# 2D Materials

**PAPER • OPEN ACCESS** 

# In situ functionalization of graphene

To cite this article: Kyrylo Greben et al 2021 2D Mater. 8 015022

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# **2D** Materials

#### **OPEN ACCESS**



RECEIVED 15 September 2020

REVISED 9 October 2020

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 23 October 2020

PUBLISHED 19 November 2020

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



PAPER

# In situ functionalization of graphene

Kyrylo Greben<sup>1</sup><sup>(10)</sup>, Sviatoslav Kovalchuk<sup>1</sup><sup>(10)</sup>, Ana M Valencia<sup>2,3</sup>, Jan N Kirchhof<sup>1</sup>, Sebastian Heeg<sup>1</sup>, Philipp Rietsch<sup>4</sup>, Stephanie Reich<sup>1</sup>, Caterina Cocchi<sup>2,3</sup><sup>(10)</sup>, Siegfried Eigler<sup>4</sup> and Kirill I Bolotin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195, Berlin, Germany

- <sup>2</sup> Department of Physics and IRIS Adlershof, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 12489, Berlin, Germany <sup>3</sup> Department of Physics Contemportation Optications (2010) Compared
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg, Oldenburg 26129, Germany
- <sup>4</sup> Insititute of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195, Berlin, Germany

E-mail: k.greben@fu-berlin.de and kirill.bolotin@fu-berlin.de

**Keywords:** graphene, functionalization, Raman, plasma, hydrogenation Supplementary material for this article is available online

### Abstract

While the basal plane of graphene is inert, defects in it are centers of chemical activity. An attractive application of such defects is towards controlled functionalization of graphene with foreign molecules. However, the interaction of the defects with reactive environment, such as ambient, decreases the efficiency of functionalization and makes it poorly controlled.

Here, we report a novel approach to generate, monitor with time resolution, and functionalize the defects *in situ* without ever exposing them to the ambient. The defects are generated by an energetic argon plasma and their properties are monitored using *in situ* Raman spectroscopy. We find that these defects are functional, very reactive, and strongly change their density from  $\approx 1 \times 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> to  $\approx 5 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> upon exposure to air. We perform the proof of principle *in situ* functionalization by generating defects using the argon plasma and functionalizing them *in situ* using ammonia functional. The functionalization induces the n-doping with a carrier density up to  $5 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> in graphene and remains stable in ambient conditions.

## 1. Introduction

While the properties of pristine graphene are now largely understood, we are only beginning to understand the potential of controllably functionalized graphene. During the last decade, multiple approaches have been developed to attach foreign molecules such as hydrogen, oxygen, fluorine, or organic compounds to the basal plane of graphene [1–6]. Controlled functionalization has been used to open the band gap [7, [8]], adjust the doping levels [9], induce defect states producing photoluminescence [8, 10, 11], or perhaps even to induce magnetism in grapheme [12]. Moreover, graphene controllably functionalized with biomolecules is in demand for applications in filtration, biotechnology, and biosensorics [13, 14].

In general, there are covalent and non-covalent functionalization approaches [2, 15, 16]. In noncovalent functionalization, a target molecule is deposited onto graphene predominantly through interactions like van der Waals forces or  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking [17]. As these interactions are relatively weak, molecules tend to cluster [18] or may be removed during processing of functionalized material [19]. In the covalent approach, a covalent bond forms between graphene and a target molecule. As the basal plane of graphene is highly inert, this functionalization approach requires reactive compounds, e.g. free radicals [2, 3, 19, 20]. At the same time, defects in graphene are the centers of chemical activity [21]. Therefore, many functionalization strategies use these defects to graft desired functionalities [22–28].

One of the most simple, cheap, and scalable techniques to induce defects in graphene is the exposure to an energetic plasma discharge [29]. The density, type, and configuration of defects can then be tuned by controlling the plasma type, energy, and exposure duration. However, in the majority of functionalization approaches, graphene is exposed to ambient before coming into contact with the target molecule [23, 30–32]. As a result, freshly-created defects react with moisture, oxygen or hydrocarbons in the ambient reducing the efficiency and decreasing the control of functionalization [33, 34]. This hinders the



potential of plasma-treated graphene as the platform for controllably functionalized graphene-based hybrid materials.

Here, we overcome this problem by functionalizing freshly prepared plasma-induced defects in graphene without ever exposing them to the ambient. To accomplish this, we first explore the properties of plasma-induced defects in graphene. We show that these defects are functional rather than structural and that they are stable in vacuum but strongly react with the ambient. We then demonstrate a proofof-principle functionalization of Ar plasma-induced seed-point defects with the NH<sub>3</sub> functional. We confirm functionalization by examining the evolution of carrier density, defect density, and strain extracted from time-resolved *in situ* Raman spectroscopy measurements.

#### 2. Results

Our overarching goal is to develop an approach to controllably functionalize the basal plane of graphene. Towards this goal, we monitor the formation, study the properties, and functionalize defects in graphene without exposing these defects to ambient. To accomplish this, we have developed a setup that allows in situ (1) generation, (2) live monitoring, (3) annealing, and (4) functionalization of defects. The setup is a vacuum chamber with optical and gas access (figure 1(a)). Defects are generated in pristine monolayer chemical vapor deposition (CVD) graphene by exposure to Ar or NH<sub>3</sub> plasmas, generated by radio frequency discharge. To characterize defect properties, the sample is continuously monitored in situ with Raman spectroscopy (Methods). Finally, plasma-generated defects can be functionalized using vapor deposition technique avoiding the exposure of the sample to ambient.

We use Raman spectroscopy to extract the defect density, carrier density, and strain in graphene as a function of time. The intensity, full width at half maximum and spectral positions of graphene Raman modes G and 2D ( $\approx$ 1591 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\approx$ 2685 cm<sup>-1</sup>, figures 1(b), (c) are used to gauge the initial graphene quality [35] and to extract carrier density and strain [36, 37]. Disorder, such as structural defects (e.g. missing carbon atom) or sp<sup>3</sup>-defects (e.g. attached organic molecules), activates the D mode as well as D' and D + D' modes in graphene ( $\approx$ 1594 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\approx$ 1625 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\approx$ 2930 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) [35, 38, 39]. We use the ratio between the intensities of D and G modes to extract the density of defects introduced during the plasma exposure [40–42].

Our first goal is to investigate generation, stability, and reactivity of defects introduced in graphene via exposure to Ar plasma. At the beginning of the experiment, the sample is loaded into the vacuum chamber that is first pumped down to high vacuum  $(p \approx 10^{-5} \text{ mbar})$  and then filled with the Ar gas at partial pressure p = 5 mbar (time t = 0). The Raman spectra are continuously acquired every five seconds (figure 1(b)). At t = 135 s, we generate defects igniting plasma for 5 s at -2dBm power. The sample is kept in argon, until we repeat the plasma exposure at t = 470 s for another 10 s at -2dBm power. The sample is further kept in argon until t = 1170 s to examine the stability of defects. Finally, at t = 1175 s the chamber is filled with air up to ambient pressure and monitored for  $\approx$ 500 s after that.

We observe stark changes in the Raman spectrum during the entire process. At the beginning of the experiment, the ratio between 2D and G modes as well as the absence of the D mode indicate the negligible defect density in pristine CVD graphene (figure 1(c), black). These spectra are uniform across the sample surface (supplementary figure S1(https://stacks.iop.org/2DM/08/015022/mmedia)) and are stable over time. The first, five-second long plasma exposure introduces defects and activates the D mode in graphene (figure 1(c), blue). The second plasma exposure changes the spectra dramatically: the intensity of a 2D mode strongly decreases, additional D' and D + D' modes appear, and the D mode further increases and begins to dominate the spectrum. All Raman modes shift and change relative intensities (figure 1(c), red). Spectra remain relatively stable while the sample is kept in medium vacuum ( $p_{Ar} = 5$  mbar) between t = 520 s and 1170 s. As the sample is exposed to ambient at  $t \approx 1175$  s, the spectra change once again: the D mode decreases, the D' and D + D' modes almost completely disappear, and the 2D/G ratio goes back to its original value. Finally, at  $t \approx 1275$  s, the changes saturate and the spectra are relatively stable (figure 1(c), green).

To quantitatively examine modifications of graphene due to Ar plasma treatment and consecutive air exposure, in figure 2 we extract the timedependent defect density, charge carrier density, and strain of our sample during the entire experiment from the Raman data of figure 1(b). We discuss the detailed analysis of the time-resolved Raman spectra in the Supplementary Information. We find that our graphene sample analyzed in figures 1 and 2 is initially p-doped and pre-strained (supplementary figure S2).

At the beginning of the experiment the defect density is near-zero; pre-strain is low and initial carrier density is  $\approx -2.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , with minus sign corresponding to hole-doping. Both plasma exposure steps change defect density, doping, and strain. The defect density after the second discharge is  $\approx 1.6 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and rapidly ( $\approx 50 \text{ s}$ ) decreases to  $\approx 6 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  after the plasma is turned off. Plasma exposures induce n-doping of  $\approx 7 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and strain of  $\approx 0.1\%$ .

After the fast dynamic following the plasma exposures, the sample is stable in argon ( $p_{\rm Ar} = 5$ mbar) as the carrier density, strain, and defect density remain stable in the interval t = 520-1170 s. At the time t = 1175 s, we start filling the chamber with air. We observe a rapid decrease of the defect density by an order of magnitude, to  $\approx 5 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> within 40 s (figure 2(a)). Simultaneously, we observe p-doping from air exposure,  $\approx 5 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> (figure 2(b)), accompanied by the relaxation of strain (figure 2(c)). We note that changes in the carrier density affect the intensity ratio I(D)/I(G) used to extract the defect density [43, 44]. These effects are accounted for in the analysis of figure 2 (supplementary information). Following these initial fast changes, we observe slow dynamics on the time scale of hours. During that time, the defect density decreases by more than a factor of two and the carrier density increases by an order of magnitude (supplementary figure S5).

To summarize our observations so far, the data of figures 1-2 show that *in situ* plasma-induced defects in graphene are stable in argon but react with air. The density of these defects decreases by an



**Figure 2.** Time-resolved changes in sample properties after plasma exposure and venting to air. Time-dependent (a) defect density, (b) doping density and (c) strain extracted from the Raman spectra with 5 sec. resolution using the procedure described in the text. The time axis is the same as in figure 1(b)

order of magnitude from  $\approx 6 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in argon to  $\approx 5 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in air. However, the question remains: what is the chemical/physical nature of these defects?

In general, the defects produced by plasma exposure [29] can be structural (i.e. missing carbon atom) [24] or functional (sp<sup>3</sup>-like defects interacting with an external atom/molecule) [2, 32]. These defect types are distinguished by their energy and related stability [24]. To estimate this energy scale, we thermally anneal our samples. Figure 3 shows the evolution of the Raman spectra and calculated defect density for the sample annealed *in situ* in vacuum right after the introduction of defects. The D mode in figure 3(a)almost completely disappears after a relatively mild annealing at 85 °C, and the apparent defect density drops to the same value as in pristine graphene (figure 3(b)). It is known that structural defects (missing carbon atoms) are stable up to much higher temperatures of 800 °C–900 °C [45, 46]. However, the presence of additional impurity atoms, like carbon [47] or silicon [48] may influence the stability temperature. On the other hand, most of the organic functionalities are known to desorb at temperatures below 100 °C [21, 49, 50]. The strong decrease of



the density of defects upon the exposure to ambient points towards their reactivity. This suggest that the defects produced by Ar plasma in our experiment *in situ* are mainly functional. The remaining concentration of defects after the exposure to ambient suggests that functional defects may have reacted with ambient, whereas the structural defects remained. However, the precise microscopic mechanism should be the subject of future TEM and XPS studies.

To figure out the type of functional attached to the carbon atoms, we performed DFT calculations of binding energies, induced doping, and strain for H-, OH- and O- functional defects (supplementary figure S6). The lowest binding energy of -0.839 eV as well as induced electron doping and strain below 0.2% qualitatively suggest hydrogen as the most likely defect type induced by Ar plasma in situ at mbar pressures. Indeed, a similar behavior was observed for weakly bound functional defects in hydrogenated graphene [50-52]. In addition, hydrogen functionalities are expected to produce charge transfer and electron-doping [4, 53] similar to the one observed in figure 2(b) as well as induce significant strain[54] due to modification of bond lengths, the behavior is seen in figure 2(c). Finally, while the C-H bond is strong in bulk compounds, it is much more reactive in the case of graphene [4, 33, 55]. Therefore, it is not surprising that H-functionalities are removed from graphene upon exposure to ambient.

There are two possible mechanisms for hydrogen functionalization in our experiments. First, H<sub>2</sub> that is present in trace concentrations in our chamber in medium vacuum becomes ionized together with Ar due to similar ionization energies [51] and may react with grapheme [26],<sup>52</sup>. Second, moisture and hydrocarbons could be adsorbed on our pristine CVD-grown graphene samples during the fabrication [56, 57] and may dissociate under ion/electron bombardment [58]. This could also lead to hydrogen functionalization. We note that more precise analytical techniques such as *in situ* XPS may distinguish between the proposed scenarios.

One particularly attractive application of reactive plasma-induced functional defects is for the further controlled chemical functionalization of graphene. Hydrogenated graphene is an interesting candidate for further chemical functionalization due to its reactivity [4, 27, 33, 55]. The results above show that plasma-induced defects in graphene react with air. This greatly reduces their density and limits the ex situ functionalization potential. To overcome this limitation, we propose a new in situ functionalization pathway. The idea behind the approach is to introduce target molecular species into a vacuum chamber with freshly in situ Ar-induced functional defects before the defects react with air. We expect that the target species should attach to a large density of 'seed-points' in graphene while these defects are still reactive. In the rest of the paper, we show the proof-of-principle of such two-step functionalization process.

To demonstrate the viability of our approach, we chose ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) as our target functional. The interaction of ammonia with graphene is well understood and is commonly used to introduce a large carrier density in graphene [30, 31, 59], e.g. for applications in transparent conductive electrodes. In a proofof-principle experiment, we first generated defects using Ar plasma as discussed above (10 s, 1 dBm, 0.1 mbar). In the second step, without breaking the vacuum, we introduced NH<sub>3</sub>-plasma (15 s, 1 dBm, 0.2 mbar) to functionalize the defects created during the first step. Finally, the sample was exposed to the ambient. Defect density and charge carrier density at each step of the functionalization process are shown in figure 4 (red points). For comparison, in the same graph we show a sample that was exposed to Ar plasma only (15 s, -2 dBm, 5 mbar, green points) and another sample that was exposed to NH<sub>3</sub> plasma only (50 s, 2 dBm, 0.2 mbar, blue points).



**Figure 4**. *In situ* two-step functionalization of graphene. The carrier density and the defect density shown for each step of the two-step functionalization approach of graphene (red). In that approach, anchor points are created via argon plasma exposure and are functionalized by exposure to NH<sub>3</sub> plasma. For comparison, the samples exposed just to Ar plasma (green) and just to NH<sub>3</sub> plasma (blue) are also shown. The carrier density shown here is relative to the pristine state, to ease the comparison between the samples.

We first examine reference Ar-only and NH<sub>3</sub>only samples. In the Ar-only sample, as discussed above in figures 1 and 2, we created the defect density of  $\approx 6 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, which induced a slight ndoping of  $\approx 7 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> (figure 4, green points). This defect density drops by more than one order of magnitude upon exposure to ambient. In contrast, NH<sub>3</sub>-plasma exposure generates, by itself, a large ndoping of  $\approx 7 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, while generating the defect density of  $\approx 2 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> (figure 4, blue points). After exposure to ambient, the concentration of defects is only slightly reduced, while the doping is reduced strongly. Similar results for NH<sub>3</sub> samples have been reported previously [29-31, 59]. We conclude that both plasma treatments induce functional defects with different functional groups. The functional groups produced by Ar plasma (likely hydrogen functionalities) induce electron doping and appear to be reactive. In contrast, the groups produced by the NH<sub>3</sub> plasma (ammonia) induce electron doping and do not interact with ambient air strongly [24, 29, 31, 59-62]. The hole doping seen in both samples upon air exposure likely results from adsorption of water from ambient.

Finally, we examine the sample exposed to the two-step *in situ* functionalization process (figure 4, red). The first Ar plasma treatment results in the defect density  $\approx 8 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. The following exposure to NH<sub>3</sub> plasma during the second step does not change the extracted defect density. Despite that, the carrier density increases to  $\approx 5 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. Importantly, the defect density remains near constant upon

exposure to ambient. All of that suggests that during the second functionalization step NH<sub>3</sub> derivatives bind to the reactive functional 'seed-point' defects in graphene produced by Ar plasma in the first functionalization step (probably forming mostly graphitic-N type of defects [31, 60, 63]) rather than additionally attach directly to graphene. Indeed, if latter was the case, we would expect to see an increase in the defect density upon NH<sub>3</sub> plasma exposure in the second step. In addition, the stability of the defect density in the two-step process suggests that functionalization of the defects is stable, unlike the case we observed for Ar plasma, but similar to what we have seen for NH<sub>3</sub> plasma. Finally, large electron doping after the twostep process suggests efficient NH<sub>3</sub> functionalization. All of that constitutes the proof of principle for our functionalization strategy.

Utilizing *in situ* functionalization method used here, other organic or inorganic functional can be introduced to graphene [27, 33]. The advantage of this approach is the possibility to create high densities of 'freshly-generated' reactive defects that could generate large doping of  $>10^{13}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, facilitate close packing of molecules, and allow the functionalization of graphene with previously inactive reagents.

In summary, we developed a new *in situ* approach to generate and monitor defects in graphene. We have shown that defects in graphene created via Ar plasma exposure are stable in vacuum but react with the ambient. Both the defect density and the carrier density in graphene decrease by about an order of magnitude upon exposure to ambient. We demonstrated a two-step *in situ* functionalization of graphene. In this process, we functionalized graphene with  $NH_3$  functional at high density utilizing the reactive 'seed-point' defects created via Ar plasma without exposure to ambient. We confirmed the functionalization by continuously analyzing defect density, carrier density, and strain in our samples through *in situ* Raman spectroscopy. Overall, we believe that our novel *in situ* functionalization approach using reactive defects opens the possibility to introduce various chemical functionalities to graphene and thereby providing a pathway towards scalable creation of various hybrid organic/inorganic 2D materials.

#### 3. Methods

#### 3.1. Sample synthesis

Single layer graphene is synthesized on the copper substrate by CVD. The mixture of methane (5 sccm), hydrogen (10 sccm), and argon (5 sccm) is let into the CVD chamber, which is kept at 1035 °C. The growth time is 7 min. After the growth, graphene is transferred onto the Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate by a standard method [64].

#### 3.2. Setup

The vacuum chamber is pumped down to  $p \approx 10^{-5}$ mbar. The working gas (Ar or NH<sub>3</sub>) is let into the chamber with the partial pressures of 0.1-5 mbar. The plasma is generated via capacitive coupling of a top plate electrode and the chamber, using the microwave signal from HP8648B microwave generator at a constant frequency of 13.56 MHz amplified by 50 dB with the amplifier. The chamber is kept at the ground potential. The sample is located at the sample holder halfway between the electrode and the bottom of the chamber. The sample holder is electrically isolated from the electrode and the ground. No additional bias potential was used. The sample holder is electrically contacted for *in situ* annealing purposes. The concentration of defects in graphene can then be controlled by adjusting the discharge power and plasma exposition time. The sample is monitored with in situ Raman spectroscopy in a modified Witec Alpha setup using 532 nm excitation wavelength.

#### 3.3. DFT calculations

DFT calculations are carried out with the all-electron code FHI-aims [65]. Geometry optimization is performed within the generalized gradient approximation for the exchange-correlation functional using the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof parametrization [66]. Van der Waals interactions are included with the Tkatchenko-Scheffler scheme [67]. We employ tight integration grids and TIER2 basis sets [68], and the atomic positions are relaxed until the Hellmann-Feynman forces are smaller than  $10^{-3}$  eV/Å.

#### Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Georgy Gordeev for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)— Projektnummer 182087777—SFB 951 and ERC Starting Grant No. 639739. A.M.V and C.C. acknowledge financial support from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Professorinnenprogramm III) as well as from the State of Lower Saxony (Professorinnen für Niedersachsen).

#### **ORCID** iDs

Kyrylo Greben lohttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-2852-7384

Sviatoslav Kovalchuk like https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4817-1939

Caterina Cocchi o https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9243-9461

## References

- Felten A, Eckmann A, Pireaux J J, Krupke R and Casiraghi C 2013 Controlled modification of mono- and bilayer graphene in O2, H2 and CF4 plasmas *Nanotechnology* 24
- [2] Georgakilas V, Otyepka M, Bourlinos A B, Chandra V, Kim N, Kemp K C, Hobza P, Zboril R and Kim K S 2012 Functionalization of graphene: covalent and non-covalent approaches, derivatives and applications *Chem. Rev.* 112 6156–214
- [3] Paulus G L C, Wang Q H and Strano M S 2013 Covalent electron transfer chemistry of graphene with diazonium salts Acc. Chem. Res 46 160–70
- [4] Whitener K E 2018 Review article: hydrogenated graphene: A user's guide J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 36 05G401
- [5] Kim C H and Kymissis I 2017 Graphene-organic hybrid electronics J. Mater. Chem. C 5 4598–613
- [6] Gobbi M, Orgiu E and Samorì P 2018 When 2D materials meet molecules: opportunities and challenges of hybrid organic/inorganic van der Waals heterostructures Adv. Mater. 30 1–20
- [7] Park J et al 2012 Single-gate bandgap opening of bilayer graphene by dual molecular doping Adv. Mater. 24 407–11
- [8] Nourbakhsh A, Cantoro M, Vosch T, Pourtois G, Clemente F, van der Veen M H, Hofkens J, Heyns M M, De Gendt S and Sels B F 2010 Bandgap opening in oxygen plasma-treated graphene *Nanotechnology* 21 435203
- [9] Kim Y-J, Kim Y, Novoselov K and Hong B H 2015 Engineering electrical properties of graphene: chemical approaches 2D Mater. 2 042001
- [10] Gokus T, Nair R R, Bonetti A, Böhmler M, Lombardo A, Novoselov K S, Geim A K, Ferrari A C and Hartschuh A 2009 Making graphene luminescent by oxygen plasma treatment ACS Nano 3 3963–8
- [11] Shang J, Ma L, Li J, Ai W, Yu T and Gurzadyan G G 2012 The origin of fluorescence from graphene oxide *Sci. Rep.* 2 792
- 12] Tuček J, Holá K and Bourlinos A B 2017 Room temperature organic magnets derived from sp3 functionalized graphene *Nat. Commun.* 8 14525
- [13] Kireev D and Offenhäusser A 2018 Graphene & two-dimensional devices for bioelectronics and neuroprosthetics 2D Mater. 5 042004

- [14] Anichini C, Czepa W, Pakulski D, Aliprandi A, Ciesielski A and Samorì P 2018 Chemical sensing with 2D materials *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 47 4860
- [15] Eigler S and Hirsch A 2014 Chemistry with graphene and graphene oxide - Challenges for synthetic chemists Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53 7720–38
- [16] Eigler S 2016 Controlled chemistry approach to the Oxo-functionalization of graphene *Chem. Eur. J.* 22 7012–27
- [17] Mali K S, Greenwood J, Adisoejoso J, Phillipson R and De Feyter S 2015 Nanostructuring graphene for controlled and reproducible functionalization *Nanoscale* 7 1566–85
- [18] Tsai H Z *et al* 2015 Molecular self-assembly in a poorly screened environment: F4TCNQ on graphene/BN ACS Nano 9 12168–73
- [19] Daukiya L, Seibel J and De Feyter S 2019 Chemical modification of 2D materials using molecules and assemblies of molecules *Adv. Phys.* X 4 1625723
- [20] Sulleiro M V, Quiroga S, Peña D, Pérez D, Guitián E, Criado A and Prato M 2018 Microwave-induced covalent functionalization of few-layer graphene with arynes under solvent-free conditions *Chem. Commun.* 54 2086–9
- [21] Lee G, Yang G, Cho A, Han J W and Kim J 2016 Defect-engineered graphene chemical sensors with ultrahigh sensitivity *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 18 14198–204
- [22] Krasheninnikov A V and Banhart F 2007 Engineering of nanostructured carbon materials with electron or ion beams *Nat. Mater.* 6 723–33
- [23] Medina H, Lin Y C, Obergfell D and Chiu P W 2011 Tuning of charge densities in graphene by molecule doping *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 21 2687–92
- [24] Banhart F, Kotakoski J and Krasheninnikov A V 2011 Structural defects in graphene ACS Nano 5 26–41
- [25] Li X F, Lian K, Liu L et al 2016 Unraveling the formation mechanism of graphitic nitrogen-doping in thermally treated graphene with ammonia Sci. Rep. 6 23495
- [26] Jiang H, Kammler M, Ding F, Dorenkamp Y, Manby F R, Wodtke A M, Miller T F, Kandratsenka A and Bünermann O 2019 Imaging covalent bond formation by H atom scattering from graphene *Science* 364 379–382
- [27] Seifert M, Koch A H R, Deubel F, Simmet T, Hess L H, Stutzmann M, Jordan R, Garrido J A and Sharp I D 2013 Functional polymer brushes on hydrogenated graphene *Chem. Mater.* 25 466–70
- [28] Halbig C E, Lasch R, Krüll J, Pirzer A S, Wang Z, Kirchhof J N, Bolotin K I, Heinrich M R and Eigler S 2019 Selective functionalization of graphene at defect-activated sites by arylazocarboxylic *tert*-butyl esters *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 58 3599–603
- [29] Dey A, Chroneos A, Braithwaite N S J, Gandhiraman R P and Krishnamurthy S 2016 Plasma engineering of graphene *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 3 021301
- [30] Felten A, Bittencourt C, Pireaux J J, Van Lier G and Charlier J C 2005 Radio-frequency plasma functionalization of carbon nanotubes surface O 2, NH 3, and CF 4 treatments *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 98 074308
- [31] Lin Y C, Lin C Y and Chiu P W 2010 Controllable graphene N-doping with ammonia plasma Appl. Phys. Lett. 96 133110
- [32] McEvoy N, Nolan H, Ashok Kumar N, Hallam T and Duesberg G S 2013 Functionalisation of graphene surfaces with downstream plasma treatments *Carbon* 54 283–90
- [33] Whitener K E, Lee W-K, Stine R, Tamanaha C R, Kidwell D A, Robinson J T and Sheehan P E 2016 Activation of radical addition to graphene by chemical hydrogenation *RSC Adv.* 6 93356–62
- [34] Merenda A, Ligneris E D, Sears K, Chaffraix T, Magniez K, Cornu D, Schütz J A and Dumée L F 2016 Assessing the temporal stability of surface functional groups introduced by plasma treatments on the outer shells of carbon nanotubes *Sci. Rep.* 6 1–12
- [35] Ferrari A C and Basko D M 2013 Raman spectroscopy as a versatile tool for studying the properties of graphene *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 8 235–46

- [36] Mueller N S et al 2017 Evaluating arbitrary strain configurations and doping in graphene with Raman spectroscopy 2D Mater. 5 015016
- [37] Lee J E, Ahn G, Shim J, Lee Y S and Ryu S 2012 Optical separation of mechanical strain from charge doping in graphene *Nat. Commun.* 3 1024
- [38] Beams R, Gustavo Cançado L and Novotny L 2015 Raman characterization of defects and dopants in graphene J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27 083002
- [39] Thomsen C and Reich S 2000 Double resonant Raman scattering in graphite Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 5214–7
- [40] Lucchese M M, Stavale F, Ferreira E H M, Vilani C, Moutinho M V O, Capaz R B, Achete C A and Jorio A 2010 Quantifying ion-induced defects and Raman relaxation length in graphene *Carbon* 48 1592–7
- [41] Martins Ferreira E H, Moutinho M V O, Stavale F, Lucchese M M, Capaz R B, Achete C A and Jorio A 2010 Evolution of the Raman spectra from single-, few-, and many-layer graphene with increasing disorder *Phys. Rev.* B 82 125429
- [42] Cançado L G, Jorio A, Ferreira E H M, Stavale F, Achete C A, Capaz R B, Moutinho M V O, Lombardo A, Kulmala T S and Ferrari A C 2011 Quantifying defects in graphene via Raman spectroscopy at different excitation energies *Nano Lett.* 11 3190–6
- [43] Froehlicher G and Berciaud S 2015 Raman spectroscopy of electrochemically gated graphene transistors: geometrical capacitance, electron-phonon, electron-electron, and electron-defect scattering *Phys. Rev.* B 91 1–17
- [44] Bruna M, Ott A K, Ijäs M, Yoon D, Sassi U and Ferrari A C 2014 Doping dependence of the Raman spectrum of defected graphene ACS Nano 8 7432–41
- [45] Chen J, Shi T, Cai T, Xu T, Sun L, Wu X and Yu D 2013 Self healing of defected graphene *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 102 103107
- [46] Kholmanov I N, Edgeworth J, Cavaliere E, Gavioli L, Magnuson C and Ruoff R S 2011 Healing of structural defects in the topmost layer of graphite by chemical vapor deposition Adv. Mater. 23 1675–8
- [47] Zan R, Ramasse Q M, Bangert U and Novoselov K S 2012 Graphene reknits its holes *Nano Lett.* 12 3936–40
- [48] Inani H, Mustonen K, Markevich A, Ding E-X, Tripathi M, Hussain A, Mangler C, Kauppinen E I, Susi T and Kotakoski J 2019 Silicon substitution in nanotubes and graphene via intermittent vacancies J. Phys. Chem. C 123 13136–40
- [49] Coletti C, Riedl C, Lee D S, Krauss B, Patthey L, von Klitzing K, Smet J H and Starke U 2010 Charge neutrality and band-gap tuning of epitaxial graphene on SiC by molecular doping *Phys. Rev.* B 81 1–8
- [50] Elias D C *et al* 2009 Control of graphene's properties by reversible hydrogenation: evidence for graphane *Science* 323 610–3
- [51] Wojtaszek M, Tombros N, Caretta A, van Loosdrecht P H M and van Wees B J 2011 A road to hydrogenating graphene by a reactive ion etching plasma *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 110 063715
- [52] Luo Z, Yu T, Kim K-J, Ni Z, You Y, Lim S, Shen Z, Wang S and Lin J 2009 Thickness-dependent reversible hydrogenation of graphene layers ACS Nano 3 1781–8
- [53] Matis B R, Burgess J S, Bulat F A, Friedman A L, Houston B H and Baldwin J W 2012 Surface doping and band gap tunability in hydrogenated graphene ACS Nano 6 17–22
- [54] Robinson J T, Zalalutdinov M K, Cress C D, Culbertson J C, Friedman A L, Merrill A and Landi B J 2017 Graphene strained by defects ACS Nano 11 4745–52
- [55] Chua C K, Sofer Z and Pumera M 2016 Functionalization of hydrogenated graphene: transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of allylic C–H bonds Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55 10751–4
- [56] Ishigami M, Chen J H, Cullen W G, Fuhrer M S and Williams E D 2007 Atomic structure of graphene on SiO<sub>2</sub> Nano Lett. 7 1643–8
- [57] Pirkle A, Chan J, Venugopal A, Hinojos D, Magnuson C W, McDonnell S, Colombo L, Vogel E M, Ruoff R S and Wallace

R M 2011 The effect of chemical residues on the physical and electrical properties of chemical vapor deposited graphene transferred to SiO<sub>2</sub> *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **99** 2009–12

- [58] Jones J D, Hoffmann W D, Jesseph A V, Morris C J, Verbeck G F and Perez J M 2010 On the mechanism for plasma hydrogenation of graphene Appl. Phys. Lett. 97 8–11
- [59] Wei D, Liu Y, Wang Y, Zhang H, Huang L and Yu G 2009 Synthesis of n-doped graphene by chemical vapor deposition and its electrical properties *Nano Lett.* 9 1752–8
- [60] Lin Y C, Teng P-Y, Yeh C-H, Koshino M, Chiu P-W and Suenaga K 2015 Structural and chemical dynamics of pyridinic-nitrogen defects in graphene *Nano Lett.* 15 7408–13
- [61] Bong J H, Sul O, Yoon A, Choi S-Y and Cho B J 2014 Facile graphene n-doping by wet chemical treatment for electronic applications *Nanoscale* 6 8503–8
- [62] Podila R, Chacón-Torres J, Spear J T, Pichler T, Ayala P and Rao A M 2012 Spectroscopic investigation of nitrogen doped graphene Appl. Phys. Lett. 101 123108
- [63] Hofer C, Skákalová V, Görlich T, Tripathi M, Mittelberger A, Mangler C, Monazam M R A, Susi T, Kotakoski J and

Meyer J C 2019 Direct imaging of light-element impurities in graphene reveals triple-coordinated oxygen *Nat. Commun.* **10** 4570

- [64] Li X, Zhu Y, Cai W, Borysiak M, Han B, Chen D, Piner R D, Colombo L and Ruoff R S 2009 Transfer of large-area graphene films for high-performance transparent conductive electrodes *Nano Lett.* 9 4359–63
- [65] Blum V, Gehrke R, Hanke F, Havu P, Havu V, Ren X, Reuter K and Scheffler M 2009 Ab initio molecular simulations with numeric atom-centered orbitals *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 180 2175–96
- [66] Perdew J P, Burke K and Ernzerhof M 1996 Generalized gradient approximation made simple *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 3865–8
- [67] Tkatchenko A and Scheffler M 2009 Accurate molecular van der Waals interactions from ground-state electron density and free-atom reference data *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 073005
- [68] Havu V, Blum V, Havu P and Scheffler M 2009 Efficient O
  (N) integration for all-electron electronic structure calculation using numeric basis functions *J. Comput. Phys.* 228 8367–79