

### Uru (E622D)<sup>†</sup>

Daisuke Shinagawa

P001 Shape of the augment: What is the shape of the augment?

V. n.a.

P002 Function of the augment: Does the augment fulfill a specific grammatical function?

V. n.a.

#### P003 Shape of class 5: What is the shape of the class 5 nominal prefix?

V. 2 V shape, possibly alternating with zero-marking

1σ: *i-* \*ré 'leaf'

2σ: *i-riso / riso* 'eye'

3σ: *i-tirîfa* 'window (borrowing)' etc.

N. In some words, CPx itself can be omitted (though forms with CPx are also grammatical, i.e. (near) free variation). However, at least in some cases, the presence/absence of CPx seems to be determined by syntactic conditions; CPx-less nouns must be realized with CPx in a VP complement position; kombe 'back' vs. ndʒiˈliwóːni ikombê: ljo 'I see that back'

#### P004 Number of noun classes: How many noun classes are there? (excluding locative classes)

V. 15 (subclasses not included), 18 (subclasses included)

cl.1 m-kekú ⁺ú-lja

CPx1-grand mother PPx1-DEM.F

'That grandmother (old woman)'

⁺wá-ljá cl.2 wa-kekú

> CPx2-grand mother PPx2-DEM.F

'Those grandmothers'

<sup>†</sup> The phonemic inventory of this language is as follows; /p, t, k, b, d, g; m, n, p,  $\eta$ ; f, v, s,  $\int$ , x, pf, ts,  $t\int$ ; r, r, l; j, w; a, e, j, o ,u/. Nasals show syllabic vs. non-syllabic contrast. Note, however, that the examples are provided in a broad phonetic description. Tone marking, where available, is given as surface realization; [á]: high, [a]: super high (upstepped high), [a]: downstepped high, [a]: middle, [a]: falling, [a]: rising. Abbreviations used in the gloss are as follows; 1, 2, 3 etc.: Class numbers, 1sg, 2pl etc.: Person + Singular/Plural, ANT: Anterior, APPL: Applicative, APx: Adjective Prefix, ASSC: Associative, CAUS: Causative, CF: Counter Factual, COM: TAM grammaticalized from 'come', COMP: Completive, CONS: Consecutive, CONT: Continuous, COP: Copula, CPx: Noun Class Prefix, DEM.F/M/N: Demonstrative Far/Middle/Near, FV: Final vowel (default inflectional suffix of verb), FUT(n): Future, HAB: Habitual, IMPF.P: Imperfective Past, INDPRO: Independent Pronoun, INF: Infinitive, NEG2: Secondary Negative (slot), NEGP: Negative particle, NOML: Nominalizer, OM: Object Marker, P.N.: Person name, POSS: Possessive pronoun, PPx: Pronominal Prefix, PRS: Present, PST(n): Past, SM: Subject Marker, STAT: Stative, SUBJ: Subjunctive, -: Affix boundary, =: Clitic boundary, ≠: Verb stem boundary.

CPx1a-grand father PPx1-DEM.F

'That grandfather'

CPx1-grand father PPx2-DEM.F

'Those grandfathers'

cl.3 m-ro  $f \dot{u}$ - $l \dot{j}$   $\dot{a}$ 

CPx3-head PPx3-DEM.F

'That head'

cl.4 m-ro i-j<sup>+</sup> $\acute{a}$ 

CPx4-head PPx4-DEM.F

'Those heads'

cl.5 i-mbérí <sup>†</sup>í-ljá

CPx5-feather PPx5-DEM.F

'That feather'

cl.6 ma-mbérí \*xá-ljá

CPx5-feather PPx5-DEM.F

'Those feathers'

cl.7 ki-mné \*kí-ljá

CPx7-feather PPx7-DEM.F

'That finger'

cl.8 si-mné +si-ljá

CPx8-feather PPx8-DEM.F

'Those fingers'

cl.9 m-bafú  $j^{\dagger} \dot{a}$ 

CPx9-breast PPx9.DEM.F

'That breast'

cl.10 m-bafu tsí-<sup>†</sup>ljá

CPx10-breast PPx10-DEM.F

'Those breasts'

cl.11 *o-limí* <sup>†</sup>lú-ljá

CPx11-tongue PPx11.DEM.F

'That tongue'

cl.10a ndz-o-limí tsí-<sup>†</sup>ljá

CPx10a-breastPPx10.DEM.F

'Those breasts'

cl.12 ká-ná \*ká-ljá

CPx12-mouth PPx12.DEM.F

'That mouth'

```
cl.14 fu-kité <sup>†</sup>fú-ljá
```

CPx14-dog PPx14.DEM.F

'That dog (derogative)'

cl.16 á-ńdú xa-ljá

CPx16-entity PPx16-DEM.F

'That (specific) place'

cl.17 kú-ndo ku-ljá

CPx17-entity PPx17-DEM.F

'That place'

### P005 Class for infinitive: Does the infinitive take a noun class prefix?

V. yes

i) ilă:la

i≠lál-a

CPx5≠sleep-FV

'to sleep'

ii) ndzikě:nda ilă:la

ndʒi≠ke-end-a i-lál-a

SM1sg-CONT≠go-FV CPx5-sleep-FV

'I am going to sleep'

N. As in many Chaga languages, the infinitive/gerund marker is CPx5 i-.

### P006 Class for diminutive: Is diminutive meaning expressed through the use of noun classes?

V. yes

i) kítſŏ:ndi

ki-tsondi

CPx7-sheep

'small sheep [sg]'

ii) sitsoindi

∫i-t∫ondi

CPx8-sheep

'small sheep [pl]'

Cf.-1 *ít/ŏ:ndi* 

i-tsondi

CPx5-sheep

'sheep [sg]'

mátſŏ:ndi

ma-tsondi

CPx6-sheep

'sheep [pl]'

Cf.-2 \*ka-tsondi

CPx12-sheep

N. Diminutive is shown by CPx replacement with CPx7/8. CPx12 is rarely used, or at least not used primarily, for derivation of diminutive nous (*kaka(:)na* 'small mouth' derived from *ka(:)na* 'CPx12-mouth' is attested but *kika(:)na* 'small mouth' is preferred).

## P007 Class for augmentative: Is augmentative meaning expressed through the use of noun classes?

V. yes

i) inde:he

i-N-dehe

CPx5-CPx9-bird

'big bird [sg]'

Cf. kínde:he

ki-N-dehe

CPx7-CPx9-bird

'bird [sg]'

ii) fút/ŏ:ndi

fu-tsondi

CPx3/14-sheep

'big sheep [sg]'

iii) mátſŏ:ndi

ma-tsondi

CPx6-sheep

'big sheep [pl]'

Cf. ít/ŏ:ndi

i-tsondi

CPx5-sheep

'sheep [sg]'

N. Augmentative nouns are derived by CPx replacement with CPx5/6. Cl.5 nouns (as its default class) replace its CPx with fu- and follow cl.3 agreement system, though the form of CPx itself is rather seen as a relic of CPx14 (PB \*bv-), which is scarcely used (at least not attested so far) as a default noun class synchronically.

P008 Noun class prefix omission: Is it possible to omit the noun class prefix when class membership is marked through agreement (on a modifier or on the verb)?

V. no

N. In cl.4 nouns, its (original) CPx is totally lost and the form is identical to that of cl.3 (i.e., in isolation forms, there is no formal distinction between them). e.g.; if  $\tilde{u}$  ni  $\dot{m}^{\dagger}r\dot{o}$  'This is a head' vs.  $i\tilde{l}$  ni  $\dot{m}^{\dagger}r\dot{o}$  'These are heads', mro  $f\dot{o}$  'that head' vs. mro  $f\dot{o}$  'those heads', etc. For cl.5, see (2).

### P009 Locative class prefixation: Can a noun take a locative class prefix?

V. yes

i) ándu

a-ndu

CPx16-entity

'(the) place' [cf. undifferentiated spot (Contini-Morava 1976: 142)]'

ii) kúndo

ku-ndu

CPx17-entity

- '(a) place [cf. left unspecified (Contini-Morava 1976: 142)]'
- N. CPxs 16 and 17, *ha* and *ku* respectively, are neither productively used with other stems (practically those CPxs are used only with the stem *ndu*) nor used as derivational prefixes. However, locative nouns (productively derived by suffixation of *-ini*) always follow cl.16/17 agreement. cl.18 is missing.

### P010 Locative class suffixation: Can a noun take a locative suffix?

V. yes

kirundion kűoré mě:sa ki-rundio-ini ku-Ø≠or-ie Ø-mesa

CPx7-work(n)-LOC SM17-PRS≠hold-STAT CPx9-table

'There is a table in a/the work place (Lit: A/The workplace has a table)'

(The noun stem *-ṛundio* 'work' shall further be analyzable as *-ṛund-i-o*, where *-ṛund*: 'work (v)', *-i*: 'APPL', *-o*: 'NOMINALIZER')

N. The form may be identified as *-ipi* as in other Kilimanjaro languages and final *-i* is normally unpronounced.

### P011 Locative subject markers: Are there locative subject markers on the verb?

V. yes

i) *andu haljá hűlefâ:na* a-ndu ha-lja ha-le≠fan-a

CPx16-entity PPx16-DEM.FSM16-PST1≠be dirty-FV

'That place was dirty'

ii) kundo kuljá kűlefâ:na
 ku-ndu ku-lja ku-le≠fan-a
 CPx17-entity PPx17-DEM.FSM17-PST1≠be dirty-FV

'That place was dirty'

### P012 Locative object markers: Are there locative pre-stem object markers on the verb?

V. yes

i) ndzíhaītſī

ndʒi-Ø-ha≠itʃi

SM1sg-PRS-OM16≠know

'I know there/ I know the place'

ku-lja n ndʒi-Ø-ku≠itʃĭ

PPx17-DEM.F COP SM1sg-PRS-OM17#know

'That place, I know'

### P013 Locative enclitics: Are there locative post-verbal clitics?

V. no

N. Demonstrative pronouns (Middle and Near?) are sometimes used like a postverbal clitic (i.e., enclitic), such as;

ndʒî:tʃī: xō

ndʒi-Ø≠it∫(-)i#ho

SM1sg-PRS\u00e4know\u00e4DEM.M.16

'I know the place (where you mentioned)'

### P014 Independent subject pronouns: Are independent subject pronouns obligatory?

V. no

## P015 Connective constructions: Is the order of connective constructions always head -connective - modifier?

V. yes

i) *ikiṛi ljá mmě:ku* i-kiri li-a m-meku

CPx5-tree PPx5-ASSC CPx1-elder

'An elder's tree'

ii) kundo ko mrî:ke (~mri:ke)

ku-ndu ku-a m-rike

CPx17-entity PPx17-ASSC CPx3-warm

'A warm place'

## P016 Possessive pronouns: Are there distinct possessive pronominal forms for all noun classes and all speech act participants?

V. no

1sg) íkarí ljā:kō

i-kari li-ako

CPx5-car PPx5-POSS1sg

'my car'

2sg) íkarí ljā:pfō (~ljǎ:pfo)

i-kari li-apfo

CPx5-car PPx5-POSS2sg

'your [sg] car'

3sg) íkarí ljā:kē

i-kari li-ake

CPx5-car PPx5-POSS3sg

'his/her car'

1pl) *íkarí ljā:ṛū* 

i-kaṛi i-aṛu

CPx5-car PPx5-POSS1pl

'our car'

2pl) íkarí ljā:nū

i-kari li-anu

CPx5-car PPx5-POSS2pl

'your [pl] car'

3pl) *íkaṛí ljā:wō* 

i-kari li-awo

CPx5-car PPx5-POSS3pl

'their car'

## P017 Possessive pronoun agreement: Do the possessive pronouns agree in noun class with the possessed?

V. yes

See the examples in P016

P018 Kinds of possession: Are there specific possessive pronominal forms for different kinds of possession?

V. no

### P019 Possessor raising: Is possessor raising possible?

V. null

## P020 Demonstrative morphology: Are there morphological divisions in the system of demonstratives? (e.g. in terms of spatial and temporal deixis and/or visibility)

V. 2 yes, there is a three-way distinction

Near: andu he:ha

a-ndu ha iha

CPx16-entity PPx16 DEM.N-PPx16

'The place (we are talking about) is this'

Middle: andu he:xo

a-ndu ha ixo

CPx16-entity PPx16 DEM.M-PPx16

'The place (we are talking about) is that'

Far: andu ha:lja

a-ndu ha ha-lja

CPx16-entity PPx16 PPx16-DEM.F

'The place (we are talking about) is that over there'

Cf. (A shortened form of DEM.N (identical with PPx), which is agreed with a subject, can be used as a copula in this language.)

### P021 Demonstrative agreement: Do the demonstratives agree in noun class with the head noun?

V. 1 yes, always

See the examples in P020

## P022 Functions of demonstratives: In addition to spatial-deictic functions, do the demonstrative pronouns assume other functions?

V. yes

N. The far demonstrative pronouns often behave like a relative pronoun (but it's optional. Essential part of the relative construction is deletion of a verb initial high tone). See examples in parameters related to relative constructions.

### P023 Lexical adjectives: Are there lexical adjectives? (i.e. which are not syntactically complex)

V. yes

Cl.1: mndu mbi:tso

m-ndu#m-wit∫o

CPx1-entity#APx1-bad

'a bad person'

Cl.2: wandu wawi:t/o

wa-ndu wa-witʃo

CPx2-entity APx2-bad

'bad people'

Cl.3: mro mbi:tfo

m-ro m-witso

CPx3-head APx3-bad

'a bad head'

Cl.4 (1): mro iwi:tfo

m-ro i-witso

CPx4-head APx4-bad

'bad heads'

m-ro nd3i-wit∫o

CPx4-head APx9-bad

'bad heads'

Cl.5: riso liwi:tfo

Ø-riso li-witso

CPx5-eye APx5-bad

'a bad eye'

Cl.6: miso mawi:tfo

miso ma-witso

CPx6.eye APx6-bad

'bad eyes'

Cl.7: kítimá kiwî:tfo

ki-tima ki-witso

CPx7-chair APx7-bad

'a bad chair'

Cl.8: fitimá fiwî:tfo

ſi-tima ſi-witſo

CPx8-chair APx5-bad

'bad chairs'

Cl.9: mbá ndziwi:tfo (~ndziwi:tfo)

N-ba ndzi-witso

CPx9-house APx9-bad

'a bad house (family)'

Cl.10: mbá tűndziwi:tfo

N-ba ti-nd3i-witso

CPx10-house APx10-APx9-bad

'bad houses (families)'

Cl.11: otfå luwî:tfo
o-tʃa lu-witʃo

CPx11-nail APx11-bad

'a bad nail'

Cl.12: kána kawî:tfo

ka-na ka-witso

CPx12-mouth APx12-bad

'a bad mouth'

Cl.16: andu hawî:tfo

ha-ndu ha-witso

CPx16-entity APx16-bad

'a bad place'

Cl.17: kundo kuwî:t/o

ku-ndu ku-witso

CPx17-entity APx17-bad

'a bad place'

N. Morphologically genuine adjectives that take APx showing agreement with a head noun are attested, which include -itfa 'good', -witfo 'bad' etc. More extensive data are needed.

## P024 Adnominal concord prefixes: Are there different forms/paradigms for adnominal concord prefixes?

V. yes

See the examples in P023

N. Basically identical but (minor) formal differences or mismatches are found in following classes; [cl.4] CPx *m*- (i.e., identical to CPx3. mi- prefix is not attested in this language) vs. APx *i*- (or APx *nd3i*- of cl.9 can also be used instead), [cl.9] CPx Ø- or N- (or ts-?) vs. APx *nd3i*-, [cl.10] CPx Ø- or N- or ts- vs. APx *ti-nd3i*- (double marking), [cl.11] CPx o- vs. APx *lu*-, [cl.16] CPx a- vs. APx *ha*- (almost identical).

### P025 Attributive linkers: Are there attributive linkers which are used to introduce adjectives?

V no

See the example (of a warm place) in P015

N. Adjectival notions can be expressed by NP + ASSC + NP (adjectival notion) (see P015). However, adjectives themselves are not appeared immediately after an attributive linker.

P026 \*-yánà compounding: Is a form related to \*-yánà 'child' productively used in word formation as the first member of a nominal compound (e.g. to express diminutive or group membership...)?

V. no

N. It seems that a nominal form mndu 'person' is used as a head element of compound (like) nouns or noun phrases rather than \*-yána, e.g. mndu mā:ngī 'chief (Sw. mfalme)', mndu mtſi.ri 'messenger' etc. See also mndu mka 'woman (Sw. mwanamke)', mndu mmi 'man (Sw. mwanamme)'.

P027 Nominal derivational suffixes: Does the language productively use nominal derivational suffixes for the expression of diminutive meanings or feminine/augmentative/qualitative meanings? (e.g. expressed by forms similar to -yánà and kazi?)

V. null

P028 Agentive suffix -i : Does suffixation of the agentive marker -i occur as a verb-to-noun derivational process (possibly in addition to classes 1/2 prefixes)?

V. yes

mkŏ:ri

m-kor-i

CPx1-cook-AGT

'a cook'

(*mkorâ:ki* is also attested)

N. Agentive suffix -i is attested but its productivity is still unclear.

P029 Derivational suffix -o: Does the suffixation of -o occur as a verb-to-noun derivational process (with sometimes the addition of the applicative extension)?

V. yes

i) máwi:o

ma-wi-o

CPx6-tell-NOM

'explanation (Sw. maelezo)' cf. i-wi-a 'to tell'

ii) kirundío

ki-rund-i-o

CPx7-work-APPL-NOML

N. Deverbal nouns derived by suffix -o are attested but its morphological productivity is still unclear.

### P030 Cardinal numerals: Does the formation of cardinal numerals below ten obey a pattern?

V. no

The forms shown below are isolated ones. These are used with numeral prefixes (EPxs) when used as numeral adjectives. (tone omitted)

'one': limu {li-(i)mu} EPx5-one

'two': kawi {ka-wi} EPx12-two

'three': kararu {ka-raru} EPx12-three 'four': ka:na {ka-ana} EPx12-four

```
'five':
          katano {ka-tano} EPx12-five
'six':
          si:ta {Ø-sita} EPx9-six (borrowed from Swahili)
          sa:ba {Ø-saba} EPx9-seven (borrowed from Swahili)
'seven':
'eight':
          na:ne {Ø-nane} EPx9-eight (borrowed from Swahili)
'nine':
          ti:sa {Ø-tisa} EPx9-nine (borrowed from Swahili)
'ten':
          ku:mi or iku:mi {Ø/i-kumi} EPx9/EPx5-ten (borrowed from Swahili)
P031 'arm' and 'hand': Is there a single stem that denotes both 'arm' and 'hand'?
V. yes
i) ówóko
   o-woko
   CPx11-hand
   'hand [sg] (including 'arm' and 'fingers')'
ii) má*wóko
   ma-woko
   CPx6-hand
   'hand [pl] (including 'arm' and 'fingers')'
Cf.-1 kítă:wa
       ki-tawa
      CPx7-palm
       'palm [sg]'
      ſită:wa
      ſi-tawa
      CPx8-palm
       'palm [pl]'
Cf.-2 mkŏ:so
      m-koso
       CPx3/4-arm
       'arm [sg/pl]'
N. ówóko is a cover term for 'hand' and 'arm' (and 'fingers' as well), but there are specific terms for
   referring to each of them as illustrated in the above examples.
P032 'hand' and 'finger': Is there a single stem that denotes both 'hand' and 'finger'?
V. yes
Cf. kimne/ simne
   ki-mne/si-mne
   CPx7-funger/ CPx8-finger
   'finger [sg]/ -[pl]'
```

```
P033 'leg' and 'foot': Is there a single stem that denotes both 'leg' and 'foot'?

V. yes

óṛĕːnde / máṛĕːnde

o-ṛende/ ma-ṛende

CPx11-leg/ CPx6-leg
'leg~foot [sg]/ - [pl]'

Cf. oái/ ndʒoái

Ø-oai/ ndʒi-oai

CPx9-foot/ CPx10-foot
```

(More precisely CPx10 would be analyzed as  $\{n-tsi-\}$ , i.e., tsi- is a real CPx for 10 which is attached to by n-, which may possibly be a remnant of (or fossilized) FOC.)

N. *óṛeːnde* is a cover term referring to both leg and foot, while there is a specific word for foot, *oái*.

```
P034 'tea': Is the word for 'tea' similar to cha?
V. yes
```

tſái

Ø-tſai

CPx9/10-tea

'foot [sg]/ [pl]'

'tea [sg/pl]'

P035 Inchoative verbs: Is there a group of verbs expressing qualities or states which are lexically inchoative and are thus typically used with a perfect/perfective verb form to express a present state? (e.g. fall asleep, be full, be late, be dirty, etc)

V. yes

N. There is a group of verbs that can take suffix -i, which is historically thought to be a perfect suffix \*-i (a regional variant of \*-ide). Since the suffix cannot be attached to typical active verbs and those which can be attached by -i normally express meanings with high stativity, they can be tentatively called stative verbs, which include won 'see' and orok 'stand' as illustrated in the examples. The verb stem -lele is also regarded as a stative verb but this is a fossilized stem formed through so-called imbrication process, i.e., \*lali-ide > (imbrication) > la-ilie > (vowel fusion) > lele. Adjectival use of this type of stems, which is found in Rombo (E623), may be attested. More investigation needed.

P036 Canonical passive: Is the canonical passive productively expressed through a verbal extension?

V. yes

- i) ndzílekâ:po
  - nd3i-le≠kap-w-a

SM1sg-PST2#hit-PASS-FV

'I was hit'

Cf. nd3ílem ká:pa

ndʒi-le-m≠kap-a

SM1sg-PST1-OM1≠hit-FV

'I hit him'

ii) lúlékû:ndo

lu-le≠kund-w-a

SM1pl-PST1≠love-PASS-FV

'We were loved'

Cf. wálelú kû:nda

wa-le-lu≠kund-a

SM2-PST1-OM1pl≠love-a

'They loved us/ We were loved'

N. Passive morphology, i.e. suffixation of -w, is productively used in this language, even in stative sentences. Note that /wa/ sequence is realized as /o/ by a consistent phonological rule.

## P037 'Impersonal' passive: Can an 'impersonal' construction be used to express passive meaning?

V. 1 yes, using a class 2 SM, without the expression of an agent noun phrase

See the examples in P036

N. Stative passive can be expressed by an impersonal construction, in which cl.2 SM is always used.

### P038 Agent noun phrase: How is the agent noun phrase (when present) introduced?

V. 5 there is no overt marker used to introduce the agent noun phrase

i) lúkeowê:ſo na mā:ŋgī lu-ke≠oweſ-w-a na maŋgi SM1pl-CONT≠frighten-PASS-FV with 1.chief

'We are frightened by the chief'

ii) lúkeowê:fo m mâ:ngí lu-ke≠owe∫-w-a ni Ø-mangi SM1pl-CONT≠frighten-PASS-FV COP 1.chief

'We are frightened by the chief'

iii) \*lúkeowê:∫o kwa mā:ŋgī lu-ke≠owe∫-w-a kwa maŋgi SM1pl-CONT≠frighten-PASS-FV 17.ASSC 1.chief N. As illustrated in the examples above, an agent NP in passive structure can be introduced by i) preposition *na* or ii) COP. iii) *kwa* is not used for the function. It seems not allowed to introduce a bare agent NP in CAUS-PASS construction, which is possible, for example, in Rwa [E621].

### P039 Bare agent: Can the preposition/copula which introduces the agent be omitted?

V. no

See the examples in P038

N. See notes in P038

### P040 Reciprocal/associative: How is reciprocal/associative meaning expressed?

- V. 1 through the use of a verbal affix only
- i) lúlesuíana

lu-le≠sui-an-a

SM1pl-PST1≠hate-RECIP-FV

'We hated each other'

ii) lúkundan

lu-Ø≠kund-an-i

SM1pl-PRS≠love-RECIP-FV

'We love each other'

N. Reciprocal marker -an is used productively.

## P041 Other functions for -an-: Does the suffix -an- (or similar form) have functions other than reciprocal (e.g. antipassive function)?

V. null

See the examples in P040

### P042 Causative: How is causative meaning expressed?

V. 3 both 1 (through the use of verbal affixation only) and 2 (through the use of a specific construction only (i.e. the use of a periphrastic construction))?\*

i) násembera

ni=a-Ø≠sember-a

FOC=SM1-ANT-PRS\u00e9move-FV

'S/he has moved'

(Most probably the final VC of the stem, -er, can be seen as an archaic causative marker, but synchronically this verb stem is used as intransitive as well as transitive.

ii) násembera

kítĭ:ma

ni=a-Ø≠sember-a

ki-tima

FOC=SM1-ANT-PRS\u00e9move-FV CPx7-chair

'S/he has moved a chair'

```
iii) ndzilemsēmbērā
```

ndʒi-le-m≠sembeṛ-a

SM1sg-PST-OM1sg≠move-FV

'I moved him/her, I forced him/her to move'

iv) ndzílemsēmbērēā

ndʒi-le-ṃ≠sembeṛ-i-a

SM1sg-PST-OM1sg≠move-APPL-FV

'I moved (something) on his/her behalf, I forced him/her to move'

v) ngámbutía

ηga-m≠wut-i-a

SM1sg.ANT-OM1sg≠act-APPL-FV

'I have acted on his/her behalf (Sw. nimemtendea) or I have made him/her act (Sw. nimemtendesha)'. (N.B. \*i\neq(w?)ut-i\nathfa-a/ \* i\neq(w?)ut-i\nathfa-a)

vi) lúlemtsindíkia

akaénda

lu-le-m≠tsindiki-a

a-ka≠end-a

SM1pl-PST1-OM1\neq force-FVSM1-CONS\neq go-FV

'We made him/her go'

N. Basically, it seems this language has a clear tendency to avoid the causative morphology. A number of verbs, including basic verbs, simply disallow suffixation of a causative suffix; \*i-rund-if-a < i-rund-a 'to work', \*i-rem-if-a < i-rem-a 'to cultivate', \*i-map-if-a < i-map-a 'to (get to) know, to understand' etc. Hence, it can be predicted that there may be a certain number of ergative verbs such as in i) and ii). On causation of transitive verbs, it is partly confirmed that the applicative construction covers the function of causative, i.e., introducing an animate causee in a clause, as in iv) and v). Details of these issues (expressions of valency, interrelation between applicative and causative etc.) should be investigated further. One of the analytic constructions of expressing causation is causative verb+ ka- form (presumably causative verb+ subjunctive form is also possible).

### P043 Instrumental causative: Can the causative extension be used to introduce prototypical instruments?

V. no

ndʒikĕ:lja kjéljá na kilî:ko ndʒi-ke≠li-a ki-elja na ki-liko

SM1sg-CONT=eat-FV CPx7-foodwith CPx7-spoon

'I'm eating food with a spoon'

Cf-1 ndʒikě:lia kjeljá kilikón ndʒi-ke≠li-i-a ki-elya ki-liko-n

SM1sg-CONT≠eat-APPL-FVCPx7-foodCPx7-spoon-LOC

'I'm eating food on a spoon (e.g. with the mouth put on a spoon)'

Cf-2 \*ndʒíkě:lia kjeljá kilî:ko

Intd: 'I'm eating food with a spoon'

N. An instrumental argument shall be introduced by preposition *na* and neither causative nor applicative constructions can be used. If the applicative construction is used in this context, according to a consultant, an intended instrument must be interpreted as a locative argument (as in Cf-1).

### P044 Applicative: How are applicative constructions formed?

V. 1 through the use of a verbal affix only

nálendzikoria kje:ljá

ni=a-le-ndzi≠kor-i-a ki-elja

FOC=SM1-PST1-OM1sg≠cook-APPL-FV CPx7-food

'S/he cooked food for me'

N. Applicative is marked by the prefix *i*-.

## P045 Applicative functions: In addition to the benefactive meaning typically associated with the applicative complement, do applicative constructions convey other meanings?

V. yes

náleakoriá kje:ljá aljá f $\hat{u}$ :le pi=a-le-a $\neq$ koṛ-i-a ki-elja a-lja Ø-ſule

FOC=SM1-PST1-OM16≠cook-APPL-FV CPx7-foodPPx16-DEM.F CPx9-school

'S/he cooked food in that school'

Cf. \*nalekikoṛia kja:ndu ni=a-le-ki≠koṛ-i-a ki-andu FOC=SM1-PST1-OM7≠cook-APPL-FV CPx7-knife

Intd: S/he cooked with a knife

N. Besides benefactive, this language seemingly only allows locative arguments in the applicative construction. As in the example and as mentioned in P045, instrumental arguments are out of coverage of applicative constructions in this language.

## P046 Multiple applicative extensions: Can two (or more) applicative extensions be productively used in the same verb form?

V. null

### P047 Neuter/stative: Is there a productive neuter/stative extension?

V. yes, but not so productive

## P048 Order of suffixes: Is there a specific order in which multiple productive verbal extensions typically appear?

V. null 1 (yes, causative-applicative-reciprocal-passive (CARP) order) +2 (the order reflects the order of the derivation)

N. Basically it seems that the order of derivational suffixes follows the so-called CARP order (precisely C/NARP). However, there may be the cases where the derivational cycle influences the canonical order of suffixes (As in Swahiili, -pig-an(R)-ish(C)-a make (someone) hit each other). More investigation needed.

## P049 Negation in independent tenses: What are the formal means of expressing negation in independent tenses?

V. 4 multiple strategies

```
i) wě : n tfi mremř: pfo
we : ni tfi m-rem-i pfo
INDPRO.1sg NEG.COP CPx1-farm-NOML NEG
```

'You are not a farmer'

Cf-1 wě:ni mṛrě:mi

we:ni m-rem-i

INDPRO.1sg CPx1-farm(v)-NOML

'You are a farmer'

Cf-2 wě: ý tʃì mrě: mí? we: pi tʃi m-rem-i

INDPRO.1sg NEG.COP CPx1-farm(v)-NOML

'You are not a farmer, are you?'

ii) ndʒĭ:t/i: pfó

ndʒi-Ø≠itʃi pfo

SM1sg-PRS≠know NEG

'I don't know'

Cf-1 ndʒî:tʃi

ndʒi-Ø≠itʃi

 $SM1sg-PRS \neq know$ 

'I know'

Cf-2 ndʒî:tfi: pfō

ndʒi-Ø≠itʃi pfo

SM1sg-PRS\neq know DEM.M.17

'I know there'

iii) ndzilékapâ: pfo

ndʒi-le≠kap-a pfo

SM1sg-PST#hit-FV NEG

'I didn't hit'

ndʒi-le≠kap-a SM1sg-PST≠hit-FV 'I hit' iv) luláēndē lu-la≠end-e SM1pl-SUBJ.NEG≠go-SUBJ 'We shall not go, Let us not go' Cf. luén dé lu≠end-e SM1pl#go-SUBJ 'We shall go, Let us go' v) kularî:tʃa, kutſélelō: pfō ku-la≠rit∫-a ku-t∫i≠elelo pfo SM2sg-NEG.SUB≠run-FV SM2sg-FUT2≠breathe **NEG** 'If you don't run, you will not breathe (deeply)' Cf. kori:t/á, kűtſĕ:lē:lō ku-a≠rit∫-a ku-t∫i≠elelo SM2sg-ANT≠run-FV SM2sg-FUT2≠breathe 'If you run, you will breathe (deeply)' vi) ká nkwelérī:tſā, kwetfélelō: pfō ku-e-t∫i≠elelo ka ni=ku-e-le≠rit∫-a pfo if FOC=SM2sg-CF-NEG.SUB≠run-FVSM2sg-CF-FUT2≠breathe NEG 'If you hadn't run, you would not have breathed (deeply)' Cf. ká ńkwerî:tʃa, kwétfelē:lō ku-e-t∫i≠elelo ka ni=ku-e≠rit∫-a if FOC=SM2sg-CF≠run-FVSM2sg-CF-FUT2≠breathe 'If you had run, you would have breathed (deeply)' vii) kitapú ļlaléū:ŗā ṇ-la-le≠uṛ-a ki-tapu SM1sg(non-FOC form)-NEG.SUBJ-PST1\neq buy-FV 'A book which I didn't buy' Cf-1 kitapú ndʒileúṛá ki kítſa: kjó ndʒi-le≠uṛ-a ki ki-tſa ki-tapu kjo SM1sg-PST1≠buy-FV DEM.M.7 CPx7-book SM7 APx7-good 'A book I bought isn't good' Cf-2 kitapú alalekí † û:ra ki-tapu a-la-le-ki≠uṛ-a

Cf. ndzílekâ:pa

SM1-NEG.SUBJ-PST1-OM7≠buy-FV

CPx7-book

'A book which s/he didn't buy'

N. There are four forms for marking negative in this language; 1) t/i as a negative copular (i), 2) clause final particle pfo as a negative marker for default sentences (i.e., independent indicative affirmative clauses, (i-iii)), 3) prestem prefix la-/le- as a negative marker for subjunctive (iv), conditional (v) relative clauses and (vi) counter-factual construction. At least in default sentences, negative is also manifested by a specific tonal pattern, which lacks a clause-initial high tone that is a shifted realization of the high tone lexically assigned to Focus/Assertive marker pi, which may well be a lexical cognate of an affirmative copula and in many cases segmentally dropped. In equative sentences, negative is also marked by pfo (or  $ni \sim n$ ) where the subject is 1sg), i.e., doubly marked, but not tonally modified as illustrated in (i). About a negative particle, it should be noted that the form can be grammatically agreed with the class affiliation of the subject NP. For example in sentences with 1sg subject, not only pfo (as a default) but  $pi \sim pi$ , which is a shortened form of independent pronoun, can appear as a negative marker. In other examples like in (vii), a negative particle can be a shortened form of demonstrative pronouns (of middle). We need more investigation for checking the grammaticality of such a kind of alternation. It should be also noted that the subjunctive, conditional and relative clauses are identically marked by the same morpheme la-. Hence it can be said that these three constructions are morphosyntactically grouped into one and the same category, at least under the context of negation. Similar phenomena, i.e., the grouping of these kinds of constructions into one category, are attested in other Kilimanjaro languages (e.g. Rombo) as well as in other groups of Eastern Bantu languages (e.g. in G30 languages, p.c. Malin Petzel). This kind of grouping phenomena may be based on semantic or information-structural nature of out-of-assertion-ness shared by those constructions (cf. Dalgish 1979 lacking the possibility of an assertion contrast). This language deals with the counter-factual construction slightly differently from the above-mentioned group of constructions. It is marked by a prestem prefix but its form is le-. Though there is a difference in form, it could be understood that le- forms share the same semantic and structural characteristics with the constructions whose negative is marked by la-.

## P050 Negation in dependent tenses: What are the formal means of expressing negation in dependent tenses?

V. 2 by a particle

See the examples in P049

N. Since there seems no tonal contrast in dependent (in this case, constructions lucking an assertion contrast mentioned above) clauses, negative in these construction is marked solely by morphological modification. Regardless of polarity, subjunctives follow its own tonal pattern (lucking an initial high tone but with a final high tone assigned to the subjunctive marker -e), while conditional, counter-factual and relative constructions basically follow the NTP irrelevantly of the polarity.

## P051 Negation in relative clauses: Is negation in relative clauses expressed in the same way as in another clause type?

V. 2 yes, as in independent tenses

See the examples in P049

N. See notes in P049 and P050

### P052 Place of negation in independent tenses: Where is negation expressed in independent tenses?

V. 8 two (or more) of the strategies above (7 in a post-verbal independent negative particle only + tonal marking)

See the examples in P049

N. See notes in P049

### P053 Place of negation in dependent tenses: Where is negation expressed in dependent tenses?

V. 2 in the post-initial position only? (SM-NEG-...)

See the examples in P049 and P050

N. See notes in P049 and P050

## P054 Number of negation markers in independent tenses: How many markers of negation are there in independent tenses?

V. 2 optional double marking in the clause (including tone marking)

See the examples in P049

N. Though it is not fully confirmed, it may be structurally possible to express negation without a sentence-final negative particle, i.e. solely by tone. Note that the sentence-final negative particles are isomorphic with (shortened forms of) demonstrative pronouns including default *pfo*, which is segmentally identical to shortened form of DEM. N.17, or a copular (limitedly in 1sg). This means that, at least in former cases, segmentally homonymic phrases are easily produced and they are actually attested; e.g. *ndʒî:tfi: pfō* 'I know there' (*pfo* as a locative demonstrative pronoun) vs. *ndʒī:tfi: pfō* 'I don't know' (*pfo* as a negative particle), shown in the example (ii) in P049. At least in this case, an essential part of negative expression is not a negative particle but tone marking.

## P055 Number of negation markers in dependent tenses: How many markers of negation are there in dependent tenses?

V. 1 a single marker in the clause

See the examples in P050

N. See notes in P050

## P056 Independent negative particle: Is there an independent negative particle used to express negation?

V. 1 yes, it is obligatorily present in addition to verb marking (including tone)

## P057 First person singular negative: Is there a specific first person singular negative subject prefix which is different from the affirmative one?

V. 1 no (not limited to 1sg)

i) kitapú ļlaléū:ṛā

ki-tapu ņ-la-le≠uṛ-a

CPx7-book SM1sg.NEG?-NEG.SUBJ-PST1≠buy-FV

'A book which I didn't buy'

Cf. ndzíleúra kitâ:pu

ndʒi-le≠uṛ-a ki-tapu

SM1sg-PST1≠buy-FV CPx7-book

'I bought a book'

ii) ndziléuṛá kitapû: pfo

ndzi-le≠uṛ-a ki-tapu pfo

SM1sg-PST1≠buy-FV CPx7-book NEG

'I didn't buy a book'

iii) ndziléuṛá kitapû: nˈ(i)

ndʒi-le≠uṛ-a ki-tapu ni

SM1sg-PST1\u2225buy-FV CPx7-book NEG (<a shortened form of 1sg indipendent pronoun)

'I didn't buy a book'

\*kuléuṛá kitapû: ɲ(i)

ku-le≠uṛ-a ki-tapu ni

SM2sg-PST1≠buy-FV CPx7-book NEG (<a shortened form of 1sg indipendent pronoun)

'You didn't buy a book'

iv) kuléuṛá kitapû: pfo

ku-le≠ur-a ki-tapu pfo

SM2sg-PST1≠buy-FV CPx7-book NEG

'You didn't buy a book'

N. Though this language doesn't have a negative series of SMs or a pre-initial negative marker, there seems to be two different forms of SMs: one is with a focus marker and the other is without the marker, which is *ni* or homorganic nasal (as a shortened form of *ni*) with a high tone. Although the formal distinction is not always clear at least segmentally, the contrast is clearly seen in the cl.1 SM; *na-* (*na*) vs. *a-*. The example of 1sg SMs shown above is apparently not suited for this morphological explanation, but this is still considered to be the case of focus vs. non-focus contrast (i.e., not like a formal contrast between \**ni* 'it is' vs \**ti* 'it is not' in Meeussen (1967)'s predicative indexes). See also the examples of affirmative vs. negative distinction of copular forms in P102.

P058 Negative imperative: Is there a negative imperative which is formally distinct from the negative subjunctive?

V. no

P059 Periphrastic negation: Is negation in certain tense/aspect/moods expressed by means of a periphrastic form (e.g. making use of an auxiliary construction or a verb like 'refuse/deny' for instance)? (see (49))

V. no

#### P060 Subject-verb agreement: Is there subject-verb agreement?

V. yes

1sg: ndzíṛāː ngúō: jō 'I will wear the clothes'

2sg: kúiṛāː ŋgúōː jō 'You [sg] will wear the clothes'

1pl: lúirā: ngúō: jō 'We will wear the clothes'

2pl: mwiṛāː ŋgúō: jō 'You [pl] will wear the clothes'

cl.1: náeṛāː ŋgúō: jō 'S/he will wear the clothes'

cl.2: wáeṛāː ŋgúō: jō 'They will wear the clothes'

cl.3: mro fó fólo:ka 'The head has fallen'

cl.4: mro jó jólo:ka 'The heads have fallen'

cl.5: risó: \*ljó lólo:ka 'The eye has fallen'

cl.6: misó: !xó xólo:ka The eyes have fallen

cl.7: kisea kjó nkjőlo:ka 'The hip has fallen (SM itself is ki)'

cl.8: *fisea fó nſjőlo:ka* 'The hips have fallen (SM itself is *fi*)'

cl.9: mbúó jó jólo:ka 'The nose has fallen'

cl.10: ndzuí \*tsó tsólo:ka 'The hairs have fallen'

cl.11: otſuí \*ló lólo:ka 'The hair has fallen'

cl.12: káná: ko kólo:ka 'The mouth has fallen'

cl.15: kuru ljó ljólo:ka 'The ear has fallen'

for cl.16 and 17, see examples in P010

## P061 Animate agreement: Can animate nouns show subject agreement with class 1/2 regardless of class membership? (without class shift, e.g. the addition of a diminutive class)

V. no

kíte kjárĭ:tʃa

ki-te ki-a≠rit∫-a

CPx7-dog SM7-ANT≠run-FV

'A dog has run away'

\*ki-te n(-)a-a≠rit∫-a

CPx7-dog SM1-ANT≠run-FV

### P062 1st and 2nd person plurals: Are first person plural and second person plural subject prefixes identical?

V. no

See the examples in P060

### P063 Honorific plural: Can plural persons be used to express a honorific singular?

V. no

cf.

ma:ŋgi nálelwă:kańna

Ø-mangi n(-)a-a-le-lu≠akann-a

SM1-ANT-PST-OM1pl≠help-FV CPx1a-king

'The king helped us'

Cf.

\*Ø-maŋgi mu-a-le-lu≠akann-a

SM2pl-ANT-PST-OM1pl≠help-FV CPx1a-king

### P064 Coordinated nominals: What subject agreement does the verb show with coordinated nominals?

- V. 2 the verb always shows agreement with the whole noun phrase (this includes default agreement)
- i) ma:ná kité wäketawa:na na

m-ana na ki-te wa-ke≠tawan-a

CPx1-child and CPx7-dog SM2-CONT≠play-FV

'A child and a dog are playing together'

Cf. ki:té na ma:ná ſiketawa:na ki-te

∫i-ke≠tawan-a na m-ana

SM8-CONT#play-FV CPx7-dog and CPx1-child

'A dog and its child are playing together'

ii) kité pikipíki ſilekapâ:na na

ki-te Ø-pikipiki ſi-le≠kap-an-a na

CPx9-motor bike SM8-PST#hit-RECIP-FV CPx7-dog and

'A dog and a motor bike crashed'

iii) pikipi:ki na ikâ:ri ſilekūmbū

Ø-pikipiki i-kari na ſi-le≠kumb-w-a

CPx9-motor bike and CPx5-car SM8-PST#sell-POSS-FV

- 'A motor bike and a car were sold'
- N. This language seems to have a default agreement pattern for subject coordination which can be formulated as follows;
  - i) human NP + human NP: cl.2
  - ii) human NP+ non-human animate NP: cl.8

- iii) non-human animate + non-animate: cl.8
- iv) non-animate + non-animate: cl.8

### P065 Past time reference: How is past time reference formally divided?

V. 4 past time has more than three subdivisions

```
P1) ndʒïlewō:nā
```

ndʒi-le≠won-a

SM1sg-PST1≠see-FV

'I saw'

P1')  $ndzïlewéwŏ:na (/w/\rightarrow [\beta]/_V[+front])$ 

nd3i-le-we≠won-a

SM1sg-PST1-ANT2?≠see-FV

'I saw'

P2) ndʒewô:na

ndʒi-e≠won-a

SM1sg-PST2≠see-FV

'I saw'

P2') ndʒewewŏ:na

ndʒi-e-we≠won-a

SM1sg-PST2-ANT2?≠see-FV

'I saw'

P-STAT) ndzewewó:ni

ndʒi-e-we≠won-i

 $SM1sg\text{-}PST2\text{-}ANT2? \neq see\text{-}STAT$ 

'I saw/ I was seeing'

Cf. STAT) ndʒïwō:nī

ndʒi≠won-i

SM1sg≠see-STAT

'I see/I'm seeing'

### P066 Future time reference: How is future time reference formally divided?

V. 2 future time is divided into two (e.g hodiernal vs. post-hodiernal, etc)

F1) *ndʒî:wô:na* (with a Initial falling tone [SH-L])

ndʒi-i≠won-a

SM1sg-FUT1≠see-FV

'I will see/I'm seeing (present-as-future)'

```
F2)
       ndʒűtʃiwô:na
       ndʒi-t∫i≠won-a
       SM1sg-FUT2≠see-FV
       'I will see'
P067 Suffix -ag-: Is there a tense/aspect (pre-final) suffix -ag- or a similar form used with an
imperfective meaning (expressing for instance habituality/iterativity/pluractionality/intensity)?
V. yes
ndʒïwō:ná
ndʒi≠won-á
SM.1sg≠see-HAB
'I see' (Sw. Huona)
N. (though obscuring) Final H may be a remnant of *-ag-a; i.e., *-ag-a>*-á-a>-á (cf. historical
   change on *-ag in other KB ) esp. WK))
P068 Suffix -ile: Is there a tense/aspect suffix -ile or a similar form (as a reflex of *-ide)?
V. yes
1) ndzíkapíé
   nd3í-Ø≠kap-íé
   SM1sg-PRS.STAT≠hit-ANT
   'I have hit' (Sw. Nimepiga)
2) ndzïwo:nié
   ndʒi-Ø≠won-ie
   SM1sg-PRS.STAT \neq see-ANT
   'I have seen' (Sw: Nimeona)
Cf. ndzíwo:ní
   ndʒi-Ø≠won-i
   SM1sg-PRS.STAT≠see-STAT
   'I see/I'm seeing' (Sw: Ninaona)
N. Two forms of *-ide?: i) -ié of ANT, ii) -i of STAT (attached limitedly to the stative verb stems).
P069 Itive marker: Is there an itive motional/directional marker? (e.g. ka- prefix)
V. 3 yes, with another form in restricted contexts only (e.g. subjunctive)
lűtſiéndambă:ŋga
lu-tsi-enda-m≠wang-a
SM1pl-FUT2-ITV-OM1≠call-a
'We will go and call him'
```

```
Cf. lűtsiénda imbă:ŋga
```

lu-tsi-enda i-m≠wang-a

SM1pl-FUT2-go INF-OM1≠call-FV

'We will go to call him'

N. The itive motional/ directional notion is normally expressed lexically by *-enda* 'go' (as in (1)), which is used as a more grammaticalized element There is a ka- prefix in this language but the prefix seems to be used as i) a consecutive marker, and ii) a conditional marker.

### P070 Ventive marker: Is there a ventive motional/directional marker ('come and V')?

V. 1 yes, in restricted contexts only (e.g. a particular tense/aspect)?

lűtfitfa imbă:ŋga

lu-tſi-enda i-m≠waŋg-a

SM1pl-FUT2-come INF-OM1≠call-FV

'We will come to call him/ come and call him'

Cf. lűtsitsambă:ŋga

lu-tʃi-tʃa-m≠waŋg-a

SM1pl-FUT2-VTV-OM1≠call-FV

'We will come and call him/ We will (definitely) call him '

N. the modality marker *tfa*- can denote a kind of ventive connotation as well.

### P071 Imperatives: Is the basic imperative formally identical to the verb stem (root-ext.-FV)?

V. yes

i) éńdá

end-a

go-FV

'Go!'

ii) éńdé:ń

end-a-ini

go-FV-PL

'Go!' (for plural addressee)

### P072 Plural imperatives: Is there a singular/plural distinction in imperative verb forms?

V. 1 yes, the plural is expressed by a post-verbal marker (suffix or enclitic)

i) lja ké: tjá

li-a kelia

eat-FV 7.food

'Eat!'

ii) *ljeḥ ké:⁺ljá*li-a-ini kelja
eat-FV-PL 7.food

'Eat!' (for plural addressee)

## P073 TAM slots: In an inflected verb form, is preverbal marking of tense/aspect/mood typically restricted to one slot?

V. no

N. As usually observed in most Kilimanjaro languages, Uru also utilizes multiple TAM slots. See, for example, past tense/aspect distinction shown in P065.

### P074 Conjoint/disjoint: Does the language have a conjoint/disjoint distinction?

V. no

## P075 Object marking: Are there object markers on the verb (excluding locative object markers, see parameters (12) & (13))?

V. 1 yes, there are only pre-stem object markers

i) *ndʒílewíkia maná mba* ndʒi-le≠wik-i-a M-ana N-ba

SM1sg-PST1≠build-FV CPx1-child CPx9-house

'I built a house for a child'

ii) *ndʒilembikia mba* ndʒi-le-M≠wik-i-a N-ba

SM1sg-PST1-OM1≠build-FV CPx9-house

'I built a house for him/her'

iii) ndʒileiwikia mă:nandʒi-le-i≠wik-i-a m-anaSM1sg-PST1-OM9≠build-FV CPx1-child

'I built it for a child'

iv) ndzíleímbikía

ndʒi-le-i-M≠wik-i-a

SM1sg-PST1-OM9-OM1≠build-FV

'I built it for him/her'

v) \*ndzilemwiwikia

ndʒi-le-M-i≠wik-i-a

SM1sg-PST1-OM1-OM9≠build-FV

Int. 'I built it for him/her'

N. The verb has a morphological slot for an OM. It is structurally possible to take multiple OMs (up to two), but it seems that multiple OMs are restricted by rather strict constraints (but detailed conditions are yet to be investigated).

### P076 Multiple object marking: Is it possible to have more than one pre-stem object marker?

V. 3 yes, but only in certain structural contexts and they must appear in a specific order

```
i) ndzílě:wawíkia
```

ndʒi-le-i-wa≠wíki-a

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.9-OM.2 = build.APPL-FV

'I built it for them.'

ii) \*ndzílewaiwíkia

ndʒi-le-wa-i≠wíki-a

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.2-OM.1≠build.APPL-FV

Int. 'I built it for them.'

iii) \*ndʒílewatsiwíkia

ndʒi-le-wa-tsi≠wíki-a

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.2-OM.10\(\neq\)build.APPL-FV

Int. 'I built those for them.'

iv) \*ndzíletsiwawíkia

ndʒi-le-tsi-wa≠wíki-a

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.10-OM.2 = build.APPL-FV

Int. 'I built those for them.'

N. see P075

## P077 Reflexive: Is the reflexive expressed by a reflexive marker in a pre-stem verbal slot on the verb?

V. 2 yes, by another form

ndʒíkuwó:ní

ndʒi-ku≠won-i

SM1sg-REF≠see-STAT

'I'm looking at myself'

Cf. ndʒikuwo:ni

ndʒi-ku≠won-i

SM1sg-OM2sg≠see-STAT

'I'm looking at you'

N. The form of the reflexive suffix is  $k\dot{u}$ -, which is only tonally distinguished from ku- OM2sg.

### P078 Object doubling: Can the object marker and the post-verbal lexical object noun phrase cooccur in the same domain? (excluding 'afterthought' constructions)

V. 1 yes, co-occurrence is possible/optional

i) *ndʒilewikia waná mba* ndʒi-le≠wiki-a wa-na N-ba

SM.1sg-PST1\u222build.APPL-FV CPx2-child CPx9-house

'I built a house for children.'

ii) *ndʒilewawikia mba* ndʒi-le-wa≠wiki-a N-ba

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.2≠build.APPL-FV CPx9-house

'I built a house for them.'

iii) *ndʒileiwikia* wá:na ndʒi-le≠wíki-a wa-na

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.9#build.APPL-FV CPx2-child

'I built it for children.'

iv) ndzíleíwawíkia

ndʒi-le-i-wa≠wíki-a

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.9-OM.2≠build.APPL-FV

'I built it for them.'

cf. ndzílekíu:ra kită:pu

SM.1sg-PST-OM7≠buy-FV CPx7-book

'I bought the book'

N. Though it is structurally possible for an OM to co-occur with a post verbal object NP, the co-occurrence is normally avoided. However, if an object NP is dislocated to a preverbal position, an OM is normally required.

## P079 Subjunctive final -e: Is the subjunctive normally formed by means of a final -e? (with possible exceptions, such as loanwords)

V. yes

i) *ndʒisô:me kită:pu*ndʒi≠som-e ki-tapu
SM1sg≠read-SUBJ CPx7-book

'I shall read a book/ so that I read a book (SUBJ)'

ii) ndʒilásomé kită:pundʒi-la≠som-e ki-tapuSM1sg-NEG2≠read-SUBJ CPx7-book

'I shall not read a book/ lest I read a book (SUBJ.NEG)'

## P080 Negative final vowel: Is there a negative final vowel (e.g. -i, -e)? (see also parameters (52) and (53))

V. no

N. This language, along with other Chaga languages, Negative is marked either by clause final particle (in dependent clauses) or NEG2 marker (in relative and subjunctive clauses etc.) with tonal modification. Final vowel is in principle irrelevant with negative marking.

P081 Defective verbs: Are there 'defective verbs' which do not exhibit regular inflection? V. 2 yes, a subset of (non-borrowed) verbs, such as 'know' or 'say'

See notes below

N. There are a group of irregular (stative) verb stems whose final vowel is -i; e.g.  $\neq keri$  'be, exist',  $\neq tfi$  'know' etc. There is another group of verbs which can be inflected by the stative final vowel -i (i.e., they can also be inflected by -a, the default FV); e.g.  $\neq won$  'see',  $\neq kund$  'love' etc. So called active verbs cannot be inflected by stative -i.

#### P082 TAM and auxiliaries: Are there dedicated auxiliaries for different tense/aspect/moods?

V. 2 yes, some auxiliaries are restricted to different tense/aspect/but not with a one-to-one match data to be added

P083 Multiple auxiliaries: Can two (or more) auxiliaries co-occur with the same main verb form?

V. no

P084 Agreement in complex constructions: In complex constructions, does the subject trigger agreement on both the auxiliary and the main verb?

V. yes

nd3î:kŏ:ja ngaṃſika remén nd3i-i≠koi-a nga-ṃ≠ʃik-a rema-iṇi SM1sg-FUT1≠find-FV SM1sg.ANT-COMP-arrive-FV field-LOC

'I will have arrived at the field.'

N. Not only in Uru but widely in other Kilimanjaro Bantu languages, the stem -kŏ:ja 'find' or related forms are widely used complex tense constructions (especially imperfective aspects in future tense) as a tense marking auxiliary verb. See also P127 for complementizer-like usage of -kŏ:ja.

P085 Auxiliary semantics: Are there auxiliaries which express semantic notions beyond tense/aspect? (i.e. notions which are often expressed by adverbs in European languages, like manner such as 'quickly')

V. null

P086 Copula as auxiliary: Is the copula used as an auxiliary?

V. no

## P087 Verbal relative morphology: Are there relative forming strategies which employ verbal morphology?

V. yes: tonal marking

i) *kitapú kiljá ndʒilaléū:rā* ki-tapu ki-lja ndʒi-la-le≠ur-a

CPx.7-book PPx7-DEM.F SM.1sg-NEG2-PST1≠buy-FV

'The book which I didn't buy.'

ii) kitapú llaléū:rā

ki-tapu ņ-la-le≠ur-a

CPx.7-book SM.1sg(non.FOC form)-NEG2-PST1≠buy-FV

'The book which I didn't buy.'

N. Though it is not obligatory, the remote demonstrative *-lja* is supposedly cooccurs in many cases.

## P088 Nominal relative morphology: Are there relative strategies which employ a nominal relative marker?

V. null

i) kitapú kiljá ndzilaléū:rā

ki-tapu ki-lja ndʒi-la-le≠uɾ-a

CPx.7-book PPx7-DEM.F SM.1sg-NEG2-PST1≠buy-FV

'The book which I did't buy.'

ii) kitapú llaléū:rā

ki-tapu n-la-le≠ur-a

CPx.7-book SM.1sg(non.FOC form)-NEG2-PST1≠buy-FV

'The book which I did't buy.'

N. As mentioned in P087, the remote demonstrative -lja can be used, but it is not an obligatory form.

P089 Relativisor agreement: When the relative marker is a separate word, does it agree with the head noun?

V. n.a.

i) *kitapú kiljá ndʒilaléū:rā* ki-tapu ki-lja ndʒi-la-le≠ur-a

CPx.7-book PPx7-DEM.F SM.1sg-NEG2-PST1≠buy-FV

'The book which I didn't buy.'

ii) kitapú llaléū:rā

ki-tapu ņ-la-le≠ur-a

CPx.7-book SM.1sg(non.FOC form?)-NEG2-PST1≠buy-FV

'The book which I didn't buy.'

N. (but 'yes' if you regard a demonstrative as part of REL marking strategy. In most cases DEM.F is occurred before a relative clause and it is agreed with the noun class of the modified noun)

## P090 Subject-Relativisor: When the relative marker is a separate word, can it be preceded by the subject in non-subject relative clauses?

V. 2 yes, demonstratives as pseud-relativisors always shows grammatical agreement with a head noun. See the examples in P089 etc.

## P091 Relative verb agreement: In non-subject relatives, what does the verb of the relative clause agree with?

V. 2 the subject

kitapú ma:ná alekíū:rā ni íkí ki-tapu m-ana a-le-ki≠ur-a ni iki

CPx.7-book CPx1-child SM.1-PST1-OM.7\neq buy-FV COP DEM.N-PPx7

### P092 Subject-Relative verb: In non-subject relatives, can the subject be preverbal?

V. yes

See the example in P091

## P093 Resumptive pronouns in relatives: In non-subject relatives, is there a resumptive element referring to the head noun (through object marking or independent pronoun)?

V. 3 yes, it is required in certain conditions (e.g. depending on the class, [+/- animate], etc.)

i) *kitapú nd3ileúrá ngű kĭ:tſa* ki-tapu nd3i-le≠ur-a Nki ki-tſa CPx.7-book SM.1sg-PST1≠buy-FV COP.7 APx7-good

'The book I bought is good'

ii) kitapú ndʒileúrá ki kitfã: kjó ki-tapu ndʒi-le≠ur-a ki ki-tʃa ki-o

CPx.7-book SM.1sg-PST1#buy-FV PPx7(as COP) APx7-good PPx7-DEM.M(as NEG)

'The book I bought isn't good'

iii) kitapú maːná alekiūːrā ni iki ki-tapu m̞-ana a-le-ki≠ur-a ni iki

CPx.7-book CPx1-child SM.1-PST1-OM.7\(\neq\)buy-FV COP DEM.N-PPx7

N. Forms with and without a resumptive OM are attested, though specific conditions controlling presence or absence of the element are yet to be investigated.

## P094 Headless adverbial relatives: Can headless relatives be used as adverbial clauses, with, for instance, temporal ('when...'), locative ('where...'), or manner ('how...') meaning?

V. null

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The book the child bought is this'

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The book the child bought is this'

N. At least about temporal relatives, demonstrative elements (in class 5) or an auxiliary-like element are used as a head noun and headless relative constructions are not attested (for more information, see P135).

### P095 Gapless relatives: Are gapless relatives/noun-modifying clauses attested?

V. no

- i) \*mru:fu fo lleot∫a náma m-rufu fi-o n-le≠ot∫-a nama CPx3-smell PPx3-DEM.M SM1sg-PST≠grill-FV 9.meat
- Int. 'The smell that is caused by (my) grilling meat.'
- ii) mrŭ:fu fo náma j⁺ó:tſ⁺á m-rufu fi-o n-ama i≠ot∫-a
  - CPx3-smell PPx3-DEM.M 9.meat CPx5\(\neg\)grill-FV

N. The existence of so-called gapless constructions has not yet been confirmed in this language. The gapless relation between a head noun and its modifying clause may be expressed by a noun modifying infinitive as in (ii).

### P096 TAM and relatives: Can relative clauses express the full range of tense/aspect/mood distinctions found in main clauses?

V. null

N. Probably there must be a restriction on the presence of *we*- (cf. P065 of the past tense distinction). There may be some restrictions on TAM distinctions as in definite negative verb structures, where, for example, the aspectual marker *we*- cannot appear (the distinction between the form with and without *we*- is neutralized in negative forms). More research is needed.

### P097 Clefts: How are clefts formed?

V. 3 both strategies 1 (through the use of a segmentally expressed copula) and 2 (through modification of the noun (linked with the tonal/segmental augment))

nſitapú ndʒilewáuṛî:a n=ʃi-tapu ndʒi-le-wa≠uṛ-i-a

COP=CPx7-book SM1sg-PST1-OM2\neq buy-APPL-FV

'It is (the) books that I bought for them.'

(<e.g. an answer to 'What did you buy for them?')

Cf. sitapú ndzílě waúria

ſi-tapu ndʒi-le-we-wa≠uṛ-i-a

CPx7-book SM1sg-PST1-IPFV-OM2#buy-APPL-FV

'(The) books, I bought for them (not anything else).'

(with a connotation that 'I cannot count up other things, but I did buy books for them.)

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The smell of meat which is grilled'

N. The cleft construction can be identified as COP+head noun+Relative VP (without a default initial high tone assigned to VP). However, this construction is very close to a simple object raising construction, where a raised NP can be marked by pi=, which is homophonous with the copula and functions as a focus marker in this context.

## P098 Clefted word classes: In addition to canonical noun phrases, may other categories be clefted?

V. yes

Temporal NP

- i) akidá nälě:nda kjúkwa:ni akida ni=a-le≠end-a kjukwani P.N. FOC=SM1-PST1≠go-FV evening
  - 'Akida went in the evening'

FOC=evening P.N. SM1-PST1≠go-FV

'It is in the evening that Akida went'

#### Locative PP/NP

- iii) *nälě:nda ko mā:ŋ̄gī*ni=a-le≠end-a ku-a mangi

  FOC=SM1-PST1≠go-FV PPx17-ASSC 1.chief

  'S/he went to the chief's place'
- iv) *ņkő* mă:*ṅgi (n)älě:nda* ni=ku-a maŋgi (ni=)a-le≠end-a

PPx17-ASSC 1.chief (FOC=)SM1-PST1≠go-FV

'It is to the chief's place that s/he went'

Instrumental PP (with a resumptive PP)

v) *nőlekápa* na kji:ri ni=a-le≠kap-a na ki-ri

FOC=SM1-PST1≠hit-FV with CPx7-stick

'S/he hit (s.th.) with a stick'

vi) *ņkjĭ:ri űlekápa nā:kjō* ni=ki-ri a-le≠kap-a na=ki-o

FOC=CPx-stick SM1-PST1\( \neq \text{hit-FV} \) with=PPx7-DEM.M

'It is a stick with which s/he hit (s.th.)'

N. It is attested that not only temporal NPs (as in (ii)) but structural locative PPs, which can be functionally regarded as locative NPs (as in (iv)), can be clefted. Instrumental PPs, on the other hand, can also be clefted in a way that only an instrumental NP can be syntactically clefted and the

preposition na 'with' should be left in the matrix clause with a demonstrative stem encliticized  $\{na=PPx-o\}$ .

## P099 Yes/no questions: In addition to intonation, are there other means used to encode yes/no questions (polar interrogatives)?

V. yes

N. Absence of the focus marker ni = can be a clue of a polar question, however, it should be also noted that its presence marks a sentential truth-value focus.

## P100 Wh-element location: In the dominant strategy for argument wh-questions, where does the wh-element typically appear?

V. 6 difficult to distinguish a dominant strategy

i) [in situ (encliticized)]

ki:té kíkerapfúnā:kī

ki-te ki-ke≠ṛapfun-a=ki

CPx7-dog SM7-CONT≠chew-FV=what

'What is the dog chewing?'

ii) [IBV]

ki:té ŋki kïkerapfû:na

ki-te ni=ki ki-ke≠rapfun-a

CPx7-dog FOC=what SM7-CONT≠chew-FV

'What is the dog chewing?'

iii) [clause-initially]

*ŋki kité kikéṛapfû:na* ni=ki ki-te ki-ke≠rapfun-a

FOC=whatCPx7-dog SM7-CONT≠chew-FV

'What is the dog chewing?'

N. So-called wh- elements, most of them are pronominal elements (e.g. 'what' is expressed as  $\eta ki$  {FOC=PPx7}, 'where' as  $\eta ku \sim \eta kwi$  {FOC=PPx17}), can appear in the following positions: i) in situ, ii) IBV, and iii) clause-initial positions, though there may be Information-structural differences

between them, details of which are still unclear.

## P101 'why' applicatives: Can 'why' be formed through the combination of an applicative on the verb + 'what'?

V. yes

nákeritsiā: kī

ni=a-keri≠tſ-i-a=ki

FOC=SM1-CONT≠run-APPL-FV=what

'Why is s/he running?'

## P102 Equative predication: How is equative predication achieved with non-discourse participants?

V. 4 both 1 (an invariable copula only) and 2 (variable (class-inflected) copulas only (does not include verbal copulas)) = both variable (class-inflected) and invariable copulas

i) kité ni mbúru ja ngā:rā ki-te ni N-buru i-a N-gara

CPx7-dog COP CPx9-animal PPx9-ASSC CPx9-forest

'A dog is an animal of the forest'

ii)  $kit\acute{e}$   $k\acute{i}$  ki  $mb\acute{u}ru$  ja  $\eta g\bar{a}:r\bar{a}$  ki-te ki ki N-buru i-a N-gara

CPx7-dog DEM.N.7 COP.7 CPx9-animal PPx9-ASSC CPx9-forest

'A dog is an animal of the forest'

N. Both the invariant copula pi (i) and the class-inflected PPx (ii) can be used as an equative copula.

### P103 Affirmative copula: In the copula system, what is the form of the affirmative copula?

V. 6 multiple strategy

See the examples in P102

## P104 Copula's other meanings: In addition to equative predication, may a copula form be used to convey other meanings?

V. yes: Focus marking

See the examples in P140

N. See notes in P140

### P105 'to have': How is possession ('to have') expressed?

V. 3 a lexical verb only

i) PRS

*náworé* mba ni=a≠wor-e N-ba

FOC=SM1≠hold-STAT CPx9-house

'I have a house'

ii) PST

néworé mba ni=a-e≠wor-e N-ba

FOC=SM1-PST2\neqhalor hold-STAT CPx9-house

'I had a house'

iii) FUT

náεwára mba ni=a-e≠war-a N-ba

FOC=SM1-FUT2\neqhalor hold-FV CPx9-house

'I will have a house'

P106 Verb + cognate object: Are there verbal constructions with obligatory cognate objects?

V. null

P107 Verb doubling constructions: Are there verb doubling constructions, where a non-finite verb form (e.g. infinitive, verbal base) appears before an inflected form of the same verb?

V. 2 yes, for topicalisation

i) kuíko:rá?

ku-i≠koṛ-a

SM2sg-CONT≠cook-FV

'Are you cooking?'

ii) ikoṛá ndʒïkoːṛa

i-koṛ-a ndʒi-i≠koṛ-a

CPx5-cook-FV SM1sg-CONT≠cook-FV

'To cook, I'm cooking'

N. The construction itself is structurally grammatical. Though its (structural) meaning is still unclear, the fronted infinitive may indicate a certain topicality of the event expressed by it (and insufficiency or non-typicality of the event expressed in the following main clause).

P108 Light verb constructions: Are there complex predicates or light verb constructions of the form beat colour 'to paint'? (e.g. '-piga' in Swahili)

V. null

N. Light verb expressions like *kupiga rangi* 'to paint' or *kupiga pasi* 'to iron (clothes) in Swahili are attested as a calque expressions like *ikapá rangji* and *ikapá †pâ:si*, respectively. However it is still unclear about original (non-borrowing) light verb expressions and their productivity in natural conversations.

P109 Passivisation in ditransitives: In double object constructions, can either object become subject under passivisation?

V. yes

i) [inherent ditransitive: basic]

gódi náeninga mwaná kjē:ljā godi ni=a-e≠ning-a mw-ana ki-lja P.N FOC=SM1-PST2≠give-FV CPx1-child CPx7-food 'Godi gave food to a child'

ii) [inherent ditransitive: IO (theme) passivisation]

kje:ljá (η)kjűεμίηgwo mwâ:na ki-lja ni=a-e≠μiŋg-w-a mw-ana

CPx7-food(FOC=)SM1-PST2≠give-PASS-FV CPx1-child

'Food is given to a child'

iii) [inherent ditransitive: DO (recipient) passivisation]

 $mwa:n\acute{a}$  $(\dot{\eta}) \H{a} \varepsilon n \H{i} \eta g wo$  $k\bar{e}: lj\bar{a}$ mw-anani=a-e $\neq ni$ g-w-akeljaCPx1-childFOC=SM1- $PST2\neq give$ -PASS-FV7.food

## P110 Object marking in ditransitives: In double object constructions, can either object be expressed by an object marker, independently of the other object?

V. yes

i) ndzilewawikia mba

ndʒi-le-wa≠wiki-a N-ba

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.2≠build.APPL-FV CPx9-house

'I built a house for them.'

ii) *ndʒíleiwíkia* wá:*na* ndʒí-le≠wíki-a wa-na

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.9#build.APPL-FV CPx2-child

'I built it for children.'

iii) ndzílě:wawíkia

ndʒi-le-i-wa≠wíki-a

SM.1sg-PST1-OM.9-OM.2 = build.APPL-FV

'I built it for them.'

N. This language, like most other Chaga languages, seems to be classified as a symmetric type of language in terms of the morphosyntactic status of objects in the applicative construction. For example, both objects can be marked in a prestem slot (see the examples in P078). However, the possibility for both object NPs can take IAV position has not yet been tested.

### P111 Pro-drop: Can the grammatical subject be omitted (i.e. is there pro-drop)? (link with (60))

V. yes

i) [with a subject independent pronoun]

wen ŋgát∫a î:ja weḥ ŋga≠t∫-a ija

INDP.1sg SM1sg.ANT = come-FV DEM.N.16

'I have come here'

<sup>&#</sup>x27;A child is given food'

ii) [without a subject independent pronoun]

*ŋgátſa* î:ja ŋga≠t∫-a ija

SM1sg.ANT=come-FV DEM.N.16

'I have come here'

iii) [without SM]

\* wen tsa î:ja

### P112 Dem-Noun: Is it possible for a demonstrative to precede the head noun?

V. no

i) *kitapu kjî:ki t∫îlesô:ma* ki-tapu ki-iki t∫î-le≠som-a

CPx7-book PPx7-DEM.N.7 SM1sg-PST1≠read-FV

'(It is) the book I read'

ii) \*i:ki kita:p tʃîlesô:ma

iki ki-tapu t∫i-le≠som-a

DEM.N.7 CPx7-book SM1sg-PST1≠read-FV

Cf. i:kí ŋ kită:pu

iki ni ki-tapu

DEM.N.7 COP CPx7-book

'This is a book'

### P113 Quant-Noun: Is there a prenominal quantifier?

V. yes

kilá mndu näkeso:ma

kila m-ndu ni=a-ke≠som-a

every CPx1-entity FOC=SM1-CONT≠read-FV

'Everyone is reading a book'

## P114 Possessive in multiple modifiers: In the case of co-occurring modifiers, does the possessive normally appear closest to the noun?

V. yes

i) i:ki  $\dot{\eta}$   $kitap\acute{u}$   $kja:k\acute{o}$   $k\bar{\imath}:t/\bar{a}$  iki ni ki-tapu ki-ako ki-tfa

DEM.N.7 COP CPx7-book PPx7-POSS.2sg PPx7-good

'This is your good book'

ii) i:ki ŋ kitapú kitʃa kjâ:ko iki ni ki-tapu ki-tʃa ki-ako

DEM.N.7 COP CPx7-book PPx7-goodPPx7-POSS.2sg

'This is your good book'

N. Though the basic/canonical order should be regarded as NP+POSS+other modifiers, there are sporadic cases where NP+Adj+POSS order is also allowed as in (ii).

## P115 SVO: Is Subject-Verb-Object the canonical constituent order in a neutral context (topic/comment)?

V. yes

i) [SVO (canonical)]

gódi nőleúra mbe:ja godi ni=a-le≠uṛ-a N-mbe=ja

P.N. FOC=SM1-PST1≠buy-FV CPx9-cow=DEM.N.9

'Godi bought a cow'

ii) [OSV]

*mbe:ja* gódi (n)aléū:ṛā N-mbe=ja godi (ni=)a-le≠uṛ-a

CPx9-cow=DEM.N.9 P.N. (FOC=)SM1-PST1\neq buy-FV

iii) [OVS]

?? mbe:ja (n)aleura godi

iv) [SOV]

godi méeja näleû:ṛa godi N-mbe=ja ni=a-le≠uṛ-a

P.N. CPx9-cow=DEM.N.9 FOC=SM1-PST1≠buy-FV

v) [SOV(+OM)]

godi mbeja naleiū:ṛā godi N-mbe=ja ni=a-le-i≠ur-a

P.N. CPx9-cow=DEM.N.9 FOC=SM1-PST1-OM9\neq buy-FV

vi) [OSV(+OM)]

mbe:ja gódi (n)aleiū:ṛā

N-mbe=ja godi (ni=)a-le-i≠uṛ-a

CPx9-cow=DEM.N.9 P.N. (FOC=)SM1-PST1-OM9≠buy-FV

## P116 Control of object order: In ditransitive constructions, are there mechanisms which control the order of multiple objects?

V. null

N. In the case of applicative constructions, IAV position seems to be basically occupied by an applied NP as in i). What may be worth mentioning is that OM in the applicative verb seems to be required to agree with

P117 Object order asymmetry: In pragmatically neutral ditransitive constructions, can either object be adjacent to the verb?

V. no

P118 Focus position: In simple main clauses, is there a specific syntactic focus position?

V. no

P119 Aux-Obj.pronoun-Verb: In auxiliary constructions, can object pronouns be placed between the auxiliary and the main verb?

V. null

P120 Infinitive-Auxiliary: Is it possible for an infinitive to appear before the auxiliary?

V. no

P121 Verb-subject: Are there verb-initial clauses with subject inversion (e.g. thetic statements or subject focus)?

V. null

[SVLoc]

godi aṃſika remén godi a-ṃ≠ʃik-a rema-iṇi

P.N. SM1.ANT-COMP≠arrive 9.field-LOC

'Godi has arrived in the field'

[LocSV]

?remén gōdī aṃma efī:ka rema-iṇi godi a-ṃ≠ma-a i≠ſik-a

9.field-LOC P.N. SM1.ANT-COMP#finish-FV CPx5#arrive-FV

'Godi has (already) arrived in the field'

[LocVS]

\*remen amma e∫îka godi rema-ini a-m≠ma-a i≠∫îk-a godi 9.field-LOC SM1.ANT-COMP≠finish-FV CPx5≠arrive-FV P.N.

Int. 'Godi has (already) arrived in the field'

N. As shown in the examples, not only does VS order tend to be highly avoided, but also left-dislocation of post-verbal elements including inversion constructions seems to be restricted.

### P122 Locative inversion: Is locative inversion attested?

V. no

[LocV (locative inversion)]

\*alja kilo∫ioni xakesoma alja ki-lo∫io-ini xa-ke≠som-a

DEM.F.16 CPx7-school-LOC SM16-CONT≠read-FV

Int. 'In the school s/he is reading a book'

### P123 Patient inversion: Is patient inversion (subject-object reversal) attested?

V. no

[SVO]

gódi nűkesoma kită:pu godi ni=a-ke≠som-a ki-tapu P.N. FOC=SM1-CONT≠read-FV CPx7-book

'Godi is reading a book'

[OVS (object inversion)]

\*kita:pu kikesoma godi ki-tapu ki-ke≠som-a godi CPx7-book SM7-CONT≠read-FVP.N.

#### P124 Instrument inversion: Is instrument inversion attested?

V. no

[SVO-PP<sub>INST</sub>]

godi nalekápa mbe no:pói godi ni=a-le≠kap-a N-mbe na

P.N. FOC=SM1-PST1≠hit-FV CPx9-cow with 11.stick

'Godi hit a cow with a stick'

 $[PP_{INST}\text{-}SVO]$ 

kŏ:poí näletsikâ:pa ku-a opoi ni=a-le-tsi≠kap-a

PPx17-ASSOC 11.stick FOC=SM1-PST1-OM10≠hit-FV

'With a stick, s/he hit them (e.g. cows)'

[Instrumental inversion]

\*opoí lűletsikâ:pa

opoi lu-le-tsi≠kap-a

11.stick SM11-PST1-OM10≠hit-FV

Int. '(s.o.) hit them (e.g. cows) with a stick.'

opoi

## P125 Conjunction 'and': Is the conjunction 'and' used in coordinated nouns (or noun phrases) the same as the one used in coordinated clauses?

V. yes

lűletfa wo:ná nda:ra na lűko:lja lu-le≠t∫-a i≠won-a N-dara na lu-ka≠li-a

SM1pl-PST1\u2225come-FV INF\u2225see-FV CPx.9-fruit and SM11-CONS\u2222eat-FV

## P126 Subsequent/consecutive: Is there any verbal marker to express combinations of clauses encoding subsequent/consecutive events?

V. yes

lűletfa wo:ná nda:ra lűko:lja lu-le≠tſ-a i≠won-a N-dara lu-ka≠li-a

SM1pl-PST1\u2225come-FV INF\u2225see-FV CPx.9-fruit SM11-CONS\u222\u2226eat-FV

N. TAM prefix *ka*- shows an aspectual notion of subsequentiality. However, unlike the similar prefix in Swahili, *ka*- forms can be preceded by the conjunctional *na* 'and' as shown in P125.

### P127 Complementiser presence: Is a subordinator/complementiser present in a subordinate clause?

V. null

gódí nűleluβía kokó:ja nakě:tfa godi ni=a-le-lu≠wi-a ku-a≠koj-a ni-a=ke≠tʃ-a

P.N. FOC=SM1-PST1-OM1pl≠tell-FV SM17-ANT≠find-FV FOC=SM1-CONT≠come-FV

N. According to a consultant, *kokó:ja* in the above example may be interpreted as a kind of comlpementiser. However, it is also analyzable as an auxiliary that marks futurity (cf. P084) and the consultant's semantic explanation of this word ('later, shortly') seems to support the latter analysis. Additionally, this form can also be used as a conditional conjunction (like *kama* in Swahili). More investigation needed.

## 128 Complementiser location: Where does the subordinator/complementiser appear with respect to the subordinate clause?

V. 1

N. See the example in P127.

## P129 Complementiser origin: Is there a subordinator/complementiser derived from a verb meaning 'say' or 'tell'?

V. no

<sup>&#</sup>x27;We came to see (the) fruits and eat them.'

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Godi told us that s/he is coming'

N. If we regard -kŏ:ja shown in P127 as a kind of complementiser, then its lexical origin is, however, not from a verb meaning 'say' or 'tell' but from a verb meaning 'find'.

## P130 Complementiser agreement: Is there an agreement marker on the subordinator/complementiser?

V. null

i) kűleámba kokó:ja kúitfa ku-le≠amb-a ku-a≠koj-a ku-i≠tʃ-a

SM2sg-PST1≠say-FVSM17-ANT≠find-FV SM2sg-FUT≠come-FV

'You said that you are coming'

ii) kűleámba kúikó:ja kúitfa ku-le≠amb-a ku-i≠koj-a ku-i≠t∫-a

SM2sg-PST1\neq say-FV SM2sg-FUT1\neq find-FV SM2-FUT1\neq come-FV

N. As shown in the examples, -kó:ja, which might be interpreted as a complementiser grammaticalized from an auxiliary, has two series of inflectional pattern, i.e., one is the invariant form kokó:ja and the other is a series of forms with an agreement marker (SM), as illustrated in (i) and (ii), respectively.

### P131 if-clauses expression: How are conditional clauses (or if-clauses) expressed?

V. 3 both 1 or 2 are possible, but not in the same clause

i) kokó:ja aetſi näekuβia

ku-a≠koj-a a≠it∫i pi=a-i-ku≠wi-a

SM17-ANT#find-FV SM1-FUT1#know FOC=SM1-FUT1-OM2#tell-FV

'If s/he knows (it), s/he will tell you.'

ii) káetſi nãekuβía

a-ka≠it∫i ni=a-i-ku≠wi-a

SM1-COND≠know FOC=SM1-FUT1-OM2≠tell-FV

'If s/he knows (it), s/he will tell you.'

N. While kokó:ja can also be used as a conditional conjunction (cf. P127), TAM prefix ka- is also used as a conditional marker in this language. Taking into account this fact, TAM marker affixed in kokó:ja may be regarded as the conditional ka-, i.e. ku-ka=koj-a (k-deletion after ka) is not unnatural in this language).

### P132 if-clause order: Does the subordinate if-clause always precede the main then-clause?

V. null

P133 if-clause = then-clause: Do the verbs in the if-clause and the then-clause have the same tense/aspect marking?

<sup>&#</sup>x27;You said that you are coming'

## P134 Hypothetical = Counterfactual: Are hypothetical (if I Ved) and counterfactual (if I had Ved) clauses expressed in different ways?

V. null

## P135 Temporal adverbial clauses: How are temporal adverbial clauses formed (e.g. when-clause, onceclause, after-clause, before-clause, etc)?

V. 1 by the use of specific constructions

 $lilj\acute{a}$   $\eta gafika$   $\acute{l}ja$   $\acute{p}\acute{e}l\ddot{e}$  lilja  $\eta ga \neq fik-a$  ija  $\eta i=e \neq lal-ie$ 

DEM.R.5 SM1sg.ANT\u00e7arrive-FV DEM.N.16(LOC) FOC=SM1\u00e7sleep-STAT

N. Apparently the near demonstrative of cl.5  $lilj\acute{a}$  is used as a head of temporal adverbial clauses irrespective of inflectional properties of, e.g. a subject noun.

## P136 Locative adverbial clauses: How are locative adverbial clauses ('where'-clauses) formed? V. null

### P137 Comparative: How is the comparative (and by extension the superlative) conveyed?

V. 2 by a particular lexical device (e.g. the "comparator" kuliko in Swahili)

gódi ná mwa:tſa kota tēmbā godi ni=a m-atʃa kota temba P.N. FOC=SM1PPx1-tall than P.N.

N. The form *kota*, whose lexical origin is unclear to the author, is observed to be used as a comparator.

## P138 SM in non-finite clauses: Can non-finite clauses have an overt subject? (For John to eat cookies is fun / John eating cookies is fun / people to play football is annoying)

V. null

P139 Verb inflection in raising constructions: In raising constructions, can the raising verb (i.e. in the upper clause) and the main verb (in the lower clause) both be inflected?

V. null

### P140 Morphological focus marker: Can a focused term be marked by a morphological focus marker?

V. yes

<sup>&#</sup>x27;When I arrived here, s/he was sleeping'

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Godi is taller than Temba'

i) ņkisali alekápa ḿbe

ní=kisali a-le≠kap-a N-mbe

FOC=P.N. SM1-PST1#hit-FV CPx9-cow

'FOC [Kisali] hit a cow' (<A. for "Who hit a cow?")

ii) ņkĭ:<sup>+</sup>rí űlekápa nā: kjō

ní=ki-ri H=a-le≠kap-a na ki-o

FOC=CPx7-tree FOC=SM1-PST1\neq hit-F with PPx7-DEM.M

"(It is) FOC [with (the) stick] (that) s/he hit (something)"

N. Focus marking of this language, be it term focus or predicate focus, can be regarded as achieved through the focus proclitic *ni* that is generally thought to be grammaticalized from the homophonic identificational copula.

## P141 Focus-sensitive particles: Are there focus-sensitive particles such as 'too', 'only' or 'even'?

V. yes

i) gódi nűekirundá kirundío dâ:

godi ni=a-i-ki≠rund-a ki-rund-io daa

P.N. FOC=SM1-FUT1-OM7\(\neq\)work-FV CPx7-work-NMLZ too

'Godi, too, will work'

ii) gódi amuní akerúnda kirundío

godi amuni a-ke≠rund-a ki-rund-io

P.N. only SM1-CONT = work-FV CPx7-work-NMLZ

'Only Godi is working'

iii) mă: godi näekerunda kirundio

maa godi ni=a-ke≠rund-a ki-rund-io

even P.N. FOC=SM1-CONT\u00e2work-FV CPx7-work-NMLZ

'Even Godi is working'

# P142 Subject focalisation: Are the strategies available for questioning or focusing subjects different from those available for other constituents? (e.g. subjects have to be clefted and cannot be questioned in situ)

V. no

N. See the examples in P140