

Article

Supporting searchers' desire for emplacement in Berlin: Informal practices in defiance of an (im)mobility regime

Bhimji, Fazila

Available at http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/37218/

Bhimji, Fazila ORCID: 0000-0002-7234-852X (2021) Supporting searchers' desire for emplacement in Berlin: Informal practices in defiance of an (im)mobility regime. Migration Letters, 18 (2). pp. 185-195. ISSN 1741-8984

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. 10.33182/ml.v18i2.1182

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <u>http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/</u> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <u>http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</u>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>policies</u> page.



March 2021 Volume: 18, No: 2, pp. 185 – 195 ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online) journals.tplondon.com/ml

TRANSNATIONAL PRESS®

- 1 Received: 21 October 2020 Accepted: 31 October 2020
- 2 DOI: https://doi.org/10.33182/ml.v18i2.1182
- Supporting searchers' desire for emplacement in Berlin: Informal
 practices in defiance of an (im)mobility regime ¹
- 6 Fazila Bhimji²

7 Abstract

8 The article traces the ways in which refugees in precarious legal and economic circumstances in Lagers (refugee camps) in 9 Germany participate in informal practices to reverse their displaced positions. More specifically, the paper demonstrates 10 how refugees work in conjunction with a Berlin-based solidarity group in order to find access to informally organized 11 housing outside of the formal bureaucratic state system. The study shows that refugees' engagement with informal structures 12 must be understood as struggles towards emplacement and formality. Much scholarship has discussed the economic aspects 13 of informality in the global South and post socialist countries. However, there is little discussion on how refugees may 14 engage in informal practices within the nation-state in order to find emplacement and achieve formality. The article 15 additionally demonstrates how informal acts are co-produced between citizens and refugees in the process of searching and 16 offering of living places outside state defined formal systems. Thus, informality needs to be understood as resistance against 17 displacement, struggles towards emplacement and formality. The study draws on ethnographic data and on-going 18 participation in a Berlin-based grassroots group, Schlafplatzorga, which supports refugees on an informal level with 19 temporary accommodation.

20 **Keywords:** Berlin; informal practices; mobility regime

21 Introduction: Informality and (Im)Mobility

When I met James at Daniela's party, he told me that he had been searching for rooms in Berlin for several months. James had an Italian nationality and was of Gambian origin and had the rights to work and live in Germany. He had stayed at Daniela's place for a few weeks. At the party, he chatted with the guests who were of various nationalities, ethnicities, and sexual orientation and seemed at ease. I asked him how he knew Daniela and he told me that he had met her through the solidarity group Schlafplatzorga. He pointed to the couch he had slept on.

This vignette illustrates James' search for accommodation in Berlin through informal channels and his refusal to contend with formal bureaucratic structures in order to find accommodation. This article interrogates how refugees comprehend their acts in relation to state powers and mobility regimes and which types of informal practices enable them to

33 traverse regimes of mobility.



¹ Many thanks to Ignacio Fradejas-Garcia, Abel Polese and the anonymous reviewers for their comments on the drafts of this article.

² Fazila Bhimji, University of Central Lancashire, U.K. Nelly Wernet, Freie Universität, Berlin, Germany.

E-mail: fbhimji@uclan.ac.uk.

The concept of informality has been largely discussed in the context of explaining the 34 35 differences between the informal and the formal economy. The informal economy has been 36 primarily understood as "a process of income generation characterized by one central feature: 37 it is unregulated by the institutions of society, in a legal and social environment in which 38 similar activities are regulated" (Castells and Portes, 1989:12). Bromley and Wilson (2018) 39 point out that in the neoliberal era, the persistence of the informal economy relates to the 40 instability of labor markets that results from global environmental change, economic 41 globalization, neoliberalism, financialization, rising socioeconomic inequalities and 42 technological change. To this end Bromley and Wilson (2018) suggest that informality is a 43 function of shifting economic and sociopolitical dynamics. Although there has been much 44 discussion of the notion of informal economy, there has also been a focus on informality in 45 the context of illegal organizations, kinship groups, interpersonal networks, as well as informal 46 political and civic structures (Granovetter, 1973; Lomnitz, 1988; Shellev et al. 2007; Thelen, 2011; Aliyev, 2015). The field of informality has been additionally understood to 'take shape 47 48 through the neglect, denial or challenge of a formal source of authority and rule-making, 49 including the state and its prerogative to regulate a particular aspect of its social or economic life' (Polese et al 2019:8). Polese et al (2019) point to cases in which state institutions do not 50 51 regulate a particular exchange and interaction, so citizens mobilize in response to make up for 52 this deficiency. Refugees' accounts presented in this paper point to the value of understanding 53 informal practices as insurgence against state power and involve struggles for emplacements 54 and formalization, under conditions in which the state displaces them and neglects to care for 55 their wellbeing, imposes internal border regimes and threatens them with deportation.

56 In the state assigned camps, such as in Germany, refugees experience 'displacement' which 57 includes not only a range of mobilities including border-crossing migration, but also the increasing precarity of those considered locals who experience various forms of dispossession 58 59 (Glick Schiller and Çağlar 2015; Morell, 2014). Emplacement is understood as the social 60 processes through which a dispossessed individual builds or rebuilds networks of connection within the constraints and opportunities of a specific city (Glick Schiller and Cağlar 2013). To 61 this end, the paper demonstrates how refugees who decided to locate to Berlin through 62 63 informal networks contest displacement in assigned federal states and Lagers and struggle for 64 emplacement.

65 The paper thus demonstrates that informal/'illegal' actions of refugees should be understood as subtle resistance against the state stemming from people's desire for emplacement, and to 66 counter experiences of displacement related to 'mobility regimes' and everyday experiences 67 of racism, bureaucracy and state power. According to Glick Schiller and Salazar (2013) a 68 69 'regime of mobility' is connected to unequal globe-spanning relationships of power. Thus a 70 'regime of mobility' in this study is understood as 'confinements and modes of exploitation' 71 (Salazar and Smart 2011) such that even when refugees manage to cross transnational borders, 72 they find themselves in unequal power relations with the state because of Germany's 73 restrictive laws with respect to mobility within the state and exploitative conditions in camps: 74 they thus experience multiple layers of internal border regimes (El-Kayed and Ulrike Hamann 75 2018). Furthermore, many refugees who arrive from other EU countries and so called 'safe 76 countries' experience deportation threats. Consequently, refugees try to find ways to move 77 out of these camps using informal ways and means. While the state and official discourses 78 criminalise such informal practices, we are of the view that informal practices need to be

Migration Letters



79 understood as quests by refugees for emplacements and constitute resistance against 80 restrictions on movement.

81 Methodology

The paper draws on ethnographic data from participation in a solidarity group, 82 83 Schlafplatzorga (SPO), which has supported homeless refugees based in Berlin since the year 84 2014. During the so called refugee crisis of 2015, the group lent support to the numerous 85 solidarity groups which had sprung up in Berlin, but the focus of the SPO remained on supporting refugees who had arrived prior to 2015 who were homeless because of deportation 86 87 threats and were affected by the Dublin rules.³ The authors of this paper participated regularly 88 in shifts where refugees approached the group in search for housing in Berlin in fund-raising 89 activities, and also offered counseling to refugees with respect to immigration laws. The first 90 author conducted interviews with 10 refugees in the year 2018 in order to understand the 91 reasons they left their state-assigned Lager (camps) and came to Berlin. The interlocutors were 92 from various African countries, since the majority of refugees who approached SPO were 93 from these regions. One of the interlocutors selected was of East Asian origin because he 94 frequently accessed SPO and had resided in various EU countries. The author acquired verbal 95 consent of refugees she interviewed. To ensure anonymity during the data write up, all participants were given pseudonyms. We were conscious of our respective privileges in 96 97 relation to the people we worked with and there were regular discussions of our multiple 98 privileges during our participation in the group as activists and in my case in the dual role of 99 activist/researcher. One author was a German citizen of white middle class background and 100 the other author was a person of color and of migration background but had British and EU 101 citizenship and a stable work situation. The first author spoke multiple languages such as 102 French, Spanish, Urdu and some German, which facilitated connection with the people who came to SPO in search of accommodation. Several of the people who came to the shifts were 103 104 also Muslims and this author's Muslim background also facilitated some levels of connection. 105 The second author was a University student and a German and EU citizen, was well versed in English and had resided in Berlin all her life, which helped in furthering links since she was 106 107 accustomed to working with people from various migration backgrounds and was active in several groups supporting refugees. We tried to minimize hierarchies through including 108 109 refugees in social and political events such as by inviting them to parties, dinners, picnics and demonstrations but it proved difficult to include the individuals in the decision making 110 111 process of the day to day running of the group since many of them because could not attend the weekly plenary meetings on a regular basis because of various personal commitments. 112 113 However, there were some refugees who also participated as full members of SPO from time 114 to time. Members of SPO referred to refugees as 'searchers' and recognized the fact that 115 'refugees', and 'asylum-seekers' were state imposed categories and thus made a conscious 116 decision not to use them in their everyday language. However, for the purpose of this paper, 117 it was difficult not to employ such imposed categories and we decided to employ the term 'refugees'4 and 'people'. 118

³ The Dublin agreement (first signed in 1990) states that people have to apply for asylum in the first EU member state they reach The Dublin rule were eased in 2015-2016 for Syrian refugees arriving from Greece, but it remained in effect for the refugees for many of refugees which SPO supported who arrived to Germany from countries other than Syria.

⁴ See De Genova et al (2018) for the mobilization of the category of 'refugees'

119

120 Mobility Regimes and Refugee Camps in Germany

In Germany, refugees are assigned to the different Lagers (refugee camps) and 121 122 accommodations in particular federal states by the system known as EASY (Initial Distribution of Asylum Seekers). Thus refugees, during the asylum procedure have no 123 124 autonomy in the selection of their place of residence when they first arrive to the country. 125 Each of the 16 federal states within Germany has considerable leeway with respect to the 126 types of law they wish to impose with respect to refugee rights. In this way, the states can 127 erect internal borders through control of their own districts (El Kayad and Hamann 2018). 128 For example, the districts can impose the law called Residenzpflicht, (residence obligation) which 129 restricts movement of refugees within German; this law is applied especially at the start of 130 their asylum procedure even after the refugee manages to cross various national borders.

Conditions in the Lagers are very difficult and people who reside there confront bureaucracy 131 on an everyday basis. Josiah Heyman understands bureaucracies as the following: "[...] they 132 133 are means to an end, ways of carrying out the work of shaping and controlling other human 134 beings. Files are records to track people and places; rules allow reference to legitimate and 135 consistent standards beyond personal or kin relations to justify what is often, in fact, raw 136 political calculation. [...] Bureaucracies are, above all, instruments of power." (Heyman 2004: 137 488). To this end, through registrations at entrance on a regular basis, regimented mealtimes, regulations of work in the Lager, and frequent controlling and tracking of people--which may 138 139 differ between the different types of Lagers across federal states and the different private 140 companies owning the Lagers-- power was imposed upon the individuals residing there. In 141 the case of refugee accommodation centres, the state delegates its' power to the federal state, 142 the Auslanderbehorde (the foreigner's office), the security guards at the refugee camps and the 143 local police, all of whom then have the power to govern immigrants' lives. Thus, the 144 experience of staying at the Lager should be understood as an imposition of state power, the 145 contemporary novel character and intentions of which have attracted much discussion 146 particularly in relation to refugees (see for example Bigo 2002; Darling 2011; Walters 2004). As Saltsman (2013) asserts state bureaucracies have thus rationalized increasingly oppressive 147 methods to regulate migration and as representatives of bureaucracies, authorities can 148 149 maintain the sort of rational-legal authority Weber describes; their institutional affiliation is, 150 in itself, a source of power built on a solid foundation of rules, policies, and best practices.

151 It is easy to apprehend the reasons that refugees try to find ways to defy their situation and 152 relocate to Berlin, especially given that certain sections of the city offer a different and cosmopolitan experience. Furthermore, and consequently in Berlin, refugees are able to 153 informally network with the Left in German society, who support and welcome refugees; thus 154 155 they are able to ultimately find support in ways which could potentially help them to formalise their status. Some refugees, upon having stayed in Germany for a certain amount of period, 156 157 are allowed to search for their own flats in the specific federal state to which they are assigned 158 and receive financial support for this. However, the process is rather complex, for even such individuals and refugees are forced to contend with bureaucratic institutions and racialization. 159 160 As De Genoa notes,



Anyone concerned with questions of race and racism today must readily recognize that they present themselves in a particularly acute way in the European migration context, haunted as Europe's borders are by an appalling proliferation of almost exclusively non-European/ nonwhite migrant and refugee deaths and other forms of structural violence and generalized suffering. (2018:1768).

In Germany, the non-white refugees come to be racialized when they are isolated in the 166 167 Lagers, when their movements are restricted within the state, when they face intense 168 securitization, when they encounter language barriers when the state insists that they speak 169 and understand German, but provides 'integration' courses to selected refugees with legal 170 status. Thus, these forms of blatant structural inequalities make the flat searches and 171 prolonged residence quite difficult for refugees within the federal state in which they are 172 registered. Furthermore, the difficult of obtaining a flat is further exacerbated by 173 bureaucracies. For example, in order to obtain a flat in Germany, one has to undergo several 174 steps including online registration and presentation of a 'SCHUFA', which is a score based 175 on the tenant's credit history and other documents.

176 Refugees additionally contend with the bureaucracy involved in seeking work in Germany. 177 Refugees have the right to work following some months of residence, based on their 178 individual circumstances and status. However, in order to obtain work, people need to first 179 find ways to comprehend the bureaucratic nature of finding work such as accessing vocational 180 training programs and German language classes. This proves to be difficult since they remain 181 isolated in the Lagers --which as mentioned are often located in far-flung areas and towns and 182 where anti-immigrant sentiments and fear of immigrants run high. Furthermore, it becomes 183 potentially difficult to find vocational training and employment in smaller towns where 184 refugees are put in competition with local residents.

185 Many refugees are threatened by deportation, since the Dublin agreement (first signed in 1990) states that people have to apply for asylum in the first EU member state they reach 186 187 (Bhimji 2016). People in such situations try to not be visible to state institutions, and therefore 188 they become dependent on informal housing and work possibilities, as the formal housing 189 and working opportunities are always connected to making their own place of residence 190 known to the state. Nevertheless, people are put under a lot of pressure to register with the 191 state and enter the bureaucratic system. In this way, the state is presumably able to govern 192 and remove the migrants. As access to housing in the formal way is only possible with papers 193 and registration, people are pushed into informality and very precarious housing or they have 194 to expose themselves to state power and contend with the power of bureaucracies. Thus many 195 people try to leave the Lager system and their federal state and try to live and find work in the capital. However, to move to a different federal state entails an even more difficult 196 197 bureaucratic process. The individual has to justify why they need to move. For example, they 198 need to produce a work contract which in itself would be difficult if they had not lived in that 199 state. Furthermore, they need to show that a family member is living there already and they 200 additionally need to demonstrate to the authorities that they already have a place where they 201 could live. As Ang who encountered homophobia in his assigned federal state and came to 202 Berlin and who after a few years relocated to Malmö explained:

Ang: There is a strange rule in Germany for moving from a city to another city. Asylseeker can't move to another city. Or I have to have an Ausbildung (it's a lower step than Studium) but I studied in Germany.

204 *It's a strange situation. A guy who might have studied medicine also has to show a work contract. And for*

190 Supporting searchers' desire for emplacement in Berlin

206 moving this guy has to learn skill to work in Lidl (Supermarket). Moving from one part of Germany to 207 another is harder than moving to another EU country.

Despite the difficulties of relocating from one state to another, many refugees resist the Lager system and elect to reside in Berlin which they understand as multicultural and relatively open towards refugees. Many end up on the streets of Berlin and it is through networking in informal ways that they find accommodation and work. We highlight in this paper how people who decided to move to Berlin networked with each other as well as with members of a solidarity group such as Schlafplatzorga.

214 Informal Endeavours and Solidarity

215 Schlafplatzorga emerged following the refugees' protests and the occupation of Oranienplatz 216 in Berlin and the subsequent occupation of a school building between 2012 and 2014. One of 217 the main demands of the movement was the abolition of the Lager systems and the 218 *Residenzpflicht* (the law, that people could not move freely inside Germany). Following, the 219 state eviction of refugees from their different spaces which they had occupied during the 220 protests, Berlin residents started to offer their homes as places for refugees. In October 2014, following the city's eviction of people who were involved in the movement, people who had 221 222 actively supported the political struggles at Oranienplatz started to organize emergency 223 solutions, by collecting contacts of people who could possibly offer a place in their flats or a 224 couch for a few days either free of cost or for minimum rent. The work of SPO should be 225 understood as expressions of solidarity with refugees. In contrast to large-scale humanitarian 226 organisations, solidarity groups aim to be less hierarchical and try to develop horizontal 227 relations with the people they support. In this sense, it contrasts both hospitality⁵ and 228 bureaucratic frameworks of assistance to immigrants and refugees distinctive of the 229 humanitarian realm (Rozakou 2016). Rozakou comprehends solidarity in terms of 'sociality 230 such that solidarity resonates with potent moral ideals of how society should be, and how people should relate with one another.' 231

232 The aim of SPO was to provide solidarity with refugees who wanted to free themselves of the 233 formal category of the term 'refugee', which in Zetter's (1991) understanding is in itself a 234 bureaucratic identity. The decision by the mediating crew to start this work was from the 235 group's perspective influenced by the 'common struggle' such that people were not so much 236 random "poor" refugees anymore but rather 'comrades' with very different privileges. Thus, 237 the group formed the anti-racist view that some of the initial motivations came from a 238 different perspective than (just) an idea of "helping" "poor" people. Thus, an informal group 239 Schlafplatzorga was formed. This group continues to function to date.

240 SPO is a group that has yet to attain and indeed debates the value limitations of having formal 241 NGO status. The members of SPO include young people, University students, as well as the 242 'searchers.' Members are recruited during demonstrations, University orientations, during 243 fund-raising activities and by word of mouth. The working structure of SPO was and 244 continues to be relatively simple and informal. There is an opening hour three evenings a 245 week, for people who are searching for a sleeping place for the same night, or for those who 246 may simply need information. Two to three members of the group are generally present, who 247 contact potential hosts, to find out if they could offer a room for the people who are searching.



⁵ See Didier Fassin's (2012) discussion on 'Ambivalent Hospitality' and protection of one's own 'hospitality.'

If there is an offer, then people are mediated there. The aim of SPO among other things is to 248 249 build a community, obtain financial resources, and provide information to searchers and 250support them with accommodation. The group also collaborates with registered non-profit 251 groups. In some instances, refugees also tried to raise funds informally. In one instance, 252 Angela and the people she was residing with set up regular Facebook nail-art events with food 253 and drinks, which became instantly popular. In other instances, members of the group (on an 254 informal level), sell summer cocktails in parks. To this end, the work of SPO needs to be 255 understood as taking place "in spite of the state" (Polese et al 2019) such as when the state 256 does not care sufficiently about people's well-being and racializes them. Consequently citizens 257 intervene and mobilise in order to make up for state neglect and deficiency (Polese et al. 258 2017a; Polese and Morris 2015).

There were some regulations within the SPO with respect to issuance of public transportation tickets. However, SPO's work was directly connected to the needs formulated by the searchers which eliminated some of the bureaucratic power structures. At the same time, there was the disadvantage that individuals within Schlafplatzorga yielded substantial power with regard to decision making such as whom to mention in a plenary and where best to invest their efforts and energies.

Now we turn to explain how refugees made decisions about leaving their Lagers and how theynetworked with the group and its members.

267 Leaving the State Assigned 'Lager'

268 Refugees, the majority of whom were men from countries such as the Gambia, Senegal, 269 Mauritania, Mali, Chad, Kenya and Cameroon and in some instances Afghanistan, Pakistan 270 and Iran heard about SPO and the shifts through word of mouth upon arrival in Berlin and 271 in some instances even prior to their arrival in their camps. However, the asylum status of the 272 'searchers' as they were termed by the SPO members tended to vary. Refugees' decisions to 273 leave their camps need to be understood as actions which pursued the dynamics of 'survival 274 tactics and a strategy of enrichment' (Polese et al. 2018). Such practices demonstrate refugees' 275 endeavours towards emplacements in Berlin and resistance against displacement, which they 276 experienced in their state assigned Lagers.

- The following paragraphs illustrate some of the reasons refugees offered for leaving theirLager and arriving in Berlin and seeking support of the organisation SPO:
- 279 Lamine: I decided to find a steady place where I could live and to move out from the Heim'. Because I am an activist doing a lot of activity. So for during those six months, I thought Berlin could be the best place for me and from there I tried to contact this association Schlafplatzorga. I was motivated to be in Berlin, Berlin is big. Berlin is multicultural. You are really isolated in the camp. So this is what inspired me to leave the Lager' and I say that okay, I cannot leave the Lager' legally because I was not allowed to leave then- but then I
- 284 broke those so called rules.
- 285 Angela: We were at a workshop at the University and saw that they had a table with flyers up showing that
- 286 had accommodation for refugees. We reached out to Beatte and her colleague. They told us that they could help
- 287 us with temporary accommodation for a day or two or a few days in Berlin. We were with the 'Stop Deportation
- 288 Group' and then we had a table where we were selling cocktails and so they had a table right opposite us and
- 289 this is how we came to know SPO.

290

Mohammed: Until I was in Berlin I was in a small town. I heard SPO about through a friend. It was boring
in the camp. You have nothing to do only eating and sleeping. I wanted to change that life and so I came to
Berlin.

Omar: So the situation in the Lager is a bit complicated. There is no respect. There is also nothing there in Frankfurt Oder that people can do. So everybody who is registered there is coming here to find a job and live here. And I personally also came here to look for a job. There is also much racism there. So the people in Frankfurter Oder, they are in a box that they judge people. They don't feel comfortable with migrants. Especially when you have a black skin. They behave in a real bad way. It's not like the people in Berlin. They are more cultivated and open-minded. They know migrants from different time. They know people of different colours.

301 Thus, the people decided to come to Berlin to escape racism, to avoid deportations, to find 302 work and to build connections and access and construct networks. But what is significant here 303 is that none of the refugees went through any of the formal bureaucratic processes to relocate 304 to Berlin from their federal state. Consequently, they did not try to find residence or work through formal means. They made their own way to Berlin, where they could potentially find 305 306 work, possibly avoid deportations, bureaucratic powers, racialization and confinement and 307 seek support of various groups. Such practices demonstrate refugees' subtle acts of defiance 308 rather than overt forms of protests towards the state (see Scott 2012). Many of them understood their actions as 'illegal', but nevertheless considered it necessary in order to acquire 309 310 basic rights and to formalise their status.

311 The excerpts thus demonstrate that the actions undertaken by refugees should be understood 312 as struggle against the state's bureaucratic power, which limited the movement of refugees from one state to another and thus which ultimately resulted in their displacement. In 313 314 addition, refugees relocated to avoid deportations in their respective accommodations and 315 federal state. As it became increasingly difficult to attain recognised status in Germany, 316 refugees were increasingly threatened with deportation. Deportation can be to the refugees' 317 country of origin or if they faced Dublin regulations then they would be most likely to be deported to the first European country to which they had arrived in which they were likely to 318 319 have been fingerprinted. Thus, in order to avoid deportations, several refugees left their 320 respective federal states and Lagers, came to Berlin and in this context sought support of 321 SPO. Thus, the refugees sought protection in the federal state, Berlin.

322 Networking and Mobility

The refugees, who formed part of this study, networked informally with the solidarity workers in order to further their objectives regarding finding longer-term accommodation in Berlin and acquiring formal status. Thus, the searchers' networking abilities played a significant role in their access to resources through SPO.

For example, Mohammed --who always seemed to have a place to stay--told me that the people whom he stayed with were very friendly and came to resemble a family. He said to me with confidence, 'they cannot tell me to leave and I can always stay there'. Similarly, Abudul Rehman managed to find a permanent place through his networking efforts and ultimately managed to stay at the same place for several years.



Networking with solidarians meant joining them in their social events, Küfas (solidarity dinners) solidarity parties, in some instances accompanying them to demonstrations and supporting the group with doing shifts. It was in such social and political encounters that many of the refugees managed to informally network with the people they stayed with. As some of the interlocutors explained to me:

- 337 Lamine: It was nice because it was kind of community to me. Because earlier I was in the Lager and it was a
- 338 different experience. Since I came here, they could form a kind of community for me. A solidarity community
- 339 for me. They asked me my problems and helped me with a lawyer. They orgainised everything for me and even
- 340 the cost of the lanyer was managed. They organised Soli-Küfa for me. It was a kind of community and
- 341 *collaborating together. I could move freely.*
- 342 Alif: When I went to the workshop to the bike I found Jon and Hörst. I also lived with them. I lived with
- 343 Jon at the Wagonplatz. It is an interesting place with several anarchists living there. Because when I came here
- 344 I didn't have a stable place. Just living with friends from one place to another. I really loved to live in a Wagon.
- 345 It was a spontaneous way of living I would say so. So the group that are living there are 12. The main group
- 346 of the society they are having. And now I am in Neukölln with Hörst.
- Abdul Rehman: Now I am staying in Neukölln in a long-term situation. I have been staying there for two
 years. I met the host through Betty. I have my own room. And there are two cats. I feel very comfortable there.
- 349 Wilson (1998, 2009) recognises the dynamic aspect of social ties such that weak ties may 350 develop into strong ties. The refugees who participated in the SPO group also developed 351 strong and weak ties with their support network which changed over time such that in some 352 cases strong ties weakened when refugees either relied less on their support network or 353 strengthened over time because of mutual interests or even increased reliance. In this way, 354 these informal social encounters between refugees and members of the SPO group helped 355 the people to find more suitable and longer-term accommodation and many of them were 356 able to avoid deportations and find longer-term solutions. Many people learned through 357 various informal networks about various possibilities and worked with lawyers, received 358 informal counselling, studied the German language outside the formal 'integration course', 359 and entered into particular arrangements with citizens in ways that did help transform their 360 lives in some instances. In some instances, they entered into romantic relationships with 361 citizens, got married and received formal status. Thus, the refugees managed to
- 362 evade the formal system and resist the bureaucratic procedures of the asylum process in the 363 German state. More significantly, it was through networking that they were able to defy prolonged stay in the Lagers with possible deportation threats upon rejection of their asylum 364 365 cases. In some cases, participation in informal channels additionally helped refugees formalize 366 their status, which they may not have been able to do so if they had continued to stay in their 367 Lagers in their respective federal states. In this context, informality should be regarded as a 368 social mechanism, which served to develop alternative trajectories of and for refugees living 369 in Lagers in ways such that it enabled them to access services, accommodation, and work 370 opportunities.

371 Conclusions

- 372 This article has demonstrated that refugees' decisions to leave the Lagers can be understood
- as stemming from desire for employment and formalisation of residential status in relation to
- and defiance of displacement related to mobility regimes and confinement and racialization.

375 De Genoa et al (2018) have noted that migrant/refugee struggles in Europe are polarized 376 around two on-going phenomena: on the one hand, the increasing criminalization of refugees 377 as refugees and, on the other a politics of "incorrigibility." The state understands refugees' 378 actions with respect to leaving their Lagers as breaches of law for which they could be 379 potentially penalised, but in this context, we consider refugees' so called 'illegal' acts as 380 struggles for emplacement and in defiance the state's aims to displace them. In this context, 381 informality denotes a character and domain of practice which potentially facilitate 382 emplacements, formalization, and lead to alternative life trajectories. The study has 383 additionally demonstrated that refugees networked with an informally organised solidarity 384 group, such that citizens and refugees together came to challenge state imposed formal 385 systems. In this connection, it is evident that informality and formality need to be understood 386 as 'co-constructed practices' between citizens and refugees in their anti-racist struggles 387 propelling them toward emplacements and formality. Nevertheless, as a word of caution it needs to be recognised that refugees' precarious statuses did not necessarily always diminish 388 389 since they ultimately ended up relying on the solidarity activists' generosity and political will 390 to support them and to some extent hierarchies were thereby reproduced.

391 References

- 392 Aliyev, H. (2015). Post-Soviet informality: towards theory-building. International
- 393 Journal of Sociology and Social Policy, 35(3/4), 182-198.
- Bhimji, F. (2016). Contesting the Dublin Regulation: Refugees' and Migrants' Claims to Personhood and
 Rights in Germany. *Intersections. East European Journal of Society and Politics*, 2(4): 51-68.
- Bigo, D. (2002). Security and Immigration: Toward a Critique of the Governmentality of Unease. *Alternatives*.
 (27). 63-92
- Bromley, R. & Wilson, T. (2018) Introduction: the urban informal economy revisited. Latin American
 Perspectives, 45(1), 4–23
- Castells, M. & Portes, A. (1989). World underneath: the origins, dynamics, and effects of the informal economy. In Castells, M. & Portes, A. (Ed.), *The Informal Economy: Studies in Advanced and Less Developed Countries*, (pp. 11-41), Baltimore: John Hopkins.
- 403 Darling, J. (2011). Demopolitics, governmentality and the regulation of asylum accommodation. *Political Geography*, (30), 263-271
- 405 De Genova, N. (2018). The "migrant crisis" as racial crisis:do Black Lives Matter in Europe?. *Ethnic and* 406 Racial Studies, 41(10), 1765-1782
- El-Kayed, N. & Hamann, U. (2018) Refugees' Access to Housing and Residency in German Cities: Internal
 Border Regimes and Their Local Variations, *Social Inclusion*, 6(1), 135-146.
- Glick Schiller, N. & N.B. Salazar. (2013). Regimes of mobility across the globe. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 39(2), 183-200.
- 411 Glick Schiller, N. & Çağlar, A. (2015). Displacement, emplacement and migrant newcomers: Rethinking
 412 urban sociabilities within multiscalar power. *Identities*, 23(1), 17-34.
- Glick Schiller, N., and A. Çağlar. (2013). Locating Migrant Pathways of Economic Emplacement: Thinking
 beyond the Ethnic Lens. Ethnicities, 13(4), 494–514.
- De Genoa, N., Garelli, G., & Tazzioli, M. (2018). Autonomy of Asylum?: The Autonomy of Migration
 Undoing the Refugee Crisis Script. *The South Atlantic Quarterly*, 117(2), 239-265.
- 417 Granovetter, M. (1973). The Strength of Weak Ties. American Journal of Sociology, 78(6), 1360-1380.
- 418 Heyman, J. (2004). The Anthropology of Power-Wielding Bureaucracies. Human Organization 63(4), 487-500
- Lomnitz, L. (1988). Informal Exchange Networks in Formal Systems: a Theoretical Model. American
 Anthropologist, 90(1), 42-55.
- Morell, M. (2014). "When Space Draws the Line on Class." In D. Kalb and J. Carrier (Eds.), *Anthropologies of Class: Power, Practice, and Inequality.* (pp. 102-117). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Polese, A., Kovács, B. & Jancsics, D. (2018). Informality 'in spite of' or 'beyond' the state: Some evidence
 from Hungary and Romania. European Societies, 20(2), 207-235.



- Polese, A., & J. Morris. (2015). My Name Is Legion. The Resilience and Endurance of Informality Beyond,
 or in Spite of, the State. In (Eds.) J. Morris and A. Polese. *Informal Economies in PostSocialist Spaces: Practices, Institutions, Networks.* (pp. 1-21). London: Palgrave.
- Polese, A., Russo, A., & Strazzari, F. (2019). Introduction: "The Good, the Bad and the Ugly": Transnational
 Perspectives on the Extralegal Field. In Polese, A., Russo, A., & Strazzari, F. (Eds.), *Governance Beyond the Law: The Immoral, The Illegal, The Criminal.* (pp.1-26). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Rozakou, K. (2016). Socialities of solidarity: revisiting the gift taboo in times of crises. *Social Anthropology*, 24
 (2), 185–199.
- Saltsman, A. (2013). Beyond the Law: Power, Discretion, and Bureaucracy in the Management of Asylum
 Space in Thailand. *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 27(3), 457-476.
- 437 Scott, J.C. (2012). Two Cheers for Anarchism: Six Easy Pieces on Autonomy, Dignity, and Meanineful Work and Play.
 438 Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- 439 Salazar, N.B. & Smart, A. (eds.) (2011). Anthropological takes on (im)mobility. Special issue, *Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power*, 18(6).
- 441 Shelley, L., Scott, E. & Latta, A. (2007). Organized Crime and Corruption in Georgia. NY: Routledge.
- 442 Thelen, T. (2011). Shortage, fuzzy property and other dead ends in the anthropological analysis of 443 (post)socialism. *Critique of Anthropology*, 31(1), 43-61.
- 444 Walters, W. (2004). Secure Borders, safe haven, domopolitics. Citizenship Studies, (8), 237-260.
- Wilson, T. (1998) Weak Ties, Strong Ties: Network Principles in Mexican Migration. Human Organization.
 57(4): 394-403.
- Wilson, T. (2009). Women's Migration Networks in Mexico and Beyond, Albuquerque: University of New Mexico
 Press.
- Zetter, R. (1991). Labelling Refugees: Forming and Transforming a Bureaucratic Identity. *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 4(1), 39-62.
- 451