RESEARCH ARTICLE CMI) 309

Croat Med J. 2020;61:309-18 https://doi.org/10.3325/cmj.2020.61.309

# The effect of COVID-19 lockdown on lifestyle and mood in Croatian general population: a cross-sectional study

**Aim** To investigate the effect of the coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) lockdown on lifestyle behaviors and mood changes in the Croatian general population.

**Methods** During ten days of the COVID-19 lockdown in Croatia, 3027 respondents (70.3% female) from the general population completed an online, self-report questionnaire. Demographic data and data on lifestyle habits and mood changes before and during the COVID-19 lockdown were collected.

**Results** A total of 95.64% of respondents reported to follow most or all restrictions, with female sex (P<0.001) and higher education level (P<0.001) being associated with higher restriction compliance. Women smoked an increased number of cigarettes (P<0.001). The proportion of respondents of both sexes who did not drink or drank 7 drinks per week or more increased (P<0.001). Women also reported lower frequency (P=0.001) and duration of physical exercise (P<0.001). In total, 30.7% of respondents gained weight, with female sex (OR, 2.726) and higher BMI (OR, 1.116; both P<0.001) being associated with an increased likelihood of gaining weight. Both men and women felt more frequently afraid (P<0.001), discouraged (P<0.001), and sad (P<0.001).

**Conclusion** Public health authorities should promote the adoption of healthy lifestyles in order to reduce long-term negative effects of the lockdown.

Zoran Đogaš<sup>1,2</sup>, Linda Lušić Kalcina<sup>1,2</sup>, Ivana Pavlinac Dodig<sup>1,2</sup>, Sijana Demirović<sup>1,2</sup>, Katarina Madirazza<sup>1</sup>, Maja Valić<sup>1,2</sup>, Renata Pecotić<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia

<sup>2</sup>Split Sleep Medicine Center, Split, Croatia

Received: July 3, 2020 Accepted: August 21, 2020

Correspondence to:
Zoran Đogaš
Department of Neuroscience
University of Split School of
Medicine
Šoltanska 2
21000 Split, Croatia
zdogas@gmail.com

**310** RESEARCH ARTICLE Croat Med J. 2020;61:309-18

The coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has tremendously changed our everyday life. Long-term home confinement and quarantine have affected daytime routines, working schedules (1), and lifestyle habits (2). The most likely scenario includes increases in poor-quality diet and sedentary time, with a decrease in physical activity (3,4). Sedentarism during the COVID-19 home confinement might detrimentally affect the neuromuscular system and, in combination with unhealthy diet (4,5), could lead to weight gain, blood pressure increase, and disturbed glucose tolerance, increasing the cardiovascular risk in the population (2,6,7). Although guidelines and interventions have been created to promote healthy lifestyles during the COVID-19 lockdown (2,5,6), it remains to be established whether and to what extent the countermeasures were adopted.

Unknown duration of the lockdown, fear of disease, boredom, lack of supplies, and misleading information might have all had a considerable psychological effect. Previous studies among quarantined people revealed increased emotional disturbances, anxiety, and general stress levels, and lower mood (8,9). Furthermore, the epidemic outbreak had detrimental effects on overall emotional well-being (10). However, the long-term effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on mood changes and psychological well-being of the general population are yet to be elucidated.

While the recent studies concentrated on the psychological impact of the quarantine (8), there is a need for more data about the general population's self-care, nutritional status, physical activity, and sleep routines (4). Therefore, our study is the first to investigate lifestyle behaviors and mood changes in the Croatian general population during the COVID-19 lockdown.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

# Respondents

The study involved 3027 adult respondents (79.7% women) from the Croatian general population. The exclusion criterion was age less than 18. The distribution and sampling method prevented us from calculating the response rate.

#### Methods and instrument

During ten days of the COVID-19 lockdown in Croatia, from April 25 to May 5, 2020, an online, self-report questionnaire (Supplementary material) was distrib-

uted through social media platforms and email messages to authors' contacts (snowball sampling technique). All contacts were asked to forward the link to the survey to their contacts. Data collection was ended on May 5, when quarantine measures in Croatia ended. The study protocol was approved by the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee at the University of Split School of Medicine (2181-198-03-04-20-0056).

#### Statistical analysis

The normality of distribution was tested with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median and interquartile range. All P values were adjusted for multiplicity using Bonferroni adjustment. The U statistic of the Mann-Whitney test and  $\chi^2$  statistic for the Kruskal-Wallis test were reported. Ordinal variables were dummy coded and contrasted to the last category in the analysis. Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals were calculated in the logistic regression. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Data analysis was performed with SPSS, version 14 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

## **RESULTS**

## Demographic data and restrictions compliance

Demographic characteristics are summarized in Table 1. During the COVID-19 lockdown, the majority of the participants experienced changes in work rhythm, such as working from home (40.78%), rotating shift work (15.28%), parttime working hours (5.13%), or other (Table 1).

A total of 95.64% of respondents reported to follow most of or all the restrictions. Women followed all the restrictions more frequently than men (59.1% vs 49.4%; U=453170, P<0.001). Restriction compliance depended on the education level ( $\chi^2$  (2)=20.304; P<0.001). The respondents following all the restrictions had a higher education level than respondents following most of the restrictions (U = 623523; P < 0.001), some of the restrictions (U = 57348.5; P = 0.024), and no restrictions (U = 5990.5;P = 0.036). Respondents with a master's degree and a PhD (60.2% and 64.4%) obeyed all restrictions more frequently than respondents with a college degree (54.6%), high school degree (51.1%), and elementary school degree (55%). Respondents with different levels of restrictions compliance did not significantly differ in working status and average age  $(\chi^2 (3) = 5.937; P = 0.115, \chi^2 (3) = 4.465;$ P = 0.215, respectively).

# Lifestyle habits

Women reported smoking a significantly increased number of cigarettes (from 11.8 ± 7.4 cigarettes/day before the lockdown to  $13.9 \pm 9.8$  cigarettes/day during the lockdown, P < 0.001, Table 2). Men drank fewer cups of coffee  $(2.0 \pm 1.2 \text{ cups/day vs } 2.4 \pm 1.2 \text{ cups/day, respectively,}$ P < 0.001, Table 2). The proportion of respondents of both sexes who did not drink alcohol or drank up to 7 drinks per week or more increased, while the proportion of respondents who drank occasionally (once per month or up to 3 drinks per week) decreased (P < 0.001, Table 2). Similarly, the proportion of men who did not drink and those who drank more than 15 drinks per week increased, while the proportion of men drinking up to 15 drinks per week decreased (P<0.001, Table 2). The proportion of women who did not drink and those who drank more than 3 drinks per week increased, while the proportion of women who drank once monthly and up to 3 drinks per week decreased (P < 0.001, Table 2).

During the pre-lockdown period, a higher proportion of men exercised compared with women (71.6% vs 60.3%, P < 0.001). Only in women, the frequency (from  $2.8 \pm 1.0$  times per week before the lockdown to  $2.7 \pm 1.2$  times per week during the lockdown, P = 0.001) and duration of physical exercise decreased (from  $55.6 \pm 29.8$  min prior to lockdown to  $49.2 \pm 32.5$  min during the lockdown, P < 0.001, Table 2).

## Body weight changes during COVID-19 lockdown

A total of 939 (30.7%) respondents reported having gained weight. Female sex (OR=2.726) and higher BMI (OR=1.116; both P<0.001) were associated with an increased likelihood of gaining weight (Table 3). Exercising

TABLE 1. Demographic data of study respondents\*

<b>3</b> . , .			
	Total N=3027	Men N=506	Women N=1989
Age (median, IQR)	40 (30-50)	42 (31-52)	39 (30-49)
Body weight (mean ± SD)	$74.03 \pm 16.03$	90.74 ± 15.72	69.05 ± 12.52
Height (mean ± SD)	172.84 ± 8.66	183.38 ± 7.61	169.76 ± 6.40
Body mass index (mean ± SD)	24.64 ± 4.22	26.90 ± 4.25	23.94±4.00
Education (no, %)			
elementary school	20 (0.80)	2 (0.40)	18 (0.90)
high school	687 (27.54)	129 (25.49)	558 (28.05)
college or bachelor degree	412 (16.51)	81 (16.01)	331 (16.64)
master's degree	1137 (45.57)	210 (41.50)	927 (46.61)
PhD	239 (9.58)	84 (16.60)	155 (7.79)
Working status (no, %)			
employed	2208 (73.09)	391 (77.27)	1428 (71.83)
unemployed	535 (17.71)	79 (15.61)	373 (18.76)
etired	152 (5.03)	34 (6.72)	79 (3.97)
maternity leave	126 (4.17)	2 (0.40)	108 (5.43)
Nork rhythm during lockdown (no, %)			
no change in work rhythm	221 (12.06)	95 (20.83)	180 (10.58)
vork from home	747 (40.78)	176 (38.60)	702 (41.27)
otating shift work	280 (15.28)	68 (14.91)	264 (15.52)
part-time working hours	94 (5.13)	16 (3.51)	100 (5.88)
other	490 (26.75)	101 (22.15)	455 (26.75)
Living conditions during lockdown (no, %)			
alone	295 (9.75)	65 (12.85)	169 (8.50)
with a partner	510 (16.85)	79 (15.61)	337 (16.95)
vith partner and children	1038 (34.29)	203 (40.12)	675 (33.95)
vith children	178 (5.88)	6 (1.19)	123 (6.19)
with partner, children, and elderly	450 (14.87)	73 (14.43)	302 (15.19)
other	556 (18.37)	80 (15.81)	382 (19.22)

\*IQR – interquartile range; SD – standard deviation.

312 RESEARCH ARTICLE Croat Med J. 2020;61:309:18

before the lockdown (OR=0.756; P=0.004) decreased the likelihood of gaining weight. The frequency of drinking alcohol (P=0.154) and education level (P=0.116) did not affect the odds of gaining weight (Table 3).

# Mood changes

The respondents felt calm (P=0.012) and content (P<0.001, Table 4) more often before the lockdown than

during the lockdown. However, during the lockdown, they more frequently felt rested (P<0.001), but also more frequently afraid (P<0.001), discouraged (P<0.001), and sad (P<0.001, Table 4). A higher proportion of women felt moderately and very anxious (P=0.004), while no significant differences in anxiety were observed in men (Table 4 and Figure 1). There was an increase in the frequency of anxiety (P=0.001) and a decrease in the frequency of calmness (P<0.001) only among the respondents from Zagreb,

TABLE 2. Lifestyle habits before and during the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) lockdown\*

	Before lockdown	During lockdown	Р
All participants			
Cigarettes daily count	12.3 ± 7.8	$14.3 \pm 10.3$	<0.001 <sup>†</sup>
Coffee cups daily count	$2.1 \pm 1.0$	2.1 ± 1.1	0.003 <sup>†</sup>
Frequency of exercise weekly	$2.8 \pm 1.1$	$2.6 \pm 1.2$	<0.001 <sup>†</sup>
Duration of exercise (min)	57.9 ± 34.5	51.1 ± 37.7	<0.001 <sup>†</sup>
Alcohol (no, %)			
never	531 (19.1)	910 (32.1)	<0.001‡
once monthly	887 (31.9)	633 (22.3)	
up to 3 drinks weekly	898 (32.3)	773 (27.2)	
up to 7 drinks weekly	358 (12.9)	378 (13.3)	
up to 15 drinks weekly	76 (2.7)	96 (3.4)	
more than 15 drinks weekly	30 (1.1)	49 (1.7)	
Men			
Cigarettes daily count	$14.1 \pm 9.0$	14.5 ± 11.5	>0.999†
Coffee cups daily count	2.4 ± 1.2	$2.0 \pm 1.2$	<0.001 <sup>†</sup>
requency of exercise weekly	$2.8 \pm 1.1$	$2.7 \pm 1.2$	0.453 <sup>†</sup>
Duration of exercise (min)	61.2 ± 40.1	59.2 ± 55.9	>0.999 <sup>†</sup>
Alcohol (no, %)			
never	40 (8.4)	99 (20.5)	<0.001‡
once monthly	88 (18.4)	63 (13)	
up to 3 drinks weekly	178 (37.2)	144 (29.8)	
up to 7 drinks weekly	117 (24.4)	117 (24.2)	
up to 15 drinks weekly	39 (8.1)	33 (6.8)	
more than 15 drinks weekly	17 (3.5)	27 (5.6)	
Vomen			
Cigarettes daily count	11.8 ± 7.4	13.9 ± 9.8	<0.001 <sup>†</sup>
Coffee cups daily count	$2.1 \pm 1.0$	2.1 ± 1.1	>0.999 <sup>†</sup>
requency of exercise weekly	$2.8 \pm 1.0$	$2.7 \pm 1.2$	0.001 <sup>†</sup>
Duration of exercise (min)	55.6 ± 29.8	49.2 ± 32.5	<0.001 <sup>†</sup>
Alcohol (no, %)			
never	387 (21.5)	653 (35.2)	<0.001‡
once monthly	658 (36.5)	474 (25.5)	
up to 3 drinks weekly	559 (31)	486 (26.2)	
up to 7 drinks weekly	167 (9.3)	187 (10.1)	
up to 15 drinks weekly	23 (1.3)	43 (2.3)	
more than 15 drinks weekly	8 (0.4)	14 (0.8)	

 $<sup>{\</sup>tt *Data}\ are\ presented\ as\ mean\ \pm\ standard\ deviation\ for\ continuous\ variables,\ or\ frequencies\ (percentages).$ 

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger t\text{-test}$  for paired samples.

<sup>‡</sup>Wilcoxon signed rank test.

TABLE 3. Factors contributing to weight gain during the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) lockdown\*

			_	95% confidence	interval for OR
	В	Р	Odds ratio (OR)	lower	upper
Body mass index	0.110	< 0.001	1.116	1.086	1.147
Age	-0.006	0.119	0.994	0.986	1.002
Sex	1.003	< 0.001	2.726	2.043	3.636
Education level		0.116			
elementary school	0.611	0.262	1.842	0.634	5.356
high school	0.362	0.061	1.436	0.984	2.095
college or bachelor's degree	0.450	0.029	1.568	1.048	2.345
master's degree	0.473	0.009	1.606	1.127	2.287
Exercise before COVID-19	-0.280	0.004	0.756	0.625	0.915
Frequency of drinking alcohol		0.154			
never	0.454	0.362	1.575	0.593	4.180
once monthly	0.171	0.729	1.187	0.450	3.128
up to 3 drinks weekly	0.407	0.408	1.503	0.573	3.946
up to 7 drinks weekly	0.357	0.477	1.429	0.535	3.817
up to 15 drinks weekly	0.721	0.196	2.057	0.689	6.139

<sup>\*</sup>Nagelkerke  $R^2$  = 7.2%, logistic regression model significant at P < 0.001.

TABLE 4. Mood changes before and during coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) lockdown\*

	Before	During	P <sup>+</sup>		
	COVID-19 lockdown	COVID-19 lockdown	all participants	women	men
How often do you feel calm?	3 (2-3)	3 (2-3)	0.012	0.077	>0.999
How often do you feel rested?	2 (2-3)	3 (2-3)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
How often do you feel content?	3 (2-3)	2 (2-3)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
How often do you feel anxious?	2 (2-2)	2 (2-3)	0.041	0.004	>0.999
How often do you feel angry?	2 (2-2)	2 (1-2)	>0.999	>0.999	>0.999
How often do you feel afraid?	1 (1-2)	2 (1-2)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
How often do you feel discouraged?	2 (1-2)	2 (1-3)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
How often do you feel sad?	2 (1-2)	2 (1-2)	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

<sup>\*</sup>All questions were answered on a Likert scale 1-4.

TABLE 5. Mood changes before and during coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) among respondents experiencing Zagreb earthquake compared to others\*

	Respondents with no earthquake experience during lockdown (N=2536, 83.8%)			Respondents in Zagreb with earthquake experience during lockdown (N=491, 16.2%)		
	before COVID-19 lockdown	during COVID- 19 lockdown	P <sup>†</sup>	before COVID-19 lockdown	during COVID-19 lockdown	P <sup>†</sup>
How often do you feel calm?	3 (2-3)	3 (2-3)	0.814	3 (2-3)	2 (2-3)	< 0.001
How often do you feel rested?	2 (2-3)	3 (2-3)	< 0.001	2 (2-3)	3 (2-3)	< 0.001
How often do you feel content?	3 (2-3)	2 (2-3)	< 0.001	3 (2-3)	2 (2-3)	< 0.001
How often do you feel anxious?	2 (2-2)	2 (2-3)	>0.999	2 (2-2)	2 (2-3)	0.001
How often do you feel angry?	2 (2-2)	2 (1-2)	>0.999	2 (2-2)	2 (1-2)	>0.999
How often do you feel afraid?	1 (1-2)	2 (1-2)	< 0.001	1 (1-2)	2 (1-3)	< 0.001
How often do you feel discouraged?	2 (1-2)	2 (1-3)	< 0.001	1.5 (1-2)	2 (1-3)	< 0.001
How often do you feel sad?	2 (1-2)	2 (1-2)	< 0.001	2 (1-2)	2 (1-2)	< 0.001

<sup>\*</sup>All questions were answered on a Likert scale 1-4.

<sup>†</sup>two-related sample Wilcoxon signed rank test.

<sup>†</sup>Two-related sample Wilcoxon signed rank test.

**314** RESEARCH ARTICLE Croat Med J. 2020;61:309:18




FIGURE 1. Difference in the frequency of anxiety before and during coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) in men and women.

who also experienced an earthquake during the lockdown period, whereas other respondents reported no significant change (Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

In our study, respondents from the Croatian general population experienced lifestyle habits and mood changes during the COVID-19 lockdown. More precisely, women smoked more cigarettes per day, and the proportion of occasional alcohol drinkers of both sexes decreased and the proportion of those who do not drink or drink up to 7 drinks per week increased. The factors that increased the likelihood of gaining body weight were female sex, age from 30 to 49 years, college or master's degree, and more frequent alcohol consumption. Furthermore, the lockdown was associated with mood changes in terms of more pronounced restlessness, fear, discouragement, and sadness.

Our respondents followed most or all of the pandemic restrictions implemented by the government, which indicates a general trust in Croatian health authorities' COVID-19 outbreak management. However, the compliance with restrictions such as social distancing and home confinement can negatively affect work and home schedules (1), mental health (8), healthy lifestyle, and nutritional

habits (2,11). Since women and respondents with a higher education level had a better restriction compliance, their lifestyle habits might have been more affected.

Women reported a decreased frequency and duration of physical activity. Similarly, fewer women than men exercised even before the lockdown, which is in accordance with previous findings (12). The COVID-19 lockdown might accentuate the pre-existing sedentarism pandemic, and sedentary behavior might persist after the lockdown (13,14). Prolonged home confinement may lead to excessive physical inactivity (11,15), characterized as a major risk factor for cardiovascular and all-cause mortality (16-21) and associated with mood and mental health deterioration (22). Despite the World Health Organization's clear recommendations and guidelines on maintaining at least minimum physical activity during this critical period (23), and high accessibility of tools and guidance videos (24), engaging in regular exercise remains challenging (13). Therefore, health professionals should emphasize the beneficial roles of daily exercise, such as antioxidant and anti-inflammatory processes promotion (25), stress alleviation (22,26), and immune system defense activity enhancement (27). Thus, considering the negative consequences of reduced physical activity and sedentary behavior during the COVID-19 quarantine (15,28), it is of major relevance to assess lifestyle

changes during home confinement, especially in women. Effective interventions might help this vulnerable group maintain healthy body weight and reduce the risk factors for COVID-19.

In our study, 30.7% of respondents reported to have gained weight during the lockdown. This is not surprising considering the limited availability of fresh food and increased consumption of long-lasting and packaged food (2). The Mediterranean diet, rich in antioxidants, consumed in some parts of Croatia has highly protective cardiovascular effects (29,30). Still, during the lockdown a switch from the Mediterranean to an unhealthy diet might have occurred (2,31), possibly leading to increased oxidative stress and weight gain observed in our study (32-34).

Stress-related eating and drinking behavior has been identified as a way of stress management, especially in situations when there is a lack of social and emotional support (35-37). These oral behaviors are gender-specific, with women more frequently indulging in excessive eating and men in excessive drinking or smoking (35). In our study, a significant rise in anxiety levels in women might have led to an higher intake of unhealthy food. Indeed, women in our study showed an increased likelihood for gaining weight. It has been previously reported that women are more prone to adverse effects of sedentary behavior and unhealthy diet due to detrimental effects of estrogen level alterations on body weight, muscle mass, insulin resistance, oxidative stress, and blood pressure (12). Furthermore, taking into account the paradoxically low female adherence to healthy lifestyles (12), interventions addressing specifically women should be implemented.

During the lockdown our respondents smoked an increased number of cigarettes. However, little is known about smoking habits during the COVID-19 pandemic. During the first months, the number of searches for smoking cessation help on Google did not increase (38). It has been previously shown that quarantine may lead to smoking relapse (8), as well as increased food intake and obesity (39). Obesity and smoking can both worsen the symptoms and complications in COVID-19 patients and make individuals more vulnerable to the disease (40-43). Health professionals should develop adequate measures to avoid further increase in smoking rates and mitigate the consequences of the lockdown. Moreover, decreasing the detrimental effects of smoking and weight gain during the COVID-19 pandemic may foster the long-term sustainability of health care systems.

Stress has been reported to increase the desire for alcohol because of the dysregulation of the hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal axis and neuroadaptations in stress and reward pathways caused by chronic alcohol consumption (44). Increased neuroendocrine and behavioral reactivity as a consequence of social isolation was shown even in animal experimental models (45), but the relationship between stress and social isolation in the general population during COVID-19 is unique and remains unclear, especially when considering alcohol use (46). Moreover, a complex association of excessive alcohol consumption and immune pathways impairments has been long observed, along with alcohol's immunosuppressive effects, such as increased susceptibility to pneumonia (47). Even though our results showed a decreased proportion of occasional drinkers, there was an increased proportion of those who drink up to than 7 drinks per week or more. This result emphasizes the need for issuing public health warnings about alcohol abuse.

Earthquake survivors are reported to develop PTSD, anxiety, and depression symptoms (48). This might explain the increase in the frequency of anxiety among respondents from Zagreb, who experienced an earthquake during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Several potential limitations of this study have to be mentioned. The majority of the respondents were highly educated women with internet access, which may limit the applicability of the results to the general population. Indeed, women were previously reported to show a decreased adherence to healthy lifestyle habits (12). However, as during the lockdown men and women could have more equally divided household and family responsibilities due to the decreased occupational workload, gender-related differences in pre-lockdown lifestyle habits were not the only factors that influenced lifestyle changes (12). Women were reported to be especially vulnerable to more severe psychological distress during quarantine (49), probably due to the previously reported greater health awareness (50). The higher level of perceived stress in women might add to their increased anxiety levels in this study. Therefore, we believe that a more equal gender distribution in our study would not have substantially changed the findings. In fact, the unequal gender distribution might be considered an important finding, as it indicates that women were more willing to participate in the study. Other potential sources of bias are self-assessment and snowball sampling technique. However, the chain referral process allowed for a rapid questionnaire distribution and capturing the

**316** RESEARCH ARTICLE Croat Med J. 2020;61:309-18

lockdown-related changes at their peak. In addition, a large number of participants was accessed due to the fast and timely response. Furthermore, social distancing at the time of data collection prevented us from conducting studies involving a direct contact with respondents.

Although the population targeted with our sampling technique may not serve as a representative sample of the whole population, it may represent a growing segment of the population. More detailed subgroup analyses can contribute to designing a tailored approach in the current and future infectious outbreaks. Furthermore, we did not collect and analyze data on nutritional habits, which could elucidate the intertwined relationship of food intake, physical exercise, and body weight changes. Finally, we gathered no data on COVID-19 positivity.

In conclusion, our study was the first to provide data on lifestyle behaviors during the COVID-19 quarantine in Croatia, showing a decrease in physical activity and increase in smoking and body weight in a sample from the Croatian general population. These findings indicate that low dietary quality, lack of physical exercise, and increased to-bacco and alcohol consumption might deteriorate overall and mental health outcomes and increase the global disease burden. Despite the Croatian general population's great compliance with pandemic restrictions, our findings warrant the introduction of simultaneous lifestyle interventions. Thus, public health authorities should promote the adoption of healthy lifestyles in order to reduce long-term negative effects of the lockdown.

#### Funding None.

**Ethical approval** given by the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of Split School of Medicine (2181-198-03-04-20-0056).

Declaration of authorship ZĐ, MV, and RP conceived and designed the study; LLK, IPD, SD, and KM acquired the data; all authors analyzed and interpreted the data; LLK, IPD, SD and KM drafted the manuscript; ZĐ and RP critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content; all authors gave approval of the version to be submitted; all authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Competing interests ZĐ is the dean of the Split University School of Medicine, one of the owners of the *Croatian Medical Journal*. To ensure that any possible conflict of interest relevant to the journal has been addressed, this article was reviewed according to best practice guidelines of international editorial organizations. All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at <a href="https://www.icmje.org/coi\_disclosure.pdf">www.icmje.org/coi\_disclosure.pdf</a> (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

#### References

1 Altena E, Baglioni C, Espie CA, Ellis J, Gavriloff D, Holzinger B, et al. Dealing with sleep problems during home confinement due to

- the COVID-19 outbreak: Practical recommendations from a task force of the European CBT-I Academy. J Sleep Res. 2020:e13052. Medline:32246787 doi:10.1111/jsr.13052
- 2 Mattioli AV, Ballerini Puviani M, Nasi M, Farinetti A. COVID-19 pandemic: the effects of quarantine on cardiovascular risk. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2020; Epub ahead of print. Medline:32371988 doi:10.1038/s41430-020-0646-z
- 3 Xiang M, Zhang Z, Kuwahara K. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescents' lifestyle behavior larger than expected. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2020; Epub ahead of print. Medline:32360513 doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.013
- 4 Balanza-Martinez V, Atienza-Carbonell B, Kapczinski F, De Boni RB. Lifestyle behaviours during the COVID-19 - time to connect. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2020;141:399-400. Medline:32324252 doi:10.1111/acps.13177
- Narici M, De Vito G, Franchi M, Paoli A, Moro T, Marcolin G, et al. Impact of sedentarism due to the COVID-19 home confinement on neuromuscular, cardiovascular and metabolic health: Physiological and pathophysiological implications and recommendations for physical and nutritional countermeasures. Eur J Sport Sci. 2020; Epub ahead of print. Medline:32394816 doi:10.1080/17461391.202
- Ferreira MJ, Irigoyen MC, Consolim-Colombo F, Saraiva JFK, De Angelis K. Physically active lifestyle as an approach to confronting COVID-19. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2020; Epub ahead of print. Medline:32324841
- 7 Stein RA, Ometa O. When public health crises collide: social disparities and COVID-19. Int J Clin Pract. 2020:e13524. Medline:32408388
- Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020;395:912-20. Medline:32112714 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8
- 9 Gonzalez-Sanguino C, Ausin B, Angel Castellanos M, Saiz J, Lopez-Gomez A, Ugidos C, et al. Mental health consequences during the initial stage of the 2020 Coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) in Spain. Brain Behav Immun. 2020; Epub ahead of print. Medline:32405150 doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.040
- 10 Yang H, Ma J. How an epidemic outbreak impacts happiness: factors that worsen (vs. protect) emotional well-being during the Coronavirus pandemic. Psychiatry Res. 2020;289:113045. Medline:32388418 doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113045
- 11 Chen P, Mao L, Nassis GP, Harmer P, Ainsworth BE, Li F. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): The need to maintain regular physical activity while taking precautions. J Sport Health Sci. 2020;9:103-4. Medline:32099716 doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2020.02.001
- 12 Sciomer S, Moscucci F, Maffei S, Gallina S, Mattioli AV. Prevention of cardiovascular risk factors in women: The lifestyle paradox and stereotypes we need to defeat. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2019;26:609-10.

#### Medline:30373379 doi:10.1177/2047487318810560

- Hall G, Laddu DR, Phillips SA, Lavie CJ, Arena R. A tale of two pandemics: How will COVID-19 and global trends in physical inactivity and sedentary behavior affect one another? Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2020; Epub ahead of print. Medline:32277997 doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.005
- Bernstein MS, Morabia A, Sloutskis D. Definition and prevalence of sedentarism in an urban population. Am J Public Health. 1999;89:862-7. Medline:10358676 doi:10.2105/AJPH.89.6.862
- 15 Lippi G, Henry BM, Sanchis-Gomar F. Physical inactivity and cardiovascular disease at the time of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2020:906; Epub ahead of print. Medline:32270698 doi:10.1177/2047487320916823
- 16 Cheng W, Zhang Z, Yang C, Diao L, Liu W. Associations of leisure-time physical activity with cardiovascular mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 44 prospective cohort studies. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2018;25:1864-72. Medline:30157685 doi:10.1177/2047487318795194
- 17 Lear SA, Hu W, Rangarajan S, Gasevic D, Leong D, Iqbal R, et al. The effect of physical activity on mortality and cardiovascular disease in 130 000 people from 17 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: the PURE study. Lancet. 2017;390:2643-54. Medline:28943267 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31634-3
- 18 Patterson R, McNamara E, Tainio M, de Sa TH, Smith AD, Sharp SJ, et al. Sedentary behaviour and risk of all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality, and incident type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose response meta-analysis. Eur J Epidemiol. 2018;33:811-29. Medline:29589226 doi:10.1007/s10654-018-0380-1
- 19 Li J, Siegrist J. Physical activity and risk of cardiovascular disease—a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2012;9:391-407. Medline:22470299 doi:10.3390/ ijerph9020391
- 20 Owen N, Sparling PB, Healy GN, Dunstan DW, Matthews CE. Sedentary behavior: emerging evidence for a new health risk. Mayo Clin Proc. 2010;85:1138-41. Medline:21123641 doi:10.4065/mcp.2010.0444
- 21 Oguma Y, Shinoda-Tagawa T. Physical activity decreases cardiovascular disease risk in women: review and meta-analysis. Am J Prev Med. 2004;26:407-18. Medline:15165657 doi:10.1016/j. amepre.2004.02.007
- 22 Sharma A, Madaan V, Petty FD. Exercise for mental health. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry. 2006;8:106. Medline:16862239 doi:10.4088/PCC.v08n0208a
- 23 WHO guideline. "Stay physically active during self-quarantine" (2020). Available from: https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/technical-guidance/stay-physically-active-during-self-quarantine. Accessed: August 21, 2020.
- 24 Tate DF, Lyons EJ, Valle CG. High-tech tools for exercise motivation: use and role of technologies such as the internet, mobile

- applications, social media, and video games. Diabetes Spectr. 2015;28:45-54. Medline:25717278 doi:10.2337/diaspect.28.1.45
- 25 Mury P, Chirico EN, Mura M, Millon A, Canet-Soulas E, Pialoux V. Oxidative stress and inflammation, key targets of atherosclerotic plaque progression and vulnerability: potential impact of physical activity. Sports Med. 2018;48:2725-41. Medline:30302720 doi:10.1007/s40279-018-0996-z
- 26 Mikkelsen K, Stojanovska L, Polenakovic M, Bosevski M, Apostolopoulos V. Exercise and mental health. Maturitas. 2017;106:48-56. Medline:29150166 doi:10.1016/j. maturitas.2017.09.003
- 27 Nieman DC, Wentz LM. The compelling link between physical activity and the body's defense system. J Sport Health Sci. 2019;8:201-17. Medline:31193280 doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2018.09.009
- 28 Goethals L, Barth N, Guyot J, Hupin D, Celarier T, Bongue B. Impact of home quarantine on physical activity among older adults living at home during the COVID-19 pandemic: qualitative interview study. JMIR Aging. 2020;3:e19007. Medline:32356777 doi:10.2196/19007
- 29 Mattioli AV, Coppi F, Migaldi M, Scicchitano P, Ciccone MM, Farinetti A. Relationship between Mediterranean diet and asymptomatic peripheral arterial disease in a population of premenopausal women. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2017;27:985-90. Medline:29074382 doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2017.09.011
- 30 Mattioli AV, Sciomer S, Moscucci F, Maiello M, Cugusi L, Gallina S, et al. Cardiovascular prevention in women: a narrative review from the Italian Society of Cardiology working groups on 'Cardiovascular Prevention, Hypertension and peripheral circulation' and on 'Women Disease'. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown). 2019;20:575-83. Medline:31246698 doi:10.2459/JCM.0000000000000831
- 31 Blendon RJ, Benson JM, DesRoches CM, Raleigh E, Taylor-Clark K. The public's response to severe acute respiratory syndrome in Toronto and the United States. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;38:925-31.
  Medline:15034821 doi:10.1086/382355
- 32 Fang YZ, Yang S, Wu G. Free radicals, antioxidants, and nutrition. Nutrition. 2002;18:872-9. Medline:12361782 doi:10.1016/S0899-9007(02)00916-4
- 33 Leon-Munoz LM, Garcia-Esquinas E, Soler-Vila H, Guallar-Castillon P, Banegas JR, Rodriguez-Artalejo F. Unhealthy eating behaviors and weight gain: A prospective study in young and middle-age adults. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2016;24:1178-84. Medline:27030406 doi:10.1002/oby.21477
- 34 Vetrani C, Costabile G, Di Marino L, Rivellese AA. Nutrition and oxidative stress: a systematic review of human studies. Int J Food Sci Nutr. 2013;64:312-26. Medline:23121370 doi:10.3109/09637486 .2012.738651
- 35 Torres SJ, Nowson CA. Relationship between stress, eating behavior, and obesity. Nutrition. 2007;23:887-94. Medline:17869482 doi:10.1016/j.nut.2007.08.008
- 36 Halford JC. Pharmacology of appetite suppression: implication

**318** RESEARCH ARTICLE Croat Med J. 2020;61:309-18

- for the treatment of obesity. Curr Drug Targets. 2001;2:353-70. Medline:11732637 doi:10.2174/1389450013348209
- 37 Takeda E, Terao J, Nakaya Y, Miyamoto K, Baba Y, Chuman H, et al. Stress control and human nutrition. J Med Invest. 2004;51:139-45. Medline:15460899 doi:10.2152/jmi.51.139
- 38 Heerfordt C, Heerfordt IM. Has there been an increased interest in smoking cessation during the first months of the COVID-19 pandemic? A Google Trends study. Public Health. 2020;183:6-7. Medline:32388011 doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2020.04.012
- 39 Patwardhan P. COVID-19: Risk of increase in smoking rates among England's 6 million smokers and relapse among England's 11 million ex-smokers. BJGP Open. 2020; Epub ahead of print. Medline:32265183 doi:10.3399/bjgpopen20X101067
- 40 Cochrane WJ, Afolabi OA. Investigation into the nutritional status, dietary intake and smoking habits of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004;17:3-11.
  Medline:14718026 doi:10.1046/j.1365-277X.2003.00478.x
- 41 Pan A, Wang Y, Talaei M, Hu FB. Relation of smoking with total mortality and cardiovascular events among patients with diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Circulation. 2015;132:1795-804. Medline:26311724 doi:10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.115.017926
- 42 Underner M, Perriot J, Peiffer G, Meurice JC. Influence of tobacco smoking on the risk of developing asthma. Rev Mal Respir. 2015;32:110-37. Medline:25765119 doi:10.1016/j.rmr.2014.07.014
- 43 Gemes K, Talback M, Modig K, Ahlbom A, Berglund A, Feychting M, et al. Burden and prevalence of prognostic factors for severe COVID-19 in Sweden. Eur J Epidemiol. 2020;35:401-9. Medline:32424571 doi:10.1007/s10654-020-00646-z

- 44 Koob G, Kreek MJ. Stress, dysregulation of drug reward pathways, and the transition to drug dependence. Am J Psychiatry. 2007;164:1149-59. Medline:17671276 doi:10.1176/appi. aip.2007.05030503
- 45 Weiss IC, Pryce CR, Jongen-Relo AL, Nanz-Bahr NI, Feldon J. Effect of social isolation on stress-related behavioural and neuroendocrine state in the rat. Behav Brain Res. 2004;152:279-95. Medline:15196796 doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2003.10.015
- 46 Clay JM, Parker MO. Alcohol use and misuse during the COVID-19 pandemic: a potential public health crisis? Lancet Public Health. 2020;5:e259. Medline:32277874 doi:10.1016/52468-2667(20)30088-8
- 47 Sarkar D, Jung MK, Wang HJ. Alcohol and the immune system. Alcohol Res. 2015;37:153-5.
- 48 Cénat JM, McIntee SE, Blais-Rochette C. Symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder, depression, anxiety and other mental health problems following the 2010 earthquake in Haiti: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2020;273:55-85. Medline:32421623 doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.04.046
- 49 Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. Psychiatry Res. 2020;287:112921. Medline:32240896 doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112921
- 50 Gijsbers van Wijk CM, Huisman H, Kolk AM. Gender differences in physical symptoms and illness behavior. A health diary study. Soc Sci Med. 1999;49:1061-74. Medline:10475670 doi:10.1016/S0277-9536(99)00196-3