



PULMONOLOGY

www.journalpulmonology.org



LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Pulmonary telerehabilitation: An international call for action

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted dramatically on people's lives and health care systems worldwide. Resources of the national health services have been focused on the monitoring and management of patients with COVID-19 and so chronic respiratory disease management, namely through pulmonary rehabilitation (PR), has become even more challenging than it used to be before COVID-19.

Following national and international recommendations, PR programmes were advised to suspend their activities and to provide care remotely using telehealth solutions (e.g., phone, video-calls, telerehabilitation).^{1,2} Major concerns already existed about the lack of access to PR programmes (either hospital-, primary care- or community-based) worldwide.³ In Portugal, the reported percentage of patients having access to PR programmes is between 0.5 and 2%.^{4,5} This situation has most certainly been aggravated by the COVID-19 outbreak due to the interruption of PR, but also due to the increased number of patients with acquired respiratory diseases that is expected in the coming months. Given the high scientific evidence of PR in improving symptoms, physiological and psychosocial domains in patients with chronic respiratory disease, it is urgent to explore innovative avenues to overcome the past and present difficulties.³

During (and most certainly after) this outbreak, the implementation of different technological solutions allowed us to overcome many of the hindrances imposed by forced social distancing. This was the case of telerehabilitation strategies that in the short term have been largely focused on patients who had previous on-site access to PR and on patients with COVID-19.⁶⁻¹⁰ In the long run, these may be feasible strategies to increase access to PR for those in need since patients eligible for PR have access to and feel confident using digital technologies.¹¹ But how prepared are health services to implement telerehabilitation?

According to the World Health Organization, telehealth is the "delivery of health care services, where patients and providers are separated by distance through the use of information and communications technology (ICT).¹² Telehealth can be employed in several clinical areas, such as teleradiology, teledermatology, telepsychiatry and telerehabilitation. Telerehabilitation has been previously defined as the delivery of rehabilitation through a variety of ICT. Similarly to

telehealth, this definition still encompasses a large diversity of procedures within the realm of rehabilitation, where PR can be included.¹³ However, pulmonary telerehabilitation is far from being a reality yet. For example in Portugal, in the most recent characterisation of PR, from the 24 centres delivering PR programmes, none was telehealth supported.⁴ Although efforts to increase access to PR have been made recently (primary care centres were advised to implement programmes in well-selected patients),¹⁴ telerehabilitation guidance was never provided.

The use of telehealth is increasingly included in national health services.¹² In Portugal there has been a TeleHealth National Centre dedicated to the development and implementation of telehealth solutions since 2016.¹⁵ This centre has produced guidance for teleconsultation, teleradiology, teledermatology and remote patient monitoring. Yet, guidelines for telerehabilitation are still missing. A similar scenario is present in several countries worldwide and therefore guidelines for telerehabilitation are urgently needed but should be broad enough to adapt to all types of rehabilitation.

A fundamental pillar of PR programmes is its multidisciplinary nature to address the needs of patients with chronic respiratory diseases and therefore, to standardise pulmonary telerehabilitation, a joint effort by national organisations, scientific and professional societies is required. This effort should also be developed in articulation with the most relevant international societies in the area of respiratory medicine, such as the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the American Thoracic Society (ATS). Despite the recognised difficulties, e.g., ATS has publicly acknowledged not being able to endorse a specific approach to PR during the current challenges,¹⁶ it is urgent to find alternatives to conventional PR, whilst seeking to increase access to a higher number of patients who can benefit.

In the process of developing guidelines for telerehabilitation, it could be of interest to start by analysing the available examples of PR programmes already being delivered remotely to patients with chronic respiratory diseases.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The available literature reports telerehabilitation to be as effective as onsite-PR programmes and with potential for successful implementation even with few resources in patients' homes.²⁰ Combining this previous knowledge with the experience gathered from the implementation of telerehabilitation in patients with COVID-19 is now required.^{9,21,22}

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.05.018>

2531-0437/© 2020 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Please cite this article in press as: Jácome C, et al. Pulmonary telerehabilitation: An international call for action. Pulmonol. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.05.018>

Pulmonary rehabilitation, even if delivered remotely must preserve its cornerstone components, i.e., exercise training, education, and behaviour change but, a serious debate about the selection criteria, outcome measures, emergency plans, intervention design and equipment/technology is needed. The discussion should also involve technological specialists to aid healthcare providers in selecting and combining cost-benefit and friendly-user telemonitoring technology such as respiratory monitors, pulse oximeters, activity trackers, environmental sensors, monitors of physiological variables (e.g., heart rate, blood pressure, temperature) and communication systems.²³ Concerns about sharing data and meeting General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) requirements when using the different telemonitoring systems also need to be addressed. Additionally, a significant effort may be needed to try to preserve the social component of PR the role of which is indisputable during on-site programmes but may be lost during telerehabilitation.

Different discussions involving all relevant stakeholders in PR, from patients and families to healthcare providers, policy makers and scientists are urgently needed to shift PR from conventional to telerehabilitation and increase access to this fundamental intervention. Telerehabilitation can be a sustainable solution to the increasing burden of chronic respiratory diseases worldwide.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Direção-Geral da Saúde. Orientação n° 020/2020 de 03/04/2020: COVID-19: FASE DE MITIGAÇÃO Cuidados de Reabilitação e Respiratórios Domiciliários. URL: <https://www.dgs.pt/directrizes-da-dgs/orientacoes-e-circulares-informativas/orientacao-n-0202020-de-03042020-pdf.aspx> [accessed 21.05.20].
2. National Health Service. Clinical guide for the management of respiratory patients during the coronavirus pandemic. URL: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/03/C0063-Specialty-guide-Respiratory-and-Coronavirus-v1.26-March.pdf> [accessed 25.05.20].
3. Rochester CL, Vogiatzis I, Holland AE, Lareau SC, Marciniuk DD, Puhan MA, et al. An Official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society Policy Statement: enhancing implementation, use, and delivery of pulmonary rehabilitation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2015;192:1373–86.
4. Programa Nacional para as Doenças Respiratórias 2012-2016. Relatório de análise da capacidade instalada de reabilitação respiratória nos hospitais do serviço nacional de saúde; 2015. URL: <https://www.dgs.pt/portal-da-estatistica-da-saude/diretorio-de-informacao/diretorio-de-informacao/por-serie-506885-pdf.aspx?v=%3d%3dDwAAAB%2bLCAAAAAAABArySzltzVUY81MsTU1MDAFahzFefkPAAAA> [accessed 21.05.20].
5. Observatório Nacional das Doenças Respiratórias. 13º Relatório do Observatório Nacional das Doenças Respiratórias; 2018. URL: https://www.ondr.pt/files/Relatorio_ONDR_2018.pdf [accessed 21.05.20].
6. Pan American Health Organization. Rehabilitation considerations during the COVID-19 outbreak. URL: <https://iris.paho.org/bitstream/handle/10665.2/52035/NMHMHCVID19200010-eng.pdf?sequence=6&isAllowed=y> [accessed 25.05.20].

7. Balbi B, Berney S, Brooks D, Burtin C, Clini E, Franssen FME, et al. Report of an ad-Hoc International task force to develop an expert-based opinion on early and short-term rehabilitative interventions (after the acute hospital setting) in COVID-19 survivors (version April 3, 2020). URL: <https://ers.app.box.com/s/npzkvigt14w3pb0vbth4y0fxe7ae9z9> [accessed 25.05.20].
8. Zhao H-M, Xie Y-X, Wang C. Recommendations for respiratory rehabilitation in adults with COVID-19. *Chin Med J*. 2020. PMID: 32251002.
9. Yang L-L, Yang T. Pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Chron Dis Transl Med*. 2020. PMID: 32411496.
10. Vitacca M, Carone M, Clini EM, Paneroni M, Lazzeri M, Lanza A, et al. Joint statement on the role of respiratory rehabilitation in the COVID-19 crisis: the Italian position paper. *Respiration*. 2020.
11. Jácome C, Marques F, Paixao C, Rebelo P, Oliveira A, Cruz J, et al. Embracing digital technology in chronic respiratory care: surveying patients access and confidence. *Pulmonology*. 2020;26:56–9.
12. World Health Organization. Telehealth: analysis of third global survey on eHealth based on the reported data by countries; 2016. URL: <https://www.who.int/gho/goe/telehealth/en/> [accessed 21.05.20].
13. Hoas H, Andreassen HK, Lien LA, Hjalmsen A, Zanaboni P. Adherence and factors affecting satisfaction in long-term telerehabilitation for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a mixed methods study. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2016;16:26.
14. Direção-Geral da Saúde. Orientação Clínica 014/2019 Programas de Reabilitação Respiratória nos Cuidados de Saúde Primários. URL: <https://www.dgs.pt/directrizes-da-dgs/orientacoes-e-circulares-informativas/orientacao-n-0142019-de-07082019.aspx> [accessed 21.05.20].
15. Centro Nacional de TeleSaúde. Plano estratégico nacional para a telessaúde 2019-2022. URL: http://www.cnts.min-saude.pt/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/PENTS_PT.pdf [accessed 21.05.20].
16. Garvey C, Holland A, Corn J. Pulmonary rehabilitation resources in a complex and rapidly changing world. URL: <https://www.thoracic.org/members/assemblies/assemblies/pr/resources/pr-resources-in-a-complex-and-rapidly-changing-world-3-27-2020.pdf> [accessed 21.05.20].
17. Santos CD, Neves RCd, Rodrigues F, Bárbara C. PC 190. First steps on home-based pulmonary telerehabilitation by Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte. *Pulmonology*. 2019;25(SC3):120–1.
18. Hansen H, Bieler T, Beyer N, Kallemose T, Wilcke JT, Østergaard LM, et al. Supervised pulmonary tele-rehabilitation versus pulmonary rehabilitation in severe COPD: a randomised multi-centre trial. *Thorax*. 2020;75:413–21.
19. Selzler AM, Wald J, Sedeno M, Jourdain T, Janaudis-Ferreira T, Goldstein R, et al. Telehealth pulmonary rehabilitation: a review of the literature and an example of a nationwide initiative to improve the accessibility of pulmonary rehabilitation. *Chron Respir Dis*. 2018;15:41–7.
20. Vasilopoulou M, Papaioannou AI, Kaltsakas G, Louvaris Z, Chynkiamis N, Spetsioti S, et al. Home-based maintenance tele-rehabilitation reduces the risk for acute exacerbations of COPD, hospitalisations and emergency department visits. *Eur Respir J*. 2017;49, 1602129.
21. Mukaino M, Tatemoto T, Kumazawa N, Tanabe S, Katoh M, Saitoh E, et al. Staying active in isolation: telerehabilitation for individuals with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2020;99:478–9.

22. Exum E, Hull BL, Lee ACW, Gumienny A, Villarreal C, Longnecker D. Applying telehealth technologies and strategies to provide acute care consultation and treatment of patients with confirmed or possible COVID-19. *J Acute Care Phys Therapy*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JAT.000000000000143>, publish ahead of print.
23. Angelucci A, Aliverti A. Telemonitoring systems for respiratory patients: technological aspects. *Pulmonology*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2019.11.006>, publish ahead of print.

C. Jácome^{a,b,*}, A. Marques^{c,d}, A. Oliveira^{c,e,f},
L.V. Rodrigues^{g,h}, I. Sanchesⁱ

^a *Center for Health Technology and Services Research (CINTESIS), Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto (FMUP), Porto, Portugal*

^b *Department of Community Medicine, Information and Health Decision Sciences (MEDCIDS), University of Porto Faculty of Medicine, Porto, Portugal*

^c *Lab3R – Respiratory Research and Rehabilitation Laboratory, School of Health Sciences, University of Aveiro (ESSUA), Aveiro, Portugal*

^d *iBiMED – Institute of Biomedicine, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal*

^e *School of Rehabilitation Science, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada*

^f *West Park Healthcare Centre, Toronto, ON, Canada*

^g *Pulmonology Department, Unidade Local de Saúde da Guarda E.P.E. – Hospital Sousa Martins, Portugal*

^h *Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal*

ⁱ *Pulmonology Department, Centro Hospitalar Vila Nova de Gaia/Espinho, Vila Nova de Gaia, Portugal*

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: cjacome@med.up.pt (C. Jácome).