ASSESSMENT OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN INVASIVE AND NONINVASIVE BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS IN CRITICALLY ILL PATIENTS

Jadot Ninziza

A Research Report submitted to the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science in Nursing

DECLARATION

I, Jadot	Nin	ziza dec	lare	that this	research is	my	own	work.	It is	bei	ng si	ubmitted for the
degree	of	Master	of	Science	(Nursing)	in	the	Univer	sity	of	the	Witwatersrand,
Johanne	esbu	rg. It has	s no	t been sub	omitted befo	ore f	or an	y degre	e in a	any	other	University.
Signatu	re:											
				Jadot N	Ninziza							
			_ D	ay of			_ 20)10				

Protocol number: M040516

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my family, friends and colleagues, for their motivation, inspiration, love and support throughout my studies at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To almighty Jehovah God for his protection and blessing throughout my study.

My utmost gratitude goes to my lecturer, supervisor, Mentor and role model,

Ms Shelley Schmollgruber for her guidance, tireless support, motivation and patience throughout this course.

To my family for their continuous support and encouragement during the difficult times.

To all the staff and masters students of the department of Nursing Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, for their moral support and advice.

To Professor Pieter Becker and Doctor S.A.S. Olorunju at the Medical Research Council for their valuable guidance in the statistical analysis and interpretation of the data.

To the doctors, nurses, patients and families who participated in this study, thank you so much for making this study possible.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the study was to describe and compare the limits of agreement between invasive blood pressure (IBP) and non-invasive blood pressure (NIBP) readings obtained on patients in the adult critical care units (CCU) of a tertiary health care institution, to describe the factors that affect accuracy of both techniques, to describe the difference in terms of accuracy and sensitivity and the reasons given by the clinical practitioners for their choice of blood pressure measurement technique.

A non-experimental descriptive comparative, prospective design was utilized in this two part study. The sample comprised of CCU patients (n = 80) in five adult critical care units over a 3-month period. Non-probability purposive sampling was utilized to obtain the desired sample in part one of the study. Data collection was via IBP and NIBP measurements obtained by the researcher and a record review of the patient's critical care charts. Part two of the study comprised of clinical practitioners (n=50) and convenience sampling method was utilized. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyse data.

At the 95% confidence interval, the limits of agreements were found to be in range of \pm 35 mmhg of IBP and NIBP systolic, \pm 19.5 mmHg of IBP and NIBP diastolic and \pm 19.3 mmhg IBP and NIBP of mean arterial pressure. In practical terms this means that IBP and NIBP can not be used interchangeably in CCUs as the two methods did not consistently provide similar measurements because there was a high level of disagreement that included clinically important discrepancy of more than 10 mmhg which is the cut off acceptable reference in terms of discrepancy between the two BP techniques and add to the growing literature suggesting that IBP remains the gold standard technique for measuring the blood pressure in critical care setting. Factors such as Inotropic/ vasopressor support, sedation / analgesia, mechanical ventilation and severity of illness (APACHE II score) did not show significant influence on the discrepancy of the two BP techniques.

In the second part of the study, more than 80 % of the sample of clinical practitioners acknowledged that the IBP technique remains the gold standard.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	DECLARATION	i
	DEDICATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIIST OF APPENDICES	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	X
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAP	TER ONE: OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY	
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.0	BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY	1
1.1	PROBLEM STATEMENT	1
1.2	PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	
1.3	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	5
		6
1.5	SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY PESEA DOLLER'S ASSLIMATIONS	6
1.6	RESEARCHER'S ASSUMPTIONS	7
1.6.1	Meta-theoretical Assumptions	7
1.6.2	Theoretical Assumptions	10
1.6.3	Methodological Assumptions	13
1.7	OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	14
1.7.1	Research Design	14
1.7.2	Research Method	15
1.8	VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY	16
1.9	SUMMARY	16
CHAP	TER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	INTRODUCTION	18
2.2	OVERVIEW AND PHYSIOLOGY OF BLOOD PRESSURE	19

	Blood Pressure Monitoring in Critical Care Unit	19
2.3	INVASIVE BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING	20
2.3.1	Advantages of Invasive Blood Pressure Measurement	22
2.3.2	Disadvantages of Invasive Blood Pressure Measurement	23
2.4	NON INVASIVE BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENT	24
2.4.1	Advantages of Non Invasive Blood Pressure Measurement	25
2.4.2	Disadvantages of Non Invasive Blood Pressure Measurement	25
2.5	COMPARISON OF DISCREPANCY BETWEEN INVASIVE BLOOD	28
	PRESSURE AND NON NVASIVE BLOOD PRESSURE	
2.6	NURSING IMPLICATIONS	30
2.6.1	Role of Clinical Assessment	33
2.7	APPLICATION OF IBP AND NIBP	33
2.7.1	Nursing Knowledge	38
2.8	SUMMARY	43
СНАРТ	ER THREE :RESEARCH DESIGN AND RESEARCH METHODS	
3.1	INTRODUCTION	45
3.1 3.2	INTRODUCTION PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES	45 45
3.2	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES	45
3.2 3.3	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN	45 46
3.2 3.3 3.4	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING	45 46 48
3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING TARGET POPULATION	45 46 48 49
3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING TARGET POPULATION SAMPLE AND SAMPLING METHOD	45 46 48 49 50
3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.6.1	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING TARGET POPULATION SAMPLE AND SAMPLING METHOD Sample	45 46 48 49 50 50
3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.6.1 3.6.2	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING TARGET POPULATION SAMPLE AND SAMPLING METHOD Sample Sampling Method	45 46 48 49 50 50
3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.6.1 3.6.2 3.7	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING TARGET POPULATION SAMPLE AND SAMPLING METHOD Sample Sampling Method DATA COLLECTION	45 46 48 49 50 50 51 53
3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.6.1 3.6.2 3.7	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING TARGET POPULATION SAMPLE AND SAMPLING METHOD Sample Sampling Method DATA COLLECTION Data Collection Procedure	45 46 48 49 50 51 53
3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.6.1 3.6.2 3.7 3.7.1	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING TARGET POPULATION SAMPLE AND SAMPLING METHOD Sample Sampling Method DATA COLLECTION Data Collection Procedure Instrument	45 46 48 49 50 51 53 53
3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.6.1 3.6.2 3.7 3.7.1 3.7.2 3.8	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING TARGET POPULATION SAMPLE AND SAMPLING METHOD Sample Sampling Method DATA COLLECTION Data Collection Procedure Instrument PILOT STUDY	45 46 48 49 50 51 53 53 57 60

CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

4.1	INTRODUCTION	65
4.2	APPROACH TO DATA ANALYSIS	66
4.3	RESULTS AND FINDINGS	68
4.3.1	Section One: Demographic data	68
4.3.2	Section Two: Baseline Assessment Information	72
4.3.3	Section Three: Level of Agreement Between Blood Pressure	75
	Measurements	
4.3.3.1	Difference between systolic NIBP and systolic IBP	75
4.3.3.2	Relationship between systolic NIBP and IBP	76
4.3.3.3	Agreement between systolic NIBP and systolic IBP	77
4.3.3.4	Difference between invasive and non invasive diastolic BP	79
4.3.3.5	Relationship between invasive and non invasive diastolic BP	80
4.3.3.6	Agreement between diastolic NIBP and diastolic IBP	81
4.3.3.7	Difference between invasive and non invasive mean BP	82
4.3.3.8	Relationship between IBP mean BP and NIBP mean BP measurements	83
4.3.3.9	Agreement between invasive and non invasive MAP measurements	84
4.3.4	Section Four: Comparison of the limits of agreement between invasive	98
	and non invasive BP as to what is clinically acceptable in critical care	
	unit	
4.3.5	Section Five: Opinion of CCU staff on IBP and NIBP measurements	99
4.4	DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS	101
4.5	SUMMARY	112
СНАРТ	TER FIVE: SUMMARY OF THE STUDY, MAIN FINDINGS,	
RECON	MMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	
5.1	INTRODUCTION	113
5.2	SUMMARY OF THE STUDY	113
5.2.1	Purpose of the study	114
5.2.2	Objectives of the study	115
5.2.3	Methodology	115

5.3 MAIN	N FINDINGS	116		
5.4 LIMI	TATIONS OF THE STUDY	121		
5.5 CON	CLUSION	122		
5.6 RECO	DMMENDATIONS ARISING FROM THE STUDY	124		
5.6.1 Recor	nmendations for Clinical Nursing Practice	124		
5.6.2 Recor	commendations for Nursing Education			
5.6.3 Recor	mmendations for further Research	127		
LIST OF REFI	ERENCES	128		
LIST OF APPI	ENDICES			
APPENDIX A	APACHE II score	139		
APPENDIX B	Data collection questionnaire for patients	140		
APPENDIX C	Data collection questionnaire for clinical practitioner	143		
APPENDIX D	Clinical practitioners information letter and consent form	144		
APPENDIX E	Request for permission to conduct Research (Hospital management)	146		
APPENDIX F	Patient / relative information letter and consent form	147		
APPENDIX G	Ethical clearance certificate	149		
APPENDIX H	Hospital clearance certificate	150		
APPENDIX I	Approval letter from post graduate committee	151		
APPENDIX J	Retrospective consent	152		

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Characterization of critical illness of participants (n=80)	70
4.2	Summary of participant baseline information (n=80)	72
4.3	Summary of clinical treatment influence of blood pressure	74
	measurements (n=80)	
4.4	Summary of agreement and bias between NIBP (systolic and diastolic	
	and MAP) different times of data collection	87
4.5	Summary of limits of agreement between IBP and NIBP based on the	
	factors that influence the two BP measuring techniques	88
4.6	Summary of the limits of agreement between IBP and NIBP based on	
	the factors that influence the two BP measuring techniques	89
4.7	Summary of the limits of agreement between IBP and NIBP for patients	93
	admitted to CCU for different reasons (medical, elective and emergency	
	surgery) (n=80)	
4.8	Comparison of the limits of agreement and bias between IBP and NIBP	97
	systolic, diastolic and MAP	
4.9	Demographic data of clinical practitioners (n=50)	99

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Diagram and set up of invasive blood pressure measurement	22
2.2	Example showing the discrepancy of invasive and non-invasive blood	30
	pressure measurement in the critical care unit	
4.1	Age group of the participants	69
4.2	Gender for participants	69
4.3	Timing for data collection process	71
4.4	Difference between invasive and non invasive systolic blood pressure	76
4.5	Relationship between systolic NIBP and IBP	77
4.6	Agreement between systolic NIBP and systolic IBP	79
4.7	Difference between IBP and NIBP diastolic	80
4.8	Relationship between IBP and NIBP diastolic measurement	81
4.9	Agreement between diastolic NIBP and diastolic IBP	82
4.10	Differences between IBP MAP and NIBP MAP measurements	83
4.11	Differences between IBP MAP and NIBP MAP measurements	84
4.12	Agreement between NIBP MAP and NIBP MAP measurements	85

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

The following abbreviations are used consistently throughout the study:

CCU Critical care unit

IBP Invasive blood pressure

NIBP Non-invasive blood pressure

BP Blood pressure

CVA Cerebro-vascular accident

SANC South Africa Nursing Council

MAP Mean arterial pressure

ABG Arterial blood gas

SVR Systemic vascular resistance

CVP Central venous pressure

Hb Hemoglobin

ARDS Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome

MRC Medical Research Council

SBP Systolic blood pressure

DBP Diastolic blood pressure

MBP Mean blood pressure

PC Pressure control

SIMV Synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation

PS Pressure support

PEEP Positive end-expiratory pressure

TNT Nitroglycerin

PRN As necessary

IV Intravenously

mmHg Milliliters of mercury

RSA Republic Of South Africa