# University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Mechanical & Materials Engineering Faculty Publications

Mechanical & Materials Engineering, Department of

2019

# MECHANICAL STRESSES ASSOCIATED WITH FLATTENING OF HUMAN FEMOROPOPLITEAL ARTERY SPECIMENS DURING PLANAR BIAXIAL TESTING AND THEIR EFFECTS ON THE CALCULATED PHYSIOLOGIC STRESS-STRETCH STATE

Majid Jadidi

Anastasia Desyatova

Jason N. MacTaggart

Alexey Kamenskiy

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mechengfacpub

Part of the Mechanics of Materials Commons, Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Commons, Other Engineering Science and Materials Commons, and the Other Mechanical Engineering Commons

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mechanical & Materials Engineering, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mechanical & Materials Engineering Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Biomech Model Mechanobiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 April 30.

Published in final edited form as: *Biomech Model Mechanobiol.* 2019 December ; 18(6): 1591–1605. doi:10.1007/s10237-019-01162-0.

# MECHANICAL STRESSES ASSOCIATED WITH FLATTENING OF HUMAN FEMOROPOPLITEAL ARTERY SPECIMENS DURING PLANAR BIAXIAL TESTING AND THEIR EFFECTS ON THE CALCULATED PHYSIOLOGIC STRESS-STRETCH STATE

**Majid Jadidi**<sup>1</sup>, **Anastasia Desyatova**<sup>2</sup>, **Jason MacTaggart**<sup>2</sup>, **Alexey Kamenskiy**<sup>2,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE

<sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE

# Abstract

Planar biaxial testing is commonly used to characterize the mechanical properties of arteries, but stresses associated with specimen flattening during this test are unknown. We quantified flattening effects in human femoropopliteal arteries (FPAs) of different ages, and determined how they affect the calculated arterial physiologic stress-stretch state. Human FPAs from 472 tissue donors (age 12-82 years, mean  $53\pm16$  years) were tested using planar biaxial extension, and morphometric and mechanical characteristics were used to assess the flattening effects. Constitutive parameters for the invariant-based model were adjusted to account for specimen flattening, and used to calculate the physiologic stresses, stretches, axial force, circumferential stiffness, and stored energy for the FPAs in 7 age groups. Flattened specimens were overall  $12\pm4\%$  stiffer longitudinally and  $19\pm11\%$ stiffer circumferentially when biaxially tested. Differences between the stress-stretch curves adjusted and non-adjusted for the effects of flattening were relatively constant across all age groups longitudinally, but increased with age circumferentially. In all age groups these differences were smaller than the intersubject variability. Physiologic stresses, stretches, axial force, circumferential stiffness, and stored energy were all qualitatively and quantitatively similar when calculated with and without the flattening effects. Stresses, stretches, axial force, and stored energy reduced with age, but circumferential stiffness remained relatively constant between 25 and 65 years of age suggesting a homeostatic target of 0.75±0.02 MPa. Flattening effects associated with planar biaxial testing are smaller than the intersubject variability, and have little influence on the calculated physiologic stress-stretch state of human FPAs.

# Keywords

planar biaxial test; femoropopliteal artery; constitutive modeling; physiologic state; aging

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Correspondence and Reprints requests to: Alexey Kamenskiy, Department of Surgery, 987690 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-7690, Tel: +1 (402) 559-5100, Fax: +1 (402) 559-8985, Alexey.Kamenskiy@unmc.edu.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest in relation to this submission.

# 1 INTRODUCTION

Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD) usually manifests as an atherosclerotic obstruction of the femoropopliteal artery (FPA) that reduces blood flow to the lower limbs. It is one of the leading causes of morbidity, mortality, and impairment in quality of life with annual hospitalization costs of more than \$21 billion(Mahoney et al. 2008). The high cost is primarily attributed to the large number of peripheral operations and interventions that fail and require reintervention(Adam et al. 2005; Schillinger et al. 2006; Conte et al. 2006; Schillinger et al. 2007). High failure rates of PAD interventions and reconstructions are often attributed to the severe mechanical environment of the flexing limb resulting in adverse interactions between the repair device and the artery(MacTaggart et al. 2014; Desyatova et al. 2017b; Poulson et al. 2018; Maleckis et al. 2018; Desyatova et al. 2018). Computational modeling can be used to simulate these interactions and design devices with optimized performance, but it requires accurate input data on arterial mechanical properties.

Human FPAs have anisotropic structure with primarily circumferentially-oriented smooth muscle cells (SMCs) in the media, longitudinally-oriented elastic fibers in the external elastic lamina (EEL) at the border of media and adventitia, and helically-oriented collagen fibers in the adventitia(Kamenskiy et al. 2015; Kamenskiy et al. 2016). The complexity of this structure requires multiaxial tests for the characterization of mechanical properties. The two most commonly performed experiments are inflation/extension and planar biaxial extension tests(Humphrey 2002). In combination with residual deformations, these two types of tests theoretically provide equivalent data(Holzapfel and Ogden 2009) for constitutive modeling, but while former is generally preferred for testing small tubular specimens, the latter is more commonly used with larger arteries, like human FPAs, in part due to wider equipment availability and more straightforward testing protocols that do not require branch ligation or estimation of longitudinal pre-stretch. However, one of the challenges of planar biaxial testing is necessity to flatten the specimen. In a tubular form, arteries contain residual stretches and stresses that are thought to be released by opening of the arterial ring into a sector(Humphrey 2002) and curving of the axial strip(Kamenskiy et al. 2015; Sommer et al. 2017). Flattening of the curved specimen prior to planar biaxial testing likely introduces additional stresses into the sample(Keyes et al. 2013), but their magnitudes and variation with age have not been characterized. Furthermore, mechanical properties determined from planar biaxial tests usually do not account for the flattening effects(Kamenskiy et al. 2017), which may affect assessment of physiologic stresses and stretches.

The goal of the current work was to test the hypothesis that flattening of the FPA specimens for planar biaxial testing changes their mechanical response, and affects the assessment of physiologic stress-stretch state. This was achieved by quantifying stretches and stresses associated with flattening of the FPA specimens prior to planar biaxial testing, adjusting the constitutive parameters, and calculating the physiologic stress-stretch state with and without the flattening effects by using constitutive modeling.

# 2 METHODS

Arteries in vivo experience a complex loaded stress-stretch state that is influenced by the internal pressure, longitudinal pre-stretch, and circumferential and longitudinal residual stresses and stretches that change through the thickness of the FPA wall(Holzapfel and Ogden 2010b; Kamenskiy et al. 2014; Kamenskiy et al. 2016)(Figure 1C). When the artery is excised, it axially contracts releasing the longitudinal pre-stretch(Bustamante and Holzapfel 2010). In this load-free configuration, the internal pressure and the longitudinal pre-stretch vanish, but the artery still possesses circumferential and longitudinal residual stresses and stretches (Figure 1B). These residual characteristics can be assessed by measuring the circumferential (a) and longitudinal ( $\beta$ ) opening angles in a radially-opened arterial ring and longitudinal sections. Since these manipulations are assumed to release most of the residual stresses(Vaishnav and Vossoughi 1983; Humphrey 2002), arterial configuration in this state is considered to be *stress-free* (Figure 1A). Importantly, although separate measurements of circumferential and longitudinal opening angles are convenient and are commonly used in the literature(Humphrey 2002; Holzapfel et al. 2007; Holzapfel and Ogden 2010b; Holzapfel and Ogden 2010a), it is a simplification of the actual complex three-dimensional shape that results from the mutual directional coupling(Sommer and Holzapfel 2012).

In order to be biaxially tested, the arterial sample needs to be further flattened and attached to an experimental setup. Assuming that axial and circumferential flattenings are independent, one can calculate the total deformation gradient  $F_{flat}$  associated with flattening. This  $F_{flat}$  then produces a *flattened* configuration (Figure 1D) that contains non-zero stresses and stretches in both directions. After attaching the specimen to the biaxial device a small preload (typically 0.01 N) is applied to maintain tension during the test, and then the artery is *biaxially stretched* (Figure 1E) with different loading ratios to obtain sufficient data density for constitutive parameter determination. Once these parameters are determined, they are used in combination with flattening and residual deformation gradients, longitudinal pre-stretch, and internal luminal pressure to calculate the *in vivo* stress-stretch state. This kinematics will be addressed in the reverse order below.

### 2.1 Planar biaxial testing

FPAs from 472 subjects (age range 12 - 82 years, mean age  $53 \pm 16$  years, 78% male) were obtained from Live On Nebraska within 24 hours of subject's death. Prior to excision from the body, the *in situ* length of the FPA segment was measured using an umbilical tape. The tape was placed alongside the surgically exposed artery between the locations at which the artery was transected representing the true *in situ* length of the arterial segment. The artery and the tape were then cut together, and while the umbilical tape maintained its length, the artery typically shortened due to *in situ* pre-stretch. Pre-stretch was then defined as the ratio of the *in situ* arterial length (umbilical tape length) to the excised artery length(Kamenskiy et al. 2016). Geometric data including arterial wall thickness (*H*), outer radius of the *load-free* ring ( $\rho_o$ ), inner and outer radii of the *stress-free* circumferential strip ( $R_{jr} R_o$ ), inner radius of the longitudinal strip ( $R_{zo}$ ), and circumferential (*a*) and longitudinal ( $\beta$ ) opening angles were measured optically.

Planar biaxial tests were performed on  $13 \times 13$  mm arterial segments (when permitted by vessel size) submerged into 0.9% phosphate-buffered saline at 37°C. A total of 19 different protocols were used to obtain sufficient data density for constitutive modeling. For additional details of these tests, please refer to Kamenskiy et al(Kamenskiy et al. 2017) or Desyatova et al(Desyatova et al. 2017a).

#### 2.2 Constitutive relations

FPA stress-stretch characteristics measured during planar biaxial tests are portrayed well by the four-fiber family invariant-based constitutive model that phenomenologically accounts for the contribution of isotropic ground substance, longitudinal elastic fibers, circumferential smooth muscle cells, and helical collagen fibers(Kamenskiy et al. 2014; Kamenskiy et al. 2015; Kamenskiy et al. 2016; Kamenskiy et al. 2017; Desyatova et al. 2017a). The strain energy function for such material can be defined as

$$W = W_{gr} + W_{el} + W_{smc} + W_{col,1} + W_{col,2},$$
 Eq. 1

where

$$\begin{split} W_{gr} &= \frac{C_{gr}}{2} (I_1^{gr} - 3), \\ W_{el} &= \frac{C_1^{el}}{4C_2^{el}} \left( e^{C_2^{el} \left\langle I_4^{el} - 1 \right\rangle^2} - 1 \right), \\ W_{smc} &= \frac{C_1^{smc}}{4C_2^{smc}} (e^{C_2^{smc} \left\langle I_4^{smc} - 1 \right\rangle^2} - 1), \\ W_{col, j} &= \frac{C_1^{col}}{4C_2^{col}} \left( e^{C_2^{col} \left\langle I_4^{col, j} - 1 \right\rangle^2} - 1 \right) \quad j = 1, 2. \end{split}$$

Here  $c_{gr}$ ,  $c_1^i$ ,  $c_2^i$  (*i* = *el*, *smc*, *col*) are constitutive parameters that determine the passive FPA mechanical behavior, and Macaulay brackets  $\langle (\cdot) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}[(\cdot) + |(\cdot)|]$  are used to filter positive values so fibers only contribute to stress during tension. The total Cauchy stress can then be written as a summation of the volumetric and isochoric parts

$$t = -pI + \bar{t} = -pI + 2\overline{\frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1}B + 2\sum_i \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_4^i}m_i \otimes m_i}, \qquad \text{Eq. 3}$$

where *i* stands for each group of structurally significant fibers with  $m_i = FM_i$ ,  $I_1 = ttC$ ,  $I_4^i = M_i \cdot (CM_i)$ ,  $M_i$  the unit vector in the direction of the fibers in the *reference* configuration, and *F*, *B* and *C* are the deformation gradient, and the left and right Cauchy-Green stretch tensors, respectively. Further, in the absence of shear,  $I_4^i$  has the forms:

$$I_{4}^{el} = \lambda_{z}^{2}, \qquad I_{4}^{smc} = \lambda_{\theta}^{2}, \qquad I_{4}^{col, 1} = I_{4}^{col, 2} = I_{4}^{col} = \lambda_{z}^{2} cos^{2}\gamma + \lambda_{\theta}^{2} sin^{2}\gamma,$$

where  $\gamma$  is the angle between the collagen fibers and the longitudinal direction. Note that  $\theta$ ,  $z \text{ in } \lambda_{\theta}$  and  $\lambda_{z}$  are used only to point out that these directions align with the circumferential and longitudinal directions of the artery and they need to be substituted with the appropriate stretches in each case described in Figure 1.

Constitutive parameters are then determined by minimizing the error function

 $e = \left| t^{th}(F_{total}, P) - t^{exp} \right|,$ 

where  $t^{exp}$  is the experimental Cauchy stress calculated by dividing the measured force by the area during the biaxial test,  $t^{th}$  is the theoretical Cauchy stress which is a function of the total deformation gradient the specimen is experiencing during the test (please see the next section) and the constitutive parameters *P* that include  $c_{gp}$ ,  $c_1^i$ ,  $c_2^j$  in Eq. 2 and the angle  $\gamma$ between the collagen fibers and the longitudinal direction which are all determined using nonparametric bootstrapping(Ferruzzi et al. 2011; Kamenskiy et al. 2017). Briefly, this included 2000 iterations of random resampling and fitting, followed by the analysis of the probability distribution of each parameter to determine the global minimum. The bootstrapping was performed both before and after accounting for the flattening effects, which resulted in two different sets of constitutive parameters for each specimen. Relations for the Cauchy stresses are provided in the Appendix 7.1

To determine age-group specific mechanical responses, we followed the approach described previously(Kamenskiy et al. 2017). Specifically, since constitutive parameters in Eq. 1 are interrelated, it is not appropriate to average them across specimens to obtain average age group-specific mechanical properties. Instead, the average stress-stretch responses, and the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile responses for each age group were generated first, and then the resulting curves were used to determine constitutive parameters representing these age groups again using nonparametric bootstrapping(Ferruzzi et al. 2011; Kamenskiy et al. 2017).

#### 2.3 Kinematics of flattening and planar biaxial experiment

Before arterial specimen can be biaxially tested, it needs to undergo flattening deformation  $F_{flat}$  that takes the artery from the *stress-free* (Figure 1A) configuration to the *flattened* (Figure 1D) state. Assuming incompressibility and independence of longitudinal and circumferential deformations,  $F_{flat}$  can be written as

$$F_{flat} = diag \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \right],$$

where  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  are stretches corresponding to the circumferential and longitudinal directions, respectively.

When flattened for planar biaxial testing, part of the arterial specimen undergoes tension, while the opposite side experiences compression. This implies the existence of a neutral axis that does not change its length during flattening. Neutral axis is typically assumed to be

located in the middle of the section, i.e. half-way between intima and adventitia(Sommer and Holzapfel 2012).

From Figure 1, the flattening stretches can be expressed in terms of the neutral axes radii of the circumferential and longitudinal sections,  $R_{\theta}^{n}$  and  $R_{z}^{n}$  respectively, as(Ogden 1997; Sommer and Holzapfel 2012)

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{l_{\theta}^n}{l_{\theta}} = \frac{R_{\theta}^n}{R_{\theta}}, \qquad \lambda_3 = \frac{l_z^n}{l_z} = \frac{R_z^n}{R_z}, \qquad \text{Eq. 4}$$

where  $l_{\theta}^{n}$  and  $l_{z}^{n}$  are equal to  $L_{2}$  and  $L_{3}$ , respectively, in the flattened state (Figure 1D) and

$$R_i \le R_{\theta} \le R_i + H$$
,  $R_{zo} \le R_z \le R_{zo} + H$ .

Since loads during planar biaxial tests are applied uniformly to the specimen's crosssections, average stretches are used in  $F_{flat}$ , and details of averaging are summarized in the Appendix 7.2. The total deformation gradient experienced by the artery during planar biaxial test therefore includes  $F_{flat}$  and  $F_{biaxiaf}$ .

$$F_{total} = F_{biaxial}F_{flat}$$
. Eq. 5

#### 2.4 Kinematics of residual and physiologic deformations

Constitutive parameters determined from the experimental data were then used to describe physiologic arterial behavior by implementing a kinematic framework that considers residual stresses, longitudinal pre-stretch, and the internal pressure (Figure 1C). This framework has been described previously(Ogden 1997; Holzapfel et al. 2000; Humphrey 2002; Sommer and Holzapfel 2012; Kamenskiy et al. 2014), but will also be briefly summarized below for clarity. More details are provided in the Appendices 7.3 and 7.4.

Deformation gradient associated with the residual deformation in the cylindrical coordinate system can be written as

$$F_{res} = diag[\lambda_{\rho}, \lambda_{\vartheta}, \lambda_{\zeta}],$$

where  $\lambda_{\rho}$ ,  $\lambda_{\vartheta}$  and  $\lambda_{\zeta}$  are the radial, circumferential and longitudinal residual stretches respectively. Using incompressibility one can find

$$\lambda_{\vartheta}(\rho) = \frac{\rho}{R} \mathbf{K} = \frac{\rho \mathbf{K}}{\sqrt{R_o^2 - \mathbf{K}\lambda_{\zeta} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{K}}R_o\lambda_{\vartheta}^o\right)^2 - \rho^2\right)}},$$
 Eq. 6

where  $K = \frac{2\pi}{2\pi - \alpha}$  is a measure of the circumferential opening angle and  $\rho_o = \frac{1}{K} R_o \lambda_{\vartheta}^o$ . Note that the residual stretch  $\lambda_{\zeta}$  contains both the flattening and the  $\frac{\xi}{L}$  stretch of the axial strip,

and the unknown stretches  $\lambda_{\vartheta}^{o}$  and  $\frac{\xi}{L}$  in  $\lambda_{\zeta}$  can be determined using the boundary conditions of no axial force and zero luminal pressure in the *load-free* state.

Further, deformation  $F_{load}$ , which takes the *load-free* configuration to the *loaded* (*current*) configuration, is given by

$$F_{load} = diag[\lambda_r, \lambda_\theta, \lambda_z],$$

where  $\lambda_{\theta} = \frac{r}{\rho}$  and  $\lambda_z$  is equal to the measured *in situ* longitudinal (axial) pre-stretch, i.e.  $\lambda_z^{in \ situ}$ .

Therefore, the total deformation that the FPA experiences when progressing from the *stress-free* to the *in vivo loaded* state (Figure 1A,B,C) can be expressed as

$$F_{phys} = F_{load}F_{res} = diag \left[ \lambda_r^{phys}, \lambda_{\theta}^{phys}, \lambda_z^{phys} \right],$$

where the longitudinal physiologic stretch is given by

$$\lambda_z^{phys} = \lambda_z^{in \ situ} \lambda_{\zeta}$$

and the circumferential stretch can be calculated as

$$\lambda_{\theta}^{phys} = \lambda_{\theta}\lambda_{\vartheta} = \frac{r}{R}\mathbf{K} = \frac{r\mathbf{K}}{\sqrt{R_o^2 - \mathbf{K}\lambda_{\zeta}\lambda_z \left(\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{K}}R_o\lambda_{\vartheta}^o\lambda_{\vartheta}^o\right)^2 - r^2\right)}},$$
 Eq. 7

where  $\lambda_{\theta}^{o} = \frac{r_{o}}{\rho_{o}}$ . Assuming no perivascular tethering, boundary condition of zero pressure on the outer surface of the artery, and the average internal luminal pressure of 100 *mmHg* in the *loaded* state, one can calculate the  $\lambda_{\theta}^{o}$ .

#### 2.5 FPA physiologic conditions

Cauchy stresses and stretches as a function of thickness at systolic (120 *mmHg*), diastolic (80 *mmHg*), and average (100 *mmHg*) pressures and *in situ* longitudinal pre-stretch  $\lambda_z^{in situ}$  were calculated to assess physiologic FPA function and determine how it changes with age. Physiologic circumferential stiffness was assessed as the change in the average circumferential stress between systole and diastole divided by the change in the average circumferential stretch

$$E_{\theta} = \frac{t_{\theta\theta}^{phys, avg}(sys) - t_{\theta\theta}^{phys, avg}(dias)}{\lambda_{\theta}^{phys, avg}(sys) - \lambda_{\theta}^{phys, avg}(dias)},$$
 Eq. 8

where  $t_{\theta\theta}^{phys, avg}$  and  $\lambda_{\theta}^{phys, avg}$  are the average through-thickness circumferential physiologic stress and stretch, respectively, calculated by integrating them over the current volume and dividing by the total current volume (see Appendix 7.2).

In addition, for each sample we have calculated longitudinal pre-stretch at which axial tethering force and internal pressure decouple, i.e. the change in the axial force is minimal as the artery experiences changes in pressure(Van Loon et al. 1977; Weizsacker et al. 1983; Brossollet and Vito 1995; Humphrey 2002; Stålhand and Klarbring 2005; Holzapfel and Ogden 2010a). This decoupling suggests energy efficient function(Sommer et al. 2010) which was further assessed by calculating change in the average through-thickness strain energy between systole and diastole as

$$\Delta W_{avg} = W_{avg}^{sys} - W_{avg}^{dias},$$

where the strain energy W is a function of  $\lambda_{\theta}^{phys}$  given by Eq. 7 and  $\lambda_{z}^{phys}$ .

#### 2.6 Statistical Analysis

Pearson correlation coefficient *r* was used to assess the strength of linear relation between continuous variables, with values closer to  $\pm 1$  demonstrating stronger relations. Statistical significance of the observed correlations was assessed by testing the hypothesis of no correlation against the alternative hypothesis of nonzero correlation using the *corr* function of MATLAB. Value of *p*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### 3 RESULTS

Changes in the FPA morphometric characteristics with age are demonstrated in Figure 2. Opening angles a,  $\beta$  and FPA thickness H were measured experimentally, while  $\rho_i$ ,  $\rho_o$ ,  $r_i$ , and  $r_o$  were calculated using boundary conditions for each corresponding deformation.

Both the inner and the outer FPA radii in the *loaded* and *load-free* configurations increased with age (p < 0.01), but the outer radius increased at a higher rate (r = 0.36 and r = 0.56) than its inner counterpart (r = 0.14 and r = 0.35), suggesting an increase in the *in vivo* wall thickness due to outward remodeling. In the *stress-free* configuration, the FPA wall thickness (r = 0.36, p < 0.01), the circumferential a and longitudinal  $\beta$  opening angles increased with age (p < 0.01) (Figure 2), but the correlation with age was stronger for the  $\beta$  (r = 0.83) than for the a (r = 0.19).

Constitutive parameters representing average FPAs in each age group are summarized in Table 1, and Figure 3 shows the stress-stretch responses plotted using these parameters (solid lines). For comparison, the same graphs are plotted using the parameters not adjusted for the flattening effects (dashed lines). Variability within each age group was assessed by calculating the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, and the corresponding graphs are plotted in Figure 3 as shaded semi-transparent regions. Constitutive parameters adjusted for the flattening effects and representing FPAs at the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles for all age groups are summarized in the Appendix 7.5. Aging resulted in stiffening of the FPAs in both

Taking flattening deformations into account resulted in the overall softer response in both directions (Figure 3), but the difference in stretches at 100 kPa stress was relatively small, i.e.  $19 \pm 11\%$  in the circumferential direction and  $12 \pm 4\%$  in the longitudinal direction, which was less than the variation in the stress-stretch responses within the age groups.

Aging was associated with reduction in the longitudinal and circumferential flattening stretches (r = -0.71 and r = -0.22, p<0.01, respectively) and stresses (r = -0.21 and r = -0.08, p<0.01, respectively) averaged through thickness (Figure 4). Longitudinal flattening stress decreased with age from 8.7 to 4.7 kPa due to larger longitudinal opening angle  $\beta$  (i.e. flatter longitudinal strips in older subjects), and circumferential flattening stress decreased from 3.4 to 2 kPa due to the slight increase in the circumferential opening angle  $\alpha$  with age.

The averaged through-thickness longitudinal and circumferential residual stresses were almost zero because of their opposite tensile and compressive nature but similar absolute values at the intimal and adventitial surfaces.

Change in the physiologic stress-stretch state with age calculated using both the adjusted and the non-adjusted parameters is demonstrated in Figure 5. Adjustment for the flattening deformations had minor effect on the results. Largest difference between the stresses plotted using the adjusted and the non-adjusted parameters, was observed for the longitudinal direction in young FPAs (~14 kPa) while the longitudinal and circumferential stretches were, respectively, ~0.02 and ~0.05 higher across all age groups after adjusting for the flattening effects. Taking flattening effects into account also somewhat decreased the axial force  $F_z$  (~0.11 N), but had little effect on the circumferential stiffness  $E_{\theta}$ , with a difference of < 35 kPa.

Figure 5 demonstrates that all physiologic stretches and stresses, calculated as throughthickness averages, decreased with age (p < 0.01). Physiologic circumferential stretch decreased from  $\lambda_{\theta}^{phys, avg} = 1.26$  in the youngest to  $\lambda_{\theta}^{phys, avg} = 1.1$  in the oldest age group (r = -0.48), and the associated physiologic circumferential stress decreased from  $t_{\theta\theta}^{phys, avg} = 48$  kPa to  $t_{\theta\theta}^{phys, avg} = 32$  kPa (r = -0.42), respectively. In the longitudinal direction, reduction in the physiologic stretch  $\lambda_z^{phys, avg}$  and the associated stress  $t_{zz}^{phys, avg}$  was much more pronounced (r = -0.84 and r = -0.48, respectively). Physiologic longitudinal stretch decreased from  $\lambda_z^{phys, avg} = 1.57$  in the youngest to  $\lambda_z^{phys, avg} = 1.1$  in the oldest age group, and the longitudinal stress decreased from  $t_{zz}^{phys, avg} = 117$  kPa to  $t_{zz}^{phys, avg} = 24$  kPa, respectively. Reduction in the longitudinal stretch and stress with age was followed by the reduction in the axial force  $F_z$  (Figure 5C, r = -0.27, p < 0.01) that changed from 1.18 N to 0.41 N, and a slight increase in the circumferential stiffness  $E_{\theta}$  (Figure 5D, r = 0.15 and p <

0.01) from 0.55 MPa to 0.88 MPa, although latter appeared to maintain its value of ~0.75  $\pm$  0.02 MPa from 25 to 65 years of age.

Decrease in the longitudinal stress with age was also observed at the intimal and adventitial surfaces (r = -0.54, r = -0.33, p < 0.01) (Figure 6A). At the adventitial surface it changed from 106 kPa in the youngest age group to 51 kPa in the oldest age group, and at the intimal surface longitudinal stress decreased from 116 kPa to 17 kPa. Circumferential stress at the intimal surface also decreased with age (r = -0.59, p < 0.01) from 104 kPa to almost zero, but at the adventitial surface it increased (r = 0.43, p < 0.01) (Figure 6B) from 24 kPa to 102 kPa, which changed the nature of stress distribution through the FPA wall thickness. Specifically, in young FPAs circumferential stress at the intimal surface was higher than that at the adventitial surface, but the opposite was observed for the older FPAs, with the switch appearing at the age of 30-40 years (Figure 6B). Similarly to the results obtained using through-thickness averages (i.e. Figure 5), flattening did not substantially alter stresses at the intimal or adventitial surfaces with the largest difference observed for the  $t_{\theta\theta}^{int}$  in young FPAs that was ~18 kPa higher after adjusting for flattening.

Adjustment for the flattening effects also did not notably change the calculated *in situ* prestretch at which the internal pressure and the longitudinal force decoupled(Sommer et al. 2010) ( $\lambda_z^{decoupling}$ ), nor did it markedly influence the stored physiologic energy W(Figure 7). Figure 7A demonstrates that for the young FPAs the calculated pre-stretch  $\lambda_z^{decoupling}$  was 0.18 higher than the measured *in situ* pre-stretch  $\lambda_z^{in situ}$ , but the difference decreased with age, and for the old FPAs the two values were closer (0.04 difference). The average stored energy Wdecreased with age (Figure 7B) (r = -0.65, p < 0.01) from 2.3 kPa to 0.4 kPa, suggesting that older FPAs had an almost 6-fold less stored energy available for elastic deformation compared with younger FPAs.

# 4 DISCUSSION

Planar biaxial extension is a common test to characterize the complex mechanical properties of arterial tissue, particularly when studying large human or porcine arteries. It is more popular and generally easier to perform than inflation-extension testing because it does not require branch ligation, pre-stretching of the sample to its *in situ* length to avoid buckling, or tackling image analysis issues associated with tracking the fuzzy adventitial surface. But despite its advantages, planar biaxial test has two major limitations. First, it requires the tubular specimen to be radially cut, which releases the intramural residual stresses. Second, the radially-cut specimen needs to be flattened to be attached to the biaxial device. This introduces additional stresses to the specimen that may influence constitutive parameter determination, and affect calculation of the physiologic stress-stretch state. The goal of the current study was to quantify the effects associated with specimen flattening during planar biaxial testing, and determine how they affect the calculated physiologic stress-stretch state and the stored elastic energy. We have focused our analysis on human FPAs because of the importance to understand their mechanical characteristics for computational modeling aimed at improving the outcomes of PAD interventions and reconstructions. Since flattening characteristics may be a function of age, we have analyzed a large database of human FPAs 12-82 years old, and have reported constitutive parameters adjusted for the effects of flattening that can be directly used in computational and constitutive modeling.

Our results demonstrate that the FPAs were overall  $12 \pm 4\%$  stiffer longitudinally and  $19 \pm 11\%$  stiffer circumferentially when biaxially tested, suggesting that most specimens experienced tension in both directions when flattened. Though flattening stretches reduced with age as the longitudinal and circumferential opening angles became wider, the arteries also stiffened in both directions. Longitudinally, increase in stiffness with age balanced the increase in the longitudinal opening angle  $\beta$ , which resulted in a relatively constant difference between the adjusted and the non-adjusted longitudinal stress-stretch curves across all age groups. Circumferentially, higher stiffness trumped the increase in the stress-stretch curves plotted using the adjusted and the non-adjusted parameters. Nevertheless, despite these differences that persisted across all age groups and both directions, the effects of flattening were substantially smaller than the intersubject variability within each age group.

Observed increase in the longitudinal and the circumferential opening angles with age agrees well with other studies (Saini et al. 1995; Alford et al. 2008; Kamenskiy et al. 2017; Desyatova et al. 2017a). Growth and remodeling of the intramural constituents produces multiaxial residual stretches and stresses that change as a function of age (Valentín et al. 2011; Kamenskiy et al. 2015) and alter both the circumferential and the longitudinal opening angles. In the FPA, longitudinally-oriented elastic fibers in the EEL are under significant tension in maturity, which makes them primarily responsibe for both curving of the axially-cut strip intima outward (Kamenskiy et al. 2017), and for the *in situ* longitudinal prestretch (Cardamone et al. 2009; Kamenskiy et al. 2017). Degradation and fragmentation of these elastic fibers with age releases the tension and results in wider  $\beta$  and flatter longitudinal strips (Kamenskiy et al. 2015; Kamenskiy et al. 2017). Circumferentially, increase in the opening angle *a* may be associated with hypertrophy of the medial SMCs and the greater accumulation of collagen in the inner (as opposed to the outer) part of the media(Feldman and Glagov 1971).

Differences in the stress-stretch responses obtained using constitutive parameters adjusted and non-adjusted for the flattening effects, did not translate into large differences in the physiologic variables. Physiologic stresses, stretches, axial force, circumferential stiffness, and stored energy were all qualitatively and quantitatively similar when calculated with and without the flattening effects. At the same time, the kinematic framework that considered both the flattening and the residual effects, permitted detailed description of changes experienced by human FPAs with age.

Our data demonstrate that the physiologic stresses and stretches decreased with age, and this decrease was much more pronounced in the longitudinal direction (almost 5-fold), than circumferentially (1.5-2.5-fold). To facilitate reduction in the longitudinal stress, the FPAs elongated, which reduced the *in situ* longitudinal pre-stretch, and decreased the associated axial force(Kamenskiy et al. 2016). Circumferentially, FPA stiffening was compensated by increased thickness, which resulted in the overall constant physiologic stiffness  $E_{\theta}$  for the arteries between 25 and 65 years of age, but a decreasing stored energy *W* available for elastic deformation.

Constant physiologic circumferential stiffness  $E_{\theta}$  in the setting of decreasing physiologic intramural stresses, stretches, and elastic energy with age, suggests the existence of the homeostatic state that is regulated by the physiologic stiffness rather than the intramural stresses(Humphrey 2008; Humphrey et al. 2014). It is important to distinguish this stiffness from the one measured experimentally with planar biaxial (i.e. Figure 3) or inflationextension testing because it incorporates longitudinal pre-stretch and residual stresses. This result demonstrates the importance of *ex vivo* mechanical characterization and constitutive modeling to assess the *in vivo* FPA physiology, as most of the involved parameters cannot be directly measured *in vivo*.

Conversely, the presented framework can help estimate some of the FPA characteristics that require arterial excision, such as the *in situ* longitudinal pre-stretch. Our data demonstrate that the longitudinal pre-stretch at which the axial force decouples from the luminal pressure is close to the measured *in situ* longitudinal pre-stretch in older FPAs. This agrees with previous findings in animal and human arteries from other locations(Van Loon et al. 1977; Schulze-Bauer et al. 2003), and suggests that in the elderly the *in situ* longitudinal pre-stretch serves to minimize the axial work done by the artery during the cardiac cycle. This finding is particularly interesting in the context of the observed decrease in the FPA elastic stored energy with age, suggesting that as the artery stiffens and has less available energy for physiologic pulsation, the *in situ* pre-stretch adapts to support the energy efficiency. In younger FPAs, the decoupling stretch needs to be significantly higher than the *in situ* longitudinal pre-stretch to achieve energy efficiency, which may be associated with a substantial axial force that can be damaging to the tissue. At the same time, young artery has significantly more available energy for pulsation, which may make the energy efficiency less critical.

While presented results demonstrate the utility of planar biaxial testing in studying the in vivo physiologic stress-stretch state of the FPA, and provide a set of constitutive parameters that can be readily used for computational modeling, it is important to compare them with the results of inflation-extension testing in the future. It is also important to consider these results in the context of study limitations. First, we assumed homogeneity of the FPA wall, but the medial and adventitial layers are known to be significantly different in structure. In the FPA, tunica media is composed primarily of circumferentially-oriented smooth muscle cells and amorphous collagen, while tunica adventitia has undulated collagen fibers with preferred orientation. Furthermore, the interface between the media and the adventitia, the EEL, contains longitudinally-oriented elastic fibers that are under tension(Kamenskiy et al. 2016). Due to complexity of this structure, flattening of the circumferential and longitudinal strips likely produces more complex stresses at the interfaces and through the thickness of the FPA wall, than those calculated using one set of constitutive parameters determined for the entire specimen. Nevertheless, layer separation and their individual testing may not be the best solution to this issue for at least two reasons. First, adequate and atraumatic layer separation is challenging, and may be impossible in younger healthier FPAs. In older and more diseased arteries, layers themselves become highly inhomogeneous which likely requires further compartmentalization. Second, layer separation changes the state of the arterial wall and disrupts the interfaces, which raises the question of whether arterial layers

tested separately and combined computationally will produce similar mechanical responses as a non-separated specimen.

Another limitation is that current analysis neglected the effects of perivascular tethering on the outer surface of the FPA wall, which likely affected the assessment of physiologic stress-stretch conditions. Additional experiments are needed to quantify perivascular tethering effects(Ferruzzi et al. 2018) in human FPAs, and to determine whether they change with age and disease. While these and other limitations are being addressed, current analysis provides a better understanding of the effects associated with flattening of the FPA specimens during planar biaxial testing, and offers a set of adjusted constitutive parameters that can be used to model the physiologic FPA behavior in subjects of different ages.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge Live On Nebraska for their help and support, and thank tissue donors and their families for making this study possible.

#### **5 DISCLOSURES**

<u>Funding:</u> Research reported in this publication was supported in part by the National Heart, Lung, And Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01 HL125736.

# 7.: APPENDIX

# 7.1 Cauchy stresses

The components of the isochoric Cauchy stress tensor  $\overline{t}$  associated with each of the residual, physiologic, and flattening deformations can be calculated by taking the appropriate derivatives in Eq. 3 and using a form of strain energy function defined by Eq. 1 and Eq. 2. This produces Cauchy stresses in the form

$$\begin{split} \overline{t}_{rr} &= c_{gr}\lambda_r^2 = c_{gr}\frac{1}{\lambda_z^2\lambda_\theta^2}, \\ \overline{t}_{\theta\theta} &= c_{gr}\lambda_\theta^2 + c_1^{smc} \langle \lambda_\theta^2 - 1 \rangle e^{c_2^{smc}(\lambda_\theta^2 - 1)^2} \lambda_\theta^2 + 2c_1^{col} \langle I_4^{col} - 1 \rangle e^{c_2^{col}(I_4^{col} - 1)^2} \lambda_{\theta}^2 sin^2\gamma, \\ \overline{t}_{zz} &= c_{gr}\lambda_z^2 + c_1^{el} \langle \lambda_z^2 - 1 \rangle e^{c_2^{el}(\lambda_z^2 - 1)^2} \lambda_z^2 + 2c_1^{col} \langle I_4^{col} - 1 \rangle e^{c_2^{col}(I_4^{col} - 1)^2} \lambda_z^2 cos^2\gamma, \end{split}$$

with

$$I_4^{col} = \lambda_z^2 \cos^2 \gamma + \lambda_\theta^2 \sin^2 \gamma$$

where r,  $\theta$ , z are used to point out that these directions align with the radial, circumferential and longitudinal directions of the artery *in vivo*. When considering specimen flattening, stretches  $\lambda_r$ ,  $\lambda_{\theta}$ ,  $\lambda_z$  need to be substituted with  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3$ . When considering residual deformations, they need to be substituted with  $\lambda_{\rho}$ ,  $\lambda_{\vartheta}$ ,  $\lambda_{\zeta}$ . Finally, when considering physiologic deformations, these stretches need to be substituted with  $\lambda_r^{phys}$ ,  $\lambda_{\theta}^{phys}$ ,  $\lambda_z^{phys}$ .

### 7.2 Obtaining through-thickness values of stretch and stress

Through-thickness average values of stretch and stress were calculated by integrating them over the current volume and dividing the result by the total current volume. In Cartesian coordinates, since the stretches and the stresses vary through specimen thickness, this takes the form:

$$\lambda_{2,3}^{ave} = \frac{\int \int \int \lambda_{2,3} dv}{\int \int \int dv} = \frac{\int_0^{L_1} \lambda_{2,3} dx_1}{\int_0^{L_1} dx_1} = \frac{\int_0^{L_1} \lambda_{2,3} dx_1}{L_1},$$
$$t_{22,33}^{ave} = \frac{\int \int \int t_{22,33} dv}{\int \int \int dv} = \frac{\int_0^{L_1} t_{22,33} dx_1}{\int_0^{L_1} dx_1} = \frac{\int_0^{L_1} t_{22,33} dx_1}{L_1}$$

where  $L_1$  is the thickness of the flattened specimen. Similarly in the cylindrical coordinates:

$$\lambda_{\theta}^{avg} = \frac{\int \int \int \lambda_{\theta}^{phys} r dr d\theta dz}{\int \int \int r dr d\theta dz} = \frac{\int_{r_i}^{r_o} \lambda_{\theta}^{phys} r dr}{\int_{r_i}^{r_o} r dr}$$
$$\iota_{\theta\theta}^{avg} = \frac{\int \int \int t_{\theta\theta}^{phys} r dr d\theta dz}{\int \int \int r dr d\theta dz} = \frac{\int_{r_i}^{r_o} \iota_{\theta\theta}^{phys} r dr}{\int_{r_i}^{r_o} r dr}.$$

# 7.3 Kinematics of the residual and physiologic deformations

The *stress-free* (*reference*) configuration (Figure 1A) is defined in terms of cylindrical coordinates ( $R, \Theta, Z$ ) as

 $R_i \leq R \leq R_0, \quad 0 \leq \Theta \leq 2\pi - \alpha, \quad 0 \leq Z \leq L,$ 

where  $R_{i}$ ,  $R_{o}$ , a and L are the inner and outer radii, opening angle, and the length of the *stress-free* arterial segment, respectively. The deformation gradient  $F_{res}$  takes this configuration into the *load-free* state (Figure 1B) geometrically defined as

$$\rho_i \leq \rho \leq \rho_0, \quad 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 2\pi, \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq \xi,$$

in which

$$\label{eq:rho} \rho = \rho(R), \quad \vartheta = \frac{2\pi}{2\pi-\alpha} \, \Theta \;, \zeta = \lambda \zeta Z \,.$$

In cylindrical coordinates  $F_{res}$  is then given by

$$F_{res} = diag \left[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial R}, \frac{\rho}{R} \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial \Theta}, \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial Z} \right] = diag \left[ \lambda_{\rho}, \lambda_{\vartheta}, \lambda_{\zeta} \right],$$

where  $\lambda_{\rho}$ ,  $\lambda_{\vartheta}$  and  $\lambda_{\zeta}$  are the radial, circumferential and longitudinal residual stretches respectively, with  $\lambda_{\vartheta}$  given by(Ogden 1997; Holzapfel et al. 2000; Humphrey 2002; Sommer and Holzapfel 2012; Kamenskiy et al. 2014)

$$\lambda_{\vartheta} = \frac{\rho}{R} \mathbf{K}$$
 Eq. 9

and  $K = \frac{2\pi}{2\pi - \alpha}$  is a measure of the circumferential opening angle. Assuming incompressibility, one can write

$$\rho_o^2 - \rho_i^2 = \frac{1}{K\lambda_{\zeta}} (R_o^2 - R_i^2),$$
 Eq. 10

and similarly

$$\rho_o^2 - \rho^2 = \frac{1}{K\lambda_\zeta} (R_o^2 - R^2).$$
 Eq. 11

By substituting *R* form Eq. 11 in Eq. 9, the circumferential residual stretch  $\lambda_{\vartheta}$  can be calculated at each point through thickness as a function of  $\rho$ ,  $R_{\rho}$ , K,  $\lambda_{\vartheta}^{0}$ ,  $\lambda_{\zeta}$ , given by Eq. 6.

Further, deformation  $F_{load}$  takes the *load-free* configuration to the *loaded* (*current*) configuration (Figure 1C) which in cylindrical coordinates is defined as

$$r_i \le r \le r_0, \quad 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi, \quad 0 \le z \le l,$$

where

$$r = r(\rho), \theta = \vartheta, z = \lambda_Z \zeta$$
.

 $F_{load}$  is given by

$$F_{load} = diag\left[\frac{\partial r}{\partial \rho}, \frac{r}{\rho} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \vartheta}, \frac{\partial z}{\partial \zeta}\right] = diag[\lambda_r, \lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_z]$$

in which  $\lambda_{\theta} = \frac{r}{\rho}$  is the circumferential stretch. Assuming incompressibility, similar to Eq. 11

$$r_o^2 - r^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_z} (\rho_o^2 - \rho^2)$$
. Eq. 12

The total deformation gradient with respect to the stress-free configuration is then given by

$$F_{phys} = F_{load}F_{res},$$

in which, the circumferential stretch is calculated as

$$\lambda_{\theta}^{phys} = \lambda_{\theta}\lambda_{\theta} = \frac{\rho}{R}\mathbf{K} \times \frac{r}{\rho} = \frac{r}{R}\mathbf{K}$$

By substituting  $\rho_o^2 - \rho^2$  from Eq. 10 in Eq. 12, one can find  $\lambda_{\theta}^{phys}$  as a function of r,  $R_o$ , K,  $\lambda_{\theta}^o$ ,  $\lambda_{\zeta}$ ,  $\lambda_{z}$ , given by Eq. 7.

# 7.4 Equilibrium and boundary conditions

The total Cauchy stress in Eq. 3 (i.e.  $t = -pI + \bar{t}$ ) contains both volumetric and isochoric parts(Ogden 1997; Humphrey 2002; Holzapfel and Ogden 2010a). Constitutive parameters define the isochoric stress tensor  $\bar{t}$  (Section 2.2), but the volumetric component -pI needs to be determined from the equilibrium and boundary conditions(Humphrey 2002; Holzapfel and Ogden 2010a).

Assuming quasi-static motions(Humphrey 2002), in the absence of body forces, for each of the deformations depicted in Figure 1, the equilibrium equation is

$$div t = 0, Eq. 13$$

where div represents the spatial divergence. Eq. 13 can then be used to find the Lagrange multiplier p in Eq. 3, along with the unknown stretches.

For the deformation associated with the specimen flattening Eq. 13 reduces to(Ogden 1997)  $t_{11} = 0$ , and from Eq. 3 the volumetric part of the Cauchy stress can then be determined as  $p = \overline{t}_{11}$ .

In the *load-free* configuration, the only non-trivial component of Eq. 13 is(Humphrey 2002; Holzapfel and Ogden 2010a)

$$div \ t = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \frac{\partial t_{\rho\rho}}{\partial \rho} + \frac{t_{\rho\rho} - t_{\vartheta\vartheta}}{\rho} = 0$$

which reduces to

$$t_{\rho\rho}(\rho) = \int_{\rho_i}^{\rho} \frac{\bar{t}_{\vartheta\vartheta} - \bar{t}_{\rho\rho}}{\rho} d\rho, \qquad \text{Eq. 14}$$

for  $t_{\rho\rho}(\rho_i) = 0$ . The Lagrange multiplier can then be calculated at each point through the thickness of the artery by substituting  $t_{\rho\rho}$  into Eq. 3, i.e.

$$p(\rho) = \overline{t}_{\rho\rho} - t_{\rho\rho}$$

In addition, since axial force vanishes in the *load-free* configuration due to release of longitudinal pre-stretch, the axial global equilibrium can be written as(Humphrey 2002; Holzapfel and Ogden 2010a)

$$F_{\zeta} = 2\pi \int_{\rho_i}^{\rho_o} t_{\zeta\zeta} \rho d\rho = 0, \qquad \text{Eq. 15}$$

where  $F_{\zeta}$  denotes the axial force. The above equation can be further reduced to(Humphrey 2002)

$$F_{\zeta} = \pi \int_{\rho_i}^{\rho_o} \left( 2\bar{t}_{\zeta\zeta} - \bar{t}_{\rho\rho} - \bar{t}_{\vartheta\vartheta} \right) \rho d\rho = 0.$$
 Eq. 16

Eq. 14 and Eq. 16 combined with the boundary condition  $t_{\rho\rho}(\rho_0) = 0$  are then solved together to calculate the unknown stretches  $\lambda_{\vartheta}^o$  and  $\frac{\xi}{L}$  in Eq. 6.

Similar to Eq. 14, for the *loaded* configuration (Figure 1C) one can write

$$t_{rr}(r) = \int_{r_i}^{r} \frac{\overline{t}_{\theta\theta} - \overline{t}_{rr}}{r} dr - P_i, \qquad \text{Eq. 17}$$

where  $P_i$  is the internal luminal pressure, and the Lagrange multiplier is determined according to

$$p(r) = \overline{t}_{rr} - t_{rr}$$

Furthermore, assuming no pressure on the outer surface of the artery one can find

$$t_{rr}(r_o) = \int_{r_i}^{r_o} \frac{\overline{t}_{\theta\theta} - \overline{t}_{rr}}{r} dr - P_i = 0, \qquad \text{Eq. 18}$$

which can be used to find  $\lambda_{\theta}^{o}$  in Eq. 7. Finally, the axial force in the *loaded* configuration can be calculated from(Humphrey 2002)

$$F_z = \pi \int_{r_i}^{r_o} (2\bar{t}_{zz} - \bar{t}_{rr} - \bar{t}_{\theta\theta}) r dr.$$
 Eq. 19

# 7.5 Variability of the mechanical properties within age groups

The four-fiber family constitutive parameters adjusted for the effects of flattening and representing the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles within each age group, are summarized in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

#### Table 2:

Parameters for the four-fiber family constitutive model describing the isochoric behavior of human FPAs in 7 age groups at the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile. Parameters are adjusted for the effects of flattening. Here *n* is the sample size in each group that was used to derive these parameters. The coefficient of determination  $R^2$ =0.99 for all age groups.

Age group (years)	п	C <sub>gr</sub> (kPa)	$C_1^{el}(kPa)$	$C_2^{el}$	$C_1^{smc}(kPa)$	$C_2^{smc}$	$C_1^{col}(kPa)$	$C_1^{col}$	Ŷ
16.3 (11-20)	30	11.33	26.22	0.43	13.81	0.01	3.55	4.84	1.18
25.0 (21-30)	37	15.99	16.28	0.72	7.00	7.33	1.32	7.96	1.03
36.0 (31-40)	56	15.98	17.85	1.17	11.89	10.47	4.32	6.08	0.84
46.5 (41-50)	64	18.98	17.04	2.59	13.39	21.87	3.31	9.76	0.81
56.1 (51-60)	125	20.13	7.88	9.64	20.60	27.67	5.14	23.97	0.85
65.0 (61-70)	135	14.37	9.31	18.14	10.14	32.62	11.02	31.89	0.77
75.5 (70-80)	25	0.02	25.11	31.28	33.92	30.04	23.22	61.82	0.82

#### Table 3:

Parameters for the four-fiber family constitutive model describing the isochoric behavior of human FPAs in 7 age groups at the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile. Parameters are adjusted for the effects of flattening. Here *n* is the sample size in each group that was used to derive these parameters. The coefficient of determination  $R^2$ =0.99 for all age groups.

Age group (years)	n	$C_{gr}(kPa)$	$C_1^{el}(kPa)$	$C_2^{el}$	$C_1^{smc}(kPa)$	$C_2^{smc}$	$C_1^{col}(kPa)$	$C_1^{col}$	r
16.3 (11-20)	30	0.00	19.03	0.00	2.86	0.79	4.85	0.59	0.95
25.0 (21-30)	37	2.86	16.17	0.09	6.30	1.42	4.77	1.12	0.95
36.0 (31-40)	56	6.79	13.83	0.42	4.73	2.80	2.93	2.36	0.95
46.5 (41-50)	64	7.79	14.03	1.20	5.56	3.34	1.69	5.26	0.90
56.1 (51-60)	125	0.01	18.86	1.47	7.84	4.34	4.95	4.90	0.86
65.0 (61-70)	135	1.56	19.64	2.98	8.39	5.16	1.77	11.83	0.81
75.5 (70-80)	25	4.27	25.31	4.20	10.81	4.06	1.08	15.43	0.80

# REFERENCES

- Adam DJ, Beard JD, Cleveland T, et al. (2005) Bypass versus angioplasty in severe ischaemia of the leg (BASIL): multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 366:1925–34. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67704-5 [PubMed: 16325694]
- Alford PW, Humphrey JD, Taber LA (2008) Growth and remodeling in a thick-walled artery model: effects of spatial variations in wall constituents. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 7:245–262. doi: 10.1007/s10237-007-0101-2 [PubMed: 17786493]
- Brossollet LJ, Vito RP (1995) An alternate formulation of blood vessel mechanics and the meaning of the in vivo property. J Biomech 28:679–87. doi: 10.1016/0021-9290(94)00119-O [PubMed: 7601867]
- Bustamante R, Holzapfel GA (2010) Methods to compute 3D residual stress distributions in hyperelastic tubes with application to arterial walls. Int J Eng Sci 48:1066–1082. doi: 10.1016/j.ijengsci.2010.06.005

- Cardamone L, Valent?n A, Eberth JF, Humphrey JD (2009) Origin of axial prestretch and residual stress in arteries.
- Conte MS, Bandyk DF, Clowes AW, et al. (2006) Results of PREVENT III: a multicenter, randomized trial of edifoligide for the prevention of vein graft failure in lower extremity bypass surgery. J Vasc Surg 43:742–751. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2005.12.058 [PubMed: 16616230]
- Desyatova A, MacTaggart J, Kamenskiy A (2017a) Constitutive modeling of human femoropopliteal artery biaxial stiffening due to aging and diabetes. Acta Biomater 64:50–58. doi: 10.1016/ j.actbio.2017.09.042 [PubMed: 28974476]
- Desyatova A, Poulson W, Deegan P, et al. (2017b) Limb flexion-induced twist and associated intramural stresses In the human femoropopliteal artery. J R Soc Interface. doi: 10.1098/ rsif.2017.0025
- Desyatova A, Poulson W, MacTaggart J, et al. (2018) Cross-sectional pinching in human femoropopliteal arteries due to limb flexion, and stent design optimization for maximum cross-sectional opening and minimum intramural stresses. J R Soc Interface 15:10–14. doi: 10.1098/ rsif.2018.0475
- Feldman SA, Glagov S (1971) Transmedial collagen and elastin gradients in human aortas: reversal with age. Atherosclerosis 13:385–94. [PubMed: 5119239]
- Ferruzzi J, Achille P Di, Tellides G, Humphrey JD (2018) Combining in vivo and in vitro biomechanical data reveals key roles of perivascular tethering in central artery function. PLoS One 13:1–21. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201379
- Ferruzzi J, Vorp DA, Humphrey JD (2011) On constitutive descriptors of the biaxial mechanical behaviour of human abdominal aorta and aneurysms. J R Soc Interface 8:435–450. [PubMed: 20659928]
- Holzapfel G a., Ogden RW (2010a) Constitutive modelling of arteries. Proc R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci 466:1551–1597. doi: 10.1098/rspa.2010.0058
- Holzapfel GA, Gasser TC, Ogden RW, W OR (2000) A New Constitutive Framework For Arterial Wall Mechanics And A Comparative Study of Material Models. J Elast 61:1–48.
- Holzapfel GA, Ogden RW (2009) On planar biaxial tests for anisotropic nonlinearly elastic solids. A continuum mechanical framework. Math Mech Solids 14:474–489. doi: 10.1177/1081286507084411
- Holzapfel GA, Ogden RW (2010b) Modelling the layer-specific three-dimensional residual stresses in arteries, with an application to the human aorta. J R Soc Interface 7:787–799. [PubMed: 19828496]
- Holzapfel GA, Sommer G, Auer M, et al. (2007) Layer-specific 3D residual deformations of human aortas with non-atherosclerotic intimal thickening. Ann Biomed Eng 35:530–545. [PubMed: 17285364]
- Humphrey JD (2008) Vascular adaptation and mechanical homeostasis at tissue, cellular, and subcellular levels. Cell Biochem Biophys 50:53–78. doi: 10.1007/s12013-007-9002-3 [PubMed: 18209957]
- Humphrey JD (2002) Cardiovascular Solid Mechanics. Springer New York, New York, NY
- Humphrey JD, Dufresne ER, Schwartz M a. (2014) Mechanotransduction and extracellular matrix homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 15:802–812. doi: 10.1038/nrm3896 [PubMed: 25355505]
- Kamenskiy A, Pipinos I, Dzenis Y, et al. (2014) Passive biaxial mechanical properties and in vivo axial pre-stretch of the diseased human femoropopliteal and tibial arteries. Acta Biomater 10:1301– 1313. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2013.12.027 [PubMed: 24370640]
- Kamenskiy A, Seas A, Bowen G, et al. (2016) In situ longitudinal pre-stretch in the human femoropopliteal artery. Acta Biomater 32:231–237. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2016.01.002 [PubMed: 26766633]
- Kamenskiy A, Seas A, Deegan P, et al. (2017) Constitutive description of human femoropopliteal artery aging. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 16:681–692. doi: 10.1007/s10237-016-0845-7 [PubMed: 27771811]
- Kamenskiy AV, Pipinos II, Dzenis YA, et al. (2015) Effects of age on the physiological and mechanical characteristics of human femoropopliteal arteries. Acta Biomater 11:304–13. doi: 10.1016/ j.actbio.2014.09.050 [PubMed: 25301303]

- Keyes JT, Lockwood DR, Utzinger U, et al. (2013) Comparisons of planar and tubular biaxial tensile testing protocols of the same porcine coronary arteries. Ann Biomed Eng 41:1579–91. doi: 10.1007/s10439-012-0679-0 [PubMed: 23132151]
- MacTaggart JN, Phillips NY, Lomneth CS, et al. (2014) Three-dimensional bending, torsion and axial compression of the femoropopliteal artery during limb flexion. J Biomech 47:2249–2256. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.04.053 [PubMed: 24856888]
- Mahoney EM, Wang K, Cohen DJ, et al. (2008) One-year costs in patients with a history of or at risk for atherothrombosis in the United States. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 1:38–45. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCOUTCOMES.108.775247 [PubMed: 20031786]
- Maleckis K, Anttila E, Aylward P, et al. (2018) Nitinol Stents in the Femoropopliteal Artery: A Mechanical Perspective on Material, Design, and Performance. Ann Biomed Eng. doi: 10.1007/s10439-018-1990-1
- Ogden RW (1997) Non-linear elastic deformations. Dover Publications
- Poulson W, Kamenskiy A, Seas A, et al. (2018) Limb flexion-induced axial compression and bending in human femoropopliteal artery segments. J Vasc Surg 67:607–613. doi: 10.1016/ j.jvs.2017.01.071 [PubMed: 28526560]
- Saini A, Berry C, Greenwald S (1995) Effect of Age and Sex on Residual Stress in the Aorta. J Vasc Res 32:398–405. doi: 10.1159/000159115 [PubMed: 8562812]
- Schillinger M, Sabeti S, Dick P, et al. (2007) Sustained benefit at 2 years of primary femoropopliteal stenting compared with balloon angioplasty with optional stenting. Circulation 115:2745–9. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.688341 [PubMed: 17502568]
- Schillinger M, Sabeti S, Loewe C (2006) Balloon angioplasty versus implantation of nitinol stents in the superficial femoral artery. N Engl J Med 354:1879–1888. [PubMed: 16672699]
- Schulze-Bauer C a. J, Morth C, Holzapfel G a. (2003) Passive Biaxial Mechanical Response of Aged Human Iliac Arteries. J Biomech Eng 125:395. doi: 10.1115/1.1574331 [PubMed: 12929245]
- Sommer G, Benedikt C, Niestrawska JA, et al. (2017) Mechanical Response of Human Subclavian and Iliac Arteries to Extension, Inflation and Torsion. Acta Biomater. doi: 10.1016/ j.actbio.2018.05.043
- Sommer G, Holzapfel G a (2012) 3D constitutive modeling of the biaxial mechanical response of intact and layer-dissected human carotid arteries. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 5:116–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2011.08.013 [PubMed: 22100086]
- Sommer G, Regitnig P, Koltringer L, Holzapfel GA (2010) Biaxial mechanical properties of intact and layer-dissected human carotid arteries at physiological and supra-physiological loadings. Am J Physiol Hear Circ Physiol 298:H898–912.
- Stålhand J, Klarbring a (2005) Aorta in vivo parameter identification using an axial force constraint. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 3:191–9. doi: 10.1007/s10237-004-0057-4 [PubMed: 15776254]
- Vaishnav RN, Vossoughi J (1983) ESTIMATION OF RESIDUAL STRAINS IN AORTIC SEGMENTS In: Biomedical Engineering II. Elsevier, pp 330–333
- Valentín A, Humphrey JD, Holzapfel GA (2011) A multi-layered computational model of coupled elastin degradation, vasoactive dysfunction, and collagenous stiffening in aortic aging. Ann Biomed Eng 39:2027–45. doi: 10.1007/s10439-011-0287-4 [PubMed: 21380570]
- Van Loon P, Klip W, Bradley E (1977) Length-force and volume-pressure relationships of arteries. Biorheology 14:181–201. [PubMed: 912047]
- Weizsacker H, Lambert H, Pascale K (1983) Analysis of the passive mechanical properties of rat carotid arteries.

Jadidi et al.



#### Figure 1:

Kinematics of the FPA demonstrating (A) *stress-free* configuration obtained by radially opening the arterial ring and cutting out a longitudinal strip, (B) *load-free* configuration with no internal pressure or longitudinal pre-stretch, (C) *in vivo loaded* state, (D) *flattened* configuration, and (E) *biaxially-stretched* state. Note that in the *stress-free* state (A) longitudinal strip curves intima outward, likely due to tension in the longitudinally-oriented elastic fibers in the external elastic lamina(Kamenskiy et al. 2017), while opened ring curves intima inward.

Jadidi et al.



#### Figure 2:

Changes with age of the FPA radii in the (A) *loaded* and (B) *load-free* configurations, (C) *stress-free* thickness H, and (D) circumferential and longitudinal opening angles. Here boxes bound 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles for each age group, median and average values are marked with a line and a dot within each box, and whiskers extend to the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles.

Jadidi et al.



#### Figure 3:

Cauchy stress-stretch responses for the average FPA in each age group plotted in the (A,C, z) longitudinal and (B,D,  $\theta$ ) circumferential directions using adjusted (sold lines) and the non-adjusted (dashed lines) constitutive parameters. Legend indicates average age in each group (years). For clarity the graphs are separated into 4 panels. Variability within each age group for the adjusted parameters is demonstrated by the shaded semi-transparent regions that bound 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile ranges. They have different heights for better visual representation.

Jadidi et al.



# Figure 4:

Change in the (A) flattening stretches and (B) stresses averaged through the FPA wall thickness with age. Boxes bound  $25^{\text{th}}$  and  $75^{\text{th}}$  percentiles for each age group, median and average values are marked with a line and a dot within each box, and whiskers extend to the  $5^{\text{th}}$  and  $95^{\text{th}}$  percentiles.

Jadidi et al.



#### Figure 5:

Changes in the physiologic stress-stretch state with age calculated using the adjusted (solid lines) and the non-adjusted (dashed lines) constitutive parameters. Panel (A) illustrates changes in stretches (red, magenta – circumferential; blue, dark cyan – longitudinal), and panel (B) in stresses with age, both calculated using through-thickness averaging. Panels (C) and (D) demonstrate changes in the axial force and circumferential stiffness with age, respectively. Boxes bound 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles for each age group, median and average values are marked with a line and a dot within each box, and whiskers extend to the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles. Boxes are plotted for the data adjusted for the flattening deformations. Note that the results obtained using the adjusted and the non-adjusted constitutive parameters follow the same trends, and the differences are much smaller than the variability within the age groups.

Jadidi et al.



#### Figure 6:

Changes in the physiologic stress-stretch state with age at the intimal and adventitial surfaces calculated using parameters adjusted (solid lines) and non-adjusted (dashed lines) for the flattening effects. Panels (A) and (B) describe changes in the longitudinal and circumferential stresses at the intimal (red, magenta) and adventitial (blue, dark cyan) surfaces, respectively. Boxes bound 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles for each age group, median and average values are marked with a line and a dot within each box, and whiskers extend to the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles. Boxes are plotted for the data adjusted for the flattening deformations.

Jadidi et al.



#### Figure 7:

(A) In situ longitudinal pre-stretch ( $\lambda_z^{in \ situ}$ , blue) compared with the decoupling pre-stretch

 $(\lambda_z^{decoupling}, \text{red and magenta})$  at which the internal pressure becomes independent of the axial force; (B) change in the FPA stored energy (i.e.  $W = W_{sys} - W_{dias})$  with age calculated using the adjusted parameters (solid lines) and the non-adjusted parameters (dashed lines). Boxes bound 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles for each age group, median and average values are marked with a line and a dot within each box, and whiskers extend to the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles. Boxes are plotted for the data adjusted for the flattening deformations.

#### Table 1:

Parameters for the four-fiber family constitutive model describing the isochoric response of human FPAs in 7 age groups. Parameters represent the average FPA within each age group and are adjusted for the effects of flattening. Here *n* is the sample size in each age group that was used to derive these parameters. The coefficient of determination  $R^2$ =0.99 for all age groups.

Age group (years)	n	C <sub>gr</sub> (kPa)	$C_1^{el}(kPa)$	$C_2^{el}$	$C_1^{smc}(kPa)$	$C_2^{smc}$	$C_1^{col}(kPa)$	$C_1^{col}$	Ŷ
16.3 (11-20)	30	3.41	40.78	0.04	0.13	4.18	10.46	0.97	61.66
25.0 (21-30)	37	12.55	29.80	0.32	6.62	3.37	3.66	3.68	56.55
36.0 (31-40)	56	9.38	34.43	0.75	6.88	4.03	4.00	4.60	55.11
46.5 (41-50)	64	9.63	39.41	1.82	10.93	6.31	5.40	7.21	50.58
56.1 (51-60)	125	5.05	41.09	2.91	14.75	8.24	7.72	10.16	50.23
65.0 (61-70)	135	3.96	45.24	6.30	15.91	10.51	8.19	18.84	48.35
75.5 (70-80)	25	3.05	38.53	10.34	26.55	10.42	7.56	24.82	50.96