



دانشگاه علوم پزشکی
و خدمات بهداشتی درمانی کرمان

دانشکده مدیریت و اطلاع‌رسانی پزشکی

پایان‌نامه برای دریافت درجه کارشناسی ارشد رشته فناوری اطلاعات سلامت

عنوان

ایجاد یک چارچوب برای ارزیابی سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت

توسط

حبیبه نوروزی

استاد راهنما

دکتر رضا خواجهویی

استاد مشاور

دکتر محمدحسین مهرالحسنی

سال تحصیلی (شهریور ۱۳۹۹)

شماره پایان‌نامه: ۱۰/۲۹/۷۳۳



KERMAN UNIVERSITY
OF MEDECAL SCIENCES

Faculty of Medical

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree (MSc)

Title

Developing a framework for evaluating health information systems

By

Habibeh Norouzi

Supervisor

Dr. Reza Khajouei

Advisor

Dr. Mohammad Hossein Mehrolhassani

Thesis No : (10/29/733)

Date (**September,2020**)

چکیده فارسی

مقدمه و اهداف: سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت به‌عنوان یک نیاز در همه حوزه‌های سلامت مطرح هستند. برای آگاهی از میزان رسیدن به اهداف سازمانی با استفاده از سیستم اطلاعاتی و درک نقاط قوت و ضعف از ارزیابی استفاده می‌گردد. باوجود چارچوب‌های مختلف ارزیابی برای ارزیاب درک روشنی از نحوه ارزیابی سیستم اطلاعاتی ایجاد نمی‌گردد لذا هدف این مطالعه ایجاد چارچوب ارزیابی سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت هست.

روش‌ها: این پژوهش کاربردی در خلال سال‌های ۱۳۹۶-۱۳۹۹ انجام شد. در فاز اول بر اساس یک مرور ساختاریافته، مطالعاتی که از مدل‌ها و روش‌های ارزیابی سیستم اطلاعات سلامت استفاده کرده‌اند، استخراج شد. در فاز دوم به‌طور مقطعی و بر اساس فهرست شاخص‌های ارزیابی سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت از فاز اول، جلسات بارش افکار و توافق بر سرگروه بندی نتایج اعم از شاخص‌ها و معیارها با خبرگان علمی و اجرایی انجام گرفت. در فاز سوم با استفاده از روش فرآیند تحلیل شبکه‌ای وزن دهی به شاخص‌ها انجام گرفت و مدل ارزیابی از سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت نهایی شد.

یافته‌ها: شاخص‌های ارزیابی به چهار سطح دسته‌بندی گردیدند که به ترتیب شامل سطوح بعد، معیار، نشانگر و شاخص می‌باشد که در این مطالعه، ۴ بعد، ۱۷ معیار، ۴۸ نشانگر و ۱۸۰ شاخص حاصل شد.

بحث و نتیجه‌گیری: توسعه و ارتقاء سیستم‌های اطلاعاتی نیازمند ارزیابی می‌باشد. با وجود تعدد مطالعات ارزیابی انجام‌شده، هنوز درک جامعی از شاخص‌های موجود ارائه نشده است.

کلمات کلیدی: ارزیابی، سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت، چارچوب، مدل

Abstract

Background and Objectives: Health information systems are required in all healthcare domains. Evaluation is used to determine the extent of attaining organizational goals using information system and understanding strengths and weaknesses of using these systems. Despite the existence of different evaluation frameworks, there is no clear understanding about the evaluation methods of information system. The aim of this study was to develop a framework for evaluating health information systems.

Methods: This applied study was conducted during 2017–2020. In the first phase, the studies that used different models and methods to evaluate health information system were extracted in a systematic review. In the second phase, based on the indicators extracted from the first phase, the brainstorming and agreements meetings with scientific and executive experts for categorization of criteria and indicators were held. In the third phase, the indicators were weighted using network analysis process and the evaluation model of health information systems was finalized.

Results: Evaluation indicators were classified into the following four levels: dimension, criteria, markers and indicators. In this study, 4 dimensions, 17 criteria, 46 markers and 180 indices were identified.

Conclusion: Development and promotion of information systems requires evaluation. Despite the variety of evaluation studies, so far no comprehensive understanding of the existing indicators has been provided.

Key words: Evaluation, Health Information Systems, Framework, Model.

فهرست مندرجات

عنوان

صفحه

| | |
|--|----|
| فهرست جداول..... | ه |
| فهرست تصاویر یا نمودارها..... | و |
| فهرست کوتاه نوشته‌ها..... | ز |
| چکیده فارسی | |
| فصل اول مقدمه و اهداف | |
| ۱-۱ مقدمه..... | ۲ |
| Error! Bookmark not defined. | |
| ۱-۲ بیان مسئله و اهمیت موضوع..... | ۳ |
| ۱-۳ اهداف کلی..... | ۵ |
| ۱-۴ اهداف جزئی..... | ۵ |
| ۱-۵ اهداف کاربردی..... | ۵ |
| ۱-۶ فرضیات یا سؤالات پژوهش..... | ۵ |
| ۱-۷ تعاریف عملی و نظری واژه های کلید..... | ۶ |
| فصل دوم بررسی متون | |
| ۳-۱ کلیات موضوع..... | ۸ |
| ۳-۱-۱ شبکه سنجش سلامت (HMN)..... | ۸ |
| ۳-۱-۲ عملکرد ضعیف سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت..... | ۹ |
| ۳-۱-۳ ارزشیابی نرم افزارهای سیستم اطلاعات..... | ۹ |
| ۳-۱-۴ فرایند تحلیل شبکه ای..... | ۱۰ |
| ۳-۲ مطالعات داخلی..... | ۱۱ |
| ۳-۳ مطالعات خارجی..... | ۱۶ |
| ۳-۴ تحلیل مطالعات..... | ۲۲ |
| فصل سوم مواد و روش‌ها | |

| | | |
|----|-------|--|
| 22 | | ۱-۴ نوع مطالعه |
| 22 | | ۲-۴ جامعه مورد مطالعه |
| 22 | | ۱-۲-۴ روش محاسبه حجم نمونه و تعداد آن |
| 24 | | ۳-۴ روش اجرای پژوهش |
| 28 | | ۱-۳-۴ مشخصات ابزار جمع آوری اطلاعات و نحوه جمع آوری آن |
| 28 | | ۴-۴ روش تجزیه و تحلیل آماری |
| 28 | | ۵-۴ مکان و زمان انجام مطالعه |
| 29 | | ۶-۴ ملاحظات اخلاقی |
| 31 | | ۷-۴ محدودیت های اجرایی طرح و روش کاهش آن ها |

فصل چهارم یافته ها

| | | |
|-------|-------|-----------|
| | | ۱-۵ مقدمه |
|-------|-------|-----------|

Error! Bookmark not defined.

| | | |
|----|-------|---------------------------------------|
| 61 | | ۲-۵ یافته های حاصل از روش مرور نظامند |
|----|-------|---------------------------------------|

فصل پنجم بحث و نتیجه گیری

| | | |
|----|-------|---------|
| 61 | | ۱-۶ بحث |
|----|-------|---------|

| | | |
|----|-------|---|
| 62 | | ۱-۱-۶ ابعاد ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت |
|----|-------|---|

| | | |
|-------|-------|---|
| | | ۲-۱-۶ معیارها و شاخص های بعد عملکرد سیستم |
|-------|-------|---|

Error! Bookmark not defined.

| | | |
|----|-------|--------------------------------------|
| 65 | | ۳-۱-۶ معیارها و شاخص های بعد سازمانی |
|----|-------|--------------------------------------|

| | | |
|-------|-------|------------------------------------|
| | | ۴-۱-۶ معیارها و شاخص های بعد طراحی |
|-------|-------|------------------------------------|

Error! Bookmark not defined.

| | | |
|-------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| | | ۵-۱-۶ معیارها و شاخص های بعد فناوری |
|-------|-------|-------------------------------------|

Error! Bookmark not defined.

| | | |
|----|-------|---------------------------|
| 67 | | ۲-۶ جنبه های برجسته پژوهش |
|----|-------|---------------------------|

| | | |
|----|-------|--|
| 67 | | ۳-۶ پیشنهادها در رابطه با به کارگیری یافته های پژوهش |
|----|-------|--|

| | | |
|----|-------|----------------------------------|
| 67 | | ۴-۶ پیشنهادها برای پژوهش های آتی |
|----|-------|----------------------------------|

فهرست جداول

صفحه

عنوان

جدول ۳-۱: مقیاس های مقایسه زوجی.....

Error! Bookmark not defined.

جدول ۴-۱: انواع سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت اطلاعاتی براساس تعداد دفعات ارزیابی در مطالعات..... 34

جدول ۴-۲: معیار اثربخشی در ارزیابی سیستم اطلاعات سلامت..... 37

جدول ۴-۳: معیار کارآیی در ارزیابی سیستم اطلاعات سلامت..... ۳۸

جدول ۴-۴: معیار سازگاری در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 39

جدول ۴-۵: معیار امنیت در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 40

جدول ۴-۶: معیار کیفیت و ایمنی در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 41

جدول ۴-۷: معیار نگرشی در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 43

جدول ۴-۸: معیار مدیریت در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 44

جدول ۴-۹: معیار هزینه / مالی در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 45

جدول ۴-۱۰: معیار جریان کاری در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 46

جدول ۴-۱۱: معیار کاربرد پذیری در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 47

جدول ۴-۱۲: معیار طراحی پایگاه داده در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 53

جدول ۴-۱۳: معیار نرم افزار در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت.....

Error! Bookmark not defined.

جدول ۴-۱۴: معیار سخت افزار در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت.....

Error! Bookmark not defined.

جدول ۴-۱۵: معیار ارتباطات در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 54

جدول ۴-۱۶: معیار امنیت در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت.....

Error! Bookmark not defined.

جدول ۱۷-۴: معیار طراحی در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت.....

Error! Bookmark not defined.

جدول ۱۸-۴: معیار مناسب برای شخصی سازی در ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت..... 56

فهرست تصاویر یا نمودارها

| صفحه | عنوان |
|----------------------|---|
| 23 | شکل ۱-۳: واژگان کلیدی مورد استفاده در جستجوی مقالات..... |
| Bookmark not defined | شکل ۲-۳: مدل شبکه‌ای از شاخص‌های ارزیابی سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت..... |
| 26 | شکل ۳-۳: مقایسه زوجی شاخص‌های ارزیابی سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت..... |
| 32 | شکل ۱-۴: چارچوب انتخاب مطالعات در مرور نظامند..... |
| Bookmark not defined | نمودار ۱-۴: روش‌های ارزیابی سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت..... |
| ookmark not defined | شکل ۲-۴: شاخص‌های ارزیابی سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت..... |
| Bookmark not defined | شکل ۳-۴: وزن ابعاد و معیارها با استفاده فرآیند تحلیل شبکه‌ای..... |
| ookmark not defined | شکل ۴-۴: وزن دهی در ابعاد ارزیابی سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت..... |
| 58 | شکل ۵-۴: وزن دهی معیارها در بعد عملکرد سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت..... |
| Bookmark not defined | شکل ۶-۴: وزن دهی معیارها در بعد فناوری سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت..... |
| 59 | شکل ۷-۴: وزن دهی معیارها در بعد سازمانی سیستم‌های اطلاعات سلامت..... |

Reference

1. Maryati Mohd. Yusof, Ray J. Paul, Stergioulas LK. Towards a Framework for Health Information Systems Evaluation. In Proceedings of the 39th annual Hawaii international conference on system sciences (HICSS'06). 2006;5:95a-a.
2. Wagenaar BH, Sherr K, Fernandes Q, Wagenaar AC. Using routine health information systems for well-designed health evaluations in low- and middle-income countries. *Health Policy and Planning*. 2016;31(1):129-35.
3. Heydari M, Doshmangir L. A Comparative Study of the Health Systems Performance Assessment Frameworks in the World. *jhosp*. 2019;17(4):35-46.[In Persian]
4. Yu P, editor. A multi-method approach to evaluate health information systems. 2010.
5. Eslami Andargoli A, Scheepers H, Rajendran D, Sohal A. Health information systems evaluation frameworks: A systematic review. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2017;97, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia):195-209.
6. Leila Ahmadian, Simin Salehi Nejad, Reza Khajouei. Evaluation methods used on health informationsystems (HISs) in Iran and the effects of HISs onIranian healthcare: A systematic review. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2015;84(6):444-53.
7. MiroslavKončar, DarkoGvozdanović. Primary healthcare information system the Cornerstone for the next generation healthcare sector in Republic of Croatia. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2006;75(3-4):306–14.
8. Sligo J, Gauld R, Roberts V, Villa L. A literature review for large-scale health information system project planning, implementation and evaluation. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2017;97:86-97.
9. Tubaishat A. Evaluation of Electronic Health Record Implementation in Hospitals. *Computers, informatics, nursing : CIN*. 2017;35(7):364-72.
10. Claus Bossen , Lotte Groth Jensen , Flemming Witt Udsen. Evaluation of a Comprehensive EHR Based on the DeLone and McLean Model for IS Success : Approach , Results , and Success Factors. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2013;82(10):940–53.
11. Bahlol Rahimi , Vivian Vimarlund. Methods to Evaluate Health Information Systems in Healthcare Settings : A Literature Review. *J MED SYST*.2007;31(5):397–432.
12. Binyam Tilahun , Fleur Fritz. Comprehensive Evaluation of Electronic Medical Record System Use and User Satisfaction at Five Low-Resource Setting Hospitals in Ethiopia. *JMIR Med inform*. 2015;3(2):e22.
13. Maryati Mohd Yusof AP, Ray J Paul, Lampros K Stergioulas. Investigating Evaluation Frameworks for Health Information Systems. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2007;77(6):377–85.
14. R Khajouei , S Salehi nejad , L Ahmadian. The methods Used For Evaluation of Health Information System In Iran. *Health Management*. 2013;16(53):7-21. [In Persian]
15. D A Ludwick , John Doucette. Adopting Electronic Medical Records in Primary Care : Lessons Learned from Health Information Systems Implementation Experience in Seven Countries. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2009;78(1):22–31.
16. Yi You Mei , Jenna Marquard, Cynthia Jacelon, Audrey L DeFeo. Designing and Evaluating an Electronic Patient Falls Reporting System : Perspectives for the Implementation of Health Information Technology in Long-Term Residential Care Facilities. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2013;82(11):294-306.
17. Paulina S Sockolow , Kathryn H Bowles , Michelle Rogers Health Information Technology Evaluation Framework (HITREF) Comprehensiveness as Assessed in Electronic Point-of-Care Documentation Systems Evaluations. *Studies in health technology and informatics*. 2015;216:406–9.

18. Yusof MM, Paul RJ, Stergioulas L. Health Information Systems Evaluation: A Focus on Clinical Decision Supports System. In: Engelbrecht R, Geissbuhler A, Lovis C, Mihalas G, editors. *Connecting Medical Informatics and Bio-Informatics*2005. p. 855-60.
19. F Magrabi EA, H Hyppönen, N de Keizer, P Nykänen, M Rigby, P Scott, J Talmon, A Georgiou. Improving Evaluation to Address the Unintended Consequences of Health Information Technology: A Position Paper from the Working Group on Technology Assessment & Quality Development. *Yearb Med Inform.* 2016;10(1):61–9.
20. Despont-Gros C, Mueller H, Lovis C. Evaluating user interactions with clinical information systems: A model based on human-computer interaction models. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics.* 2005;38(3):244-55.
21. Yusof MM, Papazafeiropoulou A, Paul RJ, Stergioulas LK. Investigating evaluation frameworks for health information systems. *International journal of medical informatics.* 2008;77(6):377-85.
22. Eslami Andargoli A, Scheepers H, Rajendran D, Sohal A. Health information systems evaluation frameworks: A systematic review. *International journal of medical informatics.* 2017;97:195-209.
23. Shahmoradi L, Ahmadi M, Haghani H. Defining evaluation indicators of health information systems and a Model Presentation. *jha.* 2007;10(28):15-24.[In Persian]
24. Sadoughi F, Kimiafar K, Ahmadi M, Shakeri MT. Determining of factors influencing the success and failure of hospital information system and their evaluation methods: A systematic review. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2013;15(12).
25. Framework and standards for country health information systems / Health Metrics Network, World Health Organization. 2012; 2nd ed.
26. Abedian S, Bitaraf E, . Presenting the evaluation model of hospital information system in Iran. *Statistics and Information Technology Management Center of the Ministry of Health, Treatment and Medical Education.*2013.[In Persian]
27. Meraji M, Ramezan Ghorbani N, Sara Yasaghi , Kimiafar K. Assessing Features of Laboratory Information System in Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, 2014. *Mashhad Paramedical Sciences and Rehabilitation Science.* 2016;5(3):24-33.[In Persian]
28. Maneshgar H MS, Karim Zadegan D, Madani S. J. A model for assessment of health care workers' health monitoring. *Iranian Journal of Military Medicine.* 2012;13(4):223-7. [In Persian]
29. Ghasemi R, Tokhmechi B, Borg G. Improvement in mineral prospectivity maps results using a hybrid ANP-TOPSIS approach. *GEOSCIENCES.* 2019;28(112):191-203. [In Persian]
30. Balaghafari A, Pangh A, Sadeghnezhad H. Evaluating the software programs in hospital information system: A case study of Sari Bou-Ali Sina Hospital. *Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences.* 2018;27(160):166-72. [In Persian]
31. Sadeghpour M, Kayzouri AH, Ferdosi makan A. Applying Method of Data Envelopment Analysis in the Assessment of Hospital Information Systems. *Journal of Health Administration.* 2017;20(67):36-48. [In Persian]
32. Shokohyar S, Safajoo A, Eslamjoo A, Rafiee M. Evaluating the Success of the Pharmacy Information System of Shariati Hospital Employing DeLone-McLean Success Model. *Health Information Management.* 2017;13(6):388-93. [In Persian]
33. Nikabadi MS, Naghipour N. A Model for Assessing Hospital Information Systems. *Journal of Health Administration.* 2015;18(60):50-66. [In Persian]
34. Erfani S, Khadivi A. Determining Indicators for Evaluating Hospital Information System Architecture. *Health Information Management.* 2016;12(5):584-94. [In Persian]
35. Amiresmaili M, Zarei L, Sheibani E, Arabpur A. Evaluation of the Indicators of Hospital Information System. *Health Information Management.* 2013;10(1):1-13. [In Persian]

36. Nansa LG, Piri Z, Salmani E, Gholipour H, Sharghi R. Evaluation of Hospital Information Systems in University Hospitals of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences: Nurses Perspectives. *Health Information Management*. 2013;10(2):1-11. [In Persian]
37. Sadoughi F, Khoshkam M, Farahi SR. Usability Evaluation of Hospital Information Systems in Hospitals Affiliated with Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Iran. *Health Information Management*. 2012;9(3):310-7. [In Persian]
38. Isfahani SS, Saeedbakhsh S, Jahanbakhsh M, Habibi M. Assessment and Comparison of Hospital Information Systems in Isfahan based on the DeLone and McLean Model Adjusted. *Health Information Management*. 2012;8(5):609-20. [In Persian]
39. Sadoughi F, Aminpour F. How to Evaluate Health Information Systems: Evaluation stages. *Iranian Journal of Medical Education*. 2011;10(5):950-63. [In Persian]
40. Sadoughi F, Aminpour F. A Review on the Evaluation Methods of Health Information Systems. *Iranian Journal of Medical Education*. 2011;10(5):1077-86. [In Persian]
41. Asefzadeh SA, Fozounkhah S. Challenges in evaluation of the health information systems. *Journal of Qazvin university of medical sciences*. 2007;11(2):61-71. [In Persian]
42. Alipour J, Teshnizi SH, Haghighi MHH, Fegghi Z, Sharifi R, A.Kohkan. Users view about hospital information system in children's hospital, Bandar Abbas, Iran. *Hormozgan Medical Journal*. 2010;14(2):140-7. [In Persian]
43. Zahra Ebnehoseini HT, Kolsoum Deldar, Seyed Mostafa Mostafavi, Mahmood Tara. Determining the Hospital Information System (HIS) Success Rate: Development of a New Instrument and Case Study. *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2019;7:1407-14.
44. Adebowale I. Ojo. Validation of the DeLone and McLean Information Systems Success Model. *Health Inform Res*. 2017;23(1):60-6.
45. Cho KW, Bae SK, Ryu JH, Kim KN, An CH, Chae YM. Performance evaluation of public hospital information systems by the information system success model. *Health Inform Res*. 2015;21(1):43-8.
46. Nguyen L, Bellucci E, Nguyen LT. Electronic health records implementation: An evaluation of information system impact and contingency factors. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2014;83(11):779-96.
47. Han JM, Chae YM, Boo EH, Kim JA, Yoon SJ, Kim SW. Performance analysis of hospital information system of the national health insurance corporation ilsan hospital. *Health Inform Res*. 2012;18(3):208-14.
48. Hanmer LA, Isaacs S, Roode JD. A multi-method study of factors associated with hospital information system success in South Africa. *Studies in health technology and informatics*. 2011;169:427-31.
49. Despont-Gros C, Fabry P, Muller H, Geissbuhler A, Lovis C. User acceptance of clinical information systems: A methodological approach to identify the key dimensions allowing a reliable evaluation framework. *Studies in health technology and informatics* 2004. p. 1038-42.
50. Van Der Meijden MJ, Tange HJ, Troost J, Hasman A. Determinants of success of inpatient clinical information systems: A literature review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2003;10(3):235-43.
51. Beuscart-Zephir MC, Anceaux F, Menu H, Guerlinger S, Watbled L, Evrard F. User-centred, multidimensional assessment method of Clinical Information Systems: a case-study in anaesthesiology. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2005;74(2-4):179-89.
52. Yusof MM, Kuljis J, Papazafeiropoulou A, Stergioulas LK. An evaluation framework for Health Information Systems: human, organization and technology-fit factors (HOT-fit). *International journal of medical informatics*. 2008;77(6):386-98.

53. Bagayoko CO, Dufour JC, Chaacho S, Bouhaddou O, Fieschi M. Open source challenges for hospital information system (HIS) in developing countries: a pilot project in Mali. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2010;10((Bagayoko C.O.) DER Santé Publique, Faculté de Médecine, Pharmacie et d'Odonto-Stomatologie, Bamako, Mali.):22.
54. Wills MJ, El-Gayar OF, Deokar AV, editors. Evaluating task-technology fit and user performance for an electronic health record system. 15th Americas Conference on Information Systems , AMCIS 2009.
55. Garcia-Smith D, Effken JA. Development and initial evaluation of the Clinical Information Systems Success Model (CISSM). *International journal of medical informatics.* 2013;82(6):539-52.
56. Jahani MA, Naghshine A, Naghavian M, Bijani A, Hadad G, Abdi M. Effect of hospital information system on processes and personnel function from users' viewpoint in the hospital affiliated to Babol University of medical sciences, Iran; 2013. *Journal of Babol University of Medical Sciences.* 2014;16(7):63-70.
57. Ahmadian L, Salehi Nejad S, Khajouei R. Evaluation methods used on health information systems (HISs) in Iran and the effects of HISs on Iranian healthcare: A systematic review. *International journal of medical informatics.* 2015;84(6):444-53.
58. Fitterer R, Rohner P, Mettler T, Winter R, Ieee. A taxonomy for multi-perspective ex ante evaluation of the value of complementary health information systems - applying the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. 43rd Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences Vols 1-52010. p. 2453-62.
59. Made Dhanar IY, Reza M, Meyliana, Widjaja HAE, Hidayanto AN, editors. Acceptance of HIS usage level in hospital with SEM-PLS as analysis methodology: Case study of a private hospital in Indonesia. *Proceedings of International Conference on Information Management and Technology, ICIMTech 2017.*
60. D'Costa S, Sinha RK. Usability assessment of hospital information system integrated electronic medical record. *Online Journal of Health and Allied Sciences.* 2018;17(3):5.
61. El-Gayar OF, Deokar AV, Wills MJ. Evaluating task-technology fit and user performance for an electronic health record system. *International Journal of Healthcare Technology and Management.* 2010;11(1-2):50-65.
62. Emadi A, Ghoochani M, Zarei J, Mohammadi A, Hemmat M, Valinejadi A. Evaluation of Hospital Information System Performance from the Perspective of Users in Educational Hospitals. *Int J Comput Sci Netw Secur.* 2017;17(7):336-40.
63. Krishnan A, Nongkynrih B, Yadav K, Singh S, Gupta V. Evaluation of computerized health management information system for primary health care in rural India. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2010;10(310):1472-6963.
64. Shahmoradi L, Ahmadi M, Haghani H. Determining the most important evaluation indicators of healthcare information systems (HCIS) in Iran. *Health Information Management Journal.* 2007;36(1):13-22.
65. Yui BH, Jim WT, Chen M, Hsu JM, Liu CY, Lee TT. Evaluation of computerized physician order entry system-a satisfaction survey in Taiwan. *J Med Syst.* 2012;36(6):3817-24.
66. Steininger K, Stiglbauer B, Baumgartner B, Engleder B, editors. Factors explaining physicians' acceptance of electronic health records. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences; 2014.*
67. Rezaei-Hachesu P, Pesianian E, Mohammadian M. Evaluating usability of radiology information systems in hospitals of Tabriz University of medical sciences. *Acta Informatica Medica.* 2016;24(1):42-6.
68. Tyllinen M, Kaipio J, Lääveri T. A Framework for Usability Evaluation in EHR Procurement. *Studies in health technology and informatics.* 2018;247:446-50.

69. Michel-Verkerke MB. Information Quality of a Nursing Information System depends on the nurses: A combined quantitative and qualitative evaluation. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2012;81(10):662-73.
70. Sheikhtaheri A, Kimiafar K, Sarbaz M. Evaluation of system quality of hospital information system: a case study on nurses' experiences. *Studies in health technology and informatics*. 2014;205:960-4.
71. Alazzam MB, Basari ASH, Sibghatullah AS, Doheir M, Enaizan OMA, Mamra AHK. EHR acceptance in Jordan hospitals by UTAUT2 model: Preliminary result. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*. 2015;78(3):473-82.
72. Yu P, Qian S. Developing a theoretical model and questionnaire survey instrument to measure the success of electronic health records in residential aged care. *PLoS ONE*. 2018;13(1):e0190749

73. Guappone KP, Ash JS, Sittig DF. Field evaluation of commercial Computerized Provider Order Entry systems in community hospitals. *AMIA Annual Symposium proceedings / AMIA Symposium* AMIA Symposium. 2008:263-7.
74. Lee S. A multimethod approach for quality evaluation of clinical information systems. *CIN - Computers Informatics Nursing*. 2012;30(1):19-28.
75. Erlirianto LM, Ali AHN, Herdiyanti A. The Implementation of the Human, Organization, and Technology-Fit (HOT-Fit) Framework to evaluate the Electronic Medical Record (EMR) System in a Hospital. In: Bordea G, editor. *Third Information Systems International Conference 2015*. p. 580-7.
76. Mellikeche S, Boussekey O, Martin G, Campoy E, Lajonchère JP, Degoulet P. Evaluation of the unified model of information systems continuance (UMISC) in two hospital environments. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2018;117:66-81.
77. Kuo KM, Liu CF, Talley PC, Pan SY. Strategic improvement for quality and satisfaction of hospital information systems. *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*. 2018.
78. Saghaeiannejad-Isfahani S, Saeedbakhsh S, Jahanbakhsh M, Habibi M. Analysis of the quality of hospital information systems in Isfahan teaching hospitals based on the DeLone and McLean model. *J Educ Health Promot*. 2015;4(5):2277-9531.
79. Tan YM, Flores J, Tay ML. Usability of Clinician Order Entry Systems in Singapore: An Assessment of End-User Satisfaction. 2010. p. 1202-5.
80. Cohen JF, Coleman E, Kangethe MJ. An importance-performance analysis of hospital information system attributes: A nurses' perspective. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2016;86:82-90.
81. Wills MJ, El-Gayar OF, Sarnikar S, editors. *Beyond meaningful use: A model for evaluating electronic health record success*. Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences; 2011.
82. Rizvi RF, Marquard JL, Hultman GM, Adam TJ, Harder KA, Melton GB. Usability Evaluation of Electronic Health Record System around Clinical Notes Usage-An Ethnographic Study. *Applied Clinical Informatics*. 2017;8(4):1095-105.
83. Sockolow PS, Rogers M, Bowles KH, Hand KE, George J. Challenges and facilitators to nurse use of a guideline-based nursing information system: recommendations for nurse executives. *Appl Nurs Res*. 2014;27(1):25-32.
84. Lee TT, Mills MEE, Lu MH. The multimethod evaluation of a nursing information system in Taiwan. *CIN - Computers Informatics Nursing*. 2009;27(4):245-53.
85. Soto-Rey I, N'Dja A, Cunningham J, Newe A, Trinczek B, Lafitte C, et al. User Satisfaction Evaluation of the EHR4CR Query Builder: A Multisite Patient Count Cohort System. *BioMed Research International*. 2015.

86. Kushniruk AW, Patel VL. Cognitive and usability engineering methods for the evaluation of clinical information systems. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*. 2004;37(1):56-76.
87. Keshvari M, Yusefi AR, Homauni A, Omidifar R, Nobakht S. Barriers for the using of information systems in hospitals: A qualitative study. *Shiraz E Medical Journal*. 2018;19(8).
88. Ahmadi H, Nilashi M, Shahmoradi L, Ibrahim O. Hospital Information System adoption: Expert perspectives on an adoption framework for Malaysian public hospitals. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2017;67:161-89.
89. Palm JM, Grant A, Moutquin JM, Degoulet P. Determinants of clinical information system post-adoption success. *Studies in health technology and informatics*. 2010;160(Pt 1):213-7.
90. Palm JM, Dart T, Dupuis I, Leneveut L, Degoulet P. Clinical information system post-adoption evaluation at the georges pompidou university hospital. *AMIA Annual Symposium proceedings / AMIA Symposium*. 2010;2010:582-6.
91. Goud R, Jaspers MWM, Hasman A, Peek N. Subjective usability of the CARDSS guideline-based decision support system. 2008. p. 193-8.
92. Ebnehoseini Z, Tara M, Meraji M, Deldar K, Khoshronezhad F, Khoshronezhad S. Usability Evaluation of an Admission, Discharge, and Transfer Information System: A Heuristic Evaluation. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci*. 2018;6(11):1941-5.
93. Hadji B, Martin G, Dupuis I, Campoy E, Degoulet P. 14 Years longitudinal evaluation of clinical information systems acceptance: The HEGP case. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2016;86:20-9.
94. Furano RF, Kushniruk A, Barnett J. Deriving a Set of Privacy Specific Heuristics for the Assessment of PHRs (Personal Health Records). 2017. p. 125-30.
95. Ehteshami A, Sadoughi F, Saeedbakhsh S, Isfahani MK. Assessment of medical records module of health information system according to ISO 9241-10. *Acta Informatica Medica*. 2013;21(1):36-41.
96. Kazemi A, Rabiei R, Moghaddasi H, Deimazar G. Pharmacy Information Systems in Teaching Hospitals: A Multi-dimensional Evaluation Study. *Healthc Inform Res*. 2016;22(3):231-7.
97. Guo J, Iribarren S, Kapsandoy S, Perri S, Staggers N. Usability Evaluation of An Electronic Medication Administration Record (eMAR) Application. *Appl Clin Inform*. 2011;2(2):202-24.
98. Cruz-Correia R, Boldt I, Lapão L, Santos-Pereira C, Rodrigues PP, Ferreira AM, et al. Analysis of the quality of hospital information systems audit trails. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2013;13(1):84.
99. Riana D, Puspitasari D, Rais AN, Fauzi A, Sandini D, Hidayanto AN. Analysis of factors affecting intention to use information systems on the acquisition and classification of cervical cancer image. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*. 2018;96(23):7712-22.
100. Sockolow PS, Weiner JP, Bowles KH, Lehmann HP. A new instrument for measuring clinician satisfaction with electronic health records. *CIN - Computers Informatics Nursing*. 2011;29(10):574-85.
101. Oroviogicochea C, Watson R. A quantitative analysis of the impact of a computerised information system on nurses' clinical practice using a realistic evaluation framework. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2009;78(12):839-49.
102. Kushniruk AW, Patel C, Patel VL, Cimino JJ. 'Televaluation' of clinical information systems: an integrative approach to assessing Web-based systems. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2001;61(1):45-70.

103. Gan Q, Cao Q, editors. Adoption of electronic health record system: Multiple theoretical perspectives. Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences; 2014.
104. Williams P, Nicholas D, Huntington P, McLean F. Surfing for health: user evaluation of a health information website. Part one: Background and literature review. Health information and libraries journal. 2002;19(2):98-108.
105. Weiskopf NG, Weng CH. Methods and dimensions of electronic health record data quality assessment: enabling reuse for clinical research. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association. 2013;20(1):144-51.
106. Ahanhanzo YG, Ouendo EM, Kpozehouen A, Leveque A, Makoutode M, Dramaix-Wilmet M. Data quality assessment in the routine health information system: an application of the Lot Quality Assurance Sampling in Benin. Health Policy and Planning. 2015;30(7):837-43.
107. Yusof MM, Arifin A. Towards an evaluation framework for Laboratory Information Systems. Journal of Infection and Public Health. 2016;9(6):766-73.
108. Sockolow PS, Crawford PR, Lehmann HP. Health services research evaluation principles. Broadening a general framework for evaluating health information technology. Methods of Information in Medicine. 2012;51(2):122-30.
109. Lu CH, Hsiao JL, Chen RF. Factors determining nurse acceptance of hospital information systems. CIN - Computers Informatics Nursing. 2012;30(5):257-64.
110. Yusof MM. A case study evaluation of a Critical Care Information System adoption using the socio-technical and fit approach. International journal of medical informatics. 2015;84(7):486-99.
111. Hsu HH, Wu YH. Investigation of the effects of a nursing information system by using the technology acceptance model. CIN - Computers Informatics Nursing. 2017;35(6):315-22.
112. Lau F, Hagens S, Muttitt S. A proposed benefits evaluation framework for health information systems in Canada. Healthcare quarterly (Toronto, Ont). 2007;10(1):112-6, 8.
113. Yusof MM, Stergioulas L, Zugic J. Health information systems adoption: findings from a systematic review. Medinfo MEDINFO. 2007;12(Pt 1):262-6.
114. Ehlers F, Ammenwerth E, Hirsch B. Design and development of a monitoring system to assess the quality of hospital information systems: Concept and structure. Studies in health technology and informatics 2005. p. 575-80.
115. Messeri P, Khan S, Millery M, Campbell A, Merrill J, Shih S, et al. An information systems model of the determinants of electronic health record use. Appl Clin Inform. 2013;4(2):185-200.
116. . !!! INVALID CITATION !!!
117. Su YY, Win KT, Chiu HC. The development of Taiwanese electronic medical record systems evaluation instrument. International Journal of Biological and Medical Sciences. 2009;1 (3):140-5.
118. Fossum M, Ehnfors M, Fruhling A, Ehrenberg A. An evaluation of the usability of a computerized decision support system for nursing homes. Appl Clin Inform. 2011;2(4):420-36.
119. Vatnøy TK, Vabo G, Fossum M. A usability evaluation of an electronic health record system for nursing documentation used in the municipality healthcare services in Norway. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics) 2014. p. 690-9.
120. Brender J, Ammenwerth E, Nykanen P, Talmon J. Factors influencing success and failure of Health Informatics Systems - A pilot Delphi study. Methods of Information in Medicine. 2006;45(1):125-36.

121. Carvalho CJ, Borycki EM, Kushniruk A. Ensuring the safety of health information systems: using heuristics for patient safety. *Healthcare quarterly (Toronto, Ont)*. 2009;12 Spec No Patient((Carvalho C.J.) Courtyard Group in Edmonton, Alberta.):49-54.
122. Ghazisaeedi M, Mohammadzadeh N, Safdari R, Sharifian R, Rahim A. Evaluation of hospital information system (HIS) in general hospitals: User perspectives. *Life Science Journal*. 2013;10(SPL.ISSUE 12):660-3.
123. Montazeri M, Khajouei R, Sabermahani F. Evaluation of radiology and pathology subsystems of hospital information systems. *Journal of Kerman University of Medical Sciences*. 2015;22(2):194-204.
124. Sheehan B, Kaufman D, Stetson P, Currie LM. Cognitive analysis of decision support for antibiotic prescribing at the point of ordering in a neonatal intensive care unit. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc*. 2009;14:584-8.
125. Scandurra I, Hägglund M, Moström D, Koch S. Heuristic evaluation extended by user analysis: A fast and efficient method to identify potential usability problems in health information systems. *Journal on Information Technology in Healthcare*. 2006;4(5):317-25.
126. Corrao NJ, Robinson AG, Swiernik MA, Naeim A. Importance of testing for usability when selecting and implementing an electronic health or medical record system. *Journal of Oncology Practice*. 2010;6(3):120-4.
127. Farzandipour M, Nabovati E, Zaeimi GH, Khajouei R. Usability evaluation of three admission and medical records subsystems integrated into nationwide hospital information systems: Heuristic evaluation. *Acta Informatica Medica*. 2018;26(2):133-8.
128. Gürsel G, Zayim N, Gülkesen KH, Arifoğlu A, Saka O. A new approach in the evaluation of hospital information systems. *Turk J Electr Eng Comput Sci*. 2014;22(1):214-22.
129. Khajouei R, De Jongh D, Jaspers MWM. Usability evaluation of a computerized physician order entry for medication ordering. *Studies in health technology and informatics*2009. p. 532-6.
130. Atashi A, Khajouei R, Azizi A, Dadashi A. User Interface Problems of a Nationwide Inpatient Information System: A Heuristic Evaluation. *Applied Clinical Informatics*. 2016;7(1):89-100.
131. Doran D, Bloomberg LS, Reid-Haughian C, Cafazzo J. A formative and summative evaluation of an electronic health record in community nursing. *Ni*. 2012;23(98).
132. Farzandipour M, Riazi H, Jabali MS. Proposing electronic health record usability requirements based on enriched ISO 9241 metric usability model. *Acta Informatica Medica*. 2018;26(1):29-34.
133. تطبيق ٣٥٤٣
134. Kushniruk AW, Borycki EM, Kuwata S, Kannry J. Emerging Approaches to Usability Evaluation of Health Information Systems: Towards In-Situ Analysis of Complex Healthcare Systems and Environments. In: Moen A, Andersen SK, Aarts J, Hurlen P, editors. *User Centred Networked Health Care*2011. p. 915-9.
135. Dias CR, Pereira MR, Freire AP. Qualitative review of usability problems in health information systems for radiology. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*. 2017;76((Dias C.R.; Pereira M.R.; Freire A.P., apfreire@dcc.ufla.br) Department of Computer Science, Federal University of Lavras (UFLA), Brazil):19-33.
136. Moghaddasi H, Rabiei R, Asadi F, Ostvan N. Evaluation of nursing information systems: Application of usability aspects in the development of systems. *Healthc Inform Res*. 2017;23(2):101-8.
137. Sadoughi F, Sarsarshahi A, Eerfannia I, Ali Khatami Firouzabad SM. Ranking evaluation factors in hospital information systems. *Human and Veterinary Medicine*. 2016;8(2):92-7.

138. Zhang Z, Walji MF, Patel VL, Gimbel RW, Zhang J. Functional analysis of interfaces in U.S. military electronic health record system using UFuRT framework. AMIA Annual Symposium proceedings / AMIA Symposium AMIA Symposium. 2009;2009((Zhang Z.) The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Texas, USA.):730-4.
139. Oroviogicochea C, Elliott B, Watson R. Review: Evaluating information systems in nursing. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2008;17(5):567-75.
140. Hsiao JL, Chang HC, Chen RF. A Study of Factors Affecting Acceptance of Hospital Information Systems: A Nursing Perspective. *J Nurs Res*. 2011;19(2):150-9.
141. Huang H, Lee TT. Evaluation of ICU Nurses' Use of the Clinical Information System in Taiwan. *Cin-Computers Informatics Nursing*. 2011;29(4):221-9.



دانشگاه علوم پزشکی کرمان
تحصیلات تکمیلی دانشگاه

بسمه تعالی

صور تجلسه دفاع از پایان نامه

تاریخ: ۹۹/۰۶/۲۶

شماره: ۱۰۴۰۰۷۰۳۳

پیوست:

جلسه دفاعیه پایان نامه تحصیلی خانم حبیبه نوروزی دانشجوی کارشناسی ارشد رشته فناوری اطلاعات سلامت دانشکده مدیریت و اطلاع رسانی پزشکی دانشگاه علوم پزشکی کرمان تحت عنوان: ایجاد یک چارچوب برای ارزیابی سیستم های اطلاعات سلامت در ساعت ۸ روز چهارشنبه مورخ ۹۹/۰۶/۲۶ با حضور اعضای محترم هیات داوران متشکل از:

| سمت | نام و نام خانوادگی | اعضا |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| الف: استادارهما | آقای دکتر خواجویی | |
| ب: استادان مشاور | آقای دکتر مهرالحسنی | |
| ج: عضو هیات داوران (داخلی) | آقای دکتر قائمی | |
| د: عضو هیات داوران (خارجی) | آقای دکتر گودرزی | |
| ه: نماینده تحصیلات تکمیلی | آقای دکتر خواجویی | |

تشکیل گردید و ضمن ارزیابی به شرح پیوست با درجه بسیار خوب و نمره ۱۸/۳۸ مورد تأیید قرار گرفت.

دانشکده مدیریت و اطلاع رسانی پزشکی کرمان
معاونت تحصیلات تکمیلی و انضباط آموزشی