



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
Main Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2020

Commentary: Going transesophageal will make your monitoring simpler!

Mestres, Carlos A ; Gavazzoni, Mara ; Sromicki, Juri

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xjtc.2020.08.078>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-199652>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Mestres, Carlos A; Gavazzoni, Mara; Sromicki, Juri (2020). Commentary: Going transesophageal will make your monitoring simpler! *JTCVS Techniques*, 4:36-37.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xjtc.2020.08.078>

See Article page 28.



Commentary: Going transesophageal will make your monitoring simpler!

Carlos A. Mestres, MD, PhD, FETCS,
Mara Gavazzoni, MD, and Juri Sromicki, MD



Carlos A. Mestres, Mara Gavazzoni, and Juri Sromicki (left to right)

CENTRAL MESSAGE

Bipolar transesophageal thoracic spinal cord stimulation may facilitate neuromonitoring in thoracoabdominal surgery.

The most important problem in surgical and endovascular approaches to the thoracoabdominal aorta is spinal cord ischemia (SCI). Patient- and family-devastating paraplegia develops, either acutely or delayed, in 3% to 10% of patients or more, even in the hands of experts.¹ SCI in some form is considered unavoidable during thoracoabdominal repair. Furthermore, there remains no therapeutic approach to SCI. Strategies that have been implemented in practice, including cerebrospinal fluid drainage, systemic and epidural hypothermia, and endorphin receptor blockade, have proven effective in preventing paraplegia and thus reducing morbidity and mortality.² As SCI entails devastation, laboratory and animal research aimed at developing strategies to control its risks is ongoing.^{3,4}

Neurophysiological monitoring has obviously received much attention for some 3 decades now, and much research has been devoted to identifying the ideal monitoring system, which theoretically should be able to identify ischemia in the shortest period and recognize the efficacy of reperfusion after surgical maneuvering. Transcranial motor-evoked potential (TC-MEP) monitoring is currently the most reliable technique in the clinical setting and provides more rapid information during ischemia and fewer false-positive results for reperfusion compared with somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs).⁵ Lumbar collateral network oxygenation

levels seem to respond to compromised aortic blood circulation, and thus transcutaneous near-infrared spectroscopy is currently under investigation.⁶

In this issue of the *Journal*, Yamanaka and colleagues⁷ present an elegant animal experiment performed to evaluate a novel method using a bipolar esophageal electrode to enable thoracic cord stimulation. These authors previously showed in canine experiments that measurement of monopolar transesophageal motor-evoked potentials (m-TE-MEP) is feasible, safe, and superior to TC-MEP in terms of stability, response time to ischemia/reperfusion, and prognostic value.⁸ They induced SCI by aortic balloon occlusion at the thoracic level for 10 minutes and evaluated response time at the proximal thoracic cord after 25 minutes. Interestingly, bipolar transesophageal (bi-TE) stimulation was successful in all animals, and their forelimb waveforms were identical to those obtained with transcranial stimulation.

There is no distinct difference in latency between bi-TE-MEP and TC-MEP. Identical waveforms can be obtained. Advantages of this method include not only the shape of the waves, but also the stimulation intensity. The lowest stimulation intensity needed to produce >90% of the maximum MEP amplitude at the hind limbs was higher with TC-MEP compared with m-TE-MEP or bi-TE-MEP.

This appears to be good news, as a transesophageal approach producing the same wave shape and stimulation intensity as a transcranial approach should simplify neuro-monitoring while maintaining accuracy. This idea is very attractive, and the authors have already exported it into the clinical setting with confirmation of feasibility and safety.⁹ Nonetheless, this approach faces certain

From the Department of Cardiac Surgery, University Hospital Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.

Disclosures: The authors reported no conflicts of interest.

The *Journal* policy requires editors and reviewers to disclose conflicts of interest and to decline handling or reviewing manuscripts for which they may have a conflict of interest. The editors and reviewers of this article have no conflicts of interest.

Received for publication Aug 27, 2020; revisions received Aug 27, 2020; accepted for publication Aug 28, 2020; available ahead of print Sept 15, 2020.

Address for reprints: Carlos A. Mestres, MD, PhD, FETCS, Department of Cardiac Surgery, University Hospital Zürich, Rämistrasse 100, CH-8091 Zürich, Switzerland (E-mail: Carlos.Mestres@usz.ch).

JTCVS Techniques 2020;4:36-7
2666-2507

Copyright © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association for Thoracic Surgery. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtc.2020.08.078>

challenges before it can become widely accepted. Injury to the esophageal wall, interference from instrumentation of the TEE probe, and probe migration must be taken into consideration. In the clinical setting, whether stimulation-induced esophagitis may become an issue awaits further analysis.¹⁰ In addition, artifacts from unshielded equipment may complicate concomitant TEE and bi-TE-MEP stimulation. These challenges notwithstanding, this technique is an exciting, more simplified approach that merits continuing research.

References

1. Conrad MF, Ye JY, Chung TK, Davison JK, Cambria RP. Spinal cord complications after thoracic aortic surgery: long-term survival and functional status varies with deficit severity. *J Vasc Surg.* 2008;48:47-53.
2. Estrera AL, Sandhu HK, Charlton-Ouw KM, Afifi RO, Azzizadeh A, Miller CC III, et al. A quarter century of organ protection in open thoracoabdominal repair. *Ann Surg.* 2015;262:660-8.
3. Gombert A, Hussmann L, Kotelis D, Grommes J, Löwen A, Gesche VN, et al. Delayed occluding membrane (DOM): a new concept to prevent spinal cord ischaemia during endovascular aortic aneurysm repair. *Zentralbl Chir.* 2018; 143:488-93.
4. Haunschild J, von Aspern K, Khachatryan Z, Bianchi E, Friedheim T, Wipper S, et al. Detrimental effects of cerebrospinal fluid pressure elevation on spinal cord perfusion: first-time direct detection in a large animal model. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2020;58:286-93.
5. Oostveen CN, Weerwind PW, Bergs PPE, Schmidli J, Bühlmann R, Schefold JC, et al. Neurophysiological and paraspinal oximetry monitoring to detect spinal cord ischemia in patients during and after descending aortic repair: an international multicenter explorative study. *Contemp Clin Trials Commun.* 2020;17:100545.
6. Etz CD, von Aspern K, Gudehus S, Luehr M, Girschbach FF, Ender J, et al. Near-infrared spectroscopy monitoring of the collateral network prior to, during, and after thoracoabdominal aortic repair: a pilot study. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2013;46:651-6.
7. Yamanaka K, Tsuda K, Takahashi D, Washiyama N, Yamashita K, Shiiya N. Bipolar transesophageal thoracic spinal cord stimulation: a novel clinically relevant method for motor-evoked potentials. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Tech.* 2020;4:28-35.
8. Tsuda K, Shiiya N, Takahashi D, Ohkura K, Yamashita K, Kando Y, et al. Transesophageal versus transcranial motor evoked potentials to monitor spinal cord ischemia. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2016;151:509-17.
9. Shiiya N, Tsuda K, Yamanaka K, Takahashi D, Washiyama N, Yamashita K, et al. Clinical feasibility and safety of transoesophageal motor-evoked potential monitoring. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2020;57:1076-82.
10. Soffer E, Rodríguez L, Rodríguez P, Gómez B, Neto MG, Crowell MD. Effect of electrical stimulation of the lower esophageal sphincter in gastroesophageal reflux disease patients refractory to proton pump inhibitors. *World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther.* 2016;7:145-55.