



## Foreword

Lutz Marten (SOAS, University of London and Research Fellow, ILCAA)

The present volume on ‘Descriptive materials of morphosyntactic microvariation in Bantu’ is a collection of original morphosyntactic data from twelve East African Bantu languages. It is the result of extensive fieldwork with consultants in East Africa conducted by members of the ILCAA research project on ‘Typological study of microvariation in Bantu (Phase 1)’. It constitutes a rich resource and a landmark in the study of the morphology and syntax of Bantu languages.

The publication of the materials is both topical and timely. The research behind the data draws on and is a continuation of several research strands of the 1990s and 2000s, and the present volume is unlikely to have come about in this form ten or twenty years ago. This is because of its focus on morphosyntax, rather than phonology or the lexicon, and on systematic, large-scale comparison, rather than on a specific construction type or the description of one language. By adopting this perspective, the volume is embedded in a wider development of comparative Bantu which employs a set of surface-level parameters or features.

Large-scale comparison in Bantu has largely focused on lexical comparison, often in the context of interest in the internal classification and reconstruction of Bantu. Based on comparative work going back to the earliest Western studies of Bantu such as Bleek (1862) and Meinhof (1899, 1905), key Bantu researchers such as Guthrie (1967-71) and Meeussen (1980) developed large lexical databases on which to base comparative studies. From the 1970s onwards, linguists at Tervuren and Leiden developed ‘Bantu Lexical Reconstructions’ (BLR) to synthesise and extend previous results. Since the 1990s the data are available online, currently in the third edition (Bastin and Schadeberg n.d.). A related project was launched in the mid-1990s by Larry Hyman and John Lowe at the University of California at Berkeley: The ‘Comparative Bantu Online Dictionary’ (CBOLD), which by the end of the project in 1999 contained 445,000 lexical items from 200 languages. The data are currently hosted at the University of Lyon (CBOLD n.d.). Databases like BLR and CBOLD provide a rich resource for lexical comparison of Bantu languages, and it is only very recently that researchers have turned their attention to approaching morphosyntactic variation from a similar comparative perspective.

There is a strong research tradition in Bantu morphosyntax, which includes in-depth studies of particular languages (e.g. Mchombo 2004 for Chichewa), as well as comparative research on

specific construction types, such as, for example, applicative constructions (e.g. Ngonyani 1996, Bresnan and Moshi 1990, Pacchiarotti 2017). Edited volumes such as Mchombo (1993) or Downing et al. (2006) bring together papers on a variety of languages and construction types, analysed from a range of theoretical perspectives. With specific reference to Tanzanian Bantu languages, the ‘Languages of Tanzania’ (LoT) project, conducted at the University of Dar es Salaam from the early 2000s onwards, produced a range of grammatical and lexical materials in the form of published grammars and dictionaries (Muzale and Rugemalira 2008), as well as the Language Atlas of Tanzania (Languages of Tanzania Project 2009). However, until recently work on morphosyntax in Bantu has not been conducted within the context of large-scale comparison similar to lexical comparison.

It was only the increased availability of grammatical descriptions, as well as the emergence of well-documented key aspects of Bantu grammar, which has allowed researchers to approach Bantu morphosyntactic variation from a more systematic point of view. In an early paper, Marten et al. (2007) propose 19 descriptive parameters for comparing Bantu languages, and use this for the comparison of ten south-eastern Bantu languages. Subsequent work adopting a similar methodology has addressed a wider range of languages while at the same time refining the set of parameters for particular aspects of variation (e.g. Bax and Diercks 2012, Petzell and Hammarström 2013, Marten and van der Wal 2014, Zeller and Ngoboka 2015, Mtenje 2016, Chavula 2017, van der Wal 2017).

The present volume is embedded in this research tradition and develops it further. The project on which the papers in the volume are based is closely linked to a sister project hosted at SOAS, University of London, from 2014 to 2018: ‘Morphosyntactic variation in Bantu: typology, contact and change’. As part of this project, Guérois et al. (2017) develop a set of 142 parameters which underlie the materials in the volume. The ILCAA and the SOAS projects have collaborated closely over the past five years, as well as with the LoT project at the University of Dar es Salaam, the KongoKing project at Ghent University, and the Xhosa dialect project at the Universities of Gothenburg and Rhodes. The present volume is also a result of this successful international collaboration.

Materials such as those provided in the present volume are essential for progress in comparative Bantu: for our knowledge of the morphosyntax of individual languages, for charting the distribution and co-occurrence of individual features, and for large-scale typological and historical-comparative studies. By making these materials available to the public, the authors generously share the results of their work and support fellow researchers, colleagues and students of Bantu morphosyntactic variation. Through this, the volume will occupy an important place in the description and analysis of East African Bantu languages.

## Acknowledgements

Support from a Leverhulme Trust Grant (RPG-2014-208) for the project “Morphosyntactic variation in Bantu: typology, contact and change” is hereby gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- Bastin, Yvonne and Thilo C. Schadeberg (eds.) n.d. Bantu Lexical Reconstructions 3 (BLR3). Online resource [https://www.africamuseum.be/en/research/discover/human\\_sciences/culture\\_society/blr](https://www.africamuseum.be/en/research/discover/human_sciences/culture_society/blr), accessed 20 February 2019.
- Bax, Anna and Michael Diercks. 2012. Information structure constraints on object marking in Manyika. *Southern African Linguistics and Applied Language Sciences* 30(2): 185-202.
- Bleek, Wilhelm Heinrich Immanuel. 1862. *A Comparative Grammar of South African Languages*. London: Trübner & Co.
- Bresnan, Joan and Lioba Moshi. 1990. Object asymmetries in comparative Bantu syntax. *Linguistic Inquiry* 21: 147-185.
- Chavula, Jean Josephine. 2017. *Verbal derivation and valency in Citumbuka*. PhD dissertation, University of Leiden.
- CBOLD Comparative Bantu Online Dictionary. N.d. Online resource <http://www.cbold.ish-lyon.cnrs.fr/>, accessed 20 February 2019.
- Downing, Laura, Lutz Marten and Sabine Zerbian (eds.) 2006. *Papers in Bantu Grammar and Description, ZAS Papers in Linguistics 43*. Berlin: ZAS.
- Guérois, Rozenn, Hannah Gibson and Lutz Marten. 2017. *Parameters of Bantu morphosyntactic variation: Draft master list*. Alpha version, last amended 28 December 2017. Leverhulme Project ‘Morphosyntactic Variation in Bantu: Typology, contact and change’. SOAS, University of London.
- Guthrie, Malcolm, 1967-71. *Comparative Bantu*. 4 vols. Farnborough: Gregg.
- Languages of Tanzania Project. 2009. *Atlasi ya Lugha za Tanzania*. Dar es Salaam: Mradi wa Lugha za Tanzania, Chuo Kikuu cha Dar es Salaam.
- Marten, Lutz and Jenneke van der Wal. 2014. A typology of Bantu subject inversion. *Linguistic Variation* 14(2): 318-368.
- Marten, Lutz, Nancy C. Kula and Nhlanhla Thwala. 2007. Parameters of morphosyntactic variation in Bantu. *Transactions of the Philological Society* 105: 253-338.
- Mchombo, Sam A. 2004. *The Syntax of Chichewa*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mchombo, Sam A. (ed.) 1993. *Theoretical aspects of Bantu grammar*. Stanford, CA: CSLI.
- Meeussen, Achille E. 1980. *Bantu Lexical Reconstructions*. Tervuren: Archives d’Anthropologie 27.
- Meinhof, Carl. 1899. *Grundriß einer Lautlehre der Bantusprachen nebst Anleitung zur Aufnahme von Bantusprachen - Anhang: Verzeichnis von Bantuwortstämmen*. Leipzig: F.A. Brockhaus.

- Meinhof, Carl. 1906. *Grundzüge einer vergleichenden Grammatik der Bantusprachen*. Berlin: Reimer.
- Mtenje, Atikonda. 2016. *A comparative analysis of the Phonology and Morpho-syntax of Cisukwa, Cindali and Cilambya*. PhD dissertation, University of Cape Town.
- Muzale, Henry R.T. and Josephat M. Rugemalira. 2008. Researching and Documenting the Languages of Tanzania. *Language Documentation and Conservation* 2(1): 68-108.
- Ngonyani, Deo S. 1996. *The morphosyntax of applicatives (Bantu, Ndendeule, Swahili)*. Los Angeles: University of California.
- Pacchiarotti, Sara. 2017. Bantu applicative construction types involving \*-id: Form, functions and diachrony. PhD thesis, University of Oregon.
- Petzell, Malin and Harald Hammarström. 2013. Grammatical and lexical comparison of the Greater Ruvu Bantu languages. *Nordic Journal of African Studies* 22: 129-157.
- Van der Wal, Jenneke. 2017. What is the conjoint/disjoint alternation? Parameters of crosslinguistic variation. In Jenneke van der Wal and Larry M. Hyman (eds.), *The Bantu Conjoint/Disjoint Distinction*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton, 14-60.
- Zeller, Jochen and Jean Paul Ngoboka. 2015. On parametric variation in Bantu, with particular reference to Kinyarwanda. *Transactions of the Philological Society* 113(2): 206-231.

# Preface

This volume is a collection of descriptive data of twelve Bantu languages, namely Kikuyu (E51), Uru (E622D), Rombo (E623), Bende (F12), Inner & Normal Mbugu (G221), Makundichi (G43c), Nyoro (JE11), Ganda (JE15), Kerewe (JE24), Nyole (JE35), and Matengo (N13), obtained through fieldwork carried out by member researchers of ILCAA join-research project ‘Typological study of microvariation in Bantu (Phase 1)’ and is part of academic results of the project. We hereby acknowledge institutional support from ILCAA and to ILCAA’s core project of linguistics unit ‘Linguistic Dynamics Science 3 (LingDy 3)’ for financial support for the publication of this volume.

All the materials presented in this volume were collected from elicitation research with native speakers of each language based on the set of 142 parameters designated to capture the morphosyntactic microvariation of Bantu languages, i.e., Guérois, Rozenn, Hannah Gibson and Lutz Marten. 2017. Parameters of Bantu morphosyntactic variation: Draft master list (Alpha version). This book has two chapters; Chapter 1 shows the geographical distribution of the values of each parameter from the above 12 languages plus Lamba (M54). Chapter 2 presents the descriptive materials of each parameter, consisting of the value, examples, and additional notes where available.

We are thankful to all the contributors for their effort to compile the descriptive material of each language and to Yuka Makino for contributing the value information of Lamba. Also our sincere thanks go to Professor Lutz Marten and his team members of Leverhulme Project ‘Morphosyntactic Variation in Bantu: Typology, contact and change’ hosted in SOAS, for sharing the various occasions of collaboration, including ‘International Workshop on Bantu microvariation’ held at ILCAA in March 2017 - the foundation of this volume owes much to shared achievement at the workshop. Last but not least, we gratefully acknowledge Patricio Varela Almiron for his tireless support for editing this volume.

March 2019

The editors