Theoretical investigation on possible operation of a 140 GHz 1 MW gyrotron at 175 GHz for CTS plasma diagnostics at W7-X

Cite as: Phys. Plasmas **27**, 113107 (2020); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0022151 Submitted: 20 July 2020 . Accepted: 21 October 2020 . Published Online: 24 November 2020

🔟 L. Krier, 🔟 I. Gr. Pagonakis, ២ K. A. Avramidis, G. Gantenbein, S. Illy, ២ J. Jelonnek, J. Jin, H. P. Laqua, ២ A. Marek, ២ D. Moseev, ២ M. Thumm, and W7-X Team





AIP Advances Fluids and Plasmas Collection



Phys. Plasmas 27, 113107 (2020); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0022151 © 2020 Author(s).

Theoretical investigation on possible operation of a 140 GHz 1 MW gyrotron at 175 GHz for CTS plasma diagnostics at W7-X

Cite as: Phys. Plasmas **27**, 113107 (2020); doi: 10.1063/5.0022151 Submitted: 20 July 2020 · Accepted: 21 October 2020 · Published Online: 24 November 2020

L. Krier,^{1,a)} D. Gr. Pagonakis,¹ K. A. Avramidis,¹ C. Gantenbein,¹ S. Illy,¹ J. Jelonnek,¹ J. Jin,¹ H. P. Laqua,² A. Marek,¹ D. Moseev,² M. Thumm,¹ and W7-X Team^{b)}

AFFILIATIONS

¹IHM, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Kaiserstr. 12, D-76131 Karlsruhe, Germany ²Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Wendelsteinstr. 1, D-17491 Greifswald, Germany

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: laurent.krier@kit.edu ^{b)}For a full author list see T. Klinger *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **59**, 112004 (2019).

ABSTRACT

Collective Thomson scattering is a common diagnostic technique for ion temperature measurements in experimental fusion plasma reactors. Such a system was successfully installed and commissioned at the Wendelstein 7-X stellarator. For this purpose, a 140 GHz gyrotron of the Electron Cyclotron Resonance Heating system was used as a source of the required probing millimeter (mm)-wave beam. However, accurate measurements in the plasma core were not possible at this heating frequency due to the absorption of the mm-waves and the high electron cyclotron emission background. To suppress these effects and to enhance the accuracy of the measurements, it is required to increase the frequency of the probing beam. In this work, the possibility to operate the same gyrotron, which has its nominal operation at 140 GHz, at a higher frequency is comprehensively investigated.

Published under license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0022151

I. INTRODUCTION

In experimental fusion reactors, diagnostic tools are very important to study the physics and behavior of the plasma.¹ Such a diagnostic tool is Collective Thomson Scattering (CTS), which allows measurements of ion temperature, fast ion velocity distribution function, isotope ratio, plasma rotation, etc.² For a CTS diagnostic system, a high power millimeter (mm)-wave source is needed. Gyrotrons are electron vacuum tubes, which are capable of high power generation from the mm- to sub-mm-wave regime³ and are a suitable source for CTS diagnostics.

A CTS diagnostic for ion temperature measurements was installed and commissioned at the stellarator Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X).⁴ For the probing beam of this CTS diagnostic, a gyrotron⁵ of the Electron Cyclotron Resonance Heating (ECRH) system of W7-X,⁶ which operates at 140 GHz, has been used. However, measurements in the plasma core at this frequency cannot be adequately accurate due to the absorption of the probe mm-wave beam and due to the high electron cyclotron emission (ECE) background,⁷ which both deteriorate signal-to-noise ratio. For optimal CTS measurements in the plasma core, the frequency of the probing beam should be in the range in which ECE of the plasma is minimal.

At ASDEX upgrade, dual frequency gyrotrons are operated at 140 GHz for ECRH and at a lower frequency of 105 GHz to produce the probing beam for the CTS diagnostic.⁸ Operation at such a frequency range has also been demonstrated by the 140 GHz W7-X ECRH gyrotron.⁵ In particular, the gyrotron has been operated at a frequency of 103.8 GHz with an output power of 410 kW in 10 s pulses.

However, for the CTS diagnostic at W7-X, a significant higher frequency than the ECRH frequency shall be used for the probing beam to achieve more accurate measurement results. Although a frequency change to 105 GHz offers itself due to the already demonstrated W7-X gyrotron operation in this regime, it is not optimal for the CTS diagnostic at W7-X. It was determined that a change to a higher frequency range between 170 GHz and 185 GHz is more beneficial for the CTS diagnostic.⁷ In contrast to tokamaks that have a Greenwald density limit and operate at relatively low densities and high temperatures, stellarators work at a different regime. Due to $1/\nu$ transport and absence of the Greenwald density limit, stellarators operate at low plasma temperatures and high densities. For the case of W7-X, at the target central density of 1.5×10^{20} m⁻³, it is practically

impossible to place the overlap volume of the CTS diagnostic into the plasma core with a probing beam at 105 GHz. Moreover, the ECE background has a local minimum at the frequency range between 170 GHz and 185 GHz, which exceeds the ECE background at 105 GHz by an order of magnitude.⁹

In this work, the possibility to operate one of the gyrotrons of the W7-X ECRH system at a significant higher frequency and an output power in the range of 450 kW to be used as a probe for CTS measurements is investigated. Changing the operational frequency of a high power gyrotron is not a straightforward procedure. The W7-X gyrotron has been designed and optimized for operation at a frequency of 140 GHz. Therefore, operation of the gyrotron at a higher frequency has to be compatible with all gyrotron subcomponents.

In addition, a new magnet will be procured to generate the required higher magnetic field for operation at the higher frequency. The design of the new magnet will also ensure the generation of the nominal magnetic profile for operation at 140 GHz to secure the efficient operation of the gyrotron for ECRH.

The possible frequencies of the mm-waves, which can exit from the gyrotron tube, are limited by the thickness of the diamond output window. Furthermore, the requirement for microwaves at a different frequency implies that the gyrotron must operate at a different transverse electric (TE) mode than the nominal 140 GHz TE_{28,8} mode. The higher frequency mode should be compatible with the quasi-optical output coupler (launcher and mirrors) of the gyrotron. In addition, it should be checked that an appropriate electron beam for the excitation and the efficient interaction in the cavity with the higher frequency mode can be generated by the Magnetron Injection Gun (MIG) of the 140 GHz gyrotron.

In this work, several candidate modes for operation at higher frequency are identified and their compatibility with all gyrotron subcomponents is theoretically investigated. Based on this analysis, the most appropriate mode is chosen. The operating parameters of the gyrotron required for an efficient operation at a higher frequency, based on the theoretical results, are presented.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the gyrotron window and quasi-optical system are analyzed. Suitable candidate TE modes that are compatible with the window thickness are identified and their transformation into a Gaussian-like beam through the quasioptical system is investigated. Depending on several criteria such as the beam location at the window, the reflectivity at the window, and the Gaussian mode content (G.M.C.), some of the candidate modes are discarded. In Sec. III, the electron gun and the generated electron beam are studied. Different operating points (OPs) of the electron gun are investigated to find suitable electron beam parameters for the excitation of the candidate modes in the cavity. Those candidate modes for which no suitable beam parameters could be found are also discarded. In Sec. IV, the excitation in the cavity of each of the remaining candidate modes and possible mode competition is investigated. Finally, in the conclusion in Sec. V, the remaining candidate modes are presented and the most suitable TE mode for operation at 175 GHz is determined.

II. WINDOW AND QUASI-OPTICAL SYSTEM

The component that determines the possible operating frequencies of the gyrotron is the output window. The window should be as much as possible transparent to the generated mm-waves to minimize reflections, which could influence the operation by increasing the internal stray radiation level.

The thickness d_w of the window disk is the parameter that determines the frequencies of the mm-waves, which can be transmitted through the window. The relation between these frequencies and the window disk thickness is

$$f = n \frac{c_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon_r} d_{\rm w}},\tag{1}$$

where c_0 is the free space speed of light, ε_r is the relative dielectric permittivity of the disk, and *n* is a positive integer. The synthetic diamond windows used in the 140 GHz W7-X gyrotrons have an ε_r of 5.67, a loss tangent of 2×10^{-5} , while the thickness d_w is 1.799 mm (n = 4). The next higher frequency for which the window is transparent is for n = 5, resulting in a frequency of 175 GHz, which is the choice for the CTS diagnostic.

In Fig. 1, the reflectivity of the window of the W7-X gyrotron is calculated for different frequencies. The equations for the reflectivity at the window are presented in Ref. 10. The reflectivity vanishes only for the frequencies given by Eq. (1).

The operation of the gyrotron at 175 GHz requires a different TE mode than the nominal $\mathrm{TE}_{28,8}$ mode for the operation at 140 GHz. Several candidate TE modes were chosen based on the following criteria:

- The TE mode is excited in the cavity near its cutoff frequency $f_{\rm cutoff}$. As shown in Fig. 1, the reflectivity of the gyrotron window increases rapidly, the farther away the frequency of the TE mode is from 175 GHz. Therefore, the cutoff frequency of a candidate mode should be close to 175 GHz.
- The caustic radius r_{caustic} of a candidate TE mode should be close to the caustic radius of the nominal TE_{28,8} mode to ensure a satisfactory performance of the quasi-optical output coupler used for the transformation of the TE mode into a Gaussian-like beam and to have nearly the same electron beam radius for efficient cavity-mode excitation.

The selection process of the candidate modes with these two criteria is illustrated in Fig. 2. Both the cutoff frequency and the caustic radius of a $TE_{m,n}$ mode are only dependent on the azimuthal mode





number m and the radial mode number n if the radius of the cylindrical waveguide is known.

In Fig. 2, *m* is represented on the horizontal axis and *n* on the vertical axis. The reflectivity at the W7-X gyrotron window, which is calculated with the cutoff frequency of the $TE_{m,n}$ mode, is color-coded—the darker the color, the lower the reflectivity. The blue lines represent the cutoff frequency, while the green line represents the caustic radius of the nominal $TE_{28,8}$ mode. The values for the reflectivity, cutoff frequency, and caustic radius in Fig. 2 only physically make sense for integer values of *m* and *n*. All other values are interpolated from the values obtained by integer values of *m* and *n* to help visualize the selection process. Favorable candidate modes are those in Fig. 2, which have a low reflectivity and which are located close to the line of the caustic radius of the $TE_{28,8}$ mode. $TE_{m,n}$ modes with a reflectivity lower than 2.5% are located inside the black dashed circles.

Initially, nine candidate modes are selected: the TE_{29,12}, TE_{32,11}, TE34,10, TE35,10, TE37,9, TE38,9, TE40,8, TE41,8, and TE44,7 modes. These candidate modes are analyzed in the quasi-optical system of the W7-X gyrotron¹¹ to determine their resulting output beam at the window of the gyrotron. The simulations are performed by using the in-house developed code KarLESS.¹² For comparison, the operation of the quasi-optical system at the nominal TE28,8 mode at the 140 GHz operation was also simulated. In Fig. 3, the electric field at the window of the gyrotron is presented for the operation at the nominal TE_{28,8} mode at 140 GHz and three modes (TE_{29,12}, TE_{34,10}, and TE_{35,10}) operated at 175 GHz. The black circles represent the border of the window, while the gray dashed line represents the waist of the optimal fitted Gaussian beam. For the TE_{28,8} mode, the resulting RF beam passes through the center of the window and its electric field looks very close to the ideal Gaussian beam. The Gaussian mode content of the TE_{28.8} mode approaches 97%.

From the electric field of the three candidate modes operating at 175 GHz at the window, two observations can be made:

• The output beam at the window does not look like an almost perfect Gaussian beam, as it is the case for the TE_{28,8} mode. Thus, their Gaussian mode content is expected to be lower than that of the TE_{28,8} mode. The center of the output beam on the window is shifted from the center of the window. This is critical in the case of some candidate modes, for which a part of the output beam hits the rim of the window. This is not acceptable since it could cause a serious damage on the window.

The modes for which part of the output beam hits the window rim are discarded from the candidate list. The cutoff frequency f_{cutoff} , reflectivity *R* at the window, Gaussian mode content (G.M.C.), radial deviation Δr from the center of the window, and stray radiation η_{stray} from the quasi-optical system inside the gyrotron of the remaining candidate modes are shown in Table I. The parameters of the TE_{28,8} mode are also shown as a reference.

III. ELECTRON GUN

The design of the MIG in the W7-X gyrotron is optimized to generate an electron beam appropriate for an efficient interaction in the cavity with the nominal $TE_{28,8}$ mode (10.15 mm guiding center radius).⁵ For each candidate mode determined in Sec. II, the possibility of the existing MIG design to generate an electron beam with reasonable electron beam parameters in the cavity was investigated. The electron gun was simulated by using the electron optics code *Ariadne*.¹³ The simulations were done considering a short-pulse (SP) operation (non-neutralized beam) and long-pulse (LP) operation (neutralized beam). The neutralization in the LP operation was considered to be 60% for the W7-X gyrotron.^{14,15}

The required magnetic field for operation at 175 GHz is in the range of 7 T. Because the existing magnet cannot produce such high magnetic field, it is considered that the gyrotron will be installed in a new magnet.

The exact value of the magnetic field for the operation of the gyrotron at 175 GHz depends on several other parameters such as the accelerating voltage V_{acc} or the beam voltage V_b and the beam current I_b . Considering the required output power (500 kW) and a conservative expectation for the electronic efficiency (25%) in the cavity and for the mm-wave losses (20%) in the gyrotron, a first preliminary estimation for V_{acc} , V_b , and I_b as a function of B_c can be achieved, as shown in Fig. 4. Similar to Ref. 16, several operating points (OPs) based on the choice of B_c are defined.

The operating points represent a first estimation of the operating parameters of the gyrotron based on simple analytical equations. The more precise operating parameters are determined with cavity interaction simulations, as shown in Sec. IV.

Five different OPs are defined as shown in Fig. 4, and their operating parameters are listed in Table II.

The electron gun is simulated by using *Ariadne* to determine the parameters of the electron beam at the middle of the cavity for all OPs. In the simulations, a magnetic system with three degrees of freedom is considered for the generation of the required magnetic field. Therefore, it is possible to define a large variety of magnetic profiles, setting the magnetic field B_c in the cavity, the magnetic compression which determines the guiding center radius r_{gc} in the cavity center, and the angle $\varphi_{B,e}$ of the magnetic field at the emitter. For each OP, a simulation with a parameter sweep over r_{gc} and $\varphi_{B,e}$ was performed.

An important beam parameter is the pitch factor α (velocity ratio). If the pitch factor is too low, the energy transfer from the electron beam to the TE mode is low and the desired TE mode could not be excited. On the other hand, a too high pitch factor results in



adiabatically reflected electrons, which could influence the gyrotron operation.^{17,18} Thus, for each candidate mode, a reasonable value for α should be achieved. Typical values are between 1.2 and 1.4.

For the $TE_{32,1}$ mode, as it is concluded from the simulations, the pitch factor is too high in all OPs, which makes this mode unsuitable for the operation at 175 GHz. The $TE_{34,10}$ and $TE_{35,10}$ modes have

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TABLE}}$ I. Remaining candidate modes after the investigation of the window and quasi-optical system.

Mode	$f_{\rm cutoff}$ (GHz)	R (%)	G.M.C. (%)	$\Delta r \ (\mathrm{mm})$	$\eta_{\rm stray}$ (%)
TE _{28,8}	140.02	0.002	97.21	0.5	2.89
TE _{32,11}	176.08	0.961	76.30	10.8	5.78
TE _{34,10}	173.72	1.176	86.96	11.3	2.33
TE35,10	176.59	1.996	87.65	14.2	4.41
TE37,9	173.78	0.802	83.99	11.4	2.92
TE _{38,9}	176.76	2.419	83.46	13.4	5.47



FIG. 4. Definition of operating points. An output power P_{out} of 500 kW with internal and external losses in the range of 20%, an electronic efficiency of 25%, and a pitch factor α of 1.2 are assumed.

TABLE	Ш.	Operating	points.
		e p e i a ai i g	00

ОР	$B_{\rm c}$ (T)	$V_{\rm acc}^{\rm SP}$ (kV)	$V_{\rm acc}^{\rm LP}$ (kV)	$V_{\rm b}~({\rm kV})$	<i>I</i> _b (A)
OP1	6.6	54.93	49.14	45.28	53.00
OP2	6.7	61.26	56.73	53.71	44.68
OP3	6.8	68.28	64.59	62.14	38.62
OP4	6.9	75.69	72.62	70.57	34.01
OP5	7.0	83.37	80.75	79.00	30.38

their highest pitch factor at OP5 with a value of 1.05 and 0.97, respectively, for SP operation and 0.98 and 0.91, respectively, for LP operation. Although the pitch factor is significantly lower than the typical values, both modes could still be excited in the cavity, as it is presented in Sec. IV. For the $TE_{37,9}$ and $TE_{38,9}$ modes, the highest pitch factor is reached at OP5. However, for both modes, the values are below 0.7, which is considered as extremely low for the excitation and the efficient interaction of the mode in the cavity. Thus, out of the five remaining candidate modes, the electron gun can only generate a suitable electron beam for the $TE_{34,10}$ and $TE_{35,10}$ modes.

IV. CAVITY

The interaction between the electron beam and the most promising candidate modes in the cavity⁵ has been studied by using EURIDICE.¹⁹ First, a single-mode analysis was performed to validate the excitation of each candidate mode and to estimate the amount of generated power. In the single-mode analysis, the generated power P_{gen} is estimated as a function of the beam voltage for each candidate mode. I_b and B_c should be tuned from the estimated values defined by the OPs, to excite the modes and to achieve the required generated power in the cavity. In Tables III and IV, the resulting operating parameters, the generated power, and Ohmic wall loading ρ (with an effective electric conductivity of the cavity wall of 1.73×10^7 S/m) are shown for the two remaining candidate modes. The significant deviation of the operating values in comparison with the values defined for the operating point is explained by the lower pitch factor used in the cavity simulations compared to that used for the definition of the OPs. Additional Ariadne simulations were performed to check the operation of the electron gun using the tuned operating parameters. It was concluded that the quality of the electron beam does not change significantly with the tuned values.

A multi-mode analysis was also performed to ensure that mode competition does not influence the operation of the higher frequency modes. In these simulations, a list of 42 competitive TE modes, which have a frequency in the range between -5% and +10% of the frequency of the main mode and a coupling factor higher than 50% of the coupling factor of the main mode, were considered. The results of the multi-mode analysis are shown in Fig. 5, where only the excited

TABLE III. Operating parameters for SP operation.

Mode	$B_{\rm c}$ (T)	$I_{\rm b}$ (A)	$V_{\rm b}~({\rm kV})$	P _{gen} (kW)	ho (kW/cm ²)
TE _{34,10}	6.98	40	79	659	1.34
TE _{35,10}	7.08	50	79	853	1.61

TABLE IV. Operating parameters for LP operation.

Mode	$B_{\rm c}$ (T)	$I_{\rm b}$ (A)	$V_{\rm b}~({\rm kV})$	P _{gen} (kW)	ρ (kW/cm ²)
TE _{34,10}	6.99	40	79	549	1.04
TE _{35,10}	7.10	50	79	670	0.98

TE modes are displayed. The analysis shows that the mode competition for the candidate modes is not critical. The higher pitch factor in SP operation results in a higher generated power compared to that in LP operation.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, the possibility to operate one of the 140 GHz W7-X gyrotrons at a higher frequency for the CTS diagnostic was investigated. The operation of the tube at a higher frequency requires the compatibility with the four main gyrotron subcomponents: (i) the window, (ii) the quasi-optical output coupler, (iii) the electron gun, and (iv) the cavity. Each of these subcomponents was studied separately using suitable simulation tools. Nine candidate modes, which have a cutoff frequency close to 175 GHz and caustic radius close to the one of the TE_{28,8} modes, have been identified. After investigating the operation of each candidate mode in the main subcomponents of the gyrotron, only two modes, the TE_{34,10} and TE_{35,10} modes, are suitable for the operation at 175 GHz. A comparison of both modes is shown in Table V.

To achieve an RF output power in the range of 450 kW for CTS, a generated power in the cavity in the range of 540 kW is required, considering internal and external RF losses on the order of 20%. This requirement is fulfilled for both modes.

Both modes have a similar Gaussian mode content of 87%. The reflection at the window, as well as the stray radiation from the quasioptical system inside the gyrotron, is much lower for the $TE_{34,10}$ than for the $TE_{35,10}$ mode, which makes the $TE_{34,10}$ mode more suitable for the operation at 175 GHz. Furthermore, the electron gun produces an electron beam with a higher pitch factor for the $TE_{34,10}$ mode than for the $TE_{35,10}$ mode. Therefore, less beam current is needed to excite the $TE_{34,10}$ mode in the cavity. This allows us to operate the gyrotron at similar values for the beam current than those used for the nominal operation at 140 GHz. On the other hand, the operation at 175 GHz with the $TE_{35,10}$ mode requires a much higher beam current to excite the mode in the cavity.

As it is theoretically shown by the simulations, it is possible to operate the 140 GHz W7-X gyrotron at a frequency of 175 GHz and based on several criteria the most suitable operating mode for this is the $TE_{34,10}$ mode.

Since the existing magnet of the gyrotron cannot provide the required magnetic field for the operation at 175 GHz, a new 7 T magnet will be ordered. The new magnet shall allow the operation of the W7-X gyrotron both at 140 GHz and at 175 GHz.

The broadband quasi-optical transmission line,²⁰ used for the transmission of the mm-wave beams of ECRH gyrotrons toward the W7-X torus, will also be used for the transmission of the CTS probing beam at the higher frequency.⁴ Mode converting phase correcting mirrors with non-quadratic surface contour function,^{21,22} which are placed in the matching optics unit after the gyrotron output window,



FIG. 5. Multi-mode analyses for the TE_{34,10} in SP (a) and LP (b) operations and TE_{35,10} mode in SP (c) and LP (d) operations.

can further improve the quality of the mm-wave output beam at 175 GHz.

Experimental testing of one of the W7-X gyrotrons at 175 GHz with the new magnet is scheduled for 2021.

TABLE V. Comparison between $TE_{34,10}$ and $TE_{35,10}$ modes.

	TE _{34,10}		TE _{35,10}	
	SP	LP	SP	LP
Excited frequency (GHz)	173.92	173.91	176.78	176.75
Reflectivity at the window (%)	0.83	0.84	2.48	2.42
Gaussian mode content (%)	86.96	86.96	87.65	87.65
η_{stray} (%)	2.33	2.33	4.41	4.41
Guiding center radius (mm)	9.80	9.80	9.90	9.90
$P_{\rm gen}$ (kW)	659	549	853	670
I _b (A)	40	40	50	50
$V_{\rm b}~({\rm kV})$	79	79	79	79
<i>B</i> _c (T)	6.98	6.99	7.08	7.10

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programs 2014–2018 and 2019–2020 under Grant Agreement No. 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. Part of the simulations was performed on the EUROfusion High Performance Computer (Marconi-Fusion).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

¹T. Klinger, T. Andreeva, S. Bozhenkov, C. Brandt, R. Burhenn, B. Buttenschön, G. Fuchert, B. Geiger, O. Grulke, H. P. Laqua *et al.*, "Overview of first Wendelstein 7-X high-performance operation," Nucl. Fusion **59**, 112004 (2019).

²I. Abramovic, A. Pavone, J. Svensson, D. Moseev, M. Salewski, H. P. Laqua, N. J. L. Cardozo, and R. C. Wolf, "Collective Thomson scattering data analysis for Wendelstein 7-X," J. Instrum. **12**, C08015 (2017).

- ³A. S. Gilmour, *Klystrons, Traveling Wave Tubes, Magnetrons, Crossed-Field Amplifiers, and Gyrotrons* (Artech House, 2011).
- ⁴D. Moseev, M. Stejner, T. Stange, I. Abramovic, H. P. Laqua, S. Marsen, N. Schneider, H. Braune, U. Hoefel, W. Kasparek *et al.*, "Collective Thomson scattering diagnostic at Wendelstein 7-X," Rev. Sci. Instrum. **90**, 013503 (2019).
- ⁵M. Thumm, S. Alberti, A. Arnold, P. Brand, H. Braune, G. Dammertz, V. Erckmann, G. Gantenbein, E. Giguet, R. Heidinger *et al.*, "EU megawatt-class 140-GHz CW gyrotron," IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. **35**, 143 (2007).
- ⁶R. C. Wolf, S. Bozhenkov, A. Dinklage, G. Fuchert, Y. O. Kazakov, H. P. Laqua, S. Marsen, N. B. Marushchenko, T. Stange, M. Zanini *et al.*, "Electron-cyclotron-resonance heating in Wendelstein 7-X: A versatile heating and current-drive method and a tool for in-depth physics studies," Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **61**, 014037 (2019).
- ⁷D. Moseev, H. P. Laqua, T. Stange, I. Abramovic, S. K. Nielsen, S. Äkäslompolo, K. Avramidis, H. Braune, G. Gantenbein, S. Illy *et al.*, "Collective Thomson scattering diagnostic for Wendelstein 7-X at 175 GHz," J. Instrum. **15**, C05035 (2020).
- ⁸S. K. Nielsen, P. K. Michelsen, S. K. Hansen, S. B. Korsholm, F. Leipold, J. Rasmussen, M. Salewski, M. Schubert, M. Stejner, J. Stober *et al.*, "Recent development of collective Thomson scattering for magnetically confined fusion plasmas," Phys. Scr. **92**, 024001 (2017).
- ⁹N. Chaudhary, J. W. Oosterbeek, M. Hirsch, U. Hoefel, and R. C. Wolf, "Investigation of higher harmonics of electron cyclotron emission using Fourier transform spectroscopy in Wendelstein 7-X," J. Instrum. 15, P09024 (2020).
- ¹⁰H.-U. Nickel, "Plane transverse waveguide windows: Survey of formulas for reflection, transmission, and absorption," Proc. SPIE **1576**, 157667 (1991).
- ¹¹M. Thumm, X. Yang, A. Arnold, G. Dammertz, G. Michel, J. Pretterebner, and D. Wagner, "A high-efficiency quasi-optical mode converter for a 140-GHz 1-MW CW gyrotron," IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 52, 818 (2005).
- ¹²A. Marek, J. Jin, J. Jelonnek, M. Thumm, and A.-S. Müller, "Development of an advanced vector analysis code for simulation of electromagnetic fields in quasioptical systems of high power gyrotrons," in 2017 Eighteenth International Vacuum Electronics Conference (IVEC) (2017), pp. 1–2.
- ¹³J. G. Pagonakis and J. L. Vomvoridis, "The self-consistent 3D trajectory electrostatic code ARIADNE for gyrotron beam tunnel simulation," in Infrared and

Millimeter Waves, Conference Digest of the 2004 Joint 29th International Conference on 2004 and 12th International Conference on Terahertz Electronics (2004), pp. 657–658.

- ¹⁴I. G. Pagonakis, K. Avramidis, S. Illy, P. Kalaria, G. Gantenbein, and J. Jelonnek, "Electron beam simulation in the overall gyrotron geometry," paper presented at the 9th International Workshop on Strong Microwaves and Terahertz Waves: Sources and Applications, 2014.
- ¹⁵A. Schlaich, C. Wu, I. Pagonakis, K. Avramidis, S. Illy, G. Gantenbein, J. Jelonnek, and M. Thumm, "Frequency-based investigation of charge neutralization processes and thermal cavity expansion in gyrotrons," J. Infrared, Millimeter, Terahertz Waves 36, 797 (2015).
- ¹⁶I. Gr. Pagonakis, K. A. Avramidis, G. Gantenbein, T. Rzesnicki, A. Samartsev, and J. Jelonnek, "Magnetic field profile analysis for gyrotron experimental investigation," Phys. Plasmas 24, 033102 (2017).
- ¹⁷V. N. Manuilov, "Numerical simulation of low-frequency oscillations of the space charge and potential in the electron-optical system of a gyrotron," Radiophys. Quantum Electron. 49, 786 (2006).
- ¹⁸I. Gr. Pagonakis, B. Piosczyk, J. Zhang, S. Illy, T. Rzesnicki, J.-P. Hogge, K. Avramidis, G. Gantenbein, M. Thumm, and J. Jelonnek, "Electron trapping mechanisms in magnetron injection guns," Phys. Plasmas 23, 023105 (2016).
- ¹⁹K. A. Avramides, I. Gr. Pagonakis, C. T. Iatrou, and J. L. Vomvoridis, "EURIDICE: A code-package for gyrotron interaction simulations and cavity design," EPJ Web Conf. **32**, 04016 (2012).
- ²⁰T. Stange, H. P. Laqua, M. Beurskens, H.-S. Bosch, S. Bozhenkov, R. Brakel, H. Braune, K. J. Brunner, A. Cappa, A. Dinklage *et al.*, "Advanced electron cyclotron heating and current drive experiments on the stellarator Wendelstein 7-X," EPJ Web Conf. **157**, 02008 (2017).
- ²¹A. A. Bogdashov, A. V. Chirkov, G. G. Denisov, D. V. Vinogradov, A. N. Kuftin, V. I. Malygin, and V. E. Zapevalov, "Mirror synthesis for gyrotron quasi-optical mode converters," Int. J. Infrared Millimeter Waves 16, 735 (1995).
- ²²J. Jin, B. Piosczyk, M. Thumm, T. Rzesnicki, and S. Zhang, "Quasi-optical mode converter/mirror system for a high-power coaxial-cavity gyrotron," IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. **34**, 1508 (2006).