University of Massachusetts Amherst ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst

Doctoral Dissertations

Dissertations and Theses

April 2021

CAPRINE YO T CELL BIOLOGY

Alehegne W. Yirsaw University of Massachusetts Amherst

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umass.edu/dissertations_2

Part of the Immunology and Infectious Disease Commons

Recommended Citation

Yirsaw, Alehegne W., "CAPRINE γδ T CELL BIOLOGY" (2021). *Doctoral Dissertations*. 2150. https://scholarworks.umass.edu/dissertations_2/2150

This Open Access Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Dissertations and Theses at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Doctoral Dissertations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.

CAPRINE $\gamma\delta$ T CELL BIOLOGY

A Dissertation Presented

by

ALEHEGNE W YIRSAW

Submitted to the Graduate School of the University of Massachusetts Amherst in partial

fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

FEBRUARY 2021

Program in Animal Biotechnology and Biomedical Science

© Copyright by Alehegne W Yirsaw 2021

All Rights Reserved

CAPRINE $\gamma\delta$ T CELL BIOLOGY

A Dissertation Presented

by

ALEHEGNE W YIRSAW

Approved as to style and content by:

Cynthia L Baldwin, Chair

Samuel J Black, Member

Alexander Suvorov, Member

Matthew D Moore, Member

Cynthia L Baldwin, Program Director Animal Biotechnology and Biomedical science (ABBS)

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to my wife Abeba M Zeleke and my children Amanuel and Loza A. Wubete for their encouragement words and their patience. A special feeling of gratitude to my uncle Atallel Tiruneh and his wife Emewa Mengiste for their growing me with great discipline and norm.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank to my advisor, Professor Cynthia L Baldwin, for her fruitful advice, provision of adequate resources, day to day follow up, generating different ideas to troubleshoot various experiments, her inputs and devotion in reviewing my presentations for journal clubs, VASCI retreat, conferences and others, her grateful inputs in editing and enriching and rewriting the manuscripts, for her enormous knowledge, for her patience, motivation, continuously supporting me during these past 6 years.

Cynthia is a special person because she treats people equally, her thought is always positive, she has given me the freedom to work on any techniques without objection and resource limitation and her office is always open for help because of this she is an extra ordinary person.

From her I learnt to accomplish works on time with great quality, to be patient and always thinking positive and to be supportive for everyone.

I also would like to thank members of my PhD thesis committee: Prof. Samuel J Black, Prof. Alexander Suvorov and Prof. Matthew D Moore for their devotions, encouragement and fruitful comments to enrich my research.

I would like to thank Baldwin's lab members: Alie Gillespie, Payal Yokota, Judy Zhang Fengqiu, Emily Britton, Kathleen Loonie, Mackenzie Madigan, Maria Amir for their technical support.

Mr. Baixiang Zou is a technician and supports me technically as well as in giving rides for various activities.

M profound gratitude goes to Alice Newth, Alyssa Doerle, Lisa Johnson and other students working in UMass goat farm for their support in sample collection. I would like to thank Dr. Amy Burnside, Director of the Flow Cytometry Core Facility of IALS at University of Massachusetts Amherst, for assistance with flow cytometry.

I would like to thank the U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Institute for Food and Agriculture (NIFA-AFRI) for their financial support for this work. I would like to thank the VASCI, UMass, Amherst for giving me this opportunity.

I would like to thank my institution National Animal Health Diagnostic and Investigation Center (NAHDIC) for allowing me to attend this PhD program.

I would like to thank Mulualem E Tilahun for his encouragement to upgrade my career and his recommendation and introducing me with Prof. Cynthia L Baldwin and his follow up during my study time.

I would like to thank Prof. Behailu Mamo and his wife Yenework M Zeleke for their follow up advice and support.

I would like to thank my friends Prof. Mulugeta Agonafer, Sisay T Miherete and Gizat A. Enyew for providing me support and friendship that I needed.

At last but not least I would like to thank my dearest wife Abeba M Zeleke for her encouragement and great commitment and understanding in raising our children with great discipline. I would like to thank tmy son Amanuel A. Wubete and my daughter Loza A. Wubete for being disciplined and successful in their education and encouragement.

iv

ABSTRACT

CAPRINE $\gamma\delta$ T CELL BIOLOGY

FEBRUARY 2021

ALEHEGNE W YIRSAW, DVM, ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY, ETHIOPIA MSC., ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY, ETHIOPIA PHD., UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS AMHERST DIRECTED BY: PROFESSOR CYNTHIA L BALDWIN

Goats are important food animals and are disseminated globally. Their productivity is impacted by infectious diseases thus impacting the livestock owners and the community. $\gamma\delta$ T lymphocytes or T cells have vital roles in immune responses in mammals and thus we identified information gaps regarding these cells in goats. We used genome annotation, PCR amplification of genomic DNA and cDNA and Sanger and PacBio sequencing for this research to evaluate the important receptors of these cells, i.e. the T cell receptor (TCR) and the hybrid pathogen pattern recognition receptor (PRR) and TCR co-receptor known as WC1. The annotated TCR γ (TRG) and TCR δ (TRD) loci were similarly organized in goats as previously reported for cattle and the gene sequences were highly conserved between these ruminant species. However, the number of genes varied slightly as a result of duplications within loci and differences occurred in mutations resulting in pseudogenes. Goats have 6 functional and 1 pseudogenes TRG gene cassettes and TRD genes have one constant gene (TRDC), 4 joining gene (TRDJ), 7 diverging genes (TRDD and 34 TRD variable genes (TRDV). In cattle, the WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells only use TCR γ genes from one of the 6 available cassettes and we found the same conservation for goat WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ TCR γ gene usage. With regard to the co-receptor, we

found evidence for up to 30 caprine WC1 genes, twice that of cattle, and seven different WC1 gene structures of which 4 are unique to goats. The most distal WC1 scavenger receptor cysteine rich (SRCR) domains known as SRCR a1, based on sequence and position, were highly conserved among goat breeds but fewer were conserved between goats and cattle. Caprine WC1 genes also had multiple splice variants of transcripts coding for the intracytoplasmic domains that in some cases eliminated tyrosines shown previously to be important for signal transduction. In summary, goat WC1 molecules may have expanded functions given their expanded number and structure variations. Using immunofluorescence and flow cytometric analysis and monoclonal antibody (mAb) GB21A, reactive with the TCR δ constant region (TRDC), we found that the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in caprine blood was not significantly less than that of CD4 or CD8 T cells, with WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells ranging from ~20-90% of the total. Less than half of the WC1⁺ cells could be classified as WC1.1⁺ or WC1.2⁺ subpopulations by additional mAb staining indicating a large third subpopulation in goats. Since WC1 gene expression may direct pathogen responses the WC1 genes expressed by subpopulations of WC1⁺ $\gamma \delta T$ cells were also identified by next generation sequencing. To experiments to evaluate responses to pathogens, we found that naïve $\gamma\delta$ T cells proliferated in *in vitro* recall cultures stimulated with *Leptospira borgpetersenii* or *Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis* (MAP) above that in control cultures without antigen or those with M. *bovis* BCG. The responding cells included both WC1⁺ and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells and the WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells produced IL-17. In *ex vivo* PMA-stimulated cultures WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells were shown to also produce IL-17 while WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells produced both IL-17 and interferon- γ (IFN γ). In the future more extensive studies of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cell will be needed to

evaluate the role of the various $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations to important livestock pathogens. Knowing this information will be important for understanding how to engage these cells in vaccine designs.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT iii |
|---|
| ABSTRACTv |
| LIST OF TABLESxiv |
| LIST OF FIGURESxvi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSxviii |
| 1 CHAPTER I Goat γδ T cells |
| |
| ABSTRACT1 |
| 1.1 Introduction |
| 1.1.1 Goats |
| 1.1.2 Functions of $\gamma\delta$ T cells |
| 1.1.3 Distribution of $\gamma\delta$ T cells |
| 1.1.4 Responses by $\gamma\delta$ T cells to pathogens |
| 1.1.5 Functions and activation of caprine $\gamma \delta$ T cells |
| 1.1.6 Where have $\gamma\delta$ T cells been found in goats? |
| 1.1.6.1 Primary lymphoid organ |
| 1.1.6.2 Secondary lymphoid organs and tissues |
| 1.1.6.3 Blood |

| 1.1.6.4 Mammary gland and milk 12 |
|---|
| 1.1.6.5 Uterus |
| 1.1.6.6 Summary of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in tissue and organs |
| 1.1.7 The role of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in infectious diseases in goats |
| 1.1.7.1 Responses to viral infections |
| 1.1.7.1.1 Caprine arthritis encephalitis virus (CAEV)14 |
| 1.1.7.1.2 Peste des petits ruminants virus (PPRV) |
| 1.1.7.2 Responses to bacterial infections |
| 1.1.7.2.1 Brucella melitensis |
| 1.1.7.2.2 Ehrilichia ruminantium18 |
| 1.1.7.2.3 Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis (MAP) |
| 1.1.7.2.3.1 Presence of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in tissues of MAP infected goats |
| 1.1.7.2.3.2 Responses to MAP antigen stimulation |
| 1.1.7.2.4 Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex |
| 1.1.7.3 Responses to parasitic pathogens |
| 1.1.7.3.1 Fasciola spp |
| 1.1.7.3.2 Schistosoma bovis |
| 1.1.7.3.3 Haemonchus contortus |
| 1.1.8 Conclusions and Summary |
| 1.1.9 Hypotheses and objectives |

| Bibli | ography | |
|---------------------------|---------|---|
| 2 | Chapt | er II DEFINING THE CAPRINE γδ T CELL WC1 MULTIGENIC ARRAY |
| AND | EVAL | UATION OF ITS EXPRESSED SEQUENCES AND GENE STRUCTURE |
| CON | SERVA | TION AMONG GOAT BREEDS AND RELATIVE TO CATTLE59 |
| ABS | TRACT | |
| 2.1 | Introd | luction61 |
| 2.2 Materials and Methods | | ials and Methods65 |
| | 2.2.1 | Genomic DNA and cDNA |
| 2 | 2.2.2 | Polymerase chain reaction |
| 2 | 2.2.3 | Gene sequencing |
| | 2.2.4 | Genome annotation |
| 2 | 2.2.5 | Sequence analysis and display |
| 2.3 | Resul | ts |
| 4 | 2.3.1 | San Clemente goat WC1 genome annotation |
| - - | 2.3.2 | Relationship of WC1 gene sequences among goat breeds71 |
| 2 | 2.3.3 | Relationship of WC1 SRCR a1 domain sequences between goats and cattle |
| | | 72 |
| - | 2.3.4 | CDNA evidence for annotated WC1 gene sequences |
| 4 | 2.3.5 | cDNA evidence for caprine WC1 ICDs and their splice variants73 |
| 2.4 | Discu | ssion |
| Bibli | ography | |

| 3 Chapter III Goat $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations defined by WC1 gene | | |
|---|-----------|---|
| EXPRESSION, RESPONSES TO PATHOGENS AND CYTOKINE EXPRESSION. 109 | | |
| AB | STRACT | |
| 3.1 | Introd | luction |
| 3.2 | Mate | rials and Methods114 |
| | 3.2.1 | Blood and PBMC 114 |
| | 3.2.2 | Immunofluorescence and flow cytometric analyses |
| | 3.2.3 | Proliferation assays 115 |
| | 3.2.4 | RNA Isolation and 5' Race cDNA synthesis |
| | 3.2.5 | PCR, gel electrophoresis, and amplicon purification |
| | 3.2.6 | Statistical analysis |
| 3.3 | Resul | ts |
| | 3.3.1 | Caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations in blood |
| | 3.3.2 | Defining WC1 genes in WC1 subpopulations 119 |
| | 3.3.3 | Cytokine production by WC1 subpopulations |
| | 3.3.4 | Responses to pathogens 120 |
| 3.4 | Discu | ussion |
| Bib | ilography | r |
| 4 CHAPTER IV CHARACTERIZATION OF THE DOMESTIC GOAT $\gamma\delta$ T CELL | | |
| RECEPTOR GENE LOCI AND GENE USAGE145 | | |
| AB | STRCT | |

| 4.1 | Intro | duction |
|----------------|----------|---|
| 4.2 | Mate | erials and Methods |
| | 4.2.1 | Genome annotation |
| | 4.2.2 | Phylogenetic trees and alignments |
| | 4.2.3 | $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulation purification151 |
| | 4.2.4 | RNA isolation and RACE cDNA synthesis |
| | 4.2.5 | PCR and gene sequencing |
| 4.3 | Resu | lts |
| | 4.3.1 | Chromosomal organization of caprine γδ TCR genes |
| | 4.3.2 | Comparison of caprine and bovine TCR gene sequences |
| | 4.3.3 | TCR gene expression among $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations |
| | 4.3.4 | cDNA analysis as evidence for TRD gene usage |
| 4.4 | Disc | ussion |
| Bib | lography | |
| 5 | | |
| | | |
| Bib | liograph | y 194 |
| APPENDICES 196 | | |
| A. | Supp | elemental figure 2.1 Goat WC1 gene number estimation using Venn diagram |
| | sum | nary |
| B. | Supp | elemental figure 2.2 Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of the |
| | com | plete WC1 cDNA sequences |

| C. | Supplemental figure 3.1 PCV relative to percentage $\gamma\delta$ T cells |
|----|--|
| D. | Supplemental figure 4.1. Deduced amino acid alignment of TRGC5 cassette's |
| | genes |
| E. | Supplemental figure 4.2 Phylogenetic Tree of Goat TRDV and all Bovine TRDV |
| | genes |
| F. | Supplemental figure 4.3 All TCR genes of caprine and bovine aligned |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table Page |
|---|
| Table 1-1 Experimental designs of studies of responses by goat $\gamma\delta$ T cells to infections ^a 35 |
| Table 1-2 Monoclonal antibodies used to study goat lymphocyte antigens 39 |
| Table 1-3 The percentage of T cells in goat blood arranged by age |
| Table 1-4 The percentage of T cells in caprine blood and milk ^a 42 |
| Table 1-5 The percentage of T cell populations in various tissues of young goats ^a |
| Table 1-6 T cells in hepatic tissues in <i>F. hepatica</i> infected goat after vaccination ^a |
| Table 1-7 Summary of major caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses to pathogens ^a |
| Table 2-1 Primers used for amplifying goat WC1 cDNA sequences 80 |
| Table 2-2 Relationship of San Clemente (SC) and Boar (BR) goat WC1 SRCR a1 and d1 |
| domains |
| Table 2-3 Comparison of the number of known WC1 gene structures among goats and |
| cattle ^a |
| Table 2-4 cDNA evidence for the annotated San Clemente goat WC1 gene sequences 83 |
| Table 3-1 Antibodies used to define goat lymphocytes and cytokines |
| Table 3-2 WC1 gene usage by WC1 ⁺ subpopulations. (it is ongoing) 127 |
| Table 4-1 Primers used for PCR ^a 166 |
| Table 4-2 RSS genomic features downstream of the caprine TRDV gene 167 |
| Table 4-3 RSS genomic features downstream of the caprine TRGV TRGJ genes 169 |
| Table 4-4 RSS genomic features upstream of the caprine TRDD, TRDJ and TRGJ genes |
| |

| Table 4-5 Identity of bovine and caprine genes in the TRGC5 cassette | 171 |
|--|-----|
| Table 4-6 Usage of TRG genes by the WC1 ⁺ and WC1 ⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells ^{a.} | 172 |
| Table 4-7 Transcription of TRDV1 genes by γδ T cells ^a | 173 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure Page |
|---|
| Figure 1.1 Global distribution of goats |
| Figure 2.1 Schematic representation of WC1 loci organization |
| Figure 2.2 Schematic representation of WC1 intron-exon structures |
| Figure 2.3 Schematic presentation of the purported structures of the goat WC1 proteins. |
| |
| Figure 2.4 Alignment of the San Clemente goat deduced amino acid sequences for |
| complete WC1 genes annotated in the genome |
| Figure 2.5 Relationship of SRCR signature domains among goat breeds and with cattle |
| using the deduced amino acid sequences |
| Figure 2.6 WC1 ICD splice variants |
| Figure 3.1 Flow cytometry strategy |
| Figure 3.2 γδ T cells subpopulations |
| Figure 3.3 Expression of CD8 and CD2 by $\gamma\delta$ T cells |
| Figure 3.4 Defining WC1 genes in WC1 ⁺ subpopulations |
| Figure 3.5 Cytokine production by $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations |
| Figure 3.6 Pathogen response |
| Figure 3.7 Cytokine production in response to pathogens |
| Figure 4.1 Organization of the goat TCR γ and δ loci |
| Figure 4.2 Caprine annotated sequences and bovine nucleotide sequences from IMGT |
| lined up with MUSCLE and displayed in a Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree 177 |

| Figure 4.3 Phylogenetic comparison of caprine and bovine TRDV genes 1 | 78 |
|--|----|
| Figure 4.4 Aligned comparison of caprine and bovine joining and diversity gene | |
| sequences 1 | 80 |
| Figure 4.5 Sort Strategy and PCR of $\gamma \delta T$ cell subpopulations | 81 |
| Figure 4.6 TRD rearranged CDR3 sequences and TRDD gene usage 1 | 83 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ANOVA | Analysis of variance |
|--------|--|
| BCG | bacille Calmette-Guerin |
| BLAST | Basic Local Alignment Search Tool |
| BRgoat | Boer goat |
| Bt | Bos taurus |
| CAEV | Caprine arthritis encephalitis virus |
| CD | Cluster of differentiation |
| cDNA | Complementary DNA |
| ConA | Concanavalin |
| cRPMI | Royal Park Memorial Institute (culture medium) |
| FACS | Fluorescence-activated cell sorting |
| FSc | Forward scattered |
| SSc | Side scattered |
| GST | Gluthathione-S-transferase |
| γδ | Gamma delta |
| HLN | Hepatic lymph node |
| IACUC | Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee |
| ICD | Intracytoplasmic domain |
| IDs | Interadomains |
| IFN | Interferon |
| IL | Interleukin |
| IMGT | Immunogenetics data base |

| IPP | Ileal Peyer's patch |
|--------|---|
| JPP | Jejunial Peyer's patch |
| LN | Lymph node |
| mAbs | Monoclonal antibodies |
| MAP | Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis |
| МНС | Major histocompatibility complex |
| MLN | Mesenteric lymph node |
| NCBI | National Center for Biotechnology Information's |
| NF | Nuclease free |
| NK | Natural killer |
| OIE | Office International des Epizooties |
| PAMP | Pathogen associated molecular patterns |
| PBMC | Peripheral blood mononuclear cells |
| PCR | Polymerase chain reaction |
| PCV | Packed cell volume |
| РМА | Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate |
| PPD | purified protein derivative |
| PPR | Peste Des petitis ruminants |
| PRR | Pattern recognition receptor |
| RSS | Recombination signaling sequences |
| RT-PCR | Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction |
| SCgoat | San Clemente goat |
| SEM | Standard error of the mean |

| SRCR | Scavenger Receptor Cysteine-Rich |
|-------|----------------------------------|
| TGF | Transforming Growth Factor |
| Τγδ1 | IFNγ |
| Τγδ17 | IL-17 |
| T19 | T cell marker 19 |
| TCR | T cell receptor |
| Th | T-helper |
| Th1 | T Helper Cell Type 1 |
| TH2 | T Helper Cell Type 2 |
| Th17 | T helper 17 cells |
| TLR | Toll like receptor |
| TNF | Tumor necrosis factor |
| TRD | ΤСЯ δ |
| TRDC | TCR δ constant |
| TRDD | TCR δ diversity |
| TRDJ | TCR δ joining |
| TRDV | TCR δ variable |
| Tregs | The regulatory T cells |
| TRG | ΤС γ |
| TRGC | TCR γ constant |
| TRGJ | TCR γ joining |
| TRGV | TCR γ variable |

WC1 Workshop cluster 1

YNgoat Yunnan goat

| 1 2 | 1 CHAPTER I Goat γδ T cells |
|--------|---|
| 3 | ABSTRACT |
| 4 | Goats are important food animals and are disseminated globally because of their |
| 5 | high adaptability to varying environmental conditions and feeding regimes that provide |
| 6 | them with a comparative advantage. Production and productivity is impacted by |
| 7 | infectious diseases; this then contributes to societal poverty, food insecurity, and |
| 8 | international trade restrictions. Since $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been shown to have vital roles in |
| 9 | immune responses in other mammals we reviewed the literature regarding what is known |
| 10 | about their functions, distribution in tissues and organs and their responses to a variety of |
| 11 | infections in goats. It has been shown that caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells produce interferon- γ and IL- |
| 12 | 17, are found in a variety of lymphoid and nonlymphoid tissues and constitute a |
| 13 | significant population of blood mononuclear cells. Their representation in tissues and |
| 14 | their functional responses may be altered concomitant with infection. This review |
| 15 | summarizes caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses to <i>Brucella melitensis</i> , <i>Fasciola hepatica</i> , |
| 16 | Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis, caprine arthritis encephalitis virus (CAEV), and |
| 17 | Schistosoma bovis in infected or vaccinated goats. Caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells have also been |
| 18 | evaluated in goats infected with M. caprae, Ehrilichia ruminantium, Haemonchus |
| 19 | contortus and peste des petits ruminants (PPR) virus but found to have an unknown or |
| 20 | limited response or role in either protective immunity or immunopathogenesis in those |
| 21 | cases. |
| | |

- 23 1.1 Introduction
- 24
- 25 1.1.1 Goats

26 Goats are disseminated globally because of their high adaptability to varying 27 environmental conditions including heat stress and because of their browsing and grazing 28 feeding regimes that do not compete with humans for food. Goats also have a 29 comparative advantage over cattle despite their small size because of their faster growth 30 rates and thus shorter production cycles and because they require a smaller investment than do cattle. They are an important source of protein to prevent childhood stunting and 31 32 promote cognitive development (Neumann et al., 2003) and mitigate environmental risks 33 (Aziz, 2010; Tibbo, 2006b). As a result they are highly concentrated in developing 34 countries in Africa, the Middle East, Asia, South America and Mexico as shown in 35 Figure 1.1. The number of goats is increasing globally and estimated to be more than 1 36 billion in recent years (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations statistic 37 division 2013, http://faostat.org; accessed 1 Nov 2019). Altogether, goats are important for meat, milk, manure, skine, fiber, income, traction and packing (Duguma et al., 2011) 38 39 Even though goats have a comparative advantage for production, productivity is impacted by parasitic, bacterial, and viral infectious diseases. These diseases increase 40 mortality and morbidity and abortion rates, cause weight loss, lower milk yields, increase 41 42 kidding intervals and result in high treatment and vaccinations costs (Singh, 2008). In turn, societies suffer poverty, food insecurity, and malnourishment (Gewa et al., 2009), 43 44 and members of those societies may become ill due to zoonotic infections acquired from 45 goats. Most caprine infectious diseases are transboundary in nature, with significant economic and food security impacts. Moreover, these diseases hamper international trade 46

47 that seriously affects both the exporting and importing countries (Asfaw, 2003;

48 Basagoudanavar, 2013; Seyoum, 2017).

49

50 **1.1.2 Functions of γδ T cells**

51 T cells have vital roles in immune responses for controlling infectious diseases. 52 Based on their T-cell receptor (TCR) type, T cells are divided into two major 53 populations: $\gamma\delta$ and $\alpha\beta$ T cells. $\alpha\beta$ T cells are the classical T cells and are identified as 54 two subpopulations, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells. The CD4⁺ T cells may act as helper T cells 55 (T helper (Th) 2) for B cell production of antibodies, produce cytokines such as interleukin (IL)¹-17 (Th17) that attracts neutrophils to sites of infection and interferon 56 57 $(IFN)\gamma$ (Th1) that activates macrophages for antimicrobial activities, or act as regulatory T cells (Tregs) producing the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 and TGF β . CD8⁺ $\alpha\beta$ T 58 59 cells also produce cytokines including IFNy but are distinguished by their cytotoxic 60 activity, killing virus-infected cells and some tumor cells. In contrast, $\gamma\delta$ T cells have 61 characteristics of both the innate immune system, reacting to infection more rapidly than $\alpha\beta$ T cells, and the adaptive immune system, expressing functions that overlap those of 62 63 $\alpha\beta$ T cells. As a result $\gamma\delta$ T cells are sometimes referred to as bridging immune system 64 cells.

 $\gamma \delta$ T cells can produce cytokines (IFNγ, IL-17 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α) and chemokines, be cytotoxic, and can affect inflammation, epithelial growth, and wound healing as well as provide B cell help and assist dendritic cell maturation and, finally, they can present antigens to other T cells (Vantourout and Hayday, 2013). With regard to ruminants, bovine $\gamma \delta$ T cell populations have also been shown to have regulatory

| 70 | functions (Guzman et al., 2014; Guzman et al., 2012; Hoek et al., 2009). Thus, the |
|----|---|
| 71 | responses by $\gamma\delta$ T cells may contribute to protective immunity against infection, immune- |
| 72 | mediated pathology (Paul et al., 2015) or be regulatory (Hahn et al., 2003; Wu et al., |
| 73 | 1992). However, while the $\gamma\delta$ T cells share functions with the $\alpha\beta$ T cells, an important |
| 74 | distinction is the way they perceive antigen and the types of antigens to which they react |
| 75 | (Hayday et al., 2001; Hayday, 2000; Hayday et al., 1985; Melandri et al., 2018; |
| 76 | Vantourout and Hayday, 2013). The known functions of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells are reviewed |
| 77 | below. |
| 78 | |
| 79 | 1.1.3 Distribution of γδ T cells |

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells develop in the thymus as $\alpha\beta$ T cells do. Once developed, $\gamma\delta$ T cells are 80 81 known for being associated with epithelial and mucosal barriers. This is thought to contribute to their perceived role as a first line of defense against infection (Hein and 82 83 Dudler, 1997). However they also circulate through the blood and lymphoid system. 84 Using lymph cannulation this has been described for both sheep and cattle (Mackay et al., 85 1990; Vrieling et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 1999). While γδ T cells are notable for being 86 associated with body surfaces, many ruminant species and pigs are considered to be $\gamma\delta T$ 87 cell high (summarized in (Holderness et al., 2013)) meaning they have a significantly 88 higher proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in their blood compared to humans and mice. In the $\gamma\delta$ T cell high species, the $\gamma\delta$ T cells may comprise up to 60% of the peripheral blood 89 90 mononuclear cell (PBMC) population at birth after which the percentage decreases until the animal reaches adulthood (Davis et al., 1996b; Mackay and Hein, 1989; Mackay et 91 92 al., 1986; Takamatsu et al., 2006).

| 93 | In mice, the $\gamma\delta$ T cells that associate with specific tissues express particular TCR |
|-----|--|
| 94 | gene products thus dividing them into subpopulations (for review see (Paul et al., 2014)). |
| 95 | In contrast in ruminants $\gamma\delta$ T cells are divided into subpopulations based on the |
| 96 | expression of lack thereof of a lineage-specific cell surface marker known as workshop |
| 97 | cluster 1 (WC1) or T cell marker 19 (T19) (Machugh et al., 1997; Mackay et al., 1989a; |
| 98 | Mackay and Hein, 1989; Mackay et al., 1986). WC1 is not expressed by human or |
| 99 | murine $\gamma\delta$ T cells although many other species do have genes coding for WC1 (Herzig et |
| 100 | al., 2010b). WC1 is known to be coded for by a multigenic array and the gene products |
| 101 | are hybrid co-stimulatory and pattern recognition receptor (PRR) that act in concert with |
| 102 | the TCR (Hanby-Flarida et al., 1996; Hsu et al., 2015a; Hsu et al., 2015b). Our colleagues |
| 103 | have shown that WC1 molecules can bind pathogens resulting in activation of ruminant |
| 104 | $\gamma\delta$ T cells when cross-linked with the TCR (Hsu et al., 2015b). WC1^+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells make up |
| 105 | the majority of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the blood of cattle (Baldwin et al., 2000) and their roles have |
| 106 | been reviewed previously by us (Baldwin and Telfer, 2015a; Telfer and Baldwin, 2015). |
| 107 | Some of the WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in cattle, sheep and goats also express a second lineage- |
| 108 | specific molecule GD3.5 that has been postulated to designate pre-primed $\gamma\delta$ T cells |
| 109 | because of the expression of activation and anti-apoptotic genes by cells expressing |
| 110 | GD3.5 (Jones et al., 2007). CD8 is expressed on some $\gamma\delta$ T cells and in cattle the WC1 ⁻ |
| 111 | $\gamma\delta$ T cells are those that express CD8 thus dividing the $\gamma\delta$ T cells into two largely distinct |
| 112 | subpopulations (Wyatt et al., 1994). The CD8+ T cells are a small percentage of $\gamma\delta$ T |
| 113 | cells in the blood but a major population in the spleen and uterus. The presence of $\gamma\delta$ T |
| 114 | cell populations in various tissues and organs of goats is summarized in this review |
| | |

1.1.4 Responses by γδ T cells to pathogens

| 117 | $\gamma\delta$ T cells in humans, non-human primates and mouse models have been shown to |
|-----|---|
| 118 | be activated in response to Borrelia burgdorferi, Escherichia coli, Francisella tularensis, |
| 119 | Listeria monocytogenes and Mycobacterium tuberculosis, for example (Chen, 2011, |
| 120 | 2013; Chen and Letvin, 2003; Hamada et al., 2008; Henry et al., 2010; Inagaki-Ohara et |
| 121 | al., 2011; Kabelitz et al., 1991; Kaufmann and Ladel, 1994; Shi et al., 2011; Tanaka et |
| 122 | al., 1994). Also in mice, $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been shown to play a protective role against <i>L</i> . |
| 123 | monocytogenes infections since there is increased multiplication of bacteria in mice that |
| 124 | are depleted of $\gamma\delta$ T cells but not in those depleted of $\alpha\beta$ T cells (Hiromatsu et al., 1992). |
| 125 | Depletion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells has also been shown to affect the organization of granulomas in |
| 126 | infected mice (Kaufmann and Ladel, 1994). $\gamma\delta$ T cells from cattle, sheep and swine have |
| 127 | been shown to respond to many pathogens including viruses, bacteria, protozoa and |
| 128 | ectoparasites (reviewed recently (Baldwin et al., 2019a)). In vivo $\gamma\delta$ T cell depletion |
| 129 | studies in sheep has been used to show that $\gamma\delta$ T cells inhibit protection against |
| 130 | nematodes (McClure et al., 1996) while depletion of WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells was shown to result |
| 131 | in a more profound Th2 response and a decreased Th1 response in cattle infected with M . |
| 132 | <i>bovis</i> (Kennedy et al., 2002). Here we review what is known about changes in caprine $\gamma\delta$ |
| 133 | T cells in goats infected with a variety of pathogens. The experimental designs for studies |
| 134 | cited here of goat $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses to pathogens are shown in Table 1-1. |
| | |

136 **1.1.5** Functions and activation of caprine γδ T cells

| 137 | In mice, $\gamma\delta$ T cells are divided into functional subsets producing IL-17 (T $\gamma\delta$ 17), |
|-----|--|
| 138 | IFN γ (T $\gamma\delta1$) or IFN γ and IL-4 ($\gamma\delta$ NKT). In the thymus the two distinct innate-like |
| 139 | populations known as Ty $\delta 17$ and Ty $\delta 1$ develop while other $\gamma\delta$ are more adaptive and are |
| 140 | exported to the periphery as naïve cells (Bonneville et al., 2010; Munoz-Ruiz et al., 2017; |
| 141 | Ribot et al., 2010). Thus, these two pro-inflammatory cytokines are the principal effector |
| 142 | functions of a large proportion of (Buus et al., 2017) $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells also |
| 143 | have been shown to produce IFN γ and IL-17 (Elnaggar et al., 2018; Lybeck et al., 2009). |
| 144 | When PMA and Ionomycin were used to stimulate caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells the majority of $\gamma\delta$ T |
| 145 | cells that made IL-17 were WC1+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells. In goats 90% of CD8+ T cells that made IL- |
| 146 | 17 were $\gamma\delta$ T cells and not $\alpha\beta$ T cells. The IFN γ /IL-17A double positive cells in goats |
| 147 | were largely CD8 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells with few or none expressing WC1. The identity of caprine |
| 148 | $\gamma\delta$ T cells that only produce IFN γ (single-positive) with regard to their surface markers |
| 149 | (WC1 and CD8) is unknown. Based on these results we can conclude that caprine $\gamma\delta$ T |
| 150 | cells have the potential to respond to infectious diseases by pro-inflammatory cytokine |
| 151 | production that could promote immunity and/or contribute to immune-mediated |
| 152 | pathology. |
| 153 | It is an accepted paradigm that T cells are activated through ligation of their TCR |
| 154 | and while this has been demonstrated directly for $\gamma\delta$ T cells in cattle (Hanby-Flarida et |
| 155 | al., 1996) it has not been shown for caprine cells. However, it has been shown that |

156 caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells may be activated in other ways. That is, they are activated by ligands

- 157 for Toll-like receptor (TLR) 7/8 and TLR3 (Tourais-Esteves et al., 2008). A similar
- 158 observation has been made for bovine $\gamma\delta$ T cells and thought to be important for

| 159 | responses to bovine respiratory syncytial virus in that case (McGill et al., 2013). TLR8 |
|-----|--|
| 160 | binds single-stranded viral RNA while TLR3 binds double-stranded DNA and TLR7 |
| 161 | regulates antiviral immunity. The ligation of TLRs recruites MyD88, activates NFk β and |
| 162 | eventually leads to antiviral activity. $\gamma\delta$ T cell stimulation through TLR7/8 or TRL3 |
| 163 | resulted in the production of IFN γ by a small percentage (1.26% and 0.74%) of caprine $\gamma\delta$ |
| 164 | T cells, respectively, which was marginally greater than unstimulated controls at 0.23%. |
| 165 | Caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells have also been shown to respond to condensed tannins (CT) |
| 166 | extracted from Grewia flava and Tapinanthus oleifolitus. Exposure to these tannins |
| 167 | increased the percentage of $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing CD25 by two-fold and increased the |
| 168 | level of CD25 expression by individual cells following in vitro exposure (Tibe et al., |
| 169 | 2012). The receptor being used for activation is not known. However, given that goats |
| 170 | browse these plants it is interesting to speculate whether their ingestion of these plants |
| 171 | may activate gut-associated $\gamma\delta$ T cells. |
| 172 | |
| 173 | 1.1.6 Where have $\gamma\delta$ T cells been found in goats? |
| 174 | A variety of monoclonal antibodies have been used to detect T cell populations in |
| 175 | goats. Those used in studies reviewed here are summarized in Table 1-2. |

1.1.6.1 Primary lymphoid organ

The thymus is the primary lymphoid organ for all subpopulations of T cells
including γδ T cells, while the Bursa of Fabricius, bone marrow or gut-associated
lymphoid tissues provides this function for B cells, dependent upon the species. It is clear
from studies in mice that γδ T cells can develop into functional subpopulations in the

182 thymus - in some cases predisposing the cells to the type of cytokine (IL-17 vs. IFN γ) they will produce following activation (Buus et al., 2017; Munoz-Ruiz et al., 2017; Ribot 183 et al., 2010; Schmolka et al., 2013). As for other mammals, the percentages of CD4⁺ and 184 185 CD8⁺ T cells in goats was found to range from 60 to 70% in the thymus and did not show 186 any significant change at the age groups evaluated (1 week to 7 months). Goat $\gamma\delta$ T cells 187 however were always low (<3%) despite the age of the animal examined (Caro et al., 188 1998). A similar low percentage of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the thymus have been found in cattle (Wilson et al., 1999). This considerably lower percentage of $\gamma\delta$ T cells than that of $\alpha\beta$ T 189 190 cells may reflect both the proportional representation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the circulation and the type of thymic development $\gamma\delta$ T cells undergo relative to that of $\alpha\beta$ T cells. That is, 191 192 $\alpha\beta$ T cells undergo positive and negative selection in the thymus resulting in loss of the majority of thymocytes attempting to become $\alpha\beta$ T cells. Only a minor percentage are 193 194 exported to the periphery as mature $\alpha\beta$ T cells.

195

196 **1.1.6.2** Secondary lymphoid organs and tissues

197 In mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN), the $\gamma\delta$ T cells are a minor population relative 198 to other T cells and are found scattered throughout the node although in 1-week old goats 199 they are more numerous (Seva et al., 1998). This difference in representation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells 200 relative with other T cell populations increased further with age (Caro et al., 1998). The 201 percentage of $\gamma\delta$, CD4⁺, and CD8⁺ T cells in MLN reported by Caro *et al.* agreed with a previous report by Navarro et al. (Navarro et al., 1996) as shown in Table 1-5. In 202 203 contrast, studies by Tourais-Esteves and co-workers (Tourais-Esteves et al., 2008) reported no significant changes in $\gamma\delta$, CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cell populations between neonates 204

(7-13 days age) and adults (1-7 years). Thus, it is unclear whether or not statistically
significant changes in these T cell subpopulations do in fact occur in caprine lymph nodes
as goats reach adulthood. It is possible that the use of different breeds of goats and
exposures to environmental and/or pathogen antigens could account for these differences
among studies but this is only a supposition.

210 The representation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in jejunal Peyer's patches is lower than that of the CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells as in the MLN. Although the $\gamma\delta$ T cell representation increases 211 212 from birth to 1 month of age it subsequently decreases again (Caro et al., 1998) as shown 213 in Table 1-5. This result of Caro and co-workers agrees with that of Navarro et al. (Navarro et al., 1996) when goats were evaluated at 7 months of age. The percentages of 214 215 CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in the jejunal Peyer's patches also increases in animals between 1 216 week and 7 months of age but the increment is significant only after 1 month and thus is the opposite of what was observed for the $\gamma\delta$ T cells. As an aside, it is noteworthy that 217 during this time the CD8⁺ T cells dominate the CD4⁺ T cells (contrary to what is seen in 218 blood) as shown by $CD4^+/CD8^+$ T cell ratios that are less than 1 in all age groups. 219 In the case of ileal Peyer's patches (Caro et al., 1998) the percentages of $\gamma\delta$ T 220 cells is more similar to that of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells at 1 week and 1 month. The $\gamma\delta$ T 221 cells increase by about two-fold from birth to 1 month of age and then decrease at 3 222 223 months, remaining the same up to 7 months, the end of the study period. In contrast, the CD4⁺ T cells decrease until 3 months but then increase while the CD8⁺ T cells increase 224 225 until 7 months of age. In the study by Navarro et al. (Navarro et al., 1996) the proportion 226 of TCR-N6⁺ cells, a subset of $\gamma\delta$ T cells, was found to have similar representation in ileal 227 Peyer's patches as that reported in the Caro *et al.* study (Caro et al., 1998).

Finally, in the spleen the percentage of $\gamma\delta$ T cells (5-8%) is less than that of CD4⁺ (5-14%) and CD8⁺ T cells (28-32%) and did not show any significant change in any of the four age groups evaluated, nor did the CD8⁺ T cells. In contrast, the percentage of CD4⁺ T cells show significant differences between 1 and 3 month old goats. These percentages reported by Caro and co-workers (1998) agreed with Navarro *et al.* (1996) when 7-month old goats were evaluated (see Table 1-5).

234

235 **1.1.6.3 Blood**

In PBMC from adult goats (≥ 1.5 yr), $\gamma\delta$ T cells as ascertained by staining with 236 237 mAb 86D have been reported to range from 6-14%, CD4⁺ T cells from 18-37% and CD8⁺ T cells from 15-31% (Table 1-3). The proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells does not change with 238 increasing age once the animals reach adulthood (Jolly et al., 1997; Kaba et al., 2011; 239 240 Totte et al., 2002) as shown in Table 1-3. However in younger animals the percentage of 241 CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells increases in blood as the animals' age from 1 to 7 months (Caro et al., 1998; Navarro et al., 1996) whereas the percentage of $\gamma\delta$ T cells as defined by 242 243 reactivity with mAb 86D decreases in blood during this time. Zafra et al. (Zafra et al., 244 2013b), Baliu-Pique et al. (Baliu-Pique et al., 2019) and our results (Yirsaw and Baldwin, unpublished data) found a higher percentage of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in goats at 2 to 6 months of age 245 246 when anti-WC1 mAbs were used to detect $\gamma\delta$ T cells (8-16%) than was reported by Caro 247 et al. (Caro et al., 1998) using mAb 86D (an anti-yTCR mAb) (<5%) to evaluate blood from 3 to 7 month-old goats. This indicates that mAb 86D does not comprehensively 248 249 detect caprine $\gamma \delta$ T cells.

250 Tourais-Esteves and co-workers (Tourais-Esteves et al., 2008) report fewer $\gamma\delta$ T 251 cells in neonates 7 to 13 days old than other investigators have reported for adult goats 1 to 7 years of age when mAb 86D was used to identify them (Table 1-3). This result of 252 253 increasing $\gamma \delta$ T cells with age differs from what is seen in other ruminant species (sheep and cattle) for WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Mackay and Hein, 1991; Rogers et al., 2005a). That is, in 254 sheep and cattle WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells decrease with age. Again, we speculate that this 255 difference is likely to be because mAb 86D is reacting with only a proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T 256 cells, i.e. those expressing a specific $V\gamma$ -gene, and it is this population that is lower in 257 258 newborns rather than all $\gamma\delta$ T cells being lower.

259

260 **1.1.6.4 Mammary gland and milk**

Guiguen and colleagues found that the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells is the same in milk 261 as in blood (~4% in that study). In contrast, the proportion of $CD4^+$ T cells is lower in 262 milk than in blood while CD8⁺ T cells are substantially higher in milk (Guiguen et al., 263 1996). During lactation it has been shown that the percentages of T cell populations 264 265 $(CD4^+, CD8^+ and WC1-N2^+)$ in milk changes between 1 and 63 days contrasting with blood where they remain constant during this interval (Winnicka et al., 1999). That is, 266 267 during the first 7 days of lactation the percentage of the WC1-N2⁺ of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in milk increases and remains higher than on the first day of lactation while the percentage of 268 CD4⁺ T cells increases up to 14 days and that of CD8⁺ T cells increases up to 21 days 269 270 (Winnicka et al., 1999) as shown in Table 1-4. However, the percentage of $\gamma\delta$ (TCR1-271 N24⁺), CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells eventually all decrease after parturition and lactation (Ismail et al., 1996). 272
In the mammary gland the percentage of $\gamma \delta$ T cells tends to increase at the dryingoff stage and increase significantly in late pregnancy. TCR-N6⁺ cells, another subpopulation of $\gamma \delta$ T cells, also significantly increase at mid-pregnancy and show even higher increasing increments at late-stage pregnancy but do not increase during the drying-off stage (Winnicka et al., 1999). At this time it is unclear whether the $\gamma \delta$ T cells increase to control pathogens or act as regulatory cells.

279

280 **1.1.6.5** Uterus

CD8⁺ T cells are abundant in the uterine epithelium and stroma of the caruncular 281 and inter-caruncular areas whereas the CD4⁺ and WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells are rare in the stroma 282 of both the caruncular and intercaruncular areas in non-pregnant goats. In pregnant goats 283 284 neither CD4⁺ nor CD8⁺ T cells nor WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells are found in the placentome nor in 285 the inter-placentome region they are infrequent. The reduction of T cells was suggested to predispose the ewe to uterine infections leading to abortion (Martinez et al., 2005). While 286 $\gamma\delta$ T cells and CD8⁺ T cells are both rare in the uterus it would be of interest to evaluate 287 changes during intrauterine infections. Are they recruited to this organ at that time? Since 288 in sheep (Meeusen et al., 1993) it is known that the resident $\gamma\delta$ T cells are the WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T 289 290 cell population looking for WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells and failing to find them in the caprine uterus 291 may not necessarily reflect the totality of the uterine $\gamma\delta$ T cell population.

292

293 **1.1.6.6** Summary of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in tissue and organs

294 Overall the caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells are generally fewer than the CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ $\alpha\beta$ T 295 cells in blood, and other tissues and organs examined. They also tend to decrease with

| 296 | animal age in many tissues suggesting the $\gamma\delta$ T cells may play a more pivotal role in |
|------------|---|
| 297 | younger goats. The notable increases in $\gamma\delta$ T cell representation were seen in milk and the |
| 298 | mammary glands relative to lactation, pregnancy and drying off status. Whether they are |
| 299 | increasing to play a role in protection or act as regulatory T cells is unknown. |
| 300 | |
| 301 | 1.1.7 The role of γδ T cells in infectious diseases in goats |
| 302 | The involvement or changes of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in response to nine pathogens are |
| 303 | described below and summarized in Table 1-7. |
| 304 | |
| 305 306 | 1.1.7.1 Responses to viral infections |
| 307 | 1.1.7.1.1 Caprine arthritis encephalitis virus (CAEV) |
| 308 | Caprine arthritis and encephalitis virus is a lentivirus found globally in goats. |
| 309 | CAEV infection causes loss of condition slowly (Peacock, 1996; Stonos et al., 2014), |
| 310 | decreasing the lifetime productivity of dairy goats and preventing export. The |
| 311 | pathology caused by the infection includes chronic progressive arthritis, mastitis and |
| 312 | pneumonia. In young goats it may also cause paralysis. |
| 313 | Several studies have evaluated the effect that CAEV infection had on the |
| 314 | proportion of lymphocyte populations in caprine blood. In 1995, Wilkerson et al. |
| 315 | (Wilkerson et al., 1995a; Wilkerson et al., 1995b) found no difference between the |
| 316 | proportion of $\gamma\delta$, CD4 ⁺ or CD8 ⁺ T cells in blood of infected and mock-infected goats, |
| 317 | while in the synovial fluid of arthritic joints the CD8 ⁺ T cells alone increased greatly. |
| 318 | However, Jolly et al. (1997) and later Ponti et al. and Kaba et al. (Jolly et al., 1997; Kaba |
| 319 | et al., 2011; Ponti, 2008) did report differences associated with CAEV infection. The |

| 320 | Jolly <i>et al.</i> study indicated that the blood $\gamma\delta$ T cells including the WC1 ⁺ cells did |
|-----|---|
| 321 | increment upwards (9 \pm 4 to 24 \pm 13%) during CAEV infection while there was no change |
| 322 | in CD8 ⁺ T cells and a decrease in CD4 ⁺ T cells. The Ponti <i>et al.</i> study also found an |
| 323 | increase in $\gamma\delta$ T cell in blood of infected goats but no change in their proportion in milk. |
| 324 | Finally, Kaba <i>et al.</i> confirmed that there was an increase of $\gamma\delta$ T cells (9 to 35%) |
| 325 | including the WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cell population in blood. The significant increase in the |
| 326 | proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in these studies suggests that these cells may either play a |
| 327 | protective role in defense and/or contribute to immune-mediated inflammation that may |
| 328 | be the core of CAEV pathogenesis (Kaba et al., 2011). It is necessary to deplete these |
| 329 | cells in vivo and then challenge the goats with CAEV to determine whether the response |
| 330 | by $\gamma\delta$ T cells is largely protective and/or contributing to the pathology. |

.....

...

331

- --

332 **1.1.7.1.2** Peste des petits ruminants virus (PPRV)

Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) is an acute or subacute viral disease affecting 333 334 small ruminants but the disease is most severe in goats. It is caused by a virus in the genus Morbillivirus and characterized by fever, necrotic stomatitis, gastroenteritis, 335 diarrhea, pneumonia, and death (Bailey et al., 2005; Fentahun, 2012). The economic 336 impact is very profound with global annual losses estimated at between 1.45 to 2.1 337 338 billion dollars (www.fao.org: FAO and OIE Present Initial Battle Plan in Global 339 Campaign to Eradicate Peste des Petits Ruminants, Oct 28, 2016; accessed Nov 2019). Notably, Baron et al. (Baron et al., 2014) showed infection with any of the four PPR 340 virus lineages results in the same clinical signs although some isolates were slightly more 341 342 pathogenic than others.

343

| 344 | With regard to the immune system following infection, no changes were observed |
|------------|---|
| 345 | in the percentage of WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells or CD8 ⁺ T cells in blood at any time point evaluated |
| 346 | by Barron et al. (2014) suggesting these T cell populations may have a limited role in |
| 347 | either pathogenesis or protection. In contrast, the percentage of CD4 ⁺ T cells was |
| 348 | transiently reduced by 60% in the first days post-infection in blood but recovered to |
| 349 | ambient levels in 7 days. It is possible that the loss of the CD4 ⁺ T cells may contribute to |
| 350 | early disease establishment. Production of IL-10 that dampens inflammatory responses |
| 351 | occurred at a time when the anti-viral cytokines IFN- γ and IFN- β were decreasing. The |
| 352 | correlation may suggest a cause and effect. However the production of the IL-10 was not |
| 353 | attributed to a particular population of T cells, although in cattle $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been |
| 354 | shown to be the Tregs (Guzman et al., 2014; Guzman et al., 2012; Hoek et al., 2009) |
| 355 | |
| 356 357 | 1.1.7.2 Responses to bacterial infections |
| 358 | 1.1.7.2.1 Brucella melitensis |
| 359 | Caprine brucellosis is a zoonotic bacterial infection caused by Brucella melitensis |
| 360 | with significant livestock and public health impacts (Tosser-Klopp et al., 2014). This |
| 361 | disease is controlled in most developed countries but still remains endemic in developing |
| 362 | countries and is considered to be one of the most economically devastating zoonotic |
| | |

diseases in the world (Perry and Grace, 2009). Among the *Brucella* spp., *B*. melitensis is

the most virulent including in goats and humans. In goats, it results in abortion and is

365 shed in the milk transmitting the infection while in humans it leads to a number of

366 syndromes and may result in death.

| 367 | Higgins and coworkers (Higgins et al., 2018) evaluated the caprine immune |
|-----|---|
| 368 | responses to the virulent <i>B. melitensis</i> strain 16M and the vaccine strain Rev1 in pregnant |
| 369 | goats. WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells changed little following challenge, although the response was |
| 370 | greater to infection with strain16M than with the Rev1 vaccine strain. These limited |
| 371 | responses are similar to what occurs in <i>Brucella</i> -infected cattle with regard to $\gamma\delta$ T cells |
| 372 | (Weynants et al., 1998). In contrast, the results showed that caprine CD4 ⁺ T cells increase |
| 373 | in representation between days 14 and 28 post-infection when goats were infected with |
| 374 | strain 16M. This parallels results of a study of human patients showing that their $\gamma\delta$ T |
| 375 | cells dramatically expand in blood after Brucella infections (Bertotto et al., 1993) but |
| 376 | contrasts with results in sheep in which there are no significant changes observed in |
| 377 | CD4 ⁺ , CD8 ⁺ or $\gamma\delta$ T cell proportions following infection with the virulent strain of <i>B</i> . |
| 378 | melitensis (Suraud et al., 2008). With the less virulent Rev 1, both the percentage of |
| 379 | caprine $\gamma\delta$ and CD4 ⁺ T cells remained stable over the course of the study. |
| 380 | Dorneles et al. (Dorneles et al., 2014) found that cattle vaccinated with another |
| 381 | vaccine strain, B. abortus strain 19, had CD4 ⁺ T cells that responded to brucella antigen |
| 382 | stimulation in vitro in cell culture assays. Such studies were not conducted with caprine |
| 383 | lymphocyte populations from 16M-vaccinated goats but would be useful to understand |
| 384 | whether the T cell populations including $\gamma\delta$ T cells are primed to respond even though an |
| 385 | increase in representation was not evident in vivo. |
| 386 | Because Brucella are facultative intracellular bacteria, surviving and replicating in |
| 387 | host macrophages, IFN γ is important for activating macrophages for anti-brucella |
| 388 | activities (Jiang and Baldwin, 1993). Mouse models have shown that without IFN γ the |
| 389 | host may die (Goenka et al., 2011). Despite the inability to observe expansion of the $\gamma\delta$ T |

cells *in vivo*, the study of Higgins *et al.* (Higgins et al., 2018) showed a potential for WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells to produce IFN γ , although the number of IFN γ -producing WC1⁺ cells was low (<10%). However, a higher percentage of IFN γ^+ /WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells *in vivo* was produced in goats infected with the highly virulent *B. melitensis* 16M strain. Thus, the authors recommended further study to ascertain the functional role of WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in *Brucella*-infected and vaccinated goats with regard to protective immunity.

396

397 1.1.7.2.2 Ehrilichia ruminantium

Ehrilichiosis, also known as heartwater, nintas or cowdriosis, is caused by the 398 399 rickettsia Ehrilichia ruminantium (formerly Cowdria ruminantium), an obligate intracellular bacterium. The disease got its name "heartwater" because of the accumulation of fluids 400 around the heart or in the lungs of infected animals. It is an acute tick-borne infection 401 affecting domestic ruminants in sub-Saharan Africa (Uilenberg, 1983; Uilenberg et al., 402 1993) resulting in huge economic impact on animal production in affected areas. 403 404 Totte et al. (Totte et al., 2002) immunized goats with inactivated bacteria 405 emulsified in oil and then evaluated their T cell recall responses in vitro following several booster injections in vivo. Following immunization, proliferation of cells cultured in 406 407 medium were often found to equal the proliferation measured in cultures with antigen. 408 Perhaps because of these high responses in medium cultures the specific responses by 409 WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells to antigen were masked. However it is important to note that antigen-410 specific proliferation by CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells was, nevertheless, evident by 3 weeks 411 post-immunization. In a companion study the use of a 15kDa antigen of *Cowdria* was

412 also evaluated and found to given similar results with no proliferation by WC1⁺ cells
413 (Gunter et al., 2002).

The high proliferation by cells cultured without exogenous antigens is not 414 uncommon for ruminant $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Blumerman et al., 2007) as occurred in the above 415 study of goat $\gamma\delta$ T cells. In that study the goats had been injected with adjuvant which 416 may have contributed to *in vitro* activation or priming that results in a propensity for *in* 417 418 *vitro* proliferation in medium cultures. Totte and co-workers (2002) tried several 419 approaches to reduce the proliferation in medium cultures so that antigen-specific 420 responses by $\gamma\delta$ T cells could be evaluated. These attempts included using whole blood 421 rather than isolated PBMC and autologous serum rather than fetal bovine serum. Why 422 these responses by $\gamma\delta$ T cells in medium cultures occur is unclear but may be an innate 423 immune response that can play a rapid role in early protection to infection. They may also 424 be bystander responses that result from co-culture with activated $\alpha\beta$ T cells. 425 A later study by Esteves *et al.* (Esteves et al., 2004) evaluated the T cell subsets expressing IFNy transcripts in PBMC following vaccination of goats with killed E. 426 *ruminantium* with Montanide ISA50 adjuvant followed by a single booster dose. 427 Responses *in vitro* both in control cultures with medium only and those with antigen 428 increased following immunization. The percentage of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell subsets 429 430 expressing IFNy increased in blood to a similar extent as one another when testing cells 431 from infected goats and was more consistent than the response by the WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells. After the booster, two of three animals had $\gamma\delta$ T cells that produced IFN- γ above control 432 433 levels while all three animals had CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells that did so. The amount of 434 IFN γ produced by these latter populations was substantially higher than that by the $\gamma\delta$ T

435 cells. In conclusion, while the recombinant vaccines likely need CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell 436 epitopes to ensure their activation, including antigenic components that stimulate WC1⁺ 437 $\gamma\delta$ T cells could enhance vaccine efficacy.

438

439 1.1.7.2.3 *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies paratuberculosis (MAP)

Paratuberculosis, also known as Johne's disease, is caused by *Mycobacterium av*.
ssp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP) and affects goats, cattle, and sheep as well as other species
(Beard et al., 2001; de Silva et al., 2015; Reyes-Garcia et al., 2008; Windsor, 2015). It is
a chronic, progressive granulomatous infection mainly affecting the small intestine, liver
and mesenteric and hepatic lymph nodes. Infection results in weight loss, diarrhea and

death (Harris and Barletta, 2001; Salem et al., 2013). It occurs globally, causing

significant economic losses due to mortality and reduced production of livestock (Ott et

447 al., 1999)

448

449 1.1.7.2.3.1 Presence of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in tissues of MAP infected goats

Navarro et al. (Navarro et al., 1996) conducted a study to determine the 450 lymphocyte subpopulations in the intestinal submucosa as well as regional lymph nodes 451 in goats naturally infected with MAP. The results showed that there was either no 452 difference in the number of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the intestinal lesions or fewer $\gamma\delta$ T cells 453 454 compared to control uninfected goats, in contrast to what occurs in infected sheep (Little et al., 1996). While some $\gamma\delta$ T cells were scattered in the interfollicular and paracortical 455 areas in infected goats, they were scarce. Thus the results were interpreted to suggest a 456 457 limited role for $\gamma\delta$ T cells in caprine paratuberculosis. By comparison the CD4⁺ T cells decreased and the CD8⁺ T cells increased in number as the severity of the lesions 458

459 increased (tuberculoid to lepromatous). The results were considered preliminary due to 460 the low number of animals in the study but it has been suggested by others that $CD8^+ T$ cells may suppress $\gamma\delta$ T cells during paratuberculosis in studies conducted in cattle 461 462 (Chiodini and Davis, 1992). 463 Several studies evaluated the presence of T cell subpopulations in mycobacterial granulomas and the influence of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in granuloma formation in response to 464 465 bacterial infections (Faisal et al., 2013b). Valheim et al. (Valheim et al., 2004) described the paratuberculosis granulomatous lesion in detail including the occurrence of $\gamma\delta$, CD4⁺ 466 and CD8⁺ T cells. The results showed a higher percentage of CD4⁺ T cells than either $\gamma\delta$ 467 468 or CD8⁺ T cells, which were very few, in the granulomatous lesions. This was confirmed 469 by another study (Lybeck et al., 2013). The CD4⁺ T cells were the dominant lymphocytes 470 in granulomas of both subclinically and clinically infected goats while there was no 471 difference in $\gamma\delta$ T cells between infected and control goats. The few $\gamma\delta$ and CD8⁺ T cells 472 suggests their limited ability to influence the granulomatous lesions in caprine subclinical paratuberculosis. 473 Schinköthe et al. (Schinkothe et al., 2016) also conducted a study to evaluate the 474

T cells in granuloma lesions of *M. av.* ssp. *hominisuis*-infected goats. Again the results showed the presence of a large number of CD4⁺ T cells, and this was interpreted as an exuberant immune response, while $\gamma\delta$ and CD8⁺ T cells were present but more often as singular cells or scattered cells. In contrast, in another study by that groups found that when goats were infected with MAP experimentally or naturally the granulomas at three months post-infection had many CD4⁺ and $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Kruger et al., 2015). The authors interpreted this to reflect the importance of the $\gamma\delta$ T cells.

| 482 | In the Valheim <i>et al.</i> (2004) study, $\gamma\delta$ T cells were found in large numbers in the |
|-----|--|
| 483 | lamina propria outside of the granulomas with most being WC1- $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Some WC1+ |
| 484 | $\gamma\delta$ T cells were found in the epithelia, although WC1 ⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells made up the majority. |
| 485 | However there was no difference in the number of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in control and infected goats |
| 486 | in non-granulomatous small intestine tissue overall. These results agree with Navarro et |
| 487 | al. (Navarro et al., 1998) and also with observations made in cattle with paratuberculosis |
| 488 | (Plattner et al., 2009; Plattner et al., 2013). However, in cattle $WC1^+ \gamma \delta T$ cells have been |
| 489 | implicated to play a role in early granuloma organization with the percentage of WC1 $\gamma\delta$ |
| 490 | T cells superseding them later. WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells have also been found in Peyer's patches |
| 491 | of MAP-infected lambs (Beard et al., 2000). |

492

493 **1.1.7.2.3.2** Responses to MAP antigen stimulation

494 The response of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells from blood of infected and control goats to mycobacterial purified protein derivative (PPD) antigens in in vitro cultures was assessed 495 by measuring increased expression of the IL-2R (CD25) (Storset et al., 2000). The $\gamma\delta$ T 496 cells made up the majority of responding cells as measured by CD25 expression in the 497 498 cultures at both 72 and 120 hours. This in vitro response was characterized as twophased. The first phase was the early response by $\gamma\delta$ T cells from infected goats to PPD 499 stimulation in culture (as demonstrated by the higher expression of the CD25) compared 500 to that with cells from control uninfected animals. This indicates a specific recall 501 response. The second phase showed that after longer periods of *in vitro* culture the $\gamma\delta$ T 502 cells from control animals up-regulated IL-2R expression to the same level as cells from 503 504 infected animals. The response differs from the more specific response by the CD4⁺ T

505 cells although in some cases the control and infected animals' CD4⁺ T cell responses could not be distinguished just as occurred with the $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the second phase of the 506 507 cultures. The response by $\gamma\delta$ T cells included both CD8⁺ $\gamma\delta$ and CD8⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells which if equivalent to the populations in cattle largely equates to WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ and WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ subsets of 508 T cells, respectively (Machugh et al., 1997). The response by $\gamma\delta$ T cells from control 509 goats might occur because of cross-reactive antigens between MAP and other ubiquitous 510 511 mycobacteria as has been suggested by others (Koets et al., 2002). It has also been 512 suggested that $\gamma\delta$ T cells contribute a first line of defense because they have been primed 513 by cross-reactive antigens of mycobacterium (Chan, 1984). In bovine tuberculosis, $\gamma\delta$ T cells are considered to be the first line of defense against infection followed by CD4⁺ and 514 CD8⁺ T cells (Pollock et al., 1996). Thus, it is reasonable to conclude that both the 515 primed and unprimed responses by the $\gamma\delta$ T cells in goats may be important early after 516 517 infection.

Storset and co-workers. (Storset et al., 2001) showed that goats infected orally 518 519 had high numbers of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in circulation that were responsive to MAP and that these 520 cells also associated with distal ileum and colon lesions. In contrast, MAP responsive 521 CD8⁺ T cells were found in the blood one year post-inoculation in animals with no 522 detectable distal ileum and colon lesions. Thus it was suggested that $\gamma\delta$ T cells could be 523 associated with immune-mediated pathology while the $CD8^+$ T cell response correlates with control and protection. As in the other study described above, Storset *et al.* showed 524 525 that the $\gamma\delta$ T cells responded to PPD with increased expression of the IL-2R with cells taken from animals at >47 weeks post-infection and that these were the majority of 526 lymphoblasts in cultures stimulated with PPD. Coincident with the rise in IL-2R⁺ cells 527

was the production of IFNγ, suggesting a type 1 immune response that would be expected
to be important in protective immunity against MAP.

Subsequently, Hasvold et al. (Hasvold et al., 2002) described T cell responses in 530 531 young goats vaccinated with a live attenuated vaccine strain that is used in many 532 countries. The study included evaluation of the effect of depleting lymphocyte subsets individually on the expression of the IL-2R by the remaining cells. All vaccinated 533 534 animals had strong immune responses with increased IFNy production by cells stimulated 535 with PPD in whole blood when taken 12 and 24 weeks after vaccination. The percentages 536 of CD8⁺ and $\gamma\delta$ T cells were higher in PBMC from the vaccinated group than from the 537 control group 24 weeks after vaccination. While the $\gamma\delta$ T cells dominated in the cultures, 538 as in the above studies, most of the IFN γ was produced by CD4⁺ T cells with a low percentage of the IFNy contributed by CD8⁺ T cells. Thus, the $\gamma\delta$ T cells did not produce 539 540 IFNy after *in vitro* PPD stimulation unlike what Rhodes *et al.* (Rhodes et al., 2001) 541 showed after stimulation of bovine $\gamma\delta$ T cells with PPD and many other *M. bovis* 542 antigens. However, it should be noted that in another study of paratuberculosis (Lybeck et al., 2013) some of the caprine CD8⁺ T cells producing IFNy were shown to be $\gamma\delta$ T 543 cells. If analogous to cattle, these cells are likely to be caprine WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Hasvold 544 545 et al. (2002) found that the $\gamma\delta$ T cells from vaccinated animals became highly activated 546 even in the control cultures with just medium. Depletion of CD8⁺ and $\gamma\delta$ T cells from PBMC prior to culture caused an increase in CD4⁺ T cell responses and thus CD8⁺ and/or 547 $\gamma\delta$ T cells might have an immunomodulatory effect (although the IL-2R expression on the 548 549 CD4⁺ T cells remained the same before and after depletion of other cells). Conversely, depletion of CD4⁺ T cells before PPD stimulation led to a decrease in $\gamma\delta$ T cells 550

suggesting a helper role for CD4⁺ T cells for $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses or that these $\gamma\delta$ T cells respond as bystanders to the products of activated CD4⁺ T cells. From the small number of animals, the single time point of the experiment, and because this result does not correspond to the results of Storset *et al.* (Storset et al., 2003), conclusions are tentative. However, the difference in this latter study might be the result of the forceful adjuvant used in addition to the vaccine.

Later studies (Lybeck et al., 2009) showed that neutralizing IL-10 with antibodies in cultures of cells from infected goats stimulated with PPD increased the percentage of $\gamma\delta$ T cells making IFN γ . Both CD8⁺ and CD8⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells made IFN γ in these cultures although only 10% of the CD8⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells did so. Interestingly, CD4⁺ T cells continued to be the major producers of IFN γ in PPD-stimulated cultures but the $\gamma\delta$ T cells were the main producers in cultures without PPD.

Studies using attenuated directed-gene-deletion (LeuD) mutants as vaccines 563 564 showed their ability to induce responses by caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells and that the significantly higher $\gamma\delta$ T cell levels correlated with enhanced protective efficacy (Faisal et al., 2013a). 565 This vaccine also decreased IL-10 and induced Th1 and Th17-like responses that may 566 567 account for the visible IFN γ responses by $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Most importantly this vaccine was efficacious, perhaps suggesting a role for type 1 $\gamma\delta$ (T $\gamma\delta$ 1) T cells responses in protection. 568 A similar $\gamma\delta$ T cell response was stimulated in goats vaccinated with *Salmonella*-vectored 569 570 vaccines for paratuberculosis (Faisal et al., 2013b). These results suggest that although natural infection may predispose a response by CD4⁺ T cells, skewing the response to 571 include $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses either by antigen/vaccine choice or by neutralizing IL-10 may 572 be important for developing next generation vaccines for paratuberculosis in goats. 573

1.1.7.2.4 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex

| 575 | Tuberculosis is a disease of livestock and humans that can be caused by a number |
|-----|--|
| 576 | of different bacterial infections. These several species of mycobacteria that cause |
| 577 | tuberculosis are known as the tuberculosis complex. In goats it is predominantly caused |
| 578 | by Mycobacterium bovis and M. caprae while in a few cases it is caused by M. |
| 579 | tuberculosis (Cadmus et al., 2009; Hiko and Agga, 2011; Tschopp et al., 2011). |
| 580 | Tuberculosis is a granulomatous and chronic debilitating disease that leads to significant |
| 581 | economic loss due to decreased production, trade restrictions and a high cost to control |
| 582 | the disease (Bezos et al., 2012; Crawshaw et al., 2008; Napp et al., 2013; Quintas et al., |
| 583 | 2010). |
| 584 | Caro and colleagues (Caro et al., 2001) conducted a study to evaluate the T cell |
| 585 | subpopulation proportions in peripheral blood and lymphoid organs in naturally |
| 586 | tuberculosis-infected goats. They reported that there were no significant changes of any T |
| 587 | cell populations including $\gamma\delta$ T cells in proliferative tuberculosis (i.e., that having lesions |
| 588 | with a small bacterial load and a high host cellular immune response). The $\gamma\delta$ T cell |
| 589 | proportion in blood also did not show any significant difference in ulcerative tuberculosis |
| 590 | even though the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells decreased slightly, suggesting an overall limited |
| 591 | response during tuberculosis. In exudative tuberculosis (i.e., having lesions with large |
| 592 | numbers of bacilli and weak host immune responses) the CD8 ⁺ T cells significantly |
| 593 | increased in blood and lymphoid organs while the CD4 ⁺ T cells significantly decreased in |
| 594 | peripheral blood. CD4 ⁺ T cells being higher in proliferative tuberculosis as compared to |
| 595 | exudative tuberculosis may suggest they are contributing to protection in this less severe |
| 596 | manifestation of tuberculosis (Basham and Merigan, 1983). Further studies were |

597 recommended to ascertain the presence of cytokines that activate macrophages and recruit monocytes to initiate granuloma formation and for the association of the higher 598 percentage of CD8⁺ T cells in exudative tuberculosis with cytotoxic and 599 suppressor/regulatory functions. It is surprising that there is an apparent lack of a role for 600 601 $\gamma\delta$ T cells in caprine tuberculosis since in cattle a wealth of studies show their response to 602 a variety of mycobacterial antigens and mycobacteria-infected dendritic cells as well as 603 showing that $\gamma\delta$ T cells migrate into the lungs of infected or vaccinated cattle (Buza et al., 2009; Hope et al., 2005; McGill et al., 2014a; Pollock et al., 1996; Pollock et al., 2005; 604 605 Price and Hope, 2009; Rhodes et al., 2001).

606

607 **1.1.7.3** Responses to parasitic pathogens

608

609 **1.1.7.3.1** Fasciola spp.

Fasciolosis is one of the notable neglected tropical parasitic diseases. It is also 610 611 known as common liver fluke, distomatosis or liver rot. Fasciolosis is transmitted as a 612 result of food contamination by plant-borne trematodes Fasciola hepatica and 613 F. gigantica. It affects millions of ruminants as well as humans (Mas-Coma et al., 2014a; 614 Mas-Coma et al., 2014b; Nyindo and Lukambagire, 2015). This infection causes severe 615 physical wasting of infected animals and thus economic loss of over two billion US 616 dollars annually to the livestock industry in North and South America alone. Zafra et al. (Zafra et al., 2010) conducted a study evaluating caprine hepatic 617 618 lesions and hepatic lymph nodes' local immune responses after immunizing goats with 619 glutathione–S-transferase (GST) and challenging them with F. hepatica. The results showed that there was a severe infiltration of $\gamma\delta$, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in the liver and 620

| 621 | hepatic lymph node in both unvaccinated and vaccinated goats following challenge with |
|-----|--|
| 622 | F. hepatica (Table 1-6). Thus a strong local immune response to F. hepatica infection |
| 623 | occurs in goats similar to that in sheep (Chauvin et al., 1995; Meeusen et al., 1995; Perez |
| 624 | et al., 1998; Perez et al., 2005). In a previous study, Zafra et al. (Zafra et al., 2009) had |
| 625 | shown similar responses in goats immunized with a synthetic peptide of Sm14 antigen of |
| 626 | S. mansoni and then challenged with F. hepatica; they found that the local cellular |
| 627 | immune responses decreased fluke burden but the difference was not statistically |
| 628 | significant due to the high variability of responses among animals. Additionally, the |
| 629 | study showed the absence of IFN γ in hepatic lesions and very low expression of IFN γ in |
| 630 | hepatic lymph nodes of control and vaccinated goats following challenge. This agrees |
| 631 | with the Waldvogel study (Waldvogel et al., 2004) of bovine liver and lymph nodes and |
| 632 | the Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2005) study of ovine lymph nodes following challenge. As |
| 633 | in a study in cattle by Buffoni et al. (Buffoni et al., 2010), the Zafra et al. (Zafra et al., |
| 634 | 2010) goat study showed that along with the absence or very low level of IFN γ there was |
| 635 | a high expression of IL-4 in hepatic lymph nodes and in serum one week after infection |
| 636 | of goats, suggesting a Th2 response. Although a Th2 response is considered the |
| 637 | protective response, this immune response did not cause a significant decrease in fluke |
| 638 | number, hepatic damage or fluke size. |
| 639 | Mendes <i>et al.</i> (Mendes et al., 2010) evaluated protection of young goats against F . |
| | |

640 *hepatica* after immunization with the recombinant Schistosoma protein Sm14 adjuvant

- 641 with Quil A. This resulted in a significant decrease in hepatic lesions but the fluke
- burdens and the fecal egg counts did not decrease. The results showed that $\gamma\delta$ T cells
- 643 were occasionally found in hepatic lesions while in comparison there was a substantial

| 644 | infiltration by CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells in portal spaces in infected animals. In the |
|-----|--|
| 645 | immunized animals there was reduced hepatic and HLN infiltration by CD4 ⁺ , CD8 ⁺ and |
| 646 | $\gamma\delta$ T cells as well as fewer IL-4 and IFN γ -producing cells even though the fluke burden |
| 647 | was the same. The decrease after immunization correlated with low expression of |
| 648 | IFN γ and higher expression of IL4 in hepatic lymph nodes along with lower hepatic |
| 649 | damage that was not due to the number of flukes. This implies that a Th2 response in |
| 650 | local lymph nodes and less proinflammatory IFN γ reduces the immune-mediated |
| 651 | pathology although it cannot clear the infection. |
| 652 | Finally, Zafra et al. (Zafra et al., 2013b) conducted a subsequent study to |
| 653 | investigate the proportions of WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$, CD4 ⁺ , and CD8 ⁺ T cells in <i>F. hepatica</i> |
| 654 | experimentally-infected goats and in some cases those previously immunized with GST |
| 655 | and Cathepsin-L1 recombinant antigens. No protection was induced by the |
| 656 | immunizations and no differences were observed in the proportion of peripheral T cell |
| 657 | subsets between immunized and non-immunized goats except that the $CD4^+$ T cells |
| 658 | significantly decreased in the infected groups post-infection relative to the uninfected |
| 659 | controls. The decrease in CD4 ⁺ T cells was associated with the marked infiltration of |
| 660 | CD4 ⁺ , CD8 ⁺ and WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells into the bile duct, hepatic lesions and hepatic lymph |
| 661 | nodes as reported previously (Zafra et al., 2009) and similar to what Perez et al. (Perez et |
| 662 | al., 1998) reported about the liver parenchyma in sheep. |
| 663 | |

1.1.7.3.2 *Schistosoma bovis*

Schistosomiasis is a disease also known as snail fever or bilharzia, caused by *Schistosoma bovis* - parasitic flatworms known as schistosomes (Chitsulo et al., 2004;

Vos et al., 2012). It affects a large number of livestock, including goats in Africa, causing considerable production losses (McCauley, 1984), and infects more than 230 million people worldwide. The pathology is predominantly a result of the host's immune response to Schistosoma eggs producing granulomatous reactions (Gryseels et al., 2006). That is, granulomas are formed around the Schistosoma eggs in the liver and they are vital for protecting the host (Lindberg et al., 1999) but also result in compromising liver function and causing pathology.

Lindberg et al. (Lindberg et al., 1999) conducted a study to provide information 674 675 on the immunopathology of caprine schistosomiasis. $\gamma\delta$ T cells are known to be involved 676 in granuloma formation in response to other pathogens in mice and cattle (Plattner et al., 677 2009; Price et al., 2010; Sandor et al., 1995). Here the authors showed that the WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ 678 T cells were predominantly found in most granulomas, especially in perioval granulomas, along with CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells agreeing with studies conducted in mice (Sandor et 679 al., 1995). Among the T cell populations in the schistosomiasis granulomas, WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T 680 681 cells along with macrophages were the most plentiful in perivascular inflammatory cell clusters in the submucosa. In intestinal epithelium, WC1⁺ and CD8⁺ cells were found but 682 683 not CD4⁺ T cells, also in agreement with previous studies. This suggests $\gamma\delta$ T cells have an important, even key, role in the protective granulomas formed around the eggs but 684 thereby may also contribute to the pathogenesis of the disease. 685

686

687 1.1.7.3.3 Haemonchus contortus

Haemonchus contortus is a nematode that resides in the gastrointestinal tract of
 small ruminants, specifically the abomasum. It is common in tropical and subtropical
 environments where rainfall is frequent. It causes parasitic gastritis and enteritis and

death may occur rapidly if the infection is heavy. Clinical characteristics are anemia,
edema and weight loss. While antihelminthics are available resistance to those is
spreading. Goats may be segregated into more and less resistant groups (Patterson et
al., 1996) indicating a genetic component to control that could be exploited. Currently,

this infection causes large economic losses throughout the world.

696 Perez et al. (Perez et al., 2008) examined the abomasal mucosa of infected goats 3 to 13 days after infection with two different infective doses. In animals that received the 697 698 higher dose, an increase in T cells was noted by 13 days post-infection that consisted primarily of a 10 to 20-fold increase in $\gamma\delta$ T cells and 10-fold increase in CD4⁺ T cells 699 700 with a less pronounced increase of CD8⁺ T cells. In contrast, the proportion of T cells 701 decreased at 10 and 13 days in the abomasal lymph nodes due to an increase in B cell 702 numbers although the actual number of $\gamma\delta$ T cells or CD4⁺ T cells did not change. $\gamma\delta$ T cells were sparse especially compared to the other T cell subpopulations, representing 703 704 only 5-20% relatively.

705 An earlier study (Jasmer et al., 2003) explored the possibility of using parasite 706 intestinal antigens to immunize goats. When abomasal lymph node cells from the control 707 and immunized animals were compared, following challenge of the goats, for their ability 708 to proliferate in in vitro recall response to parasite antigens no difference in CD4⁺ T cell 709 responses was found between the groups with both responding. However $\gamma\delta$ T cells from both group failed to respond to the antigens as measured by increased CD25 expression. 710 711 The authors interpreted this to mean that the intestinal antigens are not hidden during an 712 infection and that CD4⁺ T cells, but not $\gamma\delta$ T cells, are primed by them.

713

714 **1.1.8** Conclusions and Summary

In general in mature mammals the percentage of $\gamma\delta$ T cells are lower than other T 715 cell subpopulations in the peripheral blood. Yet, their activation can serve as a first line 716 717 of defense and bridge innate to adaptive immune responses. In goats the estimation of the 718 proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells has suffered from the use of mAbs to identify them that are not 719 comprehensive in many studies. This is because not all $\gamma\delta$ T cells express WC1 but anti-720 WC1 mAbs are often used to determine $\gamma\delta$ T cell numbers. Also the anti-TCR mAb used 721 (86D) reacts only with some TRG gene products while ruminants are known to have 722 multiple cassettes of TRG genes each with their own V, J and C genes. Thus, the 723 percentages of $\gamma\delta$ T cells were likely underestimated in some studies and important 724 responses by $\gamma\delta$ T cells may have been missed. However in those studies that employed 725 the mAb GB21A which reacts with the single TRDC gene product the measurements would be comprehensive for all $\gamma\delta$ T cells. It has been shown that caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells are 726 capable of producing both IFNy and IL-17, the principal cytokines made by $\gamma\delta$ T cells in 727 728 mammals. It has also been shown that they can be stimulated through TLR as well as (presumably) the TCR. As reviewed here, caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells respond to various pathogens 729 following infection or vaccination and these responses may either contribute to protection 730 and/or pathogenesis, or have a regulatory role, as summarized in Table 1-7. Based on the 731 732 studies reviewed here, caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells respond to infections with B. melitensis, F. *hepatica*, MAP and CAEV and in some cases there are indications that these responses 733 734 may be important for protective immunity. However, caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses may also contribute to immune-mediated inflammation and pathogenesis of CAEV and S. 735 736 *bovis* where this is known to be a primary component of the disease but a limited role in

either protection or pathogenesis following *M. caprae*, *E. ruminantium*, PPR virus or *H. contortus* infections of goats. Based on the results of these studies, we conclude that the ability of $\gamma\delta$ T cells to respond to infection is not dictated by the type of pathogen (bacterial, viral or helminth).

741

742 **1.1.9** Hypotheses and objectives

To extend our knowledge of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in goats we proposed to determine if 743 subpopulations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells exist in goats as defined by their expression of cell surface 744 745 receptors (the TCR and the pattern recognition receptor WC1) that are multigenic families in other ruminant species. We hypothesized that goats will have a multigenic 746 747 WC1 gene family as do cattle (Chen et al., 2012; Herzig and Baldwin, 2009) and that some genes will have a high degree of identity with bovine WC1 genes while others will 748 749 be unique. This is predicted based on the fact that goats and cattle have shared but also 750 unique pathogens. We also hypothesize that the T cell receptor (TCR) gene loci and structures among ruminants will be similar and that WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in goats will have 751 752 the same restriction of TCRy chain gene expression as previously documented in cattle. 753 In cattle, we have shown that $\gamma\delta$ T cells that express the co-receptor WC1 use a restricted set of genes to code for the TCR γ chain while, in contrast, non-WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells use all 754 of the TCR genes available (Blumerman et al., 2006). Finally, we expected to find $\gamma\delta$ T 755 756 cell subpopulations that could be distinguished by their WC1 and TCR usage, and thus likely their interaction with pathogens, as well as their functional responses to them. 757

758

| 759 | The | objectives of this dissertation research were: |
|-----|-----|---|
| 760 | 1. | To define the caprine WC1 gene number, chromosomal locus organization, |
| 761 | | gene structures and genomic and expressed sequences |
| 762 | 2. | To evaluate WC1 gene similarity and differences among goat breeds and with |
| 763 | | cattle |
| 764 | 3. | To determine the proportion of total $\gamma\delta$ T cells, WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells as well as |
| 765 | | potentially WC1.1 and WC1.2 subpopulations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in PBMC and to |
| 766 | | determine the WC1 genes transcribed by the subpopulations as well as their |
| 767 | | functional differences with regard to responses to various pathogens and |
| 768 | | cytokine production (IL-17 and IFN γ). |
| 769 | 4. | To define the caprine TCR γ and δ gene number, chromosomal loci |
| 770 | | organization and genomic & expressed sequences including the usage of |
| 771 | | multiple TRDD genes to enhance TCR diversity |
| 772 | 5. | To compare caprine TCR γ and δ gene similarities and differences with those |
| 773 | | in cattle |
| 774 | | |

| Disease | Goat breed or type | Age | Tissue evaluated | Design | Reference |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---|---|
| Brucellosis | Mixed breed | ≥1 yr | РВМС | Groups: 1. infected with <i>B.</i> <i>melitensis</i> strain 16M 2. infected with <i>B.</i> <i>melitensis</i> strain Rev. 1 3. uninfected | Higgins et al., 2018 |
| Caprine arthritis encephalitis | Nubian | 1.5-5 yr | РВМС | Groups: 1. uninfected 2. infected with CAEV stock virus intravenously and intracarpally | Jolly et al., 1997 |
| | Dairy | 3-5 yr | PBMC | Groups:1. uninfected2. naturally CAEV infected | Kaba et al., 201 |
| | Saanen | Birth | PBMC, synovial fluid | Groups: healthy uninfected goat infected with the phenotype CAEV-63 virus | Wilkerson et al. 1995a; Wilkerson et al. 1995b |
| | Alpine | 60 day- 6 mo | PBMC, milk | Uninfected and naturally infected | Ponti, 2008 |
| Ehrilichiosis | Creole | NS | PBMC | Groups: not vaccinated or infected, subcutaneous injection of Montanide, 1. immunized with inactivated <i>E.</i> <i>ruminantium</i> vaccine mixed with Montanide s/c and booster after a month and then infected by virulent <i>E. ruminantium</i> culture supernatant intraveneously | Esteves et al., 2004 |
| | Creole | NS | PBMC | Groups: 1. adjuvant only 2. immunized with killed <i>E.</i> <i>ruminantium</i> vaccine mixed with Montanide s/c and boosted after 2 and 12 months | Totte et al., 200 |

Table 1-1 Experimental designs of studies of responses by goat γδ T cells to infections^a

| Fasciolosis | Florida Sevillana | 4 mo | Liver, HLN | Groups: 1. uninfected 2. infected with <i>F</i>. <i>hepatica</i> and PBS and Quil A adjuvant 3. immunized with | Mendes et al., 2010 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | Malaguena | 4 mo | Whole blood | rSm14 with Quil A Groups: immunized with recombinant Cathepsin –L1 of <i>F</i>. <i>hepatica</i> immunized with glutathione-S- transferase in Quil A adjuvant | Zafra et al., 2013b |
| | Florida | 4 mo | Liver, HLN | adjuvant only Groups: uninfected infected immunized with Sm 14 + RIBI adjuvant s/c 3 doses | (Zafra et al., 2009) |
| | Florida | 4 mo | Liver, HLN | Groups: not immunized and uninfected infected and immunized with adjuvant only immunized with <i>F</i>. <i>hepatica</i> GST + Freund's adjuvant | Zafra et al., 2010 |
| Haemonchus contortus | Florida | 5 mo | Abomasal mucosa, abomasal LN | Groups: 1. uninfected 2. infected 10,000 infective dose 3. infected 20,000 infective dose | Perez et al., 2008 |
| Paratuberculosis | Murciano- Grandina | 3-5 yr | SI, LI | Goats with clinical signs of paratuberculosis and healthy controls | Navarro et al., 1998 |
| | Norwegian | 5-8 wk | Blood, liver, spleen, SI | Experimentally infected with MAP in milk replacer | Storset, 2000, 2001 |
| | Dairy | 2-3 wk | Blood | Vaccinated with MAP vaccine; IFNγ measured by ELISA at 24 weeks | Hasvold et al., 2002 |
| | NS | NS | Granuloma | Experimentally infected with MAP | Valheim et al., 2004 |

| | Thuringian Forest | 7-16 days | Jejunum Peyer's patches | Naturally infected or experimentally infected with MAP 10 times every 2-3 days in milk | Kruger et al., 2015 |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| | Thüringer Wald ziege | 10-21 days | GALT | Infected artificially 10 times every 2-4 days with MAP in milk replacer | Schinkothe et al., 2016 |
| | Boer | 8-9 wk | PBMC | Groups: 1. vaccinated with leuD mutuant M. av. ssp. paratuberculosis vaccine 2. vaccinated with PBS 3. vaccinated with M. av. ssp. paratuberculosis vaccine All were boosted at 3 wks. and challenged with M. av. ssp. paratuberculosis bacteria orally | Faisal et al., 2013 |
| | Norwegian | 2-3 wk | РВМС | Groups: 1. unvaccinated 2. vaccinated with a commercial vaccine with live attenuated bovine M. av. ssp. Paratuberculosis | Hasvold et al., 2002 |
| | Norwegian | 2.5-3.5 yr | РВМС | Groups: 1. uninfected 2. infected and exposed | Lybeck et al., 2009 |
| PPR | Outbred | 10-13 | Blood | Infected s/c or infected | Baron et al., |
| Schistosomiasis | West African dwarf | 3-4 mo | SI, MLN | Percutaneously exposed to <i>S. bovis</i> | Lindberg et al., 1999 |
| | Florida Sevillana | 4 mo | Liver, HLN | Groups: unimmunized and uninfected immunized with adjuvant only and infected with <i>F</i>. <i>hepatica</i> orally 13 wks after immunization vaccinated and infected with <i>F</i>. <i>hepatica</i> orally 13 | Zafra et al., 2010 |

| | | | | | wks after immunization | |
|-----|--------------|-----------------------|------------|---|---|------------------|
| | Tuberculosis | Murciano- Grandina | 3-5 yr | Blood, lung, mediastinal LN, spleen | Goats positive for TB using comparative intradermal tuberculin test were used for the study | Caro et al., 200 |
| 776 | a. NS, no | t specified, GA | ALT, gut a | associated lym | nphatic tissue; SI, smal | ll intestine; |
| 777 | MLN, 1 | mesenteric lyn | nph node; | HLN, hepatic | c lymph node; LI, larg | e intestine; LN, |
| 778 | lymph | node | | | | |
| 779 | | | | | | |

| mAbs | Target | Isotype of mAb | Studies in which the mAbs were used |
|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---|
| Clone 44.38 | CD4 | IgG2a | Baron <i>et al.</i> , 2014, Lybeck <i>et al.</i> , 2009, Lybeck <i>et al.</i> , 2013, Hasvold <i>et al.</i> , 2002, Valheim <i>et al.</i> , 2004, Higgins <i>et al.</i> , 2018, Zafra <i>et al.</i> , 2013 |
| Clone 44.97 | CD4 | IgG2a | Valheim et al., 2004 |
| GC1A | CD4 | IgG2a | Schinkothe <i>et al.</i> , 2016, Lybeck <i>et al.</i> , 2013, Elnaggar <i>et al.</i> , 2018, Davis and Ellis, 1991 |
| GC50A1 | CD4 | IgM | Kaba <i>et al.</i> , 2011, Jolly et al., 1997, Navarro <i>et al.</i> , 1998, Caro <i>et al.</i> , 2001, Esteves <i>et al.</i> , 2004, Totté <i>et al.</i> , 2002, Lindberg <i>et al.</i> , 1998, Zafra <i>et al.</i> , 2010, Mendes <i>et al.</i> , 2010, Davis and Ellis, 1991 |
| ILA11A | CD4 | IgG2a | Elnaggar et al., 2018, Davis and Ellis, 1991 |
| SBU-T4 | CD4 | IgG2a | Storset et al., 2001, Hasvold et al., 2002, Davis and Ellis, 1991 |
| CACT80C | CD8 | IgG1 | Kaba <i>et al.</i> , 2011, Jolly et al., 1997, Navarro <i>et al.</i> , 1998, Caro <i>et al.</i> , 2001, Lindberg <i>et al.</i> , 1998, Zafra <i>et al.</i> , 2010, Mendes <i>et al.</i> , 2010, Elnaggar <i>et al.</i> , 2018 |
| Clone CC63 | CD8 | IgG2a | Baron et al., 2014, SchinkÖthe <i>et al.</i> , 2016, Zafra <i>et al.</i> , 2013, Davis and Ellis, 1991 |
| Clone 38.65 | CD8 | IgG2a | Lybeck et al., 2009, Lybeck et al., 2013, Valheim et al., 2004 |
| SBU-T8 | CD8 | IgG2a | Storset et al., 2001, Hasvold et al., 2002, Valheim et al., 2002 |
| 7C2B | CD8 | IgG2a | Esteves et al., 2004, Totté et al., 2002, Elnaggar et al., 2018 |
| 86D | γδΤCR | IgG1 | Jolly et al., 1997, Storset et al., 2001, Lindberg et al., 1998 |
| САСТВ6А | TCR-N6 | IgM | Navarro <i>et al.</i> , 1998, Caro <i>et al.</i> , 2001, Zafra <i>et al.</i> , 2010, Mendes <i>et al.</i> , 2010, Kaba <i>et al.</i> , 2011, Perez et al., 2008, Seva <i>et al.</i> , 1998 |
| GB21A | δTCR | IgG2b | Lybeck <i>et al.</i> , 2009, Lybeck <i>et al.</i> , 2013, Kruger et al., 2015, SchinkÖthe <i>et al.</i> , 2016, Hasvold <i>et al.</i> , 2002, Valheim <i>et al.</i> , 2004, Elnaggar <i>et al.</i> , 2018 |
| CC15 | WC1 | IgG2a | Baliu-Pique et al., 2019; Higgins et al., 2018, Esteves et al., 2004, Totté et al., 2002, Zafra et al., 2013 |
| Clone 197 | WC1 | IgG2b | Baron et al., 2014, Lindberg et al., 1998 |
| 19.19 | WC1 | IgG1 | Jolly et al., 1997, Valheim et al., 2004 |
| BAQ4A | WC1-N2 | IgG1 | Kaba <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| CACTB31A | WC1-N3 | IgG1 | Kaba <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| SBU-T19 | WC1 | IgG | Guigeum et al., 1996 |
| GD3.5 | γδ marker | IgG | Jones et al., 2007 |
| Clone CC302 | IFNγ | IgG1 | Lybeck et al., 2013 |

780 Table 1-2 Monoclonal antibodies used to study goat lymphocyte antigens

| Clone 6.6 | IFNγ | IgG1 | Lybeck et al., 2013 |
|-----------|-------|------|---|
| MCA 1783 | IFNγ | IgG1 | Hasvold et al., 2002, Zafra et al., 2010, Mendes et al., 2010 |
| RIF60A | IFNγ | IgG1 | Elnaggar et al., 2018 |
| 7B6 | IFNγ | IgG1 | Esteves et al., 2004 |
| IL-17A2A | IL-17 | IgG1 | Elnaggar et al., 2018 |
| CACT116A | IL-2R | IgG1 | Caro et al., 2001 |
| LCTB2A | IL-2R | IgG3 | Hasvold et al., 2002 |
| | | | |

| | Study | Goat breed | Age | % T cell popu | Ca | | |
|-----|--|--|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | CD4 ⁺ | CD8 ⁺ | 86D α- γTCR | WC1 |
| | Tourais-Esteves | Alpine goat | 7-13 d | 10±3 | 21±9 | 4±2 | nd |
| | et al., 2008 | | | | | | |
| | Caro et al., 1998 | Murciano- | 1 wk | 24.1±5.2 | 17.8±2.8 | 20.6±1.6 | nd |
| | | granadina | 1 mo | 18.4±2.7 | 12.8±5.7 | 10.38±1.4 | nd |
| | | | 3 mo | 22.7±2.0 | 11.4±3.7 | 3.8±2.1 | nd |
| | | | 7 mo | 34.0±1.2 | 27.3±1.5 | 4.9±1.6 | nd |
| | Baliu-Pique et al., 2019 | White Saanen crossbred dairy goats | 8 wk | ~22 | ~17.5 | nd | 10.7 <u>+</u> 7.2 |
| | Zafra et al., 2013 | Malaguena | 4 mo | 33-37 | 9-13 | nd | 8-16 |
| | Navarro <i>et al.</i> , 1996 | Murciano- granadina | 7 mo | 35.5±7.0 | 27.4±10.0 | 6.6±3.4 | nd |
| | Jolly <i>et al</i> , 1997 | Nubian | 1.5-6 yr | 33.0±7.0 | 22.2±6.3 | 8.8 <u>+</u> 4.3 | 12.7 |
| | Caro et al., 2001 | Murciano- granadina | | 35.0 <u>+</u> 2.0 | 31.0 <u>+</u> 3.0 | 6.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | nd |
| | Kaba <i>et al.</i> , 2011 ^b | Dairy | 3-5 yr | 37.0±12.7 | 21.0±11.1 | 9.0±8.5 | nd |
| | Wilkerson <i>et al.</i> , 1995 | Unknown | Adult | 29.5 <u>+</u> 5.4 | 15.2 <u>+</u> 6.1 | 9.7 <u>+</u> 3.9 | nd |
| | Higgins et al., 2018 | Mixed breed | Adult | 22.9 | nd | nd | 4.5 |
| | | | | (18-37) | | | (1-7) |
| | Totté et al.,2002 | Creole goat | ns ^C | 18.9 | 20.0 | 14.0 | nd |
| 784 | a. mAbs used i | ncluded mAt | 86D tha | t sees one or r | nore of the | ΓCRγ chain | s of the six |
| 785 | available cha | available chains, but it is unknown which of those is recognized by this mAb. Mean | | | | | |
| 786 | \pm SD are sho | wn or the ran | iges of va | lue if in paren | theses under | the mean v | alue. |
| 787 | b. Kaba et al. (| b. Kaba <i>et al.</i> (2011) also stained for WC1-N2 (13.0±9.5%) and WC1-N3 (15.0±8.4) | | | | | |

Table 1-3 The percentage of T cells in goat blood arranged by age

c. ns, not shown; nd, not done.

data not shown here.

790

| Day of | PBMC Milk | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|--|
| lactation | CD4 ⁺ | CD8 ⁺ | WC1-N2 | CD4 ⁺ | CD8 ⁺ | WC1-N2 | | |
| 1 | 28.2±3.52 | 16.1±4.69 | 8.6±2.96 | 1.3±0.49 | 1.5±0.79 | 3.3±1.53 | | |
| 7 | 28.6±3.71 | 14.1±4.73 | 5.7±2.17 | 5.1±2.01 | 3.4±1.47 | 60.8±4.64 | | |
| 14 | 26.4±6.16 | 15.8±5.65 | 4.5±2.43 | 19.8±6.53 | 4.4±2.03 | 38.2±9.21 | | |
| 21 | 26.0±2.22 | 16.0±6.56 | 5.3±2.11 | 18.1±8.02 | 26.7±9.92 | 30.1±12.85 | | |
| 28 | 29.0±4.12 | 11.5±1.54 | 9.0±3.09 | 11.0±1.03 | 11.0±6.17 | 29.5±0.51 | | |
| 63 | 25.9±3.24 | 15.0±6.62 | 6.6±2.73 | 11.0±3.82 | 19.9±5.32 | 25.3±10.81 | | |

791 Table 1-4 The percentage of T cells in caprine blood and milk^a

a. The mAbs used were GC50A1 for CD4, CACT80A for CD8 and BAQ4A for WC1-

793 N2; data from Winnicka et al. (1999).

| Studies | Tissue | Age | T cell population | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--|
| | | | CD4 ⁺ | CD8 ⁺ | γδ | |
| Caro <i>et al.</i> (1998) | MLN | 1 wk | 46.3±5.3 | 25.5±5.9 | 2.9±1.0 | |
| | | 1 mo | 36.8±7.8 | 17.1±4.9 | 2.5±1 | |
| | | 3 mo | 49.4±1.8 | 14.3±3.5 | 2.2±0 | |
| | | 7 mo | 33.2±5.2 | 14.0±2.3 | 1.8±0 | |
| | JPP | 1 wk | 7.8±3.2 | 21.1±1.2 | 3.9±2 | |
| | | 1 mo | 11.6±8.0 | 70.4±12.0 | 7.3±3 | |
| | | 3 mo | 16.8±2.1 | 27.5±3.5 | 4.9±1 | |
| | | 7 mo | 15.7±3.0 | 35.6±5.0 | 2.3±0 | |
| | IPP | 1 wk | 5.6±2.0 | 4.9±1.4 | 3.8±3 | |
| | | 1 mo | 4.6±0.5 | 6.6±1.9 | 5.6±2 | |
| | | 3 mo | 3.0±2.2 | 6.9±2.8 | 1.4±1 | |
| | | 7 mo | 16.2±1.2 | 8.7±3.5 | 1.5±0 | |
| | Spleen | ns ^b | 5 to 14 | 28 to 32 | 5 to 8 | |
| Navarro <i>et al</i> . (1996) | IPP | 7 mo | 33.3±5.3 | 14.3±2.8 | 1.8±0 | |
| () | Spleen | 7 mo | 10.8±5.4 | 26.3±10.2 | 7.7±2 | |

Table 1-5 The percentage of T cell populations in various tissues of young goats^a

a. Goats used were Murciano gradina breed; mAbs used were GC50A1 for CD4,
CACT80A for CD8 and CACTB6A for γδ; MLN, mesenteric lymph nodes; JPP,
Jejunal Peyer's patches, IPP, ileal Peyer's patches.

b. ns, not specified

| | | • | T cell popu | lation | | % of cel produci | ls ng: |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Immuniz d with | e Chall enge d | Tissue | CD4 ⁺ | CD8 ⁺ | γδ | IL-4 | ΙΓΝγ |
| - | - | HLN ^b | 69.5±11.4 | 30.5±7.6 | 12.4±3.3 | 0.03±0.4 | 0.01±0.01 |
| Adjuvan Only | t + | | 779.3±345.5 | 392.3±303.1 | 135.7±3.3 | 1.8±0.8 | 0.05±0.02 |
| GST+ Adjuvan | + t | | 664.0±267.6 | 134.6±16.3 | 105.8±28.4 | 1.6±0.7 | 0.06±0.01 |
| - | - | Liver | 6.2±2.2 | 6.0±2.2 | 0.3±0.1 | - | - |
| Adjuvan Only | t + | | 165.7±16.8 | 89±18.8 ^{*c} | $8.7 \pm 0.5^{*}$ | - | - |
| GST+ Adjuvan | + | | 142.8±9.7 | 55.5±14.3* | 2.5±0.8* | - | - |
| a. Da | ta from Z | afra <i>et al</i> | <i>l</i> . (2010) The | mAbs used w | were GC50A | 1 for CD4 | , CACT80A |
| for | CD8 and | CACTB | 6A for γδ TC | $R. Mean \pm S$ | D is shown. | | |
| b. HL | N = hepa | tic lympl | h node, Interf | ollicular | | | |
| c. * = | significa | nt differe | ence | | | | |
| d. +, challenged, -, not challenged and/or immunized | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 1-6 T cells in hepatic tissues in *F. hepatica* infected goat after vaccination^a

| Patnogen | Disease caused | Responses by $\gamma\delta$ 1 cells |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| B. melitensis | Brucellosis | A high percentage of WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells make IFN γ in response to infection with the virulent strain although there is no change in their representation in blood |
| CAEV virus | Caprine arthritis encephalitis | $\gamma\delta$ T cells including WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells increase in representation in blood of infected goats |
| E. ruminantium | Cowdriosis | Increase in IL-2R on WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in vaccinated goats in <i>in vitro</i> recall responses; IFN γ is produced by some WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells but not as consistently as by CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ T cells |
| F. hepatica | Fasciolosis | $\gamma\delta$ T cells including WC1 ⁺ cells infiltrate the liver and hepatic lymph nodes but make only low levels of IFN γ |
| H. contortus | Barber Pole worm | Increase of 10-20 fold of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in abomasum but still a minor population of T cells |
| M. av. ssp. paratuberculosis | Paratuberculosis | $\gamma\delta$ T cells are found in high numbers in the blood, ileum and colon with WC1 ⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells being the majority in the epithelia in the gut, although WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells are found in the lamina propria outside of granulomas; $\gamma\delta$ T cells make IFN γ when IL-10 is neutralized and they express IL-2R in antigen- stimulated cultures |
| M. caprae | Tuberculosis | Limited role in either protection or pathogenesis |
| PPR virus | Peste des petit ruminants | No change in the percentage of WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells or other T cells with a role for IL-10 shown in dampening pro-inflammatory responses |
| S. bovis | Schistosomiasis | WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells are found in granulomas, the submucosa and intestinal epithelium |

808Table 1-7 Summary of major caprine γδ T cell responses to pathogensaPathogenDisease causedResponses by γδ T cells

a. See sections in text for the complete descriptions of the studies' results.



- 813
- 814 Figure 1.1 Global distribution of goats.
- 815 See <u>http://www.fao.org.livestock-systems/en/</u>. The Creative Commons license is found at
- 816 <u>http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0</u>. Originally published in Gilbert et al.,
- 817 2018 (Gilbert et al., 2018)
- 818

| 819 | Bibliography |
|-----|---|
| 820 | |
| 821 | Asfaw, W. 2003. Influence of animal diseases and sanitary regulations on livestock export trade |
| 822 | and cases of export restrictions. In: Challenges and Opportunities of Livestock |
| 823 | Marketing in Ethiopia, Proc. 10th Annual conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal |
| 824 | Production (ESAP), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 14pp. |
| 825 | Aziz, M.A., 2010. Present status of the world goat populations and their productivity. World 861. |
| 826 | 1. |
| 827 | Bailey, D., Banyard, A., Dash, P., Ozkul, A., Barrett, T., 2005. Full genome sequence of peste des |
| 828 | petits ruminants virus, a member of the Morbillivirus genus. Virus Res 110, 119-124. |
| 829 | Baldwin, C.L., Sathiyaseelan, T., Rocchi, M., McKeever, D., 2000. Rapid changes occur in the |
| 830 | percentage of circulating bovine WC1(+)gamma delta Th1 cells. Res Vet Sci 69, 175-180. |
| 831 | Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2015. The bovine model for elucidating the role of gammadelta T cells |
| 832 | in controlling infectious diseases of importance to cattle and humans. Mol Immunol 66, |
| 833 | 35-47. |
| 834 | Baldwin, C.L., Yirsaw, A., Gillespie, A., Le Page, L., Zhang, F., Damani-Yokota, P., Telfer, J.C., 2019. |
| 835 | gammadelta T cells in livestock: Responses to pathogens and vaccine potential. |
| 836 | Transbound Emerg Dis 00, 1–10. |
| 837 | Baliu-Pique, M., Kurniawan, H., Ravesloot, L., Verheij, M.W., Drylewicz, J., Lievaart-Peterson, K., |
| 838 | Borghans, J.A.M., Koets, A., Tesselaar, K., 2019. Age-related distribution and dynamics of |
| 839 | T-cells in blood and lymphoid tissues of goats. Dev Comp Immunol 93, 1-10. |
| 840 | Baron, J., Bin-Tarif, A., Herbert, R., Frost, L., Taylor, G., Baron, M.D., 2014. Early changes in |
| 841 | cytokine expression in peste des petits ruminants disease. Vet Res 45, 22. |
| 842 | Basagoudanavar, S.H., Hosamani, M., 2013. Trans-boundary diseases of animals: mounting |
| 843 | concerns. Vetscan 7, 5. |
| 844 | Basham, T.Y., Merigan, T.C., 1983. Recombinant interferon-gamma increases HLA-DR synthesis |
| 845 | and expression. J Immunol 130, 1492-1494. |
| 846 | Beard, P.M., Daniels, M.J., Henderson, D., Pirie, A., Rudge, K., Buxton, D., Rhind, S., Greig, A., |
| 847 | Hutchings, M.R., McKendrick, I., Stevenson, K., Sharp, J.M., 2001. Paratuberculosis |
| 848 | infection of nonruminant wildlife in Scotland. J Clin Microbiol 39, 1517-1521. |
| 849 | Beard, P.M., Rhind, S.M., Sinclair, M.C., Wildblood, L.A., Stevenson, K., McKendrick, I.J., Sharp, |
| 850 | J.M., Jones, D.G., 2000. Modulation of gammadelta T cells and CD1 in Mycobacterium |
| 851 | avium subsp. paratuberculosis infection. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 77, 311-319. |
| 852 | Bertotto, A., Gerli, R., Spinozzi, F., Muscat, C., Scalise, F., Castellucci, G., Sposito, M., Candio, F., |
| 853 | Vaccaro, R., 1993. Lymphocytes bearing the gamma delta T cell receptor in acute |
| 854 | Brucella melitensis infection. Eur J Immunol 23, 1177-1180. |
| 855 | Bezos, J., Alvarez, J., Romero, B., Aranaz, A., Juan, L., 2012. Tuberculosis in goats: assessment of |
| 856 | current in vivo cell-mediated and antibody-based diagnostic assays. Vet J 191, 161-165. |
| 857 | Blumerman, S.L., Herzig, C.T., Baldwin, C.L., 2007. WC1+ gammadelta T cell memory population |
| 858 | is induced by killed bacterial vaccine. Eur J Immunol 37, 1204-1216. |
| 859 | Bonneville, M., O'Brien, R.L., Born, W.K., 2010. Gammadelta T cell effector functions: a blend of |
| 860 | innate programming and acquired plasticity. Nat Rev Immunol 10, 467-478. |
| 861 | Buffoni, L., Zafra, R., Perez-Ecija, A., Martinez-Moreno, F.J., Martinez-Galisteo, E., Moreno, T., |
| 862 | Perez, J., Martinez-Moreno, A., 2010. Immune response of goats immunised with |
| 863 | glutathione S-transferase and experimentally challenged with Fasciola hepatica. |
| 864 | Parasitol Int 59, 147-153. |

| 865 | Buus, T.B., Odum, N., Geisler, C., Lauritsen, J.P.H., 2017. Three distinct developmental pathways |
|-----|--|
| 866 | for adaptive and two IFN-gamma-producing gammadelta T subsets in adult thymus. Nat |
| 867 | Commun 8, 1911. |
| 868 | Buza, J., Kiros, T., Zerihun, A., Abraham, I., Ameni, G., 2009. Vaccination of calves with |
| 869 | Mycobacteria bovis Bacilli Calmete Guerin (BCG) induced rapid increase in the |
| 870 | proportion of peripheral blood gammadelta T cells. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 130, |
| 871 | 251-255. |
| 872 | Cadmus, S.I., Adesokan, H.K., Jenkins, A.O., van Soolingen, D., 2009. Mycobacterium bovis and |
| 873 | M. tuberculosis in goats. Emerg Infect Dis 15, 2066-2067. |
| 874 | Caro, M.R., Gallego, M.C., Buendia, A.J., Del Rio, L., Seva, J., Navarro, J.A., 2001, Differences in |
| 875 | lymphocyte subpopulations from peripheral blood and lymphoid organs in natural |
| 876 | caprine tuberculosis infection. J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health 48, 81-88. |
| 877 | Caro, M.R., Gallego, M.C., Buendia, A.L., Navarro, F., Navarro, I.A., 1998. Postnatal evolution of |
| 878 | lymphocyte subpopulations in peripheral blood and lymphoid organs in the goat. Res |
| 879 | Vet Sci 65, 145-148. |
| 880 | Chan, J.K., Kaufman, S.H.E., 1984. Immune mechanisms of protection. ASM Press. Washington. |
| 881 | DC. 26 p. |
| 882 | Chauvin, A., Bouvet, G., Boulard, C., 1995. Humoral and cellular immune responses to Fasciola |
| 883 | hepatica experimental primary and secondary infection in sheep. Int J Parasitol 25. |
| 884 | 1227-1241. |
| 885 | Chen, Z.W., 2011. Immune biology of Ag-specific gammadelta T cells in infections. Cell Mol Life |
| 886 | Sci 68. 2409-2417. |
| 887 | Chen, Z.W., 2013. Multifunctional immune responses of HMBPP-specific Vgamma2Vdelta2 T |
| 888 | cells in M. tuberculosis and other infections. Cell Mol Immunol 10, 58-64. |
| 889 | Chen, Z.W., Letvin, N.L., 2003. Vgamma2Vdelta2+ T cells and anti-microbial immune responses. |
| 890 | Microbes Infect 5, 491-498. |
| 891 | Chiodini, R.J., Davis, W.C., 1992. The cellular immunology of boyine paratuberculosis: the |
| 892 | predominant response is mediated by cytotoxic gamma/delta T lymphocytes which |
| 893 | prevent CD4+ T cells activity. Microb Pathog 13, 447-463. |
| 894 | Chitsulo, L., Loverde, P., Engels, D., 2004, Schistosomiasis, Nat Rev Microbiol 2, 12-13. |
| 895 | Crawshaw, T., Daniel, R., Clifton-Hadley, R., Clark, J., Evans, H., Rolfe, S., de la Rua-Domenech, R., |
| 896 | 2008. TB in goats caused by Mycobacterium boyis. Vet Rec 163, 127. |
| 897 | Davis, W.C., Naessens, J., Brown, W.C., Ellis, J.A., Hamilton, M.J., Cantor, G.H., Barbosa, J.I., |
| 898 | Eerens, W., Bohach, G.A., 1996, Analysis of monoclonal antibodies reactive with |
| 899 | molecules upregulated or expressed only on activated lymphocytes. Vet Immunol |
| 900 | Immunopathol 52, 301-311 |
| 901 | de Silva, K., K. M.P., D. J.B., A. C.P., R. J.W., 2015, CD4(+) T-cells, gammadelta T-cells and B-cells |
| 902 | are associated with lack of vaccine protection in Mycobacterium avium subspecies |
| 903 | paratuberculosis infection. Vaccine 33, 149-155. |
| 904 | Dorneles, F.M., Teixeira-Carvalho, A., Araujo, M.S., Lima, G.K., Martins-Filho, O.A. |
| 905 | Sriranganathan, N., Lage, A.P., 2014. T lymphocytes subsets and cytokine pattern |
| 906 | induced by vaccination against boying brucellosis employing \$19 calfbood vaccination |
| 907 | and adult RB51 revaccination. Vaccine 32, 6034-6038 |
| 908 | Duguma G. Mirkena T. Haile A. Okevo A.M. Tibbo M. Rischkowsky B. Solkner J. |
| 909 | Wurzinger, M., 2011. Identification of smallholder farmers and nastoralists' preferences |
| 910 | for sheep breeding traits: choice model approach Animal 5, 1984-1992 |
| 510 | is sheep breeding transitioner model upprodelit Amindro, 1904 1992. |
| 911 | Elnaggar, M.M., Abdellrazeq, G.S., Dassanayake, R.P., Fry, L.M., Hulubei, V., Davis, W.C., 2018. |
|-----|--|
| 912 | Characterization of alphabeta and gammadelta T cell subsets expressing IL-17A in |
| 913 | ruminants and swine. Dev Comp Immunol 85, 115-124. |
| 914 | Esteves, I., Walravens, K., Vachiery, N., Martinez, D., Letesson, J.J., Totte, P., 2004. Protective |
| 915 | killed Ehrlichia ruminantium vaccine elicits IFN-gamma responses by CD4+ and CD8+ T |
| 916 | lymphocytes in goats. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 98, 49-57. |
| 917 | Faisal, S.M., Chen, J.W., Yan, F., Chen, T.T., Useh, N.M., Yan, W., Guo, S., Wang, S.J., Glaser, A.L., |
| 918 | McDonough, S.P., Singh, B., Davis, W.C., Akey, B.L., Chang, Y.F., 2013a. Evaluation of a |
| 919 | Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis leuD mutant as a vaccine candidate |
| 920 | against challenge in a caprine model. Clin Vaccine Immunol 20, 572-581. |
| 921 | Faisal, S.M., Yan, F., Chen, T.T., Useh, N.M., Guo, S., Yan, W., Wang, S.J., Glaser, A.L., |
| 922 | McDonough, S.P., Singh, B., Chang, Y.F., 2013b. Evaluation of a Salmonella vectored |
| 923 | vaccine expressing Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis antigens against |
| 924 | challenge in a goat model. PLoS One 8, e70171. |
| 925 | Fentahun, T., Woldie, M., 2012. Review on peste des petits ruminants (PPR). European Journal |
| 926 | of Applied Sciences 4, 8. |
| 927 | Gewa, C.A., Weiss, R.E., Bwibo, N.O., Whaley, S., Sigman, M., Murphy, S.P., Harrison, G., |
| 928 | Neumann, C.G., 2009. Dietary micronutrients are associated with higher cognitive |
| 929 | function gains among primary school children in rural Kenya. Br J Nutr 101, 1378-1387. |
| 930 | Gilbert, M., Nicolas, G., Cinardi, G., Van Boeckel, T.P., Vanwambeke, S.O., Wint, G.R.W., |
| 931 | Robinson, T.P., 2018. Global distribution data for cattle, buffaloes, horses, sheep, goats, |
| 932 | pigs, chickens and ducks in 2010. Sci Data 5, 180227. |
| 933 | Goenka, R., Parent, M.A., Elzer, P.H., Baldwin, C.L., 2011. B cell-deficient mice display markedly |
| 934 | enhanced resistance to the intracellular bacterium Brucella abortus. J Infect Dis 203, |
| 935 | 1136-1146. |
| 936 | Gryseels, B., Polman, K., Clerinx, J., Kestens, L., 2006. Human schistosomiasis. Lancet 368, 1106- |
| 937 | 1118. |
| 938 | Guiguen, F., Greenland, T., Pardo, E., Panaye, G., Mornex, J.F., 1996. Flow cytometric analysis of |
| 939 | goat milk lymphocytes: subpopulations and adhesion molecule expression. Vet Immunol |
| 940 | Immunopathol 53, 173-178. |
| 941 | Gunter, N., Esteves, I., Kandassamy, Y., Martinez, D., Bensaid, A., Van Kleef, M., Du Plessis, D., |
| 942 | Totte, P., 2002. Cowdria ruminantium antigens of around 15 kDa are potent inducers of |
| 943 | IFN-gamma. Ann N Y Acad Sci 969, 135-140. |
| 944 | Guzman, E., Hope, J., Taylor, G., Smith, A.L., Cubillos-Zapata, C., Charleston, B., 2014. Bovine |
| 945 | gammadelta T cells are a major regulatory T cell subset. J Immunol 193, 208-222. |
| 946 | Guzman, E., Price, S., Poulsom, H., Hope, J., 2012. Bovine gammadelta T cells: cells with multiple |
| 947 | functions and important roles in immunity. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 148, 161-167. |
| 948 | Hahn, Y.S., Taube, C., Jin, N., Takeda, K., Park, J.W., Wands, J.M., Aydintug, M.K., Roark, C.L., |
| 949 | Lahn, M., O'Brien, R.L., Gelfand, E.W., Born, W.K., 2003. V gamma 4+ gamma delta T |
| 950 | cells regulate airway hyperreactivity to methacholine in ovalbumin-sensitized and |
| 951 | challenged mice. J Immunol 171, 3170-3178. |
| 952 | Hamada, S., Umemura, M., Shiono, T., Tanaka, K., Yahagi, A., Begum, M.D., Oshiro, K., Okamoto, |
| 953 | Y., Watanabe, H., Kawakami, K., Roark, C., Born, W.K., O'Brien, R., Ikuta, K., Ishikawa, H., |
| 954 | Nakae, S., Iwakura, Y., Ohta, T., Matsuzaki, G., 2008. IL-17A produced by gammadelta T |
| 955 | cells plays a critical role in innate immunity against Listeria monocytogenes infection in |
| 956 | the liver. J Immunol 181, 3456-3463. |

957 Hanby-Flarida, M.D., Trask, O.J., Yang, T.J., Baldwin, C.L., 1996. Modulation of WC1, a lineage-958 specific cell surface molecule of gamma/delta T cells augments cellular proliferation. 959 Immunology 88, 116-123. 960 Harris, N.B., Barletta, R.G., 2001. Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis in veterinary 961 medicine. Clin Microbiol Rev 14, 489-512. 962 Hasvold, H.J., Valheim, M., Berntsen, G., Storset, A.K., 2002. In vitro responses to purified 963 protein derivate of caprine T lymphocytes following vaccination with live strains of 964 Mycobacterium avium subsp paratuberculosis. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 90, 79-89. 965 Hayday, A., Theodoridis, E., Ramsburg, E., Shires, J., 2001. Intraepithelial lymphocytes: exploring 966 the third way in immunology. Nat Immunol 2, 997-1003. 967 Hayday, A.C., 2000. [gamma][delta] cells: a right time and a right place for a conserved third way 968 of protection. Annu Rev Immunol 18, 975-1026. 969 Hayday, A.C., Saito, H., Gillies, S.D., Kranz, D.M., Tanigawa, G., Eisen, H.N., Tonegawa, S., 1985. 970 Structure, organization, and somatic rearrangement of T cell gamma genes. Cell 40, 259-971 269. 972 Hein, W.R., Dudler, L., 1997. TCR gamma delta+ cells are prominent in normal bovine skin and 973 express a diverse repertoire of antigen receptors. Immunology 91, 58-64. 974 Henry, T., Kirimanjeswara, G.S., Ruby, T., Jones, J.W., Peng, K., Perret, M., Ho, L., Sauer, J.D., 975 Iwakura, Y., Metzger, D.W., Monack, D.M., 2010. Type I IFN signaling constrains IL-17A/F 976 secretion by gammadelta T cells during bacterial infections. J Immunol 184, 3755-3767. 977 Herzig, C.T., Waters, R.W., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2010. Evolution of the CD163 family and its 978 relationship to the bovine gamma delta T cell co-receptor WC1. BMC Evol Biol 10, 181. 979 Higgins, J.L., Bowen, R.A., Gonzalez-Juarrero, M., 2018. Cell mediated immune response in goats 980 after experimental challenge with the virulent Brucella melitensis strain 16M and the 981 reduced virulence strain Rev. 1. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 202, 74-84. 982 Hiko, A., Agga, G.E., 2011. First-time detection of mycobacterium species from goats in Ethiopia. 983 Trop Anim Health Prod 43, 133-139. 984 Hiromatsu, K., Yoshikai, Y., Matsuzaki, G., Ohga, S., Muramori, K., Matsumoto, K., Bluestone, 985 J.A., Nomoto, K., 1992. A protective role of gamma/delta T cells in primary infection with 986 Listeria monocytogenes in mice. J Exp Med 175, 49-56. Hoek, A., Rutten, V.P., Kool, J., Arkesteijn, G.J., Bouwstra, R.J., Van Rhijn, I., Koets, A.P., 2009. 987 988 Subpopulations of bovine WC1(+) gammadelta T cells rather than CD4(+)CD25(high) 989 Foxp3(+) T cells act as immune regulatory cells ex vivo. Vet Res 40, 6. 990 Holderness, J., Hedges, J.F., Ramstead, A., Jutila, M.A., 2013. Comparative biology of 991 gammadelta T cell function in humans, mice, and domestic animals. Annu Rev Anim 992 Biosci 1, 99-124. 993 Hope, J.C., Thom, M.L., Villarreal-Ramos, B., Vordermeier, H.M., Hewinson, R.G., Howard, C.J., 994 2005. Vaccination of neonatal calves with Mycobacterium bovis BCG induces protection 995 against intranasal challenge with virulent M. bovis. Clin Exp Immunol 139, 48-56. 996 Hsu, H., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2015a. The endocytosis and signaling of the gammadelta T cell 997 coreceptor WC1 are regulated by a dileucine motif. J Immunol 194, 2399-2406. 998 Hsu, H., Chen, C., Nenninger, A., Holz, L., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2015b. WC1 is a hybrid 999 gammadelta TCR coreceptor and pattern recognition receptor for pathogenic bacteria. J 1000 Immunol 194, 2280-2288. 1001 Inagaki-Ohara, K., Sakamoto, Y., Dohi, T., Smith, A.L., 2011. gammadelta T cells play a protective 1002 role during infection with Nippostrongylus brasiliensis by promoting goblet cell function 1003 in the small intestine. Immunology 134, 448-458.

1004 Ismail, H.I., Hashimoto, Y., Kon, Y., Okada, K., Davis, W.C., Iwanaga, T., 1996. Lymphocyte 1005 subpopulations in the mammary gland of the goat. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 52, 201-1006 212. 1007 Jasmer, D.P., Karanu, F., Davis, W.C., McGuire, T.S., 2003. Abomasal lymph node responses to Haemonchus contortus intestinal antigens established in kid goats by infection or 1008 1009 immunization with intestinal antigens. Parasite Immunol 25, 59-68. 1010 Jiang, X., Baldwin, C.L., 1993. Effects of cytokines on intracellular growth of Brucella abortus. 1011 Infect Immun 61, 124-134. 1012 Jolly, P.E., Gangopadhyay, A., Chen, S., Reddy, P.G., Weiss, H.L., Sapp, W.J., 1997. Changes in the 1013 leukocyte phenotype profile of goats infected with the caprine arthritis encephalitis 1014 virus. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 56, 97-106. 1015 Jones, W.M., Lexen, R.C., Burgess, P.L., Blackburn, S.L., 2007. Bovine lineage-specific gamma 1016 delta (gammadelta) T cell GD3.5 antibody cross-reacts with cell surface antigens on 1017 ovine and caprine lymphocytes. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 116, 226-229. 1018 Kaba, J., Winnicka, A., Zaleska, M., Nowicki, M., Bagnicka, E., 2011. Influence of chronic caprine 1019 arthritis-encephalitis virus infection on the population of peripheral blood leukocytes. 1020 Pol J Vet Sci 14, 585-590. 1021 Kabelitz, D., Bender, A., Prospero, T., Wesselborg, S., Janssen, O., Pechhold, K., 1991. The 1022 primary response of human gamma/delta+ T cells to Mycobacterium tuberculosis is 1023 restricted to V gamma 9-bearing cells. J Exp Med 173, 1331-1338. 1024 Kaufmann, S.H., Ladel, C.H., 1994. Role of T cell subsets in immunity against intracellular 1025 bacteria: experimental infections of knock-out mice with Listeria monocytogenes and 1026 Mycobacterium bovis BCG. Immunobiology 191, 509-519. 1027 Kennedy, H.E., Welsh, M.D., Bryson, D.G., Cassidy, J.P., Forster, F.I., Howard, C.J., Collins, R.A., 1028 Pollock, J.M., 2002. Modulation of immune responses to Mycobacterium bovis in cattle 1029 depleted of WC1(+) gamma delta T cells. Infect Immun 70, 1488-1500. 1030 Koets, A., Rutten, V., Hoek, A., van Mil, F., Muller, K., Bakker, D., Gruys, E., van Eden, W., 2002. 1031 Progressive bovine paratuberculosis is associated with local loss of CD4(+) T cells, 1032 increased frequency of gamma delta T cells, and related changes in T-cell function. 1033 Infect Immun 70, 3856-3864. 1034 Kruger, C., Kohler, H., Liebler-Tenorio, E.M., 2015. Sequential development of lesions 3, 6, 9, and 1035 12 months after experimental infection of goat kids with Mycobacterium avium subsp 1036 paratuberculosis. Vet Pathol 52, 276-290. 1037 Lindberg, R., Johansen, M.V., Nilsson, C., Nansen, P., 1999. An immunohistological study of 1038 phenotypic characteristics of cells of the inflammatory response in the intestine of 1039 Schistosoma bovis-infected goats. Parasitology 118, 91-99. 1040 Little, D., Alzuherri, H.M., Clarke, C.J., 1996. Phenotypic characterisation of intestinal 1041 lymphocytes in ovine paratuberculosis by immunohistochemistry. Vet Immunol 1042 Immunopathol 55, 175-187. 1043 Lybeck, K.R., Lovoll, M., Johansen, T.B., Olsen, I., Storset, A.K., Valheim, M., 2013. Intestinal 1044 strictures, fibrous adhesions and high local interleukin-10 levels in goats infected 1045 naturally with Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis. J Comp Pathol 148, 157-1046 172. 1047 Lybeck, K.R., Storset, A.K., Olsen, I., 2009. Neutralization of interleukin-10 from CD14(+) 1048 monocytes enhances gamma interferon production in peripheral blood mononuclear 1049 cells from Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis-infected goats. Clin Vaccine 1050 Immunol 16, 1003-1011.

1051 Machugh, N.D., Mburu, J.K., Carol, M.J., Wyatt, C.R., Orden, J.A., Davis, W.C., 1997. Identification 1052 of two distinct subsets of bovine gamma delta T cells with unique cell surface phenotype 1053 and tissue distribution. Immunology 92, 340-345. 1054 Mackay, C.R., Beya, M.F., Matzinger, P., 1989. Gamma/delta T cells express a unique surface 1055 molecule appearing late during thymic development. Eur J Immunol 19, 1477-1483. 1056 Mackay, C.R., Hein, W.R., 1989. A large proportion of bovine T cells express the gamma delta T 1057 cell receptor and show a distinct tissue distribution and surface phenotype. Int Immunol 1058 1, 540-545. 1059 Mackay, C.R., Hein, W.R., 1991. Marked variations in gamma delta T cell numbers and 1060 distribution throughout the life of sheep. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 173, 107-111. 1061 Mackay, C.R., Maddox, J.F., Brandon, M.R., 1986. Three distinct subpopulations of sheep T 1062 lymphocytes. Eur J Immunol 16, 19-25. 1063 Mackay, C.R., Marston, W.L., Dudler, L., 1990. Naive and memory T cells show distinct pathways 1064 of lymphocyte recirculation. J Exp Med 171, 801-817. 1065 Martinez, C.M., Buendia, A.J., Sanchez, J., Navarro, J.A., 2005. Immunophenotypical 1066 characterization of lymphocyte subpopulations of the uterus of non-pregnant and 1067 pregnant goats. Anat Histol Embryol 34, 240-246. 1068 Mas-Coma, S., Bargues, M.D., Valero, M.A., 2014a. Diagnosis of human fascioliasis by stool and 1069 blood techniques: update for the present global scenario. Parasitology 141, 1918-1946. 1070 Mas-Coma, S., Valero, M.A., Bargues, M.D., 2014b. Fascioliasis. Adv Exp Med Biol 766, 77-114. 1071 McCauley, E.H., Majid, A.A., Tayeb, A., 1984. Economic evaluation of the production impact of 1072 bovine schistosomiasis and vaccination in the Sudan. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 2, 1073 19. 1074 McClure, S.J., Davey, R.L., Emery, D.L., Colditz, I.G., Lloyd, J.B., 1996. In vivo depletion of T-cells 1075 and cytokines during primary exposure of sheep to parasites. Vet Immunol 1076 Immunopathol 54, 83-90. 1077 McGill, J.L., Nonnecke, B.J., Lippolis, J.D., Reinhardt, T.A., Sacco, R.E., 2013. Differential 1078 chemokine and cytokine production by neonatal bovine gammadelta T-cell subsets in 1079 response to viral toll-like receptor agonists and in vivo respiratory syncytial virus 1080 infection. Immunology 139, 227-244. McGill, J.L., Sacco, R.E., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., Palmer, M.V., Waters, W.R., 2014. Specific 1081 1082 recognition of mycobacterial protein and peptide antigens by gamma delta T cell 1083 subsets following infection with virulent Mycobacterium bovis. J Immunol 192, 2756-1084 2769. 1085 Meeusen, E., Fox, A., Brandon, M., Lee, C.S., 1993. Activation of uterine intraepithelial gamma 1086 delta T cell receptor-positive lymphocytes during pregnancy. Eur J Immunol 23, 1112-1087 1117. 1088 Meeusen, E., Lee, C.S., Rickard, M.D., Brandon, M.R., 1995. Cellular responses during liver fluke 1089 infection in sheep and its evasion by the parasite. Parasite Immunol 17, 37-45. 1090 Melandri, D., Zlatareva, I., Chaleil, R.A.G., Dart, R.J., Chancellor, A., Nussbaumer, O., Polyakova, 1091 O., Roberts, N.A., Wesch, D., Kabelitz, D., Irving, P.M., John, S., Mansour, S., Bates, P.A., 1092 Vantourout, P., Hayday, A.C., 2018. The gammadeltaTCR combines innate immunity 1093 with adaptive immunity by utilizing spatially distinct regions for agonist selection and 1094 antigen responsiveness. Nat Immunol 19, 1352-1365. 1095 Mendes, R.E., Zafra, R., Perez-Ecija, R.A., Buffoni, L., Martinez-Moreno, A., Tendler, M., Perez, J., 1096 2010. Evaluation of local immune response to Fasciola hepatica experimental infection 1097 in the liver and hepatic lymph nodes of goats immunized with Sm14 vaccine antigen. 1098 Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 105, 698-705.

1099 Munoz-Ruiz, M., Sumaria, N., Pennington, D.J., Silva-Santos, B., 2017. Thymic determinants of 1100 gammadelta T cell differentiation. Trends Immunol 38, 336-344. 1101 Napp, S., Allepuz, A., Mercader, I., Nofrarias, M., Lopez-Soria, S., Domingo, M., Romero, B., 1102 Bezos, J., Perez de Val, B., 2013. Evidence of goats acting as domestic reservoirs of 1103 bovine tuberculosis. Vet Rec 172, 663. 1104 Navarro, J.A., Caro, M.R., Seva, J., Rosillo, M.C., Gomez, M.A., Gallego, M.C., 1996. Study of 1105 lymphocyte subpopulations in peripheral blood and secondary lymphoid organs in the 1106 goat using monoclonal antibodies to surface markers of bovine lymphocytes. Vet 1107 Immunol Immunopathol 51, 147-156. 1108 Navarro, J.A., Ramis, G., Seva, J., Pallares, F.J., Sanchez, J., 1998. Changes in lymphocyte subsets 1109 in the intestine and mesenteric lymph nodes in caprine paratuberculosis. J Comp Pathol 1110 118, 109-121. 1111 Neumann, C.G., Bwibo, N.O., Murphy, S.P., Sigman, M., Whaley, S., Allen, L.H., Guthrie, D., 1112 Weiss, R.E., Demment, M.W., 2003. Animal source foods improve dietary quality, 1113 micronutrient status, growth and cognitive function in Kenyan school children: 1114 background, study design and baseline findings. J Nutr 133, 3941S-3949S. 1115 Nyindo, M., Lukambagire, A.H., 2015. Fascioliasis: an ongoing zoonotic trematode infection. 1116 Biomed Res Int 2015, 786195. 1117 Ott, S.L., Wells, S.J., Wagner, B.A., 1999. Herd-level economic losses associated with Johne's 1118 disease on US dairy operations. Prev Vet Med 40, 179-192. 1119 Patterson, D.M., Jackson, F., Huntley, J.F., Stevenson, L.M., Jones, D.G., Jackson, E., Russel, A.J., 1120 1996. Studies on caprine responsiveness to nematodiasis: segregation of male goats into 1121 responders and non-responders. Int J Parasitol 26, 187-194. 1122 Paul, S., Shilpi, Lal, G., 2015. Role of gamma-delta (gammadelta) T cells in autoimmunity. J 1123 Leukoc Biol 97, 259-271. 1124 Paul, S., Singh, A.K., Shilpi, Lal, G., 2014. Phenotypic and functional plasticity of gamma-delta 1125 (gammadelta) T cells in inflammation and tolerance. Int Rev Immunol 33, 537-558. 1126 Peacock, C. 1996. Caprine arthritis encephalitis. Improving goat production in the tropics: a 1127 manual for development workers. (UK, Oxford:Oxfam), 208. 1128 Perez, J., de las Mulas, J.M., De Lara, F.C., Gutierrez-Palomino, P.N., Becerra-Martel, C., 1129 Martinez-Moreno, A., 1998. Immunohistochemical study of the local immune response 1130 to Fasciola hepatica in primarily and secondarily infected goats. Vet Immunol 1131 Immunopathol 64, 337-348. 1132 Perez, J., Ortega, J., Bravo, A., Diez-Banos, P., Morrondo, P., Moreno, T., Martinez-Moreno, A., 1133 2005. Phenotype of hepatic infiltrates and hepatic lymph nodes of lambs primarily and 1134 challenge infected with Fasciola hepatica, with and without triclabendazole treatment. 1135 Vet Res 36, 1-12. 1136 Perez, J., Zafra, R., Buffoni, L., Hernandez, S., Camara, S., Martinez-Moreno, A., 2008. Cellular 1137 phenotypes in the abomasal mucosa and abomasal lymph nodes of goats infected with 1138 Haemonchus contortus. J Comp Pathol 138, 102-107. 1139 Perry, B., Grace, D., 2009. The impacts of livestock diseases and their control on growth and 1140 development processes that are pro-poor. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 364, 2643-1141 2655. 1142 Plattner, B.L., Doyle, R.T., Hostetter, J.M., 2009. Gamma-delta T cell subsets are differentially 1143 associated with granuloma development and organization in a bovine model of 1144 mycobacterial disease. Int J Exp Pathol 90, 587-597.

| 1145 | Plattner, B.L., Huffman, E.L., Hostetter, J.M., 2013. Gamma-delta T-cell responses during |
|--|--|
| 1146 | subcutaneous Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis challenge in sensitized |
| 1147 | or naive calves using matrix biopolymers. Vet Pathol 50, 630-637. |
| 1148 | Pollock, J.M., Pollock, D.A., Campbell, D.G., Girvin, R.M., Crockard, A.D., Neill, S.D., Mackie, D.P., |
| 1149 | 1996. Dynamic changes in circulating and antigen-responsive T-cell subpopulations post- |
| 1150 | Mycobacterium bovis infection in cattle. Immunology 87, 236-241. |
| 1151 | Pollock, J.M., Welsh, M.D., McNair, J., 2005. Immune responses in bovine tuberculosis: towards |
| 1152 | new strategies for the diagnosis and control of disease. Veterinary immunology and |
| 1153 | immunopathology 108, 37-43. |
| 1154 | Ponti, W., Paape, M., Bronzo, V., Pisoni, G., Pollera, C., Moroni, P., 2008. Phenotypic alteration |
| 1155 | of blood and milk leukocytes in goats naturally infected with caprine arthritis- |
| 1156 | encephalitis virus (CAEV). Small ruminant research 78, 5. |
| 1157 | Price, S., Davies, M., Villarreal-Ramos, B., Hope, J., 2010. Differential distribution of WC1(+) |
| 1158 | gammadelta TCR(+) T lymphocyte subsets within lymphoid tissues of the head and |
| 1159 | respiratory tract and effects of intranasal M. bovis BCG vaccination. Vet Immunol |
| 1160 | Immunopathol 136, 133-137. |
| 1161 | Price, S.J., Hope, J.C., 2009. Enhanced secretion of interferon-gamma by bovine gammadelta T |
| 1162 | cells induced by coculture with Mycobacterium bovis-infected dendritic cells: evidence |
| 1163 | for reciprocal activating signals. Immunology 126, 201-208. |
| 1164 | Quintas, H., Reis, J., Pires, I., Alegria, N., 2010. Tuberculosis in goats. Vet Rec 166, 437-438. |
| 1165 | Reyes-Garcia, R., Perez-de-la-Lastra, J.M., Vicente, J., Ruiz-Fons, F., Garrido, J.M., Gortazar, C., |
| 1166 | 2008. Large-scale ELISA testing of Spanish red deer for paratuberculosis. Vet Immunol |
| 1167 | Immunopathol 124, 75-81. |
| 1168 | Rhodes, S.G., Hewinson, R.G., Vordermeier, H.M., 2001. Antigen recognition and |
| 1169 | immunomodulation by gamma delta T cells in bovine tuberculosis. J Immunol 166, 5604- |
| 1170 | 5610. |
| 1171 | Ribot, J.C., Chaves-Ferreira, M., d'Orey, F., Wencker, M., Goncalves-Sousa, N., Decalf, J., Simas, |
| 1172 | J.P., Hayday, A.C., Silva-Santos, B., 2010. Cutting edge: adaptive versus innate receptor |
| 1173 | signals selectively control the pool sizes of murine IFN-gamma- or IL-17-producing |
| 1174 | gammadelta T cells upon infection. J Immunol 185, 6421-6425. |
| 1175 | Rogers, A.N., VanBuren, D.G., Hedblom, E., Tilahun, M.E., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2005. |
| 1176 | Function of ruminant gammadelta T cells is defined by WC1.1 or WC1.2 isoform |
| 1177 | expression Veterinary immunology and immunopathology 108, 211, 217 |
| 1178 | expression. Veterinary initiatiology and initiatiopathology 108, 211-217. |
| 1170 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium |
| 11/9 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. |
| 1179 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. |
| 1179 1180 1181 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. Sandor, M., Sperling, A.I., Cook, G.A., Weinstock, J.V., Lynch, R.G., Bluestone, J.A., 1995. Two |
| 1179 1180 1181 1182 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. Sandor, M., Sperling, A.I., Cook, G.A., Weinstock, J.V., Lynch, R.G., Bluestone, J.A., 1995. Two waves of gamma delta T cells expressing different V delta genes are recruited into |
| 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. Sandor, M., Sperling, A.I., Cook, G.A., Weinstock, J.V., Lynch, R.G., Bluestone, J.A., 1995. Two waves of gamma delta T cells expressing different V delta genes are recruited into schistosome-induced liver granulomas. J Immunol 155, 275-284. |
| 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. Sandor, M., Sperling, A.I., Cook, G.A., Weinstock, J.V., Lynch, R.G., Bluestone, J.A., 1995. Two waves of gamma delta T cells expressing different V delta genes are recruited into schistosome-induced liver granulomas. J Immunol 155, 275-284. Schinkothe, J., Kohler, H., Liebler-Tenorio, E.M., 2016. Characterization of tuberculous |
| 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. Sandor, M., Sperling, A.I., Cook, G.A., Weinstock, J.V., Lynch, R.G., Bluestone, J.A., 1995. Two waves of gamma delta T cells expressing different V delta genes are recruited into schistosome-induced liver granulomas. J Immunol 155, 275-284. Schinkothe, J., Kohler, H., Liebler-Tenorio, E.M., 2016. Characterization of tuberculous granulomas in different stages of progression and associated tertiary lymphoid tissue in |
| 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. Sandor, M., Sperling, A.I., Cook, G.A., Weinstock, J.V., Lynch, R.G., Bluestone, J.A., 1995. Two waves of gamma delta T cells expressing different V delta genes are recruited into schistosome-induced liver granulomas. J Immunol 155, 275-284. Schinkothe, J., Kohler, H., Liebler-Tenorio, E.M., 2016. Characterization of tuberculous granulomas in different stages of progression and associated tertiary lymphoid tissue in goats experimentally infected with Mycobacterium avium subsp. hominissuis. Comp |
| 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. Sandor, M., Sperling, A.I., Cook, G.A., Weinstock, J.V., Lynch, R.G., Bluestone, J.A., 1995. Two waves of gamma delta T cells expressing different V delta genes are recruited into schistosome-induced liver granulomas. J Immunol 155, 275-284. Schinkothe, J., Kohler, H., Liebler-Tenorio, E.M., 2016. Characterization of tuberculous granulomas in different stages of progression and associated tertiary lymphoid tissue in goats experimentally infected with Mycobacterium avium subsp. hominissuis. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 47, 41-51. |
| 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1185 1186 1187 1188 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. Sandor, M., Sperling, A.I., Cook, G.A., Weinstock, J.V., Lynch, R.G., Bluestone, J.A., 1995. Two waves of gamma delta T cells expressing different V delta genes are recruited into schistosome-induced liver granulomas. J Immunol 155, 275-284. Schinkothe, J., Kohler, H., Liebler-Tenorio, E.M., 2016. Characterization of tuberculous granulomas in different stages of progression and associated tertiary lymphoid tissue in goats experimentally infected with Mycobacterium avium subsp. hominissuis. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 47, 41-51. Schmolka, N., Serre, K., Grosso, A.R., Rei, M., Pennington, D.J., Gomes, A.Q., Silva-Santos, B., |
| 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 | Salem, M., Heydel, C., El-Sayed, A., Ahmed, S.A., Zschock, M., Baljer, G., 2013. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis: an insidious problem for the ruminant industry. Trop Anim Health Prod 45, 351-366. Sandor, M., Sperling, A.I., Cook, G.A., Weinstock, J.V., Lynch, R.G., Bluestone, J.A., 1995. Two waves of gamma delta T cells expressing different V delta genes are recruited into schistosome-induced liver granulomas. J Immunol 155, 275-284. Schinkothe, J., Kohler, H., Liebler-Tenorio, E.M., 2016. Characterization of tuberculous granulomas in different stages of progression and associated tertiary lymphoid tissue in goats experimentally infected with Mycobacterium avium subsp. hominissuis. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 47, 41-51. Schmolka, N., Serre, K., Grosso, A.R., Rei, M., Pennington, D.J., Gomes, A.Q., Silva-Santos, B., 2013. Epigenetic and transcriptional signatures of stable versus plastic differentiation of |

| 1191 | Seva, J., Navarro, J.A., Bernabe, A., Gomez, M.A., Sanchez, J., Gomez, S., 1998. Postnatal |
|------|--|
| 1192 | development of lymphocyte subpopulations in the intestinal lymph nodes in goats. Anat |
| 1193 | Histol Embryol 27, 345-349. |
| 1194 | Seyoum, B., Teshome, E., 2017. Major transboundary disease of ruminants and their economic |
| 1195 | effect in Ethiopia. Global Journal of Medical Research: Veterinary Science and Veterinary |
| 1196 | Medicine 17, 11. |
| 1197 | Shi, C., Sahay, B., Russell, J.Q., Fortner, K.A., Hardin, N., Sellati, T.J., Budd, R.C., 2011. Reduced |
| 1198 | immune response to Borrelia burgdorferi in the absence of gammadelta T cells. Infect |
| 1199 | Immun 79, 3940-3946. |
| 1200 | Singh, B., Prasad, S., 2008. Modelling of economic losses due to some important diseases in |
| 1201 | goats in India. Agricultural Economincs Research Review 21, 5. |
| 1202 | Stonos, N., Wootton, S.K., Karrow, N., 2014. Immunogenetics of small ruminant lentiviral |
| 1203 | infections. Viruses 6, 3311-3333. |
| 1204 | Storset, A.K., Berntsen, G., Larsen, H.J., 2000. Kinetics of IL-2 receptor expression on lymphocyte |
| 1205 | subsets from goats infected with Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis after |
| 1206 | specific in vitro stimulation. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 77, 43-54. |
| 1207 | Storset, A.K., Hasvold, H.J., Valheim, M., Brun-Hansen, H., Berntsen, G., Whist, S.K., Djonne, B., |
| 1208 | Press, C.M., Holstad, G., Larsen, H.J., 2001. Subclinical paratuberculosis in goats |
| 1209 | following experimental infection. An immunological and microbiological study. Vet |
| 1210 | Immunol Immunopathol 80, 271-287. |
| 1211 | Storset, A.K., Slettedal, I.O., Williams, J.L., Law, A., Dissen, E., 2003. Natural killer cell receptors |
| 1212 | in cattle: a bovine killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor multigene family contains |
| 1213 | members with divergent signaling motifs. Eur J Immunol 33, 980-990. |
| 1214 | Suraud, V., Jacques, I., Olivier, M., Guilloteau, L.A., 2008. Acute infection by conjunctival route |
| 1215 | with Brucella melitensis induces IgG+ cells and IFN-gamma producing cells in peripheral |
| 1216 | and mucosal lymph nodes in sheep. Microbes Infect 10, 1370-1378. |
| 1217 | Takamatsu, H.H., Denyer, M.S., Stirling, C., Cox, S., Aggarwal, N., Dash, P., Wileman, T.E., |
| 1218 | Barnett, P.V., 2006. Porcine gammadelta T cells: possible roles on the innate and |
| 1219 | adaptive immune responses following virus infection. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 112, |
| 1220 | 49-61. |
| 1221 | Tanaka, Y., Sano, S., Nieves, E., De Libero, G., Rosa, D., Modlin, R.L., Brenner, M.B., Bloom, B.R., |
| 1222 | Morita, C.T., 1994. Nonpeptide ligands for human gamma delta T cells. Proc Natl Acad |
| 1223 | Sci U S A 91, 8175-8179. |
| 1224 | Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2015. Bovine gamma delta T cells and the function of gamma delta T |
| 1225 | cell specific WC1 co-receptors. Cell Immunol 296, 76-86. |
| 1226 | Tibbo, M., Philipsson, J., Ayalew, W 2006. Sustainable sheep breeding programmes in the |
| 1227 | tropics: A framework for Ethiopia. In: Conference on International Agricultural Research |
| 1228 | for Development, Tropentag, University of Bonn, 3. |
| 1229 | Tibe, O., Pernthaner, A., Sutherland, I., Lesperance, L., Harding, D.R., 2012. Condensed tannins |
| 1230 | from Botswanan forage plants are effective priming agents of gammadelta T cells in |
| 1231 | ruminants. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 146, 237-244. |
| 1232 | Tosser-Klopp, G., Bardou, P., Bouchez, O., Cabau, C., Crooijmans, R., Dong, Y., Donnadieu-Tonon, |
| 1233 | C., Eggen, A., Heuven, H.C., Jamli, S., Jiken, A.J., Klopp, C., Lawley, C.T., McEwan, J., |
| 1234 | Martin, P., Moreno, C.R., Mulsant, P., Nabihoudine, I., Pailhoux, E., Palhiere, I., Rupp, R., |
| 1235 | Sarry, J., Sayre, B.L., Tircazes, A., Jun, W., Wang, W., Zhang, W., Consortium, I.G.G., |
| 1236 | 2014. Design and characterization of a 52K SNP chip for goats. PLoS One 9, e86227. |
| 1237 | Totte, P., Esteves, I., Gunter, N., Martinez, D., Bensaida, A., 2002. Evaluation of several flow |
| 1238 | cytometric assays for the analysis of T-cell responses in goats. Cytometry 49, 49-55. |

| 1239 | Tourais-Esteves, I., Bernardet, N., Lacroix-Lamande, S., Ferret-Bernard, S., Laurent, F., 2008. |
|------|--|
| 1240 | Neonatal goats display a stronger TH1-type cytokine response to TLR ligands than |
| 1241 | adults. Dev Comp Immunol 32, 1231-1241. |
| 1242 | Tschopp, R., Bobosha, K., Aseffa, A., Schelling, E., Habtamu, M., Iwnetu, R., Hailu, E., Firdessa, R., |
| 1243 | Hussein, J., Young, D., Zinsstag, J., 2011. Bovine tuberculosis at a cattle-small ruminant- |
| 1244 | human interface in Meskan, Gurage region, Central Ethiopia. BMC Infect Dis 11, 318. |
| 1245 | Uilenberg, G., 1983. Heartwater (Cowdria ruminantium infection): current status. Adv Vet Sci |
| 1246 | Comp Med 27, 427-480. |
| 1247 | Uilenberg, G., Dobbelaere, D.A., de Gee, A.L., Koch, H.T., 1993. Progress in research on tick- |
| 1248 | borne diseases: theileriosis and heartwater. Vet Q 15, 48-54. |
| 1249 | Valheim, M., Sigurdardottir, O.G., Storset, A.K., Aune, L.G., Press, C.M., 2004. Characterization of |
| 1250 | macrophages and occurrence of T cells in intestinal lesions of subclinical |
| 1251 | paratuberculosis in goats. J Comp Pathol 131, 221-232. |
| 1252 | Vantourout, P., Hayday, A., 2013. Six-of-the-best: unique contributions of gammadelta T cells to |
| 1253 | immunology. Nat Rev Immunol 13, 88-100. |
| 1254 | Vos, T., Flaxman, A.D., Naghavi, M., Lozano, R., Michaud, C., Ezzati, M., Shibuya, K., Salomon, |
| 1255 | J.A., Abdalla, S., Aboyans, V., Abraham, J., Ackerman, I., Aggarwal, R., Ahn, S.Y., Ali, M.K., |
| 1256 | Alvarado, M., Anderson, H.R., Anderson, L.M., Andrews, K.G., Atkinson, C., Baddour, |
| 1257 | L.M., Bahalim, A.N., Barker-Collo, S., Barrero, L.H., Bartels, D.H., Basanez, M.G., Baxter, |
| 1258 | A., Bell, M.L., Benjamin, E.J., Bennett, D., Bernabe, E., Bhalla, K., Bhandari, B., Bikbov, B., |
| 1259 | Bin Abdulhak, A., Birbeck, G., Black, J.A., Blencowe, H., Blore, J.D., Blyth, F., Bolliger, I., |
| 1260 | Bonaventure, A., Boufous, S., Bourne, R., Boussinesq, M., Braithwaite, T., Brayne, C., |
| 1261 | Bridgett, L., Brooker, S., Brooks, P., Brugha, T.S., Bryan-Hancock, C., Bucello, C., |
| 1262 | Buchbinder, R., Buckle, G., Budke, C.M., Burch, M., Burney, P., Burstein, R., Calabria, B., |
| 1263 | Campbell, B., Canter, C.E., Carabin, H., Carapetis, J., Carmona, L., Cella, C., Charlson, F., |
| 1264 | Chen, H., Cheng, A.T., Chou, D., Chugh, S.S., Coffeng, L.E., Colan, S.D., Colquhoun, S., |
| 1265 | Colson, K.E., Condon, J., Connor, M.D., Cooper, L.T., Corriere, M., Cortinovis, M., de |
| 1266 | Vaccaro, K.C., Couser, W., Cowie, B.C., Criqui, M.H., Cross, M., Dabhadkar, K.C., Dahiya, |
| 1267 | M., Dahodwala, N., Damsere-Derry, J., Danaei, G., Davis, A., De Leo, D., Degenhardt, L., |
| 1268 | Dellavalle, R., Delossantos, A., Denenberg, J., Derrett, S., Des Jarlais, D.C., Dharmaratne, |
| 1269 | S.D., Dherani, M., Diaz-Torne, C., Dolk, H., Dorsey, E.R., Driscoll, T., Duber, H., Ebel, B., |
| 1270 | Edmond, K., Elbaz, A., Ali, S.E., Erskine, H., Erwin, P.J., Espindola, P., Ewoigbokhan, S.E., |
| 1271 | Farzadfar, F., Feigin, V., Felson, D.T., Ferrari, A., Ferri, C.P., Fevre, E.M., Finucane, M.M., |
| 1272 | Flaxman, S., Flood, L., Foreman, K., Forouzanfar, M.H., Fowkes, F.G., Franklin, R., |
| 1273 | Fransen, M., Freeman, M.K., Gabbe, B.J., Gabriel, S.E., Gakidou, E., Ganatra, H.A., Garcia, |
| 1274 | B., Gaspari, F., Gillum, R.F., Gmel, G., Gosselin, R., Grainger, R., Groeger, J., Guillemin, F., |
| 1275 | Gunnell, D., Gupta, R., Haagsma, J., Hagan, H., Halasa, Y.A., Hall, W., Haring, D., Haro, |
| 1276 | J.M., Harrison, J.E., Havmoeller, R., Hay, R.J., Higashi, H., Hill, C., Hoen, B., Hoffman, H., |
| 1277 | Hotez, P.J., Hoy, D., Huang, J.J., Ibeanusi, S.E., Jacobsen, K.H., James, S.L., Jarvis, D., |
| 1278 | Jasrasaria, R., Jayaraman, S., Johns, N., Jonas, J.B., Karthikeyan, G., Kassebaum, N., |
| 1279 | Kawakami, N., Keren, A., Khoo, J.P., King, C.H., Knowlton, L.M., Kobusingye, O., |
| 1280 | Koranteng, A., Krishnamurthi, R., Lalloo, R., Laslett, L.L., Lathlean, T., Leasher, J.L., Lee, |
| 1281 | Y.Y., Leigh, J., Lim, S.S., Limb, E., Lin, J.K., Lipnick, M., Lipshultz, S.E., Liu, W., Loane, M., |
| 1282 | Ohno, S.L., Lyons, R., Ma, J., Mabweijano, J., MacIntyre, M.F., Malekzadeh, R., Mallinger, |
| 1283 | L., Manivannan, S., Marcenes, W., March, L., Margolis, D.J., Marks, G.B., Marks, R., |
| 1284 | Matsumori, A., Matzopoulos, R., Mayosi, B.M., McAnulty, J.H., McDermott, M.M., |
| 1285 | McGill, N., McGrath, J., Medina-Mora, M.E., Meltzer, M., Mensah, G.A., Merriman, T.R., |
| 1286 | Meyer, A.C., Miglioli, V., Miller, M., Miller, T.R., Mitchell, P.B., Mocumbi, A.O., Moffitt, |

| 1287 | T.E., Mokdad, A.A., Monasta, L., Montico, M., Moradi-Lakeh, M., Moran, A., Morawska, |
|------|---|
| 1288 | L., Mori, R., Murdoch, M.E., Mwaniki, M.K., Naidoo, K., Nair, M.N., Naldi, L., Narayan, |
| 1289 | K.M., Nelson, P.K., Nelson, R.G., Nevitt, M.C., Newton, C.R., Nolte, S., Norman, P., |
| 1290 | Norman, R., O'Donnell, M., O'Hanlon, S., Olives, C., Omer, S.B., Ortblad, K., Osborne, R., |
| 1291 | Ozgediz, D., Page, A., Pahari, B., Pandian, J.D., Rivero, A.P., Patten, S.B., Pearce, N., |
| 1292 | Padilla, R.P., Perez-Ruiz, F., Perico, N., Pesudovs, K., Phillips, D., Phillips, M.R., Pierce, K., |
| 1293 | Pion, S., Polanczyk, G.V., Polinder, S., Pope, C.A., 3rd, Popova, S., Porrini, E., Pourmalek, |
| 1294 | F., Prince, M., Pullan, R.L., Ramaiah, K.D., Ranganathan, D., Razavi, H., Regan, M., Rehm, |
| 1295 | J.T., Rein, D.B., Remuzzi, G., Richardson, K., Rivara, F.P., Roberts, T., Robinson, C., De |
| 1296 | Leon, F.R., Ronfani, L., Room, R., Rosenfeld, L.C., Rushton, L., Sacco, R.L., Saha, S., |
| 1297 | Sampson, U., Sanchez-Riera, L., Sanman, E., Schwebel, D.C., Scott, J.G., Segui-Gomez, M., |
| 1298 | Shahraz, S., Shepard, D.S., Shin, H., Shivakoti, R., Singh, D., Singh, G.M., Singh, J.A., |
| 1299 | Singleton, J., Sleet, D.A., Sliwa, K., Smith, E., Smith, J.L., Stapelberg, N.J., Steer, A., |
| 1300 | Steiner, T., Stolk, W.A., Stovner, L.J., Sudfeld, C., Syed, S., Tamburlini, G., Tavakkoli, M., |
| 1301 | Taylor, H.R., Taylor, J.A., Taylor, W.J., Thomas, B., Thomson, W.M., Thurston, G.D., |
| 1302 | Tleyjeh, I.M., Tonelli, M., Towbin, J.A., Truelsen, T., Tsilimbaris, M.K., Ubeda, C., |
| 1303 | Undurraga, E.A., van der Werf, M.J., van Os, J., Vavilala, M.S., Venketasubramanian, N., |
| 1304 | Wang, M., Wang, W., Watt, K., Weatherall, D.J., Weinstock, M.A., Weintraub, R., |
| 1305 | Weisskopf, M.G., Weissman, M.M., White, R.A., Whiteford, H., Wiersma, S.T., Wilkinson, |
| 1306 | J.D., Williams, H.C., Williams, S.R., Witt, E., Wolfe, F., Woolf, A.D., Wulf, S., Yeh, P.H., |
| 1307 | Zaidi, A.K., Zheng, Z.J., Zonies, D., Lopez, A.D., Murray, C.J., AlMazroa, M.A., Memish, |
| 1308 | Z.A., 2012. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and |
| 1309 | injuries 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. |
| 1310 | Lancet 380, 2163-2196. |
| 1311 | Vrieling, M., Santema, W., Van Rhijn, I., Rutten, V., Koets, A., 2012. gammadelta T cell homing to |
| 1312 | skin and migration to skin-draining lymph nodes is CCR7 independent. J Immunol 188, |
| 1313 | 578-584. |
| 1314 | Waldvogel, A.S., Lepage, M.F., Zakher, A., Reichel, M.P., Eicher, R., Heussler, V.T., 2004. |
| 1315 | Expression of interleukin 4, interleukin 4 splice variants and interferon gamma mRNA in |
| 1316 | calves experimentally infected with Fasciola hepatica. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 97, |
| 1317 | 53-63. |
| 1318 | Weynants, V., Walravens, K., Didembourg, C., Flanagan, P., Godfroid, J., Letesson, J.J., 1998. |
| 1319 | Quantitative assessment by flow cytometry of T-lymphocytes producing antigen-specific |
| 1320 | gamma-interferon in Brucella immune cattle. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 66, 309-320. |
| 1321 | Wilkerson, M.J., Davis, W.C., Baszler, T.V., Cheevers, W.P., 1995a. Immunopathology of chronic |
| 1322 | lentivirus-induced arthritis. Am J Pathol 146, 1433-1443. |
| 1323 | Wilkerson, M.J., Davis, W.C., Cheevers, W.P., 1995b. Peripheral blood and synovial fluid |
| 1324 | mononuclear cell phenotypes in lentivirus induced arthritis. J Rheumatol 22, 8-15. |
| 1325 | Wilson, E., Aydintug, M.K., Jutila, M.A., 1999. A circulating bovine gamma delta T cell subset, |
| 1326 | which is found in large numbers in the spleen, accumulates inefficiently in an artificial |
| 1327 | site of inflammation: correlation with lack of expression of E-selectin ligands and L- |
| 1328 | selectin. J Immunol 162, 4914-4919. |
| 1329 | Windsor, P.A., 2015. Paratuberculosis in sheep and goats. Vet Microbiol 181, 161-169. |
| 1330 | Winnicka, A., Klucinski, W., Hoser, G., Sikora, J., Kawiak, J., 1999. Flow cytometry analysis of milk |
| 1331 | and peripheral blood cells from goats during lactation. Zentralbl Veterinarmed A 46, |
| 1332 | 459-464. |

Wu, J.R., Huang, T.Y., Wang, C.T., Lin, Y.T., 1992. Congenital absence of the pulmonary valve: 1333 1334 report of two cases with review of the literature. Gaoxiong Yi Xue Ke Xue Za Zhi 8, 562-1335 568. Wyatt, C.R., Madruga, C., Cluff, C., Parish, S., Hamilton, M.J., Goff, W., Davis, W.C., 1994. 1336 1337 Differential distribution of gamma delta T-cell receptor lymphocyte subpopulations in 1338 blood and spleen of young and adult cattle. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 40, 187-199. 1339 Zafra, R., Buffoni, L., Perez-Ecija, R.A., Mendes, R.E., Martinez-Moreno, A., Martinez-Moreno, 1340 F.J., Perez, J., 2009. Study of the local immune response to Fasciola hepatica in the liver 1341 and hepatic lymph nodes of goats immunised with a peptide of the Sm14 antigen. Res 1342 Vet Sci 87, 226-232. 1343 Zafra, R., Perez, J., Buffoni, L., Martinez-Moreno, F.J., Acosta, I., Mozos, E., Martinez-Moreno, A., 1344 2013. Peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets in Fasciola hepatica infected and immunised 1345 goats. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 155, 135-138. 1346 Zafra, R., Perez-Ecija, R.A., Buffoni, L., Mendes, R.E., Martinez-Moreno, A., Martinez-Moreno, 1347 F.J., Galisteo, M.E., Perez, J., 2010. Evaluation of hepatic damage and local immune 1348 response in goats immunized with native glutathione S-transferase of Fasciola hepatica. 1349 J Comp Pathol 143, 110-119. 1350 Zhang, W.Y., Moreau, E., Hope, J.C., Howard, C.J., Huang, W.Y., Chauvin, A., 2005. Fasciola 1351 hepatica and Fasciola gigantica: comparison of cellular response to experimental 1352 infection in sheep. Exp Parasitol 111, 154-159. 1353

2 **Chapter II DEFINING THE CAPRINE γδ T CELL WC1 MULTIGENIC** 1354 1355 ARRAY AND EVALUATION OF ITS EXPRESSED SEQUENCES AND GENE STRUCTURE CONSERVATION AMONG GOAT BREEDS AND 1356 1357 **RELATIVE TO CATTLE** 1358 1359 ABSTRACT 1360 The purpose of this study was to evaluate the caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cell co-receptor WC1 family 1361 with regard to the number of WC1 genes, their structures, genomic and expressed sequences and to evaluate WC1 sequence similarity among goat breeds and with cattle. 1362 While the presence of WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the blood of goats had been demonstrated using 1363 1364 monoclonal antibodies react with WC1 there was no information available about goat WC1 genes. Thus this study was conducted to fill those gaps using genome annotation, 1365 PCR amplification of genomic DNA and cDNA and subsequent Sanger and PacBio 1366 1367 sequencing. We found evidence for up to 30 goat WC1 genes, which is more than twice that of cattle. Moreover, goats had seven different WC1 gene structures of which 4 are 1368 1369 unique to goats. Caprine WC1 genes also had multiple splice variants of their transcripts 1370 coding for the intracytoplasmic domains, in some cases eliminating tyrosines shown previously to be important for signal transduction. The most distal WC1 SRCR domains 1371 1372 known as SRCR a1, based on sequence and position, were highly conserved among goat 1373 breeds but fewer were conserved between goats and cattle. In summary, this work 1374 showed goats have a greater number of WC1 genes and unique WC1 gene structures 1375 relative to cattle as well as splice variants of transcripts for intracytoplasmic domains that

- are not found in cattle. Thus, goat WC1 molecules may have expanded functions. This
- 1377 finding may impact research on next generation vaccines designed to stimulate $\gamma\delta$ T cells.

1379 2.1 Introduction

Livestock diseases contribute to poverty, food insecurity(Aziz, 2010; Duguma et 1380 al., 2011; Tibbo, 2006a), physical stunting and diminished cognitive development in 1381 1382 children as studies have shown in sub-Saharan Africa (Gewa et al., 2009; Neumann et al., 2003; Neumann et al., 2007). Infectious diseases specifically impact goat production and 1383 1384 productivity as a result of high treatment costs, morbidity, mortality and abortion rates as 1385 well as a result of weight loss or reduced gains and decreased carcass weight and quality 1386 (Aziz, 2010; Duguma et al., 2011; Tibbo, 2006a). Furthermore, some of the infectious 1387 diseases are zoonotic, crossing from goats to humans, reducing human capital (Kawooya, 2011). Various efforts have been conducted to combat these infectious diseases to 1388 1389 increase the community income and reduce the public health impacts. These range from 1390 better management to vaccine development. Vaccines are the only human intervention that has the potential to eradicate 1391 1392 infectious diseases. However some vaccines may have limitations by targeting only conventional B cells and antibody responses thus failing to activate cell-mediated 1393 1394 protection. Next generation vaccines targeting $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Telfer and Baldwin, 2015) may 1395 be important for optimizing vaccination strategies due to the innate-like early responses 1396 of $\gamma\delta$ T cells during the initiation phase of immune reactions, and because the $\gamma\delta$ T cell receptors (TCR) are less restricted, recognizing ligands different from those recognized 1397 by $\alpha\beta$ T cells. The latter is because $\gamma\delta$ T cells do not rely on the recognition of classic 1398 MHC molecules with peptides to perceive infectious disease agents (Baldwin et al., 1399 1400 2019b; Chien, 2014; Sebestyen, 2019). In other words, there is no known antigen 1401 processing and presentation requirement for ligand recognition by $\gamma\delta$ T cells' TCR and

1402 the antigens need not be peptides in complex with major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules (Davis, 2003). Hence, γδ T cells can recognize directly damaged 1403 tissues, cells and pathogens. This is believed to impart greater flexibility in $\gamma\delta$ T cell 1404 1405 responses than those by classical $\alpha\beta$ T cell (Chien and Bonneville, 2006). Because $\gamma\delta$ T cells rapidly recognize such changes they are a first line of immune defense, functioning 1406 1407 like innate immune responses, but some populations also have immunological memory 1408 and therefore have characteristics and benefits of the adaptive arm of the immune system 1409 as well (Chien, 2014; Sebestyen, 2019). $\gamma\delta$ T cell stimulation has been shown to 1410 contribute to protective immunity in mammals by producing the cytokines interferon- γ 1411 (IFN- γ) and IL-17 (Vantourout and Hayday, 2013). Furthermore $\gamma\delta$ T cells may be able to direct adaptive immune responses by CD4 and CD8 $\alpha\beta$ T cells and B cells because of 1412 1413 their early responses and cytokine production (Baldwin et al., 2019b). In young ruminants $\gamma\delta$ T cells are the major proportion of blood mononuclear 1414 1415 cells and the majority of the $\gamma\delta$ T cells in ruminant blood express the $\gamma\delta$ T cell-specific 1416 marker Workshop Cluster 1 (WC1) on their surface (Davis, 1996; Holderness et al., 1417 2013; Mackay et al., 1989b; Mackay and Hein, 1989; Mackay et al., 1986; Takamatsu et 1418 al., 2006). WC1 is important for signaling the cell by augmenting the response through the 1419 TCR (Hanby-Flarida et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2011). In cattle, $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing WC1 1420 molecules (WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells) and those not expressing WC1 molecules (WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells) are considered as inflammatory and regulatory cells, respectively (Hedges et al., 2003; 1421 1422 Meissner et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2009). WC1 molecules are transmembrane group B scavenger receptor cysteine rich 1423 1424 (SRCR) superfamily members characterized by multiple cysteine residues (6 to 8) in their

1425 extracellular domains (Sarrias et al., 2004). SRCR molecules expressed on immune 1426 system cells act as pathogen recognition receptors (PRR) and include $SP\alpha$, CD5, 1427 DMBT1, and CD163A. They detect pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) of 1428 bacterial, viral and fungal pathogens (End et al., 2009; Fabriek et al., 2009; Matthews et al., 2006; Sarrias et al., 2005; Vera et al., 2009). We know from previous studies that 1429 1430 bovine WC1 molecules are coded for by a multigenic family of 13 genes each with 6 or 1431 11 SRCR domains and that different WC1 molecules' SRCR domains bind different 1432 pathogens making them also PRRs. For example, cells expressing some of the WC1.1 1433 SRCR subtypes respond to leptospira (Rogers et al., 2005b) and the BCG vaccine strain (Price et al., 2010) by proliferation and IFNy production whereas those expressing WC1.2 1434 1435 subtype respond to Anaplasma marginale (Lahmers et al., 2006; Lahmers et al., 2005). 1436 Thus, the large number of WC1 SRCR domains has the potential to increase the diversity of pathogen recognition and immune responses independent of the TCR. This recognition 1437 1438 will be expected to augment the TCR response since when WC1 molecules are crosslinked with the TCR it results in augmentation of the TCR-induced activation (Hanby-1439 1440 Flarida et al., 1996; Hsu et al., 2015a). 1441 In goats the presence of WC1 on lymphocytes has been demonstrated by 1442 immunofluorescence using monoclonal antibodies (mAb) 19.19 (Jolly et al., 1997; 1443 Valheim et al., 2004; Valheim et al., 2002), Clone 197 (Baron et al., 2014; Lindberg et 1444 al., 1999) and CC15 (Esteves et al., 2004; Higgins et al., 2018; Totte et al., 2002; Zafra et 1445 al., 2013a; Zafra et al., 2013b) that react with WC1. In this study we obtained 16

- 1446 complete and 19 partial WC1 gene sequences using genome annotation, PCR
- amplification of DNA and cDNA and subsequent sequencing. The 16 complete WC1

| 1448 | genes annotated predicted 7 unique molecular structures. We produced cDNA evidence |
|------|--|
| 1449 | for 10 complete WC1 genes and 2 partial WC1 genes. The majority of the most distal |
| 1450 | WC1 SRCR domains, which serve as signatures for each gene product and are known as |
| 1451 | the a1 domain, were conserved among goat breeds but fewer were conserved between |
| 1452 | goats and cattle. |
| 1453 | |

1455 2.2 Materials and Methods

1456

1457 2.2.1 Genomic DNA and cDNA

1458 Female Boer goats housed at the University farm under conventional housing

1459 were used as blood donors. Blood was obtained via jugular venipuncture and collected

- 1460 into heparin in compliance with federal guidelines and with IACUC approval. Genomic
- 1461 DNA was extracted from whole blood using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen).
- 1462 Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from blood via density
- 1463 gradient centrifugation over ficoll-hypaque (LKB-Pharmacia Biotechnology) according
- to the manufacturer's protocol and viable cell concentrations determined by trypan blue

1465 exclusion. PBMC was pelleted and re-suspended in Trizol (Invitrogen-Thermo Fisher

- 1466 Scientific) at a concentration of 5 x 10^6 cells/ml and kept at -80°C. RNA was isolated
- according to the manufacturer's protocol and cDNA (reverse transcription) was made

1468 using AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI).

1469

1470 **2.2.2 Polymerase chain reaction**

1471 To perform polymerase chain reaction (PCR) either genomic (gDNA) or cDNA 1472 was used as a template with primers shown in Table 2-1. For intracytoplasmic domain (ICD) transcripts primers were designed based on known bovine or swine ICD sequences 1473 1474 at the 5' and 3' ends of the first and last exons, respectively. For full-length WC1 1475 transcripts the forward primers were designed in the untranslated region and signal 1476 sequences (Primers 6-9 in Table 2-1) for Pacific Bioscience (PacBio) sequencing or in 1477 the SRCR a1 domain for Sanger sequencing using reverse primers 3 - 4 and 10 - 14 1478 (Table 2-1). cDNA or gDNA were used as templates in PCR using Taq polymerase

1479 (Thermo Scientific) for amplification of the ICD and WC1 SRCR a1 domain transcripts. Cycling parameters for either ICD or SRCR a1 domain amplification were 30 sec at 1480 95°C, 1 min at 55°C, 45 sec at 72°C for 30 cycles with expected band sizes of 220-636 1481 1482 bp. Two µl of cDNA was used as a template for full length WC1 transcript amplification using the Elongase Amplification system (Invitrogen) with cycling parameters of 30 sec 1483 1484 at 94°C, 30 sec at 52°C and 4.5 min to 9 min for 35 cycles with expected band sizes of 2200-6800 bp. PCR products were examined on 2% and 1.2% TAE low melt agarose gel 1485 for ICD and SRCR a1 domains or for WC1 full length transcripts, respectively, and 1486 1487 visualized using either SYBR safe (Invitrogen) or ethidium bromide (Invitrogen). 1488

1489 2.2.3 Gene sequencing

1490 For Sanger sequencing the amplicons were excised and the DNA extracted using 1491 the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and cloned into PCR 2.1 or Topo XL vectors (Invitrogen) according to the manufacture's protocol and transformed into Stable 3 or 1492 1493 DH5 α bacteria. After plasmid miniprep (QIAquick kit, Qiagen), it was sent for 1494 commercial sequencing by Sanger sequencing (GeneWiz, South Plainfield, NJ). For 1495 PacBio sequencing PCR amplicons were pooled after extracting from the agarose gel and 1496 sent to the PacBio Core Enterprise (UMass Medical School, Worcester, MA) for 1497 sequencing.

1498

1499 2.2.4 Genome annotation

WC1annotation was done using the NCBI multiple alignment and Blast tools
using bovine WC1 sequeces as the query (e.g., GenBank accession number for WC1-1

1502 (FJ031186)). The subject was San Clemente goat (ASM170441v1) GenBank assembly

accession number GCA_001704415.1 (Bickhart, 2017a) or Yunnan goat with GenBank

accession number AJP00000000 (Dong, 2013). Maker (Campbell, 2014) and Apollo

1505 (Lewis et al., 2002) were used to predict the WC1 gene location and gene structures,

1506 JBrowse (Skinner, 2009) to display the data and Inkscape (Bah, 2011) to draw the gene

structures. Intron/exon boundaries were verified by comparing bovine WC1 sequences to

the query and the San Clemente goat genome assembly as a subject since SRCR domain

structures and sequences are highly conserved (Herzig and Baldwin, 2009; Herzig et al.,

1510 2010b)

1511

1512 **2.2.5** Sequence analysis and display

1513 Sequence analysis and display. Sequencing alignment programs were used to

1514 compare gene sequences including BioEdit (Hall TA, 1999), CCL Genomics Workbench

1515 8.1.3 www.qiagenbioinformatics.com and alignment of two or more sequences using

1516 BLAST-Nucleotide BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) (Altschul, 1990).

1517 Phylograms were made using CCL Workbench 8.1.3 with default parameters.

1518 WC1 gene designation. Boer goat WC1 SRCR a1 domains were named in the

1519 order discovered. Yunnan annotated genes were named based on NCBI's computer

1520 prediction of WC1 genes (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov\projects\mapview

1521 /maps.cgi?taxid=9925&CHR=5&MAPS=modelrna[93672503:94199726]&CMD=TXT).

1522 San Clemente goat WC1 genes were named by the order of occurrence of full length

annotated genes on the chromosome except for SCgoatWC1-16 and SCgoatWC1-30.

1524 Partial WC1 genes were named following naming of the complete WC1 genes based on

- their location on chromosome 5 (GenBank assembly accession: GCA_001704415.1). The
- three goat breeds were abbreviated as BR, Boer; YN, Yunnan; and SC, San Clemente.
- 1527 The WC1 genes were named as BRgoatWC1-#, YNgoat-# or SCgoatWC1-#.

1529 **2.3 Results**

1530

1531 **2.3.1** San Clemente goat WC1 genome annotation

As described above t is known that WC1 is a multigenic family in cattle and 1532 1533 expressed exclusively on $\gamma\delta$ T cells of ruminants including goats. However, in goats the 1534 WC1 gene number and their intron and exon structures were not known. Genome 1535 annotation was done to determine this since it will be important for further understanding 1536 the role of these co-receptor/pattern recognition receptors (PRR) in $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses to 1537 various caprine pathogens. Our approach to answer these questions was to annotate the San Clement genome assembly for WC1 genes. Thirty-three full length or partial WC1 1538 1539 genes were identified on chromosome 5 and one gene was identified on scaffold 271 1540 (Figure 2.1). The annotated WC1 genes were found on chromosome 5 between nt 1541 99181668 and 102123135 and on scaffold 271 between nt 862 to 3434. Sixteen of these 1542 annotated genes were complete genes while 17 were incomplete or partial (the latter 1543 consisting of 1 to 14 exons). The complete genes were named in order of occurrence on 1544 the chromosome except for SCgoatWC1-16 and SCgoatWC1-32. The incomplete or partial genes were named subsequently in order of occurrence (Figure 2.1). 1545 1546 We found seven different intron-exon structures for the complete WC1 genes 1547 (Figure 2.2). They are referred to by lower case Roman numerals (i-vii) and examples of 1548 each are shown. Three of the seven types of gene structures are similar to those in cattle 1549 (Figure 2.2, i – iii; (Herzig and Baldwin, 2009)) while the other four structures found in 1550 the caprine genome are novel (Figure 2.2B). The purported structures of the proteins that these unique genes code for are 1551

represented (Figure 2.3) using the same designations i-vii as are their sequences (Figure

1553 2.4). Goat WC1 extracellular SRCR domains are each coded for by a single exon as in cattle but the number of SRCR domains varied according to the gene structure. In all but 1554 one of the complete genes found, the first SRCR is of the "a" pattern (Herzig et al., 1555 1556 2010b) and thus denoted a1 as in cattle. However one of the novel gene structures in goats had a d1 domain (Figure 2.3B, v). For one gene (Figure 2.3B, vii) the a1 type 1557 1558 domain was repeated 13 times. The most distal WC1 domain(s) in both goats and cattle 1559 are followed by SRCR domains in the pattern b-c-d-e-d (or d') usually occurring once or 1560 twice. However in one goat gene it was repeated two times (Figure 2.3B, vi). As in cattle, 1561 we found the WC1 genes had three different types of ICDs known as Type I, Type II and Type III, coded for by 4, 5 or 6 exons, respectively (Figure 2.2 and 2.3). Thus, Type II 1562 1563 ICDs are 15-16 deduced amino acids longer than Type I while the Type III ICD is 60 amino acids longer (Figure 2.4A) than Type II. The final novel structure identified in 1564 goats had a type I ICD associated with a 6-SRCR domain extracellular structure (Figure 1565 1566 2.3B, iv). Since there was a second caprine genome assembly available, we sought to verify the SC assembly of these unique gene structures. We were able to find 5 complete 1567 WC1 genes in the Yunnan goat assembly and they corresponded to three structures, ii, iii, 1568 1569 and vii, with vii one of the four unique structures annotated in the San Clemente 1570 assembly (data not shown. We conclude that goats have at least 7 intron-exon WC1 gene 1571 structures of which 4 are unique relative to cattle. The complete WC1 gene assemblies 1572 comprised 178 SRCR domains that is 40 SRCR domains more than the bovine WC1 gene 1573 family as shown in Table 2-3. This gives more opportunity for goat $\gamma\delta$ T cells to bind to a 1574 variety of pathogens for better protective responses.

1575

1576 **2.3.2** Relationship of WC1 gene sequences among goat breeds

In cattle the a1 domains of WC1 molecules are most diverse from one another and 1577 thus tend to distinguish one WC1 gene from another. The bovine WC1 a1 sequences are 1578 1579 highly conserved among cattle breeds (Chen et al., 2012; Herzig and Baldwin, 2009). Here the WC1 sequence relationship was evaluated among three goat breeds using San 1580 1581 Clemente and Yunnan goat WC1 a1 sequences obtained by genome annotation and Boer 1582 goat sequences obtained by PCR of genomic DNA. This comparison was also used 1583 provide a better estimate of the total variety of caprine WC1 genes. We aligned the 32 a1 1584 sequences annotated in the San Clemente genome with the 17 from the Yunnan assembly (Figure 2.5A) and prepared a phylogenetic tree (Figure 2.5B). The 17 Yunnan a1 1585 domains all had San Clemente counterparts that were ≥99.4% similar at the level of 1586 1587 deduced amino acids with many having 100% identity including those from genes with unique structures. For the gene with 13 a1 domains (SCgoatWC1-16; see Figure 2.3B, 1588 1589 vii) the level of identity for the domains between San Clemente and Yunnan goats was as follows: 7 (100%), 4 (99%), 1 (97%) and 1 (96%). The average of all the Yunnan goat 1590 WC1 ga1 domain sequences relative to San Clemente goat was 99.4%. 1591 1592 Next we compared the 32 Boer goat a1 domain sequences obtained by PCR with the annotated San Clemente by aligning the deduced amino acid sequences (Figure 2.5C) 1593 1594 and preparing a phylogram (Figure 2.5D). Twenty-two of the Boer goat a1 domains 1595 corresponded with San Clemente a1 sequences for genes with various structures (i-iii and vii) including 10 of the 13 a1 domains in structure vii with \geq 97.9 % identity (Figure 2.5C 1596 1597 and D). The other 22 Boer goat a1 domains sequence had $\geq 98.3\%$ identity with San 1598 Clemente goat al domains. However, nine al domain sequences were only found among

the Boer goat amplicons and 7 San Clemente a1 sequences were not found among the
Boer goat sequences (Supplemental figure 2.1). Two a1 domains (BRgoatWC1-21 and
BRgoatWC1-26) are nearly identical with 98.3% identity and because of this we
considered they code for one gene. The WC1 gene numbers were estimated to be 30
based on the number of unique San Clemente and Boer goat a1 domain sequences. These
are summarized in Table 2-2.

1605

1606 2.3.3 Relationship of WC1 SRCR a1 domain sequences between goats and cattle

1607 Since some goat WC1 genes were found to have unique structures relative to

1608 cattle, we conducted further studies to determine if there was any conservation of the

1609 SRCR al domain sequences between goats and cattle. Since WC1 molecules are known

as PRR, binding pathogens, and since goats and cattle share pathogens (Baldwin et al.,

1611 2019b) we expected to find similarities. Some bovine WC1 genes are classified as

1612 WC1.2-like genes having 4 additional amino acids at position 81-84

1613 (ESTL/ESVL/ESAL) in the al domain relative to those classified as WC1.1-like (see

1614 Figure 2.5E). The three cattle a1 domains classified as WC1.2 genes (BtWC1-4, WC1-7

and WC1-9) cluster with three of the goat WC1.2-like genes (SCgWC1-11, SCgWC1-17

and SCgWC1-19) in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2.5F). Three of the a1 domains of

1617 goats had deduced amino acid sequence identity of 91-98% with bovine genes (BtWC1-

1618 12 and SCgoatWC1-8; BtWC1-10 and SCgoatWC1-10; BtWC1-11 and SCgoatWC1-9)

and are WC1.1 types (Figure 2.5E). The remaining 7 bovine a1 sequences (BtWC1-1,

1620 BtWC1-2, BtWC1-3, BtWC1-5, BtWC1-6, BtWC1-8 and BtWC1-13) clustered together

and distinctly from goat WC1 sequences (Figure 2.5F). This is interesting since these arethe most highly represented in the bovine genome.

1623

1624 **2.3.4** CDNA evidence for annotated WC1 gene sequences.

cDNA evidence to support the correctness of the assembly and annotation of the 1625 1626 San Clemente WC1 genes was obtained by sequencing of transcripts from Boer goat 1627 PBMC (Table 2-4). WC1 PCR amplification resulted in amplicons of approximately 6, 4.4 and 2.7 kB for transcripts with Type I or Type II ICDs while for transcripts with a 1628 1629 Type III ICD the sizes were approximately 2.9, 2.2 and 1.5 kB (Supplemental figure 2.2). 1630 We obtained full-length cDNA evidence for 8 annotated WC1 genes using Sanger 1631 sequencing (Supplemental figure 2.2) and partial sequence for 4 more WC1 sequences using PacBio 1632

1633

1634 2.3.5 cDNA evidence for caprine WC1 ICDs and their splice variants

We have shown previously that while cattle have three types of WC1 ICDs no splice variants have been identified (Chen et al., 2012; Herzig and Baldwin, 2009). Here we found that goats have similar ICD types and sequences with cattle. When evaluating

1638 goat WC1 cDNA sequences we discovered that splice variants occurred in the ICD. It is

1639 known from studies in cattle that there are key tyrosines in the ICD that get

1640 phosphorylated during $\gamma\delta$ T cell activation and di-leucines that also play a role in

signaling by regulating endocytosis of WC1 (Hsu et al., 2015a). Thus the splice variants

1642 were sequenced to determine the effect on maintenance of these key features of the ICDs.

1643 Using primers specific for the ICD 5' and 3' ends, eight different primers

1644 combination were evaluated of which only four gave the right amplicon size (Figure

1645 2.6A). PCR resulted in amplicons of approximately 450, 400, 320 and 250 bp for Type

1646 I/II ICDs while for Type III ICDs the band sizes were approximately 636, 480, 330 and

1647 300 bp (Figure 2.6A). Sequencing of these amplicons revealed that Type I ICDs had no

splice variants as in cattle while Type II ICD had two splice variants missing 1 or 2 exons

1649 (Figure 2.6B and C). Both of them had similar amino acid sequences but they are

1650 different from the full construct and might be coded for by a different gene. One of these

splice variant was missing the YEEL motif and it is this tyrosine (Y24) that is

1652 phosphorylated normally and one was missing the serine and di-leucine (Chen et al.,

1653 2014; Hsu et al., 2015a; Wang et al., 2009). Type III ICDs had 4 splice variants missing

1654 1, 2 or 3 exons (Figure 2.6B and C). All of them had the motif YDDV in exon 6 that gets

1655 phosphorylated in this type of ICD (Y199) and one was missing the di-leucine needed for

1656 endocytosis. In conclusion, the splice variants of the ICD are novel relative to what is

1657 known in cattle and may affect signaling in some cases.

1658

1660 **2.4 Discussion**

1661 In order to extract useful information from the genome assembly, understanding 1662 of its components is essential. Because WC1 molecules are important as both co-1663 receptors and PRR for host immune responses (Hsu et al., 2015b), genome annotation to 1664 describe caprine WC1 genes was done for both the San Clemente (Bickhart, 2017a) and 1665 Yunnan goat genome assemblies (Dong, 2013). In this study the caprine WC1 gene 1666 numbers, gene structures, cDNA evidence and ICD splice variants were defined as was WC1 gene sequence conservation among goat breeds as well as with cattle. Our results 1667 1668 indicated that goat WC1 molecules are coded by a multigenic family as in cattle (Herzig and Baldwin, 2009) in line with our hypothesis. We identified 17 complete WC1 genes as 1669 1670 well as 13 partial WC1 genes. The complete goat WC1 genes have 191 SRCR domains 1671 which is more than cattle (138 SRCR domains) and thus a larger variety for pathogen binding. 1672

1673 In addition to the large number of caprine WC1 SRCR domains, the goat WC1 1674 gene number was estimated to be 30 based on the genome annotations combined with further gDNA and cDNA sequencing. This gene number is more than twice that of cattle 1675 1676 (Chen et al., 2012; Herzig and Baldwin, 2009) although not all annotations represented a complete coding sequence. But from among the 13 goat complete WC1 genes obtained 1677 1678 (12 annotated and 1 cDNA) there was a high degree of exon-intron structure identity with 1679 bovine WC1 genes although 4 were unique to goats. The annotated Yunnan WC1 1680 assembly was less complete than the San Clemente but it agreed with the San Clemente 1681 genome assembly with regard to the gene structures including the most unusual with 13 1682 al domains. Also the Yunnan and San Clemente goat WC1 al domains' deduced amino

1683 acid sequences had an average identity of 99.4% identity. The DNA sequences of WC1 al domains from Boer goats also supported the correctness of the San Clemente genome 1684 sequencing, however the assembly was clearly incomplete since we obtained one 1685 complete cDNA transcript for a WC1 gene that had only an orphan a1 domain in the San 1686 Clemente assembly. Thus other partial genes including those with only a single a1 1687 1688 domain represented in the assembled genome may in fact be a complete gene that was 1689 missed in the sequencing and/or assembly. While we made additional efforts to produce 1690 more cDNA evidence for the annotated WC1 genes by designing a variety of forward and 1691 reverse primer combinations we were unable to obtain cDNA evidence for all annotated genes or those orphan a1 domains. Those WC1 genes for which we could obtain no 1692 cDNA evidence might suggest their lower representation in the transcriptome. 1693 1694 In cattle we know that different WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations produce cytokines and proliferate in response to different pathogens. For instance, among the 13 WC1 genes 1695 1696 in cattle BtWC1-3⁺ cells proliferate to the $\gamma\delta$ T cell antigens of *Leptospira* and produce IFN- γ whereas BtWC1-4⁺ cells proliferate to the $\gamma\delta$ T cell antigens of Anaplasma 1697 marginale (Lahmers et al., 2006; Lahmers et al., 2005). If a specific WC1 gene 1698 1699 expression determines responses to specific pathogens then having a larger number of 1700 WC1 genes and thus expressed SRCR domains might give a better chance of $\gamma\delta$ T cells 1701 responding to more pathogens. We found the SRCR a1 domains are highly conserved 1702 among goat breeds like those among cattle breeds (Chen et al., 2012). This WC1 1703 conservation may suggest that most goat infectious diseases potentially threaten all 1704 breeds of goats except their variations in degree of severity and thus these are conserved 1705 through evolution like Toll-like receptors. When comparing bovine and caprine WC1 a1

| 1706 | domains there was less conservation. However six bovine a1 domains (BtWC1-10, |
|------|---|
| 1707 | BtWC1-11, BtWC1-12, BtWC1-4, BtWC1-7, BtWC1-9) clustered more closely between |
| 1708 | the species. These similarities and differences may be due to the shared pathogens |
| 1709 | affecting both cattle and goats and unique pathogens affecting only goats. For example, |
| 1710 | the bovine WC1 a1 domain that binds Mycobacteria paratuberculosis (J. Telfer, |
| 1711 | unpublished data) differs by only 5.5% (17/310 nucleotide sequences) with SCgoatWC1- |
| 1712 | 8. Both species may be infected with <i>M. paratuberculosis</i> (Baldwin et al., 2019b). |
| 1713 | The 4 goat WC1 genes with structures that do not occur in cattle might be |
| 1714 | important for stimulating responses to pathogens that only affect goats. Two of these |
| 1715 | unique structures have increased SRCR domain repetition. The presence of 13 a1 SRCR |
| 1716 | domains in one molecule might suggest their contribution in zippering with ligands of big |
| 1717 | pathogens such as helminthes. Alternatively, it might suggest that this WC1 molecule |
| 1718 | uses all SRCR a1 domains but to interact with different types of ligands of the pathogen. |
| 1719 | This latter hypothesis is based on the lower sequence identity among some of the |
| 1720 | repeated a1 domains. Similarly, the presence of 3 cassettes of the SRCR domains in |
| 1721 | another unique WC1 gene might suggest that certain pathogens require binding by a |
| 1722 | greater number of homologous SRCR domains, such as three B domains or all six D |
| 1723 | domains. The other two unique goat WC1 gene structures have features found in swine |
| 1724 | WC1 genes. The presence of an SRCR d1 domain on its distal part as occurs for some pig |
| 1725 | WC1 genes (unpublished data, L. Lepage and J.C. Telfer) suggested that this gene might |
| 1726 | be used to protect against pathogens that affect both swine and goats since feral swine |
| 1727 | can carry and transmit nearly 80% of the diseases of concern for sheep and goats |
| 1728 | (https://www.aphis.usda.gov/publications/wildlife_damage/fs-disease-risk-sheep |

goat.pdf). The presence of 6 SRCR domains with a type I ICD is also a unique gene

structure even though swine WC1 genes only code for molecules with 6 SRCR domain

1731 (Kanan et al., 1997). However we found that in goats that the ICD was shorter than that

- in pigs. This type of ICD has less phosphorylation and results in less cytokine production
- than for example a bovine Type III ICD (Chen et al., 2014).

1734 For bovine ICD's tyrosine and serine phosphorylation are essential for signaling the cell for activation and endocytosis of WC1 molecules perhaps to limit activation (Hsu et 1735 al., 2015a). The bovine ICDs tyrosine kinase phosphorylation motif that can induce 1736 signaling differ in their locations in Type I and II ICDs compared to Type III ICDs. In 1737 goats we do not know which tyrosine are functional and get phosphorylated but the 1738 possible tyrosine phosphorylation sites in goats for Type II ICDs are Y15, Y24, Y29, Y68 1739 and Y131 and for Type III ICDs are Y23, Y56, Y84, Y116, Y133, Y168, and Y199. In 1740 1741 cattle, we know that the tyrosine phosphorylation sites for Type II ICDs is Y24, coded for in exon 2, and for Type III ICDs is Y56 and Y199, coded for in exon 3 and 6, respectively (Chen et al., 2014). However we found goats have ICDs splice variants unlike in cattle. 1743 One of the type II ICDs splice variant transcripts had a missing second exon in which the 1744 1745 functional tyrosine for phosphorylation is located and suggested no phosphorylation would occur although the splice variant might use other tyrosines. Similarly one of the type III 1746 1747 ICD splice variants was missing its third exon in which one of the tyrosines for 1748 phosphorylation is located. In this case the gene might use the tyrosine that is located at 1749 199 but the response might be lower or unlike that in cattle. In general, goat ICDs might 1750 use other tyrosines at different locations but this is unlikely unless the principal one is 1751 spliced out. In future studies evaluation of the presence or the absence of the last ICD exon

1752 spliced out should be evaluated by amplification of cDNA for ICD with Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RACE) PCR or by using Poly A (AAA) primers rather than 1753 primers located in the last exon as done here. Moreover an ICD signaling assay should be 1754 done to evaluate the signaling responses of IDs splice variants relative to the ICD full 1755 construct. We conclude that goats have approximately 30 WC1 genes from genome 1756 1757 annotation combined with PCR of cDNA and genomic DNA. This gene number is more than twice that of cattle. Goats have 4 unique exon-intron structures in addition to the three 1758 classical structures of WC1 genes in cattle. We provided cDNA evidence for 12 of the 1759 annotated San Clemente WC1 genes and all of them suggested the correctness of the 1760 genome assembly as did the Yunnan annotated WC1 genes as far as they went. That is, 1761 both the annotated San Clemente partial WC1 genes as well as the additional SRCR 1762 domain sequences for orphan SRCR a1 domains suggests the incompleteness of the 1763 genome assembly. Finally we found that goats have splice variants of ICDs unlike cattle 1764 1765 perhaps suggesting a difference in signaling initiation or sustainability following responses to some pathogens. In summary this work showed goat WC1 genes have unique features 1766 relative to cattle and this finding may impact research on next generation vaccines designed 1767 1768 to stimulate subpopulations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells through WC1 augmenting the TCR signal. 1769

| Primers designation | Primer sequence |
|------------------------------|--|
| 29e1, Forward | CAG CTA CAC AGA TGG AGA GCA |
| BovWC1group I/II, Reverse | TCA YGA GAA AGT CAY TGK GGA TG |
| BovWC1group III, Reverse | CTA CAT GGT GCT AAG CTC CAC ATC |
| YNgoat a1, Forward | GAA GTG AAG CAC CAA GGA GAA |
| SCgoat a1, Forward | GAA GTGAAG YAC CAR GGA RAA TG |
| Long UPM no extra-Adp3 | TACTAGAGTAGCACTCAAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGT |
| GS F UTR Com-adp3 | ACTAGAGTAGCACTCGATCCTGCAGCTGGGACTG T |
| GS F UTR g5-adp3 | TACTAGAGTAGCACTCCTGAGACTGTGACCTTGAGAAC |
| GT F UTR g16-adp3 | TACTAGAGTAGCACTCCAATGAGATGTCATCTGACACCTGTC |
| WC1 RR1-adp2 | GCAGAGTCATGTATAGGAGCAGCCAGRGGCTCTC |
| C1 RR2-adp2 | GCAGAGTCATGTATAGCACTGAGTTCAACATCATCRTACC |
| 1 RR3-adp2 | GCAGAGTCATGTATAGGRAAAGTCACTGTGGATGTTCC |
| C1 RR4-adp2 | GCAGAGTCATGTATAGGAYCAATGAGGACTCCTTCTCC |
| C1 RR5-adp2 | GCAGAGTCATGTATAGCAACATCATCGTATCCAGTGTCC |

1770 Table 2-1 Primers used for amplifying goat WC1 cDNA sequences

| | WC1 gene name | |
|------------|---------------|-------------|
| Gene count | SCgoatWC1-# | BRgoatWC1-# |
| 1 | 1 | 48 |
| 2 | 2 | 25 |
| 3 | 3 | 54 |
| 4 | 4 | 82 |
| 5 | 5 | - |
| 6 | 6 | - |
| 7 | 7 | 59 |
| 8 | 8 | - |
| 9 | 9 | 72 |
| 10 | 10 | 6 |
| 11 | 11 | 62 |
| 12 | 13 | - |
| 13 | 14 | 55 |
| 14 | 15 | - |
| 15 | 16-1 | 83 |
| | 16-2 | 71 |
| | 16-3 | 17 |
| | 16-4 | - |
| | 16-5 | 30 |
| | 16-6 | - |
| | 16-7 | 13 |
| | 16-8 | 4 |
| | 16-9 | 90 |
| | 16-10 | - |
| | 16-11 | 84 |
| | 16-12 | 27 |
| | 16-13 | 107 |
| 16 | 17 | _ |
| 17 | 19 | - |
| 18 | 20 | 86 |
| 19 | 22 | - |
| 20 | 23 | 3 |
| 21 | 30 | 44 |
| 22 | - | 8 |
| 23 | - | 21 (26) |
| 24 | - | 47 |
| 25 | - | 56 |
| 26 | _ | 64 |
| 27 | _ | 77 |
| 28 | _ | 96 |
| 29 | _ | 99 |
| 30 | - | 100 |

Table 2-2 Relationship of San Clemente (SC) and Boar (BR) goat WC1 SRCR a1 and d1domains.

| Distal domain type (a1 or d1) | # of SRCR domains | ICD type | Gene structure | # of WC identifie genome | 1 genes d in the |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | Goats | Cattle |
| al | 11 | Ι | i | 7 | 9 |
| al | 11 | II | ii | 4 | 3 |
| a1 | 6 | III | iii | 1 | 1 |
| a1 | 6 | Ι | iv | 1 | 0 |
| d1 | 11 | II | V | 1 | 0 |
| al | 16 | II | vi | 1 | 0 |
| a1 | 18 | III | vii | 1 | 0 |

1776 Table 2-3 Comparison of the number of known WC1 gene structures among goats and cattle^a

a. Only full length genes identified by genome annotation and/or cDNA sequencing are

1778 described in this table.

1779

| | Concerne | <u>nonoina</u> | DooDic cocurre | aina | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | Sanger seq | uencing | PacBio sequencing | | |
| Annotated gene name: SCgoatWC1-# | No sequences obtained for: | % of sequence identity | Sequences obtained for: | % of sequence identity | |
| 1 | c8, d6 partials | 96.6 | SS-c3, d'-ICD | 98 | |
| 2 | b7, c8 | 97.9 | SS-c3, d'-ICD | 98 | |
| 3 | - | - | - | - | |
| 4 | c8, ID3, ICD4 | 92 | - | - | |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | |
| 8 | - | - | SS-c3, d'-ICD | 99 | |
| 9 | C3 | 98.83 | SS-c3, d'-ICD | 99 | |
| 10 | - | - | SS-c3, d'-ICD | 98 | |
| 11 | - | - | SS-c3, d'-ICD | 99 | |
| 13 | ID1 | 90.4 | SS-c3, d'-ICD | 99 | |
| 14 | - | - | SS-c3, d'-ICD | 98 | |
| 15 | B2-e10 | 88 | SS-c3, d'-ICD | 98 | |
| 16 | - | - | - | - | |
| 17 | - | - | - | - | |
| 19 | - | - | - | - | |
| 20 | - | - | - | - | |
| 22 | d4-tail | 100 for a1domain | SS-c3 | 100 for a1domain | |
| 23 | Complete | 100 for a1domain | SS-c3 | 100 for a1domain | |
| 30 | _ | - | - | - | |

1781Table 2-4 cDNA evidence for the annotated San Clemente goat WC1 gene sequences

-, no cDNA sequence available for this gene



А




В

- 1798 Figure 2.2 Schematic representation of WC1 intron-exon structures.
- 1799 Seven WC1 gene structures with variable numbers of exons were identified on
- 1800 chromosome 5. (A) Three structures were the same as found in cattle and representative
- structures of these San Clemente goat WC1 genes containing (i) 20 exons with Type I
- 1802 ICD (SCgoatWC1-1), 21 exons with Type II ICD (SCgoatWC1-11) or (iii) 15 exons with
- 1803 Type III ICD (SCgoatWC1-9) are shown. (B) 4 gene structures were unique to goats: (iv)
- 1804 12 exons with a Type I ICD (SCgoatWC1-13), (v) 21 exons with a Type II ICD
- 1805 (SCgoatWC1-5) and coding for a d1 as the most distal domain, (vi) 26 exons, with a
- 1806 Type II ICD (SCgoatWC1-15) and (vii) 27 exons with a Type II ICD (SCgoatWC1-16).
- 1807 The signal sequence, SRCR domains (I-XVIII), interdomain sequences (ID),
- 1808 transmembrane and intracytoplasmic domains (ICD) are indicated.



А

1815 Figure 2.3 Schematic presentation of the purported structures of the goat WC1 proteins.

- 1816 (A) The three purported goat WC1 protein structures that resembled those in cattle (i-iii),
- 1817 and (B) the 4 unique goat WC1 structures (iv-vii) are shown: genes with those structures
- are listed. The SRCR domains (a-b-c-d-e-d), interdomains (ID), transmembrane and
- 1819 intracytoplasmic domains (ICD) are indicated. Also the number of repeating cassettes of
- 1820 SRCR domains (b-c-d-e-d) are labeled as are the most distal signatures domains as either
- a1 or d1. The ICD type is indicated (Type I-III), and the different ICD exon sequences
- are represented by various patterns. Differences in ID sequences are also denoted by
- 1823 patterns.

А

| Signal Sequenci: Image: Signal Sequenci: <thimage: sequenci:<="" signal="" th=""> <thimage: sequenci:<="" signal="" th=""><th></th><th>Ciana</th><th>Leogue</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></thimage:></thimage:> | | Ciana | Leogue | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------------|---------|---|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| ScpanNC1-1 MALGRELSLEGLCYLLLGTVVGGALE ERLKDGAHRCEGRVEVKHOGENGTVDDGSNSMKDASYACROLGCGVAVGIPGAYFGPOVOPINLSYTSCE-GVESTVSDCRHSNIKDYNDGSYIHDDDAGVVCSGVMLAGGDGPCSGRIM ScpanNC1-2 R V L V E KG R M M ScpanNC1-3 S V L V E KL L T N M ScpanNC1-4 S V L V A K L T N M M ScpanNC1-4 S H 0.F N | | Signa | seque | ance | E | 40 | | | 60 | | - 23 | 6 | | 100 | | | 120 | | 1.18 | la l | | |
| Sogamic-1 ML Lenk 5, Indit CV LLG V VOIGDALE LAK KDDANKCE GAVE EVKING EMG AVFORMANT INDIA VVCSITY MUTING AVFORMANT | | | | | 10 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Stopawich N. V. L. V. | SCgoatWC1-1 | MALGRRESL | RGLCVLLLG | TVVGGQALEI | LRIKDGAMR | CEGRVEVKH | QGEWGTV | DDGSWSV | VKDASVAC | ROLOCO | VAVGIPOR | AYFGPGI | /GP IWLS | YISCEGVE | STVSDC | RHSNIKE | YRNDSYI | HDWDAGVV | CSGFVRI | AGGDG | PCSGR | £ 146 |
| Stopawtich S. V L V A K L L L T H T H H T H H T H H H N DR K L L L T T H H T H H N DR R L L L T T H M Stopawtich M H N DR R V A F GG L L T T T H M <td>SCgoatWC1-2</td> <td></td> <td>R</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>¥ I</td> <td>V.</td> <td> E</td> <td>ТКС</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>R</td> <td></td> <td>H.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>146</td> | SCgoatWC1-2 | | R | | | | | ¥ I | V. | E | ТКС | | | | | | R | | H. | | | 146 |
| Stopawtic14 S.H. D. P. IM. Y S-E.D.H. LE. V. A.I.F. GG. L. L. N.T. M.H. T M.H. Stopawtic14 GH. H. N.D.R.RLE V. A.I.F. GG. L. L. N.T. N.T. M.H. Stopawtic14 G. M. G. M. D.R.RLE V. A.I.F. GG. L. L. N.T. N.H. M.H. Stopawtic14 S. M.D.R.RLE V. A.I.F. GG. L. L. N.H. N.H. Stopawtic14 H.O. G. N.D.R.RLE V. A.I.F. GG. L. L. N.H. N.H. M.H. Stopawtic14 H.O. G. N.D.R.RLE V. A.I.F. GG. L. L. N.H. K.KO.D. N.H. M.H. M.H. Stopawtic14 H.O. G. N.W.K.LEE A.V. A.I.F. GG. L. L. N.H. M.H. | SCgoatWC1-3 | | S | | | | | V I | · · · · · · V · | | A | | L | | | | HT | | | | | 146 |
| SóganWC1-14 GH H N N N R IE V A IF GG L L T D N Y M M StopanVC1-7 H Q F DR RLE V A IF GW L T I D N M <td>SCgeatWC1-4</td> <td>S.H</td> <td>Q.F</td> <td>IM</td> <td>· · · · Y .</td> <td></td> <td>\$-</td> <td>E.DHI</td> <td>.EV.</td> <td></td> <td>A. I. F. G</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>MT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>145</td> | SCgeatWC1-4 | S.H | Q.F | IM | · · · · Y . | | \$- | E.DHI | .EV. | | A. I. F. G | | | | | | MT | | | | | 145 |
| StopawtCr4 CF W S E MD LE V A IFRA L SS R HM StopawtCr4 S DR RL V A IF GG L T T D H D R K M | SCgoafWC1-14 | GH | H | | Н | | | N.DR.RI | .EV. | | A. I. F. GO | | L | | | . D | ΗΥ | | | | | 146 |
| StopanVC1-7 H. Q. F. DR.RLE V A.F.F.GW L L. T. I, T. D. H.N.CS.R. H. M.M. StopanVC1-30 S. N.D.R.L. V A.I.F.GG L. L, T. J, T. D.H.F.T.M. M.M. StopanVC1-30 H. Q, F.M. N.G.K. N.YK. LEE A.V. A. DIA.GS A.F.F.F.Y. ALL, T.I, T.D. H.N.CS.R. H. M.M. M.K. M.K. N.YK. GLEE A.V. A. DIA.GS A.F.F.F.Y. H.R.T.IE.TSTAN. HPEGIS.O. M.D.R. H.K. K.Y.GO,GUNC1-1 H.F.S.M. A.Y.R. YTEY LISHVEDV E. DIA.RGS R.I. FL.V. R.E. T.I.E.F.Y. R.F.G.Y. N.R.T. K.S. A.R. H.M. M.G. K.Y.D.GOLEO A.V. R.A.E.R.GG A.A.F.J.L.F.E.O.Y. N.R. L.I.F.R. N.R. K.Y.D.GOLEO A.V. R.A.E.R.G. A.A.F.J.L.F.C.V. N.R. L.C.F.S. A.R.F.G. N.R. | SCgoatWC1-6 | CF | W | S | | | | E.MD | E V. | | A. I. F. R. | S | i | | | | | R. | | | | 146 |
| Scipant/C1-30 S N D R RL V A 1 F GG L T D H T M M M M M M M M M D R V A 1 F GG L T T D H A M D R A N D R A D A A D A D A D R M D R M D R M D R M D R M D R M D R M M D R M M R D R M M R R D R M | SCgoafWC1-7 | H | Q F | | | | | DR .RI | .E. V. | | A.F.F.GI | I | L | | | T. D | H.N.CS | R | Н. | | | 146 |
| Stopwork-16 H. QF M. N.G. K. N.YK. LEE A.V. A. IDA. G.S. A. FFFIT.T. ALK -O. PTV. POG.S. H. ALL. M. D.R. M. A. Stopwork-10 H. GR N.YK. GLEE A.V. A. DA. KM N.S.S. A. TATE.TSTAN. HPEGLS. O. D.R. M. M. Stopwork-11 H.F.S.M. A. YR. YITEY LISHVEDV. E. IDA. RGS. RL. FL.V. RRE. LIT.H.K. VIDF.NTFS.O.G.V.A. L.F.R. M. Stopwork-19 V.H.O. X.Y.D. GLEO. A.V. R.A. EA. RG. A.A. YIL. R T. ALTE. TSTAN. HPEGLS. O.V. A. L.F.R. M. Stopwork-19 V.H.O. X.Y.D. GLEO. A.V. R.A. EA. RG. A.A. N.L. F.R. M. Stopwork-10 VEVYSGEAWIN PVSDGNFT LPT ADVI CAEL GCKAVSVOHEL LREGEOGOVALEE FRCEGEEPE LRVCPRVPY POGTCHHSGAAD UCSYSE VHILKINGSSOCEGOVEMN I SGRWAL CASHWILLANANVVCRQLGCVA I STPGPHILAE 290 Stopwork-100 | SCgoatWC1-30 | | | | | | | N.DR.RI | V. | | A. I. F. GO | | L | T. | | D | H FT | | | | | 146 |
| SCpanWC-10 H GR NSYK GLEE A V A DAL RVH SK FYAIY - R.T AIT-E ESTAN M.D.R M.M. SCpanWC-10 H F S.M. A VR YTEV LISHVEDV E IDA RGS RL. FR.H North AIT-E TO VA L R MI SCpanWC-10 V H Q A VR YTEV LISHVEDV E IDA RGS RL. FL V RRE TL VK VID NN - TSS OVA L R MI SCpanWC-1 VEVSIGEAVIEVPSOIGNTLPTADVICAELGCGKAVSVQHELFREESOGUWAEEFRCEGEEEPELRVCRVPVPGGTCHBGAAQLVCSVSVEVHIMINDSSOCEGAVENNISGRMALCASHMTLANNVVCRQLGCGVAISTPGOPHLAEX SC Y | SCgoafWC1-8 | | Q + F | . M | N.G | | K | N. YK I | EE . A. V. | | A. IDA 0 | S A. | | FLT.T. | ALK Q. | PTV | POG S | | М. | .D.R | | 145 |
| SCpanNC1-11 H.F. S.M. A.YR. YTEY LISHVEDV E. IDA.RGS RL. FL.V. R.RE LTLKK.VIDF.N.N.TES.O.V.A. L.F.R. MI SCpanNC1-9 V.H.O. XYD.GLEO.A.V. R.A.EA.RG AA.VIL.R+-T. ALT-E.OY.S.R.FSD.GF.S. A.R. MI TO | SCgoatWC1-10 | H | | | | | | NSYK.GI | EE A.V. | | A. DAL .P | VH. SA. | | AIY R.T. | AIT E. | TSTAV | HPEGLS | | M | .DR | | 146 |
| SGpentWC19 V H Q K YD GLEQ A V IL R EA RG AA Y IL R- T AL C Y IL R- T SC N AL AL C V | SCgoafWC1-11 | | | .M. | | | R | YTEY L | SHVEDV. | E. | IDA.RO | S.RI | FL | V. RRE | .LTLHK | V. IDF. N | I.N. TFS | .Q.V.A. | t | F R | | 149 |
| Image Image <th< td=""><td>SCgoafWC1-9</td><td>V H</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>K. YD. GI</td><td>EQ. A. V.</td><td>R</td><td>A.EA.RO</td><td></td><td>Y</td><td>IL .R T.</td><td>ALTE.</td><td>QY.S.R.</td><td>FSD GF</td><td>S. A.</td><td></td><td>AR</td><td></td><td>146</td></th<> | SCgoafWC1-9 | V H | 0 | | | | | K. YD. GI | EQ. A. V. | R | A.EA.RO | | Y | IL .R T. | ALTE. | QY.S.R. | FSD GF | S. A. | | AR | | 146 |
| Stopwin/C1-1 VEVYSGEAN/I PVSDGNFTLPTADVICAELOCGKAVSVDGHELFRESDGGWIN/EEFRCEGEEPELRVCPRVPYPGGTCHHSGAAGLVCSVSEWMLINDSSDGCEGQVENNI ISGRNRALCASHNTLANANVVCRUGCGKAI STPGGPHLAE 2H Stopwin/C1-3 Stopwin/C1-3 N N C V V N N EV Stopwin/C1-3 N N Stopwin/C1-3 P Stopwin/C1-3 N | 1000 - 1 000 - 1000 - 1000 | | 160 | | 180 | | 200 | | | 220 | | | 100 11 | 11 | 260 | | | 290 | | | 30 | 0 |
| Sogammonth VEV SOCE MILL PLACE LOS ON ALS STOLED FR. SOGAR LEPT. COUPER LIST SOCE DUTE MILL SAME MICLOSTIFE LAMAR VC.RULCOVIN IS FOOMLED FR. SOC. S. T. I. S. S. T. I. S. S. T. I. S. S. T. V. 2M S. S. T. V. 2M S. S. R. V. 2M S. S. R. V. 2 | Presentation of | WE WARE AN | I DUDDONETI | | CLOCKAND | NACHELEAR | rocours | | Second Second | (CODUD) | 00070000 | C 1 1 0 1 1/2 | INVOLUTION | III THEREAS | courses | 00000412 | CONTRACT OF | LAND LODA | COCOUNT | 07000 | De 11 - 12 | i Tana |
| Sogamuch-J U String W SC EV S I I SV ZW Sogamuch-J R N SC V P S SC V V ZW | Sugarmun-1 | VEVISOEAN | IPV500NP1 | LPTAUTICA | ELOUDKAVS | FUGHELFRE | SUGUERIA | EELKOEI | PEEPELRY | UPRVP | POOTCHH | GAAUL VI | SISEAN | ILMIN055UCE | OUVENN 13 | ORNRALL | ASHWILA | NANYYURU | LOCOVAL | 51000 | PHLAD | 2 230 |
| Soganifici-1 R P S SC R V P S R V 296 Soganifici-4 T S L R C V P S R V 296 Soganifici-4 T S L R C V P S R V 296 Soganifici-4 H L W C O V H V P S L R V 296 Soganifici-6 H L S AW F L C V S I S R V 296 Soganifici-6 H L S AW F L C V S I S R V 296 Soganifici-6 H L V P R L K K WS C R V H K Y S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H K0 T NV L VP R L K K WS C R V H K Y S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H K0 T NV L VP R K WS C V V A N V S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H K0 T NV L VP R K WS C V V A N V S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H K0 T NV L VP R K WS C V V A N V S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H K0 T NV L VP R K WS C V V A N V S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K W S C V V A N V S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K W S C V V A N V S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K W S C V V A N V S S R V 196 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K K WS C V VA N V S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K K WS C V VA N V S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K K WS C V VA N V S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K K WS C V VA N V S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K K WS C V VA N V S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K K WS C V VA N V S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V VP K K WS C V VA N V S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V K S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V K S S R V 296 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V S S R V 496 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V S S R V 496 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V S S R V 496 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V S S R V 496 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V S S R V 496 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V S S R V 496 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V S S R A S V 496 Soganifici-10 H E00 R A L V V S S R K 448 Soganifici-10 R V VELSED V V S S R A S V 296 Soganifici-10 R V VELSED V V S S R A S V 296 Soganifici-10 R V V S S R A S V 296 Soganifici-10 R V V V S S R A S V 496 Soganifici-10 R V V V V S S R A S V 496 Soganifici-10 R V V V V S S R A S V 496 Soganifici-10 R V V V V S S R V 496 Soganifici-10 R V V V V S S R V 496 Soganifici-10 R V V S S R V 496 Soganifici-10 R V V V S S R | SUggetsWU1-2 | U. | | | | | SAW | | W | | · · · N · · · · | EV. | | | | -1 | s. | | | 1.1.1.1 | SV. | 230 |
| Stogawtich R P S C V PS Stopatic PS Stopatic PS R V 28 Stopatic Stopatic Stopatic Stopatic Stopatic Stopatic Stopatic Stopatic | SUgganWC1-3 | | | | | | K | | +++++++++ | | $\sigma, \sigma, \sigma \to \sigma = \sigma = \sigma$ | · · · · · · ¥ · · | | | | -Q | S | | | | V. | 290 |
| Stopant/C1-4 T S L R C V V R V ZR Stopant/C1-4 H L W C O V H V PR V ZR X </td <td>SCgoatWC1-4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> R</td> <td>********</td> <td>P</td> <td></td> <td>S .</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · · · · V · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>P.S</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>295</td> | SCgoatWC1-4 | | | | R | ******** | P | | S . | | | · · · · · V · · | | | | | P.S | | | | | 295 |
| Stopamic-16 H L W C O V H V PS LR V 2R Stopamic-16 E D I L SAW F L V 2R X N V ZR X < | SCgoat/VC1-14 | | I | | S | | R | | | | | · · · · · ¥ · · | | | | | ****** | | | R. | - · · · ¥ · | 296 |
| Stopamich E.D. I L SAW F.L. C V S I S R V ZW Stopamich 0 RAR C EV S R V ZW Stopamich H D.T NV L V P RL K WS C V H K Y S R V ZW S R S R V ZW S R V ZW S R S V ZW S R S V ZW S V SW S V ZW S V ZW S V ZW S N ZW S V ZW S V ZW S V ZW S V SW S V ZW S V ZW S V SW | SCgoatWC1-6 | Hi | | | | L | 12322-1 | | W. | | Q., | · · · · · V · · | | н | | V | P.S. | | | LR. | V. | 296 |
| SoganWC-10 RAR C EV S R V 286 SoganWC-10 H D - NV L VP RL K WS C VH K Y S R FV 286 SoganWC-10 H K0 T - NV L VP R K VS C VH T K FV 28 R FV 28 SoganWC-14 H KE V V S R FV 28 K VS C VV N V S R FV 28 K VS C V N V S C FV N N V S C FV N T VS S R FV 28 S C FV N T T T T T T T T T T | SCgoafWC1-7 | E.D. | | and the second | | | _ S AW | | ++++++* | | | V.3 | | | | | S | | | | V. | 296 |
| SOpenMCC1-B H D.T - NV L VP RL K.K WS C V.H K Y S.S. R.FV ZH ZH ZH ZH ZH | SCgoafWC1-30 | ******** | | | | ******* | RAR | | | | | EV | | | | | \$ | | | R. | V. | 296 |
| SopamVC-10 H K0 T NV L VP R KWS C VHV T KK S R SV 2H SopamVC-10 H K0 T NV L VP R KWS C VHV T KK S R SV 2H SopamVC-10 H KE L VP K WS C V AN V S VG 2H SopamVC-10 H EQ0 R L VP K WS C V AN V S VG 2H SopamVC-10 H EQ0 R I ID III IIII IIII IIII IIII IIIIIIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII | SCgoatWC1-8 | но. | T | | | .LVP | | K.K | WS | | . R | .V.HI | | K | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | S | | | R . | FV. | 294 |
| SCpanNC1-11 H. KE L. K. MP W. C. V. A. N. V S. VG 2M SCpanNC1-19 H. EOO R. A. L. VP K. WS. C. P. V. RT S. R. FM. 28 SCpanNC1-19 H. EOO R. A. L. VP K. WS. C. P. V. RT S. R. FM. 28 SCpanNC1-1 GGOOI STARFHCSGAE S. F. IN. 28 M. A. S. R. FM. 28 SCpanNC1-1 GGOOI STARFHCSGAE S. R. FM. 28 M. A. S. R. FM. 28 SCpanNC1-1 GGOOI STARFHCSGAE S. R. FM. 28 M. A. S. R. FM. 28 SCpanNC1-13 L A. C.S. KA. LEG E. S. Y. Y. 44 SCpanNC1-4 S. S. Y. | SCgoafWC1-10 | H. KD | T | | NV | L. VP | R | K | | | Section 201 | | an Fran | 1K | | | S | | | R. | SV. | 296 |
| SCpenWC1-9 H. EQO R L VP K WS C P V RT S R FM 280 SCpenWC1-1 GDQ I STARFHCSGAE | SCgoatWC1-11 | H | | | | .LK.MP | | | W. | | | . V. VA | .N | | | ···· V. | | | | | | 3 299 |
| 10 10< | SCgoaf/VC1-9 | H. EQD. | R. A | | | L VP | | | K. WS | | P | · · · · · V · · | | RT | | | \$ | | | R. | FM. | 296 |
| ScywWrC-1 GGDQ1STARFHCSGAE SELWSCPVTVLGGPDYFHONTASVICSGNQTQVLPQCNDSVSEPADSAASVVSTPYCSDSRQLRLVDGGGPCAGRVEILDQGSWGTICDDGMDLDDARVVCRQLGCGEALNATGSAHFGAGSGP1WLDDL44 ScywWrC-2 G L A CS MA G E T Y 442 ScywWrC-1 L A CS KA G E S Y 442 ScywWrC-1 L A CS KA LG N 4 ScywWrC-14 L A CS MA G E M 444 ScywWrC-14 A CS L0 G E A M 444 ScywWrC-14 A CS L0 G E A D R 444 ScywWrC-14 GRVLPVESCD A CS L0 G E A Y 455 ScywWrC-17 V A CS L L0 G E <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>329</td> <td></td> <td>340</td> <td>1.10</td> <td>11</td> <td>390</td> <td></td> <td>P</td> <td>11/</td> <td></td> <td>400</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>420</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ti</td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | 329 | | 340 | 1.10 | 11 | 390 | | P | 11/ | | 400 | | | 420 | | | ti | | |
| SCpentIVC1-2 G L A CS MA G E T Y 444 ScpentIVC1-2 G L A CS MA G E T Y 444 ScpentIVC1-3 L A CS KA LEG E T Y 444 ScpentIVC1-4 L A CS MA G V E M M 444 ScpentIVC1-4 L A CS L O G E A 442 ScpentIVC1-4 L O R 4444 ScpentIVC1-4 L O R A ScpentIVC1-4 L O G< | SCona6WC1-1 | GGDOLSTAR | FHOSGAE | SELWSCPT | VTVI GGPDV | FHONTASVI | CSGNOTO | VI POCNI | SVSEPAD | SAASVI | STRVCSD | ROLELVE | GGGPCA | GRVFLLDOGS | WGTICDDG | | A IOROVY | COFAL NAT | IN THE REAL | GSGPU | WI DDI | 442 |
| SCpeenViC13 L A CS KA LEG S V44 SCpeenViC14 L A CS KA LG K M 44 SCpeenViC14 L A CS LG EE A M 44 SCpeenViC14 C S LG GE A D R 44 SCpeenViC14 G E A D R 44 SCpeenViC14 G GE A D R 44 SCpeenViC14 G GE A CS V 43 SCpeenViC14 GE GE A CS V 43 | SConafWC1-2 | GI | in noodine | | 4 0 | ŝ | 00011010 | | MA G | | | and the second second | 10001 01 | | | F | | o o s n s n n n | 1 | | Y | 442 |
| OppomNCI-1 CS MA G.V. E. M 441 SCpaNVCI-14 A CS LO.G. EEA D.R 444 SCpaNVCI-14 A CS LO.G. EEA D.R 444 SCpaNVCI-14 | SConstMC1.3 | 1 | | | A C | e | | | VA LEO | | | | | | | | | e | | | | 1 442 |
| organiticita L A CS LO.G EE.A. D R 444 Sopaniticita L GRVLPELSCD ···· WS CS MAG E.A. V43 Sopaniticita L GRVLPELSCD ···· WS CS MAG E.A. V435 | SConstWC1_t | 0 1 | | | A | e | | | MA O | v | | | | | | | | | | | 1.1.1. | 441 |
| SCpanNC1-6 L GRVLPVELSCD WS CS MA - G E A | SCoopering 1.14 | B | | | A C | e | | | 1.0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 442 |
| SCOMMUTT-7 V* ···· A CS I LOEGVEEAE ISG E P | SCondMC1.8 | | COVI | DVELEOD | we c | e | | | MA . 0 | | | | | | | | | | A | | 1112 | 1 410 |
| 3L0089/01// Y | Constant 7 | | | FAELOUD. | | e | | | 1 0 50 | 42 11 | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | 440 |
| SCALARPEND 1 D A CO 100VEE A | SCould CL 30 | | 0 | | | e | | | 1 0 0 | V E | | | 3.9 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | 440 |
| ανχατηγήαν μ.π. ···· η US | Sugarnu 1-30 | | | | | 9 | | | L.U. 0 | V. Et | | | | | | | | | | | | 440 |
| Supernovino A US N R O UE AL N E S M RA 444 | SUGGENVC1-8 | · · · · · | | | A | a | | | U D D | U.E. | AL | ((| | | | | M | | KA | | | 640 |
| Supported to the second se | SugariVC1-10 | .sV. | ····· | | A | a | | | M. R.G | V Et | A | | .08 | | | | | | | | | 942 |
| SUGDEMVL**11 KU V L **** A 3.U3 1 LP 19 EE A | SugarnWC1-11 | KU | A | | . A S.C | ð | | | .LP. 19 | E | . A | | H | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | N | | | . 440 |

| | 3 | et . | | 40 | IV | 500 | | | 520 | | 5 | 40 | | 560 | | 9 | 0 | 1 | |
|---------------|-----------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|---------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|---------|----------|--------|-------------|---------------|
| SCooatWC1-1 | NCTGKESHV | WRCPSRGWGRH | DCRHKO | DAGVICSFLALR | MVSEDQQC/ | AGWLEVE | INGTWGS | VCRSTMED | TVSVI | CROLGCOD | SGTLNSS | GLREGSR | PRWVDGI | RCRKTDTSLWD | CPSDPWN | YTSCSPKE | EAVISC | EDSROLR | LVDGGGP |
| SCgoatWC1-2 | .N. | | | | | | | P | | | S | ۷ | | | | | .V | | D. |
| SCooatWC1-3 | | Q | | | L | | | Ρ | | | | ۷ | | | | | | A | R |
| SCgoatWC1-4 | N.F | | | | LK. | | | Р | | | | V | | | | | | | A. S. |
| SCgoatWC1-14 | | | | | | | | P.D. | V 1. | .s | S. T. | VY. | L.LV | Q.WF | GL .K | .s | | A | |
| SCgoatWC1-6 | | | GE | | | | | Ρ | | | | ۷ | | L | | | L. | A | |
| SCgoatWC1-7 | N | .K | | G | L | | | | | | IF | VAY. | .01 | N.W I R. | | М. | L. | A | R |
| SCgoatWC1-30 | | W | G | | Ρ | | | P | | | | | .Q.L | | | .s | | ALI. | |
| SCgoatWC1-8 | N | | | | K.K | | | SIK. | | .s | | VA | | QN | | CS | | A | |
| SCgoat/VC1-10 | N | | | | | | | Ρ | | .s | 1.ST | VA F. | | QN | Н | | | AG | |
| SCgoaf/VC1-11 | | .T | Ε | | | | | NP.D. | V 1. | | S. P. | VA | N | | | | E. | | |
| SCgoatWC1-9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 6 | 21 | | 640 1 | | 661 1 | 1 | | 680 1 | | IV | 11 | | 720 | | | 740 1 | |
| SCgoatWC1-1 | CAGRVEILD | QGSWGT I CDYS | SWOLDDA | RVVCRQLGCGKA | LEATRSSS | FGAGSGP | WLNNEK | CTGKESHV | WRCSSW | GWGKHYCD | HSEDAGV | I CSGF VHL | ARGDGPCS | SGRVEVYSGEA | WIPVSDG | NFTLPTAC | VICAEL | GCGKAVS | VQGQVPF |
| SCgoatWC1-2 | | DF | 1 | H | Q. V. | | G.V. | Y. | Ρ | | | | .G.A | Н | . T | | | | L S. |
| SCgoatWC1-3 | | D(| 3 | E. | | | G.V. | | P | H | | R. | .G | | .T | | | | .L |
| SCgoatWC1-4 | | G. 1 | 1 | | D.L | Ρ | G.V. | | Ρ | | 1GQ | VR. | .G | н | .T | | | | L |
| SCgoat/VC1-14 | | | 3 | | .N | | DD N | | P.R | R.D.R | .KQ | R. | .G | | .T | | | . S | L.HEL |
| SCgoatWC1-6 | N | | NMK | ΗΕ. | .D | | D.V. | | P.R | | | R. | .G | Н | | | | | L |
| SCgoatWC1-7 | .s | SD | | | .D. LF. | .T | DEVN | .R.E. Q. | PT. | | .R | R. | VG | R | .I | | | | 11.8.1 |
| SCgoat/VC1-30 | | | R. E. | E. | .N. V. Y. | | DEVN | .R.E. Q. | · l | RQ.N.I | Ε | R. | VG | | .T | | | | .1 |
| SCgoatWC1-8 | R | | 3 | .MG. | .S.LQFAEL | L.R | DE .N | .GC. | P.R | Q.D.S | .A | VR. | .G.E | D | .T | | | | .L.H |
| SCgoatWC1-10 | | | 5 | G. | .S.LQFAEL | L.P | DE.N | .G | P.0 | R.D.G | .A1 | VR. | .G.E | D | .T | F | | R | L.H |
| SCgoatWC1-11 | .T | N | 3 | | .N. IG. AH. | R | F.DT.D | .RL | K.P.R | RV.N.S | .DN | R. | VGDN | | .T | R | | | L.HEL. |
| SCgoatWC1-9 | | | | | ****** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | na and | 760 I | | 760 1 | 1 | 1 | 111 | | 120 1 | | 1 | 40 1 | | 900 1 | | er I | 8 | | 900 1 |
| SCgoatWC1-1 | RESNARYWA | EEFRCEGEEPS | LRVCPR | VPCPGGTCHHSG | AVQVVCSYT | TOVRLVT | ISSSOCE | GOVEMNIS | GRWRAL | C | ASHW | GLANANVV | CROLGCG | VAISTPNG | AEGSDOL | WKARFHCS | GTESFL | NKCPVTV | LGV-PDC |
| SCgoatWC1-2 | S. DGQV. | | | | | Ε | G | | | ·····. | | S | | | | | | A | in Kara |
| SCgoatWC1-3 | DGLV | | (.WV | | | EL. | G | V | | ·····. | | S | | | G | | .A | A | in these |
| SCgoatWC1-4 | DG | | W | | .A | .EM. | G | | | | | \$ | | R | | | | A | |
| SCgoatWC1-14 | DG .V | | | | .A | SE. MM | GT | | .0 | | | S | | | | | | .TA | |
| SCgoatWC1-6 | DG.V | | C.WW | | A | E L. | G | ····LSVR | 1ST | FLDDGER. | VPPTGV. | PMPMLS | \$\$ | . VES . F | PP.T | EQKEVIN. | .KPD | · · · · · A | Q.LS.S. |
| SCgoatWC1-7 | PDH.V | D | (.WS | K | | M. | GT | | .0 | | | s | | | Н | | | | |
| SCgoat/WC1-30 | PDR .V | | C.WV | A K | | M. | GT | | .0 | | | S | | | | | | A | in the second |
| SCgoatWC1-8 | | | I.WS | | . k | AE M. | G | V | | | | S | · | | VGI | STV | .A | .TA | -GL |
| SCgoatWC1-10 | DG.V | | (.WS | | .A | AE MS | GT | | | | | \$ | | | VI | STVL | . A | .s | - G |
| SCgoatWC1-11 | DGLV | R | C.WW | | .A I S | SEM. | G | | | | | t | F | R.PHL | V.RG I | STV | . A | .S. M.A | G |
| SCooatWC1-9 | | |) | γ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

A cont..

| | ID2 | 320 1 | | 940 | х | 960 | | 980 | | 1,000 | | 1.020 | | 1,040 | X |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------|---|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| SCgpafWC1-1 SCgpafWC1-2 | SHGNTASVICSGNQTQV M | LPOCDHEV | SEPAGSAASEE R | SAPYCSDS | RQLRL VDGGSP G | CAGRVEILDO | 2G\$WGT I CDDGW | DLODARVV | C-QLGCGEALN | ATGSAHFO | GAGSGP I WLD | DLNCTGNESQW | NTCPSPGWGQHD | CRHKEDAGVIC | SFLA 1027 1028 |
| SCopafWC1-3 | R | | | | G | | | | R | | | A | R RR | | 1027 |
| SCopafWC1-4 | R | | Υ | | G | | | N | R | | | A H | RR | | 1027 |
| SCgoa6WC1-14 | P.L | HDS. | V | | G.! | N | | .E | .R. Q. | | | К.Н. | R | | 1028 |
| SCopatWC1-6 | A | | | | G. | | | | R | C | | Α | R | | 1001 |
| SCopafWC1-7 | Н | NDS | QV | E | G | | | н | R | | | AEK HA | R R W | | 1028 |
| SCooafWC1-30 | М. Н. | KDS | .0 | | G. | | S. | | .R | | | . К. Ү. | R. R. R. | | 1028 |
| SCopafWC1-8 | | - NDSL | | | G. | | | | R | RF | | Н. | R | | 1027 |
| SCooafWC1-10 | | NDSL | | | | | | | R | R Q | | н | K. R. R. | | 1031 |
| SCooafWC1-11 | V. | R. NDS. | | | GL | T | | | R | | | K | RRR | | 1034 |
| SCgoafWC1-9 | | | | Υ | G. | | | Ε | .R | MK | | Н. | RR | Q | 478 |
| | 1,060 I | | 1.080 1 | | 3,500 I | | 1,120 I | | 1.140 1 | 1 | ID3 1160 | I XI | 1,180 1 | | 1,200 |
| SCgoatWC1-1 | LRMVSEDQQCAGWLEVF | YNGTWGSV | CRSPMDDVTVS | STICSQLGC | GDSGSVNTSVG | LREGSRPRW | VDLIQCRKTDTS | LWQCPSGP | WKYSSCSPKEE. | AYISCAG | RPKSCPTAA | PCTDREKLRLR | GGDSECSGRVEV | NHSGSWGTVCD | DSWS 1177 |
| SCgoafWC1-2 | | | .H | | | | | | F | | K | | | | 1177 |
| SCgoafWC1-3 | | | | | | | S | | | | | | | | 1163 |
| SCgoafWC1-4 | | | M. | | | | | | | | | | | | 1177 |
| SCgoatWC1-14 | K | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1178 |
| SCgoatWC1-6 | | | M. | R | L | | | | | | H | | | | 1150 |
| SCgoatWC1-7 | | | E.I | MR | | | | D. | | M F | 1 | | DW | . N G. | 1178 |
| SCgoatWC1-30 | | | E.I | .MR | L | | 0.1 | D . | | | | | | | 1178 |
| SCgoatWC1-8 | E | | E.I | MR | .NL.SA | Q | G | D. | .N.N | | | | | | 1175 |
| SCgoafWC1-10 | E.T | | E.J | .MR | L.S | H | G | D . | .N.N | . coursed | | | | | 1181 |
| SCgoafWC1-11 | E | | N | | .GL | Н | G. OR HL | D. | .N.N | I | RG. | | L | | 1184 |
| SCgoafWC1-9 | | | E.I | .MR | LA | | | D. | NS.D. | | RH-QA. | | | | 627 |
| | | 1,220 | | 1,240 I | | 1,260 | ID4 | 1,280 | I TM | 1.300 | | I Ex | Ex2 | 1,340 | |
| SUgoatWU1-1 | LAEAEVVCQULGCGQAL | EAVPAAIF | GPGNGSTWLDU | SVRUGGRES | SLWUCAAEPWG | USDCKHEED/ | AGVRUSGVRITL | PITTAGIL | THPNSLPGTFS | LPGVLUL | LGALLELVI | TILVIQLERWR | AERRALSSYEDA | LAEAVTEELUT | KL I U 1327 |
| SUGORINUT-2 | | | | K | | | | | | | | | | | 1321 |
| SUgbatWC1-3 | | · · · · K · · · · · | 5t | | | H | | | | | | .v | | | J. K 1313 |
| SUgoatWU1-4 | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | | 1 1321 |
| SUgganwU1-14 | | | | | | N | . | . K V | P | | | | ********** | | 1328 |
| SUgoatWC1-6 | | | | | ********** | | | | DUDDD | V | | | | eren eren fi | 1283 |
| SUgoatWU1-/ | | Q.V | | .Q.E.W | ¥ | A. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | L.P SH | PVSSP | · · · · · · · · · · | | V | | H | LW. 1328 |
| SUgoaowu1-JU | | | | | | | | ····· SH | PVSSP | . . | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | LV. 131/ |
| SugatWC1-8 | U. | | | U.E.W | | | A.K | LN AH | 115.P | | | ¥ | | | LW. 1325 |
| SUgganWC1-10 | | | | | | | | | ****KP | 141 | | .v | | | LV. 1314 |
| SUggaaWC1-11 | | | | | V | | · · · · · · · · A. · · · · | .PALR | PPS.P | | | | | | LV. 1334 |
| SugoatWC1-9 | | A. | t | :M | e + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | | ····· | | ·····PUL. | MI I | | H | . KHUE V . UF V | VU.,M.Q.I.D | TIKP /60 |

| StopanVC1-1 KEGL-GSP D ORDVPAENYDDAEEVPVPGTP PAFOG SEEEVPFKDD0VSS0TGSCLWSREA 19 SCpanVC1-2 S S S N 137 SCpanVC1-4 S S S N 137 SCpanVC1-4 S S S N 137 SCpanVC1-4 S S S N 138 SCpanVC1-4 S S S N 139 SCpanVC1-4 S G S N 139 SCpanVC1-4 S G S N 138 SCpanVC1-4 L GFLNS E PDOKT 138 SCpanVC1-4 L AFLS E N 133 SCpanVC1-6 L I GFLS E N 133 SCpanVC1-1 L I GFLS GEN E N E 133 SCpanVC1-1 L I GFLS A G D A | • | I [™] Ex3 | 1.380 I | Ex4 | 1,400 I | 1,420 | Ex5 1 | 1.660 I | 1.460 1 | Ex6 ^{1,500} |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------|------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Stopat/C12 | SCgoaf/VC1-1 | -KEGL-GSP | | D QRT0 | DVPAENYDDAEI | EVPVPGTP····· | PAFQG | | SEEEVPPEKDDGVR | SSQTGSCLNFSREAA 1391 |
| Stopantic13 | SCgoatWC1-2 | • | ••••• | ******** | | \$ | .\$ | | ····· | |
| StopanVC14 | SCgoatWC1-3 | ***** | | | 4 | | \$\$ | | EE | \$ |
| Stopant/C1-14 SS | SCgoatWC1-4 | • | | •••.••• | | | S | | ••••••.NGN | |
| SoganiC1-5 | SCgoatWC1-14 | ····· | | | | | .\$ | | ······ | |
| SoganWC1-0 _ L _ GFLSNGELDNUDERSARAP. K_YALG_G _ AISEISEE PUDAL | SCgoafWC1-6 | | | | | | 15 | | LGM. | 1346 |
| Support Support | SUGDATIVU 1-1 | LGFLSN | GEUNNUSRSAF | 'AP K. I | TALG.G. | | 13E | | E.PDQ | 1395 |
| SopamWC-10 L I GFLSD -GEUNDDRSARAF, A.G.D A. S. | SUGOBINUT-SU | 1 1 45100 | 0000000000000 | AD | TALG.G | | 0.0 | ••••••••••• | n 11 F 1 | D E V E 1407 |
| SoganWCH1 _ LD GVSD _ SEEDBORSAF.F A.G.G. K.A S.V S.V S.V R. E.F.M S.FFFGE H4 SCganWCH2 G.KD.LD.QGNLSDDSATLPYYTGDDGEDGDRSAF.PLR.HINTTGNG _ D.L. VN.FFFGMNENNFS EDR.GTRYSQIEPPGQNINTIGNGYDDVGDV0V0VVDPINFFFFGTN.NNFF.DDRG S.Y. IS.KSL.TV 910 | SUGORING 1-0 | L L CELED | CEDN DODOA | D | A.G.D. | L.V | | | V 1 EV 1 | C V EV 4304 |
| SopamicH1 G. KD. LD. OGNLSDDSATKLPYTGEDDEDBRSAP. PLR. HINTGNG D.LVN. FFFGMNENNFS. EDR. GTRYSQIEPPGQNINTIGNGVDVDVVDPINPFFPGTN. NNFF. DDRG S. Y IS. KSL. TV 910 Stat 1 | Sugarmul-IU CrashMint.11 | IDI OVIED | CEDDEDEDEDE | 0 | A.G.C. 1 | , | 0 V | | D E M | 0 E1E0 E 1414 |
| SCposMC1-1 DPG-EGEESLWLLQGKKGDTGYDDAELSALGTSPVTFS* 1429 SCposMC1-2 - 1429 SCposMC1-3 - A.C.V.E.S.L.1415 SCposMC1-4 - N.V.P.T.L.1429 SCposMC1-6 - A.V.V.P.T.L.1429 SCposMC1-7 - V. 1429 SCposMC1-6 - A.V.V.L.1344 SCposMC1-7 - V. 1429 SCposMC1-6 - A.V.V.L.1344 SCposMC1-70 - V. T.P.1616 SCposMC1-10 - P.V.VP.S.I.1445 SCposMC1-10 NP.D.P.E.V.VO.T.W.1432 SCposMC1-10 NP.D.P.P.UVP.S.I.1445 SCposMC1-10 NP.D.P.P.UVP.S.I.1450 SCposMC1-10 S.A.E.K.SU.ROF-EFP.V.TMT | SCgoafWC1-9 | G KD LD QGNLSDDSATKLPY | YTGDDGEDGDPNS | AP PLR HI | NTTGNG D | L | EDR . GTRYSQIEPPGQNI | INTIGNGYDDVGDVDVDVVDPIN | IPFFPGTN NNFF DDRG S | YIS.KSL. TV 910 |
| SCpanNC1-1 DPG-EGEESLWLLQGKKGDTGYDDAELSALGTSPVFFS* 1429 SCpanNC1-2 | | 1.520 1 | | | | | | | | |
| SCpanNCr12 | SCgoafWC1-1 | DPG-EGEESLWLLQGKKGDTGY | DDAELSALGTSPV | /TFS' 1429 | | | | | | |
| SOpaMVC1-3 - A. C. V. E. S. L. 1415 SOpaMVC1-4 - A. V. P. T. L 1429 SOpaMVC1-4 - Y P. T. L 1429 SOpaMVC1-4 - Y Y L 1344 SOpaMVC1-4 - Y Y T P) SOpaMVC1-4 - Y Y P) 1429 SOpaMVC1-4 - Y Y P) 143 SOpaMVC1-3 - Y Y Y 1445 SOpaMVC1-10 N P D P 1445 SOpaMVC1-10 N P D -Y Y X SOpaMVC1-10 N P D P D -Y Y SOpaMVC1-10 N P D | SCgoafWC1-2 | | | 1429 | | | | | | |
| SCgantWC14 - A V P T L 1429 SCgantWC14 - V 1429 SCgantWC16 - L 134 SCgantWC15 - A V L 134 SCgantWC14 - V T P 1419 SCgantWC13 - V T P 1436 SCgantWC14 - P E P V V S SCgantWC14 N P D PE V VO T W 1432 SCgantWC140 N P D PE V VO T W 1432 SCgantWC140 N P D P 10 - VP T 1. 1450 SCgantWC140 S A K S P 10 - VP T 1. 1450 SCgantWC140 S A K S C F P </td <td>SCgoafWC1-3</td> <td> A.C</td> <td></td> <td>. L. 1415</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | SCgoafWC1-3 | A.C | | . L. 1415 | | | | | | |
| SCpant/C1-14 V 1429 SCpant/C1-14 A V L SCpant/C1-16 A V L SCpant/C1-17 V T P SCpant/C1-18 V T P SCpant/C1-18 N P SC SCpant/C1-10 N P D SCpant/C1-10 N E SC | SCgoatWC1-4 | | VPT. | L. 1429 | | | | | | |
| SCpanNCr4 A V L 134 SCpanNCr4 V T P 146 SCpanNCr48 N P SC P 146 SCpanNCr48 N P SC P 145 SCpanNCr48 N P SC P 1445 SCpanNCr49 N P SC P 1445 SCpanNCr49 N P D P V VP S.1 1445 SCpanNCr49 N P D P V VP S.1 1445 SCpanNCr49 S.A.E.K. S.V.R0E F I. 1450 SCpanNCr49 S.A.E.K. S.V.R0E F F I. 99 F S.A.E.K. S.V.R0E F F I. S.A.E.K. S.V.R0E F F I. S.A.E.K. S.V.R0E F S.A.E.K. S.V.R0E F S.A.E.K. S.V.R0E F S.A.E.K. S.S.R0E | SCgoatWC1-14 | | V | 1429 | | | | | | |
| SCpant/C1-3 V. T. P. 1416 SCpant/C1-30 V. T. P. 1382 SCpant/C1-40 N. P. P. V. V S. SCpant/C1-40 N. P. P. V. V S. 1.445 SCpant/C1-10 N. P. D. P. ID. V T. I. 1450 SCpant/C1-10 S. A. P. D. VP. I. 1.450 SCpant/C1-10 S. A. EK. SLV. ROF-EFP. V. TM 499 | SCgoafWC1-6 | | V | L. 1384 | | | | | | |
| SCpanNC1-30 | SCgoatWC1-7 | | VT. | . P. 1416 | | | | | | |
| SCpanNC14 N. P. E. P. V. VP S. 1.445 SCpanNC14 N. P. D. PE V. VQ T. W. 1432 SCpanNC11 A. P. D. P. ID VP T. L. 1450 SCpanNC14 S. A. EK. S. IV. RDE - EP V. TM | SCgoatWC1-30 | | VT. | . P. 1382 | | | | | | |
| SópanWCH-10 N P. D PE. V VO. T. W. 1432 SópanWCH-11 A P D P ID - VP. T. L. 1450 SópanWCH-3 S. A. EK. SLV. ROE-FEP V TM | SCgoafWC1-8 | N | VVPS. | 1 1445 | | | | | | |
| SCpontNC1-11 A- P. D. P. IDVP. T. L. 1450 SCpontNC1-9 S. A. EK. SLV. RQEEP. V. TM"909 | SCgoatWC1-10 | N+PDPE. | VVQT. | . W. 1432 | | | | | | |
| SCgoatWC1-9 . S. A. EK SLV. RQE - EP V TM" | SCgoatWC1-11 | | IDVPT. | L. 1450 | | | | | | |
| | SCgoafWC1-9 | .S.A.EK. SLV.RQEEP | | 939 | | | | | | |

| SCgoatWC1-15 SCcontWC1-5 | MALGINALSLRG | | GQ ALELR | LEDGAHRCEGR | VEVKHOGEWGTVD | DYRWSLEDASVVCRI MTACTWTVP | LIGCGAAIGEP E LSAV | GGACEGPGLSP | IWLLYTSCE | TESTVSDCR | | YTHORDAGY |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| SCgee:WC1-13 | CW | . Y | | .к | 20 | .MQWK | ¥I. | KQ.YI | Н. | V | | F1W |
| SCgoatWC1-16 | GOVEVYSGEAWTPV | VSDGNFTLPTAC | VICAELGCGK | AVSVLGHELFO | ESSARVWAEEFRC | EGDEPE _QVCPRVP | PGGTCHHNGA | AEVVCSYSEVR | LMTNGSSQC | EGOVEMN I SGF | WRA_CASHWS | LANANVVCF |
| SCgoetWC1-5 SCgoetWC1-13 | .SHKE.I | | | K.MP.R | DGO. (NGW.GLKSSDV | | | VQ.AL. | ••••• | | GA. | |
| SCoor/WC1-15 | VEGGDQISTARTH | 129 I CLGAESFLWSCF | VTALGGPDCS | HGNTASVICSO | ID1 | MC I SQPAGSAASEKSAP | CSDSRQLR V | DEGEPCAGRVE | 1LDQGSWGT | | HVVCRQLSCG | EALNATGSA |
| SCgcatWC1-5 SCgcatWC1-13 | .GRD AV | | | NT | \$Y | PE.TGE | | G.X | | | R | |
| | .645- | | * V | | 50) 1 | 576 | | 513 1 | | 546 1 | | 582 1 |
| SCgortWC1-5 SCgortWC1-5 | TGKI SHVWKCPSKG | | | .H. | WILLVITINGTWGSV | | QUGCG08311 | .P.VA | Q. | K | SDPWN*1SCS | E |
| augoarmu (+13 | | 629 1 | | 640 | 1 | 682 1 | 580 | I VII | 792 | | 722 | |
| SCgoatWC1-15 SCgoatWC1-5 | GRVEILDQGSWGTI | CDDRWDLEDAR | VVCRQLGCGE | A.GATGSAPFG | AGSGPIW_DEVSC | RGEESQVWRCPAWG | GK.Y.D.S. | AGVICSGFVR. | AGGDGPCSGI V.DN | RVEVYSGEAWI | PVSDGNFTLP | TAQVICAEL |
| SCgoarWC1-13 | | | 762 | ····· | /111800 | | | 80 | ••••• | 800 | | es. |
| SCgoar/WC1-16 | SSARVWAEEFRCEC | DEEPELWYCPRY | SCPOCTCHRS | CAAOVVCSYSE | VRLMTNCSSOCEC | OVENNISCRWRALC | SHWSLANANV | VCROLCCOVAI | SR CPYLV | ECCCO LTARF | HCSCAESFLW | SCPUTALO |
| SCgoorWC1-0 SCgoorWC1-13 | 100 | | | | | ····l(······· | | | . IFA n | ASOKLWKT. | | K. M V |
| SCgos:WC1-15 | QTOVLPOCNDSLS(| SPAGSAASEKS/ | PYCSDSRQLR | LVDGGGPCAGR | VEILDOGSWGTIC | I DDGWDLDDAHVVCG | DLGCGEALNAT | GSAHFGAGSGP | IWLDDLNCT | SKESHVWRCPS | RGWGRHDCRH | KQDAGVICS |
| SCgos/WC1-6 SCgos/WC1-13 | DHFV. | ίΕΕ | | | · · · · A · · · · · · | ••••• | | • • • • • • • • • • • • | ••••• | | | ••••• |
| 50 | | | | | 1.1)) 1 | | TECCORDEAU | IX [®] | ADOCCDORO | | | 1/8 1 1 |
| SCgcetWC1-5 SCccetWC1-13 | LETTINGTINGTYCH | Connective | | 1 - 130 - ALREO | PARSHVD91N0NA | 10100100100100 | TIOUSFREEAT | | AD00040301 | were out of the | | |
| copanie in | | 1,225 | | 1940 📘 🖌 | (11) | use I | 1290 | | 1.300 I | | 1,329 | |
| SCgeatWC1-15 SCgeatWC1-5 | GSGPIWLDEVSCRG | JEESQVWRCPAH | GWQQHNCNHQ | EDAGVICSGFV | RLVGGDGPCSGRV | EVRSGEAWTPVSDG | NFTLPTAQVIC | AELGCGKAVSV | LGQVPFRESI | OGHVWAEEFRO | EGEEPKLWSC | PRVPCPGGT |
| SCgoarWC1-13 | 1,340 | | 1.000 | | 1,430 | 1,670 | | :40 | I XI | 1460 | | tat |
| SCgaarWC1-15 SCrootWC1-5 | REMINGISCOUGO | /EMN SGRWRA. | CASHWSLANA | NVVCRQLGCGV | AISIPNGAEGSDO | LWKARFHCSGIESF | WICPVIALGY | PUCSHGNTASV | CSUSROLR | VUGGGPCAGE | VEI _DQGSWG | IT I CODGWDL |
| SCgoe.WC1-13 | •••••• | 132 | ••••• | 196 | | | 1328 | | 160 | | 1329 | |
| SCgoarWC1-15 | NATOSAHEGAGSOF | PIWLDDLNCTGK | ESHVWRCPSR | GHORHDCRHKE | DAGVICSFLALRM | I IVSEDDECAGWLEVF | NGTHGSVCRS | PMEDITVSMIC | ROLOCODSO | SUNTSVGLREG | SRPRWVDGIO | CONTDISLY |
| SCgoatWC1-5 SCgoatWC1-13 | R.T | | | D. | | Q | | D.VI | зк. \$ | | YL.LV. | WF. |
| SCgoarWC1-15 | EAVISCD-REKLRI | LRGGDSKC5GRV | EVWHSGSWGT | VCODSWSLAEA | EVVCDOLGCGOAL | EAVRAATEGPGNGS | WLDEVRCGGR | ESSLWDCAAEP | NGQSDCKHE | EDAGVRCSSPL | FM .PGIFSLPGVL | CLILGSLLF |
| SCycelWC1-5 SCgnrtWC1-13 | AD | E | . X | | | | | К. | | N | W | A |
| | Ex2 | 180 | | Ex | Ex4 | | 1,30 | | Ex5 | | 1,229 | PURPLETIES |
| SCgoefWC1-5 | F\$ | .EY | | DE | ST.H.R.D.P. | P.I. | A.OVRV. | | TG. FI.G. | CAUPGEGEESP | DDP | LOTUDIVELSA |
| sugarniu 1+13 | | .Y | 0 . 0 | ••••• | •5.8.K.NYPA.N | (V | | | | | NUA | |

С

| | | City of the second second | | | | | | 1 | | | | - I C | | H 1 | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| goatWC1-16 M xat58707170 - | MALGRHLSLWGLCVLLL | GTVVGGQALE | VRLNDGTHHCI R | EGRVEVRLOO | GEWGTVNDH | NWSMEEAAVV | CROLGCGGAVD/ | PKGAKFGP | GVGPFWFHYIYC | KGPESAI | TECSYPTVKDHH R | PEGHSHD | (DAGAVCSAL | EVRLKDGAHHC | EGRVE |
| | 160 I | | 180 | | 200 | | 220 | | 242 | | 260 | | 280 | | 13 |
| ba6WC1-16 K 658707170 . | KHQGEWGT VODL NWSME | EAAVVCROLGO | CGGAIDAPKR. | AHFGPGIGPI | IWFRYIYCK | GPESAITECS | YPPVKDHRPQGA | ISHDKDAGA YE | VCSVLELRLKDG | AHHCEGR | LEVKHOGEWGTV | NDLNWSIE | EEAAVVCROL | GCGGAVDAPKG | AKFGP |
| | | 320 | | 340 | ĩ | 360 | | 380 | 57 | 400 | | 420 | | 440 | |
| xa6WC1-16 168707170 . | I GP I WFHY I YCKGPESA | UTECSYPTVK | DHRPEGHSHDI | KDÁGAVCSVL | LEVRLKDGA | HHCEGRVEVK | YQGEWGTVNDHM | WSLEAAAV | VCRQLECGAAIN | ASREAHF | GPGIGPIWFQYI | YCNGTESV | LTECSYPTI | KDHLAESLSHD | GDAG |
| | V 460 | | 480 1 | | 500 I | | 520 1 | | 540 I | | 540 VI | | 580 I | | |
| a6WC1-16 C 68707170 . | CSDLEVRLKDGAHRCEG | R VE VKHQGEW | GTVNDHNWRLI | EEAQVVCRQU | LGCGAATDA | LRGAHFGPGI | GP I WFC YT YCHO R | BLESVLTAC | TYPVPKDYHPEG | LSHDQDA | GAVCSNLELRLI | DGDHSCEO | SR VE VKHQGKV | NGTVNDLNWNM | EEAH |
| | | 620 | | 640 I | | 960 I | VII | 680 | | 10 | | 725 | | 340 | |
| afWC1-16 C 58707170 . | CRQLRCGAAVGAPKESK | (FGPGIGPIWF) | HYTYCKGQES | VITECSYPPI | LKDHRTEGY | SHNKDAGAIC | SAREVRLKDGAH | HCEGRVEV | KHQGEWGTVNDH | NWSLEAA | AVVCRELECGAA | INASRGA | IFGPGTGPIW | FQYIYCNGTES | FLME S |
| | 760 | V | 11 | | 800 | | 820 | | 840 I | | 860 | | HE0 1 | Х | |
| MWC1-16 Y | YPPLKNHLPEGLSHDRD | AGAVCSALEV | RLKDGAHSCE | GRVEVKHQGE | EWGTVNDHN | WNIEGAQVVC | RQLGCGAAIDAR | GGAYFGPG | IGPIWFHSINCO | GKESLLT | VCRYPSLKDYRP | EGLSHDOC | DAGAVCSALE | LRLRDGAHSCE | GRVE |
| | | 920 1 | | 940 | | 960 | | 982 | ID | 1,000 | Х | 1,020 | | 1,040 | |
| 6WC1-16 H 8707170 . | HQGEWGT VND YNWN I EE | ARVVCRQLRC | SPAVDAPORSI | KFGPGTGPIV | NFHYIYCKG | QESAIDECSY N | PVVIDHHPEGHS | SHNKDAGVV | (CSDL AGVED VP V | IDTHVVV | ETQEGILEVRLK | DGAHHCEG | GRIVEVKHOGEV | NGT VNDD TWSM | EEA |
| | 1,060 | | 1.080 | | 1.500 | | XI | | 1.540 | | 1,160 | | 7,580 | | |
| 6WC1-16 C | CROLKCGAATDAPRGAY | FGSGIGPIWFO | DYVYCNGTEA | MLTQCSYPPL | KDHHPEGL | SHDGDAGAVC | SALELRLKDGA | ISCEGRVEV | KHOGEWGTVNDO | NWSLEEA | AVVCROLGCGAA | INASRGVE | FGPGIGPIW | FQYIYCKGTES | VFT |
| 8707170 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8707170 . | | 1220 X | 11 | 1240 | | 1,250 | | 1,280 1 | | 1.300 | | 1.320 | × | (111 130 | |
| 8707170 . | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDQD | I X | RLNDGTHHCE | 1340 I GRVEVKHQGE | EWGT VNDHN | 1.300 I WSMEEAAVVC | ROLGCGGAVDAR | 1290 I KGAKFGPG | VGPIWFDYIYCK | 1.300 I GQESAIT | RCSYPTVKDHRP | 1.320 I EGHSHDKC | AGAVCSALEI | KIII 1.540 I LRLKDGAHRCE | GRV |
| 8707170 . MWC1-16 Y 8707170 . | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDOD | I X I X AGAVCSPLEV | II RLNDGTHHCEI | 1340 GRVEVKHQGE L | EWGT VNDHN 1,400 | 1260 I WSMEEAAVVC | RQLGCGGAVDAF | 1.360 I PKGAKFGPG | 140 XIV | 1.300 I GQESAIT | RCSYPTVKDHRP | 1.320 I Eghshdkc | AGAVCSALEI | (III ^{1,540} I LRLKDGAHRCE | GRV |
| 8707170 . 8NC1-16 Y 8707170 . 8NC1-16 H 8707170 . | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDOD 1.00 Hogewgtyndlnwslee | 1200 I AGAVCSPLEVF | II RLNDGTHHCEO 1380 ID1 5TAVDAPKGAJ | 1340 GRVEVKHQGE L KFGPGIGPTV | EWGT VNDHN 1.400 I NFDY I YCKG | 1.360 I WSMEEAAVVCI PESAITECSY | ROLGCGGAVDAF 1400 PTVKDYRPEHHS | 1280 I PKGAKFGPG SHDKDAGAV | IVGP I WFDY I YCK 140 CSGF VRL VEGDG | L300 I GQESAIT PCLGRVE | RCSYPTVKDHRP 1.400 I VHSGEDWTPVSD | 1.320 EGHSHDKC GNFALST/ | AGAVCSALEI 1.40 1 AHVICADLGCO A | LRLKDGAHRCE | GRV |
| 8707170 . NVC1-16 Y 8707170 . NVC1-16 H 8707170 . | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDOD 1.NO Hogewgtyndlnwslee | 1220 I)AGAVCSPLEVF ASVVCROLGCO | II RLNDGTHHCEI ID1 STAVDAPKGAI | I SHO I GRVEVKHOGE L KFGPGIGPTV | EWGT VNDHN 1.400 NFDY I YCKG | 1200 I WSMEEAAVVCI PESAITECSYI 1500 | ROLGCGGAVDAF 1 1 PTVKDYRPEHHS | 1.280 I PKGAKFGPG SHDKDAGAV 1.580 | IVGP I WFDY I YCK 1.440 ICSGF VRL VEGDG | 1.300 I GQESAIT PCLGRVE | RCSYPTVKDHRP 1 VHSGEDWTPVSD | 1.320 EGHSHDKC GNFALST/ 1.620 | Addavcsalei 1.400 1 HVICADLGCO Al | CIII 1.540 LRLKDGAHRCEI GTAGFVLGPLP AQQ.LSWD.C. 1,640 | GRV FRE SX |
| 8707170 . 8WC1-16 Y 8707170 . 8WC1-16 H 8707170 . | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDOD 1.00 HOGEWGTVNDLNWSLEE DVWAEEFRCKGEEPKLO | 1228 HAGAVCSPLEVF | II RLNDGTHHCEN 1380 ID1 STAVDAPKGAI TCLHNGAAQVI | ISHO I GRVEVKHQGE KFGPGIGPTV 15H0 VCSAYSEVQL | EWGT VNDHN 1.400 NFDY I YCKG LMSNGT SQC | 1.500 WSMEEAAVVC PESAITECSY 1.500 EGQVKMNTSG | ROLGCGGAVDAF I do PTVKDYRPEHHS RWRALCASHWSI | 1280 PKGAKFGPG SHDKDAGAV 1580 I ANANVVCR | NGP I WFDY I YCK 140 XIV Icsgf vrl vegog IQL gcg va I stpr | 1.300 1 IGQESAIT PCLGRVE 1.600 1 GPHFVEG | RCSYPTVKDHRP I VHSGEDWTPVSD SDQISTVRFHCL | 1.220 I EGHSHDKD GNFALST/ LA20 I GAESFLWS | DAGAVCSALEI 1400 1 NHVICADLGCO A/ SCPVTVLGGPI | 1.340 IRLKDGAHRCEI STAGFVLGPLP AQQ.LSWD.C. 1.640 I DCSHGNTASVI | GRV FRE SX CSG |
| 8707170 . 6WC1-16 Y 8707170 . 6WC1-16 H 8707170 . 8WC1-16 C 8707170 . | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDOD 1.00 HOGEWGTVNDLNWSLEE DVWAEEFRCKGEEPKLO 1.00 | 1200 I) AGAVCSPLEVF ASVVCROLGCO 1320 IFCPRVPCPGG1 | II RLNDGTHHCEI ID STAVDAPKGAI TCLHNGAAQVI | ISH GRVEVKHQGE KFGPGIGPTV 15H I XV VCSAYSEVQL | EWGT VNDHN 1 NFDY I YCKG LMSNGTSQC | 1390 I WSMEEAAVVC PESAITECSYI 1590 EGOVKMNTSG | ROLGCGGAVDAP 1 A00 PTVKDYRPEHHS RWRALCASHWS1 1720 | 1280 I PKGAKFGPG SHDKDAGAV 1580 I ANANVVCR | SVGP I WFDY I YCK 1.40 XIV ICSGFVRI VEGOG IQLGCGVA I STPR 1.740 | 1.00 I GQESAIT PCLGRVE I GPHFVEG | RCSYPTVKDHRP 1 VHSGEOWTPVSD SDQISTVRFHCL 1740 | 1.20 EGHSHDKC GNFALST/ 1.60 EGAESFLWS | DAGAVCSALEI Lein HHVICADLGCI AHVICADLGCI ACPVTVLGGPI 1,780 | TAGF VLGPLP AQQ LSWD C. 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | GRV FRE SX CSG |
| 8707170 . 6WC1-16 Y 8707170 . 6WC1-16 H 8707170 . 6WC1-16 C 8707170 . | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDOO 19 Hogewgtyndlwyslee Duwaeefrckgeepklo 19 Durpochdsvsefaga | ASVVCROLGCO | II RLNDGTHHCEI 130 ID1 STAVDAPKGAJ TCLHNGAAQV 150 VI JSRQLRLVDGJ | 1340 1 GRVEVKHQGE L KFGPG1GPTV 1540 VCSAVSEVQ1 GGPCAGRVE1 | LAD I NFDYIYCKG LMSNGTSQC | 1280 WSMEEAAVVC PESAITECSY 1580 EGOVKMNTSG ICDDGWDLDD | ROLGCGGAVDAF 1400 PTVKDYRPEHHS RWRALCASHWS1 1700 ARVVCRQLSCGG G. E | 1380 T PKGAKFGPG SHDKDAGAV 1580 ANANVVCR DALSAMKSA . N. TG | SVGP I WFDY I YCK 140 ICSGF VRL VEGDG IQL GCGVA I STPR 1740 HFGAGSGP I WLD | 1300 IGQESAIT PCLGRVE IGPHFVEG DLNCTGN | RCSYPTVKDHRP 1400 VHSGEDWTPVSD SDQISTVRFHCL 1700 ESHWNCPSRGW T P | 1.200 EGHSHDKC GNFALST/ GAESFLWS GRHDCRH# .Q | AGAVCSALEI 1.800 1 HVICADLGCC A/ SCPVTVLGGPU 1 CDAGVICSEÍ | I 1.340 I LRLKDGAHRCEI STAGFVLGPLP AQQ.LSWD.C. 1.640 I DCSHGNTASVI FLALRMVSEDQ | GRVI FRE: SX. CSGI |
| 8707170 8707170 8707170 8707170 8707170 8707170 8707170 8707170 | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDOO IN HOGEWGTVNDLNNSLEE DWKAEEFRCKGEEPKLO IN DVLPOCNDSVSEPAGSA | ASUVCROLGCO | II RLNDGTHHCEI 1380 ID1 5TAVDAPKGAJ TCLHNGAAQVI 1500 ILNGAQVI 1500 ILNGA | 1360 GRVEVKHQGG KFGPG1GPTV 1560 J XVCSAYSEVQU GGPCAGRVE1 | LAD I VFDYIYCKG LMSNGTSQC LNNGTSQC ILDQGSWGT | 1280 WSMEEAAVVC PESAITECSY 1580 EGQVKMNTSG ICDDGWDLDD 1580 | ROLGCGGAVDAF 140 PTVKDYRPEHHS RWRALCASHWSU 1720 ARVVCRQLSCGC G. E | 1380 T PKGAKFGPG SHDKDAGAV 1580 LANANVVCR DALSAMKSA S. N. TG 1880 | AND INFOLINCE AND INFOLINCE INFOLOCIONALISTER IN | 1300 IGQESAIT PCLGRVE IGPHFVEG DLINCTGN | RCSYPTVKDHRP 1400 IVHSGEDWTPVSD SDQISTVRFHCL 1780 ESHWNCPSRGW T.P. | 1.200 EGHSHDKC GNFALST/ I GAESFLWS IGRHDCRH# .Q. 1.800 | XAGAVCSALEI 1.60 1 NHVICADLGCC AI SCPVTVLGGPL 170 1 KEDAGVICSEÍ | I 150 1 LRLKDGAHRCE STAGFVLGPLP AQQ.LSWD.C. 160 DCSHGNTASVI FLALRMVSEDQ 190 | GRVI FRE: SX. CSGI |
| INVC1-16 H INVC1-16 H INVC1-16 H INVC1-16 C INVC1-16 C INVC1-16 C INVC1-16 C INVC1-16 C INVC1-16 E INVC1-16 E | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDOD 1.00 Hagewgtyndlwnslee Dwwaeefrckgeepklo 1.00 Jaulpochdsvsepagsa Evfyngtwgsvchspme | ASVVCROLGCC 1520 | II RLNDGTHHCEI IN ID1 STAVDAPKGAI TCLHNGAAQVI ISRQLRLVDGI | 1340 1 GRVEVKHQGE KFGPGIGPTV 1540 VCSAYSEVQI GGPCAGRVEI 1 1540 SSVALREGSF | EWGT VNDHN 1400 NFDY I YCKG LMSNGTSQC LXW I LDQGSWGT RPQWVDG I Q | 1200 INSMEEAAVVC PESAITECSY 1500 IEGQVKMNTSG ICDDGWDLDD 1500 IS00 CRKTDTSLWQ | ROLGCGGAVDAF 149 PTVKDYRPEHHS 1720 1 ARVVCROLSCGG | 1380 FKGAKFGPG SHDKDAGAV 1580 J ANANVVCR DALSAMKSA E. N. TG. 1480 J SPKEEAYIS | SVGP I WFDY I YCK X40 XIV ICSGF VRL VEGOG IQL GCGVA I STPR 1 IHFGAGSGP I WLD ID3 ICAGGRHQSCPTA | 1300 I IGQESAIT PCLGRVE 1400 I GPHFVEG IDLNCTGN 1300 I APCTDRE | IRCSYPTVKDHRP 1400 IVHSGEDWTPVSD SDQISTVRFHCL 1700 ESHWNCPSRGW T.P. KLRLRGGDSECS | 1.20 EGHSHDKD GNFALST/ GAESFLWS IGRHDCRH# I GRVEVWHS | AGGAVCSALEI 1400 1 140 I CADLOCC AHVICADLOCC 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 100 1 | LINE LINE LINE LINE LINE LINE LINE LINE | GRVI FRESSX. CSGI |
| MVC1-16 Y 8707170 . MVC1-16 H 8707170 . MVC1-16 C 8707170 . MVC1-16 C 8707170 . | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDDD 1.00 HOGEWGTVNDLNVSLEE DWWAEEFRCKGEEPKLD 1.00 LWB DVLPGCNDSVSEPAGSA EVFYNGTWGSVCHSPME 1.50 | ASVVCROLGCC ASVVCROLGCC 1520 DFCPRVPCPGGI 1520 15 | II RLNDGTHHCE(1.386 ID1 STAVDAPKGAI TCLHNGAAQV' ¹ XVI DSRQLRLVDG LGCGDSGNLN: 1380 | 1340 1 GRVEVKHQGE KFGPGIGPTV 1540 1 CSAYSEVQI GGPCAGRVEI 1 1 SSVALREGSF | EWGT VNDHM 1 NFD Y IYCKG LMSNGT SQC 1 1 LDQGSWGT RPQWVDG IQ 200 | 130 150 PESAITECSY 150 EGQVKMNTSG ICDDGWDLDD 150 CRKTDTSLWQ ID24 | ROLGCGGAVDAR 1.40 1 PTVKDYRPEHH PTVKDYRPEHH 1.70 1 1.70 1 | 1.580 PKGAKFGPG SHDKDAGAV 1.580 JAL SAMKSA ANANVVCR DAL SAMKSA I SPKEEAY IS | SVOP INFDY I YCK 140 XIV ICSGFYRL VEGDG IDLGCGVA I STPR I HFGAGSGP I WLD I IDB3 CAGGRIDSCPTA I 230 | 1300 I IGQESAIT PCLGRVE IPCLGRVE IGPHFVEG DLNCTGN I ISON APCTDRE | IRCSYPTVKDHRP 1880 ISDQISTVRFHCL UNSGEOWTPVSD ISDQISTVRFHCL UNI ESHVMCPSRGM T. P. VIII KLRLRGGDSECS 2080 F | 1.20 EGHSHDKC GNFALST/ LGD GAESFLWS GRHDCRHH .0 1.80 I GRVEVWHS | XAGAVCSALEI LAO AHVICADLGCC AI SCPVTVLGGPI CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO | LING STAGFVLGPLP STAGFVLGPLP STAGFVLGPLP STAGFVLGPLP TAGFVLGPLD TAGFVLGP | GRV FRE SX CSG QCA |
| 88707170 88WC1-16 Y 18WC1-16 H 18707170 18WC1-16 C 18707170 18WC1-16 C 18707170 18707170 18707170 18707170 18707170 18707170 | YPVLKDYRPEVLSHDOO "30 HOGEWGTVMDLNWSLEE DWWAEEFRCKGEEPKLO UWAEEFRCKGEEPKLO SWEAEFRCKGEEPKLO UVLPOCHDSVSSEPAGSA EVFYNGTWGSVCHSPME 18 LALEAKRAATFGPGNGS | ASTACT | II RLNDGTHHCER TANDGTHHCER TANDAPKGAI TCLHNGAAQV SERGLRLVDGA LGCGDSGNLNI TRESSLWDCAAR | 1340 1 GRVEVKHQGE L KFGPGIGPTV 1540 VCSAYSEVOL GGPCAGRVEI 1 1 1 SSVALREGSF EPWGQSDCKH | EWGT VNDHN 1.400 I WFDY I YCKG UNSNGTSQC U.700 I UDQGSWGT RPQWVDG I Q 2.000 HEEDAGVRC | 1280 1 MSSMEEAAVVC PESAITECSY 1580 1 EGGAVKIMTSG ICDDGWDLDD 1.880 1.880 ICDDGWDLDD 1.880 ICDDGWDLDD 1.880 ICDDGWDLDD EGGAV ICDDGWDLDD EGGAV ICDDGWDLDD ICDDGWDLD ICDDGWDLDD ICDDGWDLDD ICDDGWDLD ICDDGWDLD ICDCGWD ICDCGWDLD ICDCGWD ICDCG | ROLGCGGAVDAF 140 PTVKDYRPEHHS 1721 1721 ARVVCROLSCGC CPSDPWNYTSCS 2120 PHPLALFSLGTF | 1.380 I SHDKDAGAV 1.580 I ANANVVCR ANANVVCR I I SPKEEAVIS SPKEEAVIS T T T T SPKEEAVIS | INFORMED INFORMEDIAL INFORMED INFORMEDIAL INFORMEDIU INFO | 1300 IGQESAIT PCLGRVE IGPHFVEG DLNCTGN 1300 I APCTDRE | IRCSYPTVKDHRP ISDOISTVRFHCL INN ISDOISTVRFHCL INN ESHVINCPSRGW T P INN KLRLRGDISECS INN INN INN INN INN INN INN IN | I JEO I EGHSHDKC GNFALST/ IGRHDCRHH Q ISRHDCRHH Q ISB I GRVEWHS AECRALSS | XAGAVCSALEI 1.60 1.01 1.02 | TAG STAGFVLGPLP AQQ.LSWD.C.LSWD.C. 1.60 DCSHGMTASVI FLALRMVSEDQ 1.90 SWSLAEAEMVC | GRVI FRES SX CSG QCAC QQLC |

- Figure 2.4 Alignment of the San Clemente goat deduced amino acid sequences forcomplete WC1 genes annotated in the genome.
- 1858 Full-length deduced amino acid sequences of the annotated WC1 genes were aligned
- using CLC Genomics Workbench 8.3.1 multiple sequence alignment and the default
- 1860 parameters. Identities are indicated by dots (.), gaps resulting from the alignment are
- indicated by tildes (~), gaps resulting from lack of genomic sequence are indicated by
- 1862 dashes (-). Signal sequence, SRCR domains (upper case Roman numerals), interdomain
- 1863 sequences (ID1 to ID4), transmembrane and intracytoplasmic domains' exons (ICD) are
- indicated. (A) Genes with similar structures as found in cattle (i-iii), (B) unique WC1
- 1865 gene structures (iv) SCgoatWC1-13, (v) WC1-5, (vi) WC1-15 and (C) (vii) WC1-16 are
- 1866 shown. For WC1-16 both San Clemente and Yunnan goat sequences are shown as
- 1867 verification of this unusual structure.

| | | | | | | 20 | | | | 43 | | | | | 60 | | | | | 80 | | | | 100 | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------|--|------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|-------|-------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|----------------------|-----------|
| SCopatWC1-16-12 | VRL | ND - I | зтнн | C F | GRVE | VKHO | GEWGT | VNDHN | WSME | FAAVI | VCROI | GCG | GAV | DAPKO | AKE | SPGV | GPIW | EDVIN | CKGO | ES | ALTR | CSYPTV | OHRP | EGHSH | DKDA | - GAVC |
| Ngoat68707170-13 | | | | | | | | | TO ME | | | | | | | | | | onoa | | | | | Longin | Ditori | |
| SCooatWC1-16-1 | | | | | | RL | | | | | | | | | | | F | н | P | | E | | . н. | | | |
| Ngoat68707170-1 | | | R | | | RL | | | | | | | | | | | | | P | | - E | | | | | |
| SCooatWC1-16-3 | 1. | K - | A | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | н. | P | | - F | | | | | |
| Ngoat68707170-3 | 1 | K | A | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | H | P | | - E | | | | | |
| SCopafWC1-16-13 | 1 | κ | AR | S | | | | 1 | 1 | S | | | T. | | | 1 | T. | | P | S | - E | | Y | н | | |
| Vgoat68707170-12 | L | K | A.R | | | | | E. | . L. | S | | | τ | | | 1 | Τ. | | P | | E | | Y. | .н. | | |
| SCgoatWC1-16-2 | | K | A | | | | | D.L. | | | | | . 1. | | . н. | 1 | | R | P | | - E | . Р. | | Q. N. | | |
| Ngoat68707170-2 | | K | A | | | | | D.L. | | | | | T | | .н. | 1 | | R | P | | E | P | | Q.N. | YE | |
| SCgoatWC1-16-9 | L | R | A.S | | | | | Y. | NI | R. | | R | P | QF | S. | T | | H | | | DE | V. | Н. Н. | | Ν | - V |
| Ngoat68707170-9 | L | R - | A.S | | | | | Y. | NI. | R | | R | P | OF | S | T | | н | | | NE | V. | н. | | N | - V |
| SCgoatWC1-16-6 | L | 1 | D.S | | | | . K | L. | . N | H. | | R | A C | G E | S | 1 | | .н | | | - V . E | | T | . Y. | N | · I . |
| Ngoat68707170-6 | L | 1 | D.S | | | | К. | L . | N | . H. | | R | A . (| G E | S | | . V. | .н. | | | - V E | PL | T | Y | N | 1 . |
| SCgoatWC1-16-4 | | K | A | | | Y. | | | L | A | | E | A. 11 | N SRE | . H. | 1 | | Q | . N . T | | - VL E | | LA | . SL | .G | |
| Ngoat68707170-4 | | K | Α | | | Υ. | | | L . / | Α | | Ε | A. 11 | N. SRE | .н. | | | Q | .N.T | | - VL . E | | LA | . SL | G | ÷ |
| SCgoatWC1-16-7 | | К | A | | | | | | L . / | A | Ε. | E | A. 11 | N.SR. | .H. | T. | | .Q | .N.T | | - FLME | PL | N.L. | L | .R | · |
| Ngoat68707170-7 | | K | A | | | | | | | A | E | Ε | A. 11 | N.SR. | .H. | T | | . 0 | .N.T | | - SLME | PL | N.L. | L | .R | · |
| SCgoatWC1-16-10 | | K | . A | | | | | DT | | . Q. | | К., | A. T. | R | Y . | S.1 | | Q.V. | . N . T | A | -ML Q | | н. | L | G | |
| goat68707170-10 | | Κ | . A | | | | | DT | | . Q. | | К | A. T. | R | Y | S.I. | | . Q. V. | . N . T | . A | - ML . Q | PL. | н. | L | G | · |
| SCgoatWC1-16-11 | L | K | . A . S | | | | | Q. | L . | | | | A. 11 | N.SR. | VH. | 1 | | Q | T | | - VF . T | . A VL | Y | . VL | Q | ÷ |
| igoat68707170-11 | L | К | A. S | | | | | Q. | L . | | | | A. 11 | N.SR. | VH | 1. | | .Q | T | | - VF . T | . A VL | Y | . VL | Q | · · · · · |
| SCgoatWC1-16-5 | | K | A.R | | | | | | .RL | . Q. | | | A. T. | LR. | .H. | 1 . | | C.T. | HL | | -VL A | .T. VP | YH. | L | Q | |
| Ngoat68707170-5 | | K | . A . R | | | | | | RL | . Q . | | | A. T. | LR | .H. | | | .R.T. | .H.L | | - VL . A | . T VP | YH. | L | Q | |
| SCgoatWC1-16-8 | | K | A. S | | | | | | .NI.0 | G.Q. | | | A. I. | G . | Y | 1 | | HS N | Q K | | -LL V | R.SL. | Y | L | Q | |
| Ngoat68707170-8 | | K | A. S | | | | | | .NI.0 | G.Q. | | | A. I. | G . | .Y. | 1. | | HS N | I.Q.K | | -LL.V | .R. SL | Y | L | Q | · · · · · |
| SCgoatWC1-10 | L | K | GRR | | | | | SYK | GL . | | | | A | LQF | VH. | SA. | | . YA | . R . T | | E | TSTA. | YH. | L | Q | - V. |
| YNgoat68707172 | L | К | GRR | See | | | | . SYK | GL | | | | A | LQF | VH. | SA. | | . YA | . R . T | | · E | TSTA. | YH | L | Q | V |
| SCgoatWC1-8 | L | KN- | G.R | | | | . K | YK | L . | | | | A. I. | . Q. | SY. | A | | PF.S | . T . T | | LKO | .RH | Y | Q.Y. | .H | · L. |
| YNgoat68707168 | L | KN- | G.R | | | | . K | YK | L . | | | | A. I. | Q. | SY. | . A. | | .PF.S | . T . T | | LKO | .RH | Y | Q.Y | .H | · L . |
| SCgoatWC1-1 | L | Κ | . A . R | | | | | .D.GS | . WKI | D.S./ | A | | V (| GI QE | Y | | | LS.TS | E.V | | - TVSD | RHSNI | Y.N | DSYI. | .W | V |
| SCgoatWC1-20 | L | Κ | AR | | | | | . A. GS | L KI | D.S./ | Α | | V.10 | G1 C | I.Y. | | | LS.TS | EV | | - TVSD | RHSNI | Y N | DSY1 .! | NW | V |
| SCgoatWC1-13 | L | К | A. R | | | | | . D . MQ | WKI | D.S. | | | V.10 | G1 C | P. Y | | | LLHTS | EV | | - TVSD | RHSNI | Y N | DSFI | . W | V |
| SCgoatWC1-23 | L | Κ | A . R | | | | | HLD | LKI | D.S. | | | A (| GI QE | .Y. | | | LS TS | EV | | - TVSD | RHSNI | . Y . N | DSY | .W | V |
| SCgoafWC1-2 | L | К | . A . R | | | | | V.GS | LKI | D.S. | | Ε | τ | G1. 0 | I.Y. | | | LS.TS | EV | | - TVSD | RHSNI | Y . N | DRY1. | .w | V |
| SCgoatWC1-6 | L | К | . A . R | | | | | . E . MD | L . I | D.S. | |) | A. 10 | GF.RA | .Y. | L. | | LS.TS | E.V | | - TVSD | RHSNI | . Y . N | DSS | .W | - RV |
| SCgoatWC1-22 | L | К | A.R | | | | | . E . MD | L I | D.S. | | | A. 10 | GF.R/ | .Y. | er de | | LS.TS | EX | | - TVSD | RHSNI | Y.N | DSS. | . W | - RV |
| SCgoatWC1-3 | L | K | . A . R | | | | | V GS | L Ki | DS | | | A., (| GI.QK | - Y | L . L | | LL.TS | . E . T | | - TVSD | RHSNI | N | DSYT. | . W | - V. |
| SCgoatWC1-14 | L | К | A | | | | | DR | RLI | DS | | ! | A. 10 | GF.G. | . Y . | | | LL TS | E. T | | - TVSD | RHSDI | N | DSYY. | .W | V . |
| SCgoatWC1-30 | L | K | A. R | | | | | . DR | RLK | D.S. | | | A. 10 | GF.G. | . Y | L . | | LL TS | . E . T | | - TVSD | RHSDI | N | DSFT. | . M | V |
| SCgoatWC1-15 | L | E | A.R | | | | | .D.YR | L . I | D.S. | | | A. 10 | GF.G. | С. | k. | 1 | LL.TS | ET | | - TVSD | RHSNI | Y.N | DSYT. | R | V . |
| SCgoatWC1-4 | L | K | AYR | | | | | ED X | L . I | D.S. | | it | A. 10 | GF.G. | · Y · · | on de | | LL.TS | V | | - TVSD | RHSNI | Y N | DSYT. | .w | V . |
| SCgoatWC1-7 | L | K | A.R | | | | | .D.DR | RLI | D.S. | | | A, FO | GF GV | Ι.Υ. | · · · k. | 4 = = +; | LL TS | . E . T | | - T . SD | THSDI | YHN | NSC | - R | V . |
| YNgoat68707166 | L | K | A. R | | | | | D DR | RLI | D.S. | | | A.FC | GF . GV | /. Y | · · · • | | LL TS | ET | | -T.SD | THSDI | YHN | NSC | R | V . |
| SCgoatWC1-9 | | K | A. R | | | | | K.YD | GL | Q | | R | A t | E. K. | · Y · · · | | | LY L | . H. T | | · . L . E | .Q. 5511 | (FSD | DEL | . S | * · · · · |
| YNgoat68707169 | | K | AR | | | 1.1.1 | | K YD | GL | 0 | | R | A E | E. R. | · Y · · | AA | | LY.L | . R. T | | - L E | Q. SSI | (FSD | D.FI. | S | |
| SCgoatWC1-11 | L | K | A. R | | | A.YR | | TIEY | LISI | HVED. | | E | V.I. | . к | SY. | | | L.VS | ERR | VEST | LTLHK | VHIDE | NY-N | DTF | QV | · · · · · |
| SCgoatWC1-17 | L | К | A. R | | | A.YK | | YTEY | LIA | GVEE | 112 | E | V I | . R. | SY. | RL | 1215 | L GS | ESR | DESV | LTLYK | VHVHF | NY-N | DIF. | Q.1 | 5 x x x |
| SCgoatWC1-19 | L | K - | A. S | | | | | YVQY | .FL.I | D. NMI | L H | E | V T | K . | SY. | | . I | YF.LS | NRT | PESA | LS.NN | VNIDE | NY-T | HIL | Q. I | |
| SCgoatWC1-5 | L | v.q | RAX | ROEK | W.SL | TRAP | APSV | MTAGT | , T . P | • • S | | | E,LS | S VES | . P | . A . S | | L.HV. | GRM | H | MMQ | PSRGW | 10 | + + C | . E . X | 0. 11 |
| Consensus | LRL | KD - (| SAHR | C E | GRVE | VKHQ | GEWGT | VNDHN | WSLE | EAAV | VCRQ | GCG | AAIC | DAPRO | AYF | GPGIC | GPIW | FLYIY | CKGT | ES | - AITE | CSYPTII | DYRP | EGLSH | DKDA | - GAV |
| Concention | | | | | пп | | | | | | חור | | | | | | IN | | | | | 11000 | | | | |
| Conservation | | | нп | | | | | | | | | Ш | | | | | | | | 1000 | | isti.s | | الدال | | |
| SCgoatWC1-4 SCgoatWC1-4 SCgoatWC1-9 YNgoat68707166 SCgoatWC1-9 SCgoatWC1-117 SCgoatWC1-117 SCgoatWC1-17 SCgoatWC1-12 SCgoatWC1-15 Consensus Consensus | L L L L L L L L | КК | AYR AYR AR AR AR AR AR AR AR AR AR AR AR | RQEK | W. SL GRVE | A. YR A. YK TRAP VKHQ | APSV GEWGT | ED X D DR D DR K YD YTEY YTEY YVQY MTAGT | RL I RL I GL GL GL LISI LIAG FL I T.P WSLEI | D S D S D S D S D S C O HVED GVEE D NMI - S EAAV | L H | R R E E GCG | A I C A FC A FC A FC A FC A FC A FC A FC A | GF G GF GW GF GW E R R R R R R R S VES DAPRO | Y Y Y SY SY SY AYFO | AA AA RL RL A.S GPG10 | T . | LL TS LL TS LL TS LY L LY L LY LS L SS YF LS L HV FLYIY | E T R T R T E ERR E SR NRT GRM (CKGT | VEST DESV PESA H- | - TVSD - TVSD - T.SD - LE - LE LTLHK LTLYK LS.NN - VWO - AITE | RHSNI THSDI THSDI O.SSII VHIDF VHVHF VNIDF PSRGW CSYPTII | Y . N YHN YHN FSD FSD FSD NY-N NY-N NY-T WQ (DYRP | DSYT NSC NSC D FI D FI DTF DTF HIL +-C EGLSH | W R R S S Q Q Q E DK | |





С

| | | | | 20 | | | 40 | | | | - 60 | | | | 80 | | | 100 | | | |
|---|-----------|-------------|--|------------|---------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|----------|----------|--------|---------------|---|-------|----------------|----------|
| SCgoafWC1-1 | LRLKD | - GAHR | C EG | RVEVKHO | SEWGTVODGS | VSWKD | ASVA | CROLO | cov | AVGIPO | EAYEG | POV | SPIN | LSYTS | EG | ESTVSD | CRHSNI | OYRNDSYI | HDWD | A-GVV | CS 10 |
| BRgoat/VC1_48 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10 |
| SCooatWC1-20 | | | | | Α | | | | | I | 0 | | | | | | | | N | | 10 |
| BRooaf/VC1 86 | | | | | A | 1 | | | | I KI | 0 | | | | | | | | N | | 10 |
| SCepatWC1-13 | | | | | MO | | v | | | I K | 0 | 1.1 | | LH | | | | F | | | 10 |
| SCooafWC1-23 | | | | | NHLD | | v | | A | | | - 11 | | | | | | | | | 10 |
| BRoostWC1 3 | | | | | NHL D | 1 | v | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10 |
| SCooafMC1.2 | | | | | V | 17 | v | | Ť | K. | | | | | | | | | | | 10 |
| BE seatthe 1 96 | | | 1000 | | | 1.1 | v v | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | 1.1.1.1.1 | |
| SCooler 1.6 | | | | | E NO. | 122 | | | | I E P | A | 117 | | | | | | 00 | | | |
| 6CapatMC1-22 | | | 10000 | | E NO | 10 | | | | I E B | | 12 | | | | | | 00 | | | |
| BDonafAIC1 24 | | 1.000 | | | E NO | LE. | | | | I E B | | 12 | | | | | | 00 | | | |
| Brigoanno () | | | | | E 10 | | | | | 1.5.0 | | 1.1 | | | | | | | n | | |
| BRgoanWC1_20 | | | | | E.MU | LE. | · · · · · | | | I P R | n | 114 | H | | | | | | | 1.5 | - 19 |
| BRgoabwur 90 | | T = + + = | | | MU | 1.1 | · · · · · | | | M.F. G | 9 | 1.16 | | | | | | | | 1.2000 | 1. 19 |
| Brigoanvul 1.99 | | | · · · · A · | | E. HN | 188. | y | | | 11.1.0 | P | 2.52 | | 12214 | | | | 1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2 | | 1.1.2 | 1.1.11 |
| SCgoanVC1-3 | | * * * * * | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1.0 | V | | | $b_{i}(h) \equiv b_{i}(h) = 0$ | S. 1 | L . L | | | | | | | | 1 | 1.1 |
| BRgoatWC1_54 | | T = + + + | 1.4.4.4.4.4 | | · · · · · · · V · · · · | 1.2.1.1 | · · · V | | - A | 1 1.0.1 1 - | K | L . L | | 1.000 | | | | • + + • + + • × I | 12.00 | 1 1 T = 1 1 | 1 1 |
| BRgoatWC1_77 | | * + + + y | | | · · · · · · · V · · · · | 1.4.1.1 | · · · · V | | - A | D | | - i L | | . L . SL | | | | T | - N | | · · 1 |
| SCgoatWC1-14 | | + H | | | N. DR | RLE. | V | | A | . I . F . G | G | L | | Laborer | | | . D | H Y | | | 1 |
| BRgoafWC1_55 | | * H | | | N.DR | RLE | V | | - A | . I . F . G | G | L | | - Acres | | | D | Y | 1.000 | | 1 |
| SCgoatWC1-30 | | | | | N. DR | RL | V | | - A | . I.F.G | G | L | | der in a | | | D | | M. | 1 | 1.1 |
| BRgoatWC1_44 | | * * * * * | | | N. DR | RL | V | | . A | 1.F.0 | 9 | L | | A | | | D | FT | M. | | 1.1 |
| SCgoatWC1-15 | E. | + | 1 *** 1 1 | | | .1.6. | V | | A | 1.F.O | G.C. | L | | Acres | | | | Territor | . R | Stank. | 10 |
| SCgoatWC1-4 | | · Y . | | | SE HX | LE. | V | | . A | 1 . F. G | G | - L | | .4 | К | | | YHT | | | 10 |
| BRgoatWC1_82 | | · Y . | | | SE HX | LE | V | | . A | 1.F.G | G | | | .L | K | | | YH | | | |
| SCgoatWC1-7 | | | | | DR | RLE | V | | A | . F. F. G | N | L | | . L | | | T. D. | H N CS | R | | 10 |
| BRgoafWC1_59 | | H | | | | RLE . | . V | | | FFG | N | L | | .L | | | T. D. | H.N.CS | R | | . 10 |
| BRgoatWC1_8 | | | 2+++ | | LE | | . V | | A | | 0 | . L | | .L L. | | E | | | | | 10 |
| BRgoatWC1_64 | | · | 2000. | | E. MG | . L | . V | | A | | 0 | L | | .LL. | | E | | | | | . 10 |
| BRgoatWC1_56 | | + | 2000. | R. | | х., | | | . A | х. к | OX R | | | . L | N | | | | | | 10 |
| BRgoatWC1_47 | | | | | LE | | V | | A | | D | L. | | FQ. 1Y. | K T | VFTT | AYPVLE | R. PEVLS | . 0 | | . 10 |
| SCopafWC1-16-11 | | | | | N ON | LEE | A.V | | A | INASR | GVH | 1 | | FQ. IY | K T | VFTT | AYPVL | PEVLS | .0 | - A. | 10 |
| BRooafWC1 84 | | · | | | N.QN | LEE | AV | | | INASR | GVH. | 1.1 | | FQ. IY | KT | VETT | AVPVL | PEVLS | .0 | | . 10 |
| SCepatWC1-16-7 | v | · | | | N HN | LEA | . A. V | . E. F | . A | INASR | G.H | . T | | FQ. IY | NT | FLME | SYPPL | NHLPEGLS | R | - A. | 10 |
| BRonafWC1 13 | | - H | | | N HN | LEA | AV | E 1 | A | INASRI | GH | Ť | | FO IY | NT | SL ME | SYPPL | NHLPEGLS | R | | 10 |
| SCopatWC1-16-4 | v | - H | | Y | N HN | LEA | AV | | A | INASR | н | 1.1 | | FO IY | N | VLTE | SYPT | HLAF LS | G. | - A | 10 |
| Copa6AIC1-16-10 | v | | | | N DT | MEE | 0 V | | ¢ A | TDA P | a | 8 1 | | EO VY | N | AMI TO | SYPPI | HHPEGI 9 | | - A | 10 |
| SCooafWC1-16-5 | v | | | | N HN | RIFE | 0 V | | | TOALR | 5 M | × 1 | | FC Y | Harret | VITA | TYPUP | HPEQUS | 0 | | 10 |
| BRooatWC1 30 | | | | | N HN | RIFE | 0 4 | | | TDALR | 6 H | 124 | | ER VE | IDTAD BR | VITA | TYPUP | HPEGIS | 0 | | 10 |
| SCoostAC1.16.8 | w | . 0 | | | N LIN | NIEG | 0.4 | | | IDA O | 2 | 112 | | ELIGIN | O K | 1174 | VDOI | PEGLO | ŏ | | |
| DData MATE A | | . 0 | | | N LIN | NIEG | 0.1 | | | LDA G | | 1.1 | | ELGIN | 0.4 | 1171 | VPOL | DEGLO | | | 40 |
| ConstM01-16-1 | W N | . T U | | 01 | N LIN | MCC | A 1 | | | DA K | 0. | | | EU IV | ¥ P | AITE | OVPTU | NABEGRA | | 10.0 | |
| BBacatW ¹ 1 83 | a | 7 1 | | | N HN | MCC | A 1 | | | DA K | 0 . n. | | 1000 | E0 1V | N. P. | AITE | CYPTH | HUPEONO | | · · · · · | |
| programmen_es | 10 11 | | | | N. UN | MCC | | | | 04 0 | 0 N | | | FD 11 | P | ALT D | OVETH | HAPEONS | . 0 | | |
| PCg0anvL1-19-12 | ¥ N | 1.1.1 | 1. | | | MEE | n. v | | | DA N | 0. N | | | FU. 11. | N Q | ALTO | STPIV | H PEONS | - 0- | | 1 2 |
| Brigoanwu 1_27 | | | | | | MEE | 2.2 | | | DA N | 0 N | 112 | | P.H. 11 | N U | | STPIY | H PEONS | 1.2 | | 1.12 |
| Sugoanvul-16-3 | | 1000 | | - h | | 100 | X | | | DA. KI | 9 K | 132 | | PH. LT. | R. P | ALLE | STPIY | H PEONS | - 0 | · · · · | |
| EMgoatWC1_17 | | T | | - b | | TEE | . A. V | | | DA K | з. к. | 1.5 | 1.1.1 | FH, NY | K | ALLE | SYPTY | H PEGHS | | 1.00 | 19 |
| sugeative1+16+13 | | | 1.4.4.4.1.1 | | N.LN | LEE | v | | | DA K | J.K. | 111 | 1 | FD. 1Y. | K P | ALLE | SYPTY | PEHHS | K | - · · A. | - 10 |
| EngoatWC1_107 | 1 + + + + | | | | N.LN | LEE | . V | | - I | DA K | G. K. | 1.1 | 1.1 | PU IY | R. P | ALTE | SYPTY | PEHHS | K | 1.1 | - 10 |
| augoatWC1-16-2 | ¥ | · · · · · H | | | LN | MEE | A. V | | | IDA K | H.H. | 12. | | PR. IY. | R. P | ATTE | SYPPV | H POGNS | K | · · · A. | 10 |
| BRgoafWC1_71 | | * H | | | LN | MEE | A. V | | G | IDA K | R.H. | 111 | | FRIT | K.P | AITE | SYPPV | H PQGNS | | | - 10 |
| SCgoafWC1-10 | | GR | 1.7.7.7.4.0 | | NSYK | OLEE | . A. V | | A | DAL | RVH | SA. | | FYAIY | R. T | AITE | TSTAV | HPEGLS | Q | | - 10 |
| BRgoatWC1_6 | | + . GR . | | | NSYK | OLEE | . A. V | b = 0.00 | - A | DAL | RVH | SA. | | FYALY | H. T | AITE | TSTAV | HPEGLS | . Q. | | - 10 |
| SCgeatWC1-16-9 | R., | 9 | | | N.YN | NIEE | RV | 1.1.1 | 1 P | DA | RSK | - T | | FH IY | К. Q | AIDE | SYPVV | I. HHPEGHS | NK | | 10 |
| BRgoatWC1_90 | | + 9 | | | G. N.YN | NIEE | RV | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ۹. s | DA | RSK. | T | | FH. LY. | К. Q | AINE | SYPVV | HHPEGHS | NK | 1 4 1 | |
| SCgoafWC1-16-6 | and a | - D.S | | | K. N. LN | NMEE | H V | | A | A.K | SK | 1.1 | | FH.IY. | K. Q | VITE | SYPPL | .H TEG S | NK | A1 | - 10 |
| SCgoafWC1-8 | N | • G | | | K. N. YK | LEE | . A. V | | A | IDA. | GS | . A . | | FPFI. | T 1 | ALKO | PTV | PQG S | . H. | AL | 10 |
| SCgoatWC1-9 | | | | | K.YD | GLEG | 1. A. V | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | A | EA R | G . | AA | | Y. 1L. | R T | ALTE | QY S.F | R. FSD. GF | . 5 | A. | 10 |
| BRgoatWC1_72 | | | | | | GLEG | . A. V | | A | EA.R | G | AA | | Y. 1L | RT | ALTE | QY S. | R FSD GF | S . | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. | 10 |
| SCgoatWC1-11 | | · | | | YTEY | LISH | VEDV | | | IDA R | 35 | RL | | FL.V. | RREVES | TL.LHK | V. IDF | N TFS | . Q. | V A ; | 1 |
| BRgoaf/VC1_62 | | - T | | A. YR | YTEY | LISH | VEDV | | I | IDA R | 38. | RL | | FL.V. | RREVES | TL.LHK | V. 1DF | N TFS | . 0 | 1 | 1 |
| SCgoatWC1-17 | | | | A. YK | YTEY | LIAG | VEEV | | | IDA R | GS | RL | | FL.G. | SREDES | VL.LYK | V. VHF | N TFS | . 0 | I A. | 1 |
| SCgoatWC1-19 | | · | | | | FLE. | NML | . H.E | | TDA R | G-8 | RL | Τ. | YF.L. | NRTEPES | ALSINN | VNIDE | N THILS | .0. | I + . A. | 1 |
| BRgoatWC1_100 | . V. | · L . | | GR.M.PP | .R. RGESQ | | . V | | | 1.F.K | G | 1 | | .LX | | | | | | | 6 |
| SCgoatWC1-5 | V . | Q.RAX | ROEKW | SLTRAP | APSVMTA T | TMP - | · | | E | LSAVE | S.P. | A. S. | | DHVY | GRM | H.WQ | PSRGW | WQH + + + CS | . E . | XQ. 1 | . 1 |
| Conserve | IRLED | GAHD | C FO | RVEVENO | SEWGTVNDHN | VSLED | ASVU | CROI | CGA | ALGARY | AVEG | PGI | OP IN | LIVIE | EG | ESTVON | CRHSNI | DYRNDSVO | HDMD | A. GVV | e s |
| 600 10 000 10 000 10 00 | LALAU | Sannin | 20 | | - In the first | 10250 | 10.1 | COLUMN T | A GA | a. unr A | | | | | | -31130 | sector and 11 | is mayalo | | | |
| Conservation | | | | | | In. | | | | | 1.1 | | | | - | | | allen-1 | 11. P | | 41 |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | . |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| 1999 |
|------|
| 1890 |
| 1891 |
| 1892 |
| 1893 |
| 1894 |
| 1895 |
| 1896 |
| 1897 |
| 1898 |
| 1899 |
| 1900 |
| 1901 |
| 1902 |
| 1903 |
| 1904 |

| | | 20 | | | 40 | | | | | 60 | | | | 40 | | | . 1 | 00 | | |
|--------------|--|--|---------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|--|-----------|------------|-------------|
| SCgoatWC1-6 | LRLKD - GAHRO | ····EGRVEV | CHOGEWG | TVEDMDV | VSLEDAS | VVCR | QLGC | GAAIG | FPRAA | FOPGL | GP I WI | SYTS | CEGV | ES | TVSD | CRHSNI | DYRNDS | SSSH | DWD | A-RVV |
| academic of | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1000 | | | | D | | |
| goannos_21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| poanWC1_26 | | *** | | | 1.0.0 | | | - + + <u></u> - | 0.000 | | . н | | | | | | | 1.0.0 | | +0 |
| parWC1_96 | | | | D | . К. | | | M. | | | | T | | | | | | Xi- | | · • G |
| BfWC1-13 | | | | D.LK. | . К. | | | | . GG | | | | | | | | ·····Y | YL. | | - G |
| oaffWC1_99 | | K | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | YT. | | - G |
| qoatWC1-1 | | | | D.GS | WK | . A | | V.V. | I.QE | V | | | | | | | | YI. | | - G |
| poafWC1-20 | | *** | | . A. GS | ĸ | . A | | v | I.KO | v | | | | | | | | Y1.1 | Ν | - G |
| C1-12When | | | | 0 0 | WK | | | v | I KO | 1 | | LH | | | | | | E1 | | - 6 |
| postMC1.23 | | | | NUT | | | | v | I OF | v | | | | | | | | Y | | - 6 |
| man #54"1.5 | | | | N CE | 2 | | | T 11 | 1 80 | | | | | | | | | NY I | | |
| -goanne 1-2 | 22222 ******************************** | | | v. 03. | | | 1.6. | - * - ¥ - | 1.69 | · · · · · · · | | 2111 | | | | | | CT 1 | | |
| poanvc1+14 | B. | ********* | | N.DR. | H | | | | .00 | | | 1 | | | | · · · · · 0 · · · | | TT | 22277 | · • G · · · |
| poatWC1-30 | | | | N.DR. | Я.К. | | | | . 66 | | | Lane. | T | | | D | | FT | . M | . + 6 . |
| BfWC1-1 | | | | . N. VK. | т.к. | | | | . 66 | | | A | D.T | | | | | Y | | · · G |
| poatWC1-15 | E | | | D.YR. | | | | | | C | | L | T | | | | | YT. | .R. | - G |
| BfWC1-2 | · · D. | | | DSDY | . К | | | | GG | | | 1 | D.T | | | | T.1 | YY. | 0 | - G |
| ConatWC1-4 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | SED HX | | | | | GG | | | 1 | ĸ | | | | YH | YT. | 0.762 | - G |
| DIMO1-3 | - W | | | DOVE | TV | | | | 0.0 | | | 1.00 | | 100100 | | | | TVN / | 0.0 | |
| Design 6 | | | | NAMO. | B K | | | | 00 | | | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| BINNUT-9 | | | | TANG. | R. R. | | | | .00 | | | 2010 | - - | | | E | | 2 | · · · · | |
| BTWG 1-8 | ······································ | | | D. TNU | IM | | | | - OK | | | A | | | | EY. | | (N. 1997) | | - 0 |
| goatWC1-3 | | | | V. GS | K | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1.QK. | | | La ser | T | | | | | YT | | · • G |
| oafWC1_77 | | *** | | . V.GS. | K | | | . vo | I.QE. | | | LSL | | | | | | YT.I | N | · G. |
| goat/VC1_8 | | | | D.LE. | | | | . V. | 1.QD | | | L L | . T | | E. | | G. | YF | | - G |
| oatWC1 64 | | *** | | G. | . К. | | | . V. | 1.00 | | | L L | T | | E | | G | YF. | | · G. |
| coatWC1-7 | | *** | | D DR | R | | | F | GW | | | 1 | т | | 1. | T D | HN | C | R | - G |
| BM/C1-6 | - T H | | | DE | TK | | | | 66 | | | I V | | | 0.00 | KE | | 1 | 0 | - 6 |
| 100001 00 | | | | LUVYY | 22 | 12.11 | 1.21 | | 1 POY | D 14 | | 7.00 | | | | | | × . | | |
| 08090-1_00 | | | R | - THAA | | | | <u></u> | I NUA | - R V | 1111 | | M | | | | | 1.1 | 12.11 | |
| oanvu1_4/ | | ************************************** | | D.LE. | WK. | | | · · · · · | 1.00 | | 1 | 0.11 | K. I. | 12.77.7.5 | VP11 | ATPVL | C | VL | -9 | -0 |
| WG1-16-11 | | | | . N.QN. | E.A | | 1.1.1.1 | N | AS. GVI | 4 I | 1.000 | Q. 1Y | . K . T | | VETT | AYPVL | PE1 | VL | . Q | - GA |
| 6WC1-16-4 | VH. | | Y | N. HN. | A. A | | E . | N | AS E.F | 41 | 1.1.1 | O. IY | . N . T | | VLTE | SYPT | HLAE | L | . G | GA. |
| MVC1-16-7 | VH. | | | N. HN. | A . A | | E.E. | N | AS.G.I | f T | | O. 1Y | N. T | | FLME. | SYPPL | NHLPEO | 51 | .R. | - GA . |
| WC1-16-10 | V . H. | *** | | N.DT | MEO | | ĸ | TD | A G | S. I | 1000 | O.VY | N.T | A | ML TO | SYPPL | HHPEO | 31 | . G | -GA |
| ANC1-16-5 | v | | | N NN | PEO | | | TO | AL 0.1 | | 1.1.1 | C Y | H 1 | | VITA | TYPYP | HPEC | 11 | 0 | -04 |
| ANC1.16.8 | V 0 | | | N UN | NIGO | 1.1.1.1 | | | A 00 | | 1.00 | LICIN | 0 2 | | 1174 | VDOI | DEC | 11 | | -04 |
| AUNC 1-10-0 | Y | | | | | | | - WD | A.00. | | 11213 | main | . M. D. | | 1175 | AND TH | HUDE | 3 L | | - 04 |
| anvi, 1-16-1 | V. N I.H. | 1 | CL | N.HN. | | | | .0. VD | A KU ! | · · · · · · | - 12 | 11.11 | K.P | | ALLE | STPIV | HAPE | 311 | - N | -OA |
| NVC1-18-12 | V. N T.H. | ********* | | N. HN. | . M. E. A | | | . 0 , VD | A.KG. | ¢ | 1.1.1.1 | DITY | . K. Q | | ALTR | SYPTV | .H.PEC | эн | . K | - GA |
| af/VC1-16-3 | H. | ***L | | | . I.E.A | | | .G. VD | A.KG.I | ¢1 | 111.0 | FH. IY | . K . P | | AITE | SYPTV | H.PEC | 3H | . K. | GA. |
| 6WC1-16-13 | | | | N.LN. | E | | | T.VD | A KG I | ¢ | . T.I | D.IY | . K. P | | AITE | SYPTV. | PEł | 4H | . K | -GA |
| af/VC1-16-2 | V H. | *** | | D.LN. | .M.E.A | | | .G. D | A.KR.H | 11 | | R.IY | .K.P | | AITE | SYPPV. | .H.PQC | 5N | . K | - GA |
| catWC1-10 | - GR | | | NSYK | GEA | | | VO | AL OR VE | A SAV | 0.0224 | YALY | RT | | ALTE | TSTAV | HPEC | 31 | Ó. | - G |
| BMAC1-10 | . 0 | | | NNVK | G E A | | | VD | AL OR VE | 4 44 | | NVIV | K T | | DITE | SYPTU | PEC | 14 | ô. | - 6 |
| 0.31.170.00 | P . 0 | | | N VN | NIED | | | D MD | 1 0001 | | 1.0.0 | U. IV | 2 0 | | AIDE | OVEVU | HUDE | 34 4 | NIK. | |
| | | | | | N14 5 11 | | | | A MER | | 1111 | | 2 2 | | NIVE | OVDOL | HATE | ara | AR | |
| anvu1-16-6 | | *** | | . N. LN | NM.E.H | | · · H · · | ····· V. | A KESS | 6 I | $\tau = -\tau$ | M. IY | K.Q | | VIIE | STPPL | H. IEC | 3Y | NK. | - 0A1 |
| goatWC1-8 | NQ | | К. | . N. YK. | E.A | | | D | A.QGS | | 11111 | PF1. | . T. T | | ALKQ | PTV | PQC | 3Y | . H | - GAL |
| BNVC1-12 | N GR | | К. | N HK | E | teres! | R | | A.QGS | | | I | R.T. | | ALKO. | .S.TL | CPOC | 3Y | AH. | - GA |
| goafWC1-9 | | | | . K.Y. | G. Q.A | | . R. | VE. | A. G. | AA | | Y.IL | R.T. | | ALTE. | OY S.F | R.FSD.C | 3F1. | . 5 | - GA |
| BNVC1-11 | · · · · · · T · · · | *** | | N.YG | G.E.A | | . R. | . VE | A. G. | | | Y. VL | G.T | | ALTE | OY S. | FSE C | SFI. | | - GA |
| BIWC1-4 | | A | YK | YSEN | LIGG E | D. 1 | RE | V.0 | A. 65 | R | | L.G | | AESTL | SIYK | V. IDF | N | TF. | 0.1 | I-GA |
| BMAC1-9 | | | VK | VAEN | LINGVE | 0 | RE | VD | A 0.9 | 8 | | · v | 81 | AFSTI | SIVK | V IDE | N . 1 | TE. | 0 | 1.04 |
| ALC: 1.14 | A.10.000 | | VP | VYEW | LIQUUE | 0 | E | ¥ 0 | 4 60 | | 12.20 | 1 1 | D.D | VEGTI | LHY | V IDE | | · | 0 | V-04 |
| Date: 1 | | A | NW. | HTEL | L I DIVE | | | | 4 03 | | 1111 | 1 N | AR. | VEGT | LINK. | V IDE | | | 9.1 | 1 0A |
| BRWG1-7 | | · · · · · · · · · M. | 16 | TIEY | LI.SIE | 1.2 | R.E. | V D | A 05 | ···· | 1.1.1.1.1 | L . Y | SR | VESIL | OL.E. | V IDF | 14 . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | . Q. | AD . I |
| oatWC1+17 | ACCESS TO A STREET | A. | YK | YTEY. | LIAGVE | E.c. v | 1. E.S. | . V D | A GS | R | 1.000 | L.G. | SR | DESVL | LYK | V VHF | N . * 1 | IF | . Q . I | I-GA |
| oafWC1-19 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | . YVQY. | .FN | ML | H.E. | V TD | A. 68 | R. | . T 3 | (F.L. | NRT | PESAL | SINN | VNIDF | N THI | HL | .0.1 | 1 - GA . |
| MVC1_100 | VL. | GR . M. | PP.R. | RGESQ | .WK | | | . V | KG. | I. | | LX | | | | | | | **** | |
| goatWC1-5 | V.Q.RAX | ROEKW SLTP | RAP_APS | VMTAGT | TMP | | | E.LS | AVES . | P. A.S | | DHVY | GRM | . H | WO | PSROW | WOH HOW | C. | E.2 | XQG. I |
| | I DI KO CANDI | ECONENA | HOOPAN | TUNPLING | VELEDAR | WUCP. | 01.00 | 04410 | 100/141 | EGROL | OD UM | | CEOT. | | THER | PHENIN | AVENDS | VOUI | DIAD (| 4.030 |
| onsensus | CHEND - DANKE | ····EORVEVA | THUGENO | TYNUMNY | ADLENAS | VYUN | uruu | UNATO | APRUA | FUPUL | 01.1.00 | 1110 | LEGI | 69 | 112504 | CRIMANIA | CUTRNUS | 9191 | Jun , | A - 0.V |
| onsenation | | | | | and and | | | | 1.0 | | | | - | | | | Inter- | | 1 P | |
| 25 | | | | Int. | | | | | | | | | пп | | | | | nii | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Ε



F

Figure 2.5 Relationship of SRCR signature domains among goat breeds and with cattle using the deduced amino acid sequences.

San Clemente and Yunnan goat WC1 a1 domain sequences obtained by genome annotation were compared by (A) an alignment and (B) a phylogenetic tree. This included the 32 San Clemente and 17 Yunnan a1 domain sequences and the single San Clemente d1 domain sequence. San Clemente and Boar goat WC1 a1 domain sequences obtained by genome annotation or PCR amplification of gDNA of Boar goats was compared by (C) an alignment and (D) a phylogenetic tree for the 32 San Clemente and 32 Boar goat a1 domain sequences and the single San Clemente d1 domain sequence. All 40 individual goat WC1 a1 domain sequences found (San Clemente and Boar goats) were compared to the 13 known bovine WC1 a1 sequences by (E) an alignment and (F) a phylogenetic tree. Gene structures from which the a1 domains were derived are indicated as i-vii, and whether the a1 domains are WC1.1 or WC1.2 types are indicated on the trees. For some genes a complete sequence was not available (SCgoatWC1-17, SCgoatWC1-19, SCgoatWC1-20 and SCgoatWC1-22 and BRgoatWC1-8, BRgoatWC1-21, BRgoatWC1-26, BRgoatWC1-47, BRgoatWC1-56, BRgoatWC1-64, BRgoatWC1-77, BRgoatWC1-96, BRgoatWC1-99 and BRgoatWC1-100) and thus their gene structures are unknown but are grouped according to a1 domain sequence similarity with genes with known gene structures. The nature of the al domain sequences are indicated as WC1.1, WC1.2, or unique along with the gene structures of genes whose full length sequence was known. Abbreviations: San Clemente (SC), Yunnan and Boar (BR) goats.



В

 Type IIICD

 Ex1 Ex2 II Ex3 Ex4 II ICD

 BROWN I OLHRMRAERKAFSS VEDALAEAEYEELD IN CHOKEGULD IN CLUDINGED EXTEREMONT DAPGE EVAPPASOVREEE VPPASOVREEE VPP

А



Figure 2.6 WC1 ICD splice variants.

(A) Agarose gel of amplicons of the cDNA for ICD. Primer pairs used to amplify ICDs and their splice variants are shown and products indicated as those that are Type I or II ICDs (*; lanes 3 & 7) or Type III (**, lanes 4 & 8). (B) ICD deduced amino acid sequences of the Boer goat from cDNA sequences were aligned and identities are indicated by dots (.), gaps resulting from the alignment are indicated by tildes (~), gaps resulting from lack of genomic sequence are indicated by dashes (-). Endodomain exons are indicated as ICD Ex 1 to Ex 6. (C) Eight ICD cDNA structures coded for by variable numbers of exons were illustrated with tyrosines, serine and diluceines which had been evaluated for function in bovine WC1 ICDs.

Bibliography

- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W. and Lipman, D.J., 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of molecular biology 215, 403-410.
- Aziz, M.A., 2010. Present status of the world goat populations and their productivity. World 861, 1.
- Bah, T., 2011. Inkscape: guide to a vector drawing program. Prentice Hall Press, New Jersey.
- Baldwin, C.L., Yirsaw, A., Gillespie, A., Le Page, L., Zhang, F., Damani-Yokota, P., Telfer, J.C., 2019. gammadelta T cells in livestock: Responses to pathogens and vaccine potential. Transbound Emerg Dis https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13328.
- Baron, J., Bin-Tarif, A., Herbert, R., Frost, L., Taylor, G., Baron, M.D., 2014. Early changes in cytokine expression in peste des petits ruminants disease. Vet Res 45, 22.
- Bickhart, D.M., Rosen, B.D., Koren, S., Sayre, B.L., Hastie, A.R., Chan, S., Lee, J., Lam, E.T., Liachko, I., Sullivan, S.T. and Burton, J.N 2017. Single-molecule sequencing and chromatin conformation capture enable de novo reference assembly of the domestic goat genome. Nature genetics 49, 643-650.
- Bwibo, N.O.a.N., C.G., 2003. The need for animal source foods by Kenyan children. The Journal of nutrition 133, 3936S-3940S.
- Campbell, M.S., Holt, C., Moore, B. and Yandell, M., 2014. Genome annotation and curation using MAKER and MAKER-P. Current protocols in bioinformatics 48, 4-11.
- Chen, C., Herzig, C.T., Alexander, L.J., Keele, J.W., McDaneld, T.G., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L.,
 2012. Gene number determination and genetic polymorphism of the gamma delta T cell co-receptor WC1 genes. BMC Genet 13, 86.
- Chen, C., Hsu, H., Hudgens, E., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2014. Signal transduction by different forms of the gammadelta T cell-specific pattern recognition receptor WC1. J Immunol 193, 379-390.
- Chien, Y.H., Bonneville, M., 2006. Gamma delta T cell receptors. Cell Mol Life Sci 63, 2089-2094.
- Chien, Y.H., Meyer, C. and Bonneville, M, 2014. γδ T cells: first line of defense and beyond. Annual review of immunology, 32, 121-155.
- Davis, M., Chien, Y. 2003. T-cell antigen receptors, In: Paul, W.E.E. (Ed.) Fundamental Immunology. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, 227–258.
- Davis, W.C., Brown, W.C., Hamilton, M.J., Wyatt, C.R., Orden, J.A., Khalid, A.M. and Naessens, J , 52(4), pp.275-283., 1996. Analysis of monoclonal antibodies specific for the γδ TcR. Veterinary immunology and immunopathology 52, 275-283.
- Dong, Y., Xie, M., Jiang, Y., Xiao, N., Du, X., Zhang, W., Tosser-Klopp, G., Wang, J., Yang, S., Liang, J. and Chen, W, 2013. Sequencing and automated whole-genome optical mapping of the genome of a domestic goat (Capra hircus). Nature biotechnology 31, 135-141.
- Duguma, G., Mirkena, T., Haile, A., Okeyo, A.M., Tibbo, M., Rischkowsky, B., Solkner, J.,
 Wurzinger, M., 2011. Identification of smallholder farmers and pastoralists' preferences for sheep breeding traits: choice model approach. Animal 5, 1984-1992.
- End, C., Bikker, F., Renner, M., Bergmann, G., Lyer, S., Blaich, S., Hudler, M., Helmke, B., Gassler, N., Autschbach, F., Ligtenberg, A.J., Benner, A., Holmskov, U., Schirmacher, P., Nieuw Amerongen, A.V., Rosenstiel, P., Sina, C., Franke, A., Hafner, M., Kioschis, P., Schreiber, S., Poustka, A., Mollenhauer, J., 2009. DMBT1 functions as pattern-recognition molecule for poly-sulfated and poly-phosphorylated ligands. Eur J Immunol 39, 833-842.
- Esteves, I., Walravens, K., Vachiery, N., Martinez, D., Letesson, J.J., Totte, P., 2004. Protective killed Ehrlichia ruminantium vaccine elicits IFN-gamma responses by CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes in goats. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 98, 49-57.

- Fabriek, B.O., van Bruggen, R., Deng, D.M., Ligtenberg, A.J., Nazmi, K., Schornagel, K., Vloet, R.P., Dijkstra, C.D., van den Berg, T.K., 2009. The macrophage scavenger receptor CD163 functions as an innate immune sensor for bacteria. Blood 113, 887-892.
- Gewa, C.A., Weiss, R.E., Bwibo, N.O., Whaley, S., Sigman, M., Murphy, S.P., Harrison, G., Neumann, C.G., 2009. Dietary micronutrients are associated with higher cognitive function gains among primary school children in rural Kenya. Br J Nutr 101, 1378-1387.
- Hall TA, 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41, 95-98.
- Hanby-Flarida, M.D., Trask, O.J., Yang, T.J., Baldwin, C.L., 1996. Modulation of WC1, a lineagespecific cell surface molecule of gamma/delta T cells augments cellular proliferation. Immunology 88, 116-123.
- Hedges, J.F., Cockrell, D., Jackiw, L., Meissner, N., Jutila, M.A., 2003. Differential mRNA expression in circulating gammadelta T lymphocyte subsets defines unique tissuespecific functions. J Leukoc Biol 73, 306-314.
- Herzig, C.T., Baldwin, C.L., 2009. Genomic organization and classification of the bovine WC1 genes and expression by peripheral blood gamma delta T cells. BMC Genomics 10, 191.
- Herzig, C.T., Waters, R.W., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2010. Evolution of the CD163 family and its relationship to the bovine gamma delta T cell co-receptor WC1. BMC Evol Biol 10, 181.
- Higgins, J.L., Bowen, R.A., Gonzalez-Juarrero, M., 2018. Cell mediated immune response in goats after experimental challenge with the virulent Brucella melitensis strain 16M and the reduced virulence strain Rev. 1. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 202, 74-84.
- Holderness, J., Hedges, J.F., Ramstead, A., Jutila, M.A., 2013. Comparative biology of gammadelta T cell function in humans, mice, and domestic animals. Annu Rev Anim Biosci 1, 99-124.
- Hsu, H., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2015a. The endocytosis and signaling of the gammadelta T cell correceptor WC1 are regulated by a dileucine motif. J Immunol 194, 2399-2406.
- Hsu, H., Chen, C., Nenninger, A., Holz, L., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2015b. WC1 is a hybrid gammadelta TCR coreceptor and pattern recognition receptor for pathogenic bacteria. J Immunol 194, 2280-2288.
- Jolly, P.E., Gangopadhyay, A., Chen, S., Reddy, P.G., Weiss, H.L., Sapp, W.J., 1997. Changes in the leukocyte phenotype profile of goats infected with the caprine arthritis encephalitis virus. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 56, 97-106.
- Kanan, J.H., Nayeem, N., Binns, R.M., Chain, B.M., 1997. Mechanisms for variability in a member of the scavenger-receptor cysteine-rich superfamily. Immunogenetics 46, 276-282.
- Kawooya, K.E., 2011. The impact of diseases on goat productivity in Sembabule District. Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda.
- Lahmers, K.K., Hedges, J.F., Jutila, M.A., Deng, M., Abrahamsen, M.S., Brown, W.C., 2006. Comparative gene expression by WC1+ gammadelta and CD4+ alphabeta T lymphocytes, which respond to Anaplasma marginale, demonstrates higher expression of chemokines and other myeloid cell-associated genes by WC1+ gammadelta T cells. J Leukoc Biol 80, 939-952.
- Lahmers, K.K., Norimine, J., Abrahamsen, M.S., Palmer, G.H., Brown, W.C., 2005. The CD4+ T cell immunodominant Anaplasma marginale major surface protein 2 stimulates gammadelta T cell clones that express unique T cell receptors. J Leukoc Biol 77, 199-208.
- Lewis, S.E., Searle, S.M., Harris, N., Gibson, M., Lyer, V., Richter, J., Wiel, C., Bayraktaroglu, L., Birney, E., Crosby, M.A., Kaminker, J.S., Matthews, B.B., Prochnik, S.E., Smithy, C.D., Tupy, J.L., Rubin, G.M., Misra, S., Mungall, C.J., Clamp, M.E., 2002. Apollo: a sequence annotation editor. Genome Biol 3, RESEARCH0082.

- Lindberg, R., Johansen, M.V., Nilsson, C., Nansen, P., 1999. An immunohistological study of phenotypic characteristics of cells of the inflammatory response in the intestine of Schistosoma bovis-infected goats. Parasitology 118 (Pt 1), 91-99.
- Mackay, C.R., Beya, M.F., Matzinger, P., 1989. Gamma/delta T cells express a unique surface molecule appearing late during thymic development. European journal of immunology 19, 1477-1483.
- Mackay, C.R., Hein, W.R., 1989. A large proportion of bovine T cells express the gamma delta T cell receptor and show a distinct tissue distribution and surface phenotype. Int Immunol 1, 540-545.
- Mackay, C.R., Maddox, J.F., Brandon, M.R., 1986. Three distinct subpopulations of sheep T lymphocytes. Eur J Immunol 16, 19-25.
- Matthews, K.E., Mueller, S.G., Woods, C., Bell, D.N., 2006. Expression of the hemoglobinhaptoglobin receptor CD163 on hematopoietic progenitors. Stem Cells Dev 15, 40-48.
- Meissner, N., Radke, J., Hedges, J.F., White, M., Behnke, M., Bertolino, S., Abrahamsen, M., Jutila, M.A., 2003. Serial analysis of gene expression in circulating gamma delta T cell subsets defines distinct immunoregulatory phenotypes and unexpected gene expression profiles. J Immunol 170, 356-364.
- Neumann, C.G., Bwibo, N.O., Murphy, S.P., Sigman, M., Whaley, S., Allen, L.H., Guthrie, D., Weiss, R.E., Demment, M.W., 2003. Animal source foods improve dietary quality, micronutrient status, growth and cognitive function in Kenyan school children: background, study design and baseline findings. J Nutr 133, 3941S-3949S.
- Neumann, C.G., Murphy, S.P., Gewa, C., Grillenberger, M., Bwibo, N.O., 2007. Meat supplementation improves growth, cognitive, and behavioral outcomes in Kenyan children. J Nutr 137, 1119-1123.
- Price, S., Davies, M., Villarreal-Ramos, B., Hope, J., 2010. Differential distribution of WC1(+) gammadelta TCR(+) T lymphocyte subsets within lymphoid tissues of the head and respiratory tract and effects of intranasal M. bovis BCG vaccination. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 136, 133-137.
- Rogers, A.N., Vanburen, D.G., Hedblom, E.E., Tilahun, M.E., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2005. Gammadelta T cell function varies with the expressed WC1 coreceptor. J Immunol 174, 3386-3393.
- Sarrias, M.R., Gronlund, J., Padilla, O., Madsen, J., Holmskov, U., Lozano, F., 2004. The Scavenger Receptor Cysteine-Rich (SRCR) domain: an ancient and highly conserved protein module of the innate immune system. Crit Rev Immunol 24, 1-37.
- Sarrias, M.R., Rosello, S., Sanchez-Barbero, F., Sierra, J.M., Vila, J., Yelamos, J., Vives, J., Casals,
 C., Lozano, F., 2005. A role for human Sp alpha as a pattern recognition receptor. J Biol Chem 280, 35391-35398.
- Sebestyen, Z., Prinz, I., Déchanet-Merville, J., Silva-Santos, B. and Kuball, J, 2019. Translating gammadelta ($\gamma\delta$) T cells and their receptors into cancer cell therapies. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 1-16.
- Skinner, M.E., Uzilov, A.V., Stein, L.D., Mungall, C.J. and Holmes, I.H., 2009, 2009. Browse: a next-generation genome browser. Genome research 19, 1630-1638.
- Takamatsu, H.H., Denyer, M.S., Stirling, C., Cox, S., Aggarwal, N., Dash, P., Wileman, T.E., Barnett, P.V., 2006. Porcine gammadelta T cells: possible roles on the innate and adaptive immune responses following virus infection. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 112, 49-61.
- Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2015. Bovine gamma delta T cells and the function of gamma delta T cell specific WC1 co-receptors. Cell Immunol 296, 76-86.

- Tibbo, M., Philipsson, J. and Ayalew, W. 2006. Sustainable sheep breeding programmes in the tropics: A framework for Ethiopia. In: Conference on International Agricultural Research for Development, Tropentag, University of Bonn, 3.
- Totte, P., Esteves, I., Gunter, N., Martinez, D., Bensaida, A., 2002. Evaluation of several flow cytometric assays for the analysis of T-cell responses in goats. Cytometry 49, 49-55.
- Valheim, M., Sigurdardottir, O.G., Storset, A.K., Aune, L.G., Press, C.M., 2004. Characterization of macrophages and occurrence of T cells in intestinal lesions of subclinical paratuberculosis in goats. J Comp Pathol 131, 221-232.
- Valheim, M., Storset, A.K., Aleksersen, M., Brun-Hansen, H., Press, C.M., 2002. Lesions in subclinical paratuberculosis of goats are associated with persistent gut-associated lymphoid tissue. J Comp Pathol 127, 194-202.
- Vantourout, P., Hayday, A., 2013. Six-of-the-best: unique contributions of gammadelta T cells to immunology. Nat Rev Immunol 13, 88-100.
- Vera, J., Fenutria, R., Canadas, O., Figueras, M., Mota, R., Sarrias, M.R., Williams, D.L., Casals, C., Yelamos, J., Lozano, F., 2009. The CD5 ectodomain interacts with conserved fungal cell wall components and protects from zymosan-induced septic shock-like syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106, 1506-1511.
- Wang, F., Herzig, C., Ozer, D., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2009. Tyrosine phosphorylation of scavenger receptor cysteine-rich WC1 is required for the WC1-mediated potentiation of TCR-induced T-cell proliferation. Eur J Immunol 39, 254-266.
- Wang, F., Herzig, C.T., Chen, C., Hsu, H., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2011. Scavenger receptor WC1 contributes to the gammadelta T cell response to Leptospira. Mol Immunol 48, 801-809.
- Zafra, R., Perez, J., Buffoni, L., Martinez-Moreno, F.J., Acosta, I., Mozos, E., Martinez-Moreno, A., 2013a. Peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets in Fasciola hepatica infected and immunised goats. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 155, 135-138.
- Zafra, R., Perez-Ecija, R.A., Buffoni, L., Moreno, P., Bautista, M.J., Martinez-Moreno, A., Mulcahy, G., Dalton, J.P., Perez, J., 2013b. Early and late peritoneal and hepatic changes in goats immunized with recombinant cathepsin L1 and infected with Fasciola hepatica. J Comp Pathol 148, 373-384.

3 CHAPTER III GOAT γδ T CELL SUBPOPULATIONS DEFINED BY WC1 GENE EXPRESSION, RESPONSES TO PATHOGENS AND CYTOKINE EXPRESSION

ABSTRACT

The major functions of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in mammals overlap with those of $\alpha\beta$ T cells but differ in that $\gamma\delta$ T cells are rapid responders and see different types of antigens. While $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been shown to be a major population of circulating lymphocytes in artiodactyl species such as cattle, sheep and pigs less is known about these cells in goats, an important agricultural species. We have recently shown that WC1, a $\gamma\delta$ T cell specific family of hybrid pattern recognition receptors / co-receptors, is a multigenic family in goats expanded beyond what occurs in cattle. This study was conducted to address some of the limitations of previous studies in determining the proportions of $\gamma\delta$ T cell, WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells as well as the WC1.1⁺ and WC1.2⁺ subpopulations in blood and to evaluate their responses to various pathogens. Previously, the proportion of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells was determined using monoclonal antibody (mAb) 86D but we show here does not react with all $\gamma\delta$ T cells thereby underestimating their contribution to the lymphocyte population. Using mAb GB21a reactive with TRDC we found the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in blood was not significantly less than CD4 or CD8 T cells. γδ T cells that expressed WC1 ranged from ~20-90% of total with less than half of the WC1⁺ being classified as WC1.1⁺ or WC1.2⁺ by mAb staining. We found that naïve $\gamma\delta$ T cells proliferated in cultures with Leptospira or Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis (MAP) above that in control

medium cultures or those with *M. bovis* BCG and that the responding cells included both $WC1^+$ and $WC1^-\gamma\delta$ T cells. In ex vivo PMA-stimulated cultures $WC1^+\gamma\delta$ T cells produced IL-17 while $WC1^-\gamma\delta$ T cells produced both IL-17 and IFN γ . In longer-term cultures with *Leptospira* or MAP both WC1⁻ and WC1⁺

3.1 Introduction

Goats are distributed worldwide but are especially important in developing countries as food animals due to their adaptability to varying environmental conditions and their competitive benefits including their browsing and grazing habits (Aziz, 2010; Gilbert et al., 2018). Despite the fact that livestock are important for human nutrition, productivity is affected by parasitic, bacterial and viral pathogens (Neumann et al., 2003; Singh, 2008). T lymphocytes have vital roles in immune responses for controlling infectious diseases and among them $\gamma\delta$ T cells are the majority in the peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) populations of young cattle, sheep and pigs (Davis et al., 1996b; Mackay and Hein, 1989; Mackay et al., 1986; Takamatsu et al., 2006). While the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells has been reported by others to be less profound in goats they are still a major population in blood (Yirsaw, 2020 (in press)-b).

The major functions of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in mammals are varied and overlap with those of $\alpha\beta$ T cells but differ in that the $\gamma\delta$ T cells are rapid responders (Buza et al., 2009) and react with different types of antigens (Vermijlen et al., 2018). With regard to infectious diseases, $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been shown in many mammalian species to produce IFN γ , IL-17 and chemokines that contribute to protective pro-inflammatory immune responses. That is, IL-17 plays a prominent role to safeguard the host from pathogens by recruiting neutrophils (Veldhoen, 2017; Weaver et al., 2007). IL-17 and IFN γ have particular roles in clearance of intracellular pathogens (Banerjee et al., 2016) as well as in cellular immunity leading to hemo-parasite elimination (Hagiwara et al., 2005). In cattle, another ruminant species, $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been shown to respond to pathogens or their antigens including *Anaplasma marginale* (Lahmers et al., 2006; Lahmers et al., 2005), *Theileria*

parva (Daubenberger et al., 1999), Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis (Krueger et al., 2016; Plattner et al., 2009), M. bovis (Guzman et al., 2012; McGill et al., 2014b; Price and Hope, 2009; Vesosky et al., 2004) and bovine viral diarrhea virus (Endsley et al., 2002). Moreover, cattle $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been shown to be early responders to *M. bovis* (Buza et al., 2009) and to be the first cells to produce IFNy in response to Leptospira borgpettersenei serovar Hardjo-bovis vaccination (Blumerman et al., 2007; Naiman et al., 2001; Rogers et al., 2005b). They also respond to *M. bovis*-infected dendritic cells and *Brucella abortus* infected macrophages (Skyberg et al., 2011). Although studies are less extensive for goat $\gamma\delta$ T cells they have been shown to respond to pathogens (Yirsaw, 2020 (in press)-b) including to *B. melitensis* (Higgins et al., 2018), to produce a robust local immune responses against *Fasciola hepatica* (Zafra et al., 2010) and help as an initial line of defense against *M. av.* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (Storset et al., 2001). Caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells also may play a role either in protection against caprine arthritis encephalitis virus (CAEV) (Jolly et al., 1997) or contribute to the pathogenesis of it (Kaba et al., 2011) as well as to that of schistosomiasis (Lindberg et al., 1999). As in cattle (Blumerman et al., 2007; McGill et al., 2014b), goat γδ T cells also produce IL-17 and interferon (IFN)y (Elnaggar et al., 2018; Lybeck et al., 2009) signifying their potential for protection against infectious diseases.

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells can be broken into subpopulations in cattle based on expression or lack thereof of workshop cluster 1 (WC1) family members. WC1 molecules are transmembrane glycoproteins uniquely expressed on $\gamma\delta$ T cells and belong to the scavenger receptor cysteine-rich family (SRCR) (Clevers et al., 1990; Herzig et al., 2010b; PrabhuDas et al., 2017; Wijngaard et al., 1994; Wijngaard et al., 1992). WC1

subpopulations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been defined in cattle based on monoclonal antibody (mAb) reactivity with particular WC1 molecules (Chen et al., 2009a) and expression of WC1 genes (Chen et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Damani-Yokota et al., 2018a; Higgins et al., 2018). Since many SRCR glycoproteins including WC1 molecules bind pathogens (Bikker et al., 2004; Brannstrom et al., 2002; Elomaa et al., 1995; Hsu et al., 2015b; Sarrias et al., 2007; Sarrias et al., 2005) the expression of particular forms of the WC1 molecules may convey the ability to respond to a particular pathogen (Rogers et al., 2005b; Telfer and Baldwin, 2015). WC1 is also involved in control of proliferation, cytokine responses and homing responses by $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Hsu et al., 2015a; Kirkham et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011; Wijngaard et al., 1994; Wijngaard et al., 1992). For example, only WC1.1 $\gamma\delta$ T cells of cattle respond by proliferation and IFN γ production in recall responses to *Leptospira*, and WC1 molecules found on the responsive $\gamma\delta$ T cells also bind *Leptospira* (Blumerman et al., 2007; Hsu et al., 2015b).

Our previous studies have shown that goats have a larger family of WC1 genes than cattle (Yirsaw, 2020 (in review)-a) and it has been shown by others that WC1⁺ cells are found in the blood of goats (Higgins et al., 2018; Jolly et al., 1997; Zafra et al., 2013b). Although as indicated above some responses by caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells to particular pathogens have been evaluated, $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations including those expressing various WC1 genes are not well defined.

3.2 Materials and Methods

3.2.1 Blood and PBMC

Briefly, blood was collected from goats 6 month to 6 years of age (2 male and 26 female Boer goats) via jugular venipuncture into heparin from animals housed at the University of Massachusetts Hadley Farm in compliance with federal guidelines and with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approval. The packed cell volume (PCV) was estimated for all goats by standard practice. Blood was collected at two time points and each sample was evaluated in duplicate for PCV; the results are expressed as the mean of the four readings. PBMCs were isolated from blood via density gradient centrifugation over ficoll-hypaque (LKB-Pharmacia Biotechnology) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Viable cell concentrations were determined by trypan blue exclusion. PBMCs were suspended in medium consisting of RPMI 1640 with 10% of either heat-inactivated adult caprine serum or fetal bovine serum (Hyclone), and 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol and 10 µg/ml gentamycin referred to as cRPMI. Cells were either stained by indirect immunofluoresecence immediately (ex vivo) or subjected to culture as indicated below.

3.2.2 Immunofluorescence and flow cytometric analyses

MAb used in indirect immunofluorescence and secondary antibodies with fluorochromes are shown in Table 3-1. They were used to identify cell surface differentiation antigens or intracellular cytokines. For detecting cytokine production, ex vivo cells or antigen-stimulated cells were cultured for 4-6 hr with phorbol myristic

acetate (PMA) (50 ng/ml), ionomycin (1µg/ml) and GolgiPlug protein transport inhibitor (2 µl/ml) (BD Biosciences) at 37°C prior to surface and intracellular staining using standard protocols. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde prior to analysis by flow cytometry. In assays requiring analysis of cell division, PBMC were loaded with eFluor670 cell proliferation dye (eBioscience). For sorting, PBMC were cultured in cRPMI with 5 ug/ml ConA for 2 days at 37°C prior to surface staining with mAbs. Cells were sorted using FACS ARIA (BD Biosciences) into WC1.1⁺, WC1.2⁺ or WC1⁺/WC1.1/.2⁻ with 98% purity for all populations. Analysis was by FlowJo (www.flowjo.com). Live lymphocytes were identified by forward (FSc) and side scattered (SSc) in all analyses.

3.2.3 Proliferation assays

For proliferation assays, PBMC were cultured in cRPMI at 37°C with goat serum either with medium alone, ConA (5 µg/ml), *Leptospira borgpetersenii* serovar Hardjobovis (0.6 µg/ml), BCG antigens (0.6 µg/ml) or *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP) (0.6 µg/ml). Cultures that were performed in 96-well plates with 1.25 x 10⁵ cells/well in a total volume of 200 µl. They were established in triplicate for each condition and incubated for 6 days including an overnight incubation with ³H thymidine (New England Nuclear) at 1µCi/well added on day 5 of culture. Cells were harvested with a cell harvester and incorporation of ³H thymidine determined by liquid scintillaton and results expressed as counts per minutes (CPM). For cells loaded with the cell division dye eFluor670, cultures were established in 24-well plates with 1.25 x 10⁶ cells/well in a total volume of 2 ml but antigen concentrations and culture conditions

were as per 96-well cultures. Cells from these cultures were stained by indirect immunofluoresecence for cell surface differentiation antigens and cytokines on day 6 as described above.

3.2.4 RNA Isolation and 5' Race cDNA synthesis

Flow cytometric sorted cells were suspended in Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and RNA extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA purity and concentration were determined by Nanodrop spectrophotometry (Thermo-Fisher). Reverse transcriptase was performed using Superscript IV (Invitrogen), a template switch oligo with unique molecular identifiers incorporated and a poly T reverse primer as outlined in Mamedov *et al.* (Mamedov et al., 2013). Primers dNTPs and RNA were first incubated at 65°C for 5 min followed by addition of enzyme, buffer, DTT, and RNAse-OUT (Invitrogen) before incubating at 50°C for 1 hr and then 80°C for 10 min. Following this, one unit of uracil deglycosylase (Invitrogen) was added and incubated at 37°C for 45 min.

3.2.5 PCR, gel electrophoresis, and amplicon purification

cDNA was used as a template for PCR with Taq polymerase (New England Biolabs). Forward (fw) primers with or without tags indicated were as follows: "universal fw: aagcagtggtatcaacgcagagt; "universal fw pb01" (for WC1.1⁺ cells) tcagacgatgcgtcatcaagcagtggtatcaacgcagagt; "universal fw pb 02" (for WC1.2⁺ cells) ctatacatgactctgccaagcagtggtatcaacgcagagt; "universal fw pb 03" (for WC1.1⁺/WC1.2⁺ cells) tactagagtagcactccaagcagtggtatcaacgcagagt. Reverse primer used was "WC1 b reverse" 5'-3' tctgggctgaagagttcaggtgtg. PCR was performed with the following conditions: 95°C for 2 min, and then 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 55-63°C for 45 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec. PCR products were electrophoresed using Sybr Safe (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a 1% low melting agarose gel with a wide comb to accommodate all the product in one lane using a voltage of 110 for 30 min; bands of the expected correct size were excised and the amplicons purified with a gel extractions kit (New England Biolabs). Gel extract was then purified further using Ampure beads (New England Biolabs) before PacBio sequencing at the University of Massachusetts Medical School Deep Sequencing Core, Worcester, MA. Initially, all PCR amplicons were cloned and evaluated by Sanger sequencing to confirm primer specificity and product identity.

3.2.6 Statistical analysis

For comparison of multiple groups, an ANOVA was conducted followed by Student's t-test when significant differences were found. For evaluation of correlations between two parameters Pearson Correlation Coefficient was used. Significant differences at 95% confidence were for $p \le 0.05$.

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Caprine γδ T cell subpopulations in blood

While the proportion of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been determined in PBMC using mAb 86D no in-depth studies of caprine WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations have been conducted. Thus our objective was to define $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations including their representation in goats of various ages. This information will be important for understanding the functions and roles of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells in responses to infectious pathogens and potentially targeting them for activation through vaccination. Our approach was to use flow cytometry and a panel of mAbs that have been used to define T cell subpopulations in other ruminants and are known to react with goat differentiation antigens (Table 3-1; Figure 3.1).

Evaluating *ex vivo* PBMC with mAb GB21A, that reacts with the TCR δ constant (TRDC) chain (Machugh et al., 1997) and thus stains all $\gamma\delta$ T cells, indicated that the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells was not significantly less than that of CD4 and CD8 T cells (Figure 3.2A). The proportion of WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in PBMC determined using a pan-WC1 mAb CC15 (Figure 3.2B) showed they were less than the total $\gamma\delta$ T cells and that the proportion varied substantially among animals (Figure 3.2C and 3.2D). Within the WC1⁺ population, mAbs previously used to define subpopulations in cattle known as WC1.1 and WC1.2 (Wijngaard et al., 1994) showed that three goat subpopulations could also be defined with these mAb (Figure 3. 3B). WC1.1⁺ and WC1.2⁺ cells had similar relative proportions to one another and as found in cattle PBMC but generally accounted for fewer of the total WC1⁺ cells than occurs in cattle. There was a significant correlation

between the proportion of total $\gamma\delta$ T cells and WC1⁺ T cells (Figure 3.2C) but no correlation with age for either population in animals 6 months of age or older (Figure 3.2 E and Figure 3-2F). There was also no correlation with PCV (Supplemental figure 3.1).

Previous studies have used mAb 86D to define caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells (for review see (Yirsaw and Baldwin, 2020 (in pres)-b)); this mAb that reacts with one of the TCR γ chains (Davis et al., 1996a). To evaluate mAb 86D's ligand expression on WC1⁺ and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells relative to TRDC expression we conducted multicolor immunofluorescence. The results showed that mAb 86D sees only a portion of the $\gamma\delta$ T cells whether they are WC1⁻ or WC1⁺ PBMC (Figure 3.3A). To further define the caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells, the expression of CD2 and CD8 on WC1⁺ and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells from PBMC was evaluated since in pigs and cattle, these cell surface differentiation antigens are used to define subpopulations. Caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells had similar CD2 and CD8 expression as these other artiodactyls, e.g. cattle shown here for comparison, with most or all WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells being negative for both (Figure 3.3 B).

3.3.2 Defining WC1 genes in WC1 subpopulations

The mAbs used to define the WC1.1 and WC1.2 subpopulations in cattle react with specific and unique WC1 gene products (Chen et al., 2009a). These WC1.1⁺ and WC1.2⁺ subpopulations have some overlap with regard to the WC1 genes expressed by them (Chen et al., 2014; Damani-Yokota et al., 2018b). Nevertheless, expression of particular WC1 gene products correlates with the ability of bovine $\gamma\delta$ T cells to respond to pathogens (Baldwin and Telfer, 2015b; Rogers et al., 2005b). To determine the WC1 genes expressed by the caprine WC1.1⁺ and WC1.2⁺ subpopulations and WC1⁺ cells that

did not react with the mAbs that define WC1.1 and WC1.2 cells, ex vivo PBMC were sorted by flow cytometry (Figure 3.4) and used as templates for RT-PCR and PacBio next generation sequencing (Table 3-2). This work is ongoing.

3.3.3 Cytokine production by WC1 subpopulations

It is known that caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells can produce the important pro-inflammatory cytokines IFN γ and IL-17 (Elnaggar et al., 2018; Lybeck et al., 2009). Here we evaluated the ability of the various $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations to produce these cytokines following short-term stimulation of *ex vivo* cells with PMA/ionomycin. Responses by CD4 and CD8 T cells were included for comparison (Figure 3.5). We found that caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells produced both IL-17 and IFN γ although the majority was attributable to WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells than by the WC1⁻ population of $\gamma\delta$ T cells; CD4 and CD8 T cells produced more of both cytokines ex vivo (Figure 3.5). The production of cytokines by the WC1.1⁺ subpopulation was extremely low and WC1.2⁺ cells could not be identified because of the limitations of the isotypes of mAbs to pair with the anti-cytokine mAbs.

3.3.4 Responses to pathogens

The response by caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells to a variety of pathogens has been evaluated previously (reviewed in Yirsaw and Baldwin, submitted). For example, both primed and unprimed caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been shown to respond to MAP (Storset et al., 2000). Here we investigated the response to three bacterial pathogens using PBMC. Using ³Hthymidine incorporation as an initial analysis of proliferation, we found that PBMC from all four animals tested responded to *Leptospira* while three of the four also responded to
BCG and MAP with the two adult goats responding more vigorously than the immature goats (Figure 3.6A). To determine which cell populations were responding in these cultures eFluor670-loaded cells were cultured with pathogens and cells stained with mAb after 6 days of culture (Figure 3.6B). PBMC cultures from the two adult goats (#611 and 621) showed that δ TCR⁺ cells including WC1⁺ cells divided more to *Leptospira* and MAP than in medium cultures or BCG-containing cultures. There was no cell division to pathogen antigens by $\gamma\delta$ T cells when PBMC were from the two kids (data not shown).

Evaluation of cytokine production by the cells in pathogen-stimulated cultures found IL-17 was being produced by $\gamma\delta$ T cells to all three pathogens tested (Figure 7) but that was by the WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells only. A second animal had WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells that made IL-17 to *Leptospira* but not the other pathogens. No IFN γ production by $\gamma\delta$ T cells occurred in these cultures (data not shown).

3.4 Discussion

In previous studies the proportion of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells in PBMC was determined using mAb 86D (reviewed in Yirsaw and Baldwin, submitted) (Caro et al., 1998) but as we showed here this mAb does not react with all caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells, generally reacting with about half or fewer. Furthermore, WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations were not studied previously. The aims of this work were to determine the proportions of total $\gamma\delta$ T cells and WC1⁺ and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations and their responses to various pathogens by proliferation and cytokine production (IL-17 and IFN γ). The results showed that additional major WC1⁺ subpopulation was found in goats not previously found in cattle and that both caprine WC1⁺ cells and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells respond to the pathogens MAP and *Leptospira*.

In sheep and cattle the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells decreases as the animals age from birth to adulthood (Ayoub and Yang, 1996; Washington et al., 1992). Our studies with goats agreed with previous studies (Jolly *et al*, 1997, Caro *et al.*, 2001, Kaba *et al.*, 2011^b and Wilkerson *et al.*, 1995) in that they and we showed that the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells did not change with age when animals were greater than 6 months. This occurred even though the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells detected in PBMC in our study was generally higher than in previous studies since we used GB21A mAb that reacts with the TCR δ chain constant region (Machugh et al., 1997). While there is only one TCR δ constant gene, mAb 86D sees one of the TCR γ chains (Davis et al., 1996a) of which there are multiple V and C gene [Gillespie, #68]. Our preliminary analyses suggest that mAb 86D is reacting with one of the TCR V γ chains based on its distribution between both WC1⁺ and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells as shown here. While our results do not show a decrease in $\gamma\delta$ T cells

after 6 months of age, Caro *et al.* (Caro et al., 1998) showed that a decrease of the $\gamma\delta$ T cells occurs between one week and 7 months of age; that is consistent with what occurs in other ruminants [Ayoub, 1996 #73]. Goats have been reported by others to not be a ' $\gamma\delta$ T cell high' species (Baliu-Pique et al., 2019), a designation frequently given to other ruminants whose proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells can comprise 60% of PBMC in very young animals (Mackay and Hein, 1989; Mackay et al., 1986; Pieper et al., 2011; Sinkora and Butler, 2009; Walker et al., 1994). We found that while the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in goats in our study indeed did not rise to the proportions reported for other ruminants they nevertheless were not considerably less than that of CD4 and CD8 T cells in PBMC. And while the proportion of total $\gamma\delta$ T cells did not decline after 6 months of age the proportion of WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in PBMC did show a trend towards decline after 6 months of age but it was not significant.

We showed here that goats have more WC1⁺/WC1.1⁻/WC1.2⁻ cells than cattle. In cattle, essentially all WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells can be defined as expressing either or both of the molecules recognized by α -WC1.1 and α -WC1.2 mAbs (Blumerman et al., 2007; Herzig and Baldwin, 2009; Rogers et al., 2005b). We have recently found that goats have a more extensive WC1 family than cattle (Yirsaw, 2020 (in review)-a), and thus the potential for additional WC1 subpopulations is logical. Our subpopulation sorting studies and evaluation of WC1 gene expression will answer this question. This work is ongoing. Since we know that WC1 molecules act as receptors to interact with pathogens (PRR) (Hsu et al., 2015b) goats are likely to have a greater variety of pathogen-interaction possibilities than cattle. Having expression of these PRRs segregated on T cell subpopulations also means that cells can specialize. Subpopulations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in cattle

based on their WC1 gene expression can differ in their cytokine responses (Guzman et al., 2012; Rogers et al., 2005b) and we expect to find the same for caprine cells once we have more clearly defined the subpopulations by WC1 gene expression. Such differences in functions by responsive subpopulations may affect the outcome of an infection.

To begin to address the question of subpopulation diversity we evaluated ex vivo cells stimulated with PMA for 6 hours as well as cells cultured for 6 days with pathogens. The $\gamma\delta$ T cells that showed responses by IL-17 and IFN γ production in *ex vivo* cultures were largely or entirely WC1^{$\gamma\delta$} T cells. In the bacterial-stimulated cultures of several days, the WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells responded to *M. bovis* BCG, *Leptospira* and MAP antigens by producing IL-17 although no IFNy production was detected. The results of the proliferation assays suggested that innate responses to MAP could be cross-reactive with other *M. avium* species or are truly innate responses without any priming of the $\gamma\delta$ T cells since our goats are MAP negative. The proliferative response to MAP by WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells is consistent with responses found in cattle although some WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells are also found in granulomas in gut tissue of infected cattle (Plattner et al., 2009) consistent with the response by the caprine WC1⁺ cells here. The proliferative response by WC1⁺ goat cells to *Leptospira* corresponds with observations in cattle using previously vaccinated animals (Blumerman et al., 2007). The $\gamma\delta$ T cells of those animals also made IFNy that we did not find here with the naïve caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells.

It was interesting that there was no cell division by $\gamma\delta$ T cells to pathogen antigens when PBMC were from the immature goats. Generally, it is postulated that the higher number of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in young ruminants and pigs signifies the enhanced or primary role of these cells in young animals. The paradigm put forth is that the $\gamma\delta$ T cells bridge innate and adaptive immune responses by being early rapid responders prior to sensitization of $\alpha\beta$ T cells and induction of long-term memory response by those cells (Krueger et al., 2016). However we also know that some populations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells both in mice and other ruminants have memory responses (Blumerman et al., 2007). In mice, this is a function of specific subpopulations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Munoz-Ruiz et al., 2017; Ribot et al., 2010). It is possible that the responses we are measuring in our in vitro cultures reflect memory responses by the $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the adult goats, perhaps due to cross-reactive antigens, and that the immature goats have not yet had exposure.

More extensive studies of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses will be needed to evaluate the role of the various $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations to pathogens. Having the more thoroughly defined populations by WC1 gene expression will be a crucial part of this. Overall having this information will be important for understanding how to engage $\gamma\delta$ T cells in vaccine designs against important livestock pathogens.

| mAb clone | Isotype | Specificity | Source of mAb | Secondary Ab ^c (α-isotype-fluorochrome) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|---|
| MUC2A | IgG2a | CD2 | WSUMAC ^a | IgG2a-PE-Cy7 |
| 17D | IgG1 | CD4 | WSUMAC | IgG1-PE |
| GC1A | IgG2a | CD4 | WSUMAC | IgG2a-PE |
| ILA51 7C2B 86D | IgG1 IgG2a IgG1 | CD8 CD8 7 TCR | ILRAD ^b WSUMAC WSUMAC | IgG1-PE IgG2a-AlexFluor647 IgG1-PE |
| GB21A | IgG2b | δTCR | WSUMAC | IgG2b-AlexFluor488, IgG2b-PE |
| CC15 | IgG2a | $WC1^+$ | BioRad | IgG2a-FITC, IgG2a-PE |
| BAG25A CACTB32A RIF60A | IgM IgG1 IgG1 | WC1.1 WC1.2 IFNγ | WSUMAC WSUMAC WSUMAC | IgM-PE IgG1-PE IgG1-PE-Cy7, IgG1- AlexFluor647 |
| IL-17A2A | IgG1 | IL-17 | WSUMAC | IgG1-PE-Cy7, IgG1-AlexFluor 647 |

Table 3-1 Antibodies used to define goat lymphocytes and cytokines

WSUMAC, Washington State University Monoclonal Antibody Center International

Laboratory for Research on Animal Diseases, Nairobi, Kenya. All purchased from

Invitrogen.

| | Purified cell population analyzed | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| WC1 gene (WC1-x) | WC1.1 ⁺ | WC1.2 ⁺ | WC1+/WC1.1-/WC1.2- | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Table 3-2 WC1 | gene usage hy | $WC1^+$ | subpopulations | (it is ongoing |) |
|-------------------|---------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|---|
| $1000 J^{-2} WC1$ | gene usage o | | subpopulations. | (it is ongoing | |



Figure 3.1 Flow cytometry strategy.

PBMC were stained with mAbs shown as indicated in Table 3-1. Controls with secondary antibodies only are shown for background staining. Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry with cells gated on side scatter (SSc) and forward scatter (FSc) as shown.



Figure 3.2 $\gamma\delta$ T cells subpopulations.

PBMC isolated from goats were stained by indirect immunofluorescence using mAb to define (a) CD4, CD8, δ TCR⁺ T cells; and (b) panWC1⁺ (mAb CC15), WC1.1⁺ and WC1.2⁺ T cells; the results shown are the mean (±SEM) from 28 animals repeated one time. Statistical significance was done by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). (c) Correlation was calculated using Pearson Correlation Coefficient for the relationship of WC1⁺ to δ TCR⁺ cells [R (0.55), R² (0.103), *p*=0.002] for results from one experiment. (d) The percentage of δ TCR⁺ T cells that are WC1⁺ is shown for representative individual animals. (e) Correlation of animal age with percentage of δ TCR⁺ cells [R (0.22), R² (0.048), *p*=0.133] and (f) animal age with percentage of WC1⁺ cells [R (-0.32), R² (0.096), *p*=0.096] are shown from one experiment.



Figure 3.3 Expression of CD8 and CD2 by $\gamma\delta$ T cells.

Example of immunofluorescence staining of goat PBMC with mAbs indicated to evaluate expression of (a) the ligand of 86D by WC1⁺ and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells and (b) for CD2 and CD8; bovine cells were included for comparison for CD2 and CD8 expression and shown in bar graph.



Figure 3.4 Defining WC1 genes in WC1⁺ subpopulations.

Following surface staining of caprine PBMC, cells were purified by flow cytometric sorting. The sorting strategy and result are shown for isolation of WC1⁺/WC1.1⁻/WC1.2⁻, WC1.1⁺ and WC1.2⁺ subpopulations.



Figure 3.5 Cytokine production by γδ T cell subpopulations.
PBMC were isolated from two 3-year old goats and stained by indirect
immunofluorescence for expression of surface molecules and intracellular cytokine
expression after 6 hr culture with PMA/ionomycin using mAb in Table 3-1.
Example of two experiments performed is presented.



A



В

Figure 3.6 Pathogen response.

(a) PBMC from two three-year old and two 4-month old female Boer goats were cultured for 6 days either with medium only or with Leptospira, BCG or MAP antigens. Each condition was set-up in triplicate wells and the experiment repeated. The results are expressed as the mean (\pm SEM) of 6 readings. An example of two experiments is shown. (b) PBMC were stained with eFluor670 cell division dye and cultured as in "a" and then surface stained with mAbs as indicated. The results with antigen is overlapped with medium for each pathogen's histogram. Examples of two experiments performed. Evaluation of cytokine production by the cells in pathogen-stimulated cultures found IL-17 was being produced by $\gamma\delta$ T cells to all three pathogens tested (Figure 8) but that was by the WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells only. A second animal had WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells that made IL-17 to *Leptospira* but not the other pathogens.



Figure 3.7 Cytokine production in response to pathogens.

PBMC isolated from two 3-year old goats and stained by indirect immunofluorescence for expression of surface molecules and intracellular cytokine expression after 6 days of culture with BCG, *Leptospira* and MAP. Example of 2 experiments performed shown.

Bibilography

- Ayoub, I.A., Yang, T.J., 1996. Age-dependent changes in peripheral blood lymphocyte subpopulations in cattle: a longitudinal study. Dev Comp Immunol 20, 353-363.
- Aziz, M.A., 2010. Present status of the world goat populations and their productivity. World 861, 1.
- Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2015. The bovine model for elucidating the role of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in controlling infectious diseases of importance to cattle and humans. Molecular immunology 66, 35-47.
- Baliu-Pique, M., Kurniawan, H., Ravesloot, L., Verheij, M.W., Drylewicz, J., Lievaart-Peterson, K., Borghans, J.A.M., Koets, A., Tesselaar, K., 2019. Age-related distribution and dynamics of T-cells in blood and lymphoid tissues of goats. Dev Comp Immunol 93, 1-10.
- Banerjee, A., Bhattacharya, P., Joshi, A.B., Ismail, N., Dey, R., Nakhasi, H.L., 2016. Role of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-17 in Leishmania pathogenesis and in protective immunity by Leishmania vaccines. Cell Immunol 309, 37-41.
- Bikker, F.J., Ligtenberg, A.J., End, C., Renner, M., Blaich, S., Lyer, S., Wittig, R., van't Hof, W., Veerman, E.C., Nazmi, K., de Blieck-Hogervorst, J.M., Kioschis, P., Nieuw Amerongen, A.V., Poustka, A., Mollenhauer, J., 2004. Bacteria binding by DMBT1/SAG/gp-340 is confined to the VEVLXXXXW motif in its scavenger receptor cysteine-rich domains. J Biol Chem 279, 47699-47703.
- Blumerman, S.L., Herzig, C.T., Baldwin, C.L., 2007. WC1+ gammadelta T cell memory population is induced by killed bacterial vaccine. Eur J Immunol 37, 1204-1216.
- Brannstrom, A., Sankala, M., Tryggvason, K., Pikkarainen, T., 2002. Arginine residues in domain V have a central role for bacteria-binding activity of macrophage scavenger receptor MARCO. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 290, 1462-1469.
- Buza, J., Kiros, T., Zerihun, A., Abraham, I., Ameni, G., 2009. Vaccination of calves with Mycobacteria bovis Bacilli Calmete Guerin (BCG) induced rapid increase in the proportion of peripheral blood gammadelta T cells. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 130, 251-255.
- Caro, M.R., Gallego, M.C., Buendia, A.J., Navarro, E., Navarro, J.A., 1998. Postnatal evolution of lymphocyte subpopulations in peripheral blood and lymphoid organs in the goat. Res Vet Sci 65, 145-148.
- Chen, C., Herzig, C.T., Alexander, L.J., Keele, J.W., McDaneld, T.G., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2012. Gene number determination and genetic polymorphism of the gamma delta T cell co-receptor WC1 genes. BMC Genet 13, 86.
- Chen, C., Herzig, C.T., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2009. Antigenic basis of diversity in the gammadelta T cell co-receptor WC1 family. Mol Immunol 46, 2565-2575.
- Chen, C., Hsu, H., Hudgens, E., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2014. Signal Transduction by Different Forms of the gammadelta T Cell-Specific Pattern Recognition Receptor WC1. J Immunol 193, 379-390.
- Clevers, H., MacHugh, N.D., Bensaid, A., Dunlap, S., Baldwin, C.L., Kaushal, A., Iams, K., Howard, C.J., Morrison, W.I., 1990. Identification of a bovine surface antigen uniquely expressed on CD4-CD8- T cell receptor gamma/delta+ T lymphocytes. European journal of immunology 20, 809-817.

- Damani-Yokota, P., Gillespie, A., Pasman, Y., Merico, D., Connelley, T.K., Kaushik, A., Baldwin, C.L., 2018a. Bovine T cell receptors and gammadelta WC1 co-receptor transcriptome analysis during the first month of life. Dev Comp Immunol 88, 190-199.
- Damani-Yokota, P., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2018b. Variegated Transcription of the WC1 Hybrid PRR/Co-Receptor Genes by Individual gammadelta T Cells and Correlation With Pathogen Responsiveness. Front Immunol 9, 717.
- Daubenberger, C.A., Taracha, E.L., Gaidulis, L., Davis, W.C., McKeever, D.J., 1999. Bovine gammadelta T-cell responses to the intracellular protozoan parasite Theileria parva. Infect Immun 67, 2241-2249.
- Davis, W.C., Brown, W.C., Hamilton, M.J., Wyatt, C.R., Orden, J.A., Khalid, A.M., Naessens, J., 1996a. Analysis of monoclonal antibodies specific for the gamma delta TcR. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 52, 275-283.
- Davis, W.C., Naessens, J., Brown, W.C., Ellis, J.A., Hamilton, M.J., Cantor, G.H., Barbosa, J.I., Ferens, W., Bohach, G.A., 1996b. Analysis of monoclonal antibodies reactive with molecules upregulated or expressed only on activated lymphocytes. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 52, 301-311.
- Elnaggar, M.M., Abdellrazeq, G.S., Dassanayake, R.P., Fry, L.M., Hulubei, V., Davis, W.C., 2018. Characterization of alphabeta and gammadelta T cell subsets expressing IL-17A in ruminants and swine. Dev Comp Immunol 85, 115-124.
- Elomaa, O., Kangas, M., Sahlberg, C., Tuukkanen, J., Sormunen, R., Liakka, A., Thesleff, I., Kraal, G., Tryggvason, K., 1995. Cloning of a novel bacteria-binding receptor structurally related to scavenger receptors and expressed in a subset of macrophages. Cell 80, 603-609.
- Endsley, J.J., Quade, M.J., Terhaar, B., Roth, J.A., 2002. Bovine viral diarrhea virus type 1- and type 2-specific bovine T lymphocyte-subset responses following modified-live virus vaccination. Vet Ther 3, 364-372.
- Gilbert, M., Nicolas, G., Cinardi, G., Van Boeckel, T.P., Vanwambeke, S.O., Wint, G.R.W., Robinson, T.P., 2018. Global distribution data for cattle, buffaloes, horses, sheep, goats, pigs, chickens and ducks in 2010. Sci Data 5, 180227.
- Gillespie, A., A Yirsaw, K. Gunasekaran, T. Smith, D.M. Bickhart, T. Connelley, J.C, Telfer, and C.L. Baldwin, Characterization of the domestic goat γδ T cell receptor gene loci and gene usage. Submitted for publication.
- Guzman, E., Price, S., Poulsom, H., Hope, J., 2012. Bovine gammadelta T cells: cells with multiple functions and important roles in immunity. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 148, 161-167.
- Hagiwara, K., Tokuda, M., Baba, T., Yamanaka, H., Kirisawa, R., Tsuji, M., Ishihara, C., Iwai, H., 2005. The role of IFN-gamma in cattle infected with Theirelia sergenti. Vet Parasitol 127, 105-110.
- Herzig, C.T., Baldwin, C.L., 2009. Genomic organization and classification of the bovine WC1 genes and expression by peripheral blood gamma delta T cells. BMC Genomics 10, 191.
- Herzig, C.T., Waters, R.W., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2010. Evolution of the CD163 family and its relationship to the bovine gamma delta T cell co-receptor WC1. BMC Evol Biol 10, 181.

- Higgins, J.L., Bowen, R.A., Gonzalez-Juarrero, M., 2018. Cell mediated immune response in goats after experimental challenge with the virulent Brucella melitensis strain 16M and the reduced virulence strain Rev. 1. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 202, 74-84.
- Hsu, H., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2015a. The endocytosis and signaling of the gammadelta T cell coreceptor WC1 are regulated by a dileucine motif. J Immunol 194, 2399-2406.
- Hsu, H., Chen, C., Nenninger, A., Holz, L., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2015b. WC1 is a hybrid gammadelta TCR coreceptor and pattern recognition receptor for pathogenic bacteria. J Immunol 194, 2280-2288.
- Jolly, P.E., Gangopadhyay, A., Chen, S., Reddy, P.G., Weiss, H.L., Sapp, W.J., 1997. Changes in the leukocyte phenotype profile of goats infected with the caprine arthritis encephalitis virus. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 56, 97-106.
- Kaba, J., Winnicka, A., Zaleska, M., Nowicki, M., Bagnicka, E., 2011. Influence of chronic caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus infection on the population of peripheral blood leukocytes. Pol J Vet Sci 14, 585-590.
- Kirkham, P.A., Takamatsu, H.H., Parkhouse, R.M., 1997. Growth arrest of gammadelta T cells induced by monoclonal antibody against WC1 correlates with activation of multiple tyrosine phosphatases and dephosphorylation of MAP kinase erk2. Eur J Immunol 27, 717-725.
- Krueger, L.A., Beitz, D.C., Humphrey, S.B., Stabel, J.R., 2016. Gamma delta T cells are early responders to Mycobacterium avium ssp. paratuberculosis in colostrumreplete Holstein calves. J Dairy Sci 99, 9040-9050.
- Lahmers, K.K., Hedges, J.F., Jutila, M.A., Deng, M., Abrahamsen, M.S., Brown, W.C., 2006. Comparative gene expression by WC1+ gammadelta and CD4+ alphabeta T lymphocytes, which respond to Anaplasma marginale, demonstrates higher expression of chemokines and other myeloid cell-associated genes by WC1+ gammadelta T cells. J Leukoc Biol 80, 939-952.
- Lahmers, K.K., Norimine, J., Abrahamsen, M.S., Palmer, G.H., Brown, W.C., 2005. The CD4+ T cell immunodominant Anaplasma marginale major surface protein 2 stimulates gammadelta T cell clones that express unique T cell receptors. J Leukoc Biol 77, 199-208.
- Lindberg, R., Johansen, M.V., Nilsson, C., Nansen, P., 1999. An immunohistological study of phenotypic characteristics of cells of the inflammatory response in the intestine of Schistosoma bovis-infected goats. Parasitology 118 (Pt 1), 91-99.
- Lybeck, K.R., Storset, A.K., Olsen, I., 2009. Neutralization of interleukin-10 from CD14(+) monocytes enhances gamma interferon production in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis-infected goats. Clin Vaccine Immunol 16, 1003-1011.
- Machugh, N.D., Mburu, J.K., Carol, M.J., Wyatt, C.R., Orden, J.A., Davis, W.C., 1997. Identification of two distinct subsets of bovine gamma delta T cells with unique cell surface phenotype and tissue distribution. Immunology 92, 340-345.
- Mackay, C.R., Hein, W.R., 1989. A large proportion of bovine T cells express the gamma delta T cell receptor and show a distinct tissue distribution and surface phenotype. Int Immunol 1, 540-545.

- Mackay, C.R., Maddox, J.F., Brandon, M.R., 1986. Three distinct subpopulations of sheep T lymphocytes. Eur J Immunol 16, 19-25.
- Mamedov, I.Z., Britanova, O.V., Zvyagin, I.V., Turchaninova, M.A., Bolotin, D.A., Putintseva, E.V., Lebedev, Y.B., Chudakov, D.M., 2013. Preparing unbiased Tcell receptor and antibody cDNA libraries for the deep next generation sequencing profiling. Front Immunol 4, 456.
- McGill, J.L., Sacco, R.E., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., Palmer, M.V., Waters, W.R., 2014. Specific Recognition of Mycobacterial Protein and Peptide Antigens by gammadelta T Cell Subsets following Infection with Virulent Mycobacterium bovis. J Immunol 192, 2756-2769.
- Munoz-Ruiz, M., Sumaria, N., Pennington, D.J., Silva-Santos, B., 2017. Thymic Determinants of gammadelta T Cell Differentiation. Trends Immunol 38, 336-344.
- Naiman, B.M., Alt, D., Bolin, C.A., Zuerner, R., Baldwin, C.L., 2001. Protective killed Leptospira borgpetersenii vaccine induces potent Th1 immunity comprising responses by CD4 and gammadelta T lymphocytes. Infect Immun 69, 7550-7558.
- Neumann, C.G., Bwibo, N.O., Murphy, S.P., Sigman, M., Whaley, S., Allen, L.H., Guthrie, D., Weiss, R.E., Demment, M.W., 2003. Animal source foods improve dietary quality, micronutrient status, growth and cognitive function in Kenyan school children: background, study design and baseline findings. J Nutr 133, 3941S-3949S.
- Pieper, J., Methner, U., Berndt, A., 2011. Characterization of avian gammadelta T-cell subsets after Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium infection of chicks. Infect Immun 79, 822-829.
- Plattner, B.L., Doyle, R.T., Hostetter, J.M., 2009. Gamma-delta T cell subsets are differentially associated with granuloma development and organization in a bovine model of mycobacterial disease. Int J Exp Pathol 90, 587-597.
- PrabhuDas, M.R., Baldwin, C.L., Bollyky, P.L., Bowdish, D.M.E., Drickamer, K., Febbraio, M., Herz, J., Kobzik, L., Krieger, M., Loike, J., McVicker, B., Means, T.K., Moestrup, S.K., Post, S.R., Sawamura, T., Silverstein, S., Speth, R.C., Telfer, J.C., Thiele, G.M., Wang, X.Y., Wright, S.D., El Khoury, J., 2017. A Consensus Definitive Classification of Scavenger Receptors and Their Roles in Health and Disease. J Immunol 198, 3775-3789.
- Price, S.J., Hope, J.C., 2009. Enhanced secretion of interferon-gamma by bovine gammadelta T cells induced by coculture with Mycobacterium bovis-infected dendritic cells: evidence for reciprocal activating signals. Immunology 126, 201-208.
- Ribot, J.C., Chaves-Ferreira, M., d'Orey, F., Wencker, M., Goncalves-Sousa, N., Decalf, J., Simas, J.P., Hayday, A.C., Silva-Santos, B., 2010. Cutting edge: adaptive versus innate receptor signals selectively control the pool sizes of murine IFNgamma- or IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells upon infection. J Immunol 185, 6421-6425.
- Rogers, A.N., Vanburen, D.G., Hedblom, E.E., Tilahun, M.E., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2005. Gammadelta T cell function varies with the expressed WC1 coreceptor. J Immunol 174, 3386-3393.

- Rolls A., Shechter R., London A., Ziv Y., Ronen A., Levy R., Schwartz M., 2007.Tolllike receptors modulate adult hippocampal neurogenesis. Nat Cell Biol 9(9), 1081-8.
- Sarrias, M.R., Farnos, M., Mota, R., Sanchez-Barbero, F., Ibanez, A., Gimferrer, I., Vera, J., Fenutria, R., Casals, C., Yelamos, J., Lozano, F., 2007. CD6 binds to pathogenassociated molecular patterns and protects from LPS-induced septic shock. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104, 11724-11729.
- Sarrias, M.R., Rosello, S., Sanchez-Barbero, F., Sierra, J.M., Vila, J., Yelamos, J., Vives, J., Casals, C., Lozano, F., 2005. A role for human Sp alpha as a pattern recognition receptor. J Biol Chem 280, 35391-35398.
- Singh, B.a.P., S., 2008. Modelling of economic losses due to some important diseases in goats in India. Agricultural Economincs Research Review 21, 5.
- Sinkora, M., Butler, J.E., 2009. The ontogeny of the porcine immune system. Dev Comp Immunol 33, 273-283.
- Skyberg, J.A., Thornburg, T., Rollins, M., Huarte, E., Jutila, M.A., Pascual, D.W., 2011. Murine and bovine gammadelta T cells enhance innate immunity against Brucella abortus infections. PLoS One 6, e21978.
- Storset, A.K., Berntsen, G., Larsen, H.J., 2000. Kinetics of IL-2 receptor expression on lymphocyte subsets from goats infected with Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis after specific in vitro stimulation. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 77, 43-54.
- Storset, A.K., Hasvold, H.J., Valheim, M., Brun-Hansen, H., Berntsen, G., Whist, S.K., Djonne, B., Press, C.M., Holstad, G., Larsen, H.J., 2001. Subclinical paratuberculosis in goats following experimental infection. An immunological and microbiological study. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 80, 271-287.
- Takamatsu, H.H., Denyer, M.S., Stirling, C., Cox, S., Aggarwal, N., Dash, P., Wileman, T.E., Barnett, P.V., 2006. Porcine gammadelta T cells: possible roles on the innate and adaptive immune responses following virus infection. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 112, 49-61.
- Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2015. Bovine gamma delta T cells and the function of gamma delta T cell specific WC1 co-receptors. Cell Immunol 296, 76-86.
- Veldhoen, M., 2017. Interleukin 17 is a chief orchestrator of immunity. Nat Immunol 18, 612-621.
- Vermijlen, D., Gatti, D., Kouzeli, A., Rus, T., Eberl, M., 2018. gammadelta T cell responses: How many ligands will it take till we know? Semin Cell Dev Biol 84, 75-86.
- Vesosky, B., Turner, O.C., Turner, J., Orme, I.M., 2004. Gamma interferon production by bovine gamma delta T cells following stimulation with mycobacterial mycolylarabinogalactan peptidoglycan. Infect Immun 72, 4612-4618.
- Walker, I.D., Glew, M.D., O'Keeffe, M.A., Metcalfe, S.A., Clevers, H.C., Wijngaard, P.L., Adams, T.E., Hein, W.R., 1994. A novel multi-gene family of sheep gamma delta T cells. Immunology 83, 517-523.
- Wang, F., Herzig, C., Ozer, D., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2009. Tyrosine phosphorylation of scavenger receptor cysteine-rich WC1 is required for the WC1-mediated potentiation of TCR-induced T-cell proliferation. Eur J Immunol 39, 254-266.

- Wang, F., Herzig, C.T., Chen, C., Hsu, H., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2011. Scavenger receptor WC1 contributes to the gammadelta T cell response to Leptospira. Mol Immunol 48, 801-809.
- Washington, E.A., Kimpton, W.G., Cahill, R.N., 1992. Changes in the distribution of alpha beta and gamma delta T cells in blood and in lymph nodes from fetal and postnatal lambs. Dev Comp Immunol 16, 493-501.
- Weaver, C.T., Hatton, R.D., Mangan, P.R., Harrington, L.E., 2007. IL-17 family cytokines and the expanding diversity of effector T cell lineages. Annu Rev Immunol 25, 821-852.
- Wijngaard, P.L., MacHugh, N.D., Metzelaar, M.J., Romberg, S., Bensaid, A., Pepin, L., Davis, W.C., Clevers, H.C., 1994. Members of the novel WC1 gene family are differentially expressed on subsets of bovine CD4-CD8- gamma delta T lymphocytes. J Immunol 152, 3476-3482.
- Wijngaard, P.L., Metzelaar, M.J., MacHugh, N.D., Morrison, W.I., Clevers, H.C., 1992. Molecular characterization of the WC1 antigen expressed specifically on bovine CD4-CD8- gamma delta T lymphocytes. J Immunol 149, 3273-3277.
- Wilkerson, M.J., Davis, W.C., Baszler, T.V., Cheevers, W.P., 1995a. Immunopathology of chronic lentivirus-induced arthritis. Am. J. Pathol 146 (6), 1433–1443.
- Wilkerson, M.J., Davis, W.C., Cheevers, W.P., 1995b. Peripheral blood and synovial fluid mononuclear cell phenotypes in lentivirus induced arthritis. J. Rheumatol 22, 8–15.
- Yirsaw, A., Gillespie, A., Briton, E., Doerle, A., Johnson, L., Marston, S., Telfer, J., and Baldwin, C.L., 2020 (in review)-a. Goat gamma delta T cell subpopulations defined by WC1 gene expression, response to pathogens, and cytokine expression.
- Yirsaw, A.a.B., C.L., 2020 (in press)-b. Goat gamma delta T cell populations and their response to infections: A comprehensive review.
- Zafra, R., Perez, J., Buffoni, L., Martinez-Moreno, F.J., Acosta, I., Mozos, E., Martinez-Moreno, A., 2013. Peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets in Fasciola hepatica infected and immunised goats. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 155, 135-138.
- Zafra, R., Perez-Ecija, R.A., Buffoni, L., Mendes, R.E., Martinez-Moreno, A., Martinez-Moreno, F.J., Galisteo, M.E., Perez, J., 2010. Evaluation of hepatic damage and local immune response in goats immunized with native glutathione S-transferase of Fasciola hepatica. J Comp Pathol 143, 110-119.

4 CHAPTER IV CHARACTERIZATION OF THE DOMESTIC GOAT $\gamma\delta$ T CELL RECEPTOR GENE LOCI AND GENE USAGE

ABSTRCT

We hypothesize that the T cell receptor (TCR) gene loci and structures among ruminants will be similar and that WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in goats will have the same restriction of TCR γ chain gene expression as previous ly documented in cattle. While goats and cattle diverged 30 million of years ago through evolution, they have many similarities in gene sequences. We sought to characterize the TCR loci coding for both the γ and δ chains using an improved assembly (ARS1) of the highly homozygous San Clemente goat breed [12]. Annotation of this assembly has already proven useful for describing other immune system genes including antibody and leucocyte receptors. We annotated the TRG and TRD loci and compared usage of these genes with that in cattle. In general, both the TRG and TRD loci were similarly organized in goats and cattle and the gene sequences were highly conserved. However, the number of genes varied slightly as a result of duplications within loci and differences occurred in mutations resulting in pseudogenes. It is known in cattle that WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells only use TCR γ genes from one of the 6 available cassettes. We hypothesize this is because the structure of that $C\gamma$ gene product is quite different from that of the other 5 C γ genes and this difference is necessary to interact with WC1 for signal transduction following antigen ligation. Thus, we expected to find the same conservation for goat WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ TCR γ gene usage. In cattle we found that a single TCR δ transcript could incorporate sequence from more than one diversity gene (TRDD) which is an unusual feature of TCR δ chains among mammals. Evaluation of

caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells for this feature showed more than one diversity gene (TRDD) usage similar to cattle.

4.1 Introduction

Goats are an important component of agriculture in developing countries serving as a source of food and other commodities. Therefore, the generation of immunity to pathogens to maintain animal health is pertinent to both human nutrition and economic stability. Ruminants as well as pigs and poultry have a large proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in their peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) populations and thus these species are considered $\gamma\delta$ T cell high (Hein and Mackay, 1991; Mackay and Hein, 1989; Sowder et al., 1988; Takamatsu et al., 2006; Yirsaw, Submitted for publication.-b). In young cattle and sheep up to 60% of PBMC can be $\gamma\delta$ T cells, supporting the notion that they are important for immunity early in life (Davis et al., 1996b; Washington et al., 1992). It has been shown that caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells respond to various pathogens and in some cases are thought to contribute to protection (Vermijlen et al., 2018; Yirsaw, Submitted for publication.-a). The T cell receptor (TCR) is important for activating $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses to pathogens in cattle (Baldwin et al., 2002; Blumerman et al., 2006; Lahmers et al., 2005; McGill et al., 2014b) and thus this is likely to be so in goats. Although γ and δ TCR genes are well characterized in cattle (Connelley et al., 2014; Conrad et al., 2007; Damani-Yokota et al., 2018a; Herzig et al., 2006; Herzig et al., 2010a) and sheep (Piccinni et al., 2015; Vaccarelli et al., 2005) they have yet to be described in goats. Here we seek to fill this gap.

The $\gamma\delta$ TCR is made up of two polypeptides, the γ and δ chains. In some species the diversity of genes coding for these chains is less than that of the $\alpha\beta$ TCR in that there are many fewer variable region genes (Krangel, 2009). However, with regard to the TCR δ chain cattle, sheep, and pigs have been found to have an expanded TRDV1 repertoire

relative to humans and mice with up to 50 TRDV1 genes available for rearrangement (Antonacci et al., 2005; Piccinni et al., 2015; Uenishi et al., 2009). A single TCR δ chain has also been shown in cattle to include sequence from all five diversity genes generating long CDR3 regions (Herzig et al., 2010a; Van Rhijn et al., 2007) while in mice and humans only one or two TRDD gene sequences are incorporated (Van Rhijn et al., 2007). With regard to the TCR γ chain, in many species the loci coding for them contain cassettes with V, J, and C genes in each while this does not occur in humans or poultry (Antonacci et al., 2020). Generation of the γ chain favors gene rearrangement within a cassette (Conrad et al., 2007; Miccoli et al., 2003). $\gamma\delta$ T cells in cattle that express the lineage-specific hybrid pattern recognition receptor (PRR)/TCR co-receptor called Workshop Cluster 1 (WC1) (Hanby-Flarida et al., 1996; Hsu et al., 2015b) only express TCR γ genes from the TRGC5 cassette. As a result, it limits the diversity of the γ chain to five V genes paired with the single J and C genes (Blumerman et al., 2006). We wished to determine if these peculiarities found in cattle also occur in goats.

Here we sought to characterize the TCR loci of genes coding for both the γ and δ chains using a caprine genome assembly ARS1 that was more robust than those previously available. This particular assembly employed the San Clemente goat breed that is a highly homozygous (Bickhart et al., 2017). It was the most contiguous genome assembly for a non-model organism reported to date (Bickhart, 2017a) by combining longread PacBio single molecule real-time sequencing and physical mapping methods with error correction using shorter Illumina reads. Annotation of this assembly has already proven useful for other immune genes (Schwartz et al., 2018; Schwartz et al., 2019). As such, we looked to completely annotate the TCR γ (TRG) and δ (TRD) loci

while also comparing usage of these genes with what is already known in another ruminant species, cattle. Goats and cattle diverged 30 million of years ago through evolution establishing differences among polymorphic genes such as MHC and leukocyte receptor complexes but also consistencies in expansion of certain gene families (Schwartz et al., 2019). TCR genes are not polymorphic among individuals within a species and therefore may be more conserved on a genomic level among artiodactyl species.. We highlight many similarities of organization and expression of goat and cattle TCR genes but also key differences.

4.2 Materials and Methods

4.2.1 Genome annotation

The long-read genome sequence assembly ARS1 from a San Clemente goat was retrieved from GenBank where it is deposited under BioProject accession code PRJNA290100 (Bickhart et al., 2017). The TRG genes are contained on chromosome 4 (GenBank: NC_030811.1) and TRD genes on chromosome 10 (GenBank: NC_030817.1). Caprine TRG and TRD genes were annotated manually based on cattle TRG and TRD gene sequences (Conrad et al., 2007; Herzig et al., 2006; Herzig et al., 2010a; Ishiguro et al., 1993) that can be found in the IMGT database (www.imgt.org). This was done using the National Center for Biotechnology Information's (NCBI) Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) after placing the bovine TRG and TRD sequences as query. The accession number for sequences used for bovine TRDC, TRDD and TRDJ genes in IMGT database is Btau_Chr10.28 whereas the accession number for sequences of TRDV genes are multiple, e.g., Btau_Chr10.28, Btau_un22, Btau_un41, Btau_un129, Btau_un139 etc. Similarly the accession numbers of sequences used for bovine TRGC are D90409, D90411, D90414, X63680, AY735449, AY644518 and AY644517, for TRGJ are AY6445187, AY644518, AC172685, AY644517, 937068 and AC172685, and for TRGV are AY644517 and NW_937068. Computer-based annotation was done using Maker (Campbell, 2014) and Apollo (Lewis et al., 2002) to verify the manually annotated TRG and TRD gene sequences as well as to search for additional exons coding for TCR. Maker Snap was trained multiple times to increase the accuracy and reliability of the predicted genes by inputting the annotated caprine TRG and TRD

gene nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences. The manual and the computer-based annotations were compared for gene exon locations and sequences. Pseudogenes were defined based on the presence of a truncation, nonsense mutation, frameshift or defective initiation codon. Nomenclature of the annotated caprine TRG and TRD genes was based on similarity to the bovine gene sequences (Damani-Yokota et al., 2018a). Recombination signaling sequences (RSS) were defined by locating the borders of each V, D and J rearranging gene using expected canonical sequences and intervening spacing for these (Gellert, 2002).

4.2.2 Phylogenetic trees and alignments

Trees comparing archived bovine TCR sequences with the identified caprine sequences were generated in two ways. First sequences were aligned in BioEdit (www.mbio.ncsu.edu/bioedit/bioedit.html) with ClustalW then analyzed in a neighborjoining tree. Other trees were generated using Phylogeny.fr (www.phylogeny.fr) (Dereeper et al., 2010; Dereeper et al., 2008) with sequences aligned with MUSCLE (www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/).

4.2.3 $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulation purification

Blood was collected from the jugular veins of Boer goats ranging from 2-3 years of age and housed at the University of Massachusetts' farm as approved by the University of Massachusetts IACUC. PBMCs were isolated from blood by centrifugation over ficoll hypaque and cultured in complete RPMI medium (RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Hyclone), 200 mM l-glutamine (Sigma), 5×10^{-5} M 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) and 10 mg/ml gentamycin (Invitrogen)) with 5ug/ml concanavalin A (ConA) for 2 days. Cells were stained with primary monoclonal

antibodies (mAb) GB21A (anti- δ TCR) and CC15 (anti-WC1) purchased from Washington State University Monoclonal Antibody Center (Pullman, WA, USA) and secondary antibodies goat anti-mouse IgG2b-AF488 and IgG2a-AF647 (Invitrogen). Live lymphocytes were identified by forward and side scatter and were sorted using a FACS ARIA (Becton Dickinson) into TCR δ^+ /WC1⁺ and TCR δ^+ /WC1⁻ cells with 99% purity for both populations.

4.2.4 RNA isolation and RACE cDNA synthesis

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells were solubilized in Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and RNA extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA purity and concentration were determined by Nanodrop spectrophotometry (Thermo-Fisher). Reverse transcriptase was performed using Superscript IV (Invitrogen), a template switch oligo with unique molecular identifiers incorporated and a poly T reverse primer as outlined in Mamedov *et al.* 2013 (Mamedov et al., 2013). Primers, dNTPs and RNA were first incubated at 65C for 5 min., then enzyme, buffer, DTT, and *RNase OUT* (Invitrogen) were added before incubating at 50C for 1 hr then 80C for 10 min. One unit of uracil deglycosylase (Invitrogen) was added and the mixture incubated at 37C for 45 min. For analysis of the CDR3, cDNA was made without unique molecular identifiers by using the AMV RT kit(Promega) and the oligodt primer.

4.2.5 PCR and gene sequencing

cDNA was used as a template for PCR with Taq polymerase (New England Biolabs) and the primers outlined in Table 4-1. PCR was performed with the following conditions: 1) 95C for 2 min, 2) 95C for 30 sec, 3) 55-63C for 45 sec, 4) 72C for 30 sec,

repeating steps 2-4 for 30 cycles. To confirm primer specificity amplicons were cloned into a sequencing vector by standard techniques and sent for commercial Sanger sequencing. For PacBio sequencing, products were run on a Sybersafe 1% low melt agarose gel with a taped comb to fit all of the product into one lane. After running at a voltage of 110 for 30 min amplicons of the correct size were extracted and purified with the New England Biolabs gel extraction and then purified further with Ampure beads (Beckman Coulter) and sequenced at the University of Massachusetts Medical School for PacBio sequencing (<u>https://www.umassmed.edu/nemo/pacific-biosciences/</u>). FastA files of sequences were analyzed by filtering sequences based on PacBio tags applied to different input populations during PCR. Sequences were then aligned as described above. Any repeated base pairs that likely occurred due to the PacBio sequencing were resolved based on comparison with known sequences of TCR.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Chromosomal organization of caprine γδ TCR genes

Here we annotated the loci of the TCR γ (TRG) and TCR δ (TRD) genes in a recent assembly of the goat genome (Schwartz et al., 2018; Schwartz et al., 2019). Caprine TRG genes were identified on chromosome 4 at two loci (Antonacci et al., 2007) while the TRD locus was located on chromosome 10. V, D, J, and C genes were named according to their homology with bovine genes.

The TRG genes were clustered within 7 cassettes or units named according to the functional C γ gene included (Figure 4.1A). The first locus TRG1@ was found in an inverted orientation and contained 3 cassettes named C4, C3, and C5; both C3 and C5 cassettes had multiple V and J genes while the C4 cassette lacked a TRGJ gene. The C3 cassette also had an additional constant gene TRGC7 between TRGV9 and TRGV2 and was classified as a pseudogene due to a frame shift. The second locus, TRG2@, was assigned in a forward orientation. It contained 4 cassettes, C1, C8, C2 and C6 each with at least one V and J gene but TRGC8 was a pseudogene. Compared to cattle, the order of the cassettes and the contents of each were similar (Supplemental figure 4.2) although cattle only have 6 TRG cassettes and TRG1@ is in a forward orientation. A bovine homologue of TRGC8 is not reported in the literature but sequence for a homologous pseudogene is found in the IMGT database. Most caprine TRGV genes found were predicted to be functional except for TRGV10 which has a point mutation that induces a stop codon (Supplemental figure 4.1) (although this is known to be a functional gene in cattle).

To further evaluate the conservation within the caprine TCR loci with other mammals, RSS sequences were identified upstream and downstream of each gene. RSS spacers are organized as a heptamer, a 23 bp spacer, and a nanomer 3' downstream of gene with a nonamer, 12 bp spacer, and heptamer 5' upstream with these sequences for TCR and immunoglobulin gene rearrangement being highly conserved across species (Chen et al., 2009b; Parra et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2017). Generally, the first 3 bp of heptamers are canonical (i.e., CAC) whereas nonamers have conserved adenines at bp 5 and 6. A change in gene expression can occur if the heptamer and nanomer are noncanonical (Schwartz et al., 2018). There is adherence to these RSS rules in the goat assembly especially among TRDV genes (Table 4-2). Of the TRGV genes, three deviate from the conserved heptamer sequence and four have different nonamers of 17 total genes (Table 4-3). Something else interesting to note is that some duplicated genes such as TRGV3-1 and TRGV3-2 had differences in both heptamer and nanomer sequences where only one had the canonical heptamer. When caprine TRGV3 genes were compared to the bovine homologues, bTRGV3-1 nanomer was identical with the caprine equivalent whereas TRGV3-2 was not only different from caprine TRGV3-1 but was also different from the bovine homologues. Other bovine RSS sequences were included (such as bTRGV4) for comparison of variations. For examining the RSS sequences of genes that comprise the CDR3 (i.e., TRDD TRDJ and TRGJ), the end of the heptamer shown is where the reverse complement of the canonical sequence occurs (...GTG) and this varied among genes. While most of the genes had a conserved tyrosine at bp 5 and 6 some variation occurred (Table4-4). Since noncanonical or mutated RSS has been shown to result in reduced expression of caprine immunoglobulin J genes (Schwartz et al., 2018).

4.3.2 Comparison of caprine and bovine TCR gene sequences

Given the conservation of TCR γ loci organization between cattle and goats, we expected that the respective caprine and bovine TRGV, TRGC and TRGV genes would assort based on locus location. All TRGV genes corresponded to a bovine gene in a maximum likelihood tree except that there was no caprine homologue of bovine TRGV11, a pseudogene in both cattle and sheep (Figure 4-2A). There was also more duplication of the TRGV5 gene in goats than in cattle. In most instances duplicated genes clustered more closely within a species than between species. Most $C\gamma$ gene sequences clustered between species in an expected way (Figure 4.2B). There is not a bovine equivalent to TRGC8 but that caprine TRGC8 gene clustered with its neighboring TRGCs, i.e., TRGC1 and TRGC2. This may indicate that this is a duplication within the caprine cassette given the similar organization and homology of the other V and J genes found there but that this did not occur in cattle. The caprine pseudogene TRGC7 clusters with the other C gene in that cassette, i.e., TRGC3, indicating this too may be a duplication event. While sequence for a bovine equivalent may be found at IMGT as indicated above, it has not been placed in any available bovine genome assemblies to date.

Caprine annotated sequences and bovine nucleotide sequences from IMGT were aligned with MUSCLE and displayed in a Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree A) TRGV B) TRGC. Numbers indicate branch support values. c before a gene name indicates a Caprine TCR sequence and b before a gene name indicates a bovine TCR sequence. Bovine sequences follow naming conventions from previous annotations.
To compare TRDV genes between ruminant species we used representative bovine TRDV1 genes from each of the 11 TRDV1 subfamily groups described previously along with the other TRDV genes (Damani-Yokota et al., 2018a; Herzig et al., 2010a) and a bovine TRAV gene that was classified based on homology with human TRAV genes as a benchmark. When aligned and displayed in a neighbor-joining tree caprine TRDV1 genes also clustered into 11 subclades (Supplemental figure 4.3) but not all of them clustered with representative bovine TRDV1 genes. There are 2 groups (2 and 10) of caprine TRDV1 genes that segregated away from the bovine TRDV1 genes thus leaving group 3 with only two bovine genes and with bovine TRDV1-c outside of all caprine groups. Since the bTRDV1-c may not have been the best "representative" of the subclade in cattle, we also looked at all of the bovine TRDV1 genes with the caprine genes in a phylogenetic tree (Supplemental figure 4.3). This analysis showed 13 different subclades with only 12 containing goat TRDV1 genes. Again, the group that bTRDV1-c was representing clustered separately and did not have any caprine equivalents. Thus, not all subclades of bovine TRDV1 genes clustered with goat genes indicating different duplication events of the two species. Interestingly, the caprine copies of TRDV3 (cTRDV3-1, cTRDV3-2, and cTRDV3-3) clustered with bTRDVb3 and bTRAV33 but not with bTRDV3 again showing that goats do not have a bTRDVY or bTRDV2 homologue at least in this assembly as no gene clustered with them.

We next evaluated the genes that code for the CDR3s of these TCR chains and aligned the J and D genes. Interestingly, although goats have more TRG cassettes than cattle both species had the same number of TRGJ genes but arising from different duplication events (Figure 4.3A). Alignment of both TRDJ and TRGJ genes between

species revealed that bovine and caprine corresponding genes based on location within the loci have a high degree of similarity (Figure 4.3C). Although goats have fewer TRDV1 genes than cattle, goats have more TRDD and TRDJ genes available for rearrangement to add diversity. Some TRDD genes that have similar placement in the locus are similar between cattle and goats while others are quite distinct (Figure 4.3B). Considering how short these sequences are and the fact that they are subjected to N and P addition, it is difficult to reach a conclusion on the impact of this.

4.3.3 TCR gene expression among γδ T cell subpopulations

After identifying the conservation of γ and δ TCR genes between goats and cattle, the question still remained whether they have the same expression patterns among bovine $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations. To achieve a shallow level of quantification of the usage of TCR genes, a 5' RACE method was used (Mamedov et al., 2013). This was achieved with a polyT primer during first strand synthesis that adds a Smart Oligo tag to the 3' end. During second strand synthesis different molecular identifiers are generated by random insertion, thus uniquely tagging each individual transcript with up to ~17 x 10⁶ unique variants. In this way TCR reads obtained following PCR amplification and sequencing can be attributed to individual mRNA molecules. Using this approach we addressed the hypothesis that $\gamma\delta$ T cells that express WC1 will have a restricted expression of genes found in the TRGC5 cassette as occurs in cattle. To test this, we purified WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells by flow cytometric sorting (Figure 4.4A) and subjected them to the 5' RACE cDNA production. PCR reactions performed with WC1⁺ cells' cDNA yielded no product except for TRGC5 whereas WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells

displayed expression of all TRGC genes (Figure 4.4B). This indicated that caprine WC1⁺ cells are indeed restricted as are bovine cells. To confirm these sequences PCR amplicons were sent for PacBio sequencing. Sequences that are shown (Table 4-5) also confirmed that expression of TCR γ genes are indeed limited to rearrangement within that cassette.... The second question we addressed was whether the products of multiple TRDD genes were incorporated into TCR δ chains as occurs in cattle (Herzig et al., 2010a; Herzig et al., 2015; Van Rhijn et al., 2007). The usage of TRG genes by the WC1⁺ and WC1⁻ $\gamma \delta$ T cells (Table 4-6) and thetranscription of TRDV1 genes by $\gamma \delta$ T cells^a (Table 4-7) are ongoing the data not yet analized.

4.3.4 cDNA analysis as evidence for TRD gene usage

The caprine TRDD genes were identified using genome annotation and these allowed us to evaluate TRDD gene usage in cDNA sequences that had been currently sequenced in our laboratory. CDR3 of TRDV1, TRDV3 and TRDV4 containing sequences were analyzed abut not for CDR3 of TRDV2 since we could not get any transcripts for it. All D genes were represented in transcripts containing genes of the three TRDV subgroups. P nucleotides were observed flanking untrimmed TRDD regions and extensive N-regions were frequently found between TRDD regions. Some cases were ambiguous because nucleotides could be attributed to either P- or N-region but also to the presence of more than one TRDD gene. Therefore, we applied the criteria that at least five nucleotides of a particular TRDD gene must be present to claim usage of that gene with reasonable confidence even though Herzig et al., 2010 used 6 neucleotides. . Examples of TRDD gene usage with nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences are shown (Figure 4.6).

4.4 Discussion

While cattle and goats diverged evolutionarily several million years ago our data indicated that they share a high degree of similarity in the organization of their TRG and TRD genome loci and TRG and TRD gene sequences as well as gene transcription patterns by $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations. with regard to the TRD locus, one of the striking features for more than one species of artiodactyls is the expanded TRDV1 repertoire compared to humans and mice, as a result of extensive duplication events (Antonacci et al., 2005; Yang et al., 1995). Although goats were found to have fewer TRDV1 genes than cattle, with only 30 caprine TRDV1 genes, sheep also have fewer than cattle with 40 TRDV1 genes. When evaluated in a phylogenetic tree, 35 of the sheep TRDV1 genes cluster into 5 groups (Antonacci et al., 2005; Piccinni et al., 2015) while we previously showed that the over 60 bovine TRDV1 genes segregate into 11 phylogenetic groups or subclades (Herzig et al., 2010a). Caprine TRDV1 genes also clustered into 11 groups with the bovine TRDV1 subclade representatives with only bTRDV1-c excluded from clustering with caprine genes. So the relationship of caprine TRDV1 genes appears to be more similar to that of cattle than to sheep. There is the possibility that more caprine TRDV1 genes are located upstream within the TRA locus although we found no evidence for that in this particular assembly. While the organization of the caprine TRG loci were similar to those of both cattle and sheep there were some unique aspects in that caprine TRG1 locus was in an inverted orientation (Antonacci et al., 2020b; Conrad et al., 2007). Additional nonfunctional caprine TRGC genes were found, i.e., TRGC7 and TRGC8, and these do not have ovine equivalents, and only sequence for TRGC7 has been found in cattle in which is also a pseudogene (IMGT www.imgt.org) and not yet placed in the

locus. Based on the their location and homology to neighboring TRGC genes we assume that these pseudogenes are duplications of functional C genes. Most of the differences found among ruminant species evaluated here appear to be due to duplication events through evolution.

The CDR3 regions of TCRs are important because of its unique ability to add untemplated nucleotides thus giving vast diversity utilized in the binding of unique pathogen epitopes. Some CDR3 sequences are considered "public" and are shared among T cells from individuals where more "private" sequences indicate clonal expansion of a particular CDR3 expressing group of cells that are specific to a infecting pathogen(Madi et al., 2014). Many of the caprine TCR genes that make up the CDR3 had homologues with bovine genes but there were also unique sequences. Goats were found to have more TRDJ and TRDD genes that could add CDR3 diversity especially since there are fewer caprine TRDV1s and similar to cattle, Similar to goats, pigs also have more TRDD genes than cattle, all with functional RSS upstream and downstream sequences (Uenishi et al., 2009). However, the addition of more caprine TRGJs may not add diversity since they appear to be duplication events rather than unique genes. Depending on the antigen gamma delta TCR can bind using one of the chains CDR3 more predominantly. The δ chain of the TCR in crystal structures has been shown to be the dominant chain in mouse $\gamma\delta$ T cell interactions with MHC like molecules such as T22 and CD1d (Adams et al., 2005; Luoma et al., 2013). Where the γ chain has been shown to be important for interaction with butyrophilin-like molecules although this is dependent on a variable region not on the CDR3(Willcox et al., 2019).

While having a large number of genes is clearly important for generating a repertoire of antigen-binding potentials gene expression can be controlled by the RSS such that some genes are much less frequently incorporated into viable TCRs. That is, canonical RSS sequences are expected to influence the ability of RAG-mediated rearrangement to occur (Wei and Lieber, 1993). The RSS of TCR and immunoglobulin genes have been characterized in a range of species from sandbar sharks to opossums (Chen et al., 2009b; Hassanin et al., 2000; Parra et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2017). RSS is widely studied in species to classify functional genes over ones that may not be rearranged by establishing canonical or non-canonical RSS sequences. It is expected that genes found without the canonical heptamer sequence will not be rearranged or will be rearranged at a lower frequency than genes that have it. This has been shown for caprine immunoglobulin genes for which noncanonical or mutated RSS resulted in less expression of the particular J genes (Schwartz et al., 2018). In agreement with mice and human, caprine TCR genes are flagged in the same way with the same canonical starting sequence of CAC within the heptamer with very few genes having a non-canonical sequence. The conservation of RSS sequences among caprine TRDV1 genes supports the idea that these genes underwent large-scale duplication events throughout evolution. Overall, the caprine TCR genes of both TRD and TRG loci follow the same RSS conventions in canonical sequence within the nanomer and heptamer as those for other species with few caprine TCR genes having changes within the conserved regions.

Much like cattle, goats have been found to express WC1 on subpopulations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells and it has been shown that these cells participate in immune responses to pathogens (Yirsaw, Submitted for publication.-a; Yirsaw, Submitted for publication.-b).

In cattle, we have shown that $\gamma\delta$ T cells that express the co-receptor WC1 use a restricted set of genes to code for the TCR γ chain while, in contrast, non-WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells use all of the TCR genes available (Blumerman et al., 2006). This implies that the restriction is important for WC1⁺ cell function especially since the predicted structure of the gene product expressed by WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells (i.e., TRGC5) is substantially different from those of the other TRGC genes. We showed here that goats have similar organization of the TRGC5 cassette as cattle and sheep (Vaccarelli et al., 2005). Organizational similarities imply the conservation of the TRGC5 cassette throughout evolution and the potential of an important role for its genes' products in immune function to combat shared pathogens. Validating our thoughts on TRGC5 others have referred to it as an ancient cassette among bovine, ovine, and dromedary implying that other cassettes of the gamma chain are duplications of it(Antonacci et al., 2020a; Antonacci et al., 2020b; Vaccarelli et al., 2008). We have hypothesized that the restricted use of a particular TCR chain is because of the need for it to physically interact with WC1 to augment cell signaling in response to pathogens since WC1 and the TCR need to be co-ligated for there to be augmented signaling (Chen et al., 2014; Hanby-Flarida et al., 1996). If true we would also expect other species that express WC1, such as pigs, to have an analogous if not homologus TRGC5 in terms of gene product. A similar paradigm has been proven for the interaction of the TCR α chain with the accessory signaling molecules CD3 where the membrane proximal portion of the TRAC region controls signaling with the CD3 complex(Backstrom et al., 1996).

Our work with the caprine $\gamma\delta$ TCR genes continues to fill gaps in our knowledge regarding the evolution of the $\gamma\delta$ TCR among artiodactyls and, more specifically, among

ruminant species. The large number of duplication events in both the TRG and TRD loci that are analogous in the bovine and caprine genomes is striking. The $\gamma\delta$ TCR gene repertoire diversity is much greater in ruminants compared to humans and mice and mirrors the $\gamma\delta$ T cell expansion in the blood of ruminants. $\gamma\delta$ T cells may also play different roles in humans and mice than they play in ruminants although much is still unknown about the function of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in goats particularly. Our work here with the goat $\gamma\delta$ TCR loci will allow us to form hypotheses regarding the functional equivalence between goats and bovine $\gamma\delta$ T cells since there are many more basic studies with the bovine $\gamma\delta$ T cells available to model this on (Baldwin et al., 2002; Blumerman et al., 2007; Meraviglia et al., 2011; Naiman et al., 2001; Naiman et al., 2002; Rogers et al., 2005b; Wang et al., 2011).

| Primer Name | Primer sequences |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| TRGC1+2 rev | GATTGTTTGACTGATGAAAGCGGTGCC |
| TRGC2 rev | CAAAGGCACGTCTGGAAGGTGAAAA |
| TRGC3 rev | GACATGCTTTTGTAGAATTTGCAACAGGGAC |
| TRGC4 rev | GGCTCCCAGTGACTGTTTAAACCAG |
| TRGC5 rev | GAGGTTGCTACACGTGCCTGCATG |
| TRGC6 rev | CTTGTTGACTTCTAGAAGATTCACCTCTTG |
| TRDC rev | CATGTCACCGAATCGGGATCG |
| TRDV FWD1-1, 2, 8, 13, 20, 21, 24, 25, 28 fwd | CAAGGAATGGCCGCTACTCTG |
| TRDV FWD1-6, 11, 15, 16, 30 fwd | CCATCAGCCTCACCATTTCAGC |
| TRDV FWD1-3, 4, 18, 19 fwd | GCTGGAAGACTCTGCAAAGTACTTCTG |
| RDV FWD1-5, 9, 17, 27 fwd | CCCAGTGGAGAGATGATTTTCCTTAC |
| TRDV FWD1-7, 10, 12, 14, 22 fwd | GCTTCCCAGTGGAGAGATGAC |
| TRDV FWD1-23, 29 fwd | GTCCATCAGCCTCACCATTTCG |
| TRDV FWD3-1, 3-2, 3-3 fwd | CACCATCTCTTCCTTGCACCTG |
| TRDV4 fwd | GAGATCCTGAAGGCATCAGAGAGAG |
| WC1b rev | TCTGGGCTGAAGAGTTCAGGTGTG |
| Universal fw | AAGCAGTGTATCAACGCAGAGT |
| Universal fw pb01 | TCAGACGATGCGTCATCAAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAG |
| Universal fw pb02 | CTATACATGACTCTGCCAAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAG |
| Universal fw pb03 | TACTAGAGTAGCACTCCAAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAG |

Table 4-1 Primers used for PCR^a

a. fw, forward primer; rev, reverse primer

| Gene | Heptamer | Spacer (23 nt) | Nonamer |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| TRDV4 | CAC CCT G | CTG CAG ACT CAC TTC TAA GCA AC | TCA AAA GAC |
| TRDV3-1 | CAC GGT G | AGG GTA GTG ACA GGA AGC ACC TT | ACA AAA ACC |
| TRDV3-2 | CAC AGT G | ATA GAA ATG ATA GTA GAG GAT AA | TCA AAA ACC |
| TRDV3-3 | AAC AGT G | AGA GAA ATG ACA ATT CAA GTC AG | TTC AGT AGC |
| TRDV2 | ATG ACT A | CAG AAA AAA ATA AAA ACA TGA TA | GAA GAA CTT |
| TRDV1-1, 1- 21, 1-24 | CAC AGT G | CTT GAA GTA GTG GGA ATA GCT AA | ACA AAA ACC |
| TRDV1-2, 1- 20, 1-28 | // | CTT GAA GTA ATA GGA AAA GCT GT | // |
| TRDV1-4, 1-14 | // | CTT GAA GTA ATA GGA AAA GCT GA | // |
| TRDV1-6 | // | CTT GAA GTA ATA TGA AAG GCT GA | // |
| TRDV1-8, 1-13 | // | CTT GAA GTG ATA GGA AAA GCT GT | // |
| TRDV1-10, 1- 22 | // | TTT GAA GTA ATA GGA AAA GCT GT | // |
| TRDV1-12 | // | TTT GAG TTA ATA GGA AAA GCT GA | // |
| TRDV1-23 | // | GTT GAA GTA ATG GGA AAA GCT GA | // |
| TRDV1-25 | // | CTT GAA GTA ATG GAA AAA GCT GT | // |
| TRDV1-29 | // | ATT GAA GTA ATG GGA AAA GCT GA | // |
| TRDV1-30 | // | CTT GAA GTA ATG AGA AAA GCT GT | // |
| TRDV1-5 | // | CCC GAG GTA ATG GAA AAA GCT GA | ACA AAA GCC |
| TRDV1-9 | // | CTT GAA GTA ATA GGA AAA GCT GA | // |
| TRDV1-7 | // | // | ACA TAA ACC |
| TRDV1-18, 1- 27 | // | // | ACA AAA CCC |
| TRDV1-11 | // | CTT GAA GTA ATA GGA AAA GCT GA | // |
| TRDV1-16 | // | // | ACA AAA ACA |
| TRDV1-17 | // | CTC GAG ATA TGG AAA AAG CTG AA | CAA GAA CCC |
| TRDV1-19 | // | GTT GAA GTG ATA GGA AAA CTT TG | AAA ATT TGA |
| TRDV1-3 | // | GTT GAA GTG ATA GGA AAA GCT GA | ACA AAA ACT |
| TRDV1-15 | CAC AAT G | CTT GAA GTA ATG GGA AAA GCT GT | ACA AAA ACC |

Table 4-2 RSS genomic features downstream of the caprine TRDV gene

| TRDV1-26 | CTC AGT G | CTT GAA GTA ATA GAA AAG GCT GA | ACA AAA TTC |
|----------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| TRDD1 | CAC AGT A | TTA CAA ACC TCA AAG AGA CCT CT | ACA GAA ACT |
| TRDD2 | CAC ATT G | CTG CAA TAC CCA AAG AGA CCG GT | // |
| TRDD4 | // | CTA CAA AAC CCA CAG GGA ACC AT | // |
| TRDD3 | CAC GAA G | GGT GAA GTG TAT TAA ACC CTT GT | TCA AAA ACC |
| TRDD6 | // | GTT GAA GTG TAT TAA ATC CTT GT | // |
| TRDD5 | CAC CAC A | ATA CAA ACA TCA CAC AGA CCT GT | ACA GGA ACT |
| RDD7 | CAC GGT G | ATA CAA AAC CCA CAG AGA CCT GT | ACA AAA ACT |
| | | | |

| Gene | Heptamer | Spacer (23 nt) | Nonamer |
|----------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| TRGV1 | CAC GTC A | GTT CAG ACT TCC TGT ACA CTG CA | CTG GAA ATT |
| bTRGV1 | CAC ATT G | GTT CAG ACT TCC TGT ACA CTG CA | CTG GAA ATT |
| TRGV5-2 | CAC GTT G | GTT CAG ACT TCC TGT ACA CTG CA | CTG GAA ATT |
| TRGV2 | // | GTT TAG ACT TCC TTT ACA CTG CA | // |
| TRGV5-1 | // | GTT CAG ACT TCC TGT ACA CTG CA | // |
| TRGV5-3 | // | GTT CAG ACT TCC TGT ACA CTG CA | // |
| TRGV8-2 | // | GTT TAG ACT TCC TTT ACA CTG CA | // |
| TRGV9 | // | GTT CAG CCC TCC TTT ACA CTG CA | CTG AAA TTT |
| TRGV3-1 | CAC AGT A | -TA GAA CTG TGG AGA CAA TCC AC | ACA AGA TCC |
| bTRGV3-1 | CAC AGT A | CTA GAA CTG TGG AGA TAA CCC AT | ACA AGA TCC |
| TRGV3-2 | CAC GGC A | CTA GAA CTA TGG AGA TAA CCC AC | ACA AGA TCC |
| bTRGV3-2 | CAC AGT A | CTA GAA CTG TGG AGA TAA CCC AT | ACA AGA TCC |
| TRGV4 | CAA GGT A | TTA CAG AGT CAG AAG GAA CGT GG | AAG AAA ACT |
| bTRGV4 | CAC GGT A | TTA CAG AGT CAG AAG GAA CAT GG | AAG AAA ACT |
| TRGV6 | CGC ACA G | CAG AGT GCG CAG CCC CGG CAT CT | GCA CAA AAA |
| TRGV7 | CAC AGT G | TGG GAG TTT CTT GGA TAA CAC AC | ACA AGA ACC |
| TRGV10 | CAT GTT G | CAG AAG AGA TCA GCT GTC ATT G | AAC AAA AAC |

Table 4-3 RSS genomic features downstream of the caprine TRGV TRGJ genes

| Gene | Nonamer | Spacer (12 nt) | Heptamer |
|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|
| TRDD1, TRDD4 | GGT TTT TGT | AAA GCT CTG TAG | CAC TGT G |
| TRDD2 | // | AAA GCT CTG CAG | // |
| TRDD7 | // | AAA GGA CTG TAG | // |
| TRDD3 | GGT TGT TGT | AAA ACT GTG CTT | // |
| TRDD5 | GGT TTT TAT | AAA GCT CTG TGA | TAC TGT G |
| TRDD6 | GGT TAT TGT | AAA GCT ATG CTT | // |
| TRDJ1 | GGT TTT TGG | AAA GCC CTC AAG | CTC TGT G |
| TRDJ2 | AGT TTT TAG | ACT GGG TTT ATC | AGC TGT G |
| TRDJ3 | GTT ACT TGT | CAG GCA GTG TCA | CAA TGT G |
| TRDJ4 | ATG ATG TCT | GAA ATG GTG TAC | TGA TAA C |
| TRGJ1-1 | GAT TAT TGT | AGG AGC ATT TAT | CAT TGT G |
| TRGJ1-3 | // | CGG AGC ATT TAT | // |
| TRGJ2-1 | // | AGG AGC ATT TAT | // |
| TRGJ1-2 | AGT TTT TGA | TAT GAC TTG AAT | CAC TGT G |
| TRGJ2-2 | // | TAT GAC TTG AAT | // |
| TRGJ3-1 | ATT ATT GTA | GGA GCT TCA ACC | AGT GTG A |
| TRGJ5-1 | AGG CTG AGA | TTT TTG TAG AAG | CTC CAA T |
| TRGJ6-1 | AGT TTT TGC | CAC AGG TTG AAT | CAC TGT G |
| | | | |

Table 4-4 RSS genomic features upstream of the caprine TRDD, TRDJ and TRGJ genes

| Corresponding Bovine/ Caprine gene name | % Identity (nucleotide) |
|--|-------------------------|
| TRGV3 | 94 |
| TRGV4 | 91 |
| TRGV7 | 92 |
| TRGV10 | 95 |
| TRGJ5 | 87 |
| TRGC5 | 96 |
| | |

Table 4-5 Identity of bovine and caprine genes in the TRGC5 cassette

| TRGC cassette that the Vγ genes are associated with | TCR Vγ gene name | WC1 ⁺ γδ T cells | WC1 ⁻ γδ T cells |
|--|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| TRGC 1 | TRGV5-3 | - | \checkmark |
| TRGC 2 | TRGV 5-1 | - | \checkmark |
| | TRGV 5-2 | - | \checkmark |
| TRGC 3 | TRGV 2 | - | \checkmark |
| | TRGV 8-1 | - | \checkmark |
| | TRGV 8-2 | - | \checkmark |
| | TRGV 9 | - | \checkmark |
| TRGC4 | TRGV 1 | - | \checkmark |
| TRGC5 | TRGV3-1 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | TRGV3-2 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | TRGV4 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | TRGV7 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | TRGV10 | \checkmark | \checkmark |

Table 4-6 Usage of TRG genes by the WC1⁺ and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells^{a.}

a. Following flow cytometric purification of $\gamma\delta$ T cell populations, RT-PCR and PacBio sequencing was used to assess gene transcription.

| TCR Vo clades | WC1 ⁺ γδ T cells | WC1 ⁻ γδ T cells |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| TRDV1-1 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-2 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-3 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-4 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-5 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-6 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-7 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-8 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-9 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-10 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-11 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-12 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| 1-13 | \checkmark | \checkmark |

Table 4-7 Transcription of TRDV1 genes by γδ T cells^a

Following flow cytometric purification of $\gamma\delta$ T cell populations, RT-PCR and PacBio

sequencing was used to assess gene transcriptio





Figure 4.1 Organization of the goat TCR γ and δ loci.

Arrows indicate the orientation of the genes. Genes were named based on equivalent genes in cattle. (A) The TRG genes displayed at two loci on chromosome 4 separated by a double slash. TRG1@ locus was found between position 37,498,317 and 37,637,483 whereas the TRG2@ locus was between position 70,114,164 and 70,214,864. Dotted lines represent predicted gene rearrangements within cassettes. (B) The TRD genes displayed on chromosome 10 between 77,649,737 and 79,134,567.





Figure 4.2 Caprine annotated sequences and bovine nucleotide sequences from IMGT lined up with MUSCLE and displayed in a Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree A) TRGV B) TRGC. Numbers indicate branch support values. c before a gene name indicates a Caprine TCR sequence and b before a gene name indicates a bovine TCR sequence. Bovine sequences follow naming conventions from previous annotations. Lines indicate cluster names based on cattle TRDV1 groups (Herzig et al., 2010).



Figure 4.3 Phylogenetic comparison of caprine and bovine TRDV genes. TRDV genes were lined up with ClustalW using default parameters and displayed in a neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree. 'Cap' before a gene name indicates a caprine TCR sequence and b before a gene name indicates a bovine TCR sequence. Bovine sequences follow naming conventions from previous annotations. Lines indicate subclades assigned but a clustering of 2 nodes of difference.

| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| | | | | 1 1 1 | | |
| cTRGJ1-1 | ACAGCTCGGGGCTGG- | AAGAAGATAT | TTGGAGAAG | GAGCTAACATC | ATAGTAACTO | CTTCCG |
| bTRGJ1-1 | | .G | | | | C.T. |
| cTRGJ1-2 | | | | A | | c |
| bTRGJ1-2 | GAATAA. TAC | C.TAA.AC. | .CAAT.TT. | AAC | | J |
| cTRGJ1-3 | GAATAA. TAC | TAA. AC. | .CAAT.TT. | AAC | | |
| cTRGJ2-1 | | | | | | c |
| bTRGJ2-1 | | .GT. | | | | C.T- |
| cTRGJ2-2 | GAATAA. TAC | TAA.AC. | .CAAT.TT. | AAC | AG | J |
| bTRGJ2-2 | GAATAG. TAC | TTAA.AC. | .CAAT.TT. | AAC | A0 | |
| cTRGJ3-1 | -T TG.A | | A | C.AG.GC | | .CC.T- |
| bTRGJ3-1 | -T TG.A | | A | AG.GC | G | C.T- |
| cTRGJ3-2 | TG.A | · · · · · · · C · · · | A | C.AG.GC | GT | . CC . T- |
| bTRGJ4-1 | A- | | A | AGC | AG | . CCAT . |
| bTRGJ4-2 | AATAA. TAC | AA. AC. | .CAAT.TT. | | G.TCA0 | |
| cTRGJ5-1 | TTGT.ACAA | 3. TC G | T | AGC | GTA. | C.T. |
| bTRGJ5-1 | TAAC | .TCG | T | AGC | GTA. | C.T. |
| cTRGJ6-1 | GAATTTAAAC | C.TC. | T.G | | T.CCCAGO | |
| bTRGJ6-1 | GAATTTAAAA | C.TC. | T | | T.C. CCTAG | |

В

| 10 |
|---------------|
| |
| ATTGG-TTGGAGC |
| GACG.GG- |
| GGGA.TT.CGAG |
| GGGA.TT.CGAG |
| -ACTACA.AT.C |
| GGGG.ACTA |
| GCG AG. ACTCG |
| -ACAACG.AC |
| GGGG.ACTA |
| GGGA.ACG |
| -A. TACG. AC |
| GGGA.AC |
| |

- -

С

| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| | | • • • • • • • • | | | | |
| cTRDJ1 | TACTGATAAC | CAC-AACTCG | CTTTGGAAAT | GAGACTCTA | CTCATCATGGA | ACCGA |
| bTRDJ1 | A.GAGAC.G. | GA. | A | .GC.GC | G | A. |
| cTRDJ2 | GCTCC.GGGACACC. | GGC.GA.GT. | TGC. | .GCCAA. | TG | GCC |
| bTRDJ2 | CAGT. | .C AA. | AA | .GACTAT | | AG |
| cTRDJ3 | CAGA.T. | .C AA. | AA | .GACTAT | .G.A.G | A- |
| bTRDJ3 | -CTCC.GGGACACC. | GAC.GA.AT. | TGC. | .GCCAA. | TG | GCC |
| cTRDJ4 | GAGAC.G | GA | A | .GC.GC | G | A. 1 |
| | | | | | | |

Figure 4.4 Aligned comparison of caprine and bovine joining and diversity gene sequences.

Nucleotide lineups of caprine and bovine derived sequences with ClustalW using default parameters A) TRGJ B) TRDD C) TRDJ; dashes (-) indicate gaps put in place by the program to give a better alignment. Periods (.) represent the same nucleotide as what is shown in the top sequence.



Figure 4.5 Sort Strategy and PCR of $\gamma \delta T$ cell subpopulations.

A) Flow cytometry sort strategy of goat PBMCs that were first gated on live lymphocytes based on forward (fsc) and side scatter (ssc). Cells had been stained by indirect immunofluorescence using mAbs reactive with WC1 or TCR δ . Numbers displayed indicate percent cells within gates. B) Gel electrophoresis of sorte cells.

| TRDV | | PN | TRDDI | PN | TRDD2 | PN | TEDDS | P/N | TRDD4 | PN | TRDD5 | P/N | RDD6 | PN | TRDD7 | PN | TRDJ |
|--------------|--|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|----|----------------------|--------|-------------|----|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|------------|--|
| Germline | | | ALCOLLOGACC | | GGIGGGATTEACGAG | | GCGGGAGTACTCG | | ACTACATATAC | | GGEGGGGEACTAC | | ATTACGTAC | | GG1GGGATAC | 1 | |
| 14 | ACTICIGIGCICTCIGIGTAC T S V L S V Y | TACCCCTAC T P | 0 | 82 | TOGGATTIAC W D L | Λ | AGTA S | | | - | | | | | 5 | | CCGAAICCACTAAIAITT PNPLIF |
| 5 21 | ATTECTGEOCTCICEGEGACT | GIC | TTGGAG L E | AC: | GICCG V | т | | | | | | | | | | | GAGAGACIGACAAOCTCACCT E R L T S S P |
| GU 22 | ACTICIGIGCICICIGICITG T S V L S V L | GF | | | OGALITIACGA G F T | | OCGOGAGIA A G V | | | | | | | | | | CICAAICCACTAAIAITI PNPLIF |
| 23 | ACTICIGEOCTETETETE T S A L S L F | CCAAGG P R | TGGTTGGA W L | | | | | | | | | | ATTACGT 1 T | | | | CCGAAFCCACTAATATTT T N P L I F |
| 02 | TTTCTGTGCTCTCTGGGGCTA FLCSLGL | CGTTCCT R S | TIGGA L | | | - | - | | | | GGEGGGGEACTA V G Y | | TTACG Y | | - | | GEGGGACEGACAAGCECACCE V G L T S S P |
| 04 | TTTCTGTGCTCTCTCCCAGGG FLCSLPG | | | | TGGGAT W D | | | | | | | | | CICCC L | GGATAC G Y | COOCG R | CAGAA ICCACTAALAFIT Q N P L 1 F |
| 06 | TTTCTGTGCTCTCTGGGAATA FLCSLGI | | | | | | | | | | | COGG R | TACGEAC Y V | G | GGGAI G | | ACCETACTGACAAGCTCACCT T L L T S S P |
| RDV3 | TTTCTGTGCTCTCTGGGAATA FLCSLGI | CAAGTTTG Q V | | | | | | | | CT | GIGGOGIACIA V G F | τ | TTACGTA L R | т | | | TGGGAFCCACTAATATIT W D P L 1 F |
| 10 | FLCSLGM | | | | | | | GCIAAI | | | | | ATTACGTA I T | | | | CIGAGACIGACAAOCTCACCT L R L T S S P |
| 11 | TTTCTGTGCTCTCTGGGAACC FLCSLGT | | | CIACGT L R | TTTACG F T | GI | | | | | | | | | | | GOGALACTGACAGGCICACCT G I L T G S P |
| 16 | TTTCTGTGCTCTCTGGGAATA FLCSLGI | CGTATCTCCT R I S | GTTGGAGC V G | | | | | | | | | | | | | GATAA D | GTGGGACTGAC AAGCTC ACCT V G L T S S P |
| 08 | TTTTACTACTGTGCCAGT F Y Y C A S | | | | | | | | | | GATCCCT | GATCECT D P | TTACG L | P CCCCC | TGOG W | | A DACATOC ACTAATATIT I H P L I F |
| \$ 12 00 | TTTTACTACTGTGCCAGC F Y Y C A S | | | | | | | | | | GGOGT | T. | TTACGT L R | | GGIG G | | GICTCACTGAC AAGCIC ACCT V S L T S S P |
| 17 | F Y Y C A S | | - | | | | GCGGGAGTACT A G V | | | | | | - | GGIC | GOGAT G | | AIGAGACIGACAAOCTCACCT M R L T S S P |

Figure 4.6 TRD rearranged CDR3 sequences and TRDD gene usage.

TRD rearranged CDR3nucleotide sequences, and their corresponding deduced amino acid sequences, derived from caprine cDNA from peripheral blood mononuclear cells, were aligned to demonstrate TRDD usage. Germline TRDD sequences are shown above representative TRDV1, TRDV3 and TRDV4 sequences containing between one and three TRDD genes. TRDD genes are shaded and gene usage was determined by the presence of at least five nucleotides of a particular TRDD gene. Sufficient cDNA sequence was analyzed to determine TRDV gene usage; however, all the sequences of TRDV and TRDJ genes were not shown, only some of the end sequences of TRDV genes and the beginning of TRDJ genes presented.

Biblography

- A. Rachele, S. Massari, G. Linguiti, A. Caputi Jambrenghi, F. Giannico, M.P Lefranc, S. Ciccarese. "Evolution of the T-Cell Receptor (TR) Loci in the Adaptive Immune Response: The Tale of the TRG Locus in Mammals, *Genes* 11 (6) (2020): 624.
- A. Dereeper, S. Audic, J.M. Claverie, G. Blanc, BLAST-EXPLORER helps you building datasets for phylogenetic analysis, BMC Evol Biol 10 (2010) 8.
- A. Dereeper, V. Guignon, G. Blanc, S. Audic, S. Buffet, F. Chevenet, J.F. Dufayard, S. Guindon, V. Lefort, M. Lescot, J.M. Claverie, O. Gascuel, Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist, Nucleic Acids Res 36(Web Server issue) (2008) W465-9.
- A. Hassanin, R. Golub, S.M. Lewis, G.E. Wu, Evolution of the recombination signal sequences in the lg heavy-chain variable region locus of mammals, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97(21) (2000) 11415-20.
- A. Madi, E. Shifrut, S. Reich-Zeliger, H. Gal, K. Best, W. Ndifon, B. Chain, I.R. Cohen, N. Friedman, T-cell receptor repertoires share a restricted set of public and abundant CDR3 sequences that are associated with self-related immunity, Genome Res 24(10) (2014) 1603-12.
- A. Yirsaw, Baldwin, C.L., Goat γδ T cell populations and their response to infections, Developmental and Comparative Immunology (Submitted for publication.).
- A.M. Luoma, C.D. Castro, T. Mayassi, L.A. Bembinster, L. Bai, D. Picard, B. Anderson, L. Scharf, J.E. Kung, L.V. Sibener, P.B. Savage, B. Jabri, A. Bendelac, E.J. Adams, Crystal structure of Vdelta1 T cell receptor in complex with CD1d-sulfatide shows MHC-like recognition of a self-lipid by human gammadelta T cells, Immunity 39(6) (2013) 1032-42.
- A.N. Rogers, D.G. Vanburen, E.E. Hedblom, M.E. Tilahun, J.C. Telfer, C.L. Baldwin, Gammadelta T cell function varies with the expressed WC1 coreceptor, J Immunol 174(6) (2005) 3386-93.
- A.W. Yirsaw, A. Gillespie, E. Britton, A. Doerle, L. Johnson, S. Marston, J. C. Telfer, C.L. Baldwin, Goat γδ T cell subpopulations defined by WC1 gene expression, responses to pathogens and cytokine expression, Developmental and Comparative Immunology (Submitted for publication.).
- B. Piccinni, S. Massari, A. Caputi Jambrenghi, F. Giannico, M.P. Lefranc, S. Ciccarese, R. Antonacci, Sheep (Ovis aries) T cell receptor alpha (TRA) and delta (TRD) genes and genomic organization of the TRA/TRD locus, BMC Genomics 16 (2015) 709.
- B.M. Naiman, D. Alt, C.A. Bolin, R. Zuerner, C.L. Baldwin, Protective killed Leptospira borgpetersenii vaccine induces potent Th1 immunity comprising responses by CD4 and gammadelta T lymphocytes, Infect Immun 69(12) (2001) 7550-8.
- B.M. Naiman, S. Blumerman, D. Alt, C.A. Bolin, R. Brown, R. Zuerner, C.L. Baldwin, Evaluation of type 1 immune response in naive and vaccinated animals following challenge with Leptospira borgpetersenii serovar Hardjo: involvement of WC1(+) gammadelta and CD4 T cells, Infect Immun 70(11) (2002) 6147-57.
- B.T. Backstrom, E. Milia, A. Peter, B. Jaureguiberry, C.T. Baldari, E. Palmer, A motif within the T cell receptor alpha chain constant region connecting peptide domain controls antigen responsiveness, Immunity 5(5) (1996) 437-47.
- . Chen, H. Hsu, E. Hudgens, J.C. Telfer, C.L. Baldwin, Signal transduction by different forms of the gammadelta T cell-specific pattern recognition receptor WC1, J Immunol 193(1) (2014) 379-90.

- C. Herzig, S. Blumerman, M.-P. Lefranc, C. Baldwin, Bovine T cell receptor gamma variable and constant genes: combinatorial usage by circulating gammadelta T cells, Immunogenetics 58(2-3) (2006) 138-51.
- C.L. Baldwin, T. Sathiyaseelan, B. Naiman, A.M. White, R. Brown, S. Blumerman, A. Rogers, S.J. Black, Activation of bovine peripheral blood gammadelta T cells for cell division and IFNgamma production, Vet Immunol Immunopathol 87(3-4) (2002) 251-9.
- C.R. Mackay, W.R. Hein, A large proportion of bovine T cells express the gamma delta T cell receptor and show a distinct tissue distribution and surface phenotype, Int Immunol 1(5) (1989) 540-5.
- C.R. Willcox, P. Vantourout, M. Salim, I. Zlatareva, D. Melandri, L. Zanardo, R. George, S. Kjaer, M. Jeeves, F. Mohammed, A.C. Hayday, B.E. Willcox, Butyrophilin-like 3 Directly Binds a Human Vgamma4(+) T Cell Receptor Using a Modality Distinct from Clonally-Restricted Antigen, Immunity 51(5) (2019) 813-825 e4.
- C.T. Herzig, M.P. Lefranc, C.L. Baldwin, Annotation and classification of the bovine T cell receptor delta genes, BMC Genomics 11 (2010) 100.
- C.T. Herzig, V.L. Mailloux, C.L. Baldwin, Spectratype analysis of the T cell receptor delta CDR3 region of bovine gammadelta T cells responding to leptospira, Immunogenetics 67(2) (2015) 95-109.
- D. Vermijlen, D. Gatti, A. Kouzeli, T. Rus, M. Eberl, gammadelta T cell responses: How many ligands will it take till we know?, Semin Cell Dev Biol 84 (2018) 75-86.
- D.M. Bickhart, B.D. Rosen, S. Koren, B.L. Sayre, A.R. Hastie, S. Chan, J. Lee, E.T. Lam, I. Liachko, S.T. Sullivan, J.N. Burton, H.J. Huson, J.C. Nystrom, C.M. Kelley, J.L. Hutchison, Y. Zhou, J. Sun, A. Crisa, F.A. Ponce de Leon, J.C. Schwartz, J.A. Hammond, G.C. Waldbieser, S.G. Schroeder, G.E. Liu, M.J. Dunham, J. Shendure, T.S. Sonstegard, A.M. Phillippy, C.P. Van Tassell, T.P. Smith, Single-molecule sequencing and chromatin conformation capture enable de novo reference assembly of the domestic goat genome, Nat Genet 49(4) (2017) 643-650.
- D.M. Bickhart, Rosen, B.D., Koren, S., Sayre, B.L., Hastie, A.R., Chan, S., Lee, J., Lam, E.T., Liachko, I., Sullivan, S.T. and Burton, J.N Single-molecule sequencing and chromatin conformation capture enable de novo reference assembly of the domestic goat genome, Nature genetics 49(4) (2017) 643-650.
- E.A. Washington, W.G. Kimpton, R.N. Cahill, Changes in the distribution of alpha beta and gamma delta T cells in blood and in lymph nodes from fetal and postnatal lambs, Dev Comp Immunol 16(6) (1992) 493-501.
- E.J. Adams, Y.H. Chien, K.C. Garcia, Structure of a gammadelta T cell receptor in complex with the nonclassical MHC T22, Science 308(5719) (2005) 227-31.
- F. Wang, C.T. Herzig, C. Chen, H. Hsu, C.L. Baldwin, J.C. Telfer, Scavenger receptor WC1 contributes to the gammadelta T cell response to Leptospira, Mol Immunol 48(6-7) (2011) 801-9.
- G. Vaccarelli, M.C. Miccoli, C. Lanave, S. Massari, E.P. Cribiu, S. Ciccarese, Genomic organization of the sheep TRG1@ locus and comparative analyses of Bovidae and human variable genes, Gene 357(2) (2005) 103-14.
- G. Vaccarelli, M.C. Miccoli, R. Antonacci, G. Pesole, S. Ciccarese, Genomic organization and recombinational unit duplication-driven evolution of ovine and bovine T cell receptor gamma loci, BMC Genomics 9 (2008) 81.
- H. Chen, S. Kshirsagar, I. Jensen, K. Lau, R. Covarrubias, S.F. Schluter, J.J. Marchalonis, Characterization of arrangement and expression of the T cell receptor gamma locus in the sandbar shark, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106(21) (2009) 8591-6.

- H. Hsu, C. Chen, A. Nenninger, L. Holz, C.L. Baldwin, J.C. Telfer, WC1 is a hybrid gammadelta TCR coreceptor and pattern recognition receptor for pathogenic bacteria, J Immunol 194(5) (2015) 2280-8.
- H. Uenishi, T. Eguchi-Ogawa, D. Toki, T. Morozumi, M. Tanaka-Matsuda, H. Shinkai, R.
 Yamamoto, Y. Takagaki, Genomic sequence encoding diversity segments of the pig TCR delta chain gene demonstrates productivity of highly diversified repertoire, Mol Immunol 46(6) (2009) 1212-21.
- H.H. Takamatsu, M.S. Denyer, C. Stirling, S. Cox, N. Aggarwal, P. Dash, T.E. Wileman, P.V.
 Barnett, Porcine gammadelta T cells: possible roles on the innate and adaptive immune responses following virus infection, Vet Immunol Immunopathol 112(1-2) (2006) 49-61.
- I. Van Rhijn, R. Spiering, M. Smits, M.T. van Blokland, R. de Weger, W. van Eden, V.P. Rutten, A.P. Koets, Highly diverse TCR delta chain repertoire in bovine tissues due to the use of up to four D segments per delta chain, Mol Immunol 44(12) (2007) 3155-61.
- I.Z. Mamedov, O.V. Britanova, I.V. Zvyagin, M.A. Turchaninova, D.A. Bolotin, E.V. Putintseva, Y.B. Lebedev, D.M. Chudakov, Preparing unbiased T-cell receptor and antibody cDNA libraries for the deep next generation sequencing profiling, Front Immunol 4 (2013) 456.
- J.C. Schwartz, N.D. Sanderson, D.M. Bickhart, T.P.L. Smith, J.A. Hammond, The Structure, Evolution, and Gene Expression Within the Caprine Leukocyte Receptor Complex, Front Immunol 10 (2019) 2302.
- J.C. Schwartz, R.L. Philp, D.M. Bickhart, T.P.L. Smith, J.A. Hammond, The antibody loci of the domestic goat (Capra hircus), Immunogenetics 70(5) (2018) 317-326.
- J.L. McGill, R.E. Sacco, C.L. Baldwin, J.C. Telfer, M.V. Palmer, W.R. Waters, Specific recognition of mycobacterial protein and peptide antigens by gammadelta T cell subsets following infection with virulent Mycobacterium bovis, J Immunol 192(6) (2014) 2756-69.
- J.T. Sowder, C.L. Chen, L.L. Ager, M.M. Chan, M.D. Cooper, A large subpopulation of avian T cells express a homologue of the mammalian T gamma/delta receptor, J Exp Med 167(2) (1988) 315-22.
- K.K. Lahmers, J. Norimine, M.S. Abrahamsen, G.H. Palmer, W.C. Brown, The CD4+ T cell immunodominant Anaplasma marginale major surface protein 2 stimulates gammadelta T cell clones that express unique T cell receptors, J Leukoc Biol 77(2) (2005) 199-208.
- M. Gellert, V(D)J recombination: RAG proteins, repair factors, and regulation, Annu Rev Biochem 71 (2002) 101-32.
- M.C. Miccoli, R. Antonacci, G. Vaccarelli, C. Lanave, S. Massari, E.P. Cribiu, S. Ciccarese, Evolution of TRG clusters in cattle and sheep genomes as drawn from the structural analysis of the ovine TRG2@ locus, J Mol Evol 57(1) (2003) 52-62.
- M.D. Hanby-Flarida, O.J. Trask, T.J. Yang, C.L. Baldwin, Modulation of WC1, a lineage-specific cell surface molecule of gamma/delta T cells augments cellular proliferation, Immunology 88(1) (1996) 116-23.
- M.L. Conrad, M.A. Mawer, M.P. Lefranc, L. McKinnell, J. Whitehead, S.K. Davis, R. Pettman, B.F. Koop, The genomic sequence of the bovine T cell receptor gamma TRG loci and localization of the TRGC5 cassette, Vet Immunol Immunopathol 115(3-4) (2007) 346-56.
- M.S. Campbell, Holt, C., Moore, B. and Yandell, M., Genome annotation and curation using MAKER and MAKER-P, Current protocols in bioinformatics 48(1) (2014) 4-11.
- M.S. Krangel, Mechanics of T cell receptor gene rearrangement, Curr Opin Immunol 21(2) (2009) 133-9.
- N. Ishiguro, Y. Aida, T. Shinagawa, M. Shinagawa, Molecular structures of cattle T-cell receptor gamma and delta chains predominantly expressed on peripheral blood lymphocytes, Immunogenetics 38(6) (1993) 437-43.

- P. Damani-Yokota, A. Gillespie, Y. Pasman, D. Merico, T.K. Connelley, A. Kaushik, C.L. Baldwin, Bovine T cell receptors and gammadelta WC1 co-receptor transcriptome analysis during the first month of life, Dev Comp Immunol 88 (2018) 190-199.
- R. Antonacci, C. Lanave, L. Del Faro, G. Vaccarelli, S. Ciccarese, S. Massari, Artiodactyl emergence is accompanied by the birth of an extensive pool of diverse germline TRDV1 genes, Immunogenetics 57(3-4) (2005) 254-66.
- R. Antonacci, G. Linguiti, P.A. Burger, V. Castelli, A. Pala, R. Fitak, S. Massari, S. Ciccarese, Comprehensive genomic analysis of the dromedary T cell receptor gamma (TRG) locus and identification of a functional TRGC5 cassette, Dev Comp Immunol 106 (2020) 103614.
- R. Antonacci, G. Vaccarelli, G.P. Di Meo, B. Piccinni, M.C. Miccoli, E.P. Cribiu, A. Perucatti, L. Iannuzzi, S. Ciccarese, Molecular in situ hybridization analysis of sheep and goat BAC clones identifies the transcriptional orientation of T cell receptor gamma genes on chromosome 4 in bovids, Vet Res Commun 31(8) (2007) 977-83.
- R. Antonacci, S. Massari, G. Linguiti, A. Caputi Jambrenghi, F. Giannico, M.P. Lefranc, S. Ciccarese, Evolution of the T-Cell Receptor (TR) Loci in the Adaptive Immune Response: The Tale of the TRG Locus in Mammals, Genes (Basel) 11(6) (2020).
- S. Meraviglia, S. El Daker, F. Dieli, F. Martini, A. Martino, gammadelta T cells cross-link innate and adaptive immunity in Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection, Clin Dev Immunol 2011 (2011) 587315.
- S.E. Lewis, S.M. Searle, N. Harris, M. Gibson, V. Lyer, J. Richter, C. Wiel, L. Bayraktaroglu, E. Birney, M.A. Crosby, J.S. Kaminker, B.B. Matthews, S.E. Prochnik, C.D. Smithy, J.L. Tupy, G.M. Rubin, S. Misra, C.J. Mungall, M.E. Clamp, Apollo: a sequence annotation editor, Genome Biol 3(12) (2002) RESEARCH0082.
- S.L. Blumerman, C.T. Herzig, A.N. Rogers, J.C. Telfer, C.L. Baldwin, Differential TCR gene usage between WC1- and WC1+ ruminant gammadelta T cell subpopulations including those responding to bacterial antigen, Immunogenetics 58(8) (2006) 680-92.
- S.L. Blumerman, C.T. Herzig, C.L. Baldwin, WC1+ gammadelta T cell memory population is induced by killed bacterial vaccine, Eur J
- T.K. Connelley, K. Degnan, C.W. Longhi, W.I. Morrison, Genomic analysis offers insights into the evolution of the bovine TRA/TRD locus, BMC Genomics 15 (2014) 994.
- W.C. Davis, J. Naessens, W.C. Brown, J.A. Ellis, M.J. Hamilton, G.H. Cantor, J.I. Barbosa, W.
 Ferens, G.A. Bohach, Analysis of monoclonal antibodies reactive with molecules upregulated or expressed only on activated lymphocytes, Vet Immunol Immunopathol 52(4) (1996) 301-11.
- W.R. Hein, C.R. Mackay, Prominence of gamma delta T cells in the ruminant immune system, Immunol Today 12(1) (1991) 30-4.
- Y.G. Yang, S. Ohta, S. Yamada, M. Shimizu, Y. Takagaki, Diversity of T cell receptor delta-chain cDNA in the thymus of a one-month-old pig, J Immunol 155(4) (1995) 1981-93.
- Z. Wei, M.R. Lieber, Lymphoid V(D)J recombination. Functional analysis of the spacer sequence within the recombination signal, J Biol Chem 268(5) (1993) 3180-3.
- Z. Yang, Y. Sun, Y. Ma, Z. Li, Y. Zhao, L. Ren, H. Han, Y. Jiang, Y. Zhao, A comprehensive analysis of the germline and expressed TCR repertoire in White Peking duck, Sci Rep 7 (2017) 41426.
- Z.E. Parra, M.L. Baker, J. Hathaway, A.M. Lopez, J. Trujillo, A. Sharp, R.D. Miller, Comparative genomic analysis and evolution of the T cell receptor loci in the opossum Monodelphis domestica, BMC Genomics 9 (2008) 111.

5 CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE STUDIES

WC1 molecules are important as both co-receptors and PRR for host immune responses (Hsu, 2013; Hsu et al., 2015b; Telfer and Baldwin, 2015). In this study the caprine WC1 gene numbers, gene structures, cDNA evidence and ICD splice variants were defined as was WC1 gene sequence conservation among goat breeds as well as with cattle (Chen, 2012). Our results indicated that goat WC1 molecules are coded by a multigenic family as in cattle (Herzig and Baldwin, 2009) in line with our hypothesis. We identified 17 complete WC1 genes as well as 13 partial WC1 genes. The complete goat WC1 genes have 191 SRCR domains which is more than cattle (138 SRCR domains) (Herzig and Baldwin, 2009). In general the gene number results suggest that goats have approximately 30 WC1 genes from genome annotation combined with PCR of cDNA and genomic DNA. This gene number is more than twice that of cattle and thus a larger variety for pathogen binding. Goats have 4 unique exon-intron structures in addition to the three classical structures of WC1 genes in cattle. We provided cDNA evidence for 12 of the annotated San Clemente WC1 genes and all of them suggested the correctness of the genome assembly as did the Yunnan annotated WC1 genes as far as it went. Both the annotated San Clemente partial WC1 genes as well as the obtained additional SRCR domain transcript sequences for orphan SRCR a1 domains suggests the incompleteness of the genome assembly. We found the SRCR al domains are highly conserved among goat breeds like those among cattle breeds (Chen et al., 2009a). This WC1 conservation may suggest that most goat infectious diseases potentially threaten all breeds of goats except their variations in degree of severity and thus these are conserved through evolution like Toll-like receptors are (Rolls et al., 2007). When comparing bovine and

caprine WC1 a1 domains there was less conservation. However six bovine a1 domains (BtWC1-10, BtWC1-11, BtWC1-12, BtWC1-4, BtWC1-7, BtWC1-9) clustered more closely between the species. These similarities and differences may be due to the shared pathogens affecting both cattle and goats and unique pathogens affecting only goats. For example, the bovine WC1 a1 domain that binds *Mycobacteria paratuberculosis* (J. Telfer, unpublished data) differs by only 5.5% (17/310 nucleotide sequences) with SCgoatWC1-8. Both species may be infected with *M. paratuberculosis* (Baldwin et al., 2019c). Finally we found that goats have splice variants of ICDs unlike cattle perhaps suggesting a difference in signaling initiation or sustainability following responses to some pathogens. In summary this work showed goat WC1 genes have unique features relative to cattle and this finding may impact research on next generation vaccines designed to stimulate subpopulations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells through WC1 augmenting the TCR.

We defined $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations including their representation in goats of various ages since this information will be important for understanding the functions and roles of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cells in responses to infectious pathogens and potentially targeting them for activation through vaccination. The proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the PBMC were measured in goats ≥ 6 months of age using flow cytometry and a panel of mAbs. In sheep and cattle the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells decreases as the animal age from birth to adulthood. Our studies with goats agreed with Jolly *et al*, 1997, Caro *et al.*, 2001, Kaba *et al.*, 2011^b and Wilkerson *et al.*, 1995 showing that the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells did not change with age when animals were greater than 6 months even though the $\gamma\delta$ T cell proportion in the PBMC in our study was generally higher than in previous studies since we used GB21A mAb that reacts with the T cell receptor delta constant (TRDC) chain

(Machugh et al., 1997) and thus stains all $\gamma\delta$ T cells while mAb 86D sees one of the γ TCR chains. We suspect it is reacting with one of the TCR V γ chains based on its distribution among both WC1⁺ and WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells. While our results do not show a decrease after 6 months, Caro *et al.*, 1998 showed a decrease of the $\gamma\delta$ T cells occurs between one week and 7 months of age. While the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in goats in our study was less than that of CD4 and CD8 T cells it was not considerably so. And while the proportion of WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in PBMC was less than that of the total $\gamma\delta$ T cells, after 6 months the proportion of neither $\gamma\delta$ T cells nor the WC1 ⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells changed. Goats seem to have more $WC1^+/WC1.1^-/WC1.2^-$ cells than cattle since goats have potentially twice as many genes as cattle, and thus the potential for additional WC1 subpopulations is logical. The $\gamma\delta$ T cells that showed responses by IL-17 and IF γ production in *ex vivo* cultures were largely or entirely WC1^{$-\gamma\delta$} T cells. In the bacteria-stimulated cultures of several days, the WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells responded to *M. bovis* BCG, *Leptospira* and MAP antigens by producing IL-17 although no IFNy production was detected. For another animal the WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells made IL-17 to *Leptospira*. Proliferation assays showed that innate responses to MAP could be cross-reactive to other *M. avium* species or are truly innate responses without any priming of the $\gamma\delta$ T cells since our goats are MAP negative. The response to MAP by WC1⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells is consistent with responses found in cattle although some WC1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells are found in granulomas in gut tissue of infected cattle (Plattner et al., 2009). The response by WC1⁺ goat cells to *Leptospira* corresponds with observations in cattle (Blumerman et al., 2007). It was interesting that there was no cell division by $\gamma\delta$ T cells to pathogen antigens when PBMC were from the two kids. It is

possible that the responses we are measuring in our in vitro cultures reflect memory responses by the $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the adult goats, perhaps due to cross-reactive antigens, and that the kids simply have not yet had exposure.

We have characterized the goat TRG and TRD loci and compared them to the loci of other ruminants. Based on our comparisons with the bovine loci share an astounding amount of similarities in gene organization and sequences. In cattle, we have shown that $\gamma\delta$ T cells that express the co-receptor WC1 have a restricted TCR γ chain implying that this is important for WC1+ cell function. Since Bovine $\gamma\delta$ T cells that express WC1 only use TCR genes within the TRGC5 cassette (Blumerman et al., 2006). We have shown that goats have similar organization of this cassette and it also has been shown that sheep do as well (Vaccarelli et al., 2005). We hypothesize that the TCR chain expressing the TRGC5 gene physically is interacting with WC1 to augment cell signaling in response to pathogens since they need to be co-ligated in order for there to be augmented signaling. It has been shown with more than one species that artiodactyls have expanded TRDV1 repertoires having undergone extensive duplication events (Antonacci et al., 2005; Yang et al., 1995). Although goats were found to have fewer TRDV1 genes than cattle, and sheep. When put caprine and ovine TRDV genes into a neighbor joining tree, all clustered into 10 and 5 groups respectively (Antonacci et al., 2005; Piccinni et al., 2015). Goat only one less than bovine TRDV1 genes. In addition to this there is the possibility of more TRDV1 genes being located upstream or incorporated within the TRA locus. Since we used bovine genes as a query this may have biased us against finding any unique TRDV genes in goat. Moreover the γ locus TRGC1 was found in the reverse orientation which is different from what has been shown in both cattle and sheep (Conrad

et al., 2007). Additional nonfunctional C genes, TRGC7 and TRGC8, were found in goat that do not have sheep equivalent but TRGC7 has been found in bovine to be a pseudogene (IMGT) and aligns accordingly with the goat TRGC7 (data not shown). Based on the location and homology to surrounding C genes we assume that these pseudogenes are duplications of functional C genes. Some of the goat TRGJ, TRDD and TRDJ genes had homologues in the bovine genes but also had a few unique sequences. Goats have more TRDJ and TRDD genes which could add CDR3 diversity especially since there are fewer TRDV1s.

In the future more extensive studies of caprine $\gamma\delta$ T cell will be needed:

- To evaluate the role of the various γδ T cell subpopulations to various important livestock pathogens including viral, bacterial and parasitic worms since knowing this information will be important for understanding how to engage these cells in vaccine designs. In this regard some of the activities to be conducted are:
 - 1.1 Additional proliferation and cytokine production assay needed for many globally important caprine diseases by obtaining pathogens from OIE reference laboratories and / or vaccine institutes since in this study we included fewer of the pathogens..
 - 1.2 Focusing on goat unique WC1 genes are important
 - 1.2.1 To obtain gene transcript for SCg-WC1-5, SCg-WC1-13, SCg-WC1-15 and SCg-WC1-16.
 - 1.2.2 Conducting gene expression assay to many small ruminants pathogens after obtaining the unique goat WC1 gene transcripts
- 1.3 Detailed study on $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations response profiles soon before and after challenging with important pathogens in order to generate important information for next generation vaccines.
- 1.4 Detailed study on $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations response profiles soon before and after vaccinated to protect with important pathogens in order to know the contribution of $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulations on the current vaccines
- 2. To evaluate the intracytoplasmic tail splice variant functions since we know in the bovine ICD's tyrosine and serine phosphorylation are essential for signaling the cell for activation and endocytosis of WC1 molecules perhaps to limit activation (Herzig and Baldwin, 2009; Wang et al., 2009). The bovine ICDs tyrosine kinase phosphorylation motif that can induce signaling differ in their locations in Type I and II ICDs compared to Type III ICDs but in goats we do not know which tyrosine are functional and get phosphorylated

Bibliography

- Antonacci, R., Lanave, C., Del Faro, L., Vaccarelli, G., Ciccarese, S., Massari, S., 2005. Artiodactyl emergence is accompanied by the birth of an extensive pool of diverse germline TRDV1 genes. Immunogenetics 57(3-4), 254-266.
- Blumerman, S.L., Herzig, C.T., Baldwin, C.L., 2007. WC1+ gammadelta T cell memory population is induced by killed bacterial vaccine. Eur J Immunol 37, 1204-1216.
- Chen, C., Herzig, C.T., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2009. Antigenic basis of diversity in the gammadelta T cell co-receptor WC1 family. Mol. Immunol 46, 2565–2575.
- Chen, C., Herzig, C.T., Alexander, L.J., Keele, J.W., McDaneld, T.G., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2012. Gene number determination and genetic polymorphism of the gamma delta T cell co-receptor WC1 genes. BMC Genet 13, 86.
- Caro, M. R., Gallego, M. C., Buendia, A. J., Del Rio, L., Seva, J., Navarro, J. A., 2001. Differences in lymphocyte subpopulations from peripheral blood and lymphoid organs in natural caprine tuberculosis infection. Journal of Veterinary Medicine, Series B, 48(2), 81-88.
- Conrad, M. L., Mawer, M. A., Lefranc, M. P., McKinnell, L., Whitehead, J., Davis, S. K., & Koop, B. F. (2007). The genomic sequence of the bovine T cell receptor gamma TRG loci and localization of the TRGC5 cassette. *Veterinary immunology and immunopathology*, *115*(3-4), 346-356.
- Herzig, C., Blumerman, S., Lefranc, M. P., & Baldwin, C., 2006. Bovine T cell receptor gamma variable and constant genes: combinatorial usage by circulating γδ T cells. Immunogenetics 58(2-3), 138-151.
- Herzig, C.T., Baldwin, C.L., 2009. Genomic organization and classification of the bovine WC1 genes and expression by peripheral blood gamma delta T cells. BMC Genomics 10, 191
- Hsu, H., Chen, C., Nenninger, A., Holz, L., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2015b. WC1 is a hybrid gammadelta TCR coreceptor and pattern recognition receptor for pathogenic bacteria. J Immunol 194, 2280-2288.
- Jolly, P.E., Gangopadhyay, A., Chen, S., Reddy, P.G., Weiss, H.L., Sapp, W.J., 1997. Changes in the leukocyte phenotype profile of goats infected with the caprine arthritis encephalitis virus. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 56, 97-106.
- Kaba, J., Winnicka, A., Zaleska, M., Nowicki, M., Bagnicka, E., 2011. Influence of chronic caprine arthritis-encephalitis virus infection on the population of peripheral blood leukocytes. Pol J Vet Sci 14, 585-590.
- Machugh, N.D., Mburu, J.K., Carol, M.J., Wyatt, C.R., Orden, J.A., Davis, W.C., 1997. Identification of two distinct subsets of bovine gamma delta T cells with unique cell surface phenotype and tissue distribution. Immunology 92, 340-345.
- Piccinni, B., Massari, S., Jambrenghi, A. C., Giannico, F., Lefranc, M. P., Ciccarese, S., & Antonacci, R. (2015). Sheep (Ovis aries) T cell receptor alpha (TRA) and delta (TRD) genes and genomic organization of the TRA/TRD locus. *BMC genomics*, 16(1), 709.
- Plattner, B.L., Doyle, R.T., Hostetter, J.M., 2009. Gamma-delta T cell subsets are differentially associated with granuloma development and organization in a bovine model of mycobacterial disease. Int J Exp Pathol 90, 587-597.

- Rogers, A. N., VanBuren, D. G., Hedblom, E. E., Tilahun, M. E., Telfer, J. C., Baldwin, C. L., 2005. γδ T cell function varies with the expressed WC1 coreceptor. The Journal of Immunology 174(6), 3386-3393.
- Rolls A., Shechter R., London A., Ziv Y., Ronen A., Levy R., Schwartz M., 2007.Tolllike receptors modulate adult hippocampal neurogenesis. Nat Cell Biol 9(9), 1081-8.
- Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2015. Bovine gamma delta T cells and the function of gamma delta T cell specific WC1 co-receptors. Cell Immunol 296, 76-86.
- Vaccarelli, G., Miccoli, M. C., Lanave, C., Massari, S., Cribiu, E. P., & Ciccarese, S. (2005). Genomic organization of the sheep TRG1@ locus and comparative analyses of Bovidae and human variable genes. *Gene*, *357*(2), 103-114.
- Wilkerson, M.J., Davis, W.C., Baszler, T.V., Cheevers, W.P., 1995a. Immunopathology of chronic lentivirus-induced arthritis. Am. J. Pathol 146 (6), 1433–1443.
- Wilkerson, M.J., Davis, W.C., Cheevers, W.P., 1995b. Peripheral blood and synovial fluid mononuclear cell phenotypes in lentivirus induced arthritis. J. Rheumatol 22, 8–15.
- Yang, Y.G., Ohta, S., Yamada, S., Shimizu, M., Takagaki, Y., 1995. Diversity of T cell receptor delta-chain cDNA in the thymus of a one-month-old pig. J. Immunol. 155, 1981–1993

APPENDICES



A. Supplemental figure 2.1 Goat WC1 gene number estimation using Venn diagram summary.

All the 81 unique a1 domains and the single d1 domain sequences were grouped as found in each breed with corresponding names in the compartments within this figure. Yunnan (YN), San Clemente (SC) and Boer (BR) goats. The relationships of a1 domains among goat breeds was made using sequence alignment and phylogenetic trees as shown.













B. Supplemental figure 2.2 Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of the complete WC1 cDNA sequences.

(A) Agarose gels of the amplicons obtained by RT-PCR for WC1 transcripts. Significant bands are indicated with an asterisk on the left-hand gel: lane 1, size markers; lane 2, ICD Type I/II, 5800 bp (faint band) and 4400 bp; lane 4, ICD Type III, 2900 bp and 2200 bp; right-hand gel: lane 1, size markers; lane 2, ICD Type I/II, 4400 bp and 2700 bp. Fulllength deduced amino acid sequences of the annotated WC1 genes from the San Clemente assembly and WC1 transcript sequences from Boer goat DNA were aligned. Identities are indicated by dots (.), gaps resulting from the alignment are indicated by tildes (~), gaps resulting from lack of cDNA are indicated by dashes (-) and the N nucleotide sequences show as "x" when converted to deduced amino acids. Sequences shown are (B) SCgoatWC1-1-GA vs BRgoatWC1-1-cDNA sequences, (C) SCgoatWC1-9-GA vs BRgoatWC1-9-cDNA sequences, (D) SCgoatWC1-23-GA vs BRgoatWC1-23cDNA sequences, (E) SCgoatWC1-22-GA vs BRgoatWC1-22-cDNA sequences, (F) SCgoatWC1-13-GA vs BRgoatWC1-13-cDNA sequences, (G) SCgoatWC1-4-GA vs BRgoatWC1-4-cDNA sequences, (H) SCgoatWC1-15-GA vs BRgoatWC1-15-cDNA sequences, and (I) SCgoatWC1-2-GA vs BRgoatWC1-2-cDNA sequences.



C. Supplemental figure 3.1 PCV relative to percentage $\gamma\delta$ T cells.

PCV was determined for all goats by standard methods. The blood was collected two times and each sample was tested in duplicate and the result expressed here as the mean of the four readings. The percentage of total $\gamma\delta$ T cells was established with α - δ TCR mAb by immunofluorescence. Pearson Correlation Coefficient showed weak correlation that was not significant [R 0.049), R² (0.002), *p*=0.086].

10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 60 80 90 cTRGV10 DLPITQRITSITREGGNAPLECQIRIDKLKGGVYMEWYRQKPEQPLKRILYISSNEWVIHEQGISEERYEARMPSNALVSLRIH*ATEEEAGLYYCACHLGX 30 40 50 20 60 70 80 90 10 100 cTR377 QLTLEQPELSVTGTREKSIINTCKVPSKDFSKDYIHWYRQKFDQGLEQLLYVSTAPAQNHLGGKONKLEARKDAPSSTSTLKISPLEKEDEATYYCAGNL 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 STREPORTVHISCKLSGVPLENAIVHWYQEKEGEPLERILYGSANSYKLDKPNSRLEMDNKKNGIFYLVINNVVKSDEATYYCACNDX cTRGV4 bTRGV4 100 90 ուղուղուղուղուղուղուղուղուղուղուրուրուրուղուղուղուղուղուհովուն cTRUV3-1 LSKVEQAQISLSTEVKKSIDIBCKTESTNPESETVYNYWQKRWQALEELVYVISTTTAASWQVDGKNKIEASKDAFWPTSTLTVNPVEKEDVGIYCCAGNDXD.....Y....cTRGV3-2

В

А

| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 |
|--------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|
| | | | | ++++ | | mailing | ++++ | | |
| cTRGC5 | DRRLDGDLFPRPTI | PPSVEEVKR | ISAGTELCLL | NFFFDAIRV | OWNERSMONTI | LESBOGNIIK | INDTYMETSW | TLTRKAMERZ | SACIA |
| bTRGC5 | | L | G | I | V | Y | | D | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 | | |
| | | | | | Innhim | 1 | l | | |
| cTROC5 | KHENNROGRDQEIL | SPVNREVATI | ACMERESDE | LQLQPASTSA | YYTYLLLLLR | SMIYFSIIAP | CVFWRTGIFS | WGRIF | |
| bTRGC5 | Q | | | N | | | |) | |



D. Supplemental figure 4.1. Deduced amino acid alignment of TRGC5 cassette's genes.
(A, B) Deduced amino acid sequence alignment of genes in the bovine and caprine
TRGC5 cassette using ClustalW. Dashes (-) are gaps introduced for preferred alignment.
Periods (.) represent the same nucleotide as shown for the first sequence. (C) Location of
the caprine and bovine TRG genes at two loci on chromosome 4 (substantial gap
indicated as a double slash). The caprine TRG1@ locus is inverted. Although the
structures are similar between the species it is notable that there are several duplication or
deletion events including the addition of the C8 cassette in caprine TRG2@.



E. Supplemental figure 4.2 Phylogenetic Tree of Goat TRDV and all Bovine TRDV genes.

TRDV genes were lined up with ClustalW using default parameters and displayed in a neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree. 'Cap' before a gene name indicates a caprine TCR sequence and nothing before indicates a bovine TRDV gene. Bovine sequences follow naming conventions from previous annotations. Lines indicate 11 subclades of bovine TRDV1 genes. The star indicates where bovine TRDV genes did not cluster as expected.

Α

| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|----------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| bTRGV1 | SSNAKGAQMSVTG | T-WETASFTCD | LTQD-AT | AIHTAKOOECW | APORLFYYDV | YYTKIQFESO | TKKEKYSVYK | -VTGRSYRFA | ILNLEDSDS | MYYCAVWDX~ |
| CTRGV1 | | · ·~~ · · · T · · · · | F~VK~~~~ | | R | SE | VN.A | GAA | · · · · · H · · · | .T~ |
| bTRGV2 | TE.EKI.K/ | A.GSGSSVEII | .ITQTLK~~~ | WT | RLI | S.S.VVL | VSEGK | ~E~~KT | QE | A.E.~ |
| cTRGV2 | ME.EKI.K/ | AVPGAFVEII | . ITQTVX ~~~~ | WYT | RLI | S.SVL | ISEGK | ~E~~KT | .sQE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| bTRGV3-1 | L.KVEQI.LSTI | W KKSINIR. K | IESTNFES-E | TVYW.R.KKDQ | LEH.V.VTS | TT. AARNQVI | G.~N.IEAR. | DARMFTSTLT | VNFI.KE.V | .IG~ |
| cTRGV3-1 | L.KVEQI.LSTI | VKKSIDIH.K | TESTNFES-E | TVYW.W.KRNQ | LEH.V.VIS | TT. AARNQVI | G.~N.IEAR. | DARMFTSTLT | VNFV.KE.V | .I.CG~ |
| bTRGV3-2 | L.KVEQI.LSTI | A KKSIDIH. N | IESTNFES-D | TV.W.R.KLNQ | VLEH.V.VTS | IT. AARNQVI | G.~N.IEAR. | DARMFTSTLT | VNFI.KE.V | .IG~ |
| cTRGV3-2 | L.KVEQI.LSTR | V~~KKSIDIH.N | IESTNFES-E | TVYW.R.KRNQ | LEH.V.VIS | TT. AARNQVI | G.~N.IEAR. | DARMFTSTLT | VNFV.KD.V | .IG.~~~ |
| bTRGV4 | ~~~~~. TRRJ | D-~~R.VHIS.M | .SGVPLEN~A | IM.W.QEK E | PLK.IL.GSA | NSYKLDK- | -PNSRLEIDN | KKNGIFYLI | .N.VVKE | AT |
| cTRGV4 | ~~~~~. TRRI | DR.VHIS.M | .SGVPLEN-A | IV.W.QEKE | PLK.IL.GSA | NSYKLDK- | PNSRLEMDN | KKNGIFYLV | .N.VVKE | ATC~ |
| bTRGV5-1 | ~~L.LI.S. | .~~GP | G~~~~ | | RLS | .NS.AVL | ISGTH | ~GT | QA | .II~ |
| oTRGV5-1 | L.LI.S. | .~~GP | RR~~~~ | HE | RLHS | .NS.PVL | ISGTH | ~GT | .QQA | ·II~ |
| bTRGV5-2 | ~~~L.LI.S? | I.~~GP | G~~~~ | HE | RLS | .NS.AVL | ISGTH | ~GT.T | .vQA | .IIN~ |
| cTRGV5-2 | L.LI.S. | .~~GP | G~~~~ | HE | RLS | .NS.PVL | ISGAH | ~GT | QA | .II~ |
| cTRGV5-3 | ~~L.LI.S. | .~~GP | G~~~~ | HE | RLS | .NS.PVL | ISGTH | ~GMT.T | .vQA | .II~ |
| bTRGV6-1 | EIRVFORSVM.GH/ | G-~~GAITLP.C | ISRS-VD-~~ | .V.WFR.LQ | E.L.LAL | SKRDV.WD.V | LRGD . VNAAR | GAD.KTMS | LRK.AKE | .LT.GS |
| CTRGV6 | EIRLSQRSVM.GS/ | G~~~GAMTMP.C | VSRS-VN-~~ | .V.WFR.LQ | E.L.LAL | SKRDV.WD.V | LGGD.V.AAR | GGD.K.CTMS | LRK.AKE | .LA~ |
| bTRGV6-2 | EIRVFORSVM.GH/ | G-~~GALTMP.C | ISKS-VD-~~ | .V.WFR.LQ | E.L.LAL | SKRDV.WD.V | LRGD . VNAAR | GTD.K.CTMS | LRK.AKE | . L T~~~~ |
| bTRGV8-1 | MEEDKLMRJ | .~~GSSIVID | GS | WFA | V.R L | SNS.AVLD. | ISEG HA | ~GKT.V | .SQE | .TE.~ |
| cTRGV8-1 | MEEDKL R/ | .~~GSSVVID | N~~~~~ | WFT | V.RL | | ISEGH | ~GKT.V | .sQE | .TE.~ |
| bTRGV8-2 | MEEDKL MR/ | .~~GSSVVID | GS~~~~~~ | WFA | V.RL | S.VELD | ISERR | ~G~~~~KIL | .sQE | .TE.~ |
| cTRGV8-2 | MEEDKL R/ | GSSVVID | N~~~~~~ | WFT | V.RL | | ISEG H | -GKT.V | .sQE | .TE.~ |
| bTRGV8-3 | MEEDKL MR/ | . ~~GSSVVIE | GS~~~~~ | WFA | V.GL | S.VVLG. | ISEG H | ~GKT.V | .sQE | .TE.~ |
| bTRGV8-4 | MEEDKL MR/ | ~GSSVVMD | GS~~~~~~ | WF.D.A | V.R. LH.I. | SNS.VVLD. | ISEGH | ~GKT.V | .sQE | .TE.~ |
| bTRGV9-1 | ME.DKI.R | GSS.VIP | . PTQNKQ | WFT | V.RL | S.VVL.P. | ISPGHG.E | D.DKI.T.V | .sQE | .V.RE.~ |
| cTRGV9 | ME.NRI.R/ | IGSS.VIP | . PTONIK | WFT | I.RL | S.S.VVL | ISPGHC.E | G.DKI.K.V | .SS.QE | .V.RE.~ |
| bTRGV9-2 | MEDERI.R | GSS.VIP | . PTONKO | WFT | V.RL | S.S.VVL | ISPGHG.E | G.DKM.K.V | .sQE | .V.RE.~ |
| bTRGV10 | DIPITORIT.I.K. | KGNFLE.C | IKI.KLKKNV | .M.W.R.KPEQ | PLK.VL.ISS | NENVVHEQG1 | SE~.R.EAR. | WPSNSLVSLR | .HQATEE .A | .LC.VE |
| oTRGV10 | DLPITORIT.I.K. | K-GNM. FLE.C | IKI . KLKKNV | .M.W.R.KPEQ | PLK.IL.ISS | NENV . HEQGI | SE~.R.EAR. | WPSNALVSLR | .H*ATEEEA | .LC.LG |
| bTRGV-7 | QLTLVQTEV | REKSIIMS.N | WFSKDFSK-D | W.R.KPDQ | GLEQ. LFVL- | DAPALNDL. | GN. LEAR. | DKPSSTSTLK | .SFKE.E | ATG.LLA |
| cTRGV7 | QLTLEQPEL | REKSIIM | WFSKDFSK-D | W.R.KPDQ | GLEQ.L.VS- | TAPA.NHL. | GN. LEAR. | DAPSSTSTLK | .SFKE.E | ATG.L~~ |

В

| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | €0 | 70 | 80 | 50 | 100 | 110 |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CapTRDV1-1 | AQRVIQD | QPDISOQLOQSVTI | LNCQYA-TSWSY | N | YLFWYKLLP | NGEMIYLISON | SSOQN/ | RNORYSVNIG | KAHKSISLT | SDLQLEDSAE | YFCALWX- |
| CapTRDV1-2 | K.T | .s.v.s.v | R.E=G= | | AYQ | S.Q.TQ.1 | r GYS. | F. | R.DI. | | FC |
| CapTRDV1-3 | K.T | AS.V.E.AA | 4ER | | SYNI Q: | S | sYSP. | .DI.F. | RSL.AI. | .A.K | сEX |
| CapTRDV1-4 | T | S.V.EV | S.R.E IQ | SI | RYNIQ | \$d.d | s | .YL.L. | RSR | BB | сеx |
| CapTRDV1-5 | K | FT.I.EA | 4RCE | \$ | SYNI QP.: | SF.T | | .D.HI.F. | RSCS.F. | .T | C.SFEX |
| CapTRDV1-6 | S.T | LSEV. KT | R.ENM | L | SY.IQ | S.Q.TR.I | DDG | .DF. | | .A.E | V.R |
| CapTRDV1-7 | X.K.T.Y | TS. ARNV. I. | R.ENV | Y | TCWI Q | STP.Y | ADG | .D.HF. | F | .S.KH.G | |
| CapTRDV1-8 | K.T | .s.v.s | R.E | | TYYQ | S.Q.T.V.H.C | QVT. | .KDFK | | .A | ····· |
| CapTRDV1-9 | K | PNRI.E | R.EQ | 51 | RY-I | SF.T | | KD F. IHFD | RVR | | EX |
| CapTRDV1-10 | N.T.N | | E-I.RNV | H | | S | EDR | .D | R | .F.KB | c |
| CapTRDV1-11 | K.T | Y.TS.II | R.E-VG | Y | твв. | STF | eP. | F. | R. RN | .A P 1 | V.EX |
| CapTRDV1-12 | N.T.N | TV. IS. V. KT. | E-I.RNV | H | | S | EDR. | .D | . R | .F.K. H | |
| CapTRDV1-13 | | .s.v.s.v | S.R.E | | Y. Y. O. | S.O.T.V.R.O | EMT . | . KR | . D K. | .A | |
| CapTRDV1-14 | K.T.Y | | | Y | | S T H. Y | EDG . | .D.H | . O.F | .S.K. H.G | |
| CapTRDV1-15 | K.T.F | S. TR.V. TI | D | Y | VYSTY 0 | R.O.TL. H.I | Banna BRG. | A | Y. | A | Y |
| CapTRDV1-16 | 5.7 | ISEV MTT | E | 11 | RY T O | S.O.T | (D.G. | D | | A.E | V BVY |
| CapTEDV1-17 | | FT.T.FA. | BCE- | | SYNT OP | S | | D.R. T.F. | BSIT.S. | 7 | F. FR- |
| CapTROVI-18 | 7 N | TVFT | S D P- VO | | EVEL O | s 0.0 | e | × | VCD P | P 1 | |
| CapTEDV1-10 | | CUPAN | F- 0 | | SAL CONTRACT | S T 0 N | VED | DTP | DCD A T | A | F FY |
| CapTRDV1-20 | | V | P P_ 7- | | V V O | 507 03 | PNC | | D T | c 1 | Y |
| CapTRDV1-20 | | A 6 | D | ~ | | s.¥ | | | p V | | |
| Capitedv1-21 | N | V TC V PT | C P.T DUL | | | c = u v | PDD 1 | | | | |
| CapTRDV1-22 | | PD 1/P | P_U VM | | ver o | B A P B S | EDR. | D 9 | | | |
| CapTRDV1-23 | | e | B C- 7 6 | | 1151 | e | BDO | | | | |
| CapiteDV1-24 | | | D.P. | | ~ ~ ~ | E A # A \$ | PNC 1 | | D 7 | | |
| CapTRDV1-25 | | EVU PO | D. W. D | n | W.W. A.L | S.Q.1Q.1 | 683.1 | 100400 P | DC 1 | | C |
| CapTRDV1-26 | | D DODU P | D. P. O. | R | ever B | e | · | D 7 10 | men e | | PY PY |
| CapTRDV1-27 | K | PPSKV.E | R.EQ | 5 | SISI | S | | D1Ev | RSR | | |
| CapTRDV1-28 | K.T.N | | R.E | | IIVQ | S.Q.TQ.1 | 08S. | E. | R.D | | ···· |
| CapTRDV1-29 | X.K.T | TR.VE | L.E-VIM | 51 | risi | Q.TR.1 | DV0 | | | | |
| CapTRDV1-30 | K.T | | R.E-VRS.G | T | гн | STER.E | sP. | | R.RN | · | V.EX |
| bTRDV1-aq | A | S.V.EV | RERL | 5 | SPRI Q | s | S.K | .DTTDL. | ISR | | V.EX |
| bTRDV1-ae | K.T | SEA.EA | R.E | 5 | SYNTFA | STPG.C | YSL. | D.CI.F. | .SR.A | | CTEX |
| DTRDV1-X | K | .AGS.V.E | R.EQ.N | NILQVVIVIT | SINI F.Q. | S.KF.T | | D.HI.FE | RSR | N | сеx |
| bTRDV1-be | N | T | R.GI | | M | | · | | R | | EX |
| DTRDV1-C | Q.T.V | .TAS.VT | R.E-VTT | D | YY.IQ | RTLR.) | EAG. | | DI | | сеx |
| bTRDV1-r | K.T.Y | TTS.VWNI.I. | R.ENV | Y | IYWIQ | SR T H.) | (ENG. 1 | C.D. HHF. | · · · · · F · · · · · | .P.KH.G | сеx |
| bTRDV1-bb | ·.S.T | LSEV.KA | R.EDM | FI | НΥ.ΙΤQ | S.Q.TR.) | DAG. | F. | | | IV.RAX |
| bTRDV1-aa | K.T | YVTS.II. | R.E-V.L.R | Y | тнү | STFR.E | gP | · · · · · · · · · · · P · | QN | | сv.ех |
| bTRDV1-ba | K.T | .SIS.VII | PG-IG.FT | Y | YYSIYQ | R.Q.TP. H.N | NEHG. | .GYF. | Y | | CG.REX |
| bTRDV1-g | K.T | AT.V | R.E | | YYNQ | S.Q.TQ.1 | EBG. | · · · · · · · · · · · F · | DI. | | c.x |
| bTRDV1-s | K.T | .s.v.s.v | R.EN.D- | ! | vy | S.Q.T.V.R.C | LVT. | KED FR | D | | CEX |
| CapTRDV3-1 | XNVESA. | V. TVYKKE.E | E.KES-V.YT- | | YYMMY ROPS | SM.NIY | OSR01 | r.e | . PNQMLK | .A.T.S] | IREP |
| bTRDVb3 | XSNNVESA. | V. TVEKKE. E | E.KFS-V.YT- | | YYMMY ROPS | SM.NIY | QNRHS | S.EEFY | . PNQMLK | .A.T.S | VREP |
| CapTRDV3-2 | DK.T.A | .TTVT E. EAA.] | IG.T.ERT- | | YYTRQF. | G.R.EFY.D | NQA. | .RDF. | .CK.T | .S.H.A | CEY |
| bTRAV33 | -XSSM.DK.TEA | . TTVTAREREA) | IG.T.ERT- | | MTRQF. | G.R.EFH.D | NNA | .RDF. | .GK.I | .S.Y.A | ED |
| CapTRDV3-3 | XWQRNP | SSEQ. SST. RRPW | A.VKPHRESR- | | -LCTOTESP.V | E.WNSLF.RMJ | IIEQN | QG. MVTLEFB | WEK. F | .SAE1 | G.LEY |
| CapTRDV4 | VVLVPQ | DOEKTVPV.K | S. SMKGAMPIS | × | H.IRKT. | ONT. TFIYRES | GVYGPG | FEDNFROK.D | NSTNQAM.E. | LKASER. EOR | Y SDY- |
| bTRDV2 | -XVMS.VVLVPQ | DOERTVVV.R.A. | S. SMEGATPIS | × | -Y.IRKT. | ONT. TFIYOE | GLYGP | FRONFROK.V | NLTNQAV.ET | LKASER. EOS | Y TDY- |
| bTRDV3 | XKUVLCNQ.T.S | S.EQRVAS.SE | L.TFQTY.D | | PD.YRKR. | D. VEQEVLYRD | ONTR. YDADI | AQ FT. LES | MSQ. TFH.V. | .SVRPT.T | YRLX |
| CapTRDV2 | XSRLSQI V | . TTVS . ESKNEE | DSS.D-N.LIC | ******* | FV.YCT.V. | VRR+LSPN.AB | AEAD. | TE.QNF.KF. | | LSS1 | .I.C.REX |
| DTRDVY | -XVSLDVILESE | TETLTVL EPA.I | R.NVTOON.KN | | YOMS RNE | .NVLTLVYRLS | SNNSNEN | WR. OFKOK.D | TSKNQYV.N. | OKTTIK. VOT | .Y.OSDT- |

| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 1 | 2 |
|--|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|----|
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | Ŀ |
| CapTRGC1 | ERNLAADTSPKPTVFLP | SIAEINHDNAG | OTTLCLLENFE | PDVITVSWR | VKNDKRALPS | QQGNTMKTKD: | TYMKLSW-LT | VTENSMDKQH | ACAAKHÖ | KNIGGIDQEI | IFPSIKEVVTSA | ۷ |
| bTRGC1 | B | | . K | | A | | · · · · · F · · ~ · · · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · K | | L | |
| CapTRGC2 | | | | | | | | | | | ····.S | |
| bTRGC2 | B | | K | | A | | ~ | | | | L | |
| CapTRGC3 | D.D.DK.MM | | D | .HK.Y | E.RONKV | .E.K.ID. | ~ | .SG | M. I | KR. TN | L AVN S. V | |
| bTRGC3 | D.D.DI.MM | T. KRE.T | | .HK.Y | E.RON.V | VA. | | .SG | I. I | | L PVN V | Ē |
| CapTROCA | D. T.L. I | NKT | × | TXYX | R. DON | N.T. | ~ ~ ~ | | I | P. P. N. | L. N. S.I | 5 |
| bTDOCA | D 71 1 | CYT | × | | PDONY | | | | 1 0 F | D N | T N CT | 1 |
| DIROCA | | THE INCOME | | | S.DORA | | | | | A | | • |
| Captrocs | D.R.DG.LFI.F. | .VE.VARIS. | | A.K.Q.K | E ONTI.E. | HN. | | L.KKA.E.E. | | N.K R | L. SPVNKB | • |
| bTRGC5 | D.R.DG.LFI.F. | .VE.VKLHG. | | A.KIQ.K | E VNTI.E. | YIIN. | · · · · · P · · ~ · · | L.KKAE. | | N.KRQ. | L.SPV.KE | • |
| CapTRGC6 | DKPT.III | N.VQQT/ | A | ····K···K | EGN.V | NN | · · · · F · · ~ · · | K.E. | M.I.RLE | | L. AVNFSPV | • |
| bTRGC6 | DKK.PI | N.VQQ./ | AK | ····K···K | EN.V | N. | TF~ | | M.I~~~.B | TR. K | L. AVN F. PV | • |
| CapTRGC7 | D.DFDV.MM | PC | | C.HK.Y | EGN.V | TT. | I.L.F.*~ | MAWR.NT | YVSSNM-RKI | .EEKIRRSFF | LQLVKSLQLSLL | r, |
| CapTRGC8 | | | | | | | | DRKLYG*TTC | CPN | | | ~ |
| CapTRGC1 bTRGC1 CapTRGC2 bTRGC2 CapTRGC3 bTRGC3 CapTRGC4 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 . SKKACLKDO SKKACLKDO SKKACLKDO | 170 S DTNST SEVTODINST S DTNST VANST SEVTADNNST | 180 ACLEGESST KARLEGENC. KACLEGESST KACLEGESST KACLKDENDT KACLKDENNT KVCLEDESDT | 190 | 200 AYYTYLLLLL | 210 LS | 220 YFVVIISCVF | 230 RRTOVCCDOKIS V R R | |
| DTRGC4 | PS | | .NHD. KVIGIG | SKKACLKDE | SEVIADNNST | AVCLADESNT | M.N | | K. ~~~~~. | .CII.T | NL | |
| CapTROC5 | A. ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | 10.00.00 Th Th Th Th Th Th Th | 14 Mar Mar Mar Mar Mar Mar Mar Mar Ma | | 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 | RACMKKESDT | FA-S | | K.~~~~MI | | W IFSN P | |
| bTRGC5 | A.~~~~~~~~~~ | *** | | | *** | HACMKKESDT | FA-N | | K.~~~~MI | SI.AF | WIFSF | |
| CapTRGC6 | A | *************** D | .Q VIDID | FTKVCSROE | S SRSQ | OFYKSMSEDT | VEX-YN. | | K. ~~~~~ | TTFC | DT.DSK | |
| bTRGC6 | | ~~~~~ | .K.G.EVIDID | LTKACARDE | SEFANST | KACLKDENNT | VFYN. | | K. ~~~~TI | | An A | |
| CapTRGC7 | NFOINV | | KLMPILQKHV* | | TMETVPKSXX | MFILOKHV*T | ETIPCSCS. | XXTPLPXT.T | S.SSSROQST | LSSLPSASTR | EQVS. TTORAX | |
| CapTRGC8 | No N | ***** | ng tha tha tha tha tha tha tha tha th | 1 Mai Mai Mai Mai Mai Mai Mai Mai | *** | **** | ~ | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

F. Supplemental figure 4.3 All TCR genes of caprine and bovine aligned.

С

Deduced amino acid sequence alignment using ClustalW of bovine and caprine TCR genes. A) TRGV, B) TRDV, and C) TRGC. Dashes (-) are gaps introduced for preferred alignment. Periods (.) represent the same nucleotide as shown for the first sequence

Bibliography

- Chen, C., Herzig, C.T., Alexander, L.J., Keele, J.W., McDaneld, T.G., Telfer, J.C., Baldwin, C.L., 2012. Gene number determination and genetic polymorphism of the gamma delta T cell co-receptor WC1 genes. BMC Genet 13, 86.
- Damani-Yokota, P., Gillespie, A., Pasman, Y., Merico, D., Connelley, T.K., Kaushik, A., Baldwin, C.L., 2018a. Bovine T cell receptors and gammadelta WC1 co-receptor transcriptome analysis during the first month of life. Dev Comp Immunol 88, 190-199.
- Herzig, C.T., Waters, R.W., Baldwin, C.L., Telfer, J.C., 2010. Evolution of the CD163 family and its relationship to the bovine gamma delta T cell co-receptor WC1. BMC Evol Biol 10, 181.
- Herzig, C.T., Baldwin, C.L., 2009. Genomic organization and classification of the bovine WC1 genes and expression by peripheral blood gamma delta T cells. BMC Genomics 10, 191.
- J.C. Schwartz, N.D. Sanderson, D.M. Bickhart, T.P.L. Smith, J.A. Hammond, The Structure, Evolution, and Gene Expression Within the Caprine Leukocyte Receptor Complex, Front Immunol 10 (2019) 2302.

J.C. Schwartz, R.L. Philp, D.M. Bickhart, T.P.L. Smith, J.A. Hammond, The antibody loci of the domestic goat (Capra hircus), Immunogenetics 70(5) (2018) 317-326.

- R. Antonacci, G. Vaccarelli, G.P. Di Meo, B. Piccinni, M.C. Miccoli, E.P. Cribiu, A. Perucatti, L. Iannuzzi, S. Ciccarese, Molecular in situ hybridization analysis of sheep and goat BAC clones identifies the transcriptional orientation of T cell receptor gamma genes on chromosome 4 in bovids, Vet Res Commun 31(8) (2007) 977-83.
- Yirsaw, A.a.B., C.L., 2020 (in press)-b. Goat gamma delta T cell populations and their response to infections: A comprehensive review.