

Psychological Medicine 50:523-525 (2020)

How is quantification of social deficits useful for studying autism and schizophrenia?

Bernard Crespi

Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University

Burnaby, British Columbia, V5A 1S6, Canada

crespi@sfu.ca

Imagine going to see your M.D., describing how you feel unwell, and being told that you have 'deficits in your immune system'. You would presumably inquire about the nature of the deficits, and whether they involve, say, autoimmune disorder or reduced levels of leukocytes. Now imagine a trip the psychiatrist, whereupon, after a battery of tests, you are told that you have 'deficits in social cognition'. What have you learned?

Pinkham et al. (2019) compared individuals with autism to those with schizophrenia, for a large set of psychological tests designed to assess social-cognitive performance. Their comprehensive study was the largest to date in terms of sample sizes and numbers of tests, for such comparisons. They found that performance levels did not differ significantly between these two clinical groups for most of the tests. Their primary inference, couched in well-justified caveats, was indication of 'the potential benefit of applying treatments transdiagnostically'.

An alternative interpretation of this and similar studies is that poor performance on standard psychological tests of social cognition provides little information about the diagnosis, causes, or treatments of psychiatric conditions. This interpretation is based on three main points.

First, similar levels of deficits in social cognition, as indexed by self-report and task-based psychological tests, can result from similar, partially overlapping, independent, or opposite biological causes. Table 1 lists studies in which patients with autism and schizophrenia were compared for both deficit-associated psychological traits (measured, or based on previous work) and their neurological

mechanisms assessed by EEG and/or MRI. In each case, similar psychological deficits showed evidence of opposite underlying causes. Such results suggest that information on levels of psychological deficit is of limited usefulness, for understanding or treatment, without information on neurological causes or correlates. Apparently, there are too many ways for complex systems, like human social-cognitive development, to undergo alterations in function, for processes to be inferred using levels of deviation from optimality. Pinkham et al. (2019) acknowledge that shared deficits need not indicate similarity, but this point is not applied to their inferences, nor to the more general question of what information quantitative levels of deficits actually provides. A more general issue, as regards interpretation of social deficits found in both autism and schizophrenia, is that they are typically considered as evidence of etiologic overlap (e. g., Couture et al. 2010). This belief is belied by the apparent complete lack of evidence in the literature for shared social deficits in autism and schizophrenia (or psychosis) being demonstrated to be underlain by shared neurological mechanisms.

Can disorder-specific tests salient to social-cognitive abilities help to alleviate such limitations? The Autism Quotient (AQ) test, one of the most commonly used metrics of autistic traits, shows elevated scores among individuals with anorexia (Westwood et al. 2016), borderline personality (Dudas et al. 2017), suicide attempts (Richards et al. 2019), and schizophrenia spectrum disorders (De Crescenzo et al. 2019). These results can be construed either as evidence of psychological overlap between autism and each of these conditions, or as indicating that the AQ broadly quantifies social problems (among other traits) with limited regard to their diverse underlying causes. Is there neurological overlap that grounds such psychometric data in biology?

Psychological tests that are based not on deficits per se, but on patterns of qualitative psychological differences, should, by contrast, provide information that can link more readily to potential causes. For example, the Movie for Analysis of Social Cognition permits differentiation of social deficits as due to under- versus over-mentalizing (Dziobek et al. 2006; Fretland et al. 2015), which can in turn be connected with different patterns of neural activation (e. g., Backasch 2013).

Second, quantification of psychological deficits, in the context of psychiatric categories, departs from Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) core recommendations to focus on adaptive biological phenotypes and how they malfunction, rather than on diagnoses. Approaches based on RdoC indeed fit with the standard medical model for disease, which involves determining what biological system has become maladaptive, and how (Nesse and Stein 2012). Application of the standard medical model of disease to psychiatry requires recognition that diagnoses are typically reified (falsely considered as 'real'), as opposed to conceptualized as what they are: societal constructs that are useful for scientific communication. Pinkham et al. (2019) contend that 'shared dimensions of observable behaviors may help to pinpoint common mechanisms' in the RdoC framework, but such pinpointing may be more likely through analysis of how biological adaptations connect to psychological maladaptations, rather than through computation of psychological impairments.

Third, the quantification of deficits in psychiatry, in conjunction with the application of reified DSM diagnoses, encourages treatments based on categories rather than individuals. Current diagnostic categories can instead be considered as starting points for differential diagnosis of the biological causes of each individual's psychological difficulties. Such individual-based, differential-diagnostic procedures are, of course, the norm in non-psychiatric medicine, such as immunology, but they are extremely challenging in psychiatry given the complexities of how the brain develops and works. However, remarkably, even given sets of well-validated causes and correlates of, for example, autism, procedures for differential diagnoses of its biological etiology have yet to be developed, aside from genetic testing. Self-report and task-based psychological tests for social cognitive domains will certainly have their place in individualized diagnostic protocols, but only to the degree that they indicate differences rather than just quantifying deficits, and thereby connect relatively directly with biological causation.

References

- Backasch B, Straube B, Pyka M, Klöhn-Saghatolislam F, Müller MJ, Kircher TT and Leube DT** (2013). Hyperintentionality during automatic perception of naturalistic cooperative behavior in patients with schizophrenia. *Social Neuroscience* **8**, 489-504.
- Ciaramidaro A, Bölte S, Schlitt S, Hainz D, Poustka F, Weber B, Bara BG, Freitag C and Walter H** (2014). Schizophrenia and autism as contrasting minds: neural evidence for the hypo-hyper-intentionality hypothesis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **41**, 171-179.
- Couture SM, Penn DL, Losh M, Adolphs R, Hurley R and Piven J** (2010). Comparison of social cognitive functioning in schizophrenia and high functioning autism: more convergence than divergence. *Psychological Medicine* **40**, 569-579.
- Crespi BJ and Go MC** (2015). Diametrical diseases reflect evolutionary-genetic tradeoffs: evidence from psychiatry, neurology, rheumatology, oncology and immunology. *Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health* **2015**, 216-253.
- De Crescenzo F, Postorino V, Siracusano M, Riccioni A, Armando M, Curatolo P and Mazzone L** (2019) Autistic symptoms in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **10**, 78.
- Dudas RB, Lovejoy C, Cassidy S, Allison C, Smith P and Baron-Cohen S** (2017). The overlap between autistic spectrum conditions and borderline personality disorder. *PLoS One* **12**, e0184447.
- Dziobek I, Fleck S, Kalbe E, Rogers K, Hassenstab J, Brand M, Kessler J, Woik JK, Wolf OT and Convit A** (2006) Introducing MASC: a movie for the assessment of social cognition. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* **36**, 623-636.
- Eack SM, Wojtalik JA, Keshavan MS, and Minshew NJ** (2017). Social-cognitive brain function and connectivity during visual perspective-taking in autism and schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* **183**, 102-109.
- Fretland RA, Andersson S, Sundet K, Andreassen OA, Melle I and Vaskinn A** (2015). Theory of mind in schizophrenia: error types and associations with symptoms. *Schizophrenia Research* **162**, 42-46.

- Martínez A, Tobe R, Dias EC, Ardekani BA, Veenstra-Vanderweele J, Patel G, Breland M, Lieval A, Silipo G. and Javitt DC** (2019) Differential patterns of visual sensory alteration underlying face emotion recognition impairment and motion perception deficits in schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorders. *Biological Psychiatry* **86**, 557-567.
- Martinez G, Mosconi E, Daban-Huard C, Parellada M, Fananas L, Gaillard R, Fatjo-Vilas M, Krebs MO and Amado I** (2019) "A circle and a triangle dancing together": Alteration of social cognition in schizophrenia compared to autism spectrum disorders. *Schizophrenia Research* **210**, 94-100.
- McCormick LM, Brumm MC, Beadle JN, Paradiso S, Yamada T and Andreasen N** (2012). Mirror neuron function, psychosis, and empathy in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* **201**, 233-239.
- Nesse RM and Stein DJ** (2012) Towards a genuinely medical model for psychiatric nosology. *BMC Medicine*, **10**, 5.
- Oberman LM, Hubbard EM, McCleery JP, Altschuler EL, Ramachandran VS and Pineda JA** (2005). EEG evidence for mirror neuron dysfunction in autism spectrum disorders. *Cognitive Brain Research* **24**, 190-198.
- Pinkham AE, Morrison KE, Penn DL, Harvey PD, Kelsven S, Ludwig K, Sasson NJ** Comprehensive comparison of social cognitive performance in autism spectrum disorder and schizophrenia. *Psychological Medicine* Oct 2, 1-9.
- Richards G, Kenny R, Griffiths S, Allison C, Mosse D, Holt R, O'Connor RC, Cassidy S, and Baron-Cohen S** (2019) Autistic traits in adults who have attempted suicide. *Molecular Autism* **10**:26.
- Stanfield AC, Philip RC, Whalley H, Romaniuk L, Hall J, Johnstone EC, and Lawrie SM** (2017). Dissociation of brain activation in autism and schizotypal personality disorder during social judgments. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **43**, 1220-1228.
- Westwood H, Eisler I, Mandy W, Leppanen J, Treasure J and Tchanturia K** (2016). Using the autism-spectrum quotient to measure autistic traits in anorexia nervosa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* **46**, 964-977.

Table 1. Studies that compare subjects with autism and schizophrenia (or schizophrenia spectrum disorders) using the same protocol, and including neurological information from EEG and/or MRI. More-extensive comparisons of autism with schizophrenia, for diverse phenotypes, are provided in Crespi and Go (2015, Table 2).

Study	Test(s), data collected	Pattern of results in autism compared to schizophrenia	Comments
Martinez et al. (2019)	Face emotion recognition and motion sensitivity; EEG, fMRI and rsMRI	Similar behavioral deficits in autism and schizophrenia at both tasks, underlain by distinct EEG and MRI patterns, some of which (EEG Theta power, Alpha ERD, and Alpha ssVEP, and MRI Early Visual and Dorsal) showed opposite patterns	See cogent discussion by Foss-Feig (2019)
Eack et al. (2017)	Visual perspective taking; MRI functional connectivity analysis	Similar behavioral impairments in autism and schizophrenia subjects; distinct differences in functional connectivity in social brain regions	Authors note that their results, in conjunction with previous studies, suggest that fronto-temporal connectivity is lower in schizophrenia and higher in autism, compared to controls
Stanfield et al. (2017)	Test of social judgement; fMRI data	Similar patterns of deviation from controls for judgements in subjects with autism and schizotypal personality disorder; fMRI activation patterns of controls described as intermediate between the two	Region with diametric pattern in autism (higher) versus schizotypal personality disorder (lower) includes temporoparietal junction, a region important in social cognition
Ciaramidaro et al. (2014)	Social intentionality test; fMRI data	Behavioral deficits in subjects with autism (lower accuracy) and schizophrenia (slower responses); fMRI shows evidence of 'opposite neural signatures' for social brain regions in the two groups	Interpreted in terms of hypo-intentionality in autism and hyper-intentionality in schizophrenia; see also Martinez et al. (2019)
McCormick et al. (2012)	EEG mirror neuron paradigm, with actively psychotic subjects with schizophrenia spectrum disorders (SSD); replicated the protocol used by Oberman et al. (2005) in study of autism subjects	Higher mu suppression among subjects with SSD compared to controls, with degree of suppression positively correlated with psychotic symptom severity; lower mu suppression in subjects with autism than in controls	Deficits in empathy considered as symptomatic in both autism and schizophrenia, from other studies