## Western University Scholarship@Western

Brain and Mind Institute Researchers' Publications

Brain and Mind Institute

6-1-2019

# Resonate: Reflections and recommendations on implicit biases within the ISMRM

Esther A.H. Warnert *Erasmus MC* 

Krishna Nayak University of Southern California

Ravi Menon The University of Western Ontario, rmenon@robarts.ca

Curt Rice OsloMet – storbyuniversitetet

John Port Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/brainpub

Part of the Neurosciences Commons, and the Psychology Commons

#### Citation of this paper:

Warnert, Esther A.H.; Nayak, Krishna; Menon, Ravi; Rice, Curt; Port, John; Morris, Elizabeth A.; Sodickson, Daniel K.; Sundgren, Pia; Miller, Karla L.; and Anazodo, Udunna C., "Resonate: Reflections and recommendations on implicit biases within the ISMRM" (2019). *Brain and Mind Institute Researchers' Publications*. 561.

https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/brainpub/561

#### Authors

Esther A.H. Warnert, Krishna Nayak, Ravi Menon, Curt Rice, John Port, Elizabeth A. Morris, Daniel K. Sodickson, Pia Sundgren, Karla L. Miller, and Udunna C. Anazodo



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

J Magn Reson Imaging. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 March 03.

#### Published in final edited form as:

J Magn Reson Imaging. 2019 June ; 49(6): 1509–1511. doi:10.1002/jmri.26593.

# Resonate: Reflections and Recommendations on Implicit Biases Within the ISMRM

#### Esther A.H. Warnert, PhD,

Department of Radiology & Nuclear Medicine, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

#### Krishna Nayak, PhD,

Ming Hsieh Department of Electrical Engineering, Viterbi School of Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA

#### Ravi Menon, PhD,

Department of Medical Biophysics, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada

#### Curt Rice, PhD,

Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, Oslo, Norway

#### John Port, MD, PhD,

Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic Rochester, Minnesota, USA

#### Elizabeth A. Morris, MD,

Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, USA

#### Daniel K. Sodickson, MD, PhD,

Department of Radiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, USA

Center for Advanced Imaging Innovation and Research (CAI2R), New York University School of Medicine, New York, USA

#### Pia Sundgren, MD, PhD,

Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

#### Karla L. Miller, PhD,

Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging, FMRIB, Nuffield, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

#### Udunna C. Anazodo, PhD

Department of Medical Biophysics, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada

Lawson Health Research Institute, St Joseph's Health Care, London, Ontario, Canada

#### Why Do Diversity, Equity, and Inclusiveness Matter to ISMRM?

Science undeniably evolves through collaboration, transparency, and inclusiveness. Unconscious attitudes or stereotypes based on culture, personal experiences, or institutional Warnert et al.

influences, ie, *implicit bias*, can either positively or negatively inform our understanding, actions, and decisions.<sup>1</sup> The negative effects of implicit bias threaten the intrinsic worth of the scientific method and minimize progress. There is growing evidence that diverse research teams are more productive and make better group decisions and the use of diverse study populations leads to more impactful science.<sup>2</sup> As an example, LeWinn et al<sup>3</sup> recently challenged the—often implicit—assumption in population selection for neuroimaging studies that basic neural functions are not influenced by sample characteristics. LeWinn et al used >1000 samples from the Pediatric Imaging, Neurocognition and Genetics study to show that age-related changes in brain structure are dependent on the composition of the sample, thereby highlighting the need for study populations to reflect target populations of interest to ensure generalizability of the study outcomes.

The ISMRM, like others in Science, Technology, Engineering, Maths (STEM) fields, face challenges because of implicit bias. For example, although the overall membership of women in the ISMRM is slowly increasing (growing from 21% in 2008 to 27% in 2017), there remains a significant disparity in the representation of women among student members (35% female) when compared to full members (21% female). This gap between young and senior female scientists is persistent and consistent across STEM fields.<sup>4</sup> Moss-Racusin et al<sup>4</sup> suggest that interventions addressing gender bias might advance women's participation in STEM fields. Consistent with this body of literature, in 2013 the first annual "Women in MR forum" was organized at the annual meeting of the ISMRM. This event, arguably, marks the start of the society's efforts to openly address implicit bias towards gender. This year, we (the members *and* the society's leadership) extended the conversation at our annual scientific meeting by highlighting the further range of implicit biases that affect our science in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

### Resonate: A Community-Wide Conversation on Implicit Bias and Equity in ISMRM

Various events organized during the 26<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the ISMRM were designed to identify and address implicit biases that the ISMRM membership are facing today. These events included 1) an inaugural Presidential Lecture delivered by Professor Curt Rice, summarizing current research on diversity in research organizations; 2) a Member-Initiated Symposium entitled *Resonate: A Discussion on Social Biases Within the ISMRM; 3*) Women in MR forum focusing on gender bias; and 4) an informal secret session on *Hacks for Dealing With Bias.* Implicit-bias-related issues raised by the ISMRM membership across these events include gender equality,<sup>4,5</sup> international diversity,<sup>5</sup> LGBTQA in STEM,<sup>6</sup> accessibility for people with disabilities,<sup>7</sup> and other barriers to member participation, such as a lack of childcare facilities at meetings and workshops. Certain demographic examples indicative of bias within the ISMRM were discussed—for example, the fact that, of 77 ISMRM Gold Medal awardees, only four have been women, and only one has been based outside North America or Europe.

#### Where Do We Go From Here?

"There is nothing noble in being superior to some other man. The true nobility is being superior to your previous self."

- W.L. Sheldon (Ethical Addresses 1897)

Addressing implicit bias and minimizing its negative effect on the conduct of science begins with the individual and must be supported by collective efforts of the group. At an individual level, it is important to acknowledge that no one is immune to unconscious bias, including bias against members of one's own group. This starts with being aware of one's own implicit bias,<sup>1</sup> in particular when facing a critical decision such as selecting a study population, reviewing manuscripts and grants, or filling a vacancy within your research team or organization.

At the institutional level there is a range of strategies that can be used to mitigate negative effects of implicit bias, including some strategies for which evidence is emerging in the literature.<sup>8–10</sup> For example, although opponents of hiring quotas to reduce gender inequality often perceive a threat to meritocracy (for example, competent men being replaced by mediocre women), recent studies indicate that gender quotas have the opposite effect (competent women replacing mediocre men). These studies showed that well-executed quota systems motivate competent women to compete, thereby increasing performance.<sup>8,10</sup>

A softer approach to promoting diversity and ensuring all qualified individuals are included is to adopt wording in job advertisements and calls for nominations such that more applications are received from underrepresented groups. Wille and Derous<sup>9</sup> show that job advertisements wording affects the application pool, by tapping into negative stereotypes in the self-perception of underrepresented groups.

It is worth considering what interventions could help to improve diversity within the ISMRM. For example, *nominations* for awards and committee memberships could be subject to numerical quotas reflecting the demographics of ISMRM members, even if quotas are not applied for the appointments and awards themselves. Similarly, a systematic review of the wording used in both calls for nominations and the selection criteria for positions and awards within the society could reveal sources of implicit bias, including barriers against self-nomination for certain groups.

Following the 2018 Annual Meeting, the ISMRM ratified and appointed an Equity Officer of the Society, as a long-term commitment to minimizing the negative effects of implicit bias for individual members and our community as a whole.<sup>2</sup> The ISMRM Equity Officer will serve on the ISMRM Executive Committee, and will be responsible for establishing strategies and tracking performance for improving diversity and inclusion. In addition, an ISMRM Code of Conduct is implemented. An open and ongoing dialog between the ISMRM's leadership and all of the members, for instance via *#equity\_in\_ismrm* on Slack and Twitter, will be vital to the success of this process. We invite all ISMRM members to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Harvard Implicit Association Tests. Available at https://implicit.harvard.edu/implicit/ <sup>2</sup>https://www.ismrm.org/about/history-mission/

J Magn Reson Imaging. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 March 03.

continue this journey as we strive to look inwards to marshal all our disruptive innovative forces so we can come together to create new ways of seeing.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Penny Gowland, Demian Wasserman, Emily McWalter, Jong-ah Choi, Reggie Taylor, and Daniel Gallichan for their support moderating the Resonate Member-Initiated Symposium at the 2018 ISMRM Annual Meeting. The authors thank members of the 2018 ISMRM Annual Meeting Program Committee (AMPC) for recognizing the significance of having timely discussions on implicit biases and their valuable input to the Resonate: A Discussion on Social Biases Within ISMRM symposium proposal. The authors thank Roberta Kravtiz, Nicole Seiberlich, and Deborah Burstein for providing data on ISMRM member demographics. The authors express their gratitude to all ISMRM members who participated in the diversity initiatives at 2018 ISMRM Annual Meeting (Resonate Member-Initiated Symposium, Women in ISMRM Forum and the Secret Session Hacks on Bias).

#### References

- Staats C, Capatosto K, Tenny L, Mamo S. State of the science: Implicit bias review 2017 http:// kirwaninstitute.osu.edu/researchandstrategicinitiatives/implicit-bias-review/
- 2. Hong LU, Page SE. Groups of diverse problem solvers can outperform groups of high-ability problem solvers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 46:16385–16389.
- Lewinn KZ, Sheridan MA, Keyes KM, Hamilton A, McLaughlin KA. Sample composition alters associations between age and brain structure. Nat Commun 2017;8:874. [PubMed: 29026076]
- Moss-Racusin CA, Dovidio JF, Brescoll VL, Graham MJ, Handelsman J. Science faculty's subtle gender biases favor male students. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:16474–16479. [PubMed: 22988126]
- 5. Murray D, Siler K, Lariviére V. Gender and international diversity improves equity in peer review. bioRxiv 2018 [Epub ahead of print].
- Yoder JB, Mattheis A. Queer in STEM: Workplace experiences reported in a national survey of LGBTQA individuals in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics careers. J Homosex 2016 doi:10.1080/00918369.2015.1078632 [Epub ahead of print].
- National Science Foundation, National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics 2017 Women, minorities, and persons with disabilities in science and engineering: 2017 Special Report NSF 17– 310. Arlington, VA Available at www.nsf.gov/statistics/wmp
- Balafoutas L, Sutter M. Affirmative action policies promote women and do not harm efficiency in the laboratory. Science 2012;335:579–582. doi: 10.1126/science.1211180. [PubMed: 22301317]
- Wille L, Derous E. Getting the words right: When wording of job ads affects ethnic minorities' application decisions. Manag Commun Q 2017 doi:10.1177/0893318917699885 [Epub ahead of print].
- Besley T, Folke O, Persson T, Rickne J. Gender quotas and the crisis of the mediocre man: Theory and evidence from Sweden. Am Econ Rev 2017 doi:10.1257/aer.20160080 [Epub ahead of print].