OPTIMAL CHARACTERISTICS OF INSERTED GRAPHIC OBJECTS IN STIMULATING CCTV OPERATOR VIGILANCE AND PERFORMANCE

FIONA MARGARET DONALD

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Humanities, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

September 2011

| ATION | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|--|---|
| that this thesis is my of Philosophy at the Fa sburg. It has not bee | aculty of Human | nities, University | of the Witwat | ersrand, |
| iversity. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| argaret Donald | | | | |
| | | | | |
| e o e n | of Philosophy at the Fa | e that this thesis is my own, unaided wof Philosophy at the Faculty of Humar esburg. It has not been submitted preniversity. | e that this thesis is my own, unaided work. It is being of Philosophy at the Faculty of Humanities, University esburg. It has not been submitted previously for any niversity. | e that this thesis is my own, unaided work. It is being submitted for of Philosophy at the Faculty of Humanities, University of the Witwat esburg. It has not been submitted previously for any other degree o niversity. |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to:

- My supervisor, Prof. Andrew Thatcher, who provided guidance, insight and encouragement throughout the process. His speedy responses and feedback are highly appreciated, especially when other priorities called.
- ❖ Dr Craig Donald, my husband and source of inspiration for this research, for sharing his area of interest, providing intellectual stimulation, challenging my views and giving ongoing emotional support. In addition, this research would not have been feasible without his technical support in terms of computer equipment, photographic skills, video editing and numerous other forms of assistance.
- Mr Michael Greyling for his statistical advice and assistance.
- Dr Yvonne Broom for support during the initial phases.
- ❖ Leaderware cc for the use of SAMAE.
- ❖ The organisations, operators and students who took part in the research despite the time commitments involved.
- ❖ The models for the photographs Bafana, Dakalo, Ian, Katherine and Sifiso.
- ❖ The research assistants who helped with data collection, in particular Ashleigh, Jennifer, Katherine, Leanne, and Nelson.
- ❖ My daughters, Katherine and Heather, who supported the process, graciously made many sacrifices and provided technical support in the form of photographic editing and tips for final formatting. This was truly a family effort.

ABSTRACT

Vigilance is a key process fundamental for sustained performance in many jobs and in particular those requiring continual detection in visually intensive tasks. This research examined operators' overall vigilance performance levels and decrements over time in the context of closed circuit television (CCTV) surveillance. The aims of the research were to develop an intervention to enhance the detection of significant events, and to establish the levels of overall vigilance performance and decrements in a CCTV surveillance task. The intervention consisted of electronically inserting graphic objects (IGOs) or images into the video stream with the intention of assisting operators in detecting actual significant events. IGOs could potentially represent an infinite range of visual stimuli, but it was argued that only particular visual characteristics are likely to enhance the detection of real significant events, rather than merely facilitating the detection of the IGOs themselves. In addition, the characteristics of IGOs are likely to influence the extent to which their relationship to significant events is understood. The research identified a range of characteristics that could be incorporated into IGO design, and focused on salience and semantic distance for the empirical part of the research.

A matched three-group quasi-experimental design involved a sample consisting of 29 specialised CCTV surveillance operators, 13 control room operators doing surveillance, and 31 novices. The task consisted of observing a ninety-minute CCTV video showing general and target behaviour in a video stream of actual work settings. The control group received no IGOs, one treatment group received generic IGOs, and the second treatment group received IGOs with close semantic distances to target behaviours. There were indications that the IGOs had positive effects on alertness and attention sets, but this did not translate into statistically significant improvements in detection rates. Reasons for this included IGO characteristics, the complex and dynamic nature of CCTV displays and significant events, and the dynamic and spatiotemporal properties of the IGOs. Semantic distance was confirmed as an important IGO characteristic.

The research demonstrated a number of critical insights into vigilance dynamics and visual processing and highlighted that there are gaps in the understanding of the attention processes that occur in jobs requiring sustained attention. Only half the target behaviours were detected despite all target behaviours being visible, indicating a concerning underperformance in intensive visual detection tasks involving complex work situations. Responses to vigilance demands were highly individualised, with decrements and surges beginning at different times across individuals. Qualitative analyses of participants' behaviour also found fluctuations in task engagement, suggesting that sustained attention is unstable. Results did not support a steady, linear vigilance decrement for all sub-samples. An increment in detection rates was found for specialised participants after 60 minutes, while novices to surveillance tasks showed a more linear decrement. Work exposure was an important variable that contributed to detection levels and performance fluctuations over time. The research highlights differences between tasks with simple visual stimuli frequently used in vigilance research versus complex real-world tasks in vigilance intensive jobs. Important insights regarding vigilance processes in complex real-world jobs emerged, including the need for active searching processes, visual analysis, high levels of situation awareness and the importance of operator's frame of reference and approach to the detection task. The research has likely implications for other visual imaging technologies such as x-rays, infrared and thermal imaging, and technology using newer millimetre wave and terahertz based imaging common in security, policing, and defence.

CONTENTS

| Declarat | ion | i |
|----------|---|-----|
| Acknow | ledgements | ii |
| Abstract | | iii |
| | | |
| 1. | CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1. | The effectiveness of CCTV | 1 |
| 1.2. | Focus of the current research | 5 |
| 1.3. | Structure of the thesis | 8 |
| 1.4. | Abbreviations used | 9 |
| 2. | CHAPTER 2: VIGILANCE THEORIES AND CCTV SURVEILLANCE | 10 |
| | OPERATOR PERFORMANCE | |
| 2.1. | Performance issues related to vigilance | 12 |
| 2.2. | Vigilance theories | 15 |
| 2.2.1. | Arousal theory | 15 |
| 2.2.2. | Signal detection theory | 18 |
| 2.2.3. | Multiple resource attention theory | 23 |
| 2.2.4. | Mindlessness theory | 24 |
| 2.3. | Implications of theories for vigilance performance | 28 |
| 2.4. | Contributions of vigilance research to an understanding of CCTV | 30 |
| | operator performance | |
| 3. | CHAPTER 3: BACKGROUND TO THE INTERVENTION | 35 |
| 3.1. | Basis for the IGO intervention | 37 |
| 3.2. | Intervention in the current study | 42 |
| 3.3. | Types of images and contexts | 46 |
| 4. | CHAPTER 4: IGOs AND THE DETECTION PROCESS | 51 |
| 4.1. | Mental models, schemata and situation awareness (SA) | 53 |

| 4.2. | Attention sets and selective attention | 56 |
|------------|---|-----|
| 4.3. | Top-down and bottom-up attention guidance | 58 |
| 4.4. | Long term and working memory | 61 |
| 4.5. | Potential disadvantages of IGOs | 63 |
| 5. | CHAPTER 5: IGO CHARACTERISTICS | 64 |
| 5.1. | Semantic distance | 65 |
| 5.2. | Concreteness | 71 |
| 5.3. | Visual complexity | 74 |
| 5.4. | Salience | 78 |
| 5.5. | Novelty | 84 |
| 5.6. | Realism | 86 |
| 5.7. | Categorisation of characteristics | 88 |
| 5.8. | Interactions between IGO characteristics | 90 |
| 5.9. | Characteristics examined in the current research | 95 |
| 5.10. | Hypotheses | 98 |
| 6. | CHAPTER 6: METHODOLOGY | 101 |
| 6.1. | Samples | 102 |
| 6.1.1. | Pilot studies samples | 102 |
| 6.1.2. | Stage 1 sample | 102 |
| 6.2. | Procedure | 105 |
| 6.2.1. | Allocation of participants to experimental groups | 108 |
| 6.2.2. | Pilot studies: Development of materials | 112 |
| 6.2.2.1. | Pilot study 1: Video content | 113 |
| 6.2.2.1.1. | Pilot study 1a: Target behaviour clips | 114 |
| 6.2.2.1.2. | Pilot study 1b: Non-target behaviour clips | 115 |
| 6.2.2.2. | Pilot study 2: Video structure and content | 116 |
| 6.2.2.3. | IGO development and insertion | 119 |
| 6.2.2.4. | Final video structure | 122 |

| 6.3. | Measurement | 122 |
|----------|--|-----|
| 6.4. | Analyses | 126 |
| 6.5. | Participant involvement and ethical issues | 128 |
| 7. | CHAPTER 7: RESULTS | 130 |
| 7.1. | Stage 1: Operators | 131 |
| 7.1.1. | Examination of hypotheses | 131 |
| 7.1.2. | Secondary analyses | 137 |
| 7.1.3. | Summary of results of Stage 1 | 140 |
| 7.2. | Stage 2: Novices, generalists and specialists | 142 |
| 7.2.1. | Methodology | 144 |
| 7.2.1.1. | Sample | 144 |
| 7.2.1.2. | Procedure | 145 |
| 7.2.1.3. | Analyses | 147 |
| 7.2.2. | Results | 147 |
| 7.2.2.1. | Examination of hypotheses | 147 |
| | Hypothesis 1 | 147 |
| | Hypothesis 2 | 151 |
| | Hypothesis 3 | 159 |
| 7.2.2.2. | Qualitative analyses: Reactions to the vigilance task and IGOs | 162 |
| 7.2.3. | Summary of results | 170 |
| 8. | CHAPTER 8: DISCUSSION | 171 |
| 8.1. | Overall level of vigilance performance | 172 |
| 8.2. | Vigilance decrements | 180 |
| 8.3. | The IGO intervention | 188 |
| 8.4. | Limitations of the research | 202 |
| 8.5. | Directions for future research | 207 |

| 8.6. | Conclusion | 214 |
|-----------|------------|-----|
| REFERENCE | s | 219 |
| APPENDICE | s | 247 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1. | Abbreviations | 9 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table 2. | Factors affecting performance in vigilance tasks (Adapted from Mackie, 1987) | 30 |
| Table 3. | Conceptual categorisation of IGO characteristics | 89 |
| Table 4. | Reasons for excluding operators | 103 |
| Table 5. | Gender and education by experimental group (N=42) | 103 |
| Table 6. | CCTV surveillance experience, type of surveillance, training and job grade by group (N=42) | 104 |
| Table 7. | Summary statistics of SAMAE scores by treatment group for operators only | 110 |
| Table 8. | ANOVAs for groups on SAMAE scores | 111 |
| Table 9. | Correlations between SAMAE scores and total TBs and FAs (N=42) | 111 |
| Table 10. | Difficulty index for TBs | 125 |
| Table 11. | Summary statistics on dependent variables for operators (N=42) | 131 |
| Table 12. | Descriptive statistics for TBs by phase and experimental group (N=42) | 132 |
| Table 13. | Summary statistics of FAs by phase and experimental group (N=42) | 134 |
| Table 14. | Differences in least squares means for FAs between phases (N=42) | 134 |
| Table 15. | Summary statistics of IGOs per phase (N=42) | 135 |
| Table 16. | Summary statistics for CCTV experience for generalists and specialists (N=42) | 138 |
| Table 17. | Analysis of education for generalists and specialists (N=42) | 139 |
| Table 18. | Analysis of training for generalists and specialists (N=42) | 139 |
| Table 19. | Summary statistics of SAMAE scores per experimental group (Novices, N=31) | 146 |
| Table 20. | ANOVAs of SAMAE scores for treatment groups (Novices, N=31) | 147 |
| Table 21. | Summary statistics for dependent variables by surveillance background (N=73) | 148 |

| Table 22. | Differences in least squares means for TBs by surveillance background (N=73) | 149 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table 23. | Differences in least squares means for FAs between surveillance backgrounds (N=73) | 150 |
| Table 24. | Differences of least squares means for accuracy between surveillance backgrounds (N=73) | 151 |
| Table 25. | Differences in least squares means for TBs by phase for generalists and specialists (N=42) | 152 |
| Table 26. | Differences of least squares means for TBs for novices (N=31) | 153 |
| Table 27. | Examples of TBs detected over the ninety-minute task | 157 |
| Table 28. | Disengagement by surveillance background and phase (N=73) | 158 |
| Table 29. | Means and standard deviations for dependent variables for experimental groups (N=73) | 159 |
| Table 30. | Differences of least squares means on FAs between experimental groups for generalists (N=13) | 160 |
| Table 31. | Reactions to video (N=73) | 163 |
| Table 32. | Reactions to IGOs (N=73) | 167 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. | Examples of RIGOs | 119 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Figure 2. | Examples of SIGOs | 120 |
| Figure 3. | TBs by experimental group and phase (N=42) | 133 |
| Figure 4. | FAs by experimental group and phase (N=42) | 134 |
| Figure 5. | Total TBs and FAs for novices, generalists and specialists | 150 |
| Figure 6. | TB means over phases for novices, generalists and specialists | 154 |
| Figure 7. | FA means over phases for novices, generalists and specialists | 155 |
| Figure 8. | FA means by experimental groups for novices, generalists and specialists | 160 |