieszen, L.L. and Fagre, T. 1993. Effect of diet quality and composition on the isotopic composition of respiratory CO<sub>2</sub>, bone collagen, bioapatite, and

soft tissues. In: Lambert, J.B. and Grupe, G. (eds.), *Prehistoric Human Bone: Archaeology at the Molecular Level*. Berlin: Springer, pp. 121–155.

- Tieszen, L.L., Hein, D., Qvortrup, D., Troughton, J. and Imbamba, S. 1979. Use of  $\delta^{13}$ C values to determine vegetation selectivity in East African herbivores. *Oecologia* 37: 351–359.
- Tshabalala, T., Dube, S. and Lent, P. 2010. Seasonal variation in forages utilized by the African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) in the succulent thicket of South Africa. *African Journal of Ecology* 48: 438–445.
- Underwood, R. 1983. Feeding behaviour of grazing African ungulates. *Behaviour* 84: 201–243.
- Ungar, P.S. 1996. Dental microwear of European Miocene catarrhines: evidence for diets and tooth use. *Journal of Human Evolution* 31: 335–366
- Ungar, P.S. and Grine, F.E. 1991. Incisor size and wear in Australopithecus africanus and Paranthropus robustus. Journal of Human Evolution 20: 313–340.
- Ungar, P.S., Merceron, G. and Scott, R.S. 2007. Dental microwear texture analysis of Varswater bovids and early Pliocene palaeoenvironments of Langebaanweg, Western Cape Province, South Africa. *Journal of Mammalogy* 14: 163–181.
- Ungar, P.S., Scott, R.S., Scott, J.R. and Teaford, M.F. 2008. Dental microwear analysis: historical perspectives and new approaches. In: Irish, J.D. and Nelson, G.C. (eds.), *Dental Anthropology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 389–425.
- van der Merwe, N.J. 1982. Carbon isotopes, photosynthesis, and archaeology. American Science 70: 596–606.
- van der Merwe, N.J. *accepted*. Isotopic ecology and diets of fossil fauna from Olduvai Gorge at ca. 1.8 Ma, compared with modern fauna from Tanzania. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*.
- van der Merwe, N.J. and Medina, E. 1989. Photosynthesis and <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratios in Amazonian rain forests. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 53: 1091– 1094.
- van der Merwe, N.J. and Medina, E. 1991. The canopy effect, carbon isotope ratios and foodwebs in Amazonia. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 18: 249–259.
- van der Merwe, N.J. and Thackeray, J.F. 1997. Stable carbon isotope analysis of Plio-Pleistocene ungulate teeth from Sterkfontein, South Africa. *South African Journal of Science* 93: 194.
- van der Merwe, N.J., Thackeray, J.F., Lee-Thorp, J.A. and Luyt, J. 2003. The carbon isotope ecology and diet of *Australopithecus africanus* at Sterkfontein, South Africa. *Journal of Human Evol*ution 44: 581–597.
- van der Merwe, N.J. and Vogel, J.C. 1978. <sup>13</sup>C content of human collagen as a measure of prehistoric diet in woodland North America. *Nature* 276: 815–816.
- Van Rooyen, N. 2001. Flowering Plants of the Kalahari Dunes. Pretoria: Ekotrust.

- Van Rooyen, R.J. and Skinner, J.D. 1989. Dietary differences between the sexes in impala Aepyceros melampus. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa 47: 181–185.
- Van Soest, P.J. 1994. *Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant* (second edition). New York: Comstock.
- Van Soest, P.J. 1996. Allometry and ecology of feeding behavior and digestive capacity in herbivores; a review. *Zoo Biology* 15: 455–479.
- Van Zyl, J.H.M. 1965. The vegetation of the S.A. Lombard Nature Reserve and its utilization by certain antelope. *Zoologica Africana* 1: 55–71.
- Vogel, J.C. 1978. Isotopic assessment of the dietary habits of ungulates. *South African Journal of Science* 74: 298–301.
- Vrba, E.S. 1974. Chronological and ecological implications of the fossil Bovidae at the Sterkfontein Australopithecine site. *Nature* 250: 19–23.
- Vrba, E.S. 1975. Some evidence of chronology and palaeoecology of Sterkfontein, Swartkrans and Kromdraai from the fossil Bovidae. *Nature* 254: 301–304.
- Vrba, E.S. 1977. New species of *Parmularius* Hopwood and *Damaliscus* Sclater and Thomas (Alcelaphini: Bovidae: Mammalia) from Makapansgat. *Palaeontologica Africana* 20: 137–151.
- Vrba, E.S. 1980. The significance of bovid remains as indicators of environment and predation patterns. In: Behrensmeyer, A.K. and Hill, A.P. (eds.), *Fossils in the Making: Vertebrate Taphonomy and Paleoecology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, pp. 247–271.

- Vrba, E.S. 1982. Biostratigraphy and chronology, based particularly on Bovidae, of southern hominid-associated assemblages: Makapansgat, Sterkfontein, Taung, Kromdraai, Swartkrans; also Elandsfontein (Saldanha), Broken Hill (now Kabwe) and Cave of Hearths. *In Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> International Congress on Human Palaeontology*, Vol. 2. Paris: CNRS, pp. 707–752.
- Vrba, E.S. 1984. Evolutionary pattern and process in the sister-groups Alcelaphini-Aepycerotini. In: Eldredge, N. and Stanley, S.M. (eds.), Living Fossils. New York: Springer, pp. 62–79.
- Vrba, E.S. 1985. Ecological and adaptive changes associated with early hominid evolution. In: Delson, E. (ed.), *Ancestors: The Hard Evidence*. New York: Alan R. Liss, pp. 63–71.
- Vrba, E.S. 1995. The fossil record of African antelopes (Mammalia, Bovidae) in relation to human evolution and paleoclimate. In: Vrba, E.S. (ed.), *Paleoclimate and Evolution, with Emphasis on Human Origins*. New Haven: Yale University Press, pp. 385–424.
- Vrba, E.S. 2000. Major features of Neogene mammalian evolution in Africa. In: Partridge, T.C. and Maud, R.R. (eds.), *The Cenozoic of Southern Africa*. New York: Oxford, pp. 277–304.
- Walker, A.C. 1984. Mechanisms of honing in the male baboon canine. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 65: 47–60.
- Walker, A., Hoeck, H.N. and Perez, L. 1978. Microwear of mammalian teeth as an indicator of diet. *Science* 201: 908–910.

- Wang, Y. and Cerling, T. E. 1994. A model for fossil tooth and bone diagenesis: implications for paleodiet reconstruction from stable isotopes. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 107: 596–606.
- Watson, V. 1993. Composition of the Swartkrans bone accumulations, in terms of skeletal parts and animals represented. In: Brain, C.K. (ed.) Swartkrans: A Cave's Chronicle of Early Man. Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 8. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum, pp. 35–74.
- White, T.D., Ambrose, S.H., Suwa, G., Su, D.F., DeGusta, D., Bernor, R.L., Boisserie, J.R., Brunet, M., Delson, E., Frost, S., Garcia, N., Giaourtsakis, I.X., Haile-Selassie, Y., Howell, F.C., Lehmann, T., Likius, A., Pehlevan, C., Saegusa, H., Semprebon, G., Teaford, M. and Vrba, E. 2009. Macrovertebrate paleontology and the Pliocene habitat of *Ardipithecus ramidus*. *Science* 326: 67–93.
- Williamson, D.T. 1979. An outline of the ecology and behaviour of the red lechwe (Kobus leche leche Gray, 1985). Ph.D. thesis, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg.
- Williamson, D.T. 1987. Plant underground storage organs as a source of moisture for Kalahari wildlife. *African Journal of Ecology* 25: 63–64.
- Williamson, D.T. 1990. Habitat selection by red lechwe (*Kobus leche Gray*, 1850). African Journal of Ecology 28: 89–101.
- Wilson, V.J. 1965. Observations on the greater kudu *Tragelaphus strepsiceros* from a tsetse control hunting scheme in northern Rhodesia. *East African Wildlife Journal* 3:27–37.

- Wilson, V.J. and Child, G.F.T. 1965. Notes on klipspringer from tsetse fly control areas in Eastern Zambia. *Arnoldia* 1(35): 1–9.
- Wilson, E.E. and Hirst, S.M. 1977. Ecology and factors limiting roan and sable antelope populations in South Africa. *Wildlife Monograph* 54: 1–111.
- Wood, B. and Collard, M. 1999. The human genus. Science 284: 65-71.
- Wood, B. and Richmond, B.G. 2000. Human evolution: taxonomy and paleobiology. *Journal of Anatomy* 196: 19–60.
- Wood, B. and Strait, D. 2004. Patterns of resources use in early *Homo* and *Paranthropus. Journal of Human Evolution* 46: 119–162.
- Young, E. 1972. Observations on the movement patterns and daily home range size of impala, *Aepyceros melampus* (Lichtenstein) in the Kruger National Park. *Zoologica Africana* 7: 187–195.