TO ESTABLISH NORMATIVE DATA USING THE JEBSEN TAYLOR HAND FUNCTION TEST (JHFT) FOR NORMAL, ETHNICALLY DIVERSE SOUTH AFRICANS AGED BETWEEN 20 AND 59 YEARS.

Paran Govender

A research report submitted to the Faculty of
Health Sciences, University of the
Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in partial
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
of

Master of Science in Occupational Therapy

Johannesburg, 2008

I, Paran Govender, declare that this research report is my own work.

It is being submitted for the degree of Master of Science in

Occupational Therapy in the University of Witwatersrand,

Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree of examination at this or any other university.

10th June 2008

For my parents

Abstract

The use of outcome measures by occupational therapists to establish the effectiveness of treatment is important. The Jebsen-Taylor Hand Function Test (JHFT) was identified as a standardised assessment suitable for the evaluation of outcomes related to the treatment of hand function. This study established norms for this test on 120 normal, ethnically diverse South Africans between the ages of 20 and 59 years.

Statistically significant differences were found between the original norms published by Jebsen et al ⁽¹⁾ and the South African sample for males and females, dominant and non-dominant hands and ethnic groupings. It is postulated that gender and cultural factors may influence the speed with which different groups complete functional tasks and these must be factored in when using the JHFT as an outcome measure in South Africa. The test appears to have limited application as a norm referenced test in South Africa, but may be valuable in determining progress in therapy or research.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to all who have helped make this work possible and especially to Denise, Mags, Rahila and James.

Table of Contents

| Title Declaration Dedication Abstract Acknowledgements Table of Contents List of Tables List of Figures Operational Definitions and Abbreviations | Page i ii iii v v vi ix x |
|---|---------------------------|
| Chapter 1 Introduction 1.1 Introduction 1.2 Statement of the Problem 1.3 Purpose of this Study 1.4 Aim of this study | 1 3 4 4 |
| 1.4.1 Objectives of this Study 1.5 Justification of the Study | 4 4 |
| Chapter 2 Review of Literature 2.1 Importance of Standardised Tests of Hand Function | 6 |
| | |
| 2.2 Evaluation of the Jebsen-Taylor Hand Function Test | 9 |
| 2.2.1 Clinical Utility | 9 |
| 2.2.1.1 Clinical Applicability | 9 |
| 2.2.1.2 Specificity | 10 |
| 2.2.1.3 Availability | 10 |
| 2.2.1.4 Time Demands | 11 |
| 2.2.1.5 Acceptability to clients | 11 |
| 2.2.2 Standardisation of the Jebsen-Taylor Hand Function Test | 11 |
| 2.2.3 Purpose of the Test | 12 |
| 2.2.4 Psychometric Properties | 13 |
| 2.2.4.1 Item Construction | 13 |
| 2.2.4.2 Reliability | 13 |
| 2.3.4.3 Validity | 13 |
| 2.2.4.4 Norms | 14 |
| 2.2.5 The Patient's Perspective | 15 |
| 2.3 Limitations of the Jebsen-Taylor Hand Function Test | 16 |
| 24 The Value of the Test as an Outcome Measure in Research | 16 |
| 2.5 Factors Affecting Hand Function | 19 |
| 2.5.1 Genetic Factors | 19 |
| 2.5.2 Dominance | 19 |
| 2.5.3 Culture and hand Preference | 20 |
| 2.5.4 Gender and Age | 20 |

| Chapter 3 Methodology | |
|--|------------|
| 3.1 Research Design | 22 |
| 3.2 Subject Selection | 22 |
| 3.2.1 Inclusion criteria | 23 |
| 3.2.2 Exclusion criteria | 23 |
| 3.2.3 Sample size | 24 |
| 3.3 Measurement Instrument | 24 |
| 3.3.1 Pilot Study of inter tester and intra tester reliability | 24 |
| 3.4 Research Procedure | 25 |
| 3.5 Data Collection | 27 |
| 3.6 Ethical Considerations | 28 |
| 3.7 Data Analysis | 28 |
| o.r Bata ranaryolo | |
| Chapter 4 Results | |
| 4.1 Demographics | 30 |
| 4.1.1 Åge | 30 |
| 4.1.2 Handedness | 31 |
| 4.2 Differences in results between genders | 32 |
| 4.3 Comparison of Test results by dominant hand and non dominant han | |
| 4.3.1 Comparison to Jebsen et al's means for the dominant hand | 34 |
| 4.3.2 Comparison to Jebsen et al's means for the non-dominant | 35 |
| hand | 00 |
| | 36 |
| 4.3.3 Comparison to Jebsen et al's means using Confidence Intervals | 30 |
| | 27 |
| 4.4 Comparison of test results by population group | 37 |
| 4.5 Summary of results | 40 |
| Chapter 5 Discussion | |
| 5.1 Comparison to the Jebsen et al Study | 41 |
| 5.2 Gender | 42 |
| 5.3 Genetic Factors | 44 |
| | 44 |
| 5.4 Dominant and Non Dominant hand | |
| 5.5 Ethnicity | 44 |
| 5.6 The Use of the Jebsen-Taylor hand Function Test in South Africa | 47 |
| 5.6.1 Clinical utility | 47 |
| 5.6.2 Standardisation | 48 |
| 5.6.3 The patient's perspective | 49 |
| Observan C. Conscherion | - 4 |
| Chapter 6 Conclusion | 51 |
| References | 54 |
| Appendices | |
| A. Method of Administration of the JHFT | 62 |
| | 02 |
| B. Interrater reliability - Mean times and Standard deviations for normal subjects | 67 |

| C. | Data Collection Form | 68 |
|----|---|----|
| D. | Ethics Approval | 69 |
| E. | Subject Information and Informed Consent Form | 70 |
| F. | Bonferroni Statistically Significant Results | 71 |
| G. | Results of ANOVA | 72 |
| Η. | Results of Bonferroni Correction | 74 |

List of Tables

| | | Page |
|----------|--|------|
| Table 1 | Age demographics of Sample according to Population Group and Gender | 30 |
| Table 2. | Handedness in the Sample and Population Groups | 31 |
| Table 3. | Composite findings for all genders across the items on the Jebsen-Taylor Hand Function Test | 32 |
| Table 4. | Norms for the South African Sample for the Jebsen-Taylor Hand Function Test | 33 |
| Table 5. | Confidence Intervals for the means found by Jebsen et al and the means in this study | 37 |
| Table 6. | Composite results for all population groups across the items on the Jebsen-Taylor Hand Function Test | 38 |
| Table 7. | Composite results for Sample of all Population Groups for all subtests | 39 |
| Table 8 | Statistically significant results post Bonferroni Correction | 71 |
| Table 9 | ANOVA for Sample Population | 72 |
| Table 10 | Post Hoc Tests for Sample Population | 74 |

List of Figures

| | | Page |
|----------------|---|------|
| Figure 1 34 | Comparison of means between this study and Jebsen et al's | _ |
| | means for females dominant hand | |
| Figure 2 35 | Comparison of means between this study and Jebsen et al's | |
| | means for males dominant hand | |
| Figure 3 35 | Comparison of means between this study and Jebsen et al's | |
| | means for females non dominant hand | |
| Figure 4 36 | Comparison of means between this study and Jebsen et al's | |
| | means for males non dominant hand | |
| Figure 5 62 | Jebsen-Taylor Hand Function Test | |

OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS

Hand Function

– the ability of a patient to use the hands effectively in everyday activity, dependent on anatomical integrity, mobility, muscle strength, sensation and coordination ⁽¹⁾.

Standardised Tests

- are tests given to a group in a similar setting under similar diagnoses in order to determine and evaluate against a "norm" (33)

Norm referenced

- norms against which a patients performance can be measured (1).

Functional limitation

- restriction or lack of ability to perform an action in the manner or within the range consistent with the purpose of an organ and organ system $^{(2)}$.

ABBREVIATIONS

ADL Activities of daily living

DASH The Disability of Arm, Shoulder, and Hand guestionnaire

FDT Functional Dexterity Test

GP general practitioner

ICF International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health.

JHFT Jebsen-Taylor Hand Function Test. – In the original article by

Jebsen et al ⁽¹⁾ the test was not named. The name of the test varies in the literature published since then and abbreviations for the test vary and are reflected as JTHFT ^(12, 39), JT-HF ⁽⁸⁷⁾ with Webster ⁽⁸⁸⁾ abbreviating the test to JTT. The abbreviation JHFT was adopted

for use in this study (10, 16).

NYPDG New York Presbyterian glove

OT Occupational Therapy/ist

PEDI The Paediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory

SWM Semmes Weinstein monofilaments

Wee FIM Wee Functional Independence Measure for Childre