Population genomics of Bombus terrestris reveals high but unstructured genetic diversity in a potential glacial refugium
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#### Abstract

Ongoing climate change is expected to cause temperature rise and reduction of precipitation levels in the Mediterranean region, which might cause changes in many species' distribution. These effects negatively impact species' gene pools, decreasing genetic variability and adaptive potential. Here we use mitochondrial DNA and RADseq to analyse population genetic structure and genetic diversity of the bumblebee species Bombus terrestris (subspecies B. terrestris lusitanicus), in the Iberian Peninsula. While this subspecies shows a panmictic pattern of population structure across Iberia and beyond, we found differentiation between subspecies lusitanicus and subspecies africanus, likely caused by the existence of barriers to gene flow between Iberia and North Africa. Furthermore, results revealed that the Iberian Peninsula harbours a large fraction of $B$. terrestris intraspecific genetic variation, with the highest number of mitochondrial haplotypes found when compared to any other region in Europe studied so far, suggesting a potential glacial refugium role for the Iberian Peninsula. Our findings strengthen the idea that Iberia is a very important source of diversity for the global genetic pool of this species, as rare alleles may play a role in population resilience against human or climate-mediated changes.
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## Introduction

As a consequence of several human-driven environmental changes (e.g. habitat loss, agricultural intensification, use of pesticides, the introduction of new parasites and climate change), insect pollinators have purportedly declined dramatically in recent decades (reviewed in Potts et al., 2010). Moreover, the massive use of managed and commercial bees for crop pollination and honey production (e.g. Apis mellifera, Bombus terrestris) facilitate the introduction and spread of diseases and parasites (Goulson, 2010), and interfere with the genetic composition of natural populations through hybridization (Jaffé et al., 2016; Seabra et al., 2019).

Bumblebees (Bombus spp.) have been particularly affected worldwide by the above mentioned problems. Several species have endured dramatic changes in their distribution or became locally extinct in developed regions, such as central and western Europe and North America (Goulson et al., 2008; Bommarco et al., 2012). Although several studies have reported high levels of gene flow among European populations (Woodard et al., 2015; Lecocq et al., 2017), some species have experienced a decline on genetic diversity at a local scale (Woodard et al., 2015), which might increase the risk of inbreeding and hinder population's ability to cope with environmental change (Goulson et al., 2008; Maebe et al., 2015).

Populations that have persisted in glacial refugia are expected to show higher levels of intraspecific genetic variation comparing to populations outside these regions, due to long-term population persistence and isolation (Hewitt, 1999). The Iberian Peninsula was one of the largest Mediterranean refugia during the Quaternary (2.6 Mya - present) glaciations, and is also at the southernmost latitude limit (rear edge) for many continental species ranges (Hewitt, 2000; Arias et al., 2006). Therefore, many species in Iberia present geographically structured genetic lineages (Miraldo et al., 2011; Rodrigues et al., 2014; Chávez-Galarza et al., 2015). Additionally, the proximity of Iberia to Africa, especially at the Strait of Gibraltar and with episodic bridges between the two continents, enabled occasional dispersal of the more vagile organisms, particularly during periods of glacial southern contraction or of postglacial northern expansion (Pinto et al., 2013). However, according to Rasmont et al. (2015), the Iberian Peninsula is expected to experience major reductions of bumblebee suitable climatic conditions within the forthcoming decades, alongside other southern European regions. Intensive land-use regimes and degradation of semi-natural areas might be already impacting species richness patterns for many taxa across the peninsula (Martins et al., 2014; Newbold et al., 2015). Moreover, the use of commercial bumblebees for crop pollination, which is a
common practice in several parts of the peninsula, may negatively impact native natural populations through pathogen spillover and introgression of maladaptive alleles (Murray et al., 2013; Seabra et al., 2019).

The buff-tailed bumblebee Bombus terrestris (Linnaeus, 1758) (Hymenoptera, Apidae) presents a wide distribution in the West Palearctic region (Rasmont et al., 2008), and has been deliberately introduced as a crop pollinator into several areas worldwide (Ings et al., 2005; Goulson, 2010). Nine subspecies were described based on morphology, particularly in coat colour variation, with additional differences in behaviour, phenology, physiological traits and resistance to parasites (see Figure 1; Rasmont et al., 2008). Mitochondrial and microsatellite variation studies have shown a clear differentiation of northern African and islander $B$. terrestris populations from European mainland ones, with no differentiation amongst the latter. In fact, mainland populations are largely homogeneous with nearly panmixia patterns (Estoup et al., 1996; Widmer et al., 1998; Lecocq et al., 2013b; 2016; Woodard et al., 2015). Genetic cohesiveness found across broad geographical scales has been attributed to the absence of effective barriers to gene flow and bumblebees' great dispersal capability (Estoup et al., 1996; Woodard et al., 2015; Lecocq et al., 2016). Flight radius of Bombus terrestris males, for example, varies between 2.6 and 9.9 km (Kraus et al., 2009). Queen dispersal in B. terrestris is unknown but estimates for Bombus pascuorum (Scopoli, 1763) and Bombus lapidarius (Linnaeus, 1758) queens show they are able to disperse by at least three and five km , respectively, during their lifetime (Lepais et al., 2010). In the case of B. terrestris, two hypotheses have been suggested to explain the genetic homogeneity in European mainland: (1) a recent population expansion from a single glacial refuge, although low sampling efforts hinder definite conclusions (Lecocq et al., 2016); (2) the erosion of past population structure due to genetic homogenisation linked to translocations of commercial $B$. terrestris, but this is unlikely since the same pattern of genetic homogenisation was found in the early 1990s, when bumblebee commercialization was barely a practice (Estoup et al., 1996). Unfortunately, studies on $B$. terrestris largely undersampled the Iberian Peninsula (see Estoup et al. (1996), Widmer et al. (1998), Moreira et al. (2015) and Lecocq et al. (2016)), despite the importance of this area as a potential glacial refuge for the species and its present location at the south-western edge of B. terrestris mainland distribution.

To address this gap, we explore the role of the Iberian Peninsula in the differentiation dynamics of $B$. terrestris, by assessing if (1) Iberian B. terrestris lusitanicus (Krüger, 1956) is panmictic with the rest of the B. terrestris' distribution, and (2) if Iberian populations of B. terrestris harbour standing genetic variation in order to adapt to the currently changing environment. We will address these questions by determining: (i) the
level of genetic differentiation between B. t. lusitanicus and other recognised subspecies of B. terrestris; (ii) the population genetic structure within the Iberian Peninsula and (iii) the levels of genetic diversity within $B$. t. lusitanicus. We contrast the use of mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) marker, which has been commonly used to study inter- and intraspecific relationships in bumblebees (Lecocq et al., 2013a, 2016; Dellicour et al., 2015; Moreira et al., 2015), with a novel genome-wide dataset of restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RADseq). This method readily provides thousands of SNPs and has proven to be effective in biogeography, adaptation, association and conservation studies, even when individual and population sampling is limited (Lozier, 2014; Woodard et al., 2015; Lozier \& Zayed, 2016). With RADseq we obtained the first comprehensive dataset of nuclear loci from B. t. lusitanicus and evaluated its population structure at a finer scale resolution. This is the first step to investigate the spatial patterns of population structure and genetic diversity on a bumblebee species within the Iberian Peninsula and to identify the main priorities for future research on the Iberian bumblebees' conservation, evolution and environmental adaptation.

## Material and Methods

## Sampling and DNA extraction

A total of 198 individuals of $B$. t. lusitanicus were collected from six regions within the Iberian Peninsula, covering most of B. t. lusitanicus' Iberian distribution and habitat heterogeneity. To minimize the probability of sampling individuals from the same colony, individuals were caught from locations separated by at least one km, within each region, whenever possible (Figure 1B; Table S1, Supporting Information). Additional samples from other subspecies of B. terrestris were collected: one B. t. terrestris from Switzerland, from Germany and from France, one B. t. dalmatinus from Turkey, one B. t. audax from Great Britain and four B. t. africanus from Morocco (Figure 1A; Table S1, Supporting Information). We focused on diploid individuals (females, mainly workers) for the genetic analyses, so that we would better capture the genetic variation in the populations. The only exception was one male from France, from where we did not collect females.

For the genetic analyses, B. t. lusitanicus' samples were grouped according to the six defined regions, while samples from other subspecies were grouped according to the country where they were collected (Table S1, Supporting Information). Thirteen females from closely related species were collected to serve as outgroup: one individual of Bombus hortorum (Linnaeus, 1761), three of B. lapidarius, three of Bombus
lucorum (Linnaeus, 1761), four of B. pascuorum and two of Bombus ruderatus (Fabricius, 1775). Samples were preserved for DNA extraction either in absolute ethanol and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, or dried and preserved at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Total genomic DNA was extracted from fore and mid legs, the head, and for smaller individuals, a portion of the thorax was also used. DNA was isolated with the DNeasy Blood \& Tissue extraction kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's standard protocol. To maximize DNA yield, some samples were eluted in lower volume of buffer AE (minimum of $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), and the eluted volume was transferred again to the silica column of the kit for a second elution and incubation times with buffer AE were extended up to 30 $\min$.

## COI amplification, RAD libraries construction and sequencing

For all samples, a fragment from the mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase I gene (COI) was amplified by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) with the primer set LepF/LepR (Hajibabaei et al., 2006). PCR amplifications were carried out in $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volumes containing approximately $10-45 \mathrm{ng}$ of template DNA, 1 x reaction buffer, 1.8 mM of $\mathrm{MgCl} 2,1.0 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs, 0.04 units of GoTaq Flexi DNA polymerase and $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer. The thermocycling profile consisted of one cycle of one min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, five cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, one min at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and one $\min$ at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 30 cycles of one min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, one min and 30 s at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and one min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a final step of five min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All PCR products were purified with SureClean (Bioline) purification kit and sequenced in the forward direction on an ABI3730XL by Macrogen Europe. DNA sequences were quality controlled with Sequencher version 4.0.5 (Gene Codes Corporation).

A subset of 55 individuals, including 37 individuals of $B t$. lusitanicus from the six defined Iberian regions, five individuals from other $B$. terrestris subspecies and 13 individuals from outgroup species (see Figure 1 A and B ; Table S , Supporting Information) was used for RAD sequencing analyses. RADseq libraries for Illumina paired-end sequencing were prepared following the protocol by Etter et al. (2011) available at https://www.wiki.ed.ac.uk/display/RADSequencing/Home, with some minor modifications as reported in Seabra et al. (2019). We used the restriction enzyme PstI-HF (New England Biolabs).

Sequencing took place on a Illumina HiSeq 2000/2005 at Edinburgh Genomics, Ashworth Laboratories. The 55 individuals were run together with other 53 samples from another study (Seabra et al., 2019), over two lanes.

## COI data analysis

Mitochondrial COI sequences obtained in this study were deposited in GenBank (Acession numbers MN652675 - MN652877; Table S1a, Supporting Information). We also included 17 sequences from our previous study (Seabra et al., 2019) and we followed the designation of haplotypes in that same study (Table S1a, Supporting Information). In order to extend our B. terrestris COI dataset ( 207 sequences) into the species' geographical range, we downloaded from GenBank five additional sets of COI sequences from previous studies, namely Coppée (2010), Williams et al. (2012a, b), Moreira et al. (2015) and Schmidt et al. (2015) (see Figure 1; see Table S1b, Supporting Information, for GenBank accession numbers). In this way, a total of 233 COI sequences were added to our dataset, totalizing 441 sequences. Sequences related to commercially reared or introduced populations were not considered.

COI dataset of $B$. terrestris was aligned in MAFFT version 7.271 (Katoh \& Standley, 2013) using default settings. The final alignment was checked for accuracy and sequences were trimmed to the same length (597 bp) to eliminate missing data, using BioEdit version 7.2.5 (Hall, 1999). Median-joining haplotype networks were constructed in PopART version 1.7 (Bandelt et al., 1999; Leigh \& Bryant, 2015), in order to visualise the relationship among B. terrestris haplotypes. We used Arlequin version 3.5.2.2 (Excoffier \& Lischer, 2010) and only the individuals from the Iberian Peninsula to perform a standard analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) with 10,000 permutation steps and calculate haplotype (h) and nucleotide ( $\pi$ ) diversities. We also calculated haplotype and nucleotide diversities for the individuals of the remaining B. terrestris distribution range in order to compare with the Iberian Peninsula. File format conversion for PopART and Arlequin were performed using PGDSpider version 2.1.0.3 (Lischer \& Excoffier, 2012).

## RADseq data analysis

RADseq data obtained in this study are available at Sequence Read Archive (PRJNA578045). All console commands used for RADseq data filtering, SNP discovery and subsequent analyses are available in Appendix 2. Quality control of the RADseq raw read data was performed using FastQC version 0.11.3 (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). The script process_radtags implemented in Stacks version 1.29 (Catchen et al., 2013) was used (with default settings) to remove low quality (with a phred quality score below 33) and unidentifiable reads as well as to demultiplex the data. Bowtie2 version 2.1.0 (Langmead \& Salzberg, 2012) was used to trim the last three bases from the 3' end of each read before
alignment, as this region is richer in low-quality base calls, and to align each sample's reads to the assembled reference genome of B. terrestris (NCBI Assembly GCA_000214255.1) with the "sensitive" option. SAMtools version 0.1 .19 (Li et al., 2009) was used to remove low quality alignments (mapping quality below 20) and any unmapped reads.

After data filtering, RAD loci were identified and SNPs called using the Stacks pipeline 1.45 (Catchen et al., 2013). In order to minimize the impact of differences among taxa in the number of SNPs obtained and the amount of missing data, three datasets were created from the initial 55 individuals for different analyses (see Table S1, Supporting Information): (1) BT_OUT: includes all the studied subspecies (all B. terrestris) as well as the outgroup species; (2) BT_SSP: includes all B. terrestris subspecies and does not include the outgroup species; (3) BT_BTL: includes the Iberian B. t. lusitanicus only.

Preliminary tests were carried out to identify optimal Stacks parameters (Appendix 1, Figures S3, S4, S5, Supporting Information). In pstacks, minimum stack depth was set to six; in cstacks, maximum number of mismatches allowed when building catalog loci was two; in populations, individuals were grouped into putative locations based on geographical region (see Table S1, Supporting Information) and one random SNP per RAD locus was used to avoid confounding signals of linkage disequilibrium. SNPs were only retained if they were present in $50 \%$ of individuals in at least $\mathrm{n}-1$ (where n is the number of geographic regions) in all datasets. To test for differences among outputs with different randomly selected SNPs, module populations was run several times, but no significant differences were obtained in the final results (data not shown).

Finally, we performed an additional filtering step to remove loci with minor allele frequencies $<0.05$ and more than $25 \%$ of missing data across all samples using VCFtools version 0.1.15 (Danecek et al., 2011). VCFtools was also used to obtain the mean coverage per site per individual. The resulting SNP datasets were then used in subsequent population genomic and phylogeographic analyses: dataset BT_OUT to check for differentiation between $B$. terrestris and outgroup species; dataset BT_SSP to determine differentiation between B. t. lusitanicus and the other subspecies; dataset BT_BTL to determine population genetic structure and genetic diversity of B. t. lusitanicus within the Iberian Peninsula.

Principal component analyses (PCA) of the three RADseq datasets were performed using the package SNPRelate version 1.12.0 (Szulkin et al., 2016) as implemented in the $R$ script snp_pca_static. $R$ (https://github.com/CoBiG2/RAD_Tools) as of commit "bb2fc45". To test for differentiation between B. $t$. lusitanicus and the other subspecies and within B. t. lusitanicus, clustering analyses of population structure
were performed using the datasets BT_SSP and BT_BTL and MavericK version 1.0.4 (Verity \& Nichols, 2016). As the model used by MavericK assumes that markers are neutral (Verity \& Nichols, 2016), we first performed an outlier analysis of both datasets to identify and remove non-neutral markers, using both BayeScan version 2.1 (Foll \& Gaggiotti, 2008) and SelEstim version 1.1.7 (Vitalis et al., 2014). BayeScan was run using a matrix of SNP genotypes, with prior odds for the neutral model turned to five and assuming a detection threshold of 0.05 . The remaining parameters were set to default values. Plots and convergence were checked using the $R$ script plot_R.r available within the BayeScan package, and the package CODA version 0.19-1 (Plummer et al., 2006). SelEstim was run after randomizing the reference allele for each locus (using the $R$ script SelEstim. $R$ available within SelEstim package) and using 50 pilot runs of 1 K length, followed by a main run of 1 M length with a burnin of 100 K , a thinning interval of 20, and a detection threshold of 0.01 . The $R$ script SelEstim. $R$ was also used to obtain the list of outliers and check for convergence. The total number of outliers identified by BayeScan and SelEstim were removed from the datasets using the Python script outlier_removal.py (https://github.com/CoBiG2/RAD_Tools) as of commit "ba731f2". Datasets with only neutral markers were analysed using MavericK version 1.0.4 (Verity \& Nichols, 2016), wrapped under Structure_threader version 1.2.4 (Pina-Martins et al., 2017) for values of "K" between one and five for dataset BT_SSP, and values between one and four for the dataset BT_BTL. We first performed a single "pilot" run of 5,000 iterations, with a burnin of 500 using an admixture model, a free alpha parameter of one with a standard deviation of the normal proposed distribution of 0.10 and "thermodynamic integration" (TI) turned off. Posterior median and posterior standard deviation of alpha were obtained from the "pilot" run and used in a "tuned" run as parameters for the admixture model as follows: alpha was set to 10 times the posterior median and alphaPropSD to five times the posterior SD. This "tuned" run was comprised of five runs of 10,000 iterations, with a burnin of 2000, with TI turned on and another set of 20 rungs of 10,000 samples with a burnin of 2000 . The most suitable value of " K " was calculated for both datasets using the TI method. The R script MavericK1.0 functions. $R$ available within the MavericK package was used to produce diagnostic plots in order to check for convergence and autocorrelation, and the Qmatrix plots.

Finally, a locus-by-locus AMOVA was performed in Arlequin with RADseq dataset BT_BTL, which includes all the SNPs, in order to examine the variance within and among geographical regions, and significance was calculated using 10,000 permutation steps. Genome-wide measures of genetic diversity,
including per-SNP nucleotide diversity ( $\pi_{\mathrm{SNP}}$ ), and the mean per-individual observed and expected heterozygosities $\left(H_{\mathrm{O}}\right.$ and $\left.H_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ were calculated using VCFtools and the same dataset.

File format conversions for BayeScan, MavericK and Arlequin were performed using PGDSpider whereas file format conversion for SelEstim was performed with the bash script GESTE2SelEstim.sh (https:// github.com/Telpidus/omics_tools) as of commit " f 74 f 66 b ".

## Results

The final alignment of the mitochondrial COI dataset included no indels, and consisted of a total of 26 variable sites, of which nine were parsimony-informative. A total of 16 haplotypes were found (Table S1a and S1b, Supporting Information; Figure 2).

After Illumina sequencing of RAD libraries for 55 individuals, we obtained an average of 7.7 M paired end reads of 125 bp , per individual. Of those, an average of 7.4 M were retained after filtering with process_radtags, representing approximately $8.18 \%$ of the genome sequenced. Quality scores of the retained reads ranged from 36 to 37 , with a GC content of $\sim 40 \%$. An average of $52.69 \%$ of the quality-filtered reads aligned to the $B$. terrestris genome. Of the 55 sequenced individuals, nine were excluded from further analyses (six B. t. lusitanicus from four of the Iberian defined regions and three representatives of the outgroup species) due to lower mean coverage per individual $(\leq 12 x)$. Missing data of the remaining samples averaged $5.4 \%$. Information concerning the output of RADseq filtering steps for each sample (number of initial and mapped reads, coverage, datasets at which samples belong and missing data) are provided in Table S1 (Supporting Information). Final individual counts and statistics per dataset after filtering were as follows: BT_OUT dataset comprises 46 individuals and 5,357 SNPs (aprox. 22.7 markers/ Mb ) with a mean coverage of 57.7X per site, per individual; BT_SSP dataset comprises 36 individuals and 10,765 SNPs (aprox. 45.6 markers/ Mb ) with a mean coverage of 52.3X per site, per individual; BT_BTL dataset comprises 31 individuals and 11,369 SNPs (aprox. 48.2 markers/ Mb ) with a mean coverage of 56.6 X per site, per individual.

## Differentiation of Bombus terrestris lusitanicus

Seven of the 16 B. t. lusitanicus'COI haplotypes are present in the Iberian Peninsula. The most common haplotype (H1) is common to the entire Peninsula and to the remaining European mainland regions analysed
whereas H2 is shared with central Europe (Switzerland) and Ireland (Figure 2). The other five haplotypes found in Iberia are exclusive to this peninsula (Figure 2). No shared haplotypes were detected between the Iberian Peninsula and North Africa. Haplotypes H9 and H16 are exclusive of North Africa and H14 is shared between this region and Italy. Some haplotypes found in Great Britain, Sardinia, Corsica and Canary Islands are also exclusive from these regions (Figure 2A). Most of the haplotypes differ from H1 in only one or two mutational steps, with the exception of the haplotypes found only in islands or in North Africa, the haplotypes from Sardinia and Morocco being the most differentiated (Figure 2C).

PCA of RADseq dataset BT_OUT revealed a clear separation between $B$. terrestris and the outgroup species, with the exception of B. lucorum which is the closest to B. terrestris (Figure 3A). PCA using dataset BT_SSP, with B. terrestris samples only, revealed a very narrow separation between samples from the Iberian Peninsula and those from Great Britain and Germany across EV2 (EV2 explains $3.08 \%$ of the variance). On the other hand, individuals from Morocco show a greater separation across EV1 from the remaining samples (EV1 explains $10.14 \%$ of the variance; Figure 3B). Outlier tests of dataset BT_SSP revealed that a total of 44 SNPs were non-neutral: 43 SNPs ( $0.40 \%$ of the total SNPs) when using SelEstim, and two SNPs ( $0.02 \%$ of the total SNPs) when using BayeScan (one SNP was identified by both softwares). Clustering analyses of the dataset BT_SSP with non-neutral loci removed, using MavericK, determined the existence of two groups $(K=2)$ as the most likely scenario (Figure 3C). These two groups correspond to i) the individuals from the Iberian Peninsula, Great Britain and Germany, and ii) individuals from Morocco, which is consistent with what was observed in the PCA (Figure 3B).

## Iberian populations genetic structure

The most common COI haplotype H 1 is present in $95 \%$ of the B. terrestris sampled in the Iberian Peninsula. H2 is present in three samples from IP-NO and IP-WE regions, while H3 is only present in two samples from southern locations, one IP-SE and another from IP-SW, respectively. All the remaining Iberian COI haplotypes (H4-H7) are represented by a single sample (Figure 2B). The Iberian region with the highest haplotype diversity is IP-SE with five haplotypes (Figure 2B and Table S2, Supporting Information). The haplotype network did not show a structured phylogeographic pattern, with the most common haplotype being shared among geographically distant regions, and the less frequent and unique haplotypes being closely related to H1, in a 'star-like' configuration (Figure 2C).

PCA of RADseq dataset BT_BTL showed no clear separation between any Iberian geographic regions (EV1 and EV2 only explain $3.75 \%$ and $3.67 \%$ of the variation, respectively; Figure 3D). The most segregated individuals are BTL_075 (IP-NW) and BTL_136 (IP-SE) along EV1, and BTL_306 (IP-WE) along EV2. Missing data values for these individuals does not explain their separation from the remaining $(3.7 \%, 5.7 \%$ and $10.6 \%$ respectively), and their COI haplotype is the most common one, H1. Outlier tests of dataset BT_BTL revealed a total of 31 non neutral SNPs: 29 ( $0.26 \%$ of the total SNPs) when using SelEstim, and two SNPs ( $0.02 \%$ of the total SNPs) when using BayeScan. Clustering analyses of the dataset BT_BTL with non-neutral loci removed, using MavericK, determined the existence of one group ( $\mathrm{K}=1$ ) as the most likely scenario (data not shown), which is concordant with the PCA results.

## Genetic diversity

AMOVA results revealed an absence of genetic structure for $B$. terrestris COI dataset, suggesting that the overall source of variation was within geographical regions instead of among these (Table 1). Measures for haplotype ( $h$ ) and nucleotide $(\pi)$ diversities calculated using $B$. terrestris COI dataset for the total Iberian Peninsula were 0.08470 and 0.00018 , respectively (Table 2). More than $43 \%$ ( 7 in 16 ) of the haplotypes found were present in the Iberian Peninsula, and $31 \%$ ( 5 in 16) were exclusive of this area and no other European region showed such a high number of haplotypes. It is important to note, however, that sample sizes differ substantially (Figure 2 and Table 2).

AMOVA results using the RADseq dataset BT_BTL mirror those of the COI dataset, with an absence of genetic structure, indicating that the overall source of variation is within and not among geographical regions (Table 1). Measures of per-SNP nucleotide diversity and mean per-individual observed and expected heterozygosities for the total Iberian Peninsula were $0.2780,0.2326$ and 0.2773 , respectively (Table 2).

## Discussion

We conducted a population genetic study, with mitochondrial and nuclear genome-wide markers, to measure differentiation of B. t. lusitanicus from other B. terrestris' subspecies, and to investigate this subspecies' population structure and genetic diversity within the Iberian Peninsula. We found no evident differentiation pattern on mitochondrial DNA between B. t. lusitanicus and the other European mainland subspecies B. t. terrestris, B. t. dalmatinus and B. t. calabricus. On the other hand, we found a clear
differentiation of North African B. t. africanus from the remaining subspecies, including the geographically close B. $t$. lusitanicus, which is in accordance with previous studies (Coppée, 2010; Lecocq et al., 2016) and confirmed here with samples from southern Iberia, where any evidence of admixture with B. t. africanus would be more likely to occur. Considering the genetic diversity of $B$. terrestris at the species level, it is homogeneous across mainland populations, while subspecies from the islands and North Africa appear to be more differentiated, although with evidence of some admixture, particularly between the British and continental populations (as previously reported by Moreira et al., 2015). The presence of the same haplotype in both Algeria and central Italy could also indicate some admixture in this region. Our study corroborates those of Estoup et al. (1996), Widmer et al. (1998), Moreira et al. (2015) and Lecocq et al. (2016) based on mitochondrial and microsatellite markers. Our genome-wide analyses with RAD sequencing show very slight distinction of B. t. lusitanicus from B. t. terrrestris (Germany) and B. t. audax (Great Britain) samples in the PCA, although not supported by Maverick results, but corroborates the clear differentiation from B. $t$. africanus. However, larger sample sizes of B. t. terrestris and B. t. audax are needed to better evaluate this small differentiation at the genomic level.

The lack of differentiation of B. t. lusitanicus from other European mainland subspecies can be explained by: (1) a common origin with subsequent local differentiation, which is supported by the star-like pattern in the mitochondrial COI network with rarer haplotypes deriving from a single ancestral haplotype (H1); (2) high dispersal ability of these insects across large distances (Kraus et al., 2009; Lepais et al., 2010) and extensive mountain ranges such as the Pyrenees; or (3) erosion of genetic differentiation caused by hybridization with commercial hives from allochthonous origin which are used in several areas in Europe for crop pollination (commercial stocks used in the Iberian Peninsula include mostly subspecies B. t. terrestris and B. t. dalmatinus (Lecocq et al., 2016; Velthuis \& van Doorn, 2006)). Putative hybrids with commercial hives in the western Iberian Peninsula were already detected (Seabra et al., 2019), but a widespread genetic erosion is not expected because the transfer of colonies of this species across Europe for crop pollination is a relatively recent phenomena (Estoup, 1996).

On the other hand, the differentiation found between B. t. lusitanicus and B. t. africanus suggests that gene flow between the Iberian Peninsula and North Africa is much lower than to elsewhere in mainland Europe. The number of accumulated differences on mitochondrial DNA also suggests these two subspecies probably started to diverge earlier than the others. The Mediterranean sea thus seem to be an effective barrier
to gene flow, even though the two continents are geographically very close at the Strait of Gibraltar ( $<15 \mathrm{~km}$ at the closest point) and despite the good dispersal capability of bumblebees. According to the information retrieved from http://www.atlashymenoptera.net/, B. terrestris is currently present right up to the coast on both sides of the Strait. Both Moreira et al. (2015) and Estoup et al. (1996) reported evidence of B. terrestris migrating over sea, across the English Channel and between the Isle of Man and Ireland, though at recognisably very low rates. Also other bumblebee species, such as Bombus jonellus (Kirby, 1802) was found to be able to disperse over sea barriers up to 30 km (Darvill et al., 2010). As bumblebees are known to disperse such large distances, two hypothesis might explain this result: 1) migration is conditioned by the prevailing wind conditions, characterised by strong winds from easterly or westerly directions, which are known to have an important role in dispersion patterns of several species (e.g. moth Cornifrons ulceratalis (Dantart et al., 2009); 2) migration occurs between both continents, but local differences in environmental conditions, and/or sexual selection, may be acting against migrants and preventing effective gene flow. The Strait of Gibraltar seems to hinder the dispersal of other flying species between North Africa and Iberian Peninsula (e.g. the butterfly Pararge aegeria (Weingartner et al., 2006)) while acted as a route of dispersal for others, mainly during lower sea level periods (e.g. the Iberian honey bee Apis mellifera iberiensis (Chávez-Galarza et al., 2015).

We did not find population genetic structure within B. t. lusitanicus across the Iberian Peninsula, contrarily to what was reported for the Iberian honey bee A. m. iberiensis (Chávez-Galarza et al., 2015). In this latter species, two highly divergent genetic lineages are observed which form a northeasternsoutheastern cline, better explained by secondary contacts between divergent populations from distinct and isolated glacial refugia (Chávez-Galarza et al., 2015). The panmictic pattern of B. t. lusitanicus within Iberia is likely due to $B$. terrestris' long-distance flights capability, coupled with the absence of effective geographical barriers to its dispersal. Long-distance flights of queens and males contribute towards regular gene flow and were suggested to be sufficient to maintain genetic cohesion of common bumblebees' species over large areas (Lepais et al., 2010). Also, the fact that B. terrestris is a short-tongued generalist bumblebee (Chapman et al., 2003), having a large foraging range (Walther-Hellwig \& Frankl, 2000), probably increases its capacity to find suitable habitats under a variety of conditions. In addition, this species is tolerant to a broad range of climates (Penado et al., 2016), from Mediterranean beaches under high temperatures to high mountains of crio-oromediterranean regimes. Thus, individuals are more capable to disperse and occupy a
vast majority of habitats when compared to other species, contributing to the observed large-scale connectivity. The already referred hypothesis of a recent population expansion from a single periglacial refuge in the Iberian Peninsula could also explain not only the observed pattern of homogeneity but also the star-like pattern in the mitochondrial COI network. This refuge may have existed in the Iberian Peninsula or elsewhere in Europe (as also referred by Estoup et al., 1996), as the most common haplotype H1 is widespread across the continent. Nonetheless, some rarer haplotypes could have evolved in a smaller refuge in the Betic ranges of southern Spain ('refugia within refugia' paradigm of Gómez \& Lunt (2007)), which might explain the higher genetic diversity found in mitochondrial DNA for the region IP-SE (Figure 2B; Table S2). This region is characterized by semi-arid low-lands drastically contrasting with steep changes in vegetation and climate along an altitudinal cline. It is considered as a hotspot for Mediterranean biodiversity, harbouring many endemic species or lineages, and so the high genetic diversity found in this region (five haplotypes from a total of 10 in continental Europe) is not unexpected (Hewitt, 2011; Nunes et al., 2014). The hypothesis of admixture between this region and North Africa is unlikely because there are no haplotypes shared between both regions as referred before. The use of commercial hives of B. terrestris for crop pollination in Southeast of Spain (IP-SE), and in IP-SW and IP-WE, is a common practice (Cejas et al., 2018). In these regions, commercial bumblebees have been found foraging outside greenhouses and on natural habitats, and introgression between commercial and native bumblebees was detected (Cejas et al., 2018, 2019; Seabra et al., 2019; Trillo et al., 2019). Thus some of the variation found in IP-SE could have been artificially introduced. We found one COI haplotype (H3) in regions IP-SE and IP-SW which is also relatively common in commercial stocks and in individuals collected from greenhouse areas investigated by Seabra et al. (2019). This haplotype was found in two specimens: one collected from about 300 km from the area where Cejas et al. $(2018,2019)$ detected potential hybrids between commercial and native populations, based on morphological and mitochondrial 16 S data; one collected from about 30 km from the area where Seabra et al. (2019) also detected potential introgression between both and escaped individuals, based on RADseq data. None of the other unique haplotypes from IP_SE were found within the commercial samples analysed by Seabra et al. (2019). Moreira et al. (2015) found that commercially reared populations were differentiated from the majority of the wild populations from Ireland, having the highest number of private microsatellite alleles. Thus the introduction of non-native specimens can lead to changes in the genetic structure of the native ones, and ultimately, increase the risk of displacement and the consequent loss of rare
beneficial alleles, especially in populations with low genetic diversity. In this way, we cannot underestimate the potential impact of the use of allochthonous commercial bumblebees for local crop pollination, independent of its origin.

The extended sampling in the Iberian Peninsula revealed this region as one of the richest in genetic diversity for $B$. terrestris, with the highest number of mitochondrial COI haplotypes than any other region in Europe studied so far (though some mainland regions remain undersampled). Iberia seems to be an important source of diversity for the global genetic pool of this species, as rare alleles may play a role in population resilience against human or climate-mediated changes (Barret \& Schluter, 2007), especially at the extremes of the species range. Since this is the first study evaluating population genetic diversity in B. terrestris with RADseq, we were not able to compare our results with other regions in Europe regarding the diversity at the genomic level. When comparing with North American Bombus species (Lozier, 2014; Jackson et al., 2018), despite the different RADseq markers used, B. t. lusitanicus from the Iberian Peninsula showed similar or higher diversity values, even when comparing with Bombus impatiens Cresson, 1863, a common species in eastern North America.

Further ecological studies comparing habitats, phenology and phenotypic characteristics of B. terrestris from the south of the peninsula with those from North Africa could help to describe barriers to dispersion and to gene flow within this species in more detail. Also, the absence of population genetic structure will facilitate the analyses of the adaptive potential of B. t. lusitanicus to environmental changes within the Iberian Peninsula, by finding adaptive genetic diversity and by modelling species response to future land-use and/or climatic changes. Ecological and genetic studies focusing in arid regions or in other Mediterranean peninsulas are also needed, in order to understand how environmental change is affecting natural populations of $B$. terrestris. It could also help to evaluate impacts of global warming on crop pollination efficiency of commercial hives at the extremes of this species range and in economically important regions.
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## Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Sampling locations of samples used in this study. A) Geographic distribution of each $B$. terrestris' subspecies, according to Lecocq et al. (2016), here represented by different colours; geographic location of collected samples of B. t. terrestris, B. t. dalmatinus, B. t. audax and B. t. africanus, as well as of COI sequences (from previous studies of Coppée (2010), Williams et al. (2012a, b), Moreira et al. (2015) and Schmidt et al. (2015)) downloaded from GenBank. B) Sampling locations of collected samples of B. t. lusitanicus across the Iberian Peninsula (IP-NW: Iberian Peninsula, Northwest; IP-WE: Iberian Peninsula,

West; IP-SW: Iberian Peninsula, Southwest; IP-NO: Iberian Peninsula, North; IP-CE: Iberian Peninsula, Centre; IP-SE: Iberian Peninsula, Southeast). B is a zoom-in of the black square in A. Sampling locations are represented as follows: orange dots - samples used for COI analyses; yellow stars - samples used for both COI and RAD analyses; black dots - COI sequences downloaded from GenBank.

Figure 2. Geographic distribution and frequency of mtDNA COI haplotypes. A) Map of haplotype frequencies for B. terrestris across Europe and North Africa. B) Map of haplotype frequencies for each Iberian geographic region. B is a zoom-in of the black square in A. C) Median-joining network representing relationship among haplotypes, coloured by haplotype. Sequences from Coppée (2010), Williams et al. (2012a, b), Moreira et al. (2015) and Schmidt et al. (2015) were also included. The size of the pie charts in A, B and of the circles in C is in proportion to the haplotype frequencies. Each haplotype is represented by the respective colour and designation (H1-H9 and H11-H17).

Figure 3. Principal components (PCA) and MavericK analyses of RADseq data. A) PCA of dataset BT_OUT, comparing B. terrestris with other species; B) PCA of dataset BT_SSP comparing B. t. lusitanicus samples with samples of other $B$. terrestris' subspecies; C) MavericK clustering plot of dataset BT_SSP, for $\mathrm{K}=2$; D) PCA of dataset BT_BTL comparing B. t. lusitanicus among Iberian geographical regions. In MavericK results, estimated membership of each individual to each cluster are shown by vertical bars with the clusters represented by different shades of grey.

Table 1. Results of the analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA) considering mitochondrial DNA COI data and RADseq data.

Table 2. The sample size and diversity indices across B. t. lusitanicus samples from the Iberian Peninsula considering mitochondrial DNA COI data and RADseq data, along with data for other populations of $B$. terrestris or for other species (from Lozier, 2014 and Jackson et al., 2018). Note that for RADseq markers, it was also indicated the restriction enzyme used and the number of SNPs obtained.

## Supporting Information

Table S1. List of samples used in this study: Table S1a: List of collected samples; Table S1b: List of samples with sequences downloaded from GenBank.

Table S2. The sample size and diversity indices across B. t. lusitanicus samples by Iberian region considering mitochondrial DNA COI data and RADseq dataset BT_BTL.

Appendix 1. Preliminary tests to identify optimal Stacks parameters: Figure S1: Results of parameter tests for the Stacks module pstacks; Figure S2: results of parameter tests for the Stacks module ctsacks and sstacks; Figure S3: results of parameter tests for the Stacks module populations.

Appendix 2. List of command line commands used for RADseq dataset analyses.
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Table 1

| DNA marker | Source of variation | Sum of squares | Variation <br> components | Percentage of <br> variation |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COI | Within geographical regions | 19.62 | 0.06 | 100.03 |
|  | Among geographical regions | 0.27 | -0.00002 | -0.03 |
| RAD seq | Within geographical regions | 79454.05 | 1532.05 | 97.81 |
|  | Among geographical regions | 9121.49 | 34.29 | 2.19 |

## Table 2

| Species | Geographic region | Reference | Sample size | DNA marker | $\boldsymbol{h}$ ( $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{o}}$ of haplotypes) | $\pi$ | $\pi_{\text {SVP }}$ | $H_{\text {O }}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}_{\text {E }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. t. lusitanicus | Iberian Peninsula | this study | 208 | COI | 0.08470 (7 hap.) | 0.00018 | - | - | - |
| Bombus terrestris | Europe (continental) | this study | 340 | COI | 0.09740 (10 hap.) | 0.00034 | - | - | - |
| Bombus terrestris | Europe (continental + islands) <br> \& north Africa | this study | 441 | COI | 0.39900 (16 hap.) | 0.00209 | - | - | - |
| B. t. lusitanicus | Iberian Peninsula | this study | 31 아 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD seq, PstI } \\ & (10,938 \text { SNPs }) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 0.278 | 0.233 | 0.277 |
| Bombus impatiens | eastern U.S.A. | Lozier et al. (2014) | 24 ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD seq, SgrAI } \\ & (2,387-9,148 \text { SNPs }) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 0.136-0.289 | n.d. | n.d. |
| Bombus pensylvanicus | eastern U.S.A. | Lozier et al. (2014) | 24 ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD seq, SgrAI } \\ & (3,240-9,376 \text { SNPs }) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 0.135-0.276 | n.d. | n.d. |
| Bombus bifarius | mountain regions of western U.S.A. | Jackson et al. (2018) | 383 아 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD seq, PstI } \\ & (598-37,474 \text { SNPs }) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 0.122-0.140 | n.d. | n.d. |
| Bombus vosnesenskii | mountain regions of western U.S.A. | Jackson et al. (2018) | 587 ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD seq, PstI } \\ & (356-18,700 \mathrm{SNPs}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 0.105-0.116 | n.d. | n.d. |

$h$, haplotype diversity; $\pi$, nucleotide diversity; $\pi_{\text {SNP }}$, per-SNP nucleotide diversity; $H_{0}$, mean per-individual observed heterozygosity; $H_{\mathrm{E}}$, mean per-individual expected heterozygosity. "-" indicates "non-applicable"; "n.d." indicates "no data".

Table S1a. List of collected samples with information concerning: sampling (taxa, sex, country, number of samples, geographic groups, origin, date of collection and respective collectors); outputs of mitochondrial COI analyses (haplotype mapped reads, coverage, datasets at which belongs and missing data).

| Sample Code | Taxa | Sex | n | Country / Island | Geographic region | Sampling Location | Latitude | Longitude | Date of collection | Collector(s) | mtDNA analysis | Col haplotype | GenBank accession no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOM_GEH_002 | B. t. I usitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal | IP-NW | Montalegre | 41.72247 | -7.68883 | 03/07/2017 | S.E. Silva \& R. Mendes | x | H1 | MN652675 |
| BOM_GEH_003 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal | Iberian Peninsula, northwest | Montalegre | 41.72247 | -7.68883 | 03/07/2017 | S.E. Silva \& R. Mendes | $\times$ | H1 | MN652676 |
| BOM_GEH_006 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Montalegre, Parafita | 41.75589 | -7.84843 | 03/07/2017 | S.E. Silva \& R. Mendes | $\times$ | H1 | MN652677 |
| BOM_GEH_009 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Montalegre, Parafita | 41.75589 | -7.84843 | 03/07/2017 | S.E. Silva \& R. Mendes | $\times$ | H1 | MN652678 |
| BOM_GEH_028 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | P.N. Peneda-Gerês, Vilar da Veiga | 41.73505 | -8.159281 | 15/05/2014 | T.G. Laurentino \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652679 |
| BTL_064 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | P.N. Peneda-Gerês, Vilar da Veiga | 41.73505 | -8.159281 | 15/05/2014 | T.G. Laurentino \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652680 |
| BTL_065 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal | ( $\mathrm{n}=24$ ) | P.N. Peneda-Gerês, Vilar da Veiga | 41.73505 | -8.159281 | 15/05/2014 | T.G. Laurentino \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652681 |
| BTL_060 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | P.N. Montesinho, Pinheiro Novo | 41.935667 | -7.114667 | 13/05/2014 | T.G. Laurentino \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652682 |
| BTL_061 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | P.N. Montesinho, Pinheiro Novo | 41.935667 | -7.114667 | 13/05/2014 | T.G. Laurentino \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652683 |
| BTL_062 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | P.N. Montesinho, Pinheiro Novo | 41.937944 | -7.108444 | 13/05/2014 | T.G. Laurentino \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652684 |
| BOM_GEL_002 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Pontevedra, Pazos de Borbén | 42.277028 | -8.525778 | 02/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652685 |
| BOM_GEL_010 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Pontevedra, Pazos de Borbén | 42.302028 | -8.546667 | 02/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652686 |
| BOM_GEL_016 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Pontevedra, Soutomaior | 42.331472 | -8.563556 | 02/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652687 |
| BOM_GEL_021 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Pontevedra, Soutomaior | 42.308389 | -8.5495 | 02/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652688 |
| BOM_GEL_026 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Pontevedra, Soutomaior | 42.339139 | -8.471333 | 02/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652689 |
| BOM_MLL_001 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Monforte de Lemos, Canabal | 42.48316 | -7.59038 | 04/07/2017 | S.E. Silva \& R. Mendes | $\times$ | H1 | MN652690 |
| BOM_MLL_003 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Monforte de Lemos, Pantón | 42.48619 | -7.59861 | 04/07/2017 | S.E. Silva \& R. Mendes | $\times$ | H1 | MN652691 |
| BOM_MLL_010 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Monforte de Lemos, Pantón | 42.51736 | -7.67458 | 04/07/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652692 |
| BOM_MLL_011 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Lugo, Monforte de Lemos | 42.54425 | -7.603667 | 03/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652693 |
| BOM_MLL_026 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Lugo, Monforte de Lemos | 42.549833 | -7.612667 | 03/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652694 |
| BTL_075 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Ourense, Serra da Enciña da Lastra | 42.486833 | -6.860333 | 12/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652695 |
| BTL_076 | B. t. I usitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Ourense, Serra da Enciña da Lastra | 42.486833 | -6.860333 | 12/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652696 |
| BOM_MLH_010 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Ourense, A Veiga | 42.232389 | -6.941028 | 03/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | x | H1 | MN652697 |
| BOM_MLH_016 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |  | Ourense, Serra da Enciña da Lastra | 42.486833 | -6.860333 | 12/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $x$ | H4 | MN652698 |
| BOM_SMH_003 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal | Iberian Peninsula, west | Serra de São Mamede | 39.310361 | -7.380139 | 23/04/2017 | S.E. Silva | x | H1 | MN652699 |
| BOM_SMH_004 | B. t. I usitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra de São Mamede | 39.310361 | -7.380139 | 23/04/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN65270 |
| BOM_SMH_005 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra de São Mamede | 39.308694 | -7.393694 | 23/04/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN65270 |
| BOM_SMH_006 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra de São Mamede | 39.308694 | -7.393694 | 23/04/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN65270 |
| BOM_SMH_013 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra de São Mamede | 39.312944 | -7.359917 | 19/06/2016 | S.E. Siva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652703 |
| BOM_SML_001 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Portalegre, Gaféte | 39.412861 | -7.651167 | 23/04/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652704 |
| BTL_306 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal | ( $\mathrm{n}=56$ ) | Abrantes, Mouriscas | 39.508733 | -8.103575 | 08/04/2012 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652705 |
| BTL_048 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Coimbra, Larçã | 40.327167 | -8.409417 | 066/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652706 |
| BTL_050 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Coimbra, Rabaçal | 40.031833 | -8.435833 | 05/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652707 |
| BOM_CML_001 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Mealhada, Pampilhosa do Botão | 40.322861 | -8.441917 | 21/05/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652708 |
| BOM_CML_002 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Mealhada, Pampilhosa do Botão | 40.331306 | -8.423778 | 21/05/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652709 |
| BOM_CML_003 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Mealhada, Pampilhosa do Botão | 40.331306 | -8.423778 | 21/05/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652710 |
| BOM_CML_006 | B. t. I usitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Mealhada, Pampilhosa do Botão | 40.328694 | -8.40275 | 21/05/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652711 |
| BOM_CML_007 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Mealhada, Pampilhosa do Botão | 40.328694 | -8.40275 | 21/05/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652712 |
| BOM_CMH_006 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra do Caramulo | 40.573694 | -8.157056 | 21/05/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652713 |
| BOM_CMH_009 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra do Caramulo | 40.554528 | -8.195139 | 21/05/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652714 |
| BOM_CMH_011 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra do Caramulo | 40.554528 | -8.195139 | 21/05/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652715 |
| BOM_CMH_012 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra do Caramulo | 40.548806 | -8.202083 | 21/05/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652716 |
| BOM_CMH_015 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra do Caramulo | 40.554167 | -8.198556 | 01107/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652717 |
| BTL_316 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Oliveira de Frades, Reigoso | 40.67725 | -8.274169 | 16/06/2013 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652718 |
| BOM_SEL_001 | B. t. I usitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Penalva do Castelo | 40.644139 | -7.713361 | 04/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652719 |
| BOM_SEL_002 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Penalva do Castelo | 40.644139 | -7.713361 | 04/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H2 | MN652720 |
| BOM_SEL_008 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Penalva do Castelo | 40.663583 | -7.683472 | 04/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652721 |
| BOM_SEL_013 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Penalva do Castelo | 40.671056 | -7.641194 | 04/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652722 |
| BOM_SEL_026 | B. .t. lusitaricus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Penalva do Castelo | 40.665444 | -7.657806 | 04/07/2016 | S.E. Siva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652723 |
| BTL_203 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra da Estrela | 40.431731 | -7.51575 | 11/06/2014 | T.G. Laurentino | $\times$ | H1 | MN652724 |
| BTL_213 | B. .t. lusitaricus | F | 1 | Portugal |  | Serra da Estrela | 40.365167 | -7.642167 | 11106/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652725 |


| BTL_217 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BTL_220 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SEH_002 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SEH_007 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SEH_010 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SEH_027 | B. t. Iusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SEH_029 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_001 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_297 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_021 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_037 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_059 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_324 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_278 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_281 | B. .t. Iusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_282 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_283 | B. t. Iusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_288 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAL_002 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAL_003 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAL_004 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAL_005 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAL_018 | B. .t Iusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_201 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAH_006 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAH_008 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAH_009 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAH_013 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_SAH_014 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_MOH_001 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_MOH_002 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_MOH_008 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_200 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_356 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_357 | B. .t. Iusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_358 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_346 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_347 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_349 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_MOL_001 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_MOL_005 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_MOL_007 | B. t. Iusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_CAH_002 | B. t. Iusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_CAH_004 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_CAH_006 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_CAL_001 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_CAL_003 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_CAL_009 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BTL_235 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Portugal |
| BOM_PIL_001 | B. .t Iusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PIL_008 | B. .t Iusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PIL_009 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PIL_011 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PIL_012 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PIH_021 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_NAV_002 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_NAV_004 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_077 | B. .t. lusitanicus | ? | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_078 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_092 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |


|  | Serra da Estrela | 40.410833 | -7.671833 | 11106/2014 | E. Marabuto | x | H1 | MN652726 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Serra da Estrela | 40.365167 | -7.642167 | 11106/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652727 |
|  | Serra da Estrela | 40.408528 | -7.663583 | 04/07/2016 | S.E. Sivv, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652728 |
|  | Serra da Estrela | 40.406306 | -7.566139 | 04/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652729 |
|  | Serra da Estrela | 40.398917 | -7.552556 | 04/07/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& M.J. Dores | $\times$ | H1 | MN652730 |
|  | Serra da Estrela | 40.410833 | -7.671833 | 11/06/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652731 |
|  | Serra da Estrela | 40.365167 | -7.642167 | 11106/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652732 |
|  | Oeiras, Lage | 38.709267 | -9.311717 | 10/04/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18622 |
|  | Oeiras | 38.690789 | -9.313989 | 25/07/2014 | V.L. Nunes | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18657 |
|  | Cascais | 38.743 | -9.4307 | 2704/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18623 |
|  | Lisboa, near the airport | 38.768117 | -9.148017 | 01/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18626 |
|  | Lisboa, near the airport | 38.768117 | -9.148017 | 13/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18627 |
|  | Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda | 38.707639 | -9.182306 | 13/04/2014 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18660 |
|  | Bombarral, Portela | 39.244861 | -9.134778 | 17107/2014 | O.S. Paulo, S.E. Silva \& A.S. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18652 |
|  | Cadaval | 39.240472 | -9.099444 | 17107/2014 | O.S. Paulo, S.E. Silva \& A.S. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18653 |
|  | Cadaval, Vilar | 39.188861 | -9.112944 | 17107/2014 | O.S. Paulo, S.E. Silva \& A.S. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18654 |
|  | Alenquer, Vila Verde dos Francos | 39.153389 | -9.112583 | 17107/2014 | O.S. Paulo, S.E. Silva \& A.S. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18655 |
|  | Alenquer, Vila Verde dos Francos | 39.153389 | -9.112583 | 17107/2014 | O.S. Paulo, S.E. Silva \& A.S. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18656 |
|  | Alenquer, Abrigada | 39.136833 | -9.019722 | 16/04/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652733 |
|  | Alenquer, Abrigada | 39.136833 | -9.019722 | 16/04/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652734 |
|  | Alenquer, Abrigada | 39.143333 | -9.038556 | 16/04/2017 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652735 |
|  | Alenquer, Abrigada | 39.143333 | -9.038556 | 16/04/2017 | S.E. Siva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652736 |
|  | Alenquer, Ota | 39.10375 | -9.0115 | 26/06/2016 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652737 |
|  | Foz do Arelho | 39.429139 | -9.223472 | 11/06/2014 | B. Costa | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18630 |
|  | Serra de Aire e Candeeiros | 39.457528 | -8.900222 | 26/06/2016 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H2 | MN652738 |
|  | Serra de Aire e Candeeiros | 39.471139 | -8.904333 | 26/06/2016 | S.E. Siva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652739 |
|  | Serra de Aire e Candeeiros | 39.471139 | -8.904333 | 26/06/2016 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652740 |
|  | Serra de Aire e Candeeiros | 39.478861 | -8.888917 | 26/06/2016 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652741 |
|  | Serra de Aire e Candeeiros | 39.503083 | -8.872111 | 26/06/2016 | S.E. Silva | $\times$ | H1 | MN652742 |
| IP-SW | Serra de Monchique | 37.321593 | -8.5957798 | 18/03/2017 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652743 |
|  | Serra de Monchique | 37.321593 | -8.5957798 | 18/03/2017 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652744 |
| Iberian | Serra de Monchique | 37.317333 | -8.583278 | 18/03/2017 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652745 |
|  | Silves, Armação de Pêra | 37.102358 | -8.368486 | 08/06/2014 | V.L. Nunes | $\times$ | H1 | MN65274 |
| south | Sines, Porto Covo | 37.854286 | -8.793353 | 16/06/2014 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18670 |
|  | Sines, Porto Covo | 37.854286 | -8.793353 | 16/06/2014 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H3 | MHO18671 |
|  | ines, Porto Covo | 37.854286 | -8.793353 | 16/06/2014 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MHO18672 |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) | Odemira, Zambujeira do Mar | 37.534159 | -8.785925 | 16/06/2014 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652747 |
|  | Odemira, Zambujeira do Mar | 37.534159 | -8.785925 | 16/06/2014 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652748 |
|  | Odemira, Zambujeira do Mar | 37.534159 | -8.785925 | 16/06/2014 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652749 |
|  | Odemira, Luzianes | 37.5911472 | -8.488128 | 18/03/2017 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652750 |
|  | Odemira, Azinhaga do Calvário | 37.514778 | -8.476028 | 18/03/2017 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652751 |
|  | Odemira, Santa Clara a Velha | 37.513111 | -8.471389 | 18/03/2017 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652752 |
|  | Serra do Caldeirão, Alcaria do Cume | 37.204217 | -7.687183 | 2205/2016 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652753 |
|  | Serra do Caldeirão, Alcaria do Cume | 37.219033 | -7.705183 | 2205/2016 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652754 |
|  | Serra do Caldeirão, Alcaria do Cume | 37.244667 | -7.742817 | 2205/2016 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652755 |
|  | Mértola, Corte de Gafo de Cima | 37.7194141 | -7.7067368 | 19/03/2017 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652756 |
|  | Almodôvar | 37.5124 | -8.057394 | 19/03/2017 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652757 |
|  | Almodôvar | 37.5127963 | $-8.0692471$ | 19/03/2017 | S.E. Silva, A.S.B. Rodrigues \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652758 |
|  | Beja, Beringel | 38.055417 | -7.9973 | 08/07/2014 | R. Mendes | $\times$ | H1 | MN652759 |
| IP-NO | Navarra, Caparroso | 42.300444 | -1.650056 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652760 |
|  | Navarra, Mélida | 42.360944 | -1.546083 | 11106/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652761 |
| Iberian | Navarra, Mélida | 42.360944 | -1.546083 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652762 |
| Peninsula | Navarra, Carcastillo | 42.371722 | -1.457694 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652763 |
|  | Navarra, Carcastillo | 42.371722 | -1.457694 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652764 |
|  | Navarra, Abaurregaina | 42.902528 | -1.187833 | 12/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652765 |
|  | Navarra, Pamplona | 42.797 | -1.627778 | 29/06/2014 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652766 |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=28$ ) | Navarra, Pamplona | 42.797 | -1.627778 | 29/06/2014 | E. Figueiredo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652767 |
|  | Cantabria, Vega de Liébana | 43.120833 | -4.626 | 14/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652768 |
|  | Cantabria, Vega de Liébana | 43.120833 | -4.626 | 14/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652769 |
|  | Cantabria, Vega de Liébana | 43.086333 | -4.7245 | 14/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652770 |


| BTL_094 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BTL_099 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_CTL_002 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_CTL_003 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_CTL_010 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_CTH_002 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_CTH_003 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEH_001 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEH_002 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEH_003 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEH_010 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEH_013 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEL_002 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEL_006 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEL_007 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEL_010 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_PEL_011 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GDH_001 | B. . I lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_TOL_001 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_TOL_002 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_TOH_010 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_TOH_011 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_TOH_015 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_TOH_025 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_TOH_028 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GUH_003 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GUH_004 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GUH_006 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GUH_016 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GUH_017 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_150 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_144 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_145 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_148 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_301 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRH_002 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRH_004 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRH_008 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRH_009 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRH_023 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRL_002 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRL_003 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRL_004 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRL_009 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_GRL_010 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_086 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_089 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_090 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_097 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ESH_003 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ESH_010 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ESH_013 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_115 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_118 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_121 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_123 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_124 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_125 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_109 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_110 | B. .t lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |


|  | Cantabria, Vega de Liébana | 43.086333 | -4.7245 | 14/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652771 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cantabria, Vega de Liébana | 43.086333 | -4.7245 | 14/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | x | H1 | MN652772 |
|  | Navarra, Mendaza | 42.684667 | -2.270778 | 12/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S. . Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652773 |
|  | Navarra, Mendaza | 42.684667 | -2.270778 | 12/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652774 |
|  | Álava, Bernedo | 42.627278 | -2.504111 | 12/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652775 |
|  | Burgos, Merindad de Cuesta | 42.858028 | -3.4275 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652776 |
|  | Burgos, Merindad de Cuesta | 42.858028 | -3.4275 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652777 |
|  | Cantabria, Allende | 43.21725 | -4.593722 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652778 |
|  | Cantabria, Allende | 43.21725 | -4.593722 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652779 |
|  | Cantabria, Allende | 43.21725 | -4.593722 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652780 |
|  | Léon, Boca de Huérgano | 42.984167 | -4.907944 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652781 |
|  | Léon, Boca de Huérgano | 42.984167 | -4.907944 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652782 |
|  | Léon, Cistierna | 42.748556 | -5.140139 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S. . Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652783 |
|  | Léon, Cubillas de Rueda | 42.7285 | -5.1455 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652784 |
|  | Léon, Cubillas de Rueda | 42.7285 | -5.1455 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S. . Rodrigues | $\times$ | H2 | MN652785 |
|  | Léon, Cubillas de Rueda | 42.676 | -5.169167 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652786 |
|  | Léon, Cubillas de Rueda | 42.676 | -5.169167 | 13/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S. . Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652787 |
| IP-CE | Avila, Sierra de Gredos, Cuevas de Valle | 40.309167 | -5.016778 | 10/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652788 |
|  | Ciudad Real, Santa Quiteria | 39.257099 | -4.3604809 | 03/04/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | x | H1 | MN652789 |
| Iberian | Ciudad Real, Santa Quiteria | 39.257099 | -4.3604809 | 03/04/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652790 |
|  | Toledo, Montes de Toledo, Hontanar | 39.586946 | -4.506851 | 03/04/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652791 |
| centre | Toledo, Montes de Toledo, Hontanar | 39.586946 | -4.506851 | 03/04/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | x | H1 | MN652792 |
|  | Toledo, Montes de Toledo, Hontanar | 39.5865000001 | -4.507 | 15/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652793 |
|  | Toledo, Montes de Toledo, Hontanar | 39.5752237423 | -4.5410353884 | 15/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652794 |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) | Toledo, Montes de Toledo, Valdeazores | 39.4500492018 | $-4.6827687569$ | 15/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652795 |
|  | Cáceres, Sierra de Guadalupe, Guadalupe | 39.4615341 | -5.3295201 | 04/04/2017 | S.E. Siva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652796 |
|  | Cáceres, Sierra de Guadalupe, Cañamero | 39.4725 | -5.362778 | 04/04/2017 | S.E. Siva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652797 |
|  | Cáceres, Sierra de Guadalupe, Cañamero | 39.476167 | -5.36725 | 04/04/2017 | S.E. Siva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652798 |
|  | Cáceres, Sierra de Guadalupe, Alía | 39.500917 | -5.345 | 04/04/2017 | S.E. Siva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652799 |
|  | Cáceres, Sierra de Guadalupe, Alía | 39.500917 | -5.345 | 04/04/2017 | S.E. Siva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652800 |
|  | Madrid, Loeches | 40.371944 | $-3.379861$ | 26/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652801 |
|  | Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Rascafria | 40.878583 | $-3.847806$ | 26/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652802 |
|  | Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Rascafria | 40.878583 | $-3.847806$ | 26/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652803 |
|  | Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Rascafria | 40.878583 | $-3.847806$ | 26/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | x | H1 | MN652804 |
|  | Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Real Sitio de San IIdefonso | 40.900481 | -4.009089 | 13/08/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652805 |
|  | Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Real Sitio de San IIdefonso | 40.861 | -4.027333 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | x | H1 | MN652806 |
|  | Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Real Sitio de San IIdefonso | 40.861 | -4.027333 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652807 |
|  | Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Rascafria | 40.872 | $-3.885$ | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | x | H1 | MN652808 |
|  | Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Rascafria | 40.872 | -3.885 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652809 |
|  | Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Rascafria | 40.878583 | $-3.847806$ | 26/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652810 |
|  | Segovia, Cerezo de Arriba | 41.245 | $-3.548722$ | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652811 |
|  | Segovia, Ribota | 41.358972 | -3.44675 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652812 |
|  | Segovia, Ribota | 41.358972 | -3.44675 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652813 |
|  | Soria, Valdealvillo | 41.649472 | -2.891194 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $x$ | H1 | MN652814 |
|  | Soria, Villaciervos | 41.752611 | -2.651472 | 11/06/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& A.S.B. Rodrigues | $\times$ | H1 | MN652815 |
|  | Valladolid, Castronuevo de Esgueva | 41.686667 | -4.597 | 16/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652816 |
|  | Valladolid, Castronuevo de Esgueva | 41.686667 | -4.597 | 16/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652817 |
|  | Valladolid, Castronuevo de Esgueva | 41.686667 | -4.597 | 16/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652818 |
|  | Valladolid, Castronuevo de Esgueva | 41.686667 | -4.597 | 16/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652819 |
| IP-SE | Murcia, Sierra Espuña | 37.8627000005 | -1.55323 | 12/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652820 |
|  | Murcia, Sierra Espuña | 37.8650027781 | -1.5713306989 | 12/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652821 |
| Iberian | Murcia, Sierra Espuña | 37.85508 | -1.568043 | 11/07/2017 | S.E. Silva \& R. Mendes | $\times$ | H3 | MN652822 |
| Peninsula, | Granada, Puebla de Don Fadrique | 37.953222 | -2.408 | 28/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $x$ | H1 | MN652823 |
| southeast | Granada, Puebla de Don Fadrique | 38.042361 | -2.472611 | 28/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652824 |
|  | Granada, Puebla de Don Fadrique | 37.953222 | -2.408 | 28/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652825 |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=38$ ) | Granada, Puebla de Don Fadrique | 38.064417 | -2.5215 | 28/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $x$ | H1 | MN652826 |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=38$ ) | Granada, Puebla de Don Fadrique | 38.042361 | -2.472611 | 28/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652827 |
|  | Granada, Puebla de Don Fadrique | 37.953222 | -2.408 | 28/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $x$ | H5 | MN652828 |
|  | Almería, Sierra Maria-Los Vélez | 37.694417 | -2.174639 | 28/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | x | H1 | MN652829 |
|  | Almería, Sierra Maria-Los Vêlez | 37.694417 | -2.174639 | 28/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T. . . Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652830 |


| BTL_112 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BTL_136 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_138 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_141 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ALH_001 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ALH_003 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ALH_009 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ALH_016 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ROL_001 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ROL_002 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ROL_008 | B. .t.lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ROL_009 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ROH_001 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ROH_002 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ROH_003 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ROH_004 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_ROH_014 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_185 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_191 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_197 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_168 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BTL_180 | B. .t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_NEH_006 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_NEH_007 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_NEH_011 | B. t. lusitanicus | F | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_NEH_013 | B. t. lusitanicus |  | 1 | Spain |
| BOM_NEH_038 | B. t. lusitanicus | - | 1 | Spain |


| Almeria, Sierra Maria-Los Vélez | 37.694417 | -2.174639 | 28/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652831 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alicante, Serra de Serrella-Barranc Fort | 38.697333 | -0.309361 | 27/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652832 |
| Alicante, Serra de Serrella-Barranc Fort | 38.697333 | -0.309361 | 27/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652833 |
| Alicante, Serra de Serrella-Barranc Fort | 38.697333 | -0.309361 | 27/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652834 |
| Alicante, lbi | 38.6356000015 | -0.5228 | 13/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H6 | MN652835 |
| Alicante, Serra de Serrella-Barranc Fort | 38.7025000015 | -0.3031000003 | 13/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652836 |
| Alicante, Serra de Serrella-Barranc Fort | 38.697333 | -0.309361 | 27/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652837 |
| Alicante, Serra de Serrella-Barranc Fort | 38.697333 | -0.309361 | 27/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652838 |
| Sevilla, Montellano | 36.993333 | -5.569361 | 0104/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652839 |
| Sevilla, Montellano | 36.993333 | -5.569361 | 0104/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652840 |
| Sevilla, Montellano | 36.984194 | -5.545111 | 01/04/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652841 |
| Sevilla, Montellano | 36.984194 | -5.545111 | 0104/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652842 |
| Málaga, Serranía de Ronda, Pujerra | 36.612167 | -5.140722 | 01/04/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652843 |
| Málaga, Serranía de Ronda, Pujerra | 36.612167 | -5.140722 | 0104/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H7 | MN652844 |
| Málaga, Serranía de Ronda, Pujerra | 36.612778 | -5.139194 | 01/04/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652845 |
| Málaga, Serrania de Ronda, Pujerra | 36.612778 | -5.139194 | 0104/2017 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& O.S. Paulo | $\times$ | H1 | MN652846 |
| Málaga, El Burgo | 36.8001999999 | . 9138 | 08/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652847 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, El Molinillo | 37.310833 | -3.413889 | 29/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652848 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, Alfacar | 37.258889 | -3.549167 | 29/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652849 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, Alfacar | 37.258889 | -3.549167 | 29/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | x | H1 | MN652850 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, Monachil | 37.139167 | -3.467778 | 29/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652851 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, Monachil | 37.139167 | -3.467778 | 29/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652852 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, Alfacar | 37.2592 | -3.54825 | 09/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652853 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, Alfacar | 37.2592 | -3.54825 | 09/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652854 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, Alfacar | 37.2660500741 | 3.5265 | 09/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652855 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, Monachil | 37.1130500004 | -3.4397 | 09/06/2016 | S.E. Silva, R. Mendes \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652856 |
| Granada, Sierra Nevada, Alfacar | 37.258889 | 3.549167 | 29/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $x$ | H1 | MN652857 |


| Sample Code | Taxa | Sex | n | Country / Island | Geographic region | Sampling Location | Latitude | Longitude | Date of collection | Collector(s) | mtDNA analysis | COI haplotype | GenBank accession no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOM_SWI_003 | B. t. terrestris | F | 1 | Switzerland | Switzerland | Basel | - | - | 08/2016 | T.G. Laurentino | x | H2 | MN652858 |
| BT_046 | B. t. terrestris | F | 1 | Germany | Germany | Rothenburg ob der Tauber | 49.374933 | 10.17695 | 08/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes | $\times$ | H1 | MN652859 |
| BT_029 | B. t. terrestris | M | 1 | France | France | Sainte-Anastasie-sur-Issole, | 43.3555 | 6.141 | 23/04/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H1 | MN652860 |
| BOM_TUR_001 | B. .t dalmatinus | F | 1 | Turkey | Turkey | Tekirdag, Ganos Mountains | -- | - | 06/2016 | S. Yurtsever | $\times$ | H1 | MN652861 |
| BTX_395 | B. t. audax | F | 1 | Great Britain | England | P. Hill near Oxford | - | - | - | T.G. Laurentino | $\times$ | H8 | MN652862 |
| BTA_258 | B. t. africanus | F | 1 | Morocco |  | Chefthaouen | 35.182969 | -5.222889 | 15/07/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H9 | MN652863 |
| BTA_261 | B. t. afficanus | F | 1 | Morocco | Morocco | Chefchaouen | 35.182969 | -5.222889 | 15/07/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H9 | MN652864 |
| BTA_262 | B. t. africanus | F | 1 | Morocco | ( $=4$ ) | Azrou | 33.406333 | -5.2035 | 16/07/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | H9 | MN652865 |
| BTA_104 | B. t. africanus | F | 1 | Morocco |  | Chefchaouen | 35.104 | -5.139417 | 18/07/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | н9 | MN652866 |

## Outgroup

| Sample Code | Taxa | Sex | n | Country / Island | Geographic region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BHO_067 | B. hortorum | F | 1 | Portugal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outgroup } \\ & (n=13) \end{aligned}$ |
| BLA_205 | B. lapidarius | F | 1 | Portugal |  |
| BLA_212 | B. lapidarius | F | 1 | Portugal |  |
| BLA_069 | B. lapidarius | F | 1 | Spain |  |
| BTL_084 | B. lucorum | F | 1 | Spain |  |
| BTL_103 | B. lucorum | F | 1 | Spain |  |
| BTL_146 | B. lucorum | F | 1 | Spain |  |
| BPA_229 | B. pascuorum | F | 1 | Portugal |  |
| BPA_068 | B. pascuorum | F | 1 | Spain |  |
| BPA_133 | B. pascuorum | F | 1 | Spain |  |
| BPA_152 | B. pascuorum | F | 1 | Spain |  |


| Sampling Location | Latitude | Longitude | Date of collection | Collector(s) | ${ }^{\text {matDNA }}$ <br> analysis | col haplotype | GenBank <br> accession no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P.N. Peneda-Gerês, Vilar da Veiga | 41.73505 | -8.159281 | 14/05/2014 | T.G. Laurentino \& O.S. Paulo | x |  | MN652867 |
| Serra da Estrela | 40.427972 | -7.654022 | 10/06/2014 | T.G. Laurentino | x |  | M 652868 |
| Serra da Estrela | 40.462928 | -7.513517 | 11/06/2014 | T.G. Laurentino | x |  | M 6658869 |
| Cantabria, Vega de Liébana | 43.086333 | -4.7245 | 14/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | x |  | MN652870 |
| Burgos, Barbadillo de Herreros | 42.136667 | -3.1935 | 15/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | x |  | MHO18628 |
| Léon, Sierra de Ancares | 42.871333 | -6.452083 | 12/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | x |  | MN652871 |
| Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Rascafría | 40.878583 | $-3.847806$ | 26/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T. . . Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | x | - | MHO18629 |
| Mafra, Sobral da Abelheira | - | -- | 28/06/2014 | O.S. Paulo, S.E. Silva \& A.S. Rodrigues | $\times$ | - | MN652872 |
| Cantabria, Vega de Liébana | 43.086333 | -4.7245 | 14/05/2014 | E. Marabuto | $\times$ | - | MN652873 |
| Alicante, Serra de Serrella-Barranc Fort | 38.690556 | -0.287111 | 27/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T. . . Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | - | MN652874 |
| Madrid, Sierra de Guadarrama, Miraflores de La Sierra | 40.800278 | -3.756667 | 26/05/2014 | V.L. Nunes, T.G. Laurentino \& E. Marabuto | $\times$ | - | MN652875 |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \text { RAD } \\ \text { analy } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD } \\ & \text { sequencing } \\ & \text { lane } \end{aligned}$ | Barcode | Total reads | Retained <br> reads <br> (Process_ <br> Radtags) | Mapped <br> reads <br> properly <br> paired <br> (Bowtie2) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { \% of } \\ \text { mapped } \\ \text { reads } \end{array}$ | Number of loci (Stacks) | Mean Coverage (Stacks) (Stacks) | Samples of datase BT_OU | Samples of dataset BT_SSP | Samples of datas BT_BTL | Frequency of missing data, dataset BT_OUT (VCFTools) | Frequency of missing data, dataset BT_SSP (VCFTools) VCTools) | Frequency of missing data dataset BT_BTL (VCFTools) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | TTAAT | 8109844 | 7734007 | 4605896 | 59.6 | 207938 | 17.92 | x | x | $\times$ | 0.00545171 | 0.0243446 | 0.0313586 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| removed | Lane 2 | TGGTT | 236766 | - 120102 | 210810 | 9.0 | 747 | 8.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| x | Lane 2 | ATTAG | 7315526 | 7127626 | - 4316556 | 60.6 | 200433 | 17.62 | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.0103193 | 0.0309482 | 0.0373926 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| x | Lane 2 | AGGAC | 4660438 | 4538676 | 6643980 | 58.3 | 136442 | 15.69 | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.0564642 | 0.10408 | 0.105595 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | AGAGT | 11736928 | 11350762 | 2998274 | 61.7 | 282072 | 19.6 | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.00564642 | 0.0222748 | 0.0210276 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | AAGGG | 5382908 | 5200161 | 3092488 | - 59.5 | 148671 | 16.53 | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.036215 | 0.0824956 | 0.0830133 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | CCGGT | 7346304 | 7111056 | 4258414 | 59.9 | 150611 | 19.65 | $x$ | x | x | 0.00778816 | 0.0283856 | 0.0291644 |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | CAGTC | 8489710 | 8257735 | 5231910 | 63.4 | 159071 | 21.98 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.00584112 | 0.0172482 | 0.0149936 |
| removed | Lane 1 | GTCAC | 1968792 | 1872510 | 1055220 | 56.4 | 57562 | 12.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | CTCTT | 9251988 | 8770867 | 4913908 | 56.0 | 166760 | 20.57 | $x$ | x | $\times$ | 0.00428349 | 0.0214863 | 0.0206619 |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | TCTCT | 15162584 | 14508026 | 8652690 | 59.6 | 208682 | 27.55 | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.00175234 | 0.00818056 | 0.00895959 |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | TATAC | 3328796 | 3176285 | 1883466 | 59.3 | 74807 | 15.79 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.0630841 | 0.111275 | 0.109435 |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | TGTGG | 16898876 | 16338434 | 9724544 | 59.5 | 233263 | 27.95 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.00097352 | 0.00857481 | 0.00895959 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | CAACT | 10468942 | 10070127 | 6041328 | 60.0 | 197828 | 21.49 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.00506231 | 0.015277 | 0.0152679 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | TTTTA | 14787532 | 14167207 | 8373646 | 59.1 | 202131 | 27.48 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.00311526 | 0.008082 | 0.00722253 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | AAAAA | 29499926 | 28594514 | 17801252 | 62.3 | 624304 | 22.68 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.00194704 | 0.00965898 | 0.00950814 |
| removed | Lane 2 | TCAGA | 1399906 | 1244947 | 636054 | 51.1 | 41413 | 11.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | TCGAG | 4576352 | 4431323 | 2658398 | 60.0 | 134666 | 15.82 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.0609424 | 0.105855 | 0.109618 |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | TGACC | 7852030 | 7596370 | 4624002 | 60.9 | 211407 | 17.89 | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | 0.0132399 | 0.0361719 | 0.0326385 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| removed | Lane 2 | ACGTA | 337128 | 286528 | 152578 | 53.3 | 11331 | 9.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lane 2 | AACCC | 13519934 | 13186619 | 8314690 | 63.1 | 325446 | 20.19 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.00097352 | 0.00798344 | 0.00987383 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | AATTT | 3466884 | 3201722 | 1756018 | 54.8 | 100208 | 14 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.138629 | 0.214567 | 0.224538 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0109034 | 0.0351863 | 0.0339184 |
|  |  |  | 7963274 | 7719129 | 4557522 | 59.0 |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| x | Lane 2 | CAACT | 4352780 | 3997355 | 2198672 | 55.0 | 117516 | 14.95 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.0858645 | 0.149024 | 0.15149 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | CCAAC | 8593044 | 8391856 | 5424226 | 64.6 | 229334 | 18.62 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.0107087 | 0.0249359 | 0.0232218 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x$ | Lane 2 |  | 2125 | 96388 | 7165928 | 0.7 |  |  | x | x |  | 0311526 | 156712 | 013622 |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | ACTGC | 10753424 | 10501149 | 6708582 | 63.9 | 277030 | 19.33 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.00584112 | 0.0189237 | 0.0165478 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | TTCCG | 7266592 | 7040446 | 4228904 | 60.1 | 195504 | 17.63 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.024338 | 0.0508575 | 0.0457122 |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | TGTGG |  |  |  |  | 239485 | 18.62 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.0122664 | 0.024246 | 0.0235875 |
| $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | TTTTA | 3092968 | 2861849 | 1641620 | 57.4 | 92469 | 13.84 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 0.163162 | 0.237138 | 0.244012 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| removed | Lane 2 | TGCAA | 531410 | 428555 | 200060 | 46.7 | 13988 | 10.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD } \\ & \text { analysis } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD } \\ & \text { sequencing } \\ & \text { lane } \end{aligned}$ | Barcode | Total reads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Retained } \\ & \text { reads } \\ & \text { (Process } \\ & \text { Radtags) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { mapped } \\ & \text { reads } \end{aligned}$ | Number of loci (Stacks) |  | Samples of datase BT OUT BT_OUT | Samples Of datase BT_SSP | Samples BT_BTL | Frequency of missing data dataset BT OUT (VCFTools) | Frequency of missing data, dataset BT SSP (VCFTools) | Frequency of missing data dataset BT BTL (VCFTools) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | Lane 2 | AGCTG | 6885900 | 6683291 | 4088484 | 61.2 | 188241 | 17.73 | x | $\times$ |  | 0.0132399 | 0.0342007 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | TTCCG | 6060962 | 5863768 | 3366584 | 57.4 | 117490 | 18.99 | x | $\times$ |  | 0.00584112 | 0.0308496 |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | CCCCA | 7457604 | - 7241194 | 4306960 | 59.5 | 136690 | 20.94 | x | x |  | 0.00837227 | 0.0230633 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | AGTCA | 14513666 | 14223980 | 7884990 | 55.4 | 313539 | 20.05 | x | $\times$ |  | 0.00292056 | 0.0150798 |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | GACTA | 7370284 | [ 7201198 | - 4162876 | - 57.8 | 198189 | 17.32 | x | $\times$ |  | 0.0144081 | 0.0381431 |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD } \\ & \text { analysis } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD } \\ & \text { sequencing } \\ & \text { lane } \end{aligned}$ | Barcode | Total reads | Retained reads (Process Radtags) | Mapped properly paired (Bowtie2) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { mapped } \end{aligned}$ reads | Number of loci (Stacks) | Mean per (Stacks) | Samples of datase BT_OUT | Samples BT_SSP | Samples of datase BT_BTL | Frequency of missing data, BT OUT (VCFTools) <br> (CFTools) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Frequency of } \\ & \text { misising data, } \\ & \text { dataset } \\ & \text { BT_SSP } \\ & \text { VCFTools) } \end{aligned}$ | Frequency of missing data dataset BT_BTL (VCFTools) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | CCCCA | 6654410 | 6373456 | 1857064 | 29.1 | 94848 | 16.91 | x |  |  | 0.03602 |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | TCCTC | 9630278 | 9237789 | 3797870 | 41.1 | 129379 | 21.73 | x |  |  | 0.122079 |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | CTCTT | 7673874 | 7385489 | 3059096 | 41.4 | 138893 | 18.26 | x |  |  | 0.127531 |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | CTTCC | 9673764 | 9393983 | 3976006 | 42.3 | 177966 | 19.07 | x |  |  | 0.120911 |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 1 | GGCCT | 5369388 | 4996943 | 2764028 | 55.3 | 100492 | 17.97 | x |  |  | 0.0216121 |  |  |
| removed | Lane 2 | GTCAC | 623266 | 527856 | 263090 | 49.8 | 18635 | 9.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| removed | Lane 1 | TGCAA | 1606326 | 1471245 | 821262 | 55.8 | 44092 | 12.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| removed | Lane 1 | TACGT | 2535204 | 2160906 | 743172 | 34.4 | 39070 | 11.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| x | Lane 2 | CGTAT | 6366508 | 4132680 | 1413486 | 34.2 | 77692 | 15.43 | x |  |  | 0.178349 |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | cGCGC | 6155140 | 5978181 | 2104318 | 35.2 | 107737 | 16.99 | x |  |  | 0.132788 |  |  |
| $\times$ | Lane 2 | CCTTG | 12943046 | 12573453 | 4313748 | 34.3 | 181112 | 20.31 | x |  |  | 0.118769 |  |  |

Table S1b. List of samples with sequences downloaded from GenBank, with information concerning: sampling (taxa, sex, country, number of samples, origin, date of collection and bibliographic reference); outputs of mitochondrial COI analyses (haplotypes and GenBank accession numbers).

| Taxa | Sex | n | Country / Island | Sampling Location | Latitude | Longitude | Date of collection | Collector(s) | mtDNA analysis | COI haplotype | GenBank accession no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Ireland | Wexford | $52^{\circ} 20020 \mathrm{~N}$ | $6^{\circ} 27036 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/ H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Ireland | Cork | $51^{\circ} 53052 \mathrm{~N}$ | $8^{\circ} 28015 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/ H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 4 | Ireland | Donegal | $54^{\circ} 39016 \mathrm{~N}$ | $8^{\circ} 06038 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/ H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Ireland | Donegal | $54^{\circ} 39016 \mathrm{~N}$ | $8^{\circ} 06038 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 2 | Ireland | Galway | $53^{\circ} 16025 \mathrm{~N}$ | $9^{\circ} 03006 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 3 | Ireland | Galway | $53^{\circ} 16025 \mathrm{~N}$ | $9^{\circ} 03006 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 2 | Ireland | Meath | $53^{\circ} 37052 \mathrm{~N}$ | $6^{\circ} 47027 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/06/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/ H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 3 | Ireland | Meath | $53^{\circ} 37052 \mathrm{~N}$ | $6^{\circ} 47027 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/06/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | X | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Ireland | Kildare | $53^{\circ} 09035 \mathrm{~N}$ | $6^{\circ} 54032 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/06/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/ H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Great Britain | North Wales, Rhyl | $53^{\circ} 19010 \mathrm{~N}$ | $3^{\circ} 29019$ W | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/ H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 3 | Great Britain | South Wales, Lampeter | $51^{\circ} 18052 \mathrm{~N}$ | $3^{\circ} 10049 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 2 | Great Britain | South Wales, Lampeter | $51^{\circ} 18052 \mathrm{~N}$ | $3^{\circ} 10049 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 2 | Isle of Man | Isle of Man | $54^{\circ} 14009 \mathrm{~N}$ | $4^{\circ} 32053 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/08/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/ H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Isle of Man | Isle of Man | $54^{\circ} 14009 \mathrm{~N}$ | $4^{\circ} 32053 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/08/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Great Britain | England, Oxford | $51^{\circ} 45008 \mathrm{~N}$ | $1^{\circ} 15020 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/ H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 4 | Great Britain | England, Dover | $51^{\circ} 07046 \mathrm{~N}$ | $1^{\circ} 18040 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/08/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HA/ H8 | KP670306 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Great Britain | England, Dover | $51^{\circ} 07046 \mathrm{~N}$ | $1^{\circ} 18040 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/08/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Greece | Macedonia | $40^{\circ} 45000 \mathrm{~N}$ | $22^{\circ} 53059 \mathrm{E}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Portugal | Bragança | $41^{\circ} 48025 \mathrm{~N}$ | $6^{\circ} 45033 \mathrm{~W}$ | 01/05/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Croatia | Pula | $44^{\circ} 52010 \mathrm{~N}$ | $13^{\circ} 50028 \mathrm{E}$ | 01/03/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Croatia | Zadar | $44^{\circ} 01046 \mathrm{~N}$ | $17^{\circ} 48000 \mathrm{E}$ | 01/03/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | France | Samer | $44^{\circ} 38021 \mathrm{~N}$ | $1^{\circ} 44042 \mathrm{E}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | France | Beauvais | $49^{\circ} 25045 \mathrm{~N}$ | $2^{\circ} 04051 \mathrm{E}$ | 01/07/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | France | Grenoble | $45^{\circ} 11039 \mathrm{~N}$ | $5^{\circ} 43053 \mathrm{E}$ | 01/05/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Poland | Grudziadz | $54^{\circ} 20038 \mathrm{~N}$ | $18^{\circ} 39056 \mathrm{E}$ | 01/06/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Poland | Katowice | $50^{\circ} 15053 \mathrm{~N}$ | $19^{\circ} 01025 \mathrm{E}$ | 01/06/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Denmark | Bilund | $56^{\circ} 15050 \mathrm{~N}$ | $9^{\circ} 30006 \mathrm{E}$ | 01/08/2007 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB/H1 | KP670307 |
| B. terrestris | F | 5 | Latvia | Jelgava | $56^{\circ} 56047 \mathrm{~N}$ | $24^{\circ} 06017 \mathrm{E}$ | 07/05/2008 | Moreira et al. (2015) | x | HB / H1 | KP670307 |
| B. t. sassaricus | M | 7 | Sardinia | -- | $40^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 0803'12"E | -- | Coppée (2010) | X | H11 | JQ769086 |
| B. t. terrestris | M | 4 | France (Southeast) | -- | 43²5'32"N | 06²5'23"E | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769069 |
| B. t. terrestris | M | 4 | France (North) | -- | $48^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 37{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 0221'35"E | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769080 |
| B. t. terrestris | M | 4 | France (Southwest) | -- | $42^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 38{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 0155'04"E | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769088 |
| B. t. terrestris | M | 4 | Belgium | -- | 5050'22"N | 04²3'44"E | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769070 |
| B. t. terrestris | M | 3 | Germany | -- | $51^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 07 $33^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H13 | JQ769081 |
| B. t. terrestris | M | 3 | Denmark | -- | $54^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $12^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769082 |
| B. t. terrestris | M | 5 | Sweden | -- | $59^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $17^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769083 |
| B. t. xanthopus | M | 5 | Corsica | -- | $42^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 255^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 0852'40"E | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H15 | JQ769071 |
| B. t. dalmatinus | M | 5 | Greece (Crete) | -- | $35^{\circ} 23^{\prime 2} 28^{\prime N}$ | 23³4'30"E | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769072 |
| B. t. dalmatinus | M | 4 | Turkey | -- | $39^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 54{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 32 ${ }^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769078 |
| B. t. dalmatinus | M | 4 | France (Southeast) | -- | $43^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 32$ "N | 06²5'23"E | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769079 |
| B. t. lusitanicus | M | 3 | Madeira | -- | $32^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $16^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769073 |
| B. t. lusitanicus | M | 3 | France (Southwest) | -- | $42^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 51{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 0155'25"E | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769087 |
| B. t. lusitanicus | M | 5 | Spain | -- | $36^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 04* $25^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769076 |


| B. t. africanus | M | 5 | Morocco | -- | $35^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 05 ${ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | \|-- | Coppée (2010) | X | H16 | JQ769074 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. t. calabricus | M | 5 | Italy | -- | $35^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $13^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H1 | JQ769075 |
| B. t. audax | M | 5 | Ireland | -- | $52^{\circ} 57{ }^{\prime} 03,3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $9^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 41,8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H2 | JQ769077 |
| B. t. audax | M | 3 | Great Britain (North) | -- | $51^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 43,0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $0^{\circ} 38{ }^{\prime} 33,2{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | x | H8 | JQ769084 |
| B. t. audax | M | 5 | Great Britain (Southwest) | -- | $50^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $03^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | -- | Coppée (2010) | X | H8 | JQ769085 |
| B. terrestris | M | 1 | Great Britain | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H8 | JQ843647 |
| B. terrestris | M | 1 | Great Britain | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H8 | JQ843646 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | Sinop | -- | -- | 2010 | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H1 | JQ843645 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843658 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H1 | JQ843669 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H12 | JQ843663 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843643 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843664 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843644 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H1 | JQ843611 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843612 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H1 | JQ843613 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Turkey | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843614 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Algeria | Bouira | -- | -- | 2005 | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H14 | JQ843667 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Italy | Siena | -- | -- | 1995 | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H14 | JQ843662 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Spain | Canary Islands | -- | -- | 2008 | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H17 | JQ843661 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Spain | Canary Islands | -- | -- | 2008 | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H17 | JQ843660 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Spain | Canary Islands | -- | -- | 2008 | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H17 | JQ843659 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | France | Perpignan | -- | -- | 2008 | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843670 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Latvia | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H1 | JQ843609 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Latvia | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H1 | JQ843610 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Great Britain | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H8 | JQ843628 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Great Britain | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H8 | JQ843631 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Great Britain | Bromley, close to London | -- | -- | 2011 | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H8 | JQ843632 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Germany | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H1 | JQ843633 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Great Britain | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H8 | JQ843634 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Greece | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H1 | JQ843635 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Sweden | Uppsala | -- | -- | 2011 | Williams et al. (2012a) | X | H1 | JQ692970 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Sweden | , | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843636 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Sweden | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843637 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Sweden | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843638 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Switzerland | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843639 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Switzerland | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H1 | JQ843640 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Switzerland | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843641 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Switzerland | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | X | H12 | JQ843642 |
| B. terrestris | F | 1 | Switzerland | -- | -- | -- | -- | Williams et al. (2012b) | x | H1 | JQ843629 |
| B. terrestris | -- | 1 | Germany | Brandenburg, Mallnow | 52.56 N | 14.48E | 28/03/2012 | Schmidt et al. (2015) | x | H12 | KJ838396 |
| B. terrestris | -- | 1 | Germany | Brandenburg, Mallnow | 52.56 N | 14.48E | 28/03/2012 | Schmidt et al. (2015) | X | H1 | KJ838178 |
| B. terrestris | -- | 1 | Germany | Baden-Wuerttemberg, Mue | 47.82 N | 7.62 E | 07/04/2012 | Schmidt et al. (2015) | x | H1 | KJ838879 |

Table S2. The sample size and diversity indices across B. t. Iusitanicus samples by lberian region considering mitochondrial DNA COI data and RADseq dataset BT BTL. Note that six individuals were excluded from RADseq dataset. $n$, number of individuals analysed; $h$, average haplotype diversity; $\pi$, average nucleotide diversity; $H_{\mathrm{o}}$, observed heterozygosity; $H_{\mathrm{E}}$, expected heterozygosity.

| Geographic region | COI |  |  | RADseq |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{n}{\text { (total }=198)}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}$ | T | $\begin{gathered} n \\ (\text { total }=31) \end{gathered}$ | $H_{0}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\pi$ |
| IP-NW | 24 (2 hap.) | 0.08330 | 0.00014 | 2 | -- | -- | -- |
| IP-WE | 56 (2 hap.) | 0.07010 | 0.00012 | 11 | 0.2696 | 0.2919 | 0.2706 |
| IP-SW | 20 (2 hap.) | 0.10000 | 0.00034 | 3 | -- | -- | -- |
| IP-NO | 28 (2 hap.) | 0.07140 | 0.00012 | 2 | -- | -- | -- |
| IP-CE | 32 (1 hap.) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.3148 | 0.3600 | 0.2702 |
| IP-SE | 38 (5 hap.) | 0.19700 | 0.00044 | 8 | 0.2537 | 0.3128 | 0.2706 |

## STACKS parameter testing

## Methods

Preliminary tests of parameter values for each STACKS module were carried out in order to identify the optimal parameter values for use in the final STACKS analysis. The three datasets (BT_OUT, BT_SSP and BT_BTL) were tested separately. For the three first modules - pstacks, cstacks and sstacks - tests were performed using three sets of three randomly chosen individuals, each set corresponding to each one of the three datasets. For the last module - populations - all the samples were used because the number of SNPs obtained vary with population size and number.

Pstacks extract stacks that have been aligned to a reference genome and identify SNPs in each individual (Catchen et al., 2013). In this module, the parameter -m (minimum depth of coverage to report a stack) was tested. Several tests were performed by increasing the ' $m$ ' value in increments of 2 , from 2 to 10 , while non-test parameters were kept as default. For each test, the number of created loci and respective mean coverage was evaluated. The input for cstacks module was created by running pstacks with the selected "optimal" parameters. Cstacks merges loci from multiple individuals, creating a set of consensus loci or catalog (Catchen et al., 2013). For the module, the parameter -n (maximum number of mismatches allowed between homologous loci among individuals when building the catalog) was also tested by increasing the value in increments of 2 from 2 to 10 , while keeping non-test parameters as default. The number of loci added to the catalog for each ' $n$ ' value, as well as the number of verified matches against the catalog was then evaluated.

Populations is used to compute population-level summary statistics and to output SNPs according to some parameters (Catchen et al., 2013). In this module two main parameters were tested: -p, which represents the minimum number of populations that a locus must be present in for it to be retained in the final dataset; -r, which represents the minimum percentage of individuals in a population that a locus must be present in for it to be retained in the final dataset (Catchen et al., 2013). Several combinations using these two parameters were tested as follows: ' $p$ ' was set at values between 3 and 6 for dataset BT_BTL, at values between 5 and 9 for dataset BT_SSP and at values between 7 and 14 for dataset BT_OUT, which corresponds to $50 \%$ of taxa or above, for each dataset; ' $r$ ' was set to $50 \%$ and $100 \%$ for each 'p' setting. Only these two values of ' $r$ ' were tested because some taxa are only represented by two or less individuals. After testing each combination of parameters (-r; -p), we evaluated the number of retained SNP loci in each final dataset, the average coverage per SNP per sample and the average frequency of missing data per sample, using VCFTOOLS version 0.1.14.

## Results

Figure S 1 shows the results of parameter tests for pstacks. In pstacks, an 'm' parameter value of two (minimum of two reads to report a stack) resulted in a very large number of loci (min: 405 555, máx: 1700 054 ), and with a low coverage, as expected (min: 7.26, máx 10.57). Increasing the minimum stack depth parameter to four prevented reads with possible sequencing errors from forming stacks and the number of
loci decreases considerably, as mean coverage increases. After m=6 (number of loci: min: 117 490, máx: 624 304; mean coverage: min: 17.73, máx: 27.95), further increases in ' $m$ ' resulted in slowed changes in number of loci, while coverage increases considerably, probably because the rate at which stacks absent from the reference were removed slowed. After this value, true stacks began to be dismantled, resulting in a lower number of loci, while the stacks that are maintained have very high coverage (Catchen et al., 2013). The results from $\mathrm{m}=6$ seem to be the ones which result in the best combination between loci number and coverage. In this way, the value of $m$ set to 6 was chosen to perform the final STACKS analysis. The output results obtained from the parameter test using $\mathrm{m}=6$ were used as input for the cstacks parameter tests.

Figure S2 shows the results of parameter tests for cstacks and sstacks. Increments in the maximum number of mismatches allowed between homologous loci from multiple individuals when building the catalog, seem to have a very small impact in the number of loci added to the catalog and in the number of verified matches of an individual against the catalog. This value varies with the evolutionary distance of the members being examined, and the small variation observed is probably the result of the small divergence among individuals (Catchen et al., 2011). The value $\mathrm{n}=2$ was chosen for final STACKS analysis and for running the input for populations parameters tests.

Figure S3 shows the results of parameter tests for populations module. Results for $\mathrm{r}=50 \%$ typically showed higher numbers of SNP loci with lower coverage but also with lower amount of missing data. This means that few SNPs are present in all individuals of each population. Higher values of ' p ' typically yielded lower numbers of loci but with higher coverage and also lower amount of missing data. This means that, as the stringency of ' $p$ ' increased, less SNPs are available, but they have a relatively good coverage across all samples, and as a consequence, less missing data. Among the different tests, the number of retained loci ranged from 40045 (average coverage per SNP per sample: 50.70; average frequency of missing data per sample: 0.10 ) to 14231 for dataset BT_BTL (average coverage per SNP per sample: 73.25; average frequency of missing data per sample: 0), from 41849 (average coverage per SNP per sample: 49.7; average frequency of missing data per sample: 0.1) to 14580 (average coverage per SNP per sample: 72.6; average frequency of missing data per sample: 0) for dataset BT_SSP and from 45184 (average coverage per SNP per sample: 44.69 ; average frequency of missing data per sample: 0.20 ) to 3772 (average coverage per SNP per sample: 75.18; average frequency of missing data per sample: 0 ) for dataset BT_OUT. Based on these results, outputs from runs using the parameters p5r50 (dataset BT_BTL), p8r50 (dataset BT_SSP) and p13r50 (dataset BT_OUT) retain the better combination of number of loci, average coverage per SNP per sample and amount of missing data and these parameters were chosen for final STACKS analysis.


Figure S1. Results of parameter tests for the STACKS module pstacks. (a) Changes in number of created loci (pstacks) and (b) loci mean coverage obtained (pstacks) for each value of ' $m$ ' tested for three randomly selected individuals from each dataset.
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Figure S2. Results of parameter tests for the STACKS modules ctsacks and sstacks. (a) Changes in number of loci added to the catalog (cstacks) and (b) number of matches against the catalog (sstacks) for each value of ' $n$ ' tested for three randomly selected individuals from each dataset.
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Figure S3. Results of parameter tests for the STACKS module populations. Variation in number of SNP loci in the final dataset, in average coverage per SNP and per sample and in average frequency of missing data per sample obtained for each combination of parameters (-r; -p) tested in populations module, using (a) dataset BT_BTL, (b) dataset BT_SSP and (c) dataset BT_OUT.

```
####################################################################
# List of command line commands used for RADseq dataset analyses ##
####################################################################
# in the commands below
# input_files_path = input file path
# output_files_path = output path to write results
# output_directory_path = output path to directory where to write the results
# barcodes_file_path = path to a file containing barcodes
# log_files_path = path to write logfile
# list_of_samples = list of the files corresponding to each sample
# populations_file_path = path to a file containing the list of populations
```

\#\#\# Build index using BOWTIE2 version 2.1.0
bowtie2-build -f input_files_path Bt_all
\#\#\# Prepare reads (cleaning and demultiplexing) with STACKS version 1.29 - pipeline
process_radtags
process_radtags -1 input_files_path -2 input_files_path -o output_files_path -b
barcodes_file_path -c -q -r -e pstI -E phred33 -i gzfastq -D
\#\#\# Convert barcodes to sample name
\#!/bin/bash
mv input_files_path/sample_ATTAG.1.fq.gz output_files_path/BTL_236.1.fq.gz
\#\#\# Align reads with reference genome using BOWTIE2 version 2.1.0 \#
\#! /bin/bash
samples="
list_of_samples
"
for sample in \$samples
do
bowtie2 --trim3 3 --sensitive -p 6 -x input_files_path/Bt_all -1 input_files_path/\$
\{sample\}.1.fq.gz -2 input_files_path/\$\{sample\}.2.fq.gz -S input_files_path/\$
\{sample\}_Bt_all.sam
done

```
### Conver SAM files to BAM using SAMTOOLS version 0.1.19
#!/bin/bash
for samfile in $(ls input_files_path/*.sam); do samtools view -Sb ${samfile} > $
{samfile}.bam; done
### Sort and filter BAM files using SAMTOOLS version 0.1.19
#! /bin/bash
samples="
list_of_samples
"
for sample in $samples
do
samtools sort input_files_path/${sample}_Bt_all.sam.bam output_files_path/$
{sample}_Bt_all.sam.bam.sorted
samtools index input_files_path/${sample}_Bt_all.sam.bam.sorted.bam
samtools view -q 20 -F 0x0004 -b input_files_path/$
{sample}_Bt_all.sam.bam.sorted.bam > output_files_path/$
{sample}_Bt_all.sorted.quality.bam
samtools flagstat input_files_path/${sample}_Bt_all.sam.bam.sorted.bam >
output_files_path/${sample}_Bt_all.sorted_flagstat
samtools flagstat input_files_path/${sample}_Bt_all.sorted.quality.bam >
output_files_path/${sample}_Bt_all.sorted.quality_flagstat
done
### Build loci from ref using STACKS version 1.45 - pipeline pstacks
# !/bin/bash
samples="
list_of_samples
"
i=1
for sample in $samples
do
    pstacks -t bam -i $i -m 6 -p 4 \
                                    -f input_files_path/$sample \
                                    -o output_files_path &>> log_files_path/Log_pstacks
            let "i+=1";
done
```

```
### Create catalog and match samples to catalog using STACKS version 1.45 -
pipeline cstacks and sstacks
#!/bin/bash
samples="
list_of_samples
"
samp=""
for sample in $samples
do
    samp+="-s input_files_path/$sample ";
done
cstacks -p 4 -b 1 -n 2 -o output_files_path $samp &>> log_files_path/Log_cstacks
for sample in $samples
do
        sstacks -p 4 -b 1 -c input_files_path/batch_1 \
        -s input_files_path/${sample} \
        -o output_files_path &>> log_files_path/Log_sstacks
done
```

\#\#\# Filter and export SNPs to VCF file format using STACKS version 1.45 - pipeline
populations, using dataset BT_OUT
\#!/bin/bash
populations -b 1 -P input_files_path -M input_files_path -t 4 -p 13 -r 50 --vcf --
write_random_snp
\#\#\# Filter and export SNPs to VCF file format using STACKS version 1.45 - pipeline
populations, using dataset BT_SSP
\#!/bin/bash
populations -b 1 -P input_files_path -M input_files_path -t 4 -p 8 -r 50 --vcf --
write_random_snp

```
### Filter and export SNPs to VCF file format using STACKS version 1.45 - pipeline
populations, using dataset BT_BTL
#!/bin/bash
```

```
populations -b 1 -P input_files_path -M input_files_path -t 4 -p 5 -r 50 --vcf --
write_random_snp
### Determine missing data per individual and filter VCF files using VCFTOOLS
version 0.1.15
vcftools --vcf input_file_path --missing-indv
vcftools --vcf input_file_path --max-missing 0.75 --maf 0.05 --recode --out
output_file_path
```

\#\#\# Determine per-SNP nucleotide diversity, observed and expected heterozygosities
vcftools --vcf input_file_path --site-pi
vcftools --vcf input_file_path --het

```
### Principal component analysis of the three datasets using the R script
snp_pca_static.R (https://github.com/CoBiG2/RAD_Tools) as of commit 134b11d
snp_pca_static.R input_files_path output_files_path populations_file_path
### Run BAYESCAN version 2.1 using both datasets BT_SSP and BT_BTL
./bayescan_2.1 input_file_path -snp -threads 14 -od output_directory_path
\#\#\# Run SELESTIM version 1.1.7 using both datasets BT_SSP and BT_BTL
./selestim -threads 12 -file input_files_path -outputs output_directory path -thin 20 -npilot 50 -lpilot 1000 -burnin 100000 -length 1000000 -calibration
```

\#\#\# Run Maverick version 1.0.4 with Structure_threader version 1.2.4, using dataset BT_SSP
~/.local/bin/structure_threader run -K 5 -i input_file_path -o output_file_path -t 10 -mv ~/.local/bin/MavericK --params parameters.txt --log=1
\#\#\# Run Maverick version 1.0.4 with Structure_threader version 1.2.4, using dataset BT_BTL
~/.local/bin/structure_threader run -K 4 -i input_file_path -o output_file_path -t 10 -mv ~/.local/bin/MavericK --params parameters.txt --log=1

```
### Final set of parameters (parameters.txt) used for run Maverick, using dataset
BT_SSP
#### Data properties
# headerRow_on t
# popCol_on f
# ploidyCol_on f
# ploidy 2
# missingData-9
#### Model parameters
# Kmin 1
# Kmax 5
# admix_on t
# fixAlpha_onf
# alpha 1.0,0.845,0.448,0.414,0.32
# alphaPropSD 1.0,0.2125,0.0975,0.065,0.063
#### Simulation parameters
# exhaustive_on f
# mainRepeats 10
# mainBurnin 5000
# mainSamples 50000
# thermodynamic_on t
# thermodynamicRungs 50
# thermodynamicBurnin 5000
# thermodynamicSamples 50000
# EMalgorithm_on f
# EMrepeats 100
# EMiterations 100
#### Basic output properties
# outputLog_on t
# outputLikelihood_on t
# outputQmatrix_ind_on t
# outputQmatrix_pop_on f
# outputQmatrixError_ind_on t
# outputQmatrixError_pop_on f
# outputEvidence_on t
# outputEvidenceNormalised_on t
# outputEvidenceDetails_on t
\#\#\# Final set of parameters (parameters.txt) used for run Maverick, using dataset BT_BTL
\#\#\#\# Data properties
\# headerRow_on t
\# popCol_on f
\# ploidyCol_on f
```

```
# ploidy 2
# missingData-9
#### Model parameters
# Kmin 1
# Kmax 4
# admix_on t
# fixAlpha_on f
# alpha 1.0, 3.94, 1.58, 1.05
# alphaPropSD1.0, 0.51, 0.18, 0.12
#### Simulation parameters
# exhaustive_on f
# mainRepeats 10
# mainBurnin 5000
# mainSamples 50000
# thermodynamic_on t
# thermodynamicRungs 50
# thermodynamicBurnin 5000
# thermodynamicSamples 50000
# EMalgorithm_on f
# EMrepeats 100
# EMiterations 100
#### Basic output properties
# outputLog_on t
# outputLikelihood_on t
# outputQmatrix_ind_on t
# outputQmatrix_pop_on f
# outputQmatrixError_ind_on t
# outputQmatrixError_pop_on f
# outputEvidence_on t
# outputEvidenceNormalised_on t
# outputEvidenceDetails_on t
```

