

LEARNERS' MATHEMATICAL REASONING WHEN GENERALIZING FROM NUMBER PATTERNS IN THE GENERAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING PHASE

By

WILLIAMS CHAPASUKA NDLOVU

STUDENT NUMBER: 0616576G

SUPERVISOR:

PROFESSOR MARGOT BERGER

A research report submitted to the Wits School of Education, Faculty of Science, University of the Witwatersrand in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science by combination of coursework and research.



Johannesburg, South Africa

June 2011

Copyright Notice

The copyright of this research report vests in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, in accordance with the University's Intellectual Property Policy.

No portion of the text may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including analogue and digital media, without prior written permission from the author and the University. Extracts of or quotations from this report may, however, be made in terms of Sections 12 and 13 of the South African Copyright Act No. 98 of 1978 (as amended), for non-commercial or educational purposes. Full acknowledgement must be made to the author and the University.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to explore GET learners' mathematical (algebraic) reasoning when generalizing from number patterns. Data was collected in a former model C school in greater Johannesburg area by means of a questionnaire based task involving number patterns. The mathematical reasoning of the grade 9 participants when generalizing from number patterns was examined within a commognitive framework. According to this perspective, thinking is a special activity of communication in which a participant of a discourse engages. The participants' responses to questions in the questionnaire based task were classified according to particular aspects of the discourse they used, specifically routines (strategies) and visual mediators. The participants' generalization routines were further classified into one of the three main categories; numeric, figural and pragmatic generalizations. The analysis focused on how the learners' derived rules for the n th term and their justifications for their responses.

The results of this study strongly support the notion that students' algebraic reasoning when generalizing in number patterns is intertwined with their choices of routines and mediators. Most learners used recursive routines while a few used explicit routines (classified and categorized as numeric routines) and number-mediators. Also, most participants found it easier to informally verbalize their generalizations. However participants' spoken justifications of their written and spoken responses often did not match their use of routines and visual mediators. As such, an awareness and appreciation (by teachers) of students' diverse use of routines and mediators when generalizing from number patterns could have direct pedagogical implications in the mathematics classrooms.

KEYWORDS

Algebra, Generalization, Commognition, Thinking, Communication and Reasoning

DECLARATION

I declare that this research report is my own unaided work. It is being submitted for the degree of Master of Science at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg South Africa. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at any other University.

(Signature of Candidate)

7th day of June in the year 2011

DEDICATION

In loving memory of my late Parents: Enala & Chapasuka

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I thank the **Almighty GOD** for giving me life and a good health. And also, I would like to thank the following people for their contributions towards my work:

My supervisor, Professor Margot Berger, for many hours of advice and encouragement, often under difficult circumstances, in which she helped me to develop and maintain the focus and yet enabled me to take ownership of this work. I am most privileged to have worked with such an understanding and exceptional person.

My former lecturers; Professor Jill Adler from University of Witwatersrand and Dr. Mercy Kazima from the University of Malawi – Chancellor College for encouraging me that I can do it. Your efforts and intellect to see the potential in others have not gone unnoticed. Thanks. Ngiyabonga.

I wish to pass my special thanks to the Principal of the school involved in this study, the 29 Grade 9 learners, who willingly agreed to participate in my study, spending so much time with them in their classroom. I am particularly grateful to L5, L9, L15, L17, L23 and L28, who willingly gave of their time to further participate in the interviews.

I am grateful to the National Research Foundation, the Department of Education and the University of the Witwatersrand for the financial assistance provided for my study.

Last but not least, I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my wife Jean Pemphero, for her love, patience and understanding throughout this academic journey. And also to our lovely daughter, Faith Dinna, for continuously asking when are you going to finish studying and become a Doctor or Professor? Such kinds of questions always bring a new projectile into my life. My brothers, sisters and friends thank you for the support and encouragement. I am proud of you all. God Bless.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<i>Research title</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Copyright notice</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Abstract and keywords</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Declaration</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Dedication</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>Table of contents</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>References</i>	<i>x</i>
<i>Appendices</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>List of tables</i>	<i>xii</i>
<i>List of figure</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Abbreviation</i>	<i>xiv</i>

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Background to the study	1
1.3. Research Problem	3
1.3.1. Statement of Purpose	3
1.3.2. Research Questions	3
1.3.3. Number patterns	4
1.3.4. Limitations of the study	4
1.4. Rationale of the study	4
1.4.1. Algebra in the School Curriculum	5
1.4.2. Curriculum Reforms and Personal Experience	6
1.5. The structure of the report	6
1.5.1. Chapter 1	6
1.5.2. Chapter 2	7
1.5.3. Chapter 3	7
1.5.4. Chapter 4	7
1.5.5. Chapter 5	7
1.5.6. Chapter 6	8
1.5.7. Chapter 7	8

CHAPTER 2: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1. Theoretical Framework	9
2.1.1 Commognitive Perspective	9
2.1.2 Definition of Commognition	10
2.1.3 Description of Commognitive tenets	10
2.1.4. Mathematics as a Discourse	12
2.1.5. Summary of Commognitive Theory	15

2.2. Literature Review	17
2.2.1. Number Patterns	17
2.2.2. Algebraic Generalization	18
2.2.3. Algebraic Thinking	25
2.2.3.1. Reasoning through Generalization	25
2.2.3.2. Learners' Thinking Process	26
2.2.3.3. Cognitive Gap between Algebra and Arithmetic	28
2.3. Conclusion	30

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH DESIGN & METHODOLOGY

3.1. Introduction	31
3.2. Research approach	31
3.3. Research methodology	32
3.3.1. Case study	32
3.3.2. Research Instruments	32
3.3.2.1. The Questionnaire based task	32
3.3.2.2. Discussion of items in the task	34
3.3.2.3. Piloting the task	37
3.3.2.4. Questionnaire task based interviews	38
3.3.2.5. The transcriptions	39
3.4. Validity and Reliability Issues	39
3.4.1. Validity and Reliability	39
3.4.2. Trustworthiness	40
3.4.2.1. The Instruments	40
3.4.2.2. The use of audio tapes	41
3.4.3. Data Interpretation	41
3.4.4. Researcher effect	41
3.4.5. Researcher bias	41
3.5. Ethical Issues	42
3.5.1. Ethics of the study	42
3.5.2. Confidentiality	42
3.6. Conclusion	42

CHAPTER 4: ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK

4.1. Introduction	43
4.2. Classification of learners' routines	43
4.3. Description of routine categories	45
4.3.1. The routines categories	45
4.3.2. The routines sub-categories	46
4.3.2.1. Numeric Generalization – Recursion	46
4.3.2.2. Numeric Generalization – Explicit	47

4.3.2.3. Figural Generalization	48
4.3.2.4. Pragmatic Generalization	49
4.4. Aspects of Generalization	50
4.4.1. Globalizing	51
4.4.2. Local (Extending)	51
4.5. Indicators of Generalization Categories	51
4.5.1. Numerical Generalization	51
4.5.2. Figural Generalization	52
4.5.3. Pragmatic Generalization	53
4.6. Mathematical Visualization	53
4.6.1. Visual Mediators.	54
4.6.2. Description of the Visual Mediators	54
4.6.3. Indicators of Mediators	55
4.7. Conclusion	56

CHAPTER 5: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA

5.1. Quantitative Analysis	57
5.1.1. Learners' Generalization Approaches	57
5.1.2. Learners' Written Responses	58
5.1.2.1. Level Descriptors.	58
5.1.2.2. Learners' Choices of Routines and Mediators	59
5.1.3. Learners use of Mediators	63
5.1.4. Summary of the Previous Discussion	68
5.2. Qualitative Analysis	68
5.2.1. Interview Approach.	71
5.2.2. The Interviewed Participants	71
5.2.3. Learners' Generalization Routines	73
5.2.4. Learner Interview Analysis	75
5.2.4.1. Low Ability Learners	75
5.2.4.1. i) Researcher Comments (L5)	78
5.2.4.1. ii) Researcher Comments (L9)	82
5.2.4.2. Medium Ability Learners	83
5.2.4.2. i) Researcher Comments (L15)	85
5.2.4.2. ii) Researcher Comments (L17)	89
5.2.4.3. High ability Learners	90
5.2.4.3. i) Researcher Comments (L23)	93
5.2.4.3. ii) Researcher Comments (L28)	97
5.2.5. Discussion of the Interviews (episodes of the transcriptions)	98
5.3. Summary of analysis and findings	99

CHAPTER 6: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

6.1.	Participants' Common Routines	101
6.2.	Participants' Common Mediators	102
6.3.	Learners' Algebraic Reasoning	105
6.4.	Learners' Difficulties	107
6.5.	Learners' Mathematical Communication	111
6.6.	Comparison of the Interviewed Learners	113
6.7.	Summary and Conclusion	116

CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1.	Introduction	117
7.2.	Detailed findings	118
7.3.	Reflections of the study	122
7.4.	Limitations of the study	123
7.5.	Implications of the study	124
7.6.	Recommendations	125
7.7.	Conclusions	125

REFERENCES	127
-------------------	-----

APPENDICES

A1	Questionnaire-based task	134
A2	Ethics documentation	138
B	Question 1 QRASS	145
C.....	Question 2 QRASS	146
D	Question 3 QRASS	147
E	Question 4 QRASS	148
F	Interview Transcriptions	150

LIST OF TABLES

1	Linking the GET and FET Mathematics LO's	3
2	Definitions of generalization	19
3	Aspects of generalizations	22
4	Research Questions and Instruments	39
5	Classification of routine categories	44
6	Summary of Learners' Mediators (LVM)	55
7	Overall routine utilization in the study	60
8	Overall numerical generalization routines	61
9	Summary of Mediators	63
10.....	Questions 1 – 4 Responses	66
11.....	Examples of Learners' solutions	67
12	Summary of the Interviewees' responses	70
13	Routines versus Mediators in generalization	73
14.....	Examples of Learners' Mediators	74
15	Learners' generalization routines	119
16	Choice of generalization routines by learner ability	120

LIST OF FIGURES

1	Tiles Groups	12
2.....	Example of Growing Patterns	18
3	Tabular Mediation (Representation)	50
4.....	Diagrammatic-Tabular Representations (Mediation)	53

ABBREVIATIONS

GET Education and Training

GD Gauteng Department of Education

FET Further Education and Training

OBE Outcome Based Education

RNCS Revised National Curriculum Statement

C2005 Curriculum 2005

NCS National Curriculum Statement

DoE Department of Education

LR Learners' Routines

LVM (LM) Learners' Visual Mediators

QRASS Question Response and Summary Sheet

LD Level Descriptors

TLA Task Level of Attainment

MALATI Mathematics Learning and Teaching Initiative

SO Specific Outcomes

AS Assessment Standards

LO Learning Outcomes

AF Analytical Framework

NCTM National Council for Teachers Mathematics