brought to you by T CORE

. . 6, 3,2020. . 58-71 Research result. Theoretical and Applied Linguistics, 6 (3). 2020. P 58-71

58

DOI: 10.18413/2313-8912-2020-6-3-0-5

Alessandra Marabini <u>Anna Angela Mezzina</u>

Categorization of phraseological units: Russian/Italian expressions

Belgorod State National Research University 85 Pobedy St., Belgorod, 308015, Russia E-mail: marabini@bsu.edu.ru ORCID i^: 0000-0001-7450-9115

University of Bari Aldo Moro 1, Piazza Umberto I, Bari, 70121, Italy *E-mail: anna.mezzina@uniba.it ORCID i^: 0000-0002-4716-7882*

Received 10 June 2020; accepted 12 September2020; published 30 September2020

Abstract

The study on phraseology is wide and complex, since it examines different aspects of an entire linguistic branch. The current article takes into consideration the typology proposed by the Italian linguist Federica Casadei, which will serve as a basis for the authors' categorization of specific Russian expressions. The purpose of this paper is to illustrate the full and partial correspondence of Italian and Russian phraseological units through a new schematization, and, at the same time, to propose a new categorization of the phraseological units applied to Russian expressions.

Italian and Russian theories on phraseology are examined and compared. Italian theory is more focused on the classification of the phraseological units: idioms, sayings, transparent locutions, opaque locutions and higher lexical units. Russian studies are more oriented to cognitive aspects related to the metaphorization of phraseological units. However, linguists agree that stability and reproducibility are the two main criteria that combine all phraseological units.

The main issue when translating from one language into another is that of conveying the source meaning through processes of paraphrase. The result is that some Russian denominations of specific entities can be classified as monomorphemes and some do not constitute part of non-autonomous group as they do in Italian.

On the basis of the differences among categories, а hypothesis about the categorization of some Russian phraseological units is proposed. Furthermore, we underline the importance of metaphorization as a key element for understanding phraseological units. Through metaphors, native speakers express figurative can meanings and innate mental representations.

Keywords: phraseological unit; metaphorization; idiom; categorization; comparison; cognitive processes.

How to cite: Marabini A., Mezzina Anna A. (2020). Categorization of phraseological units through the comparison of some Russian/Italian expressions . *Research Result. Theoretical and Applied Linguistics*, V.6 (3), 58-71, DOI: 10.18413/2313-8912-2020-6-3-0-5

Introduction

Phraseology is an integral part of each heritage. It is through nation's phraseology that the mentality and lifestyle of a given society are transmitted reflected. and Phraseology provides invaluable clues to understanding the thought processes of anv population. It represents the treasury of anv language, because phraseology reflects the history of people and their national character. Theoretical linguistics has discipline a devoted to studying steady speech expressions and the locutions called phraseological (Korobejnikova, 2017: units The 6). heterogeneity of the elements involved in phraseology has it made а meeting point for various branches of linguistics (semantics, pragmatics), svntax. for approaches (from frame semantics to the construction of grammar), and for different fields of application, from phraseography (the description of phraseologisms in dictionaries) to language teaching.

The study of systemic links both between phraseologisms and the general language system of significant units is one of main the tasks of phraseology within the of structural linguistics framework (Jarceva, 1990).

Phraseology emerged as an independent linguistic discipline relatively recently, about half a century ago. In Russian linguistics. Potebnja, A.A. I.I. Sreznevskii. A.A. Shakhmatov others established the and fundamental assumptions for the theory of phraseology. In the 1940s the ideas of the French linguist Charles Bally (about fixed word combinations characterized by inner influenced of cohesion) the development research phraseology. The on phraseology stimulated lexicographic practice and influenced the studies V.V. Vinogradov's of concept of phraseology and its duties. In the 1950s. similarities and differences between phraseologisms word combinations and sochetanija) (called ustojchivye became the focus of attention, and phraseology limited itself to finding the criteria for phraseological

units (Benigni, Ramusino, Mollica, Schafroth, 2015: 277).

I.A. Melchuk in 1998 introduced the concept of "phrasemes" or "set phrases" in and opposition to "free phrases" gave the following definition: "A phraseme is a phrase in which the signified and signifier cannot be constructed both unrestrictedly and regularly" 1995: 175). Unrestrictedly (Melchuk. here means that the dictionary rules can be chosen arbitrarily in order to verbalize a conceptual Regularly, representation. instead, refers to the components of the word combination that must be exclusively combined, according to the grammatical and semantic rules of the language (lordanskaja, 2007: 225-226).

Nowadays, in the Russian field. the development of phraseology is characterized by new methods and objects of research based on systematic and cognitive analysis of the language. Particular attention is paid to the semantic of the phraseological units, its nominative aspect. the formation of phraseological units in its dynamics, the sign of the word components, the comparative typological study of the phraseological composition, the elaboration of and phraseologisms dictionaries. Of particular in note are the theoretical contributions bv Dobrovol'skij and Piirainen to the problem of linguistic idiomatic cross comparability of structures (they introduced the notion of "functional equivalence") (Benigni, Ramusino, Mollica, Schafroth, 2015: 277). V.N. Telija also made significant a contribution. underlining the concepts of motivation and inner form, as well as that of phraseologisms elements of secondary as indirect nomination, represented bv anv kind of of syntagmatic interaction wordcomponents in the process of rethinking and forming а new meaning of the original combination or of the single word. According to Telija, phraseologisms are an integral part of the nominative inventory of a language. which is needed to name individual fragments of the reality (Telija, 1996: 75).

However, another definition developed among the European phraseologists correlated

phraseologisms to the theory of Construction Grammar, which the basic feature of represented by the constructions stored in the native speakers' memory as single blocks. according to the rules rather than assembled of syntax and connotation. Current theory aims at finding theoretical models adequate for the description of phraseological units. content are difficult whose form and to predict. Another important characteristic of the Construction Grammar approach is that not different from the phraseological sphere is productive grammatical sphere, the but it so-called rather considers the continuum syntax between vocabulary and (Dobrovol'skij, 2016: 9).

Within Construction Grammar collocations considered of the are part phraseological and this has spectrum. encouraged the establishment of syntactical tests (such as substitution, inversion. focalization, isolation and passivization) and of semantic criteria (such as noncompositionality, desemantization of constituents and capacity to designate conceptual units). These allow phraseologisms to be distinguished in a strict sense from similar constructions. Nevertheless, it has also demonstrated that the boundary between the two often remains difficult to determine (Benigni, Ramusino, Schafroth, Mollica, 2015: 277). Therefore, the section about materials will outline a clarification of similar constructions.

Purpose of the Paper

This paper will examine Italian and Russian phraseological units on the basis of the typology proposed by the Italian linguist full Casadei. Partial and correspondences exist between the two language locutions, which are significant aspects for the purposes of our investigation. Indeed, the focus is on finding similarities, affinities and analogies between two languages that are typologically different. The phraseological branches of Italian Russian linguistics also and present different terminological structures and categorizations. Therefore, the aim of this

study is to apply Casadei's classification to some Russian expressions.

Material and Methods of research

At this point arises a discussion around difference between phraseology the and idiomaticity, and around the proper terminology used in this sphere. The term "phraseological unit' has increasingly been used in phraseological research. According to Kunin, "a phraseological unit is a stable combination of words with a fully or partially 1970: figurative meaning" (Kunin, 210). definition Kunin's includes two inherent properties phraseological units: stability of and figurative meaning, which differentiate these units from free word combinations and also from sets of expressions which are stable, but which do not have figurative anv meaning. Moreover, Kunin suggests that phraseological units embrace proverbs. Actually, the study of proverbs is most often included in separate discipline, that a paremiology (Naciscione, 2010: 19).

Therefore, stability and reproducibility are the main features of phraseological units: stability is the fixity and the compositionality of the meaning of phraseological units, while reproducibility is the capacity of individuals to reproduce phraseological units as readymade complete units (Casadei, 1994; Casadei, 1995).

Besides the two above-mentioned N.M. properties, Shanskij proposed other separability features: units (phraseological function separate-formed formations, as consisting of several words), and constancy of meaning (phraseological units and integrity if their as a whole even meaning appear reflects the meaning of the components forming them) (Shanskij, 1963: 30-33).

Idiomaticity refers to idiom, which can be defined as "a combination of more than lexeme meaning not derived one whose is from the meaning of its constituents" (Strassler, 1982: 79). terminology Moreover, mav change: for instance. the linguist Rosamund Moon uses the term idiom to refer to "any sequence of two or more words that function together as a unit" (Moon, 1988:

108), but in other studies she uses other terms, such as fixed expressions and idioms (Naciscione, 2010: 18).

Casadei gives definition of a general "conventional idiomatic expressions: expressions of language characterized hv a the combination of a fixed meaning (little or all modifiable) with not at ล noncompositional meaning (which. unlike the literal or compositional meaning, cannot be derived from the meanings of the components expression)" (Casadei, 1995: of the 335). this definition, According to the term idiomatic indicate different can cases of non-predictability semantic non-literality or and idiomatic expressions including locutions. fixed sentences, stereotypes, formulas, cliches and sayings.

Terminology poses important an question about whether the terms "phraseological unit" and "idiom" can be treated as synonyms. We can assume linguist Lala Masimova's conclusion is valid: the term phraseological unit was introduced bv Russian linguists and is mainly used in Russia Europe. and Eastern The term idiomaticity refers to the mechanism that enables phrases to take on meanings that go beyond the meaning of their parts. Idiomaticity, however. could be applied also to single words and not only phrases. Idiomatic words to can be defined only as part of certain a phraseological units that are instead sets of terms, which refer only to two or more phrases (Masimova, 2018: 13-14).

The question on proper terminology continues within studies of modern Italian. A. dire" Cicalese uses the term "modo di (common saying), an expression or idiom that generally indicates the combination of a fixed signifier (little or not at all modifiable) with a non-compositional signified also (see 1994; Casadei, Casadei, 1995; Cacciari, Glucksberg 1995; Casadei, 1996). In addition to this, Italian literature introduced the term "polirematic expressions' (espressioni polirematiche plurilessicali), / multiword forms with structural and semantic cohesion. The Italian approach appears to be structural

and lexical-syntactically oriented: particular paid pragmatic attention is to the and of syntagmatic cohesion multiword expressions, that is, to the rules that regulate the existence of more lexical elements in a unicum. However, general subdivision of a phraseological units can be made as follows: idiomatic expressions with certain lexicalsyntactic characteristics, the meanings of which are difficult to grasp from their components (also called "transparent expressions"); literal expressions, where it is define easy to the semantic internal articulation halfof the components; and idiomatic expressions, that are in between transparent locutions and idiomatic expressions (they are also called "opaque locutions").

In Italian linguistics, Maurizio Dardano introduces another phraseological unit, "higher lexical units" (unita lessicali superior!), which own inseparable components. "Changes that can be brought in free word groups are instead not always possible for higher lexical units. For example, the linguistic unit "sala da pranzo' (dining room) and the word group "sala per ricevere gli guests' ospiti' receive (,,a room to 1 different reception room) are from one another, since we would accept the adjective "grande' (big) only in the second syntagm and the result will be "una grande sala per ricevere gli ospiti' (a large room to receive guests), but not in the first one. The idiomatic expression in comparison to the lexical unit, apart from definite degree of lexicalization, the owns а high level of idiomatization, which protects the stability of the syntagm. However, the syntagm "sala da pranzo', for example, can also be used in speech in a disjointed way, whilst the components of idiomatic expression divided" cannot he (Dardano. Trifone, 1997: 552).

Simonetta Vietri'sapproach toidiomatic expressions in the Italian languageis different, since she analyses them from asyntactic-lexical perspective. Her work hasdeveloped as part of the "Progetto LessicoGrammatica della Lingua Italiana" project. In

her examination, idiomatic expressions are viewed as syntactically free structures with one or more invariable components. The variable components instead are subject to two distributional and grammatical variations. The distributional one, composed bv svnonvms. adjectives or relative clauses. deprives the original idiomatic expression of its idiomaticity. On the other side, the grammatical variation in tense, mode, adverbs or modifiers does not affect the idiomatic meaning. Her conclusion is that a continuum between free and idiomatic sentences exists, and that variations are accepted only when the idiomatic meaning remains intact (Vietri, 1985: 18).

Nowadays in Russian linguistics the current approach appears to be cognitive and examines phraseological units in terms of mental nature. Within phraseological studies, only the components that have figurative and metaphorical meaning should be considered. The cognitive and psycholinguistic patterns assume that a basic concept exists within phraseological units, which is highlighted with the help of a word identifier that links a determined unit to the sphere of these concepts. The Russian cognitive approach is thus based on the study of those internal correlations. phraseological Furthermore. metaphors play a significant role in the formation of phraseological The units. of metaphorization process is the "verbalization by an individual of the knowledge he acquires about the world and himself' (Zubkova, 2008: 128).

Results

Casadei sketched a typology of idioms in the Italian language and proposed a definition of "idiomatic expressions" (Casadei, 1995: 335-358). The current analysis takes this categorization into consideration and assumes it as a basis for a classification hypothesis applied to Russian phraseological units. According to Casadei, figurative expressions are divided into type V (verb: vuotare il sacco - ne tait' grecha, tirare le cuoia - kondartij chvatil), type N patata bollente - zhguchij / (noun:

di cuoio zlobodnevnyj vopros, testa specnaz), type Adj (adjective: all'acqua di rose - poverchnostyj) and type Adv (adverb: alla bell'e meglio koe-kak). Her examination includes at first sight all cases of non-literal or non-predictable semantics (morphemes, sayings, proverbs, and indirect speech and other phenomena acts) (stereotypes, formulas, cliches, fixed phrases, binomial, and trinomial expressions) which appear fixed and conventional. After this, all those classes are subject to criteria of idiomaticity compositionality, non non conventionality, fixity and semantic nonpredictability.

The author excludes monomorphematic forms, since it is not possible to predict their semantics. However, some monomorphematic forms which own morphologically complex characteristics are taken into account (*ciao privet* - because it is a greeting formula, *leone* - *lev* - because, apart from the literal meaning, it has a figurative meaning, that of ,,courageous person', also *bustarella vzjatka*, and *rompiscatole* - *zanuda*).

Furthermore, the author excludes all those forms that are subject to mechanisms of metaphorization, irony and indirectness, when they occur occasionally and creatively. The reference is here to those expressions like "essere una matita" used in sentences like "quella ragazza e una matita" where matita (karandash) alludes to a person's figure. However, the expressions of the type "essere un/una x', "fare il/lo x' are conventional metaphors and they can be included in the classification, also for the reason that they predictable represent metaphors (fare il legkomyslennyj chelovek / burattino, vetrenik).

the categorization of idiomatic In expressions proposed by Casadei (Casadei, 1995: 335-358), it is important to keep in mind the degree of lexical saturation, which divides the expressions into lexically saturated, partially saturated and patterned (lexically restricted and lexically opened), which is applied to indirect acts. The degree of lexical saturation depends on the addition

62

of different lexical variants or the modification of some elements. An example of a lexically saturated expression is, tirare le cuoia (kondartij chvatil) which can be used with a modifier, as in the sentence "tird subito le cuoia' (on umer srazu). Partially saturated expressions usually include a lexical variable element that can be a possessive, a determiner or a quantifier: a mio avviso (po-moemu), in primo / secondo luogo (vo-pervych / vovtorich). The patterned ones are schemes, indirect acts, and proverbs. An example of scheme is: 'Det n ESSERE (Det) N' used in cases such as 'Gli affari sono affari', figli sono figlV (biznes est' biznes, deti est' deti).

As a result, the lexically saturated and partially saturated are, thereafter, the in accordance with the paradigm of conventionality. Conventionality concerns the degree of non-newness of an expression and the link of a given expression with a communicative function, a pragmatic purpose or a context of use. Thus, the fully or partially lexically saturated expressions include institutionalized expressions, stereotypes (cliches, quoted corpus) and formularies.

Institutionalized expressions are mono and polirematic expressions with figurative meaning (bustarella - vzjatka, vuotare il sacco - ne tait' grecha, all'acqua di rose poverkhnostnyj), denominations of specific entities (disco fisso / rigido - zhestkij disk, giacca a vento - vetrovka, donna delle pulizie uborshchica, macchina da scrivere pishushchaja grammatical mashina), locutions (dopo di che - posle togo kak, per lo piu chashche vsego), distributional restrictions (essere alla disperazione - byt' dovedennym do otchajanja) and irreversible binonims / trinonims (aglio e olio - chesnok i maslo, fante, cavallo e re - vatel, kon' i korol').

Stereotypes are divided into cliches (tragica scomparsa - tragicheskaja smert', scottante questione - zlobodnevnyj vopros, essere bello come una rosa - byt' krasivym kak roza), quoted corpus, proverbs and sayings (chi dorme non piglia pesci - bez truda ne vytashchit' i rybki iz pruda, il tempo *e denaro - vremja - den'gi)*, and quotes and aphorisms (*cogito ergo sum - ja myslju*, *znachit sushchestvuju*, *de gustibus vkusakh ne sporjat*).

Formularies linguistic are those illocutive, performative acts, as well as epistolary formulas, and conversational and textual messages (come va - kak dela, buon appetito - prjatnogo appetita, in bocca al lupo - ni pucha ni pera, vi dichiaro marito e moglie - ob''javljaju vas muzhem i zhenoj, per concludere - v zakljuchenie, a proposito kstati, distinti saluti - s uvazheniem).

Therefore, Casadei (Casadei, 1995: 335-358) introduces a new lexical-syntactic criterion, that of fixity. Different types of fixity exist: fixity in the order of constituents, transformational fixity for a certain structure, and fixity in the inventory of components due to the impossibility of insertion, suppression and substitution. However, fixity does not hinder semantic variation: commutation in idiomatic expressions is possible only if the original idiomatic meaning is maintained intact. As a consequence of this, it is the idiomatic character of an expression that indicates whether that expression is idiomatic or not. and not the commutability. The idiomatic feature is the element that usually obstructs possible lexical and syntactic modifications.

The conflict between the diagrammatic and the holistic comes into focus, when considered from the perspective of semantics. Diagrammaticity indicates the relation signifier, the between the signified and transparency of which is gradual and increases when the relation is one to one. The author refers to increased transparency with reference to a denominated entity like pesce palla - ryba-ezh, a kind of fish, in contrast to form the less transparent pellerossa krasnokozhij, which is not a kind of skin. The holistic forms are subject to semiotic principles and their diagrammatic interpretation is not valid or is not uniquely valid. Examples of holistic forms include, da macchina scrivere pishushchaja mashina, bustarella - vzjatka, rompiscatole -

zanuda. In all these terms it is necessary to reinterpret the relation between the form in its entirety and its overall meaning and it is possible to talk about a greater or lesser transparency of the metaphorical motivation of the expression.

The diagrammatic / holistic distinction is very significant when categorizing the idiomatic and non-idiomatic expressions within institutionalized forms. The maximum degree of idiomaticity is represented by metaphorical and metonymic expressions. The lower level is represented by expressions with metaphoric and metonymic features that instead have a diagrammatic aspect: (donna cannone - zhenshchina - pushechnoe jadro). Below this class, there are some holistic expressions, represented by non-autonomous lexemes that occur only in certain locutions (andare a zonzo - brodit', andare a ruba -The grammatical holistic *b^t'* narashvat). locutions (per lo piu - chashche vsego) are positioned at a lower level still: their nondiagrammaticity does not allow full meaning to be given to its components.

Non idiomatic expressions are those expressions in which conventional meaning might not be predictable or might not be uniquely predictable. Examples of such verbal locutions include, *essere alla disperazione byt' dovedennym do otchajanija, prendere quota - nabrat' vysotu, prendere il sole zagorat'* and *prendere tempo - ne toropit'sja.*

In Casadei's categorization (Casadei, 1995: 335-358) the diagrammatic / holistic distinction cannot be applied to stereotypes, cliches, proverbs, quotes and formularies since their understanding implies a reference to cultural beliefs and conventions. They present a cultural-pragmatic character and for this reason they can be included in the wide domain of idiomatic expressions, but only in a broader sense. as lexical saturated expressions. On the contrary, if the semantic variable is privileged, idiomatic the expressions are of the holistic institutionalized type, while the others are labelled as cliches, proverbs, quotes. formulas and have distinctive aspects from the idiomaticity. However, for the purposes of the present paper, we agree with the broader conception and we examine all those classes in the following paragraph.

Findings and discussion

The analysis we conducted leads to consideration of comparative and typological types. When transferring the meaning from Italian to Russian, equivalence is not always univocal. It is therefore necessary to emphasize the grammatical syntactic structure of the expressions and the type of connection between the constituents, since the

idiomaticity can be full or partial. Full means that from the meaning of the components it is not possible to predict the meaning of the phraseological unit. Partial means that the meaning of the phraseologism becomes clear on the basis of some rethinking processes. The following scheme presents the abovementioned forms and clarifies the differences existing between the locutions of the two languages, Italian (the source language) and Russian (the target one):

Table 1: Comparison offorms and meanings of Russian and Italian expressions

Italian	Russian	Description
Vuotare il sacco	Ne tait' grecha	Both expressions are exhortations to reveal everything when you are wrong. <i>Vuotare il sacco</i> appears to be more figurative, since literally it means ,,to empty the bag'. <i>Ne tait' grecha</i> instead means ,,to not hide the sin'.
Tirare le cuoia	Kondartij khvatil	The meaning of these expressions is ,,to die'. There are a wide range of expressions corresponding to the event of dying; however, we chose <i>Kondartij</i>

	-
h	5
	-

Italian	Russian	Description
		<i>khvatil</i> because of the analogy to body rigidity after the death.
Patata bollente	Zhguchij /	The Russian language uses the term vopros
	zlobodnevnij vopros	(question) instead of patata (potato) and the second
		alternative <i>zlobodnevnij vopros</i> would rather sound as
		questione scottante (delicate issue), which is also
		used in the Italian language.
Testa di cuoio	Specnaz.	The source language expression refers to
		police officers, whose uniform is characterized by a
		leather hat; the target language expression is an
		abbreviation of "voinskie podrazdelenie special'nogo
		naznachenija' (special purpose troops). Therefore,
		the two expressions allude to the same military unit,
		but the second one does not keep the specific feature
		of the hat.
All'acqua di rose	Poverkhnostnyj	In this case the equivalence is null.
	(adjective)	Poverkhnostnyj is a paraphrase of the Italian
		idiomatic expression, which conserves the source
		meaning of ,, superficial'.
Alla bell'e meglio	Koe-kak	The source expression refers to "something
		that is done in a rush and without too much attention'.
		There is no grammatical correspondence; however,
		both are adverbial locutions.
Bustarella	Vzjatka	Here, there is a full correspondence between
		Italian and Russian and both terms belong to the class
		of nouns and are characterized by diminutive suffixes.
		Moreover, the Russian term can be considered a noun
		derived from the verb <i>vzjat</i> ' without the addition of
		any suffix. The meaning is "bribe'.
Rompiscatole	Zanuda	Also in this case, the two expressions are
		nouns and there is full correspondence; however, it
		should be noticed that the first term has euphemistic
		sense and is a compound, formed by two parts, rompi
		(from the verb rompere - to break) and scatole
E 111 ·		(boxes) that refer to "someone who is annoying'.
Fare il burattino	Legkomyslennyj	On a grammatical level, the Italian expression
	chelovek, vetrenik	prefers the use of the construction with the verb fare
		(to do), whereas the Russian one uses the verb "to
		be+noun' (on - <i>legkomyslennyj chelovek</i>). Moreover,
		<i>legkomyslennyj</i> is a compound of the adverb <i>legko</i>
		(<i>light</i>) and the adjective <i>myslennyj</i> (<i>minded</i>), so that
		<i>legkomyslennyj</i> chelovek is an empty-headed or
		frivolous person. This appears to be a different
		variation from the Italian one, where <i>burattino</i> is a marionatta, thus it is the mataphor of a person who
		marionette, thus it is the metaphor of a person who lacks personality or who is unreliable or manipulated
		lacks personality or who is unreliable or manipulated
		by others. The metaphorical meaning hence falls apart
		in the Russian language.

•

Italian	Russian	Description
A mio avviso	Po-moemu	This partially saturated expression includes a possessive adjective in Italian, whereas it has a "prepositional + dative' form in Russian. Their
In primo / secondo luogo	Vo-pervykh / vo- vtorykh	<u>meaning is 'according to me'.</u> (Firstly, secondly) Both languages have a preposition as first element; however, the Italian word <u>luogo is missing in the target language (at^first).</u>
Gli affari sono affari, I figli sono figli	Biznes est' biznes, deti est' deti	The meaning of those expressions is 'business is business' and 'children are children'. The mentioned scheme 'definite article + noun + verb essere + (definite article) + noun', typical of the Italian language, is fully provided in Russian, except for the determinative article, which Russian lacks. Those structures are similar to another type of phraseological syntactic fixed constructions, called 'patterns with empty spaces' (Baranov, Dobrovol'skij, 2013: 86), which provide some fixed and free positions, saturated by lexical material used in a referential sense and generally subject to grammatical or semantic restrictions. An example of these phraseologisms-construction is " $X - on i v$ <i>Afrike X</i> " (literally: " X - anche in Africa e A'), where X has constant traits, independently on the situation, like in " <i>krizis - on i v Afrike krizis</i> "" (<i>la crisi e crisi</i> <i>ovunque</i>), "" <i>rabota - ona i v Afrike rabota</i> "" (<i>il lavoro</i> <i>e lavoro ovunque</i>) (Baranov, Dobrovol'skij, 2013: 86). The idiomatic value in these cases is in the structure itself as a whole, regardless of the lexicon that completes it, and its meaning is unitary, as if it was a lexeme (Ramusino, 2018: 107).
Disco fisso / rigido	Zhestkij disk	Those are calques of the English IT term (<i>hard disk</i>). They present equivalence of adjectives and nouns.
Giacca a vento	Vetrovka	It is interesting to notice that the Italian language prefers a locution constituted by 'noun + preposition + noun' where the Russian language adapts the noun from the word <i>veter</i> (<i>wind</i>). The meaning is 'wind breaker'.
Donna delle pulizie	Uborshchica	The same as above can be asserted about this expression. The Italian language has a 'noun + article + noun' form, and the Russian adjusts the term from the verb <i>ubirat</i> ' (<i>tidy up</i>). The meaning is 'house cleaner'.
Macchina da scrivere	Pishushchaja mashina	While the Italian preposition 'da+infinitive' expresses the meaning of purpose, the Russian language adopts the adjective-participle form. The meaning is 'typewriter'.

.

Italian	Russian	Description
Dopo di che /per lo piu	Posle togo kak / chashche vsego	These fixed structures are respectively conjunction and phrasal adverb, and, from a formal point of view, these phraseological materials are meant as spheres of irregular, idiomatic means of expression for grammatical relations. For this reason, they are represented as a combination of grammatical words (conjunctions, particles, prepositions, interjections, etc.,) (Ramusino, 2018: 107; Baranov, Dobrovol'skij, 2013: 83). These translate as 'after' and 'mostly'.
Essere alla disperazione	Byt' doved nnyj do otchajanija	Both languages use the verb 'to be'; the Russian phraseologism uses a past passive participle with the meaning of 'to be driven to despair', the Italian uses a preposition and the meaning is 'to be in despair'.
Aglio e olio / fante, cavallo e re	Chesnok i maslo / vatel, kon' i korol '	In both cases there is a full correlation between the two languages (garlic and oil, bishop, knight and king).
Tragica scomparsa	Tragicheskaja smert'	(Tragic death) The term <i>scomparsa</i> is a euphemism for 'death'; however, the two languages preserve the 'adjective + noun' structure.
Essere bello come una rosa	Byt' krasivym kak roza	The equivalence is full in this case (to be beautiful like a rose).
Chi dorme non piglia pesci	Bez truda ne vytashchit' i rybki iz pruda	These proverbs are similar in that they both refer to the action of catching fish as a metaphor meaning 'nothing is reached without hard work'; in order to convey this meaning, the Italian expression uses the verb <i>dormire</i> (to sleep) to refer to people's inactivity, whereas the Russian one is more explicit with the terms <i>bez truda</i> (without any work). In addition, the Russian proverb completes the sentence with the figure of the pond as place where the fish is caught. An English equivalent might be 'The early bird catches the worm'.
Il tempo e denaro	Vremja- den'gi	Those constructions are akin to each other (time is money).
Cogito ergo sum / de gustibus	Ja myslju, znachit sushchestvuju / o vkusakh ne sporjat	In the Italian language both expressions maintain their Latin original forms, but Russian translates from Latin. English is 'I think therefore I am'.
Come va	Kak dela	It was asserted above that the illocutive performative acts constitute formularies; therefore, the Italian and Russian forms are oriented in the same

		6	6
- 11	1	E.	51
		5	-

Italian	Russian	Description
		way with the same purpose. Notwithstanding, the difference between the two formulas is that the Italian act uses the verb <i>andare</i> (to go) and the Russian act uses the word <i>dela</i> (things). The meaning is "how are things'.
Buon appetite	Prjatnogo appetita	These are conversational acts (with the meaning of "enjoy your meal') with full equivalence, despite the use of the genitive case in Russian.
In bocca al lupo	Ni pukha ni pera	There is no correspondence between these two expressions that wish one "good luck'.
Vi dichiaro marito e moglie	Ob"javljaju vas muzhem i zhenoj	The correspondence is full and the meaning is "I pronounce you husband and wife'.
Per concludere	V zakljuchenie	The two locutions (<i>to conclude</i>) present prepositions, but in Italian a verb is used, while Russian uses a noun.
A proposito / distinti saluti	Kstati / s uvazheniem	As conversational and epistolary messages (by the way and best wishes), they are fixed for each language, in the sense that each language presents its own grammatical characteristics.
Pesce palla	Ryba-ezh	It is interesting to notice the different conceptualization of this kind of fish: in Italian it is identified as a ball, while in Russian as a hedgehog. In English it is called a "Puffer Fish'.
Pellerossa	Krasnokozhij	The equivalence is full and both <i>pellerossa</i> and <i>krasnokozhij</i> (<i>redskin</i>) are substantivized adjectives.
Donna cannone	Zhenshchina - pushechnoejadro	In Russian <i>jadro</i> is a cannon ball, but still the two forms match. The phrase in English translates to "a [female] human cannonball'.
Andare a zonzo	Brodit '	As was already mentioned, the term <i>zonzo</i> exists exclusively in this expression; its meaning is that of wandering, that is the way it is transferred into the Russian language. English is "to go for a stroll'.
Andare a ruba	Byt' naraskhvat	The only difference between the two expressions meaning "to go at a good price', is in the verb forms (in Italian "andarV - to go, in Russian "byt'' - to be).
Prendere quota	Nabrat' vysotu	The two languages present full correspondence (gain altitude).
Prendere il sole	Zagorat'	The source language uses an expression that might not be predictable, since the verb used is "to take'. The correspondence is null. However, in Italian language, another verb exists, that of <i>abbronzarsi</i> (to sunbathe), but it does not constitute a verbal locution.
Prendere tempo	Ne toropit'sja	The meaning of this expression is "take your time'. The Russian variant uses a negative construction and a verb with the meaning "to rush'.

RESEARCH RESULT THEORETICAL AND APPLIED LINGUISTICS

On the basis of this analysis, it is possible to deduce that some Russian translations and adaptations do not belong to the same categories as Italian expressions. Some of them are just adjectives like poverkhnostnyj (superficial) which does not have any metaphorical meaning in contrast to the Italian expression all'acqua di rose. As far as the saturated expressions are concerned, in our opinion, the categorization is appropriate for Russian expressions, but more for the partially saturated ones than the lexically saturated, since the lexical variable element can be inserted and it changes according to the meaning that the speaker give (po-moemu, wants to po-tvoemu). However, it is worth keeping in mind that in Russian, the lexical variable element can be a quantifier, possessive or а but not a determiner. Indirect speech acts, cliches and proverbs also closely match the Italian equivalent. Some of the Russian expressions categorized as denominations of specific entities are actually part of this class (zhestkij disk and pishushchaja mashina), but others, being derivative forms of nouns or verbs, can rather be categorized as monomorphemes (vetrovka and uborshchica are, in this sense, closer to the examples of *vzjatka* and *zanuda*). On the other hand, the grammatical locutions (dopo di che - posle togo kak, per lo piu chashche vsego) present similarities, although they are irregular idiomatic expressions. The same cannot be asserted of the nonautonomous lexemes, which in Italian occur exclusively in certain kinds of locutions (andare a zonzo, andare a ruba). In Russian the correspondent forms (brodit'. bvt' naraskhvat) are also used in other locutions and contexts.

Conclusion

In short, this examination has shown that the equivalence among the Italian and Russian phraseologisms can be full or partial, that translation and paraphrase processes can be applied to the target language in order to preserve the source metaphorical meaning, and, most of all, it underlined that the idiomatic meaning manifests itself through phraseological units. The Italian and Russian theories presented above claim that Russian literature on phraseologisms is nowadays more focused on the cognitive aspects issued by metaphorical expressions. Casadei's study also arrives at the conclusion that transparency of the metaphorical motivation of the expression is the key to reinterpreting the relation between the form in its entirety and its overall meaning. Behind the stable vocabulary, there are physical, psychical and social situations that reflect cultural aspects. Therefore, expressions such as tirare le cuoia and vuotare il sacco are related to figurative perception and to mental representations that are innate in native speakers and they constitute part of the metaphorization process. Similarities, affinities and analogies are mechanisms that native mental speakers activate at a cognitive level. Through speech and experience, mental representations are issued and metaphors and phraseologisms are expressed.

Author contributions: the sections "Results", "Findings and discussion" and "Conclusion" have been written by Alessandra Marabini: the sections "Introduction", "Purpose of the Paper" and "Material and Methods of research" have been written by Anna Angela Mezzina.

References

Baranov, A.N. and Dobrovol'skij, D.O., Osnovy frazeologii: kratkij kurs [A framework for phraseology: a short course], Moskva: Flinta Nauka, 2013, 312 p. (in Russian).

Barkhudarov, L.S., *Yazyk i perevod*, [Language and translation], Moskva: Mezhdunarodnye otnoshenija, 1975, 240 p. (in Russian).

Benigni, V., Ramusino, P.C., Mollica, F. and Schafroth, E., How to apply CxG to Phraseology: a multilingual research project, *Journal of social sciences*, 11, 3, 2015, pp. 275-288 (in English).

Cacciari, C. and Glucksberg, S., Imaging idiomatic expressions: literal or figurative meanings?, in Everaert, Martin et al (ed.). *Idioms: Structural and psychological perspectives*, Psychology Press, 1995, pp. 43-56 (in English). Casadei, F., La semantica nelle espressioni idiomatiche [Semantics in idiomatic expressions], *Studi italiani di linguistica teorica e applicata*, 1, 23, 1994, pp. 61-81. (in Italian).

Casadei, F., Per una definizione di "espressione idiomatica" tipologia е una dell'idiomatico in italiano [For a definition of "idiomatic expression" and a typology of idiomaticity in Italian], Lingua e stile, 2, 30. 1995, pp. 335-358, Retrieved from: https://www.re searchgate.net/publication/32 8134348_Per_una_definizione_di_espressione_idi omatica_e_una_tipologia_dell'idiomatico_in_itali ano_in_Lingua_e_stile_30_2_1995_pp_335-58 (Accessed 11 July 2019) (in Italian).

Casadei, F., Flessibilita lessico-sintattica e produttivita semantica delle espressioni idiomatiche: un'indagine sull'italiano parlato [Lexico-semantic flexibility and semantic productivity of idiomatic expressions: a study on spoken Italian], in Casadei, F., Fiorentino, G. and V. (ed.), *L*' Samek-Lodovici, italiano che parliamo [The Italian we speak], FARA, Santarcangelo di Romagna, 1996, pp. 5-15 (in Italian).

Cicalese, A., I composti polirematici con struttura N A N [Polirematic compounds with N A N structure], in D'Agostino, E. (ed.), *Tra* sintassi e semantica. Descrizioni e metodi di elaborazione automatica della lingua d'uso. [Between syntax and semantics. Descriptions and methodologies of automated data processing of spoken language], Edizioni Scientifiche Italiane, Napoli, 1995, pp. 329-349 (in Italian).

Dardano, M. and Trifone, P., *La nuova grammatica italiana* [The new Italian grammar]. Bologna, Zanichelli, 1997, 768 p. (in Italian).

Dobrovol'skij,D.O.,GrammatikaKonstruktsijiFrazeologija[ConstructionGrammarandPhraseology],Voprosyjazykoznanija,RAN,3,2016,pp.7-21 (inRussian).

Iordanskaja, L.N., Tipy frazem [Types of L.N. phrasemes], in Iordanskaja, and Melchuk, I. A. (ed.), Smysl i sochetaemost' v slovare combinability [Meaning and in dictionaries], Jazyki slavianskikh kul'tur. Moscow, 2007, pp. 215-245 (in Russian).

Korobejnikova, V.E., Funktsonirovanie frazeologicheskikh edinits v khudozhestvennom diskurse i osobennosti ikh perevoda na russkij yazyk [Functioning of phraseological units in the artistic discourse and specificity of their translation into Russian language], Uralskij gosudarstvennyj pedagogicheskij universitet, Yekaterinburg, 2017, 69 p. (in Russian).

Kunin, A. V., Anglijskaja frazeologija (teoreticheskiy kurs) [English phraseology: a theoretical course], Moscow: Vysshaja shkola, 1970, 344 p. (in Russian).

Lingvisticheskij entsiklopedicheskij slovar', V.N. Yartseva (ed.), Moscow: Sovetskaja Entsiklopedija, 1990, 685 p. (in Russian).

Masimova, L., Concept of phraseological units. Functional, structural and semantic classification of phraseological units, *World Science*, 8, 6 (34), 2018, pp. 11-14. Retrieved from:

https://doi.org/10.31435/rsglobal_ws/12062018/5

885 (Accessed 11 July 2019) (in English).

Melchuk, I. A., Phrasemes in language and phraseology in linguistics, in Everaert, Martin et al (ed.). *Idioms: Structural and psychological perspectives*, Psychology Press, 1995, pp. 167-232 (in English).

Moon, R., Time and idioms, in Snell-Hornby, M. (ed.), *ZuriLEX* '86 *Proceedings*, Tubingen: A. Francke, 1988, pp. 107-115 (in English).

Naciscione, A., Stylistic use of phraseological units in discourse, Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2010, 308 p. (in English).

Ramusino, P.C., "Kuda by tebia ni zaneslo...". Connettivi concessivi o fraseologismi sintattici? ["Kuda by tebja zaneslo^". ni Concessive Conjunctions or Syntactic Idioms?], in. Ferro, M.C, Salmon, L. and Ziffer, G. (ed.), XVI Contributi italiani al Congresso internazionale degli Slavisti, Firenze University Press, 2018, pp. 107-119 (in Italian).

Shanskij,N.M.,Frazeologijasovremmenogorusskogoyazyka[The PhraseologyofContemporaryRussianLanguage],Moscow:Vysshaja shkola, 1963, 156 p. (in Russian).

Strassler, J., *Idioms in English: a pragmatic analysis*, Tubingen: Gunter Narr, 1982, 160 p. (in English).

Telija, V.N., Ob"em fraseologii i tipy ee edinits [Volume of phraseology and types of phraseological units], in Telija V.N (ed.), *Russkaja fraseologija. Semanticheskij, pragmaticheskij i lingvokulturologicheskij aspekty* [Russian phraseology. Semantic, pragmatic and linguocultural aspects], Shkola «Yazyky russkoj kul'tury» Moscow, 1996, pp. 56-84 (in Russian).

Vietri, S., Lessico e sintassi delle espressioni idiomatiche. Una tipologia

tassonomica deU'italiano [Lexicon and syntax of idiomatic expressions. A taxonomic typology of Italian language] Napoli: Liguori, 1985, 534 p. (in Italian).

Zubkova, O.S., Medicinskaja metafora kak resultat kulturnoj fiksacii v medicinskoj terminologii [The medical metaphor as a result of cultural fixing in medical terminology], Vestnik Leningradskogo Gosudarstvennogo Uni^versiteta Imeni A.S. Pushkina, 5 (19), 2008, pp. 127-135 (in Russian). Conflicts of Interest: the author have no conflict of interest to declare.

•

Anna Angela Mezzina, Assistance Lecturer, Department of Letters, Language, Arts, Italian Studies and Comparative Cultures, University of Bari Aldo Moro

Alessandra Marabini, Assistance Lecturer, Department of German and French Languages, Belgorod State National Research University