



***INCONGRUENCE OF GENDER, LEADERSHIP ROLES AND
UNDERREPRESENTATION OF WOMEN IN SPORT
LEADERSHIP POSITIONS***

AMAN, MIRIAN PABATAO

FPP 2019 23



**INCONGRUENCE OF GENDER, LEADERSHIP ROLES AND
UNDERREPRESENTATION OF WOMEN IN SPORT
LEADERSHIP POSITIONS**

By

AMAN, MIRIAN PABATAO

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

May 2019

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

Dedicated to all women who have broken
the glass ceiling of sport and leadership.



© COPYRIGHT UPM

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**INCONGRUENCE OF GENDER, LEADERSHIP ROLES AND
UNDERREPRESENTATION OF WOMEN IN SPORT
LEADERSHIP POSITIONS**

By

AMAN, MIRIAN PABATAO

May 2019

Chairman : Professor Aminuddin Bin Yusof, PhD
Faculty : Educational Studies

The overall purpose of the study was to investigate the underrepresentation of women in top leadership positions of sport organizations through the perspectives and experiences of women leaders who have broken the barriers in sport leadership. To attain this purpose, the study employed five research questions purposely designed to answer the perceived barriers women leaders faced; their experiences related to the discriminatory practices in the organizations; influences of those prejudice; strategies they employed to overcome those barriers; and the support they provided to aspiring women leaders. The study employed phenomenological qualitative design where data were collected through face-to-face, semi structured interviews, observations and document analysis involving women leaders currently occupying top leadership positions in the national sport organizations. Framed by three theoretical perspectives of role congruity, homologous reproduction and pipeline problem, the current study sought to give voices to seven purposely selected women leaders who have navigated the male dominated environment of sport. The perspectives and experiences of the participants provided greater understanding of the underrepresentation of women in top positions of sport leadership. The data collected from three data sources elucidated five emerging themes: perceived barriers, experiences, influences of prejudice, strategies to overcome barriers and support. The participants perceived that the underrepresentation of women in top leadership positions is attributed to the perceived barriers women faced which include personal limitations where self-limiting behaviors and work-life conflict prompted it; sociocultural factors consist of traditional and cultural practices and society's perceptions; and organizational factors consist of all-men's network, gender discrimination and conflict among women. The participants also talked about their experiences related to the discrepancy of gender and leadership roles, organizational discrimination and pipeline problem. The participants revealed that gender stereotyping is evident through designation of leadership positions and gender role expectation; organizational prejudice is also occurring attributed to treatment and access

discrimination manipulated by the majority in the organization; and candidate pool problem is apparent in the organization attributed to the lack of programs provided for the aspiring and potential women leaders, and low self-efficacy of women to come forward in the sport leadership pipeline. The participants also discussed the influences of prejudice in the organization, whereby the lack of leadership role models, lack of support and gender role conflict were identified. However, all the participants were hopeful of a better change and greater opportunities for women in sport leadership. They recommended strategies to overcome those barriers included networking, mentoring and developing self-efficacy. The participants also offered support which they believed will alleviate women's underrepresentation in sport leadership, such as the needs for leadership role model and mentors, developmental programs and to work toward amending the selection process of selecting women leaders. All throughout this study, the findings showed that women were underrepresented in top leadership positions. The study revealed that there are many gendered barriers and prejudices that have discouraged women from pursuing leadership positions particularly at the top level. Significant findings of the study showed that the three theoretical perspectives employed are intertwining and collectively explained the underrepresentation of women in sport leadership.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KETIDAKSESUAIAN JANTINA, PERANAN KEPIMPINAN DAN
KEKURANGAN WANITA DALAM JAWATAN KEPIMPINAN SUKAN**

Oleh

AMAN, MIRIAN PABATAO

Mei 2019

Pengerusi : Profesor Aminuddin Bin Yusof, PhD
Fakulti : Pengajian Pendidikan

Secara keseluruhan, tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kekurangan wanita dalam jawatan kepimpinan tertinggi pertubuhan sukan melalui perspektif dan pengalaman pemimpin wanita yang telah mengatasi halangan dalam kepimpinan sukan. Untuk tujuan ini, kajian ini menggunakan lima soalan kajian yang direka bentuk untuk menjawab halangan yang dihadapi oleh pemimpin wanita; pengalaman mereka mengenai amalan diskriminasi dalam organisasi sukan; pengaruh prejudis; strategi yang digunakan-dalam menangani cabaran; dan sokongan yang diberikan kepada pemimpin wanita yang beraspirasi. Kajian ini menggunakan rekabentuk kualitatif fenomenologi di mana data kajian dikumpulkan melalui temuduga separa berstruktur, pemerhatian dan analisis dokumen yang melibatkan pemimpin wanita yang ketika ini sedang menduduki jawatan kepimpinan tinggi dalam pertubuhan sukan kebangsaan. Merangkumi tiga perspektif teoretikal *role congruity theory*, *homologous reproduction* dan masalah saluran, kajian ini cuba untuk memberi suara kepada tujuh pemimpin wanita terpilih yang telah mengemudi persekitaran sukan yang dikuasai lelaki. Perspektif dan pengalaman peserta telah memberi lebih fahaman tentang kekurangan wanita dalam jawatan tertinggi kepimpinan atasan sukan. Data yang telah dikumpul dari tiga sumber data menghasilkan lima tema: persepsi halangan, pengalaman, pengaruh prejudis, strategi mengatasi halangan dan sokongan. Peserta mempunyai persepsi bahawa kekurangan wanita dalam jawatan tinggi dikaitkan dengan halangan persepsi wanita yang dialami termasuk batasan peribadi yang berpunca dari kelakuan membatasi diri konflik kehidupan kerja; faktor sosiobudaya yang terdiri daripada amalan tradisi dan budaya dan persepsi masyarakat; dan faktor organisasi yang terdiri daripada jaringan lelaki, diskriminasi jantina dan konflik dalam kalangan kaum wanita. Peserta juga menyuarkan pengalaman mereka yang berkaitan dengan percanggahan dari segi jantina dan peranan kepimpinan, diskriminasi organisasi dan masalah saluran organisasi. Peserta juga mendedahkan bahawa terdapat stereotaip jantina yang jelas melalui peruntukan jawatan kepimpinan dan jangkaan peranan jantina di kalangan wanita; prejudis organisasi juga dikaitkan dengan layanan dan diskriminasi akses yang dimanipulasi oleh majoriti dalam

organisasi, masalah kekurangan calon memang jelas dalam organisasi yang dikaitkan dengan kekurangan program yang bertujuan untuk membantu meningkatkan kemahiran kepimpinan di kalangan pemimpin wanita berpotensi dan efikasi sendiri rendah wanita untuk tampil ke hadapan dalam saluran kepimpinan sukan. Peserta juga membincangkan tentang pengaruh prejudis dalam organisasi bahawa terdapat kekurangan contoh kepimpinan, kurang sokongan dan konflik peranan jantina telah dikenalpasti. Namun demikian, semua peserta berharap akan ada perubahan dan peluang yang lebih baik kepada wanita dalam kepimpinan sukan. Mereka mencadangkan strategi bagi mengatasi halangan yang merangkumi jaringan, pementoran dan pembinaan efikasi sendiri. Peserta wanita juga menyokong kepercayaan bahawa kekurangan wanita dalam kepimpinan sukan dapat diatasi, seperti keperluan menjadi contoh kepimpinan dan mentor, program pembangunan dan berusaha untuk mengubah proses pemilihan pemimpin wanita. Sepanjang kajian ini, dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa terdapat kekukangan wanita dalam jawatan pemimpin tinggi. Kajian ini juga mendedahkan bahawa ada halangan jantina dan prejudis yang tidak menggalakkan wanita untuk mengejar jawatan kepimpinan terutamanya diperingkat atasan. Penemuan penting dalam kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa ketiga-tiga perspektif teori yang digunakan adalah berkaitan dan memberi jawapan secara kolektif terhadap kekurangan pemimpin wanita dalam bidang sukan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This piece of work would not be realized without the support and contribution of the following significant individuals and institutions. I am forever indebted to all of you.

To my research participants - the seven great women leaders who have broken the barriers of sport leadership in Malaysia. My sincerest gratitude for the time you spent during interviews and for sharing your experiences. This paper would not be possible without your “words.” Indeed, your passion in sport personally inspired me to become a better version of myself in my profession. You are all an inspiration to all women in sport.

To my research supervisor, Professor Dr. Aminuddin Bin Yusof, my sincerest gratitude for your untiring support and guidance in my academic path that encouraged me to become better in my career. Your knowledge and expertise greatly contributed and enhanced this paper. To Dr. Abu Bakar Bin Mohamed Razali, thank you for patiently reading and editing this paper, especially for your invaluable comments and suggestions. To Dr. Roxana Dev Omar Dev, thank you for your valuable comments and suggestions, they mean so much. Special thanks to Prof. Dr. Maimunah Binti Ismail for the time you spent reading my paper and for your insightful comments and suggestions. Thank you for extending your time and expertise despite of the unforeseen circumstance. To Dr. Kok Lian Yee, Dr. Tengku FadilahTengku Kamalden, Dr. Lee Kwan Meng and Dato Sarjit Singh Sekhon thank you for all your encouragement and support. I personally considered all of you my mentors.

To my beloved family, my life’s journey would not be as colorful as this without you all. Your love inspired my every morning to wake-up and gave me the strength to strive harder. To my Manang Jo, thank you for believing in me. Your love and all-out support no one can surpass. This professional journey of mine would not be possible without you. To my Manang Venus, the life trials you encountered kept me stronger and made me more realized that our family is my only treasure. Thank you for lifting me despite of your condition. To my Gang Ell and Kuya John, thank you for doing all the errands on my behalf and for giving me company even at wee hours and making me laugh.

To all my friends who supported me in many different ways. To Seela, thank you is not enough to express how grateful I am having you. Thank you for the friendship and for making me feel assured that I have someone to run to. To Syrene, thank you for all the prayers and for the company in ways you made possible. To Ate Joy and Manang Tata, thank you for all the support and for keeping me always in your prayers. To Tatee and Janice, thank you for all your prayers and for believing in my capability in this journey. To my KingdomCity churchmates, Eevon, Irene, Li Yuan, Florence and Chiamaka thank you for being part of my spiritual growth. Thank you for the friendship and for all your prophetic prayers.

To my colleagues of the College of Sports, Physical Education and Recreation, thank you to all of you. Especially to late Prof. Anna Marrietta E. Boloto, your memories will always be treasured. I am one of the fruits of your labor. To the Mindanao State University, thank you for this opportunity.

All praises and glory to my living God.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 8 May 2019 to conduct the final examination of Aman, Mirian Pabatao on her thesis entitled "Incongruence of Gender, Leadership Roles and Underrepresentation of Women in Sport Leadership Positions" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Khairuddin b Idris, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Soh Kim Geok, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Nelfianty binti Mohd Rasyid, PhD

Associate Professor
Fakulti Sains Sukan dan Kejurulatihan
Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris
Malaysia
(External Examiner)

Jhalukpreya Surujlal, PhD

Professor
North-West University
South Africa
(External Examiner)



RUSLI HAJI ABDULLAH, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 23 July 2019

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Aminuddin Bin Yusof, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abu Bakar Bin Mohamed Razali, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Roxana Dev Omar Dev, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)



ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 08 AUG 2019

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Aman, Mirian Pabatao, GS42210

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Professor Dr. Aminuddin Bin Yusof

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Abu Bakar Bin Mohamed Razali

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Roxana Dev Omar Dev

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABSTRACT		i
ABSTRAK		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		v
APPROVAL		vii
DECLARATION		ix
LIST OF TABLES		xiv
LIST OF FIGURES		xv
LIST OF APPENDICES		xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xvii
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Statement of the Problem	2
	1.3 Purpose of the Study	4
	1.4 Research Questions	5
	1.5 Significance of the Study	5
	1.6 Limitations	7
	1.7 Delimitations	8
	1.8 Assumptions	9
	1.9 Definition of Terms	9
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	12
	2.1 Research Paradigm	12
	2.2 Theoretical Rationale	12
	2.2.1 Role Congruity Theory	14
	2.2.2 Homologous Reproduction	16
	2.2.3 Pipeline Problem Theory	17
	2.3 Women in Sport and Leadership in Malaysia	20
	2.4 Status of Women in Sport Leadership in International Perspectives	22
	2.5 Gender and Leadership Stereotype	24
	2.6 Barriers for Women in Sport Leadership	26
	2.6.1 Organizational Related Barriers	27
	2.6.2 Lack of Mentors and Social Networks	29
	2.6.3 Perceived Sociocultural Gender Roles	30
	2.6.4 Personal Limitations	31
	2.7 Strategies to Overcome Barriers in Sport Leadership	33
	2.8 Summary	34
3	METHODOLOGY	37
	3.1 Research Design	37
	3.1.1 Phenomenology Approach	38
	3.2 Research Setting	39

3.3	Research Participants and Sampling Procedures	40
3.4	Research Instruments	42
	3.4.1 Face to Face Semi Structured Interviews	42
	3.4.2 Observations	44
	3.4.3 Document Analysis	45
3.5	The Researcher	46
3.6	The Role of the Researcher	48
3.7	Data Collection	50
	3.7.1 Gaining Access to Research Settings and Research Participants	50
	3.7.2 Pilot Study	52
	3.7.3 Procedures for Data Collection	53
3.8	Data Analysis	55
	3.8.1 Code Tree of Themes and Sub-themes	56
3.9	Triangulation	61
3.10	Member Check	61
3.11	Transferability	62
3.12	Audit Trail	62
3.13	Ethical Consideration	63
4	RESULTS	64
4.1	Participants	65
4.2	Themes	75
	4.2.1 Perceived Barriers	76
	4.2.1.1 Personal Limitations	76
	4.2.1.2 Sociocultural Factors	80
	4.2.1.3 Organizational Factors	83
	4.2.2 Experiences	89
	4.2.2.1 Gender Stereotypes	89
	4.2.2.2 Organizational Prejudice	93
	4.2.2.3 Candidate Pool Problem	97
	4.2.3 Influences of Prejudice	101
	4.2.3.1 Lack of Role Models	101
	4.2.3.2 Lack of Support	103
	4.2.3.3 Gender Role Conflict	105
	4.2.4 Strategies to Overcome Barriers	107
	4.2.4.1 Networking	107
	4.2.4.2 Mentoring	108
	4.2.4.3 Developing Self-Efficacy	110
	4.2.5 Support	111
	4.2.5.1 Role Model and Mentors	112
	4.2.5.2 Developmental Programs	114
	4.2.5.3 Selection Process	116
4.3	Summary of Findings	117
5	SUMMARY, DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	120
5.1	Summary of the Research Process	120
5.2	Discussion of the Research Findings	122

5.2.1	Perceived Barriers of Women's Underrepresentation in Top Leadership Positions of Sport Organizations	122
5.2.2	Experiences Related to Discrepancy of Gender and Leadership Roles, Organizational Discrimination and Pipeline Problem	128
5.2.3	Influences of Prejudice	133
5.2.4	Strategies to Overcome Barriers	135
5.2.5	Support to Aspiring Women Leaders	137
5.3	Conclusion	139
5.4	Theoretical Implications	143
5.5	Practical Implications	146
5.5.1	Women Top Leaders	147
5.5.2	Aspiring Women Leaders	147
5.5.3	Sport Organizations	148
5.6	Recommendations for Future Research	149
	REFERENCES	150
	APPENDICES	174
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	206
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	208

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Number of Malaysian athletes participating in international multi-sport games from 1998 – 2018	21
2	Number of women leaders in top leadership positions in Malaysia's sport organizations from 2006 – 2018	22
3	Background information of the participants	65
4	Summary of the emerging themes and sub-themes	75

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	The interplay of the theoretical perspectives employed in the study	14
2	Code Tree of Themes and Sub-Themes. Adapted from Borland, J.F. (2008). The Under-representation of Black Females	60
3	The vicious cycle of the three intertwining theoretical perspectives collectively impacted women's underrepresentation in sport leadership	143

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A	Pilot Study Interview Guide	174
B	Research Interview Guide	178
C	Invitation Letter to Participate in the Study	181
D	Consent Form for Participation in the Study	183
E	Approval to Conduct the Study from Research Management Center	186
F	Coding Sample	189
G	Sample of Interview Transcript	191
H	Sample of Participant's Excerpt from Interviews	192
I	Sample of Formulated Meaning from Significant Statement	199
J	Sample of Field Note	200
K	Sample of Non-Participant Observation	201
L	Audit Trail	202

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	Athletic Director
AGM	Annual General Meeting
CDM	Chef De Mission
IOC	International Olympic Committee
ISLP	Institute of Sport and Leisure Policy
MSC	Malaysia Sports Commission
MYS	Ministry of Youth and Sports
NCAA	National Collegiate Athletic Association
NDYS	National Department of Youth and Sports
NOC	National Olympic Committee
NSA	National Sports Associations
NSC	National Sports Council
NSI	National Sports Institute
OCA	Olympic Council of Asia
OCM	Olympic Council of Malaysia
PA	Personal Assistant
RMC	Research Management Center
SCUAA	State Colleges and Universities Athletic Association
SEA	South East Asia
SWA	Senior Women Administrator
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
WSFF	Women's Sport and Fitness Foundation

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The first chapter illustrates the general concept of the current study. It describes the issues that the study investigated and provides an in-depth representation of the phenomenon. In particular, in this chapter the researcher discussed the background of the study, statement of the problem, purpose of the study, research questions, significance, limitations, delimitations, and assumptions of the study. For a clearer representation of the terms used, in this chapter the researcher also presented the conceptual and operational definitions of the key terms or phrases in the study.

1.1 Background of the Study

Leadership is the process of influencing an organized group toward accomplishing common set goals (Silva, 2016; Roach & Behling, 1984). It is also defined as the ability of an individual to establish direction for a working group of individuals who gain commitment from this group of members to this direction and who then motivate these members to achieve the direction's outcomes (Conger, 1992; Callahan & Grunberg, 2016). Similarly, House, Hanges, Javidan, Dorfman, and Gupta (2004) describe leadership as the ability of an individual to influence, motivate, and enable others to contribute toward the effectiveness and success of the organizations of which they are members. Leadership, however, requires neither gender qualifications nor someone with communal or agentic characteristics but one with instinct potential to fulfil responsibilities.

Apparently, in the social context, leadership has been predominantly a male prerogative, such as, corporate, political, military, and other sectors of society (Eagly & Karau, 2002), and this does not exclude the realm of sport, where in women are less likely than men to be associated with leadership (Latu, Mast, Lammers, & Bombari, 2013). Women in leadership face a disproportionate number of life challenges that reduces their ability to achieve their full potential (Women Win Journal, 2009). Although women have gained increased access to supervisory and middle management positions, they remain quite rare as elite leaders and top executives (Eagly & Karau, 2002). Although there is an increased opportunities for girls and women to participate in sport, but they remain underrepresented within all areas of leadership at all levels of sport (M'mbaha & Chepyator-Thomson, 2018; Burton, 2015; Fasting, Sand, Pike, & Matthews, 2014). In many studies, despite formal guarantees of equality, the overall rate of progress for women particularly those from the poorest and marginalized regions of the world have been slow (Women Win Journal, 2009).

Many barriers and challenges to equitable employment opportunities remain, including stereotypical attitudes towards women and sport. The study of Sartore and Cunningham (2007) proposes that gender-role meanings and stereotypes associated with social and sport ideology may limit the capacity of women within the sport context. In addition, *Women 2000 and Beyond Journal* (2007) affirmed that given sport as traditionally a male domain, the involvement of women in sport challenges a multitude of gender stereotypes. Specifically, the globalization of women's movement of equity which started in developed and western countries have reached many parts of the world, including the South East Asia of which Malaysia is no exception. Megat Daud, Radzi, Abdullah, and Johari (2013) exemplified that women in top-level positions in sport is still lacking in numbers in contrast to the population and lower than their male counterparts.

The issue of the underrepresentation of women in sport leadership is a phenomenon that every sport woman advocate wanted to put forward in breaking the barriers in achieving better opportunities and full potentials. The voices of women speaking about problems encountered in sport leadership will keep the issues of an underrepresented gender in the forefront of the society (Inglis, Danylchuk, & Pastore, 2000). There have been improvements in the number of women in sports as participants, officials and leaders. Such advancements include the increase of women athletes by 44% and women's participation in all sports for the first time in 2012 London Olympic (International Olympic Committee, 2013). Another significant advancement for women in sport is the positive deliberation in the policy reform of the International Federation of Association Football (FIFA) for women's inclusion as decision makers in the executive committee (FIFA, 2015). Recently, the 2016 Rio Olympic Games mark the second straight Summer Olympics to make it women to all sports. Nonetheless, there is still a significant amount of work that needs to be done in order to create equity (Parnter, Deranek, & Michel, 2014) in women's representation in top leadership positions of sports organizations.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

The extant literature of the underrepresentation of women in sport leadership is a phenomenon that continuously receives attention in international and local platforms (Goslin & Kluka, 2014) which help paved the way of increase participation and involvement of women in sport at all levels. The participation of women in all sports in 2012 London Olympic with 44% mark the greatest achievement of women in Olympic participation followed by its increased in 2016 Rio Olympics setting a new record of 45.2 % or a total of 5,176 women out of 11,444 athletes (Women in Sports, 2018) and the FIFA reform for the inclusion of women as decision makers in the executive committee (FIFA, 2015) were examples of the logical manifestations for requisite career advancement in women's sport leadership. Nevertheless, even with these established opportunities, laws and policies, women remain significantly underrepresented in top leadership (Burton, 2015). Women in sport leadership positions like administrators and decision-makers still faced obvious challenges that significantly limit the advancement for greater opportunities. Despite of the advances in women's equity and empowerment in leadership positions, women are still underrepresented (Huggins & Randell, 2007).

Sport management researchers have produced findings with regard to diversity in leadership positions among women (Fink & Pastore, 1999; Sartore & Cunningham 2007), but most of these studies focused on western women in different levels of sport leadership positions (e.g., Massengale: 2009, Hancock & Hums, 2014: Sagas, Cunningham, & Teed, 2006: Norman, 2010: Lovelin & Hanold, 2014: Hederson, Grappendorf, & Burton, 2011). Few studies were conducted in Malaysia on the underrepresentation of women in managerial or leadership positions; however, these studies focused more on business sectors, (e.g., Jogulu & Wood, 2008; Osi, Ilac, & Amante, 2012; & Subramaniam & Arumugam, 2013), economics (e.g., Mansor, 1994 & Saadin, Ramli, Johari, & Harin, 2016), as well as politics (e.g., Sobritchea, 2000). However, studies on the topic of underrepresentation of women in managerial or leadership positions are very rare in the case of sport as an institution (Massengale, 2009). One study conducted by Megat Daud et al., (2013) looked at the challenges and reality faced by women managers but focused on sport industry. Thus, research on sport leadership experiences of Malaysian women in sport organizations receives very little attention among sport management researchers. In the Malaysian context of sport, women in leadership position continue to juggle their personality and manage many things in order to be relevant and need to carry out greater effort not to be discriminated. Although, Malaysian women have enjoyed opportunities to participate in the workforce in the country with a relative increased of 43.05% in 2008 to 50.79% in 2017 (Malaysia female labor force participation, n.d.) and there have been women's policy that emphasized on women's equity of 30% in managerial and leadership position in public sector yet, women's representation in sport associations are still low than their male counterparts (Megat Daud et al., 2013). In fact, women's occupancy in the higher level of sport leadership position indicated only 14.2%, while men comprise the 85.8%.

There have been theories that explained the underrepresentation of women in leadership. The role congruity theory initiated by Eagly and Diekman (2005) which hypothesized that women's underrepresentation in leadership is due to the incongruence of gender and leadership roles that contributed to prejudices towards women's aspiration for leadership positions. Moreover, homologous reproduction (Sagas, Cunningham & Teed, 2006; Lovett & Lowry, 1994), is an organizational barrier, believed that dominant group are likely to hire subordinates of the same gender. While pipeline problem (Turkel, 2004; Whisenant, Miller, & Peterson, 2005; Massengale, 2009; Monroe & Chiu, 2010) are social and personal limitations which are believed to impede women's representation in leadership. Pipeline problem is a notion that due to the sparse representation of women in the lower level of administrative roles (Turkel, 2004; Grappendorf, Lough, & Griffin, 2004), thus for a career move into administration and hiring of women in top leadership is even more difficult.

However, neither of the theories mentioned above (role congruity theory, homologous reproduction and pipeline problem) was employed in the local setting of the current study. To date, there have been no studies conducted that examined the incongruence between gender and leadership roles, homologous reproduction and pipeline problem as a possible explanation of the underrepresentation of women in sport leadership in sport organizations. The validation of such claim was done through an extensive searching and browsing on the search engine or database such as, ScienceDirect, EBSCOhost,

Scopus, Eric, even Goggle Scholar and simple Goggle search of articles. The thorough exploration also includes searching on the key terms used in the study such as underrepresentation of women, women in sport leadership, organizational discrimination, and gender discrimination, as well as the theories employed in the study such as role congruity, homologous reproduction, and pipeline problem theory. However, no research has been found that particularly discussed the said topic. Consequently, previous research mostly focused identifying barriers and challenges of women in sport leadership, rather than investigating the impact of those barriers to the underrepresentation of women. Meanwhile, others evaluate the effectiveness of leadership roles when it is enacted by different gender. The descriptive and quantitative nature of the previous studies help the current study, but somehow, failed to uncover some issue in-depth thus, fall short to provide deeper explanation on how the issue of underrepresentation of women in leadership positions in sport organizations affected the group under study.

Therefore, this study attempted to further the extant literature. Considering that most of the studies on women's underrepresentation in sport leadership is widely studied in the West and among low to middle positions, this study employed in the Asian context of sport leadership and among top women leaders, specifically in Malaysia thus, adding to the local literature on women leaders and sport leadership studies, which rarely been studied in the local setting. Also, the three theoretical perspectives of role congruity theory, homologous reproduction and pipeline problem were used to inform the study as collectively explore the social, organizational and personal aspects of barriers that impacted women's ascend in leadership positions of sport organizations. Furthermore, the issue on women's underrepresentation in sport leadership were vastly studied quantitatively were results could only tell quantifying variables. Hence, this study employed phenomenological qualitative research as it goes beyond statistics and demographic description, rather focused more in providing rich descriptions and analyses of the phenomenon under study through the stories of the lived experiences of the participants involved. Through phenomenological qualitative design the study dug greater investigation and unearthed in-depth explanations and defined the underrepresentation of women in sport leadership positions rather than just simply quantifying them.

1.3 Purpose of the Study

The overall purpose of the current study was to provide an in-depth understanding on the underrepresentation of women in sport leadership positions of sport organizations. This is where, in-depth understanding was investigated through the lived experiences of women leaders purposively selected from the national sport organizations and among the top executive leaders of the organization, who were directly involved in decision making and administration. Specifically, this study investigated the current status of women in sport leadership in Malaysia. This study also investigated women top leaders' experiences related to the prejudices brought about by perceived incongruity of gender and leadership roles, organizational discrimination and pipeline problem and how these perceived sociocultural and organizational barriers impede their ability to ascend in

leadership positions. Moreover, the study also examined the strategies women leaders employed to overcome the perceived barriers in the underrepresentation of Malaysian women in sport leadership positions. Furthermore, the study also investigated the support women leaders provided to other women aspiring for leadership positions in sport organizations.

1.4 Research Questions

In investigating the underrepresentation of women in sport leadership through the lived experiences of women top leaders, the current study sought to answer the research questions listed below. Research questions evolved from the literature and were refined after pilot study was conducted (Appendix B). The following are the research questions that guided the investigation of the phenomenon.

1. How do women leaders perceive the underrepresentation of women sport leaders in top leadership positions of sport organizations?
2. What experiences do women leaders encounter that are associated with the discrepancy of gender and leadership roles, organizational discrimination, and pipeline problem in sport organizations?
3. How does discrepancy of gender and leadership roles, organizational discrimination, and pipeline problem influence women in sport leadership positions of sport organizations?
4. How do women leaders overcome the barriers associated to the discrepancy of gender and leadership roles, organizational discrimination, and pipeline problem in ascending to top leadership positions of sport organizations?
5. What support do women leaders provide to other women aspiring for leadership positions in sport organization?

1.5 Significance of the Study

The continuous issue of the underrepresentation of women in the male dominated sport leadership position warranted a need for a more in-depth investigation for the issue to be better understood and addressed. By giving voices to the marginalized group in the realm of sport paved the platforms for more discussion and discourse which eventually open better opportunities and equity in rights and status for women in sport leadership. Not only because gender diversity in the leadership of sport organizations balance the demographic and opportunities, but diverse representation of individual in the organization has the potential to drive superior organizational effectiveness. In their study Doherty and Chelladurai (1999) noted that diverse representation in an organization contributed to significant changes in legislative, economic and society. Further, organizations with a diverse workforce attracted the best and brightest employees (Robinson & Denchat 1997). Therefore, gender-diverse organizations perform better than the lesser ones. Thus, women can be equally significant as men in an organization not to mention that women possess different informational resources

than men and interact in ways that enhance group members' creativity and cooperative relationship (Eagly, 2013).

With the pursuit of contributing to the foregoing phenomenon this study is significant in several grounds. Firstly, although the phenomenological nature of the current study could not generalize the whole context of sport leadership – (and in fact, generalization is not the main objective of this study) – however, in-depth and rich data gathered from this study will provide useful information in understanding the underrepresentation of women in sport leadership particularly at the top positions. Thus, taking into account the lived experiences of women top leaders, discriminatory practices and prejudices in sport organization will be addressed. Considering further that sport is a non-traditional career field for women (Senne, 2016; Women in Sport-IOC, 2018) there is an obvious persistent discrimination (Stangle & Kane, 1991; Cunningham & Sagas, 2005), the in-depth nature of this study was necessary to put forward the issue.

Secondly, the information from the current study is hoped to provide insightful and in-depth understanding that may inform and influence policy makers to develop policies and laws that could strengthen the sporting culture of Malaysia as well as initiatives towards achieving 30% representation of women in managerial and leadership positions. Whereby, women are supported with better opportunities, access, and support in all levels and in all capacities. That is, as decision-makers, administrators, managers, coaches, officials and participants. Wherein, sport culture in the country could provide an environment where the marginalized group are supported, empowered, and thus feel comfortable and confident being members of the organization.

Thirdly, the current study will also contribute to the extant literature on the underrepresentation of women in leadership of sport organization taking into account the perspectives of Malaysian women top leaders. Whereby, this study will help bridge the knowledge gap in the sparse literature in women and leadership in sport organization in the local setting of the research. The current study will serve as baseline information for future sport researchers interested in similar topic. Considering further that the current study is pioneering in its nature; the issue, setting, and participants in particular. In addition, the issue of women's underrepresentation in sport leadership has not been examined through the three theoretical perspectives used in this study. Hence, the results of the current study will fill this theoretical gap and will contribute to the body of knowledge concerning leadership discrepancy, organizational discrimination, and pipeline problem in sport leadership from a gender perspective.

In conclusion, this study will provide greater understanding and insightful information to future women leaders in sport, sport management researchers, and policy makers in promoting advocacy and change for better organizational and sociocultural practices; and creating women supportive policies in sport.

1.6 Limitations

Limitations are potential weaknesses in a study that are mostly out of the researcher's control. It is also a restriction that cannot be dismissed and might affect the design and results of the study. But limitations were being acknowledged and were properly addressed and handled throughout the research process. As such, the current study was limited to the experiences, perceptions and views of the purposely selected seven women participants who were among the top leaders in national sport organizations of Malaysia. Whereby, the data gathered could not be used to assume large scope of generalizations. Further, sport management is just one of the venues for women to portray leadership abilities and it is not assumed to be the only institution for women's leadership and not only women top leaders faced the same issue of underrepresentation in sport. Thus, it cannot generalize the whole context of women in leadership positions, either in Malaysia or within the larger contexts.

Another limitation of the study being addressed was the researcher's experiences, perspectives and personal connection to the subject matter (Patton, 2002). In qualitative research, as this study as well worked upon, the researcher is herself the research instrument, her experiences as student-athlete, head-coach in women's basketball team, physical education lecturer and sport director were factors for biases in the interpretation of the results. However, these limitations were carefully noted through bracketing in order to maintain the purest pursuit of the study. As a researcher, it is imperative to acquire enough background of the literature and possess effective interview skills and techniques that would encouraged research participants to actively construct knowledge on the questions and responses (Holstein & Gubrium, 1995). Through reflexive journal bracketing, mental preparation was also considered. The researcher confirmed of putting aside her own knowledge of the phenomenon and adopted this attitude throughout the research process and maintained curiosity about the research questions. The researcher also committed to surface her own preconceptions both before and during the research process, and maintained bracketing as a priority which, is fundamental to effective and meaningful qualitative research.

The time constraints of the research participants were also among the factors that limited the study because it limits prolonged engagement in the field. The researcher conducted three session interviews with each participant, although these enabled her to gather rich data, but more time spent talking with the participants without minding their other appointment would have given the researcher more information than what has been collected. The researcher acknowledged the difficulty of availing the time of the participants during the KL 2017 - Southeast Asian Games were time constraints of the participants were high due to their organizational commitments and the initial plan of meeting them every other week for the interview sessions were not as well realized which caused long interview intervals. In order not to loss connection with the participants, the researcher took the opportunity to be part of the volunteers for the 2017 SEA Games to keep sights with the participants since all of them were involved into it. Through this the researcher gets the chance to casually talk to some of the participants during the event.

Additionally, the researcher continued the unstructured, non-participatory observation whenever the participants were available.

Another limitation encountered in the study was the venues where interviews took place. Some interviews were done in their different offices and some were in their houses. Interviews conducted in their offices were sometimes affected by other office concerns. Staffs sometimes interfered or unexpected visitors came, which forced us to pause for a while. Interviews at home as well were sometimes affected by some family members which interviews were stop because the participant needed to attend to them. The different location could have had affected their comfort level and perhaps loss their focus of the topic. However, as a researcher it is her responsibility to bring back to consciousness the topic to the participants. In such cases, the researcher briefly reviewed the participant of the topic that was leave-off. The researcher asked the question again and reminded them of the responses they made earlier.

1.7 Delimitations

Delimitations are the definitions set as boundaries of the study that can be within the researchers' control. It is a detailed description of the scope of interest of the study as it relates to the research design. Delimitations are set so that the goals of the study would not become impossibly large to complete.

The current study was delimited to the purposively selected women leaders whose leadership positions are among the executive management of sport organizations. Executive management in sport organizations includes positional titles such as Chief Executive Officer, President, Vice President, Chairman, Director, Secretary, Athletic Director and General Manager (Lapchick, 2013). Other global sport entities included numerous other designations (Hancock and Hums, 2016), therefore, with cultural distinction, this study included the positional titles stipulated in the structure of the organizations in the local setting of the research. This includes the positions such as President, Vice President, Deputy President, Executive Board Member, Director and General Secretary and General Manager.

They are significantly considered as research participants of the study because they are directly involved in the decision making and legislative procedures of the organizations. They are believed to be the most credible individuals who have the most experiences with the subject matter and have the paramount source of information.

Moreover, this study was also delimited to the theoretical stance (discussed in the succeeding chapter) established that provided a greater understanding of the underrepresentation of women in top leadership positions of sport organizations. Theoretical perspectives such as role congruity, homologous reproduction and pipeline problem theories are believed to explain women's underrepresentation in sport

leadership in this study. However, it is not assumed that these are the only theories that could explain the phenomenon. Other sport management scholars also attempted to employ other gender and leadership theories to explain women's underrepresentation in sport leadership.

In investigating the incongruence of gender, leadership roles and underrepresentation of women in sport leadership position of sport organization, this study was delimited to a phenomenological approach which included face-to-face, in-depth semi-structured interviews with open-ended questions, unstructured, non-participatory observations and document analysis (Langdridge, 2007; Harding, 2013). This approaches allowed open-ended responses that unearthed diverse information that facilitated deeper discussion and greater understanding of the phenomenon under study.

1.8 Assumptions

This study assumed that the underrepresentation of women top leaders particularly in sport leadership positions was a problem that needs to be addressed with utmost necessity to promote advocacy for change and development. Since women are as well an essential group component of the society, hence needs to be treated equally as how society treated the majority and deserve to benefit the same professional protection and tenure like everyone else. Empowering women is the key to undermining male control towards a more humane society (Ariffin, 1999). If women are not empowered, discriminatory practices and gender role stereotyping shall continue to threaten, and oppression against women shall become a norm among the majority. It was also assumed that the participants of the research were the most competent and precise people who can provide reliable information and insights on the topic. The fact that the research was conducted qualitatively thus, the researcher purposely selected the participants that best qualified to provide the most relevant lived experiences and perceptions on the research topic. As such, the research would be mutually beneficial to both the researcher and the participants. Furthermore, this study also assumed that the participants provided responses to the research questions honestly. It is the responsibility of the researcher, as the research tool, to make sure that the data gathered from the participants are in fact true, not only to the participants' experiences and perceptions, but also to the historical and factual information.

1.9 Definition of Terms

For a clear representation and to provide better understanding of the meaning of the key terms or phrases to be used in the study, definitions are provided conceptually and operationally.

Access discrimination. Access or hiring discrimination is a situation when a person from a particular subgroup is being denied of the opportunity to access to a position, job, or profession (Greenhaus, Parasuraman, & Wormley, 1990). In this study, this particular term is used to refer to the organizational prejudice that hinder women leaders' entry to leadership position and denial to organizational governance.

Gender discrimination. Is the systematic, unfavourable treatment of individuals on the basis of their gender, which denies them the rights, opportunities or resources (Reeves & Baden, 2000). In this study, the term is referred to the discriminatory practices in the organization which brought unfair and bias treatment among women being the recipient of the weaker sex. In such prejudice, women were discriminated in terms of opportunities, access to privileges and support. It is also one of the organizational perceived barriers that hinder women leaders to ascend to higher leadership position.

Homologous reproduction. In the context of social organization, the term is referred to hierarchical practices of the dominant group within an organization to systematically reproduce like images based on social and/or physical characteristics (Sagas, Cunningham, & Teed, 2006). In this study, the term is used to refer to the organizational practices manipulated by the dominant group (i.e., men) which resulted to treatment and access discrimination against women.

Leadership. The ability of an individual to influence, motivates, and enables others to contribute toward the effectiveness and success of the organizations (House, Hanges, Javidan, Dorfman, & Gupta 2004). In sport management, Yusof and Shah (2008) implied that it is a characteristic (specifying transformational leadership) that makes a significant difference in terms of the organization's performance and effectiveness.

Organizational discrimination. In general context, the term is defined as treating an individual or group less well in recruiting, hiring, or any other terms and conditions of employment due to the person's or group's race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, disability, or veteran's status. (Kleiman, n.d.). In sport leadership, organizational discrimination threatens women's access in career advancement due to bias treatment of the dominant group within the organization. Specifically, this term is used in this study to describe the unfair and bias practices manipulated by the dominant group (i.e., men) in order to deny women in the position and governance in the organization.

Pipeline problem. A concept that posits the idea of women's underrepresentation in the higher level of organization is because of the lack of women leaders in the lower level (Turkel, 2004). In this study, the term is used to indicate the organizational and personal limitations which contributed to the lack of potential women leaders to be selected in the higher position of leadership pipeline in the sport organizations.

Role congruity. A concept hypothesizing the incongruity of gender and leadership roles that produce negative evaluation toward women occupying leadership roles (Eagly & Karau, 2002). In this study, the term is referred to the discrepancy of gender and leadership roles, specifically implying the incapability of women to hold leadership roles because it conflicts to the preconceived social roles for women. As such, women experience gender stereotyping, being labelled to positions designated for women and expected to perform roles specific for women.

Sport organization. A social entity operating in the various continuums of sport activities with a wide variety of goals and means (Gomez, Opazo, & Marti, 2008). In this study it is referred to the sport body taking charge in creating and developing programs and implement policies in different sporting activities both local and international involvement. Specifically includes national sport associations, sport councils, sport institutes and sport schools.

Top leadership position. The positions held by an individual in the organization specifically among the executive management. Sport management scholars identify executive management in sport organizations which includes positional titles such as chief executive officer, president, general manager, vice president, and athletic director (Lapchick, 2013), while other global sport entities include numerous other designations (Hancock & Hums, 2016). In this study and with cultural distinction, top leadership positions included the positional titles stipulated in the structure of the organizations. This includes the positions such as President, Vice President, Deputy President, Executive Board Member, Head of Department, and General Secretary.

Underrepresentation. The lack of particular social group in a certain area of an institution or society (Borland, 2008). In this study, the term is used to refer to the sparse representation of women leaders in top leadership positions of sport organizations in Malaysia.

Woman Leader. A person particularly woman (of gender) holding sport leadership position and purposively identified as participant of this study with their designated positional title in the organization.

REFERENCES

- Abdela, L. (2000). From palm tree to parliament: Training women for political leadership and public life. In C. Sweetman (Ed.), *Women and Leadership* (pp. 16-23) Oxford, UK: Oxfam GB.
- Abdullah, A. (2001). *Influence of ethnic values at the Malaysian workplace: Understanding the Malaysian workforce, guidelines for managers*. (Eds, Abdullah, A. & Low, A., pp.14-64). Malaysian Institute of Management: Kuala Lumpur.
- Abele, A. (2003). The dynamics of masculine-agentic and feminine communal traits: Findings from a prospective study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85, 768–776.
- Acosta, R.V., & Carpenter, L.J. (2008). *Women in intercollegiate sport: A longitudinal study-twenty nine year update, 1977–2006*. Unpublished manuscript, Brooklyn College Brooklyn, NY.
- Agezo, C.K. (2010). Female leadership and school effectiveness in junior high schools in Ghana. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 48(6), 689-703.
- Aicher, T.J., & Sagas, M. (2009). An examination of homologous reproduction and the effect of sexism. *Journal for the Study of Sports and Athletics in Education*, 3(3), 375-386.
- Aitchison, C., Jordan, F., & Brackenridge, C. (1999). Women in leisure management: a survey of gender equity. *Women in Management Review*, 14(4), 121-127.
- Akkerman, S., Admiral, W., Brekelmans, M. & Oost, H. (2008). Auditing quality of research in social sciences. *Quality and Quantity*, 42(2).
- Allan Edwards and James Skinner (2009). *Qualitative research in sport management (1st ed.)*. Butterworth-Heinemann, USA: Elsevier.
- Aly, E.R. & Breese, K. (2018). The representation of women in USA sports, sports administrative, and team sports leadership. *European Scientific Journal*, 14(5), 55-71.
- Aman, M., Yusof, A., Ismail, M., & Mohamed Razali, A. B. (2018). Pipeline problem: Factors influencing the underrepresentation of women in the top leadership positions of sport organisations. *Malaysian Journal of Movement, Health & Exercise*, 7(2), 151-166.
- Anney, V. N. (2014). Ensuring the quality of the findings of qualitative research: Looking at trustworthiness criteria. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS)*, 5(2), 272-281.

- Ariffin, R. (1999). Feminism in Malaysia: A historical and present perspective of women's struggles in Malaysia. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 22(4), 417-423.
- Ariffin, R., & Abdullah, M.C. (1997). Resurgent patriarchy and violence against women. Kathmandu: ARENA.
- Atwater, L.E., Brett, J.F., Waldman, D., DiMare, L., & Hayden, M.V. (2004). Men's and women's perceptions of the gender typing of management subroles. *Sex Roles*, 50(3-4), 191-199.
- Auster, E.R., & Prasad, A. (2016). Why do women still not make it to the top? Dominant organizational ideologies and biases by promotion committees limit opportunities to destination positions. *Sex Roles*, 75, 177-196.
- Avolio, B. J. (2010). Full range leadership development (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Bandura, A. (1994). Self-efficacy. In V. S. Ramachaudran (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of human behavior* (Vol. 4, pp. 71-81). New York: Academic Press.
- Barbour, R. (2008). *Introducing Qualitative Research: A Student Guide to the Craft of Doing Qualitative Research*. London, UK: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Bass, B. M. (1988). Transformational leadership: Industry, military and educational impact. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Beurskens, A.J., de Vet, H.C., & Kant, I. (1998). Roaming through the methodology VIII pilot studies: Sense and nonsense. *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd.* 42(39):2142-2145.
- Bitsch, V. (2005). Qualitative research: A grounded theory example and evaluation criteria. *Journal of Agribusiness*, 23(1), 75-91.
- Blair-Loy, M., 2001. Cultural constructions of family schemas: The cases of women finance executives. *Gender and Society*, 15, 687-709.
- Block, B.A., & Tietjen-Smith, T. (2016). The case for women mentoring women. *Quest*, 68(3), 306-315.
- Bolden, R., Gosling, J., Marturano, A. & Dennison, P. (2003). Centre for leadership studies: A review of leadership theory and competency frameworks. Chase Consulting and the Management Standards Centre, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK.
- Bongiorno, R., Bain, P. & David, B. (2013). If you're going to be a leader, at least act like it! Prejudice towards women who are tentative in leader roles. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 53(2).

- Borland, J.F. (2008). *The under-representation of Black females in NCAA Division I women's basketball head coaching positions*. (Doctoral dissertation). Available from ProQuest LLC database (UMI No.3325610)
- Borland, J.F., & Bruening, J.E. (2010). Navigating barriers: A qualitative examination of the under-representation of Black females as head coaches in collegiate basketball. *Sport Management Review*, 13, 407-420.
- Bower, G.G. (2009). Effective mentoring relationships with women in sport: Results of a meta-ethnography. *Advancing Women in Leadership Journal*, 29(3).
- Bower, G.G., Hums, M.A. & Grappendorf, H. (2015). Same story; different day: Greatest challenges of women working in intercollegiate athletic administration. *International Journal of Sport Management*, 19, 12-39.
- Branson, D. M. (2007). *No seat at the table: How corporate governance and law keep women out of the board room*. New York: New York University Press.
- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2013). *Successful qualitative research: a practical guide for beginners*. London: Sage.
- Browne, K. (1999). *Divided labours: An evolutionary view of women at work*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Bruening, J.E & Dixon, M.A. (2008). Situating work-life negotiations within a life course perspective: Insights on gendered experiences of NCAA Division 1 head coaching mothers. *Sex roles*, 58(1-2), 10-23.
- Bruening, J.E., & Dixon, M.A. (2008). Situating work–family negotiations within a life course perspective: Insights on the gendered experiences of NCAA Division I head coaching mothers. *Sex Roles*, 58(1-2), 10-23.
- Bruening, J.E., Dixon, M.A. (2007). Work-family conflict in coaching II: Management role conflict. *Journal of Sport Management*, 21, 471-496.
- Bruening, J.E., Dixon, M.A., Tiell, B., Osbourne, B., Lough, N., & Sweeney, K. (2008). Work-life culture of collegiate athletics: Perceptions of supervisors. *International Journal of Sport Management*, 9, 250-272.
- Budworth, M. & Mann, S. L. (2010). Becoming a leader: The challenge of modesty for women. *Journal of Management Development*, 29(2), 177-186.
- Burton, L. J. (2015). Underrepresentation of women in sport leadership: A review of research. *Sport Management Review*, 18(2), 155-165.
- Burton, L. J., Barr, C. A., Fink, J. S. & Bruening, J. E. (2009). Think athletic director, think masculine?: Examination of the gender typing of managerial subroles within athletic administration positions. *Sex Roles*, 61(5-6), 416-426.

- Burton, L., Grappendorf, H., & Henderson, A. (2011). Perceptions of gender in athletic administration: Utilizing role congruity to examine (potential) prejudice against women. *Journal of Sport Management, 25*, 36-45.
- Burton, L., Welty Peachey, J., & Wells, J. (2017). The role of servant leadership in developing an ethical climate in sport organizations. *The Journal of Sport Management, 31*, 229-240.
- Burton, L.J., Barr, C.A., Fink, J.S., & Bruening, J.E. (2009). "Think athletic director, think masculine?": Examination of the gender typing of managerial subroles within athletic administration positions. *Sex Roles, 61*, 416-426.
- Callahan, C. & Grunberg, N. (2016). Military Medical Leadership, in *Fundamentals of Military Medical Practice*, E.B. Schoomaker, & Smith, D.C., Editor. Washington, DC: Borden Institute.
- Carcary, M. (2009). The research audit trial: Enhancing trustworthiness in qualitative inquiry. *The Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods 7*(1), 11-24.
- Carey, M.P., & Forsyth A.D. (2018). Teaching tip sheet: Self-efficacy. *American Psychological Association*. Retrieved from <https://www.apa.org/pi/aids/resources/education/self-efficacy.aspx>
- Carli, L. L., & Eagly, A. H. (2001). Gender, hierarchy, and leadership: An introduction. *Journal of Social Issues, 57*(4), 629-636.
- Carlson, J. A. (2008). If I had only known: Challenges experienced by women new to the professoriate. *Advancing Women in Leadership, 28*, 2.
- Carpenter, D. R. (2007). Phenomenology as method. In H. J. Streubert & D. R. Carpenter (Eds.), *Qualitative research in nursing: Advancing the humanistic imperative* (pp. 75-99). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott.
- Catalyst (2015). *2014 Catalyst Census: Women Board Directors*. New York. <https://ftsewomenleaders.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/Catalyst-New-Global-2014-Census.pdf>
- Chabaya, O., Rembe, S., & Wadesango, N. (2009). The persistence of gender inequality in Zimbabwe: Factors that impede the advancement of women into leadership positions in primary schools. *South African Journal of Education, 29*(2), 235-251.
- Chan, Z. C., Fung, Y., & Chien, W. (2013). Bracketing in phenomenology: Only undertaken in the data collection and analysis process. *The Qualitative Report, 18*(30), 1-9.
- Charmaz, K. (2006). *Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Chaturvedi, S., Zyphur, M.J., Arvey, R .D., Avolio, B. J., & Larsson, G. (2012). The heritability of emergent leadership: Age and gender as moderating factors. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23(2), 219-232.
- Claringbould, I., & Knoppers, A. (2012). Paradoxical practices of gender in sport-related organizations. *Journal of Sport Management*, 26 (5), 404-416.
- Coakley, J. (2001). *Sport in society: Issues and controversies* (7th Ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Coleman, M. (2001). Achievement against the odds: The female secondary head teachers in England and Wales. *School Leadership & Management*, 21(1), 75-100.
- Conger, J. A. (1992). *Learning to lead*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Cosentino, A.B. (2017). Women in leadership within professional sport in Canada. *Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository*. 4761.
- Couturier, L., & Chepko, S. (2001). Separate world, separate lives, separate sporting models. In G. L. Cohen (Ed.), *Women in Sport: Issues and Controversies* (2nd ed., pp. 57-78). Exonhill, MD.: AAHPERD publications.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2011). *Designing and conducting mixed method research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Creswell, J.W. & Miller, D.L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into Practice*, 39(3), 124-130.
- Creswell, J.W. (2007). *Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five approaches* (2nd ed) Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Creswell, J.W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among the five approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Crotty, M. (1998). *The Foundations of Social Research: Meaning and Perspectives in the Research Process*. (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Cullen, D.L., & Luna, G. (1993). Women mentoring in academe: Addressing the gender gap in higher education. *Gender and Education*, 5(2), 125-37.
- Cunningham, G. B. (2008). Creating and sustaining gender diversity in sport organization. *Sex Roles*, 58, 136-145.
- Cunningham, G. B., & Sagas, M. (2007). Access discrimination in intercollegiate athletics. *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, 29, 148-163.
- Cunningham, G.B., & Sagas, M. (2005). Access discrimination in intercollegiate athletics. *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, 29(2), 148-163.

- Cunningham, G.B., Bruening, J.E., & Straub, T. (2006). The under-representation of African Americans in NCAA Division I-A head coaching positions. *Journal of Sport Management*, 20(4), 387-413.
- Daloz, L.A. (1986) Effective teaching and mentoring. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Denzin, N. K. (1970). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods*. Chicago: Aldine Pub. Co.
- Denzin, N.K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2) 80-88.
- Denzin, N.K., & Lincoln, Y.S. (2003). *The landscape of qualitative research: Theories and issues* (2nd ed.) London: Sage.
- Denzin, N.K., & Lincoln, Y.S. 2005. *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Derks, B., Ellemers, N., Van Laar, C., & de Groot, K. (2011). Do sexist organizational cultures create the queen bee? *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 50, 519-535.
- Derks, B., Van Laar, C., & Ellemers, N. (2016). The queen bee phenomenon: Why women leaders distance themselves from junior women. *The Leadership Quarterly* 27, 456-469.
- Doherty, A.J., & Chelladurai, P. (1999). Managing cultural diversity in sport organizations: A theoretical perspective. *Journal of Sport Management*, 13(4), 280-297.
- Doherty, L., & Manfredi, S. (2010). Improving women's representation in senior positions in universities. *Employee Relations*, 32(2), 138-155.
- Doherty, L., & Manfredi, S. (2010). Improving women's representation in senior positions in universities. *Employee Relations*, 32(2), 138-155.
- Dominici, F., Fried, L. P., & Zeger, S. L. (2009). So few women leaders. *Academe*, 95(4), 25-27.
- Duehr, E.E., & Bono, J.E. (2006). Men, women, and managers: Are stereotypes finally changing? *Personnel Psychology* 59(4), 815-846.
- Dukes, S. (1984). Phenomenological methodology in the human sciences. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 23(3), 197-203.
- Dunn-Jensen, L.M., & Stroh, L.K. (2007). Myths in the media: How the news media portray women in the workforce. In D. Bilimoria & S. K. Piderit (Eds.), *Handbook on women in business and management* (pp.13-33). Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar Publishing.

- Eagly, A. H. (2013). Gender and work: Challenging conventional wisdom. Research Symposium. Harvard Business School, Boston, Massachusetts.
- Eagly, A. H. (2016). "When passionate advocates meet research on diversity, does the honest broker stand a chance?": Erratum. *Journal of Social Issues*, 72(2), 413.
- Eagly, A. H., & Carli, L. C. (2007). *Through the labyrinth: The truth about how women leaders*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Eagly, A. H., & Diekmann, A. B. (2005). What is the problem? Prejudice as an attitude-incontext. *On the Nature of Prejudice*, 50, 19-35.
- Eagly, A. H., & Wood, W. (2012). Social role theory. In P. A. M. Van Lange, A. Kruglanski, & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Handbook of theories in social psychology*, 2, 458-476. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Eagly, A. H., Johannesen-Schmidt, M. C., & van Engen, M. (2003). Transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles: A meta-analysis comparing women and men. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129, 569-591.
- Eagly, A. H., Karau, S. J., & Makhijani, M. G. (1995). Gender and the effectiveness of leaders: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117, 125-145.
- Eagly, A. H., Makhijani, M. G., & Klonsky, B.G. (1992). Gender and the evaluation of leaders: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 111, 3-22.
- Eagly, A. H., Wood, W., & Diekmann, A. B. (2000). Social role theory of sex differences and similarities: A current appraisal. In T. Eckes & H. M. Trautner (Eds.), *The Developmental Social Psychology of Gender*, 123-174. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Eagly, A., & Johannesen-Schmidt, M. (2001). The leadership styles of men and women. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 57(4), 781-797.
- Eagly, A.C., & Karau, S.J. (2002). Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders. *Psychological Review*, 3, 573-598.
- Eagly, A.H., & Carli, L.L. (2007). Women and the labyrinth of leadership. *Harvard Business Review*, 85(9), 63-71.
- Eagly, A.H., & Diekmann, A.B. (2005). What is the problem? Prejudice as an attitude-in context. In J.F. Dovidio, P. Glick, & L.A. Rudman (Eds.), *On the nature of prejudice: Fifty years after Allport*, 19-35. Maiden, MA: Blackwell.
- Eagly, A.H., & Karau, S. J. (1991). Gender and the emergence of leaders: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 60, 685-710.
- Eagly, A.H., Riger., S. (2014). Feminism and psychology: Critiques of methods and epistemology. *The American Psychologist*, 69, 685-702.

- Eddy, P. L., & Cox, E. M. (2008). Gendered leadership: An organizational perspective. *New Directions for Community Colleges*, (142), 69-79.
- Eitzen, D. S., & Sage, G. H. (2016). *Sociology of North American sport, 10th ed.* New York, N.Y.: Oxford University Press.
- Evetts, J. (1988). Managing childcare and work responsibilities: The strategies of married women primary and infant head teachers. *Sociological Review*, 36(3), 503-531.
- Fabri, R.A. (2019). *Gender stereotyping of the managerial roles of NCAA Athletic Directors*. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Maine) Retrieved from <https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/etd/2972>
- Fagenson, E.A. (1989). The mentor advantage: Perceived career/job experiences of proteges versus non- proteges. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 10(4), 309-320.
- Fasting, K., Svela Sand, T., Pike, E., & Matthews, J. (2014). From Brighton to Helsinki: Women and sport progress report 1994-2014. *Publication of the Finish Sport Confederation*.
- Fazioli, F. (2004). *The advancement of female coaches in intercollegiate athletics: Background paper for the coaching and gender equity project*. University Park, PA: The Pennsylvania State University.
- Ferguson, T.W. (2017). Female leadership and role congruity within the clergy: Communal leaders experience no gender differences yet agentic women continue to suffer backlash. *Sex Roles*, (78)2.
- FIFA (2015, October 13). FIFA, football and women: Why reform must specify inclusion and investment. Retrieved from http://resources.fifa.com/mm/document/affederation/footballgovernance/02/72/23/75/201510fifareformsubmissionwftffinal_neutral.pdf
- Fink, J. S., & Pastore, D. L. (1999). Diversity in sport? Utilizing the business literature to devise a comprehensive framework of diversity initiatives. *Quest*, 51(4), 310-327.
- Gilchrist, V.J., & Williams, R.L. (1999). Key informants interviews. In B.F. Crabtree & W.L. Miller (Eds.). *Doing qualitative research* (2nd ed., pp.71-88). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Gipson, A.N., Pfaff, D.L., Mendelsohn, D.B., Catenacci, L.T., & Warner Burke, W. (2017). Women and leadership: Selection, development, leadership style, and performance. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 53(1), 32-65.
- Glesne, C. (2011). *Becoming qualitative researcher: An introduction* (4th ed.) Boston, MA: Pearson.

- Goldberg, S. (1993). *Why men rule: A theory of male dominance*. Chicago: Open Court.
- Gómez, S., Opazo, M., & Martí, C. (2008). Structural characteristics of sport organizations: Main trends in the academic discussion. IESE Business School, University of Navarra, Av. Pearson, Barcelona, Spain.
- Goslin, A.E., & Kluka, D.A. (2014). Women and sport leadership: Perceptions of Malawi women educated in sport business leadership. *South African Journal for Sport, Physical Education and Recreation*, 36(3), 93-108.
- Graham, J.A., & Dixon, M.A. (2017). Work–family balance among coach-fathers: A qualitative examination of enrichment, conflict, and role management strategies. *Journal of Sport Management*, 31, 288-305.
- Grappendorf, H., & Lough, N. (2006). An endangered species: Characteristics and perspectives from female NCAA Division I athletic directors of both separate and merged athletic departments. *The Sport Management and Related Topics Journal*, 2(2), 6-20.
- Grappendorf, H., Lough, N. & Griffin, J. (2004). Profiles and career patterns of female NCAA Division I athletic directors. *International Journal of Sport Management*, 5, 243-261.
- Graves, L.M., & Powell, G.N. (2018). Making employment decisions. In M. Stanley, A. Nance, & V. Stapleton Hooper (Eds.), *Women and Men in Management*, 83-110.
- Greenbank, P. (2003). The role of values in education research: The case for reflexivity. *British Education Research Journal*, 29(6).
- Greendorfer, S. L. (2001). Gender role stereotypes and early childhood socialization. In G. L. Cohen (Ed.), *Women in sport: Issues and controversies* (2nd ed., pp. 3-23). Exonhill MD.: AAHPERD Publications.
- Greenhaus, J. H., & Parasuraman, S. (1999). Research on work, family, and gender: Current status and future directions. In G. N. Powell (Ed.), *Handbook of Gender & Work*, 391-412. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Greenhaus, J.H., Parasuraman, S., & Wormley, W.M. (1990). Effects of race on organizational experiences, job performance, evaluations, and career outcomes. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35(1), 64-86.
- Greenhill, J., Auld, C., Cuskelly, G., & Hooper, S. (2009). The impact of organisational factors on career pathways for female coaches. *Sport Management Review*, 12, 229-240.
- Hancock, M. G. & Hums, M. A. (2015). Career goals and expectations of female intercollegiate athletic administrators. *Global Sport Business Journal*, 3(1), 21-42.

- Hancock, M.G., & Hums, M.A. (2015). A “leaky pipeline”? Factors affecting the career development of senior-level female administrators in NCAA Division I athletic departments. *Sport Management Review*, 19, 198-210.
- Hannagan, R., & Larimer, C.W. (2010). Does gender composition affect group decision outcomes? Evidence from a laboratory experiment. *Political Behavior*, 32(1), 51-67.
- Hansen, J.T. (2004). Thoughts on knowing: Epistemic implications of counselling practice. *Journal of Counselling & Development*, 82(2), 131-138.
- Harding, J. (2013). *Qualitative Analysis from Start to Finish*. Thousand Oaks, London: Sage.
- Hargreaves, J. (2007). Olympic women: A struggle for recognition. In J. O’Reilly, & S. K. Cahn (Eds.), *Women and Sports In United States: A Documentary Reader*. (pp. 209-234). Boston: Northeastern University Press.
- Hassim, J.Z. (2018). *Sports law in Malaysia: Governance and legal issues*. Malaysia: Thomson Reuters.
- Hederson, A. C., Grappendorf, H., & Burton, L. (2011). Attributions for success and failure in athletic administration positions. *Journal of Issues in Intercollegiate Athletics*, 4, 257-270.
- Heilman, M. E. (2001). Description and prescription: How gender stereotypes prevent women’s ascent up the organizational ladder. *Journal of Social Issues*, 57, 657-674.
- Helfat, Constance E., Dawn Harris, and Paul J. Wolfson. 2006. “The Pipeline to the Top: Women and Men in the Top Executive Ranks of U.S. Corporations.” *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, 20(4), 42-64.
- Henry, I. P., & Robinson, L. (2010). Gender Equality and Leadership in Olympic Bodies. Women, Leadership and the Olympic Movement. Centre for Olympic Studies & Research, Loughborough University and the International Olympic Committee.
- Henry, I., W. Radzi., E. Rich., C. Shelton., E. Theodoraki., & A. White. (2004). Women, leadership and the Olympic movement. Loughborough: Institute of Sport and Leisure Policy, Loughborough University and the International Olympic Committee.
- Hightower, K. (2016, August 4) Report: Leadership of Olympic federations lacking in women Retrieved from <https://www.michiganstumb.com/news/article/Report-Leadership-of-Olympic-federations-lacking-9153252.php>
- Hoffman, J. L. (2006). Inside the huddle: Title IX and women's leadership in intercollegiate athletics (Doctoral Dissertation). Available from *ProQuest dissertations and theses database*.

- Hoffman, J.L. (2011). Inside the huddle: Gender stereotyping work among senior-level women athletic administrators. *International Journal of Sport Management*, 12, 255-274.
- Hofstede, G., & Hofstede, G.J. (2005). *Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind* (2nd ed.) New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Holstein, J., & Gubrium, J. (1995). *The active interview*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- House, R.J., Hanges, P.J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P.W., Gupta, V. (2004). *Culture, Leadership, and Organization: The GLOBE studies of 62 countries*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hoyt, C.L., & Murphy, S.E. (2016). Managing to clear the air: Stereotype threat, women, and leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, (27), 387-399.
- Huang, C. A., & Lynch, J. (1995). *Mentoring the Tao of giving and receiving wisdom*. San Francisco: HarperCollins Publishers.
- Huddy, L., & Terkildsen, N. (1993). The consequences of gender stereotypes for women candidates at different levels and types of office. *Political Research Quarterly*, 46, 503-525.
- Huggins, A. & Randell, S. (2007). The contribution of Sports to gender, equality and women's empowerment. Retrieved from <http://www.shirleyrandell.com.au/ftp/Rwanda%20%20SportsGenderEqual.pdf>
- Hums, M.A., & Sutton, W.A. (1999). Women working in the management of professional baseball: Getting to first base? *Journal of Career Development*, 26(2), 147-158.
- Hunt, D. M., & Michael, C. (1983). Mentorship: A career training and development tool. *Academy of Management Review*, 8, 475-485.
- Ibarra, H., Carter, N.M., & Silva, C. (2010). Why men still get more promotions than women. *Harvard Business Review*, 80-85.
- Ilgen, D.R., & Youtz, M.A. (1986). Factors affecting the evaluation and development of minorities in organizations. In K. Rowland & G. Ferris (Eds.), *Research in personnel and human resource management: A research annual* (pp. 307-337). Greenwich, CT: JAI.
- Inglis, S., Danylchuk, K.E., & Pastore, D. (2000). Multiple realities of women's work experiences in coaching and athletic management. *Women in Sport and Physical Activity Journal*, 9, 1-26.
- International Olympic Committee (2009). Asian Seminar for Women in Sport-International Olympic Committee 2008. Retrieved from https://stillmed.olympic.org/Documents/seminar_women_kualalumpur.pdf

- International Olympic Committee, (2013). Factsheet London 2012 Facts and Figures. Retrieved from <https://stillmed.olympic.org/media/Document%20Library/OlympicOrg/Games/Summer-Games/Games-London-2012-Olympic-Games/Facts-and-Figures/Factsheet-Facts-and-Figures-London-2012.pdf>
- Ismail, M., & Ibrahim, M. (2008). Barriers to career progression faced by women. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, (23)1, 51-66.
- Ismail, M., & Mohd Rasdi, R. (2007), Impact of networking on career development: Experience of high-flying women academics. *Human Resource Development International*, 10(2), 157-172.
- Janesick, V.J. (2003). The choreography of qualitative research design: Minuets, improvisations and crystallization. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Strategies of qualitative inquiry* (pp.46-79). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Jogulu, U.D., & Wood, G.J. (2008). A cross-cultural study into peer evaluations of women's leadership effectiveness. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 29(7), 600-613.
- Joseph, L.J., & Anderson, E. (2016). The influence of gender segregation and team sport experience on occupational discrimination in sport-based employment. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 25(5), 586-598.
- Kanter, R.M. (1977). *Men and women of the corporation*. New York: Basic Books.
- Karakowsky, L., McBey, K., & Miller, D. L. (2004). Gender, perceived competence, and power displays: Examining verbal interruptions in a group context. *Small Group Research*, 35(4), 407-439.
- Katz, M., Walker, N.A. & Hindman, L.C. (2018). Gendered leadership networks in the NCAA: Analyzing affiliation networks of senior woman administrators and Athletic Directors. *Journal of Sport Management*, 32, 135-149.
- Kay, K., & Shipman, C. (2014). The confidence gap. *Atlantic Monthly*, 56-66.
- Kennedy, J., (2002) Leadership in Malaysia: Traditional values, international outlook. *Academy of Management Executive* 16(3), 15-26.
- Kerr, G., & Marshall, D. (2007). Shifting the culture: Implications for female coaches. *Canadian Journal for Women in Coaching*, 7(4).
- Khor, P. H., & Lim, K. C., (2013). Multiculturalism: Issues of Malaysian Female Sport Tourists' In Event-Based Sport Tourism. *Procedia: Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 91, 270-287.

- Kim, Y. (2010). The pilot study in qualitative inquiry: Identifying issues and learning lessons for culturally competent research. *Qualitative Social Work, 10*(2), 190-206.
- Kleiman, L.S., (n.d.). Discrimination. Retrieved from <https://www.referenceforbusiness.com/management/De-Ele/Discrimination.html>
- Knoppers, A. (1987). Gender and the coaching profession. *Quest, 39*(1), 9-22.
- Knoppers, A. (1992). Explaining male dominance and sex segregation in coaching: Three approaches. *Quest, 44*, 210-227.
- Koch, T. (2006). Establishing rigour in Qualitative Research: The decision trail. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 53*(1), 91-103.
- Koenig, A. M., Mitchell, A. A., Eagly, A. H., & Ristikari, T. (2011). Are leaders stereotypes Masculine? A meta-analysis of the three research paradigms. *Psychological Bulletin, 137*(4), 616-642.
- Kotschwar, B. & Moran, T. (2015) Pitching a level playing field: Women and leadership in sports policy brief. Peterson Institute for International Economics.
- Kram, K. E. (1988). *Mentoring at work: Developmental relationships in organizational life*. Glenview, IL: Scott Foresman.
- Kram, K.E. & Isabella, L.A.(1998). Mentoring alternatives: The role of peer relationships in career development. *Academy of Management Journal, 28*(1), 110-132.
- Kumra, S., & Vinnicombe, S. (2010). Impressing for success: A gendered analysis of a key social capital accumulation strategy. *Gender, Work & Organization, 17*(5), 521-546.
- Kvale, S. (2007). *Doing interviews*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Langdrige, D. (2007). *Phenomenological psychology: Theory, research and method*. London: Pearson Education Limited.
- Lapchick, R. (2005). *2004 racial and gender report card*. Orlando: University of Central Florida.
- Lapchick, R. (2006). *2005 racial and gender report card*. Orlando: University of Central Florida.
- Lapchick, R. (2007). The buck stops here: Assessing diversity among campus and conference leaders for Division IA schools in 2007-08. Orlando: University of Central Florida.

- Lapchick, R. (2013). 2012 Racial and gender report card. Retrieved from <http://web.bus.ucf.edu/sportbusiness/?page=1445>
- Latu, I.M., Mast, M.S., Lammers, J., & Bombari, D. (2013). Successful female leaders empower women's behavior in leadership tasks. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 49*, 444-448.
- Lee, K.K. (2016, June 28). More Malaysian companies are increasing women's participation in workforce. Retrieved from <https://www.nst.com.my/news/2016/06/155237/more-malaysian-companies-are-increasing-womens-participation-workforce>
- Lemoine, J. G., Aggarwal, I., & Steed, L. B. (2016). When women emerge as leaders: Effects of extraversion and gender composition in groups. *The Leadership Quarterly, 27*, 470-486.
- Lewis, J., et al. (2014). Generalizing from qualitative research. In J. Ritchie, J. Lewis, C. McNaughton Nicholls, and R. Ormston, eds., *Qualitative research practice*, 2nd ed. London: Sage, 347-366.
- Lincoln, Y., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage
- List, D. (2004). Maximum variation sampling for surveys and consensus groups. Adelaide: Audience Dialogue. Retrieved from www.audiencedialogue.org/maxvar.html
- Lough, N. (2001). Mentoring connections between coaches and female athletes. *JOPERD: The Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, (72)5*, 30-33.
- Lovelin, M., & Hanold, M. (2014). Female sport leaders' perceptions of leadership and management: Skills and attitude for success. *Global Sport Business Journal, 2(1)*, 14-29.
- Lovett, D.J., & Lowry, C.D. (1994). "Good old boys" and "good old girls" clubs: Myth or reality? *Journal of Sport Management, 8*, 27-35.
- Lub, V. (2015). Validity in qualitative evaluation: Linking purposes, paradigms, and perspectives. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 1-8*.
- Lumpkin, A., Dodd, R. K., & McPherson, L. (2014). Does a glass ceiling persist in intercollegiate athletics? *Journal for the Study of Sports and Athletes in Education, 8(1)*, 33-46.
- Lund Research Ltd, (2012). Retrieved from <http://dissertation.laerd.com/purposive-sampling.php#homogenous>
- M'mbaha, J.M. (2012). *Experiences of women in sports leadership in Kenya*. (Doctoral dissertation). Georgia, Athens: University of Georgia.

- M'mbaha, J.M., & Chepyator-Thomson, J.R. (2018). Factors influencing career paths and progress of Kenyan women in sport leadership. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 1-18.
- Machida-Kosuga, M., Schaubroeck, J.M., Gould, D., Ewing, M., & Feltz, D. L. (2017). What influences collegiate coaches' intentions to advance their leadership careers? The roles of leader self-efficacy and outcome expectancies. *International Sport Coaching Journal*, 4, 265-278.
- Magee, Joe & Smith, Pamela. (2013). The social distance theory of power. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 17, 158-186.
- Malaysia female labor force participation, (n.d.). Malaysia: female labor force participation. Retrieved from https://www.theglobaleconomy.com/Malaysia/Female_labor_force_participation/
- Mansor, N. (1994). *Women Managers in Malaysia: Their mobility and challenges, competitive frontiers women manager in a global economy*, 102-111. Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA: Blackwell.
- Mariani, Mack D. 2008. "A Gendered Pipeline? The advancement of state legislators to congress in five states." *Politics and Gender*, 4(2), 285-308.
- Martell, R. F., Parker, C., Emrich, C. G., & Crawford, M. S. (1998). Sex stereotyping in the executive suite: "Much ado about something." *Journal of Social Behavior and Personality*, 13, 127-138.
- Maskery, M.J. (2019). Perceptions of gender inequality in athletic leadership roles within the NCAA. (Doctoral dissertation). NE, Omaha: Creighton University.
- Mason, J. (2002). *Qualitative researching* (2nd ed.) London, UK: Sage.
- Massengale, D. (2009). *The Underrepresentation of women in interscholastic sport leadership: A qualitative study on the effects of role incongruity*. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nevada, Las Vegas). Retrieved from <http://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/thesedsdissertations>
- Mathison, S. (1988). Why Triangulate? *Educational Researcher* 17(2), 13-17.
- Maiürtin-Cairncross, A. (2009). A still-chilly climate: Experiences of women in leadership positions in South African higher education. *On Campus with Women*, 38(1).
- Maxwell, J.A. (1996). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Mazerolle, S.M. & Eason, C.M. (2016). Positive Factors influencing the advancement of women to the role of head athletic trainer in the National Collegiate Athletic Association Divisions II and III. *Journal of Athletic Training* 51(7), 550-556.
- McAllister, S. L. (2006). Women administrators' perceptions of the contribution of competitive sport experiences to their career paths and leadership practices (Doctoral Dissertation). Available at *ProQuest Dissertation and Theses database*.
- McMahon, E. (2016). A test of role congruence theory in sport. *The Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Research Project, School of Sport, University of Sterling.
- Megat Daud, M.A.K., Radzi, W., Abdullah, R., & Johari, F. (2013). The participation of women managers in managing sport: Challenges and reality. *Life Science*, 10(3), 870-878.
- Mendelberg, T., & Karpowitz, C.F. (2016). Women's authority in political decision-making groups. *The Leadership Quarterly* 27, 487-503.
- Merriam Webster Dictionary. Retrieved from <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/vicious%20circle>
- Merrill, M. (2019). *I opt out: understanding the impact of race, self-limiting behavior, and motivational persistence on self-efficacy and intentions to be an Athletics Director*. (Doctoral dissertation). Available from ProQuest LLC database. (ProQuest No. 13861582)
- Miles, M.B. & Huberman, A.M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: an expanded sourcebook*. Sage Publications.
- Monroe, K. R., & Chiu, W. F. (2010). Gender equality in the academy: The pipeline problem. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 43(02), 303-308.
- Morse, J. M. (1994). Designing funded qualitative research. In Denzin, N. K. & Lincoln, Y. S., *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd Ed). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Morse, J.M., & Richards, L. (2002). *Read me first: for a user's guide to qualitative methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Mugari, A., & Masocha, V. (2015). An analysis of gender equity in sport leadership: The women's spoken mind. *International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Studies*, 2(7), 63-67.
- Murrell, A.J. & Blake-Beard, S. (2017). *Mentoring diverse leaders: Creating change for people, processes, and paradigms*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Nagaraja, Shyamala. (1995). Malaysian women today. Women's Affairs Division, Ministry of National Unity and Social Development, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Nelson, D.L. & Burke, R.J. (2000). Women work stress and health in Davidson, M.J. and Burke, J. (2000) *Women in Management Current Research Issues*. Volume II. London: Sage.
- Nielsen, J.M. (1990). *Feminist research methods: Exemplary readings in the social sciences*. Boulder, CO: Westview.
- Nkomo, S. M., & Ngambi, H. (2009). African women in leadership: Current knowledge and a framework for future studies. *International Journal of African Renaissance Studies*, 4(1), 49-68.
- Norman, L. (2010). Feeling second best: Elite women coaches' experiences. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 27(1), 89-104.
- Northouse, P.G. (2015). *Introduction to Leadership: Concepts and Practice*. Los Angeles: Sage Publications.
- Nowell, L.S., Norris, J.M., White, D.E., & Moules, N.J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 16, 1-13.
- Nye, J., & Forsyth, D. (1991). The effects of prototype-based biases on leadership appraisals: A test of leadership categorization theory. *Small Group Research*, 22, 360-379.
- O'Connor, C., Grappendorf, H., Burton, L., Harmon, S. M., Henderson, A. C., & Peel, J. (2010). National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I football players' perceptions of women in the athletic training room using a role congruity framework. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 45(4), 386-391.
- Olympic Council of Asia, (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.ocasias.org/council/exeboard.aspx>
- Osi, E.C., Ilac, E.J., & Amante, H.U. (2012). *Satu Malaysia: Foucauldian discourse analyses on the leadership of three Malaysian women managers*. Conference paper presented on November 2012.
- Oxford Dictionary. (2000). Oxford University Press: New York.
- Parnther, C., Deranek, J., & Michel, S. (2014). Title IX and the impact of athletic leadership. *The Hilltop Review*, 7(1), 49-56.
- Parry, K. W. (2011). Constant Comparison. *The SAGE Encyclopaedia of Social Science Research Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pastore, D.L. (2003). A different lens to view mentoring in sport management. *Journal of Sport Management*, 17, 1-12.
- Patricia I. Fusch, P.I., & Ness, L.R. (2015). Are We There Yet? Data saturation in qualitative research. *The Qualitative Report*, 20(9), 1408-1416.

- Patton, M.Q. (2002). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods* (3rd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pedersen, P. M., & Whisenant, W. A. (2005). Successful when given the opportunity: Investigating gender representation and success rates of interscholastic Athletic Directors. *Physical Educator*, 62(4), 1-9.
- Pell, Anna. 1996. "Fixing the Leaky Pipeline: Women Scientists in Academia." *Journal of Animal Science*, 74 (11), 2843-2848.
- Perry, S. (2001). Appropriate use of pilot studies. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 33(2), 107.
- Pfister, G., & Radtke, S. (2006). Dropping out: Why male and female leaders in German sports federations break off their careers. *Sport Management Review*, 9, 111-139.
- Pfister, G., & Radtke, S. (2009). Sport, women, and leadership: Results of a project on executives in German sports organizations. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 9(4), 229-243.
- Polit, D.F. & Beck, C.T. (2010). Generalization in quantitative and qualitative research: Myths and strategies. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 47, 1451-1458.
- Polit, D.F., Beck, C.T., & Hungler, B.P. (2001). *Essentials of Nursing Research: Methods, Appraisal and Utilization* (5th ed.) Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Polkinghorne, D. E. (1989). Phenomenological research methods. In R. S. Valle & S. Halling (Eds.), *Existential-phenomenological perspectives in psychology: Exploring the breadth of human experience* (pp. 41-60). New York, NY, US: Plenum Press.
- Ponterotto, J. G. (2005). Qualitative research in counselling psychology: A primer on research paradigms and philosophy of science. *Journal of Counselling Psychology*, 52,126-136.
- Powell, G. N., Butterfield, D. A., & Parent, J. D. (2002). Gender and managerial stereotypes: Have the times changed? *Journal of Management*, 28(2), 177-193.
- Push for greater female leadership (2013, September 2). Retrieved from <http://www.thestar.com.my/news/community/2013/09/02/push-for-greater-female-leadership-summit-discusses-ways-to-recruit-and-retain-women-in-workforce/>
- Ragins, B. R., Bickley Townsend, B., & Mattis, M. (1998). "Gender Gap in the Executive Suite: CEOs and Female Executives Report on Breaking the Glass Ceiling." *The Academy of Management Executive*, 12(1), 28-42.

- Ragins, B.R., & McFarlin, D. (1990). Perception of mentor roles in cross-gender mentoring relationships. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 37*, 321-339.
- Reeves, H., & Baden, S. (2000). Gender and development: Concepts and definitions. Institute of Development Studies, BRIDGE report Number 55, Brighton.
- Regan, M., & Cunningham, G. (2012). Analysis of homologous reproduction in Community College Athletics. *Journal for the Study of Sports and Athletes in Education, 6*(2), 161-172.
- Richie, B.S., Fassinger, R.E., Linn, S.G., Johnson, J., & Robinson, S. (1997). Persistence, connection, and passion: A qualitative study of the career development of highly achieving African American-Black and White women. *Journal of Counselling Psychology, 44*(2), 133-148.
- Rios, K., Fast, N. J., & Gruenfeld, D. H. (2015). Feeling high but playing low: Power, need to belong, and submissive behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 41*(8), 1135-1146.
- Risman, B. (1998). Gender vertigo. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Ritter, B. A., & Yoder, J. D. (2004). Gender differences in leader emergence persist even for dominant women: An updated confirmation of role congruity theory. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 28*, 187-193.
- Ritter, B.A., & Yoder, J.D. (2004). Gender differences in leader emergence persist even for dominant women: An updated confirmation of role congruity theory. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 28*(3), 187-193.
- Roach, C.F., & Behling, O. (1984). Functionalism: Basis for an alternate approach to the study of leadership. In J. G. Hunt, D. M. Hosking, C. A. Schriesheim, and R. Stewart (Eds.), *Leaders and managers: International perspectives on managerial behavior and leadership*. New York: Pergamon Press.
- Robertson, S. (2010). Perils and pleasures of coaching in motherhood. In S. Robertson (Ed.), *Taking the Lead: Strategies and Solutions from Female Coaches*. (pp. 3-35). Edmonton: The University of Alberta Press.
- Robinson, G., & Denchant, K. (1997). Building a case for diversity. *The Academy of Management Executive, 11*(3), 21-31.
- Rosette, A.S., & Tost, L.P. (2010). Agentic women and communal leadership: How role prescriptions confer advantage to top women leaders. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 95*(2), 221-235.
- Rousseau, J. (2019). *The influence of gender, race and intersectionality on stress in Division I head basketball coaches*. (Doctoral dissertation). Available from ProQuest LLC database. (ProQuest No. 13865856)

- Rubin, H.J., & Rubin, I.S. (2005). *Qualitative interviewing: The art of hearing data* (2nd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ruderman, M.N., & Rogolsky, S. (2014). Getting real how high-achieving women can lead authentically. *Center for Creative Leadership*, 1-17.
- Rudman, L. A., Moss-Racusin, C. A., Phelan, J. E., & Nauts, S. (2012). Status incongruity and backlash effects: Defending the gender hierarchy motivates prejudice against female leaders. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 48(1), 165-179.
- Rudman, L., & Glick, P. (1999). Feminized management and backlash toward agentic women: The hidden costs to women of a kinder, gentler image of middle managers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77, 1004-1010.
- Rudman, L., & Glick, P. (2001). Prescriptive gender stereotypes and backlash toward agentic women. *Journal of Social Issues*, 57, 743-762.
- Saadin, I., Ramli, K., Johari, H., & Harin, N. A. (2016). Women and barriers for upward career advancement: A survey at the Perak state secretariat, Ipoh, Perak. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 35, 574-581.
- Sagas, M., Cunningham, G.B., & Teed, K. (2006). Examining homologous reproduction in the representation of assistant coaches of women's teams. *Sex Roles*, 55, 503-510.
- Sartore, M.L., & Cunningham, G.B. (2007). Explaining the under-representation of women in leadership positions of sports organizations: A symbolic interactionist perspective. *Quest*, 59(2), 244-265.
- Saunders, B., Sim, J., Kingstone, T., Baker, S., Waterfield, J., Bartlam, B., Burroughs, H., & Jinks, C. (2018). Saturation in qualitative research: Exploring its conceptualization and operationalization. *Quality & Quantity*, 52(4), 1893-1907.
- Schein, V.E. (2007). Women in management: Reflections and projections. *Women in Management Review*, 22(1), 6-18.
- Sciarra, D. (1999). The role of the qualitative researcher. In M. Kopala & L.A. Suzuki (Eds.), *Using qualitative methods in psychology* (pp. 37-48). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Scott, K., & Brown, D. (2006). Female first, leader second? Gender bias in the encoding of leadership behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 101, 230-242.
- SEA Games Federation, (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.seagfoffice.org/seagf.php?p=charter>

- Seidman, I. (2006). *Interviewing as qualitative researcher: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences* (3rd ed.) New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Senne, J. A. (2016). Examination of gender equity and female participation in sport.
- Shafir, D. (2016). The cost of being a woman in charge. Retrieved from https://www.buzzfeed.com/doree/kelly-ripa-melissa-harris-perry-and-the-cost-ofbeingin-cha?utm_term=.msKbG82N-.fq32XkJ1
- Silva, A. (2016). What is leadership? *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*, 8(1), 1-5.
- Simon, M. (2011). *The Role of the Researcher*. Retrieved from: <http://dissertationrecipes.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Role-of-theResearcher.pdf> 15 December 2015
- Smith, B. (2017). Generalizability in qualitative research: Misunderstandings, opportunities and recommendations for the sport and exercise sciences. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 10(1), 137-149.
- Smith, M. and Wrynn, A. (2013). Women in the 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Games: An analysis of participation and leadership opportunities. Ann Arbor, MI: SHARP Center for Women and Girls.
- Smith, Y.R. (1995). Women sports leaders and educators of color: Their socialization and achievement. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation and Dance*, 66(7), 28.
- Sobritchea, C.I. (2000). Women and leadership in Asia: Towards transformative politics. *Review of Women's Studies, Women and Work*, X(1,2). Quezon City, Philippines: University of the Philippines.
- Soe, E., & Yakura, E.K. (2008). What's Wrong with the Pipeline? Assumptions about Gender and Culture in IT Work. *Women's Studies*, 37 (3), 176-201.
- Sparkes, A.C. & Smith, B. (2014). *Qualitative research methods in sport, exercise and health: from process to product*. London: Routledge.
- Sperandio, J., & Kagoda, A. M. (2010). Women teachers' aspirations to school leadership in Uganda. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 24(1), 22-33.
- Spinelli, E. (1989). *The interpreted world: An introduction to phenomenological psychology*. London: Sage.
- Stangl, J.M., & Kane, M.J. (1991). Structural variables that offer explanatory power for the under-representation of women coaches since Title IX: The case of homologous reproduction. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 8, 47-60.

- Stewart, D. & Mickunas, A. 1990. *Exploring phenomenology: A guide to the field and its literature*. Athens: Ohio University Press.
- Stratta, T. (1995). Cultural inclusiveness in sport: Recommendations from African-American female college athletes. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation and Dance*, 66(7), 52-56.
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1990). *Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Stride, A., Fitzgerald, H., Rankin-Wright, A., & Barnes, L. (2019). The en/gendering of volunteering: “I’ve pretty much always noticed that the tail runner is always female. *Sport Management Review*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2019.05.006>
- Strittmatter, A.M. & Skirstad, B. (2017). Managing football organizations a man’s world? Comparing women in decision-making positions in Germany and Norway and their international influence: A contextual approach. *Soccer and Society*, 18, 81-101.
- Subramaniam, I.D., & Arumugam, T. (2013). Barriers to women managers’ career progressions in Malaysia government link companies (Glcs). *Australian Journal of Basic Applied Sciences*, 7(22), 248-256.
- Swanson, J.L., & Woitke, M.B. (1997). Theory into practice in career assessment for women: Assessment and interventions regarding perceived barriers. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 5, 443-462.
- Tamale, S. (2000). Point of order, Mr. Speaker: African women claiming their space in parliament. *Gender and Development*, 8(3), 8-15.
- Taylor, E.A., & Hardin, R. (2016). Female NCAA Division I athletic directors: Experiences and challenges. *Women in Sport and Physical Activity Journal*, 24, 14-25.
- Taylor, E.A., Huml, M.R., & Dixon, M.A. (2019). Workaholism in Sport: A Mediated Model of Work–Family Conflict and Burnout. *Journal of Sport Management*, 1-12.
- Thabane, L., Ma, J., Chu, R., Cheng, J., Ismaila, A., Rios, L.P., Robson, R., Thabane, M., Giangregorio, L. and Goldsmith, C.H. (2010). A Tutorial on pilot studies: The what, why and how. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 10(1).
- Tiell, B., & Dixon, M.A. (2008). Roles and Tasks of the senior woman administrator (SWA) in intercollegiate athletics. *Journal for the Study of Sports and Athletes in Education*, (2)3, 339-361.

- Tiessen, R. (2008). Small victories but slow progress: An examination of women in politics in Malawi. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 10(2): 198-215.
- Turkel, A. R. (2004, Spring). The hand that rocks the cradle rocks the boat: The empowerment of women. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychoanalysis*, 32(1), 41-54.
- van Teijlingen, E.R., & Hundley, V. (2001). The importance of pilot studies. *Social Research Update*, 35. Sociology at Surrey: University of Surrey.
- Veraldo, C.M. & Ruihley, B.J. (2017). Theory of planned behavior and women in senior level athletic administration. *Sport, Business, and Management: An International Journal*, 7(1).
- Vial, A. C., Napier, J. L., & Brescoll, V. L. (2016). A bed of thorns: Female leaders and the self-reinforcing cycle of illegitimacy. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 27(3), 400-414.
- Weaver, M.A., & Chelladuari, P. (1999). A mentoring model for management in sport and physical education. *Quest*, 57(1), 24-38.
- Wells, J.E., Kerwin, S. & Ryan, T.D. (2016). To be an athletic director: Examining the mediating effect of self-efficacy between family work conflict and vocational interest. *International Journal of Sport Management*, 17, 408-426.
- Whalen, K. (2017). *Discrimination against women in the sport industry*. (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from https://encompass.eku.edu/honors_theses/433
- Whisenant, W. A., Miller, J., & Pedersen, P. M. (2005). Systemic barriers in athletic administration: An analysis of job descriptions for interscholastic athletic directors. *Sex Roles*, 53(11-12), 911-918.
- Whisenant, W. A., Pedersen, P. M., & Obenour, B. L. (2002). Success and gender: Determining the rate of advancement for intercollegiate athletic directors-Brief report. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research*, 5.
- Whisenant, W., Lee, D., & Dees, W. (2014). Role congruity theory: Perceptions of fairness and sexism in sport management. *Public Organization Review*, 15(4), 475-485.
- White, Kate. 2004. The leaking pipeline: Women postgraduate and early career researchers in Australia. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 10(3), 227-241.
- Whitely, W., Dougherty, T. W., & Dreher, G. F. (1991). Relationship of career mentoring and socioeconomic origin to managers' and professionals' early career progress. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 34(2), 331-351.

- Wilson, G., Sakura-Lemessy, I., & West, J.P. (1999). Reaching the top: Racial differences in mobility paths to upper-tier occupations. *Work and Occupations*, 26(2), 165-186.
- Women 2000 and Beyond. (2007). *Women, gender equality and sport*. Published to promote the goals of the Beijing declaration and the platform for action, 22-27. Retrieved from: <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/public/Women%20and%20Sport.pdf>
- Women in Sport (2018). *Beyond 30 per cent - Workplace Culture in Sport* retrieve from <https://www.womeninsport.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Beyond-30-Workplace-Culture-in-Sport-report.pdf?x99836>
- Women in Sport, (2018). Women in sport, International Olympic Committee. Retrieved from <https://www.olympic.org/women-in-sport/background>
- Women Win Journal, (2009). *Empowering Girls and Women through Sport and Physical Activity*. Retrieved from: <http://womenwin.org/files/pdfs/EmpoweringReport.pdf>
- Women's Sports Foundation (2013). Women in the Olympic and Paralympic games: An analysis of participation and leadership opportunities. Retrieved from <http://www.womenssportsfoundation.org/en/sitecore/content/home/research/articles-and-reports/athletes/2012-olympic-report.aspx>
- Wood, W., & Eagly, A. H. (2012). Biosocial construction of sex differences and similarities in behavior. In J. M. Olson, & M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 46, 55-123.
- Yusof, A., & Shah, P. M. (2008). Transformational leadership and leadership substitutes in sports: Implications on coaches' job satisfaction. *International Bulletin of Business Administration*, 3, 17-29.