



This is a repository copy of *Cerebrovascular development: mechanisms and experimental approaches*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/172571/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Chico, T.J.A. orcid.org/0000-0002-7458-5481 and Kugler, E.C. orcid.org/0000-0003-2536-6140 (2021) *Cerebrovascular development: mechanisms and experimental approaches*. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. ISSN 1420-682X

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-021-03790-1>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>



Cerebrovascular development: mechanisms and experimental approaches

Timothy J. A. Chico^{1,2,3} · Elisabeth C. Kugler^{1,2,3}

Received: 22 June 2020 / Revised: 4 February 2021 / Accepted: 12 February 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

The cerebral vasculature plays a central role in human health and disease and possesses several unique anatomic, functional and molecular characteristics. Despite their importance, the mechanisms that determine cerebrovascular development are less well studied than other vascular territories. This is in part due to limitations of existing models and techniques for visualisation and manipulation of the cerebral vasculature. In this review we summarise the experimental approaches used to study the cerebral vessels and the mechanisms that contribute to their development.

Keywords Brain · Endothelial cells · Immune cells · Lymphatics · Mural cells · Vasculature

Introduction

The endothelial cells (ECs) of different vascular territories possess distinct molecular and function identities [1–4]. The cerebral ECs are of particular clinical relevance due to their roles in human diseases including stroke, cerebrovascular malformations and vascular dementia [5, 6]. In addition, neurological diseases including neurodegeneration and Alzheimer's disease share risk factors with other vascular diseases and are increasingly believed to have vascular components [7, 8]. Cerebrovascular diseases together incur substantial morbidity and mortality, claiming eighteen million lives annually and consuming 8–21% of total healthcare expenditure, impacts which are increasing due to an ageing population [5, 6, 9–12]. In this review, we discuss the

current understanding of cerebrovascular determination and development.

Models and tools for studying cerebrovascular development

Much of our understanding of the molecular and cellular mechanisms of EC biology comes from *in vitro* studies. There are arrays of such models from simple monolayers to more complex environments including matrix assays, 3D gels, and organ cultures (reviewed in [13, 14]). These allow examination of the effect of candidate molecules and mechanisms on *in vitro* cell behaviours; and to attempt to reproduce the *in vivo* milieu there have been significant technological advancements in three-dimensional and complex microenvironments. This is exemplified by microfluidic platforms mimicking the cerebral environment and cerebrovascular properties, such as neurovascular interactions [15], and the blood–brain-barrier (BBB) [16, 17]. Despite their advantages, *in vitro* studies provide limited insight into the influence of tissue context and the dynamic processes during development, with ECs *in vitro* even displaying opposite characteristics to those *in vivo* [18]. To understand cerebrovascular development therefore necessitates *in vivo* studies (Fig. 1).

Rats and mice have been extensively used for basic and translational cerebrovascular studies due to their similar neuroanatomy to human, detailed genome mapping, and

✉ Timothy J. A. Chico
t.j.chico@sheffield.ac.uk

✉ Elisabeth C. Kugler
kugler.elisabeth@gmail.com
<https://www.elisabethkugler.com/>

¹ Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, Medical School, University of Sheffield, Beech Hill Road, Sheffield S10 2RX, UK

² The Bateson Centre, Firth Court, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

³ Insigneo Institute for in Silico Medicine, The Pam Liversidge Building, Sheffield S1 3JD, UK




Fig. 1 Summary of models used to study cerebrovascular development

sophisticated murine genetic techniques [19]. The retina provides an excellent, well characterised model to study cerebrovascular ECs. The optic vesicle forms from the CNS in early embryogenesis [20, 21] allowing study of the interactions between neurons and cerebral ECs. However, *ex vivo* retina studies require sacrifice of the animal, preventing *in vivo* imaging. *In utero* development and skull formation make direct observation of developmental processes challenging. This was recently addressed by a novel method mouse intravital imaging [22]. Still, imaging of the intracerebral vasculature technically challenging, requiring a surgically-created cranial window. Imaging the cerebral vessels is now possible for several weeks [23–25], with greater imaging depth achieved by 3-photon fluorescence microscopy [26] and long wavelength reflectance confocal microscopy [27]. Despite such improvements *in vivo* imaging, most rodent studies of cerebral vessels remain histological. Advances such as tissue clearing [28–32] and light sheet fluorescence microscopy (LSFM) [33–35], allow whole brains to be imaged without sectioning. However, tissue processing can introduce artefacts and methods for vascular quantification, especially in 3D, are still limited. Despite this, the mouse remains the model most suitable for sophisticated genetic approaches that provide insights into the mechanisms of cerebrovascular development. These includes vascular-specific cre-recombinase [36, 37], virus-mediated gene transfer [38, 39], and optogenetic approaches [40, 41].

Zebrafish are an increasingly used non-mammalian model to study vascular formation and function. The embryos develop rapidly *ex utero*, making them accessible

from the earliest stages of development [42, 43]. This allows genetic constructs to be microinjected into fertilised eggs, facilitating genetic manipulation, and transgenesis. Larval transparency and an array of transgenic reporter lines allows non-invasive observation of organogenesis in real-time. These advantages have made zebrafish an excellent model to observe *in vivo* cardiovascular development over time [44]. Most studies of zebrafish vascular development have focused on the trunk vasculature that has a simple and stereotypical anatomy, but the mechanisms determining the form and function of the cerebral vessels are now increasingly studied. The cerebral and trunk vasculature form as two separate vascular beds which subsequently merge [45, 46]. Despite the many advantages of zebrafish it should be noted that studying the adult zebrafish cerebral vasculature is limited by skull formation as in rodents. Thus, studying cerebral blood vessels in 3D *in vivo* in any adult species remains a major challenge. In addition, although the zebrafish is readily genetically manipulated to generate mutants and transgenics, the ability to induce tissue-specific gene manipulation is not as well-established as in the mouse.

In addition to *in vitro*, *in situ*, and *in vivo* approaches, *in silico* computational models have advanced our understanding on cerebrovascular development, dynamics, and disease. This is exemplified by models of cell behaviour depending on growth factors in microenvironments, which are particularly strong when linked to *in vivo* studies [47–49]. Similarly, large scale modelling, such as *in silico* studies of haemodynamic forces provide novel insights into cerebrovascular biology and disease [50–52]. To

establish such predictive models, descriptive models are often required first to enable the establishment of boundary conditions. As such, (bio)medical image analysis and cerebrovascular quantification tools are not only essential to understand vascular biology, but also to allow such modelling approaches [53–56]. These models are complemented by an increasing data availability and analysis on single-cell transcriptomics, cellular diversity, cross-species diversity as well as regional specializations [4, 57–59].

Together, a wide array of tools and models exist to understand, assess, and model cerebrovascular development.

Signalling pathways driving cerebrovascular development

The key molecular drivers of vasculogenesis, angiogenesis, vessel maturation, arteriovenous specification, and vascular remodelling are well conserved between species (reviewed extensively [60–62]). However, our understanding of these pathways is drawn from a wide range of in vitro and in vivo models, and not all have been well studied in cerebrovascular development. Below we briefly summarise these key pathways and discuss their roles in cerebral vessel development (Table 1).

Table 1 Overview of signalling pathways driving vascular development, their key roles and the vascular territory in which they have been shown to be functioning

Pathway	Main component(s)	Main role(s)	Cerebral vasculature	Non-cerebral vasculature	References
VEGF	<i>VEGF-A</i>	Vasculogenesis, angiogenesis	Yes	Yes	[65, 66]
	<i>VEGF-B</i>	BBB formation and vessel maintenance	Yes		[67–69]
	<i>VEGF-C, VEGF-D</i>	Lymphangiogenesis	Yes	Yes	[70, 71, 73–75]
	<i>VEGF-3/Flt4</i>	Angiogenesis	Yes		[77, 78]
	<i>VEGFR-1/Flt1</i>	“Decoy” receptor	Unknown	Yes	[79–82]
Hippo	<i>YAP/TAZ</i>	Angiogenesis, tip cell migration and barrierogenesis	Yes	Yes	[84–88]
Notch	<i>dll4, notch1b, notch3</i>	Inhibitory function in vasculogenesis, angiogenesis, arteriovenous differentiation	Yes	Yes	[89–94, 94–105]
FGF	<i>Fgf-2</i>	Mesodermal angioblasts, angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis	Unknown	Yes	[113–117, 169]
HH	<i>ihh (indian)</i>	Yolks sac angiogenesis	Unknown	Yes	[118, 121, 122]
	<i>dhh (desert HH)</i>	BBB	Yes		[126]
	<i>shh (sonic HH)</i>	EC tubes, arteriovenous differentiation	Unknown		[123–125]
TGF- β	<i>Alk1, Endoglin</i>	EC migration, lumenization	Yes	Yes	[61, 129–135]
Wnt	<i>Wnt7a/b</i>	EC sprouting, BBB, impacts FGF signalling	Yes		[142–146, 148, 149]
Neuropilin	<i>Nrp1</i>	Arteries	Yes	Yes	[153, 154]
	<i>Nrp2</i>	Veins and lymphatics	Yes	Yes	[153, 154]
Semaphorins	Class3	Inhibition of angiogenesis	Yes	Yes	[164–167]
	Class6A	Angiogenesis	Yes		[168]
	Class7A	Angiogenesis		Yes	[171]
Netrins		EC migration and proliferation, BBB, anti-inflammatory	Yes	Yes	[174–180]
Robo/Slit	<i>Robo4</i>	Angiogenesis, cell chemotaxis, neuronal development	Yes	Yes	[183–185]
Sprouty		Inhibition of VEGF and FGF	Yes		[186, 187, 191]
PDGF		Vessel maturation by mural cell recruitment, vascular stability, neuronal activity, BBB	Yes	Yes	[192–198, 451]
Angiopoietin/Tie		Vessel maturation by mural cell recruitment, vascular stability	Yes	Yes	[199–206]
Ephrins	<i>Ephrin-B2</i>	Expressed on arteries	Yes	Yes	[216, 217, 221–223]
	<i>EphB4</i>	Expressed on veins	Yes	Yes	[218, 219, 221–223]

The Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A-E (VEGF A-E) family of ligands are pro-angiogenic guidance cues that induce EC proliferation, migration, and vascular permeability [63, 64], mediated via VEGF receptor (VEGFR) tyrosine kinases (RTK). VEGF-A signalling is mainly mediated by VEGFR-2/Kdr/Flk1, the “master regulator” of angiogenesis required for cerebral and non-cerebral angiogenesis [65, 66].

VEGF-B acts via VEGFR-1/flt-1 and Neuropilin 1 to play a role in blood–brain barrier (BBB) formation and cerebral vessel maintenance in rodents [67, 68]. In zebrafish, VEGF-B is indispensable for neuronal and cerebrovascular development with embryonic lethality upon loss of the duplicated orthologue *vegfa*, but not *vegfb* [69]. VEGF-C and VEGF-D mainly interact with VEGFR-3/Flt4 and promote lymphangiogenesis in vertebrates [70–72]. While VEGF-C is pivotal for embryonic lymphatic development, VEGF-D is required for inflammatory lymphatic growth and the response to brain injury [73, 74]. However, in zebrafish the roles of *vegfc* and *vegfd* are less clear-cut, with *vegfd* required for angiogenesis and facial lymphangiogenesis [75] and *vegfc*-driven VEGFR-3/Flt4 signalling playing a role in trunk angiogenesis [76].

The complex roles of receptor-based signalling are exemplified by the fact that global loss of VEGFR-3/Flt4 results in reduced cerebral EC developmental angiogenesis in mice [77], while EC specific loss results in hyper-sprouting of hindbrain and retina ECs [78]. In contrast to the above RTKs, VEGFR-1/Flt1 acts as a “decoy” receptor, binding VEGF-A with high affinity, but low RTK activity [79–82]. In zebrafish, *flt1* is an important negative regulator for trunk angiogenesis [83], but whether this applies to cerebral ECs remains unclear.

Yes-associated protein (YAP) and its paralog transcriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif (TAZ) are Hippo pathway effectors, which mediate VEGF-VEGFR2 signalling during angiogenesis [84]. In mice, YAP/TAZ-CDC42 signalling regulates retinal vascular tip cell migration [85] and loss of YAP/TAZ leads to altered cerebral angiogenesis and vascular barrier formation [86]. Additionally, in zebrafish, YAP plays a role in cerebral and non-cerebral blood vessels maintenance [87] (reviewed in [88]).

Notch signalling counter-balances VEGF signalling, providing inhibitory functions in angiogenesis and cell proliferation [89, 90]. Notch and VEGF signalling co-ordinate *tip-stalk-cell formation* and angiogenic sprouting, with high VEGFR expression in tip cells and Notch receptor expression in stalk cells [91–95]. Tip and stalk cells of the cerebral vasculature can dynamically shuffle position *in vitro* and in zebrafish [49]. Alterations in Notch signalling impact cerebrovascular patterning and identity [96, 97], demonstrating Notch is essential for cerebrovascular development and maintenance.

Mathematical models have provided insights into tip-stalk-cell selection and vascular sprouting. Although some of these models compare computational findings to *in vivo* data, the impacts of EC microenvironments and vascular territories remain to be modelled in more depth [47, 92, 98] (for reviews see [99–101]). Notch signalling is also crucial for *arterial differentiation and maintenance* with conserved functions in different model organisms and vascular beds (cerebral and non-cerebral) [73, 82–84]. Notch dysregulation is common in human genetic cerebrovascular diseases such as Cerebral Autosomal Dominant Arteriopathy with Subcortical Infarcts and Leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL) [105–107] and Cerebral Cavernous Malformation (CCM) [108, 109] in which small cerebral capillaries develop, are remodelled, or function abnormally.

Fibroblast Growth Factor 2 (FGF-2) promotes angiogenesis in chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane [110], mouse cornea [111], zebrafish non-cerebral vessels [112, 113], and murine subcutaneous Matrigel plug [114]. Additionally, FGF-2/VEGF-C plays a role in lymphangiogenesis [115]. Whether this applies to cerebrovascular development requires further examination, which may be clinically important, since FGFR1 gain-of-function mutations are found in cerebral glioblastoma [116].

The Hedgehog (HH) morphogen family includes sonic hedgehog (*shh*), indian hedgehog (*ihh*), and desert hedgehog (*dhh*), which all play roles in vascular development (reviewed in [117]). *Ihh* is required for yolk sac blood island formation in mice [118, 119], while *shh* is needed for vascular tube formation in mice, birds and *in vitro* [120–122]. The role of *dhh* in angiogenesis is less clear, but *dhh* produced by cerebral ECs is required for BBB formation [123, 124]. HH impacts via angiopoietin-1 is required for cerebral EC attachment but not arteriovenous differentiation in zebrafish [125], suggesting that HH is likely to impact cerebrovascular development on multiple spatiotemporal levels.

The Transforming Growth Factor- β (TGF- β) family includes TGF- β s, bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), activins, and inhibins. Their role in angiogenesis is not fully elucidated as impacts are dose-dependent and TGF- β component ablation is often embryonically lethal (reviewed in [61, 126, 127]). Alk1 (type I receptor; TGF β R1; or activin receptor-like kinase 1, ACVRL1) [128, 129] and Endoglin (type III receptor; TGF β R3) [130–132] are specifically expressed in EC and are required for both cerebral and non-cerebral EC formation. Recent studies in mouse retinae [133, 134] and zebrafish non-cerebral vessels [135, 136] show that Endoglin plays a crucial role in mouse retinal angiogenesis [133] and is also implicated in hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) which may cause cerebral cerebrovascular arteriovenous malformations with upregulation of VEGF signalling [133, 134]. Alk1 regulates EC migration

in lumenized cerebral vessels [137], and Alk3 is required for cerebral venous identity [138].

Wnt signalling plays important roles in angiogenesis and vascular remodelling [139–142]. Wnt/ β -catenin signalling is required for CNS, but not non-cerebral, angiogenesis in mice [143]. Wnt regulates FGF activity in cerebrovascular development in zebrafish [144] and Wnt7a/Wnt7b-specific signalling is required for cerebrovascular angiogenesis in both zebrafish [145] and mouse [146]. Moreover, Wnt signalling is essential for BBB formation and maintenance [147–149].

Neuropilin (Nrp) receptors are expressed in specific EC types with Nrp-1 in arteries and Nrp-2 in veins and lymphatics (reviewed in [150, 151]). Nrp-1 mediates murine cerebral EC angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis [152, 153]. While it is believed that Nrp-1 impacts angiogenesis via interacting with VEGF, increasing evidence in mice suggests Nrp-1 might impact vascular permeability independently of VEGF [152, 154]. Conversely, *in vitro* studies show that VEGF can induce Nrp-1 [155]. Understanding the impact of Nrps is complicated by the finding that the Nrp-1 cytoplasmic domain is dispensable for cerebral and non-cerebral EC angiogenesis, but promotes arteriovenous separation [156]. Nrp1 and Nrp2 double-knockouts in mice are embryonically lethal, and lack both cerebral and non-cerebral vasculature [157].

In zebrafish, all four Neuropilins play roles in angiogenesis, with loss of *nrp1b* and *nrp2a* leading to cerebral vascular abnormalities [158, 159]. A recent study suggests Nrp-1 signalling is indispensable for vascular development and that Nrp-signals via VEGF [69]. Increasing evidence also suggests Nrp influence cerebral angiogenesis via TGF β and PDGF [127, 160].

Semaphorins were originally identified as axon-guidance cues but also mediate angiogenesis. **Class 3 semaphorins** inhibit angiogenesis in both non-cerebral [161–163] and cerebral ECs in mice [164]. While **Semaphorin 6A** regulates angiogenesis in the mouse retina [165], **Semaphorin 7A** mediates angiogenesis in mouse non-cerebral ECs [166]. Semaphorins also impact angiogenesis of non-cerebral ECs in the zebrafish [167, 168], but cerebral vessels have not been studied.

Netrins are axonal guidance and attraction cues [163, 163] and play a role in angiogenesis, influencing EC migration and proliferation in CAM, zebrafish trunk vessel, and mouse retinae [171–173] as well as anti-inflammatory functions [174–176]. In the cerebral vasculature, netrins play a role in BBB integrity [170, 177, 178].

The Roundabout receptors (Robo) and Slit ligands impact angiogenesis, EC chemotaxis, and neuronal development (reviewed in [179]). Robo4 is essential for angiogenesis *in vivo* in the zebrafish trunk vasculature and mouse *ex vivo* retina [180, 181]. Cerebrovascular roles of Robo/Slit are yet to be defined.

Sprouty ligands inhibit Ras–ERK MAPK signalling, creating a negative feedback loop for VEGF and FGF signalling [182, 183] that inhibits angiogenesis. Studies in zebrafish, mice, and human show different Sprouty ligands are expressed in the brain [184–186] (reviewed in [187]), but their roles in cerebrovascular formation are undefined.

Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) is a chemoattractant mitogen. PDGF-B and its receptor PDGFR β [188–190] are required for recruitment of pericytes to cerebral vessels [191] and play a role in blood–brain-barrier (BBB) development, post-stroke, and neurodegenerative diseases [192–194].

Angiopoietin ligands and their RTKs Tie receptors play crucial roles in mural cell recruitment and vascular stability [195–197]. Angiopoietin-1 promotes vascular stability, while Angiopoietin-2 decreases stability and promotes vascular remodelling [198–202]. An underlying mechanism for this difference in function is differential Tie-2 receptor phosphorylation [203]. Human EC and mouse data suggests that Tie-1 regulates Tie-2 intracellular trafficking [204]. Angiopoietin-1 regulates cerebrovascular permeability in human brain microvascular endothelial cells *in vitro* [205], while studies in fish showed that Angiopoietin-1 impacts brain size [206]. Angiopoietin-2 is dysregulated in mouse cerebral cavernous malformation (CCM) [207], but its inhibition rescues arteriovenous malformation (AVM) in a hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HTT) mouse model [208]. Additionally, macrophage-derived Angiopoietin-Like Protein 2 aggravates post-ischemia inflammation [209] and Angiopoietin like-4 mediates capillary cerebral amyloid angiopathy, a feature of some human neurological diseases [210].

The ephrin-B2 ligand and EphB4 receptor are crucial regulators of vascular morphogenesis (reviewed in [211]). Ephrin-B2 is expressed on arteries and EphB4 on veins. Loss of function results in defective angiogenesis in cerebral vessels in mice [212, 213]. EphB4 modulates VEGFR-2 signalling through phospho-ERK1/2 in cerebral ECs [214, 215]. Ephrin-B2 is pro-angiogenic in mouse cerebral ECs [216], and Ephrins also play a role in neural patterning (reviewed in [217–219]) as well as neuropathologies [220, 221].

The role of blood flow in cerebrovascular development

Blood flow impacts EC gene expression and cell behaviour via flow-derived forces [222, 223]. Studies in mice show that hemodynamic forces directly impact cerebrovascular topology by remodelling cerebrovascular (cornea [224] and retinal [225]) vessels. Hemodynamic forces appear to impact EC migration rather than cell death in all vascular beds, and Notch signalling regulates this in zebrafish [226] and mice [227–229]. Blood flow also impacts EC gene expression and

epigenetics, of relevance to cerebrovascular diseases such as aneurysm, stroke, and neurodegeneration [5, 7, 230, 231] but also crucial for stabilization of arterio-venous identity after molecular initiation [213, 232] (reviewed in [233–235]). In zebrafish, although arterio-venous differentiation of trunk vessels is understood in great detail, cerebrovascular identity establishment is less well described [226, 236–239].

Blood flow also plays a crucial role in *vascular lumenization*. How this is achieved in different vascular beds and in different species is not fully understood. In zebrafish, different mechanisms of non-cerebral vessel lumenization are proposed, including ‘*vacuole fusion*’ [240, 241] and ‘*inverse membrane blebbing*’ [242] in ISVs, ‘*lumen ensheathment*’ in common cardinal veins (CCVs) [243], and ‘*hollowing*’ of the dorsal cord [244]. How cerebral vessels are lumenized is unclear and only indirect evidence suggests cerebrovascular lumenization by ‘*budding*’ [245]. In mice and human, lumenization is even less well understood and studies suggest ‘*intracellular vacuoles*’ and ‘*cord-hollowing*’ are potential mechanisms [246–248]. Lastly, blood flow plays a role in brain ventricular expansion [249], indirectly impacting cerebral vessel development via brain morphogenesis.

The role of cilia in angiogenesis

Immotile primary cilia on EC sense blood flow and are disrupted by shear stress in human ECs [250] as well as on non-cerebral ECs in zebrafish [251] and mice [252, 253]. Loss of primary cilia in mice impairs EC vascular integrity and homeostasis [254] and heart development leading to aneurysms and atherosclerosis [255], while endothelial primary cilia are dispensable for vascular development but are atheroprotective [253]. In zebrafish the subcellular localization of cerebrovascular primary EC cilia is independent of blood flow and cardiac contractions [256]. Primary cilia also impact EC polarization and migratory behaviour in the mouse retina [257] and regulate hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell specification in the zebrafish aorta-gonad mesonephros [258].

Ependymal motile cilia are required for brain development and CSF flow directionality in zebrafish [259]. Similarly in mice, cilia are essential for the brain ependyma and choroid plexus development [260, 261], and adult CSF flow [262].

Loss of cilia in *embryonic* zebrafish results in cerebrovascular EC defects [256, 257, 263], cerebral haemorrhage [125, 264], and reduced arterial vSMCs of non-cerebral vessels [265]. It remains unclear whether cilia loss impacts cerebrovascular vSMC and the BBB. Interestingly, loss of cilia in *juvenile and adult* zebrafish does not result in gross vascular defects in cerebral and non-cerebral vascular beds [266].

Non-endothelial cells required for cerebrovascular development

Pericytes and vascular smooth muscle cells (vSMCs) collectively known as vascular mural cells (MCs), ensheath vessels abluminally, providing vascular stability and homeostasis [197, 267, 268]. Previously it was believed that trunk MCs are mesoderm derived, while cerebral MCs are neural crest and mesoderm derived [97, 269]. Recent studies suggests transdifferentiation of macrophages into pericytes, vSMCs, and ECs may contribute to vascular MCs [270–272]. Such transdifferentiation was recently shown in mice [273] but whether this occurs in other species is unknown. With technical advancements in mouse transgenesis and in vivo imaging, it is possible to study cerebral MCs in developing [274] and adult [275] mice, showing that MCs are heterogeneous and structurally plastic. In both zebrafish and mice, impairment of mural cell coverage leads to BBB breakdown [192, 276, 277].

Radial glia, also called astroglia, are neural stem cells, support neuronal migration, and regulate CNS angiogenesis [278–280]. Radial glia, moreover, impact astrocyte differentiation, thus contribute to neurovascular unit functionality [281]. As radial glia in zebrafish harbour high regenerative capacity, zebrafish are a suitable model to study CNS regeneration [282–284].

Astrocytes are specialised cerebral and spinal cord glial cells that ensheath blood vessel with astrocytic end-feet. They provide structural support and as part of the BBB, play a role in tripartite synapse homeostasis and regulate blood flow [285–292]. Astrocytes play a key role in neuron maintenance as well as ionic and osmotic brain homeostasis [293–295]. Increasing evidence suggests astrocytes are a potential link for vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia [294]. A murine study found that neurons, which are ensheathed by astrocytes, form a migration scaffold for blood vessels with astrocyte-derived VEGF being crucial for angiogenesis [296], while another showed that oxygen provided by retinal ECs promotes astrocyte differentiation [297].

The role of immune cells in EC development, inflammation, repair, and cancer has gained increased attention. Macrophages are of particular clinical interest as tumour associated macrophages (TAMs) are associated with cancer prognosis [298, 299] and TAMs contribute to brain malignancies [300–302] and glioma progression [303]. In intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH), macrophages play a role in the secondary post-stroke phase and represent a therapeutic target [304, 305]. While mice have been the main model of choice to examine cerebral haemorrhage, zebrafish models also allow study of ICH [306–308]. Macrophages also monitor neuronal activity and impact neuronal structural

remodelling in mice [309] and zebrafish [310], and thus may impact cerebral ECs indirectly via neurons. Macrophages can act as cellular chaperones guiding cerebral vessel formation [311] and clear apoptotically pruned cerebral ECs [312] during zebrafish development. During tissue repair, zebrafish macrophages mediate vascular repair in cerebral ECs [313] and have been shown to migrate faster when in contact with trunk vessels compared with non-vascular cells [314], though whether this applies to cerebral ECs is unknown.

Many studies on *neutrophils* examine their roles in cancer and the tumour microenvironment (review [315, 316]). Neutrophils play a role in VEGF signalling and matrix-metalloproteinase secretion, contributing to tumour angiogenesis, and metastasis in mice [317, 318], while inhibition of VEGF signalling decreased tumour angiogenesis but increased neutrophil-mediated metastasis in zebrafish [319]. Very few publications examine the role of neutrophils in cerebrovascular development, and neutrophils do not enter the brain under normal conditions [320].

Neurons and ECs use common guidance molecules for repulsion and retraction (*e.g. ephrins, semaphorins, slits and netrins*, see above), and share functional and molecular similarities in pathfinding, growth, migration, and differentiation [321–323]. This structural parallel growth of vessels and neurons, called *neurovascular congruency* [324], is important in shaping mouse cerebral vessels [322, 325–327], but it has been suggested that vessels and neurons are independently patterned in more complex 3D tissues such as mouse whiskers [328]. Additionally, studies in murine non-cerebral ECs showed that motor-neurons impact vascular patterning in the spinal cord [329], while blood vessels are important regulators of neural stem cell properties [330]. Zebrafish studies of cerebral *neurovascular congruency* suggest the vasculature is required for hindbrain development [331] and ganglia projections [332]. Conversely ablation of oxytocin in the hypothalamo-neurohypophyseal system results in defects of vascular patterning [333] and motor neurons were shown to be essential for vascular pathfinding in non-cerebral vessels [334]. Besides these structural and molecular interactions, cerebrovascular ECs and neurons interact functionally via *neurovascular coupling* (NVC; or functional hyperemia). NVC increases local cerebral blood flow in response to increased neuronal activity, orchestrated by ECs, neurons, astrocytes, and vSMCs [335–337]. Evidence in human and rodents showed that NVC is impaired with age [338–341] and neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's [8, 342–345].

How NVC develops in humans is currently unclear due to the difficulty in age-matching of patients and the lack of long-term studies [346, 347]. Studies in rats showed absent or negative blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signals in functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI)

with increased BOLD signals 2–3 weeks postnatally [348, 349]. In zebrafish NVC develops between 6-to-8dpf and high glucose exposure impairs NVC, mirroring human diabetes [350, 351].

The role of the extracellular matrix in cerebrovascular development

The vascular basal lamina (BL) is a thin, dense cross-linked network of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins forming a thin barrier (mainly *laminin, collagen IV, nidogen/entactin, and heparin sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs)*). It is synthesised by ECs, astrocytes, and pericytes (reviewed in [352–354]). Previously the BL was considered a passive scaffold providing structural support for ECs, astrocytes, and pericytes by adhering to the BL via integrins and proteoglycans. New interest emerged due to its role in angiogenesis, BBB integrity, and pathology (reviewed in [355, 356]) and the finding that BL-composition is highly tissue-specific and altered in disease [357–360]. **Laminins** are pivotal for basement membrane integrity in the eye [361], retinal angiogenesis [362], and BBB integrity [363, 364]. A murine study suggested the role of BL laminins in retinal angiogenesis is mediated via recruitment of microglia [365]. *Laminin/integrin-induced Dll4/Notch-signalling* is crucial in tip cell formation in retinal cerebrovascular ECs in mice [366] and in vitro in primary human ECs [367]. **Collagen IV** is essential for organism-wide BL maintenance but dispensable for initial assembly [368]. Loss of Col4a1 leads to cerebral-specific haemorrhage and porencephaly [369, 370]. In zebrafish, loss of collagen IV impacts axonogenesis [371], but its impact on ECs is unknown. *Nidogen/entactin* links the laminin and collagen IV networks [372]. Loss of nidogen-1 results in neurological defects and structural alteration of brain capillaries with BL thinning in mice [373], while loss of nidogen-2 resulted in no obvious phenotypic effect [374]. Murine nidogen-1 and nidogen-2 co-regulate and are enriched in ECs [375], but this did not examine cerebral ECs. Cardiac and pulmonary haemorrhage upon nidogen loss is reported, but again no data on cerebrovascular ECs is available [376]. In zebrafish four nidogen family members (*nid1a, nid1b, nid2a* and *nid2b*) are predicted to exist, but only loss of *nid1a* has been studied with a phenotype of reduced body length [377]. Three different **HSPGs** are found in the vascular BL, namely *perlecan, agrin, and collagen XVII* [378]. *Perlecan* modulates cell adhesion, proliferation, and growth factor signalling [379]. Perlecan is lost within hours after cerebral ischemic stroke in non-human primates [380]. In mice, perlecan is not required for early angiogenesis, but loss of perlecan results in cerebrovascular defects, brain hemorrhage [381], and profound cardiovascular defects [382, 383]. This suggests perlecan plays

a role in angiogenesis and/or vascular maintenance, especially in cerebral vessels. In contrast, the C terminus of perlecan, named "endorepellin", inhibits angiogenesis [384]. Morpholino studies in zebrafish suggested loss of perlecan results in defects of somitogenesis and angiogenesis, exemplified by loss of circulation in cerebral and trunk vessels. Although the authors showed that perlecan (heparan sulfate proteoglycan 2/ hspg2) impacts EC proliferation and migration in trunk vessels, it is unclear whether this is the case for cerebrovascular ECs [385, 386]. *Agrin* plays a role in the development of the body posterior, CNS [387], and retina in zebrafish [388], but the role in EC and cerebrovascular EC-specific is unclear. In mice, agrin promotes heart regeneration [389] and contributes to cerebrovascular EC adherens junctions and thus EC barrier properties [390], and agrin is required for BBB formation in chicken and rat [391]. Other ECM components, such as *metalloproteases*, *metalloproteinases*, and *ADAMS*, play a role in angiogenic sprouting/remodelling as well as the angiogenic switch during carcinogenesis (see reviews [392–394]). Their cerebrovascular-specific roles are far from understood although MMP-2 and MMP-9 are upregulated after cerebral ischemia in non-human primates [395, 396].

The blood brain barrier, choroid plexus and cerebral lymphatic development

The brain undergoes major structural developmental remodelling, by invagination in mammals and eversion in zebrafish [397]. Higher vertebrates (birds, amphibians, and mammals) have four brain ventricles, while fish have two [398, 399]. Each ventricle has a choroid plexus [398], harbouring ependymal cells, which are the major source of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [400, 401], with CSF potentially contributed by liquid flux through capillary walls [402, 403].

The CSF serves a protective role and provides the CNS with nutrients and waste removal. Factors that contribute to CSF movement are respiration [404, 405] and head movement [406] in humans. Murine studies show cilia play a role in ependymal flow [407, 408], while studies in zebrafish show contraction, body movement, and cilia all play a role in CSF flow [259].

The *blood–brain-barrier (BBB)* protects the brain from pathogens, neurotoxic molecules, and lipophilic elements [293, 409]. The BBB is formed by inter-EC junctions and specialized transporters of cerebrovascular ECs, to provide a barrier between blood and interstitial fluid [1]. Recent transcriptional profiling studies in mice examined cell types and zonation of the cerebral vasculature [4] and how the BBB is impacted in models of CNS disease (stroke, multiple sclerosis, traumatic brain injury and seizure) [410]. In

all the examined CNS diseases cerebral ECs shift towards non-cerebral EC expression patterns. These studies also examined non-cerebral tissues, allowing examination of cerebrovascular-specific EC properties. The ability to study BBB formation in vivo and over long periods of time made zebrafish a favourable model for barrierogenesis [411–415], with recent work showing that barrierogenesis and cerebrovascular angiogenesis occur in parallel [416].

Following the discovery of meningeal lymphatics in mice [417, 418], similar findings in zebrafish [419–421], non-human primates, and humans followed [422]. In zebrafish the meningeal lymphatics regulate meningeal angiogenesis [419]. Similarly, the brain parenchyma was thought to be widely devoid of parenchymal lymphatics [423], but this was challenged by the finding that lymphatics invade brain parenchyma in response to injury to guide vascular regeneration [424]. The concepts of cerebral waste clearance were additionally challenged by the finding of the “*glymphatic system*” in mice in 2012 [425, 426]. This clearance system is made of perivascular channels formed by astroglial cells and is mainly active during sleep and reduces with age (reviews [427–430]).

Concluding remarks and future perspectives

With the availability of different models our knowledge of cerebral vascular development has increased substantially. Although the general mechanisms and molecular regulation of ECs development and function are now understood in great detail [60–62, 431–433], the degree to which these are conserved between models and between the cerebral and other vascular territories is far less complete. More sophisticated experimental models such as organoids, 3D cell co-culturing systems, 3D printing, as well as in silico computational models [434–437] will aid our mechanistic understanding of the diversity of cerebrovascular ECs.

It is increasingly clear that individual mechanisms and cellular components interact with each other. The field would benefit from understanding these interactions in different vascular beds, and how these change in development and disease.

Complementary to these new models, further improvements of imaging techniques and image analysis approaches allow for cutting edge data acquisition, and performance of meaningful objective quantifications which can handle large datasets. Medical imaging techniques are being applied to pre-clinical studies, such as Optical projection tomography (OPT) [438–440], Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [441, 442], Optical Coherence Tomography and Angiography (OCTA) and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) [443], or combining cutting edge

techniques such as LSM and OPT [444]. Importantly, imaging techniques are evolving from descriptive to quantitative [55, 445] and integrating information from other tissue [446–449]. This quantification will also impact future drug screening and pharmacodynamics studies, helping to identify strategies to treat human cerebrovascular diseases.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by a University of Sheffield, Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, Imaging and Modelling Node Studentship and a Bridging Fund from the Insigneo Institute for in silico Medicine, University of Sheffield awarded to ECK. We apologize to colleagues whose work was not cited in this review.

Author contributions Project Administration, ECK, and TJAC; Writing—Original Draft, ECK; Writing—Review and Editing, all authors contributed equally.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Abbott NJ, Patabendige AAK, Dolman DEM, Yusof SR, Begley DJ (2010) Structure and function of the blood–brain barrier. *Neurobiol Dis* 37(1):13–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2009.07.030>
- Huntley MA, Bien-Ly N, Daneman R, Watts RJ (2014) Dissecting gene expression at the blood–brain barrier. *Front Neurosci*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2014.00355>
- Kugler EC et al (2019) Cerebrovascular endothelial cells form transient Notch-dependent cystic structures in zebrafish. *EMBO Rep* 20(8):e47047. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201847047>
- Vanlandewijck M et al (2018) A molecular atlas of cell types and zonation in the brain vasculature. *Nature* 554(7693):475–480. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25739>
- Sweeney MD, Kisler K, Montagne A, Toga AW, Zlokovic BV (2018) The role of brain vasculature in neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat Neurosci* 21(10):1318–1331. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0234-x>
- Sweeney MD, Sagare AP, Zlokovic BV (2018) Blood–brain barrier breakdown in Alzheimer disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat Rev Neurol* 14(3):133–150. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneuro.2017.188>
- Sweeney MD et al (2019) Vascular dysfunction—The disregarded partner of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dementia* 15(1):158–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.07.222>
- Kisler K, Nelson AR, Montagne A, Zlokovic BV (2017) Cerebral blood flow regulation and neurovascular dysfunction in Alzheimer disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18(7):419–434. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.48>
- Bakker ENTP et al (2016) Lymphatic clearance of the brain: perivascular, paravascular and significance for neurodegenerative diseases. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 36(2):181–194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10571-015-0273-8>
- Visanji NP, Lang AE, Munoz DG (2018) Lymphatic vasculature in human dural superior sagittal sinus: implications for neurodegenerative proteinopathies. *Neurosci Lett* 665:18–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2017.11.001>
- Weller RO, Carare RO (2018) Chapter 30—lymphatic drainage of the CNS and its role in neuroinflammation and neurodegenerative disease. In: Minagar A (ed) *Neuroinflammation*, 2nd edn. Cambridge, Academic Press, pp 601–617
- Santos JV, Vandenberghe D, Lobo M, Freitas A (2020) Cost of cardiovascular disease prevention: towards economic evaluations in prevention programs. *Ann Transl Med*. <https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2020.01.20>
- AlMalki WH, Shahid I, Mehdi AY, Hafeez MH (2014) Assessment methods for angiogenesis and current approaches for its quantification. *Indian J Pharmacol* 46(3):251–256. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.132152>
- Staton CA, Stribbling SM, Tazzyman S, Hughes R, Brown NJ, Lewis CE (2004) Current methods for assaying angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo. *Int J Exp Pathol* 85(5):233–248. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0959-9673.2004.00396.x>
- Osaki T, Sivathanu V, Kamm RD (2018) Engineered 3D vascular and neuronal networks in a microfluidic platform. *Sci Rep* 8(1):1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23512-1>
- Adriani G, Ma D, Pavesi A, Kamm RD, Goh ELK (2017) A 3D neurovascular microfluidic model consisting of neurons, astrocytes and cerebral endothelial cells as a blood–brain barrier. *Lab Chip* 17(3):448–459. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6LC00638H>
- Campisi M, Shin Y, Osaki T, Hajal C, Chiono V, Kamm RD (2018) 3D self-organized microvascular model of the human blood–brain barrier with endothelial cells, pericytes and astrocytes. *Biomaterials* 180:117–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2018.07.014>
- Kim T et al (2018) In situ transcriptome characteristics are lost following culture adaptation of adult cardiac stem cells. *Sci Rep* 8(1):1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30551-1>
- Vandamme TF (2014) Use of rodents as models of human diseases. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 6(1):2–9. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0975-7406.124301>
- Tual-Chalot S, Allinson KR, Fruttiger M, Arthur HM (2013) Whole mount immunofluorescent staining of the neonatal mouse retina to investigate angiogenesis in vivo. *J Vis Exp*. <https://doi.org/10.3791/50546>
- Purves D et al (2001) *The Retina*. In: *Neuroscience*. 2nd edition. [Online]. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK10885/>. Accessed 04 Nov 2019

22. Huang Q et al (2020) Intravital imaging of mouse embryos. *Science* 368(6487):181–186. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba0210>
23. Heo C et al (2016) A soft, transparent, freely accessible cranial window for chronic imaging and electrophysiology. *Sci Rep* 6(1):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27818>
24. Koletar MM, Dorr A, Brown ME, McLaurin J, Stefanovic B (2019) Refinement of a chronic cranial window implant in the rat for longitudinal in vivo two-photon fluorescence microscopy of neurovascular function. *Sci Rep* 9(1):1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41966-9>
25. Shabir O et al (2020) Enhanced cerebral blood volume under normobaric hyperoxia in the J20-hAPP mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Rep*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64334-4>
26. Liu H et al (2019) Visualizing astrocytes in the deep mouse brain in vivo. *J Biophotonics* 12(7):e201800420. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbio.201800420>
27. Xia F, Wu C, Sinefeld D, Li B, Qin Y, Xu C (2018) In vivo label-free confocal imaging of the deep mouse brain with long-wavelength illumination. *Biomed. Opt. Express* 9(12):6545–6555. <https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.9.006545>
28. Richardson DS, Lichtman JW (2015) Clarifying tissue clearing. *Cell* 162(2):246–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.06.067>
29. Lugo-Hernandez E et al (2017) 3D visualization and quantification of microvessels in the whole ischemic mouse brain using solvent-based clearing and light sheet microscopy. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X17698970>
30. Erturk A, Lafkas D, Chalouni C (2014) Imaging cleared intact biological systems at a cellular level by 3DISCO. *J Vis Exp.* <https://doi.org/10.3791/51382>
31. Rocha MD et al (2019) Tissue clearing and light sheet microscopy: imaging the unsectioned adult zebra finch brain at cellular resolution. *Front Neuroanat.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2019.00013>
32. Ueda HR et al (2020) Tissue clearing and its applications in neuroscience. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-019-0250-1>
33. Huisken J, Swoger J, Del Bene F, Wittbrodt J, Stelzer EHK (2004) Optical sectioning deep inside live embryos by selective plane illumination microscopy. *Science* 305(5686):1007–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1100035>
34. Stelzer EHK (2015) Light-sheet fluorescence microscopy for quantitative biology. *Nat Methods* 12(1):23–26. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3219>
35. Power RM, Huisken J (2017) A guide to light-sheet fluorescence microscopy for multiscale imaging. *Nat Methods* 14(4):360–373. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4224>
36. Alva JA et al (2006) VE-Cadherin-Cre-recombinase transgenic mouse: a tool for lineage analysis and gene deletion in endothelial cells. *Dev Dyn* 235(3):759–767. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20643>
37. Hübner K et al (2018) Wnt/ β -catenin signaling regulates VE-cadherin-mediated anastomosis of brain capillaries by counteracting S1pr1 signaling. *Nat Commun.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07302-x>
38. Liu Y et al (2020) UaG as a reporter in adeno-associated virus-mediated gene transfer for biomedical imaging. *J Biophotonics* 13(12):e202000182. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbio.202000182>
39. Fazal Z, Hosaka K, Manfredsson F, Hoh B (2018) Introducing a novel method of intravascular adeno-associated virus-mediated gene delivery. *Virology (Hyderabad)*, vol. 2, no. 1. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6258074/>. Accessed 23 Jan 2021
40. Tong L et al (2021) Imaging and optogenetic modulation of vascular mural cells in the live brain. *Nat Protocols.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-00425-w>
41. Tennant KA, Taylor SL, White ER, Brown CE (2017) Optogenetic rewiring of thalamocortical circuits to restore function in the stroke injured brain. *Nat Commun.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15879>
42. Holtzman NG, Iovine MK, Liang JO, Morris J (2016) Learning to fish with genetics: a primer on the vertebrate model *Danio rerio*. *Genetics* 203(3):1069–1089. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.116.190843>
43. Kimmel CB, Ballard WW, Kimmel SR, Ullmann B, Schilling TF (1995) *Dev Dyn* 203(3):253–310. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aja.1002030302>
44. Gut P, Reischauer S, Stainier DYR, Arnaout R (2017) Little fish, big data: zebrafish as a model for cardiovascular and metabolic disease. *Physiol Rev* 97(3):889–938. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00038.2016>
45. Kimura E, Isogai S, Hitomi J (2015) Integration of vascular systems between the brain and spinal cord in zebrafish. *Devel Biol* 406(1):40–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.07.015>
46. Proulx K, Lu A, Sumanas S (2010) Cranial vasculature in zebrafish forms by angioblast cluster-derived angiogenesis. *Dev Biol* 348(1):34–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.08.036>
47. Bentley K, Gerhardt H, Bates PA (2008) Agent-based simulation of notch-mediated tip cell selection in angiogenic sprout initiation. *J Theor Biol* 250(1):25–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.09.015>
48. Kur E et al (2016) Temporal modulation of collective cell behavior controls vascular network topology. *eLife Sci* 5:e13212. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.13212>
49. Jakobsson L et al (2010) Endothelial cells dynamically compete for the tip cell position during angiogenic sprouting. *Nat Cell Biol* 12(10):943–953. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2103>
50. Blanco PJ, Watanabe SM, Passos MARF, Lemos PA, Feijóo RA (2015) An anatomically detailed arterial network model for one-dimensional computational hemodynamics. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 62(2):736–753. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2014.2364522>
51. Sforza DM, Putman CM, Cebra JR (2012) Computational fluid dynamics in brain aneurysms. *Int J Numer Methods Biomed Eng* 28(6–7):801–808. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cnm.1481>
52. Marzo A et al (2011) Computational hemodynamics in cerebral aneurysms: the effects of modeled versus measured boundary conditions. *Ann Biomed Eng* 39(2):884–896. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-010-0187-z>
53. Kirst C et al (2020) Mapping the fine-scale organization and plasticity of the brain vasculature. *Cell* 180(4):780–795.e25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.028>
54. Todorov MI et al (2020) Machine learning analysis of whole mouse brain vasculature. *Nat Methods.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0792-1>
55. Daetwyler S, Günther U, Modes CD, Harrington K, Huisken J (2019) Multi-sample SPIM image acquisition, processing and analysis of vascular growth in zebrafish. *Development.* <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.173757>
56. Kugler EC et al (2020) 3D quantification of zebrafish cerebrovascular architecture by automated image analysis of light sheet fluorescence microscopy datasets. *bioRxiv.* <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.06.239905>
57. Zhang Y et al (2014) An RNA-sequencing transcriptome and splicing database of glia, neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. *J Neurosci* 34(36):11929–11947. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1860-14.2014>

58. Song HW, Foreman KL, Gastfriend BD, Kuo JS, Palecek SP, Shusta EV (2020) Transcriptomic comparison of human and mouse brain microvessels. *Sci Rep*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69096-7>
59. Ximerakis M et al (2019) Single-cell transcriptomic profiling of the aging mouse brain. *Nat Neurosci*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0491-3>
60. Eilken HM, Adams RH (2010) Dynamics of endothelial cell behavior in sprouting angiogenesis. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 22(5):617–625. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2010.08.010>
61. Patel-Hett S, D'Amore PA (2011) Signal transduction in vasculogenesis and developmental angiogenesis. *Int J Dev Biol* 55:353–363. <https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.103213sp>
62. Rossant J, Howard L (2002) Signaling pathways in vascular development. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 18(1):541–573. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.18.012502.105825>
63. Ferrara N, Gerber H-P, LeCouter J (2003) The biology of VEGF and its receptors. *Nat Med* 9(6):669–676. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm0603-669>
64. Simons M, Gordon E, Claesson-Welsh L (2016) Mechanisms and regulation of endothelial VEGF receptor signalling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 17(10):611–625. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2016.87>
65. Covassin LD, Villefranc JA, Kacergis MC, Weinstein BM, Lawson ND (2006) Distinct genetic interactions between multiple Vegf receptors are required for development of different blood vessel types in zebrafish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103(17):6554–6559. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506886103>
66. Ruhrberg C et al (2002) Spatially restricted patterning cues provided by heparin-binding VEGF-A control blood vessel branching morphogenesis. *Genes Dev* 16(20):2684–2698. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.242002>
67. Nag S, Eskandarian MR, Davis J, Eubanks JH (2002) Differential expression of vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A) and VEGF-B after brain injury. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 61(9):778–788. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/61.9.778>
68. Zhang F et al (2009) VEGF-B is dispensable for blood vessel growth but critical for their survival, and VEGF-B targeting inhibits pathological angiogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106(15):6152–6157. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0813061106>
69. Jensen LD et al (2015) VEGF-B-Neuropilin-1 signaling is spatiotemporally indispensable for vascular and neuronal development in zebrafish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112(44):E5944–E5953. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1510245112>
70. Joukov V et al (1996) A novel vascular endothelial growth factor, VEGF-C, is a ligand for Flt4 (VEGFR-3) and KDR (VEGFR-2) receptor tyrosine kinases. *EMBO J* 15(2):290–298
71. Karkkainen MJ et al (2004) Vascular endothelial growth factor C is required for sprouting of the first lymphatic vessels from embryonic veins. *Nat Immunol* 5(1):74–80. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1013>
72. Shinriki S et al (2011) Interleukin-6 signalling regulates vascular endothelial growth factor-C synthesis and lymphangiogenesis in human oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Pathol* 225(1):142–150. <https://doi.org/10.1002/path.2935>
73. Bui HM et al (2016) Proteolytic activation defines distinct lymphangiogenic mechanisms for VEGFC and VEGFD. *J Clin Invest*. 126(6):2167–2180. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI83967>
74. Nag S, Manias J, Eubanks JH, Stewart DJ (2019) Increased expression of vascular endothelial growth factor-D following brain injury. *Int J Mol Sci* 20(7):1594. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20071594>
75. Bower NI et al (2017) Vegfd modulates both angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis during zebrafish embryonic development. *Development* 144(3):507–518. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.146969>
76. Hogan BM et al (2009) Vegfc/Flt4 signalling is suppressed by Dll4 in developing zebrafish intersegmental arteries. *Development* 136(23):4001–4009. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.039990>
77. Tammela T et al (2008) Blocking VEGFR-3 suppresses angiogenic sprouting and vascular network formation. *Nature* 454(7204):656–660. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07083>
78. Tammela T et al (2011) VEGFR-3 controls tip to stalk conversion at vessel fusion sites by reinforcing Notch signalling. *Nat Cell Biol* 13(10):1202–1213. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2331>
79. Boucher JM, Clark RP, Chong DC, Citrin KM, Wylie LA, Bautch VL (2017) Dynamic alterations in decoy VEGF receptor-1 stability regulate angiogenesis. *Nat Commun* 8:15699. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15699>
80. Chappell JC, Taylor SM, Ferrara N, Bautch VL (2009) Local guidance of emerging vessel sprouts requires soluble Flt-1. *Dev Cell* 17(3):377–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.07.011>
81. Chappell JC et al (2016) Flt-1 (VEGFR-1) coordinates discrete stages of blood vessel formation. *Cardiovasc Res*. 111(1):84–93. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvw091>
82. Kappas NC et al (2008) The VEGF receptor Flt-1 spatially modulates Flk-1 signaling and blood vessel branching. *J Cell Biol* 181(5):847–858. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200709114>
83. Krueger J et al (2011) Flt1 acts as a negative regulator of tip cell formation and branching morphogenesis in the zebrafish embryo. *Development* 138(10):2111–2120. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.063933>
84. Wang X et al (2017) YAP/TAZ Orchestrate VEGF signaling during developmental angiogenesis. *Dev Cell* 42(5):462–478. e7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.08.002>
85. Sakabe M et al (2017) YAP/TAZ-CDC42 signaling regulates vascular tip cell migration. *PNAS* 114(41):10918–10923. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704030114>
86. Kim J et al (2017) YAP/TAZ regulates sprouting angiogenesis and vascular barrier maturation. *J Clin Invest* 127(9):3441–3461. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI93825>
87. Nakajima H et al (2017) Flow-dependent endothelial YAP regulation contributes to vessel maintenance. *Dev Cell* 40(6):523–536. e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.02.019>
88. Boopathy GTK, Hong W (2019) Role of hippo pathway-YAP/TAZ signaling in angiogenesis. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2019.00049>
89. Bray SJ (2006) Notch signalling: a simple pathway becomes complex. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 7(9):678–689. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2009>
90. Thomas J-L et al (2013) Interactions between VEGFR and Notch signaling pathways in endothelial and neural cells. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 70(10):1779–1792. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-013-1312-6>
91. Benedito R et al (2009) The notch ligands Dll4 and Jagged1 have opposing effects on angiogenesis. *Cell* 137(6):1124–1135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.03.025>
92. Bentley K, Mariggi G, Gerhardt H, Bates PA (2009) Tipping the balance: robustness of tip cell selection, migration and fusion in angiogenesis. *PLoS Comput Biol* 5(10):e1000549. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000549>
93. Savage AM et al (2019) tmem33 is essential for VEGF-mediated endothelial calcium oscillations and angiogenesis. *Nat Commun* 10(1):732. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08590-7>
94. Siekmann AF, Lawson ND (2007) Notch signalling limits angiogenic cell behaviour in developing zebrafish arteries. *Nature* 445(7129):781–784. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05577>
95. Siekmann AF, Affolter M, Belting H-G (2013) The tip cell concept ten years after : new players tune in for a common theme. *Exp Cell Res* 319(HASH(0x9242d98)):1255–1263

96. Bussmann J, Wolfe SA, Siekmann AF (2011) Arterial-venous network formation during brain vascularization involves hemodynamic regulation of chemokine signaling. *Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.059881>
97. Wang Y, Pan L, Moens CB, Appel B (2014) Notch3 establishes brain vascular integrity by regulating pericyte number. *Development* 141(2):307–317. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.096107>
98. Palm MM, Dallinga MG, van Dijk E, Klaassen I, Schlingemann RO, Merks RMH (2016) Computational screening of tip and stalk cell behavior proposes a role for apelin signaling in sprout progression. *PLoS ONE* 11(11):e0159478. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159478>
99. Finley SD, Chu L-H, Popel AS (2015) Computational systems biology approaches to anti-angiogenic cancer therapeutics. *Drug Discov Today* 20(2):187–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2014.09.026>
100. Peirce SM, Mac Gabhann F, Bautch VL (2012) Integration of experimental and computational approaches to sprouting angiogenesis. *Curr Opin Hematol* 19(3):184–191. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOH.0b013e3283523ea6>
101. Sciana M, Bell CG, Preziosi L (2013) A review of mathematical models for the formation of vascular networks. *J Theor Biol* 333:174–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2013.04.037>
102. Duarte A et al (2004) Dosage-sensitive requirement for mouse Dll4 in artery development. *Genes Dev* 18(20):2474–2478. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1239004>
103. Lawson ND et al (2001) Notch signaling is required for arterial-venous differentiation during embryonic vascular development. *Development* 128(19):3675–3683
104. Sacilotto N et al (2013) Analysis of Dll4 regulation reveals a combinatorial role for Sox and Notch in arterial development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1300805110>
105. Joutel A et al (1996) Notch3 mutations in CADASIL, a hereditary adult-onset condition causing stroke and dementia. *Nature* 383(6602):707–710. <https://doi.org/10.1038/383707a0>
106. Joutel A et al (2000) The ectodomain of the Notch3 receptor accumulates within the cerebrovasculature of CADASIL patients. *J Clin Invest* 105(5):597–605. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI18047>
107. Wang MM (2018) CADASIL. *Handb Clin Neurol* 148:733–743. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64076-5.00047-8>
108. Renz M et al (2015) Regulation of $\beta 1$ integrin-Klf2-mediated angiogenesis by CCM proteins. *Dev Cell* 32(2):181–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.12.016>
109. You C, Sandalcioglu IE, Dammann P, Felbor U, Sure U, Zhu Y (2013) Loss of CCM3 impairs DLL4-Notch signalling: implication in endothelial angiogenesis and in inherited cerebral cavernous malformations. *J Cell Mol Med* 17(3):407–418. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.12022>
110. Ribatti D et al (1998) Role of basic fibroblast growth factor in the formation of the capillary plexus in the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane. An in situ hybridization, immunohistochemical and ultrastructural study. *J Submicrosc Cytol Pathol* 30(1):127–136
111. Seghezzi G et al (1998) Fibroblast Growth Factor-2 (FGF-2) Induces Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) expression in the endothelial cells of forming capillaries: an autocrine mechanism contributing to angiogenesis. *J Cell Biol* 141(7):1659–1673. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.141.7.1659>
112. De Smet F et al (2014) Fibroblast growth factor signaling affects vascular outgrowth and is required for the maintenance of blood vessel integrity. *Chem Biol* 21(10):1310–1317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2014.07.018>
113. Nicoli S, Sena GD, Presta M (2009) Fibroblast growth factor 2-induced angiogenesis in zebrafish: the zebrafish yolk membrane (ZFYM) angiogenesis assay. *J Cell Mol Med* 13(8b):2061–2068. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2008.00432.x>
114. Coltrini D, Di Salle E, Ronca R, Belleri M, Testini C, Presta M (2013) Matrigel plug assay: evaluation of the angiogenic response by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR. *Angiogenesis* 16(2):469–477. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10456-012-9324-7>
115. Cao R et al (2012) Collaborative interplay between FGF-2 and VEGF-C promotes lymphangiogenesis and metastasis. *PNAS* 109(39):15894–15899. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208324109>
116. Rand V et al (2005) Sequence survey of receptor tyrosine kinases reveals mutations in glioblastomas. *PNAS* 102(40):14344–14349. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507200102>
117. Chapouly C, Guimbal S, Hollier P-L, Renault M-A (2019) Role of Hedgehog signaling in vasculature development, differentiation, and maintenance. *Int J Mol Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20123076>
118. Byrd N et al (2002) Hedgehog is required for murine yolk sac angiogenesis. *Development* 129(2):361–372
119. Dyer MA, Farrington SM, Mohn D, Munday JR, Baron MH (2001) Indian hedgehog activates hematopoiesis and vasculogenesis and can specify prospective neuroectodermal cell fate in the mouse embryo. *Development* 128(10):1717–1730
120. Kanda S, Mochizuki Y, Suematsu T, Miyata Y, Nomata K, Kanetake H (2003) Sonic hedgehog induces capillary morphogenesis by endothelial cells through phosphoinositide 3-kinase. *J Biol Chem* 278(10):8244–8249. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M210635200>
121. Kolesová H, Roelink H, Grim M (2008) Sonic hedgehog is required for the assembly and remodeling of branchial arch blood vessels. *Dev Dyn* 237(7):1923–1934. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21608>
122. Vokes SA et al (2004) Hedgehog signaling is essential for endothelial tube formation during vasculogenesis. *Development* 131(17):4371–4380. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01304>
123. Caradu C et al (2018) Restoring endothelial function by targeting desert hedgehog downstream of Klf2 improves critical limb ischemia in adults. *Circ. Res.* 123(9):1053–1065. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313177>
124. Alvarez JI et al (2011) The Hedgehog pathway promotes blood-brain barrier integrity and CNS immune quiescence. *Science* 334(6063):1727–1731. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1206936>
125. Lamont RE, Vu W, Carter AD, Serluca FC, MacRae CA, Childs SJ (2010) Hedgehog signaling via angiopoietin1 is required for developmental vascular stability. *Mech Dev* 127(3):159–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2010.02.001>
126. Guerrero PA, McCarty JH (2017) TGF- β activation and signaling in angiogenesis. *Physiol Pathol Angiogen Signal Mech Target Therapy*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/66405>
127. Kofler N, Simons M (2016) The expanding role of Neuropilin: regulation of vascular TGF β and PDGF signaling. *Curr Opin Hematol* 23(3):260–267. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOH.00000000233>
128. Roelen BA, van Rooijen MA, Mummery CL (1997) Expression of ALK-1, a type 1 serine/threonine kinase receptor, coincides with sites of vasculogenesis and angiogenesis in early mouse development. *Dev Dyn* 209(4):418–430. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0177\(199708\)209:4%3c418::AID-AJA9%3e3.0.CO;2-L](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199708)209:4%3c418::AID-AJA9%3e3.0.CO;2-L)
129. Roelen BAJ, Lin HY, Knežević V, Freund E, Mummery CL (1994) Expression of TGF- β s and their receptors during implantation and organogenesis of the mouse embryo. *Dev Biol* 166(2):716–728. <https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1994.1350>
130. Cheifetz S et al (1992) Endoglin is a component of the transforming growth factor-beta receptor system in human endothelial cells. *J Biol Chem* 267(27):19027–19030

131. Gougos A, Letarte M (1990) Primary structure of endoglin, an RGD-containing glycoprotein of human endothelial cells. *J Biol Chem* 265(15):8361–8364
132. Lebrin F et al (2004) Endoglin promotes endothelial cell proliferation and TGF-beta/ALK1 signal transduction. *EMBO J* 23(20):4018–4028. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600386>
133. Gallardo-Vara E, Tual-Chalot S, Botella LM, Arthur HM, Bernabeu C (2018) Soluble endoglin regulates expression of angiogenesis-related proteins and induction of arteriovenous malformations in a mouse model of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. *Dis Models Mech* 11(9):dmm.034397. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.034397>
134. Jia Y-C, Fu J-Y, Huang P, Zhang Z-P, Chao B, Bai J (2020) Characterization of endothelial cells associated with cerebral arteriovenous malformation. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 16:1015–1022. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S248356>
135. Sugden WW et al (2017) Endoglin controls blood vessel diameter through endothelial cell shape changes in response to haemodynamic cues. *Nat Cell Biol* 19(6):653–665. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3528>
136. Zhang D, Zhou F, Zhao X, Liu B, Chen J, Yang J (2019) Endoglin is a conserved regulator of vasculogenesis in zebrafish—implications for hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. *Biosci Rep* 39(5):BSR20182320. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20182320>
137. Rochon ER, Menon PG, Roman BL (2016) Alk1 controls arterial endothelial cell migration in lumenized vessels. *Development* 143(14):2593–2602. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.135392>
138. Neal A et al (2019) Venous identity requires BMP signalling through ALK3. *Nat Commun*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08315-w>
139. Goodwin AM, D'Amore PA (2002) Wnt signaling in the vasculature. *Angiogenesis* 5(1–2):1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1021563510866>
140. Reis M, Liebner S (2013) Wnt signaling in the vasculature. *Exp Cell Res* 319(9):1317–1323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2012.12.023>
141. Olsen JJ et al (2017) The role of Wnt signalling in angiogenesis. *Clin Biochem Rev* 38(3):131–142
142. Elisabetta D, Michael K (2010) The role of Wnt signaling in physiological and pathological angiogenesis. *Circ Res* 107(8):943–952. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.110.223750>
143. Daneman R, Agalliu D, Zhou L, Kuhnert F, Kuo CJ, Barres BA (2009) Wnt/β-catenin signaling is required for CNS, but not non-CNS, angiogenesis. *PNAS* 106(2):641–646. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805165106>
144. Dyer C et al (2014) A bi-modal function of Wnt signalling directs an FGF activity gradient to spatially regulate neuronal differentiation in the midbrain. *Development* 141(1):63–72. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.099507>
145. Vanhollebeke B et al (2015) Tip cell-specific requirement for an atypical Gpr124- and Reck-dependent Wnt/beta-catenin pathway during brain angiogenesis. *Elife*. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.06489>
146. Stenman JM, Rajagopal J, Carroll TJ, Ishibashi M, McMahon J, McMahon AP (2008) Canonical Wnt signaling regulates organ-specific assembly and differentiation of CNS vasculature. *Science* 322(5905):1247–1250. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164594>
147. Polakis P (2008) Formation of the blood–brain barrier: Wnt signaling seals the deal. *J Cell Biol* 183(3):371–373. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200810040>
148. Zhou Y, Nathans J (2014) Gpr124 controls CNS angiogenesis and blood-brain barrier integrity by promoting ligand-specific canonical Wnt signaling. *Dev Cell* 31(2):248–256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.08.018>
149. Liebner S et al (2008) Wnt/β-catenin signaling controls development of the blood–brain barrier. *J Cell Biol* 183(3):409–417. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200806024>
150. Kofler NM, Simons M (2015) Angiogenesis versus arteriogenesis: neuropilin 1 modulation of VEGF signaling. *F1000Prime Rep*. <https://doi.org/10.12703/P7-26>
151. Schwarz Q, Ruhrberg C (2010) Neuropilin, you gotta let me know. *Cell Adh Migr* 4(1):61–66
152. Gelfand MV et al (2014) Neuropilin-1 functions as a VEGFR2 co-receptor to guide developmental angiogenesis independent of ligand binding. *eLife* 3:e03720. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03720>
153. Gu C et al (2003) Neuropilin-1 conveys semaphorin and VEGF signaling during neural and cardiovascular development. *Dev Cell* 5(1):45–57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807\(03\)00169-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807(03)00169-2)
154. Roth L et al (2016) Neuropilin-1 mediates vascular permeability independently of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 activation. *Sci Signal* 9(425):ra42. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aad3812>
155. Vedanta M et al (2018) VEGF (Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor) induces NRP1 (Neuropilin-1) cleavage via ADAMs (a Disintegrin and Metalloproteinase) 9 and 10 to generate novel carboxy-terminal NRP1 fragments that regulate angiogenic signaling. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 38(8):1845–1858. <https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.118.311118>
156. Fantin A, Schwarz Q, Davidson K, Normando EM, Denti L, Ruhrberg C (2011) The cytoplasmic domain of neuropilin 1 is dispensable for angiogenesis, but promotes the spatial separation of retinal arteries and veins. *Development* 138(19):4185–4191. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.070037>
157. Takashima S et al (2002) Targeting of both mouse neuropilin-1 and neuropilin-2 genes severely impairs developmental yolk sac and embryonic angiogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99(6):3657–3662. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.022017899>
158. Lee P, Goishi K, Davidson AJ, Mannix R, Zon L, Klagsbrun M (2002) Neuropilin-1 is required for vascular development and is a mediator of VEGF-dependent angiogenesis in zebrafish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99(16):10470–10475. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.162366299>
159. Martyn U, Schulte-Merker S (2004) Zebrafish neuropilins are differentially expressed and interact with vascular endothelial growth factor during embryonic vascular development. *Dev Dyn* 231(1):33–42. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20048>
160. Hirota S et al (2015) Neuropilin 1 balances β8 integrin-activated TGFβ signaling to control sprouting angiogenesis in the brain. *Development* 142(24):4363–4373. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.113746>
161. Acevedo LM, Barillas S, Weis SM, Göthert JR, Cheresh DA (2008) Semaphorin 3A suppresses VEGF-mediated angiogenesis yet acts as a vascular permeability factor. *Blood* 111(5):2674–2680. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-08-110205>
162. Maione F et al (2009) Semaphorin 3A is an endogenous angiogenesis inhibitor that blocks tumor growth and normalizes tumor vasculature in transgenic mouse models. *J Clin Invest* 119(11):3356–3372. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI36308>
163. Junji M et al (2010) Inhibition of semaphorin as a novel strategy for therapeutic angiogenesis. *Circ Res* 106(2):391–398. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.210815>
164. Serini G et al (2003) Class 3 semaphorins control vascular morphogenesis by inhibiting integrin function. *Nature* 424(6947):391–397. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01784>

165. Segarra M et al (2012) Semaphorin 6A regulates angiogenesis by modulating VEGF signaling. *Blood* 120(19):4104–4115. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-02-410076>
166. Hu S, Liu Y, You T, Zhu L (2018) Semaphorin 7A promotes VEGFA/VEGFR2-mediated angiogenesis and intraplaque neovascularization in ApoE^{-/-} Mice. *Front Physiol*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01718>
167. Shoji W, Isogai S, Sato-Maeda M, Obinata M, Kuwada JY (2003) Semaphorin3a1 regulates angioblast migration and vascular development in zebrafish embryos. *Development* 130(14):3227–3236. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00516>
168. Torres-Vázquez J et al (2004) Semaphorin-plexin signaling guides patterning of the developing vasculature. *Dev Cell* 7(1):117–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2004.06.008>
169. Park KW et al (2004) The axonal attractant Netrin-1 is an angiogenic factor. *PNAS* 101(46):16210–16215. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0405984101>
170. Podjaski C et al (2015) Netrin 1 regulates blood–brain barrier function and neuroinflammation. *Brain* 138(6):1598. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awv092>
171. Castets M et al (2009) Inhibition of endothelial cell apoptosis by netrin-1 during angiogenesis. *Dev Cell* 16(4):614–620. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.02.006>
172. Freitas C, Larrivée B, Eichmann A (2008) Netrins and UNC5 receptors in angiogenesis. *Angiogenesis* 11(1):23–29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10456-008-9096-2>
173. Wilson BD et al (2006) Netrins promote developmental and therapeutic angiogenesis. *Science* 313(5787):640–644. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1124704>
174. Grenz A et al (2011) Partial netrin-1 deficiency aggravates acute kidney injury. *PLoS ONE* 6(5):e14812. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014812>
175. Rosenberger P et al (2009) Hypoxia-inducible factor–dependent induction of netrin-1 dampens inflammation caused by hypoxia. *Nat Immunol* 10(2):195–202. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1683>
176. Zhang H, Vreeken D, Bruikman CS, van Zonneveld AJ, van Gils JM (2018) Understanding netrins and semaphorins in mature endothelial cell biology. *Pharmacol Res* 137:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2018.09.015>
177. Zongyi X et al (2017) Netrin-1 preserves blood-brain barrier integrity through deleted in colorectal cancer/focal adhesion kinase/RhoA signaling pathway following subarachnoid hemorrhage in rats. *J Am Heart Assoc* 6(5):e005198. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.005198>
178. Yu J et al (2017) Netrin-1 ameliorates blood-brain barrier impairment secondary to ischemic stroke via the activation of PI3K pathway. *Front Neurosci* 11:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00700>
179. Tong M, Jun T, Nie Y, Hao J, Fan D (2019) The role of the slit/robo signaling pathway. *J Cancer* 10(12):2694–2705. <https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.31877>
180. Bedell VM et al (2005) roundabout4 is essential for angiogenesis in vivo. *PNAS* 102(18):6373–6378. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408318102>
181. Jones CA et al (2008) Robo4 stabilizes the vascular network by inhibiting pathologic angiogenesis and endothelial hyperpermeability. *Nat Med* 14(4):448–453. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1742>
182. Impagnatiello M-A, Weitzer S, Gannon G, Compagni A, Cotten M, Christofori G (2001) Mammalian sprouty-1 and -2 are membrane-anchored phosphoprotein inhibitors of growth factor signaling in endothelial cells. *J Cell Biol* 152(5):1087–1098. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.152.5.1087>
183. Mason JM, Morrison DJ, Basson MA, Licht JD (2006) Sprouty proteins: multifaceted negative-feedback regulators of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. *Trends Cell Biol* 16(1):45–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2005.11.004>
184. Fürthauer M, Reifers F, Brand M, Thisse B, Thisse C (2001) sprouty4 acts in vivo as a feedback-induced antagonist of FGF signaling in zebrafish. *Development* 128(12):2175–2186
185. Taniguchi K et al (2009) Sprouty4 deficiency potentiates Ras-independent angiogenic signals and tumor growth. *Cancer Sci* 100(9):1648–1654. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2009.01214.x>
186. Wietecha MS et al (2010) Sprouty2 downregulates angiogenesis during mouse skin wound healing. *Am J Physiol Heart Circul Physiol* 300(2):H459–H467. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00244.2010>
187. Cabrita MA, Christofori G (2003) Sprouty proteins: antagonists of endothelial cell signaling and more. *Thromb Haemost* 90(10):586–590. <https://doi.org/10.1160/TH03-04-0217>
188. Cao R et al (2002) Angiogenesis stimulated by PDGF-CC, a novel member in the PDGF family, involves activation of PDGFR- α and - β receptors. *FASEB J* 16(12):1575–1583. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.02-0319com>
189. Mamer SB et al (2017) Discovery of high-affinity PDGF-VEGFR interactions: redefining RTK dynamics. *Sci Rep* 7(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16610-z>
190. Nissen LJ et al (2007) Angiogenic factors FGF2 and PDGF-BB synergistically promote murine tumor neovascularization and metastasis. *J Clin Invest* 117(10):2766–2777. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI32479>
191. Hellstrom M, Lindahl P, Abramsson A, Betsholtz C (1999) Role of PDGF-B and PDGFR-beta in recruitment of vascular smooth muscle cells and pericytes during embryonic blood vessel formation in the mouse. *Development* 126(14):3047–3055
192. Armulik A et al (2010) Pericytes regulate the blood-brain barrier. *Nature* 468(7323):557–561. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09522>
193. Nahar K, Bertuzzi G, Vanlandewijck M, Keller A, Betsholtz C, Andaloussi Mäe M (2019) Endothelium-derived PDGF-B is essential for adult brain pericyte and vascular smooth muscle cell maintenance. <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=nbn:se:uu:diva-380087>. Accessed 14 Nov 2019
194. Shen J et al (2019) PDGFR- β restores blood-brain barrier functions in a mouse model of focal cerebral ischemia. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 39(8):1501–1515. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X18769515>
195. Aplin AC, Fogel E, Nicosia RF (2010) MCP-1 promotes mural cell recruitment during angiogenesis in the aortic ring model. *Angiogenesis* 13(3):219–226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10456-010-9179-8>
196. Davis S et al (1996) Isolation of angiopoietin-1, a ligand for the TIE2 receptor, by secretion-trap expression cloning. *Cell* 87(7):1161–1169. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)81812-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81812-7)
197. Gaengel K, Genové G, Armulik A, Betsholtz C (2009) Endothelial-mural cell signaling in vascular development and angiogenesis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 29(5):630–638. <https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.107.161521>
198. Benest AV et al (2013) Angiopoietin-2 is critical for cytokine-induced vascular leakage. *PLoS ONE* 8(8):e70459. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070459>
199. Eklund L, Kangas J, Saharinen P (2017) Angiopoietin–tie signaling in the cardiovascular and lymphatic systems. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 131(1):87–103. <https://doi.org/10.1042/CS20160129>
200. Fiedler U et al (2006) Angiopoietin-2 sensitizes endothelial cells to TNF- α and has a crucial role in the induction of inflammation. *Nat Med* 12(2):235–239. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1351>
201. Jeansson M et al (2011) Angiopoietin-1 is essential in mouse vasculature during development and in response to injury. *J Clin Invest* 121(6):2278–2289. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI46322>

202. Thurston G et al (2000) Angiopoietin-1 protects the adult vasculature against plasma leakage. *Nat Med* 6(4):460–463. <https://doi.org/10.1038/74725>
203. Maisonpierre PC et al (1997) Angiopoietin-2, a natural antagonist for tie2 that disrupts in vivo angiogenesis. *Science* 277(5322):55–60. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5322.55>
204. Korhonen EA et al (2016) Tie1 controls angiopoietin function in vascular remodeling and inflammation. *J Clin Invest* 126(9):3495–3510. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI84923>
205. Siddiqui MR, Mayanil CS, Kim KS, Tomita T (2015) Angiopoietin-1 regulates brain endothelial permeability through PTPN-2 mediated tyrosine dephosphorylation of occludin. *PLoS ONE* 10(6):e0130857. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130857>
206. Chen Y-C, Harrison PW, Kotrschal A, Kolm N, Mank JE, Panula P (2015) Expression change in angiopoietin-1 underlies change in relative brain size in fish. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 282(1810):20150872. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0872>
207. Zhou HJ et al (2016) Endothelial exocytosis of angiopoietin-2 resulting from CCM3 deficiency contributes to cerebral cavernous malformation. *Nat Med* 22(9):1033–1042. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4169>
208. Crist AM et al (2019) Angiopoietin-2 inhibition rescues arteriovenous malformation in a Smad4 hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia mouse model. *Circulation* 139(17):2049–2063. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.036952>
209. Amadatsu T et al (2016) Macrophage-derived angiopoietin-like protein 2 exacerbates brain damage by accelerating acute inflammation after ischemia-reperfusion. *PLoS ONE* 11(11):e0166285. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166285>
210. Chakraborty A et al (2018) Angiopoietin like-4 as a novel vascular mediator in capillary cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Brain* 141(12):3377–3388. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy274>
211. Klein R (2012) Eph/ephrin signalling during development. *Development* 139(22):4105–4109. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.074997>
212. Gerety SS, Anderson DJ (2002) Cardiovascular ephrinB2 function is essential for embryonic angiogenesis. *Development* 129(6):1397–1410
213. Wang HU, Chen ZF, Anderson DJ (1998) Molecular distinction and angiogenic interaction between embryonic arteries and veins revealed by ephrin-B2 and its receptor Eph-B4. *Cell* 93(5):741–753
214. Groppa E et al (2018) EphrinB2/EphB4 signaling regulates non-sprouting angiogenesis by VEGF. *EMBO Rep* 19(5):e45054. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201745054>
215. Sawamiphak S et al (2010) Ephrin-B2 regulates VEGFR2 function in developmental and tumour angiogenesis. *Nature* 465(7297):487–491. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08995>
216. Wang Y et al (2010) Ephrin-B2 controls VEGF-induced angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. *Nature* 465(7297):483–486. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09002>
217. Adams RH (2002) Vascular patterning by Eph receptor tyrosine kinases and ephrins. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 13(1):55–60. <https://doi.org/10.1006/scdb.2001.0289>
218. Holder N, Klein R (1999) Eph receptors and ephrins: effectors of morphogenesis. *Development* 126(10):2033–2044
219. Wilkinson DG (2001) Multiple roles of EPH receptors and ephrins in neural development. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2(3):155–164. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35058515>
220. Coucha M, Barrett AC, Elgebaly M, Ergul A, Abdelsaid M (2019) Inhibition of Ephrin-B2 in brain pericytes decreases cerebral pathological neovascularization in diabetic rats. *PLoS ONE* 14(1):e0210523. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210523>
221. Xing S et al (2018) EphrinB2 activation enhances angiogenesis, reduces amyloid- β deposits and secondary damage in thalamus at the early stage after cortical infarction in hypertensive rats. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X18769188>
222. Baeyens N, Schwartz MA (2016) Biomechanics of vascular mechanosensation and remodeling. *Mol Biol Cell* 27(1):7–11. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E14-11-1522>
223. Campinho P, Vilfan A, Vermot J (2020) Blood flow forces in shaping the vascular system: a focus on endothelial cell behavior. *Front Physiol*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.00552>
224. Wang Y, Jin Y, Laviña B, Jakobsson L (2018) Characterization of multi-cellular dynamics of angiogenesis and vascular remodeling by intravital imaging of the wounded mouse cornea. *Sci Rep*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28770-7>
225. Franco CA et al (2015) Dynamic endothelial cell rearrangements drive developmental vessel regression. *PLoS Biol* 13(4):e1002125. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002125>
226. Weijts B et al (2018) Blood flow-induced Notch activation and endothelial migration enable vascular remodeling in zebrafish embryos. *Nat Commun* 9(1):5314. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07732-7>
227. Lobov IB et al (2011) The Dll4/Notch pathway controls postangiogenic blood vessel remodeling and regression by modulating vasoconstriction and blood flow. *Blood* 117(24):6728–6737. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-08-302067>
228. Phng L-K et al (2009) Nrarp coordinates endothelial notch and Wnt signaling to control vessel density in angiogenesis. *Dev Cell* 16(1):70–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2008.12.009>
229. Souilhol C, Harmsen MC, Evans PC, Krenning G (2018) Endothelial–mesenchymal transition in atherosclerosis. *Cardiovasc Res* 114(4):565–577. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvx253>
230. Nouri A et al (2019) 3D bifurcations characterization for intra-cranial aneurysms prediction. In: *Medical imaging 2019: image processing*, vol. 10949, p 109491T. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2504626>.
231. Seiler A et al (2019) The relationship between blood flow impairment and oxygen depletion in acute ischemic stroke imaged with magnetic resonance imaging. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 39(3):454–465. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X17732448>
232. Obi S et al (2009) Fluid shear stress induces arterial differentiation of endothelial progenitor cells. *J Appl Physiol* 106(1):203–211. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00197.2008>
233. Chien S (2007) Mechanotransduction and endothelial cell homeostasis: the wisdom of the cell. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 292(3):H1209–1224. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.01047.2006>
234. Culver JC, Dickinson ME (2010) The effects of hemodynamic force on embryonic development. *Microcirculation* 17(3):164–178. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1549-8719.2010.00025.x>
235. Urner S, Kelly-Goss M, Peirce SM, Lammert E (2018) Chapter six—mechanotransduction in blood and lymphatic vascular development and disease. In: Khalil RA (ed) *Advances in pharmacology*, vol 81. Academic Press, Cambridge, pp 155–208
236. Geudens I et al (2019) Artery-vein specification in the zebrafish trunk is pre-patterned by heterogeneous Notch activity and balanced by flow-mediated fine-tuning. *Development* 146(16):dev181024. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.181024>
237. Gore AV, Monzo K, Cha YR, Pan W, Weinstein BM (2012) Vascular Development in the Zebrafish. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a006684>
238. Red-Horse K, Siekmann AF (2019) Veins and arteries build hierarchical branching patterns differently: bottom-up versus top-down. *BioEssays* 41(3):1800198. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201800198>

239. Swift MR, Weinstein BM (2009) Arterial-venous specification during development. *Circ Res* 104(5):576–588. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.188805>
240. Blum Y, Belting H-G, Ellertsdottir E, Herwig L, Lüders F, Affolter M (2008) Complex cell rearrangements during intersegmental vessel sprouting and vessel fusion in the zebrafish embryo. *Dev Biol* 316(2):312–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.01.038>
241. Kamei M, Saunders WB, Bayless KJ, Dye L, Davis GE, Weinstein BM (2006) Endothelial tubes assemble from intracellular vacuoles in vivo. *Nature* 442(7101):453–456. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04923>
242. Gebala V, Collins R, Geudens I, Phng L-K, Gerhardt H (2016) Blood flow drives lumen formation by inverse membrane blebbing during angiogenesis in vivo. *Nat Cell Biol* 18(4):ncb3320. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3320>
243. Helker CSM et al (2013) The zebrafish common cardinal veins develop by a novel mechanism: lumen ensheathment. *Development* 140(13):2776–2786. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.091876>
244. Jin S-W, Beis D, Mitchell T, Chen J-N, Stainier DYR (2005) Cellular and molecular analyses of vascular tube and lumen formation in zebrafish. *Development* 132(23):5199–5209. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02087>
245. Huisken J, Stainier DYR (2009) Selective plane illumination microscopy techniques in developmental biology. *Development* 136(12):1963–1975. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.022426>
246. Davis GE, Camarillo CW (1996) An $\alpha 2\beta 1$ integrin-dependent pinocytic mechanism involving intracellular vacuole formation and coalescence regulates capillary lumen and tube formation in three-dimensional collagen matrix. *Exp Cell Res* 224(1):39–51. <https://doi.org/10.1006/excr.1996.0109>
247. Pelton JC, Wright CE, Leitges M, Bautch VL (2014) Multiple endothelial cells constitute the tip of developing blood vessels and polarize to promote lumen formation. *Development* 141(21):4121–4126. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.110296>
248. Strilić B et al (2009) The molecular basis of vascular lumen formation in the developing mouse aorta. *Dev Cell* 17(4):505–515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.08.011>
249. Lowery LA, Sive H (2005) Initial formation of zebrafish brain ventricles occurs independently of circulation and requires the *nanog* and *snakehead/atp1a1a.1* gene products. *Development* 132(9):2057–2067. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01791>
250. Iomini C, Tejada K, Mo W, Vaananen H, Piperno G (2004) Primary cilia of human endothelial cells disassemble under laminar shear stress. *J Cell Biol* 164(6):811–817. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200312133>
251. Goetz JG et al (2014) Endothelial cilia mediate low flow sensing during zebrafish vascular development. *Cell Rep* 6(5):799–808. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.01.032>
252. ten Dijke P, Egorova AD, Goumans M-JTH, Poelmann RE, Hierck BP (2012) TGF- β Signaling in endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition: the role of shear stress and primary cilia. *Sci Signal* 5(212):pt2. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2002722>
253. Dinsmore C, Reiter JF (2016) Endothelial primary cilia inhibit atherosclerosis. *EMBO Rep* 17(2):156–166. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201541019>
254. Egorova AD et al (2011) Lack of primary cilia primes shear-induced endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Circ Res* 108(9):1093–1101. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.110.231860>
255. Pala R, Jamal M, Alshammari Q, Nauli SM (2018) The roles of primary cilia in cardiovascular diseases. *Cells*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cells7120233>
256. Eisa-Beygi S et al (2018) Characterization of endothelial cilia distribution during cerebral-vascular development in zebrafish (Danio rerio). *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 38(12):2806–2818. <https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.118.311231>
257. Vion A-C et al (2018) Primary cilia sensitize endothelial cells to BMP and prevent excessive vascular regression. *J Cell Biol* 217(5):1651–1665. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201706151>
258. Liu Z et al (2019) Primary cilia regulate hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell specification through Notch signaling in zebrafish. *Nat Commun* 10(1):1839. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09403-7>
259. Olstad EW et al (2019) Ciliary beating compartmentalizes cerebrospinal fluid flow in the brain and regulates ventricular development. *Curr Biol* 29(2):229–241.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.059>
260. Banizs B et al (2005) Dysfunctional cilia lead to altered ependyma and choroid plexus function, and result in the formation of hydrocephalus. *Development* 132(23):5329–5339. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02153>
261. Mirzadeh Z, Han Y-G, Soriano-Navarro M, García-Verdugo JM, Alvarez-Buylla A (2010) Cilia organize ependymal planar polarity. *J Neurosci* 30(7):2600–2610. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3744-09.2010>
262. Sawamoto K et al (2006) New neurons follow the flow of cerebrospinal fluid in the adult brain. *Science* 311(5761):629–632. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1119133>
263. Kallakuri S et al (2015) Endothelial cilia are essential for developmental vascular integrity in zebrafish. *JASN* 26(4):864–875. <https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2013121314>
264. Ben J, Elworthy S, Ng ASM, van Eeden F, Ingham PW (2011) Targeted mutation of the *talpid3* gene in zebrafish reveals its conserved requirement for ciliogenesis and Hedgehog signalling across the vertebrates. *Development* 138(22):4969–4978. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.070862>
265. Chen X, Gays D, Milia C, Santoro MM (2017) Cilia control vascular mural cell recruitment in vertebrates. *Cell Rep* 18(4):1033–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.044>
266. Elworthy S, Savage AM, Wilkinson RN, Malicki JJ, Chico TJA (2019) The role of endothelial cilia in postembryonic vascular development. *Dev Dyn* 248(6):410–425. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.40>
267. Armulik A, Abramsson A, Betsholtz C (2005) Endothelial/pericyte interactions. *Circ Res* 97(6):512–523. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000182903.16652.d7>
268. Stratman AN et al (2017) Interactions between mural cells and endothelial cells stabilize the developing zebrafish dorsal aorta. *Development* 144(1):115–127. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.143131>
269. Ando K et al (2016) Clarification of mural cell coverage of vascular endothelial cells by live imaging of zebrafish. *Development* 143(8):1328–1339. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.132654>
270. Corliss BA, Azimi MS, Munson J, Peirce SM, Murfee WL (2016) Macrophages: an inflammatory link between angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. *Microcirculation* 23(2):95–121. <https://doi.org/10.1111/micc.12259>
271. Shankman LS et al (2015) KLF4-dependent phenotypic modulation of smooth muscle cells has a key role in atherosclerotic plaque pathogenesis. *Nat Med* 21(6):628–637. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3866>
272. Swirski FK, Nahrendorf M (2014) Do vascular smooth muscle cells differentiate to macrophages in atherosclerotic lesions? *Circ Res* 115(7):605–606. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.304925>
273. Yamamoto S et al (2017) A subset of cerebrovascular pericytes originates from mature macrophages in the very early phase of vascular development in CNS. *Sci Rep* 7(1):1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03994-1>

274. Jung B, Arnold TD, Raschperger E, Gaengel K, Betsholtz C (2018) Visualization of vascular mural cells in developing brain using genetically labeled transgenic reporter mice. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 38(3):456–468. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X17697720>
275. Berthiaume A-A et al (2018) Dynamic remodeling of pericytes in vivo maintains capillary coverage in the adult mouse brain. *Cell Reports* 22(1):8–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.016>
276. Obermeier B, Daneman R, Ransohoff RM (2013) Development, maintenance and disruption of the blood-brain barrier. *Nat Med* 19(12):1584–1596. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3407>
277. Villaseñor R et al (2016) Trafficking of endogenous immunoglobulins by endothelial cells at the blood-brain barrier. *Sci Rep* 6:25658. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep25658>
278. Ma S, Kwon HJ, Johng H, Zang K, Huang Z (2013) Radial glial neural progenitors regulate nascent brain vascular network stabilization via inhibition of wnt signaling. *PLoS Biol* 11(1):e1001469. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001469>
279. Siqueira M, Francis D, Gisbert D, Gomes FCA, Stipursky J (2018) Radial glia cells control angiogenesis in the developing cerebral cortex through TGF- β 1 signaling. *Mol Neurobiol* 55(5):3660–3675. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-017-0557-8>
280. Allen NJ, Lyons DA (2018) Glia as architects of central nervous system formation and function. *Science* 362(6411):181–185. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat0473>
281. da Silva SM, Campos GD, Gomes FCA, Stipursky J (2019) Radial glia-endothelial cells' bidirectional interactions control vascular maturation and astrocyte differentiation: impact for blood-brain barrier formation. *Curr Neurovasc Res* 16(4):291–300. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1567202616666191014120156>
282. Gemberling M, Bailey TJ, Hyde DR, Poss KD (2013) The zebrafish as a model for complex tissue regeneration. *Trends Genet* 29(11):611–620. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2013.07.003>
283. Goldman D (2014) Müller glial cell reprogramming and retina regeneration. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 15(7):431–442. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3723>
284. Jurisch-Yaksi N, Yakse E, Kizil C (2020) Radial glia in the zebrafish brain: functional, structural, and physiological comparison with the mammalian glia. *Glia* 68(12):2451–2470. <https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.23849>
285. Abbott NJ (2002) Astrocyte-endothelial interactions and blood-brain barrier permeability. *J Anat* 200(6):629–638. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-7580.2002.00064.x>
286. Abbott NJ, Rönnbäck L, Hansson E (2006) Astrocyte-endothelial interactions at the blood-brain barrier. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 7(1):41–53. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1824>
287. Howarth C (2014) The contribution of astrocytes to the regulation of cerebral blood flow. *Front Neurosci* 8:103. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2014.00103>
288. Howarth C et al (2017) A critical role for astrocytes in hypercapnic vasodilation in brain. *J Neurosci* 37(9):2403–2414. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0005-16.2016>
289. Kimelberg HK (2004) The problem of astrocyte identity. *Neurochem Int* 45(2–3):191–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2003.08.015>
290. Kimelberg HK (2010) Functions of mature mammalian astrocytes: a current view. *Neuroscientist* 16(1):79–106. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858409342593>
291. Kimelberg HK, Nedergaard M (2010) Functions of astrocytes and their potential as therapeutic targets. *Neurotherapeutics* 7(4):338–353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nurt.2010.07.006>
292. Mathiisen TM, Lehre KP, Danbolt NC, Ottersen OP (2010) The perivascular astroglial sheath provides a complete covering of the brain microvessels: an electron microscopic 3D reconstruction. *Glia* 58(9):1094–1103. <https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.20990>
293. Keane J, Campbell M (2015) The dynamic blood-brain barrier. *FEBS J* 282(21):4067–4079. <https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.13412>
294. Price BR, Norris CM, Sompol P, Wilcock DM (2018) An emerging role of astrocytes in vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia. *J Neurochem* 144(5):644–650. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.14273>
295. Simard M, Nedergaard M (2004) The neurobiology of glia in the context of water and ion homeostasis. *Neuroscience* 129(4):877–896. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.09.053>
296. Bozoyan L, Khilghatyan J, Saghatelian A (2012) Astrocytes control the development of the migration-promoting vasculature scaffold in the postnatal brain via VEGF signaling. *J Neurosci* 32(5):1687–1704. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5531-11.2012>
297. Duan L-J, Pan SJ, Sato TN, Fong G-H (2017) Retinal angiogenesis regulates astrocytic differentiation in neonatal mouse retinas by oxygen dependent mechanisms. *Sci Rep* 7(1):17608. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17962-2>
298. Aras S, Zaidi MR (2017) TAMEless traitors: macrophages in cancer progression and metastasis. *Br J Cancer* 117(11):1583–1591. <https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2017.356>
299. Chanmee T, Ontong P, Konno K, Itano N (2014) Tumor-associated macrophages as major players in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancers (Basel)* 6(3):1670–1690. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers6031670>
300. Bowman RL et al (2016) Macrophage ontogeny underlies differences in tumor-specific education in brain malignancies. *Cell Rep* 17(9):2445–2459. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.10.052>
301. De Palma M (2016) Origins of brain tumor macrophages. *Cell* 166(6):832–833. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.015>
302. Lahmar Q, Keirsse J, Laoui D, Movahedi K, Van Overmeire E, Van Ginderachter JA (2016) Tissue-resident versus monocyte-derived macrophages in the tumor microenvironment. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta Rev Cancer* 1865(1):23–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2015.06.009>
303. Prinz M, Priller J, Sisodia SS, Ransohoff RM (2011) Heterogeneity of CNS myeloid cells and their roles in neurodegeneration. *Nat Neurosci* 14(10):1227–1235. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2923>
304. Lok J, Leung W, Murphy S, Butler W, Noviski N, Lo EH (2011) Intracranial hemorrhage: mechanisms of secondary brain injury. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* 111:63–69. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-0693-8_11
305. Veltkamp R, Gill D (2016) Clinical trials of immunomodulation in ischemic stroke. *Neurotherapeutics* 13(4):791–800. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13311-016-0458-y>
306. Butler MG, Gore AV, Weinstein BM (2011) Chapter 6—zebrafish as a model for hemorrhagic stroke. In: Detrich HW, Westerfield M, Zon LI (eds) *Methods in cell biology*, vol 105. Academic Press, Cambridge, pp 137–161
307. Crilly S et al (2018) Using zebrafish larval models to study brain injury, locomotor and neuroinflammatory outcomes following intracerebral haemorrhage. *F1000Res*. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16473.2>
308. Yu X, Li YV (2016) Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) developed as an alternative animal model for focal ischemic stroke. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* 121:115–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18497-5_20
309. Wake H, Moorhouse AJ, Jinno S, Kohsaka S, Nabekura J (2009) Resting microglia directly monitor the functional state of synapses in vivo and determine the fate of ischemic terminals. *J Neurosci* 29(13):3974–3980. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4363-08.2009>

310. Li Y, Du X, Liu C, Wen Z, Du J (2012) Reciprocal regulation between resting microglial dynamics and neuronal activity in vivo. *Dev Cell* 23(6):1189–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2012.10.027>
311. Fantin A et al (2010) Tissue macrophages act as cellular chaperones for vascular anastomosis downstream of VEGF-mediated endothelial tip cell induction. *Blood* 116(5):829–840. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2009-12-257832>
312. Zhang Y, Xu B, Chen Q, Yan Y, Du J, Du X (2018) Apoptosis of endothelial cells contributes to brain vessel pruning of zebrafish during development. *Front Mol Neurosci*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2018.00222>
313. Liu C et al (2016) Macrophages mediate the repair of brain vascular rupture through direct physical adhesion and mechanical traction. *Immunity* 44(5):1162–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.03.008>
314. Gray C, Loynes CA, Whyte MKB, Crossman DC, Renshaw SA, Chico TJA (2011) Simultaneous intravital imaging of macrophage and neutrophil behaviour during inflammation using a novel transgenic zebrafish. *Thromb Haemost* 105(5):811–819. <https://doi.org/10.1160/TH10-08-0525>
315. Piccard H, Muschel RJ, Opendakker G (2012) On the dual roles and polarized phenotypes of neutrophils in tumor development and progression. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 82(3):296–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2011.06.004>
316. Powell DR, Huttenlocher A (2016) Neutrophils in the tumor microenvironment. *Trends Immunol* 37(1):41–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2015.11.008>
317. Hao Q et al (2007) Neutrophil depletion decreases VEGF-induced focal angiogenesis in the mature mouse brain. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 27(11):1853–1860. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600485>
318. Nozawa H, Chiu C, Hanahan D (2006) Infiltrating neutrophils mediate the initial angiogenic switch in a mouse model of multistage carcinogenesis. *PNAS* 103(33):12493–12498. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601807103>
319. He S et al (2012) Neutrophil-mediated experimental metastasis is enhanced by VEGFR inhibition in a zebrafish xenograft model. *J Pathol* 227(4):431–445. <https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4013>
320. Guyader DL et al (2008) Origins and unconventional behavior of neutrophils in developing zebrafish. *Blood* 111(1):132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-06-095398>
321. Adams RH, Eichmann A (2010) Axon guidance molecules in vascular patterning. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001875>
322. Andreone BJ, Lacoste B, Gu C (2015) Neuronal and vascular interactions. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 38(1):25–46. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-071714-033835>
323. Carmeliet P, Tessier-Lavigne M (2005) Common mechanisms of nerve and blood vessel wiring. *Nature* 436(7048):193–200. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03875>
324. Bates D et al (2003) Neurovascular congruence results from a shared patterning mechanism that utilizes Semaphorin3A and Neuropilin-1. *Dev Biol* 255(1):77–98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-1606\(02\)00045-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-1606(02)00045-3)
325. Stubbs D et al (2009) Neurovascular congruence during cerebral cortical development. *Cereb Cortex* 19(Suppl 1):i32–i41. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhp040>
326. Eichmann A, Thomas J-L (2013) Molecular parallels between neural and vascular development. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 3(1):a006551. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a006551>
327. Wu K-W et al (2017) Neurovascular interaction promotes the morphological and functional maturation of cortical neurons. *Front Cell Neurosci*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2017.00290>
328. Oh W-J, Gu C (2013) Establishment of neurovascular congruency in complex tissue by a novel independent patterning mechanism. *Neuron* 80(2):458–469. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.09.005>
329. Himmels P et al (2017) Motor neurons control blood vessel patterning in the developing spinal cord. *Nat Commun* 8(1):1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14583>
330. Karakatsani A, Shah B, Ruiz de Almodovar C (2019) Blood vessels as regulators of neural stem cell properties. *Front Mol Neurosci*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2019.00085>
331. Ulrich F, Ma L-H, Baker RG, Torres-Vázquez J (2011) Neurovascular development in the embryonic zebrafish hindbrain. *Dev Biol* 357(1):134–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.06.037>
332. Taberner L, Bañón A, Alsina B (2018) Anatomical map of the cranial vasculature and sensory ganglia. *J Anat* 232(3):431–439. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.12762>
333. Gutnick A et al (2011) The hypothalamic neuropeptide oxytocin is required for formation of the neurovascular interface of the pituitary. *Dev Cell* 21(4):642–654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.09.004>
334. Lim AH, Suli A, Yaniv K, Weinstein B, Li DY, Chien C-B (2011) Motoneurons are essential for vascular pathfinding. *Development* 138(21):4813. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.075044>
335. Chen BR, Kozberg MG, Bouchard MB, Shaik MA, Hillman EMC (2014) A critical role for the vascular endothelium in functional neurovascular coupling in the brain. *J Am Heart Assoc*. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.114.000787>
336. Phillips AA, Chan FH, Zheng MMZ, Krassioukov AV, Ainslie PN (2016) Neurovascular coupling in humans: physiological advances and clinical implications. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 36(4):647–664. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X15617954>
337. Stobart JLL, Lu L, Anderson HDI, Mori H, Anderson CM (2013) Astrocyte-induced cortical vasodilation is mediated by D-serine and endothelial nitric oxide synthase. *PNAS* 110(8):3149–3154. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1215929110>
338. Balbi M et al (2015) Dysfunction of mouse cerebral arteries during early aging. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 35(9):1445–1453. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jcbfm.2015.107>
339. Duncombe J, Lennen RJ, Jansen MA, Marshall I, Wardlaw JM, Horsburgh K (2017) Ageing causes prominent neurovascular dysfunction associated with loss of astrocytic contacts and gliosis. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 43(6):477–491. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nan.12375>
340. Fabiani M et al (2014) Neurovascular coupling in normal aging: a combined optical, ERP and fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 85(Pt 1):592–607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.113>
341. Park L, Anrather J, Girouard H, Zhou P, Iadecola C (2007) Nox2-derived reactive oxygen species mediate neurovascular dysregulation in the aging mouse brain. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 27(12):1908–1918. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600491>
342. Rancillac A, Geoffroy H, Rossier J (2012) Impaired neurovascular coupling in the APPxPS1 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Curr Alzheimer Res* 9(10):1221–1230
343. Takano T, Han X, Deane R, Zlokovic B, Nedergaard M (2007) Two-photon imaging of astrocytic Ca²⁺ signaling and the microvasculature in experimental mice models of Alzheimer's disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1097(1):40–50. <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1379.004>
344. Tarantini S et al (2017) Demonstration of impaired neurovascular coupling responses in TG2576 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease using functional laser speckle contrast imaging. *Geroscience* 39(4):465–473. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-017-9980-z>
345. Zlokovic BV (2005) Neurovascular mechanisms of Alzheimer's neurodegeneration. *Trends Neurosci* 28(4):202–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2005.02.001>

346. Kozberg M, Hillman E (2016) Neurovascular coupling and energy metabolism in the developing brain. *Prog Brain Res* 225:213–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pbr.2016.02.002>
347. Kozberg MG, Hillman EMC (2016) Neurovascular coupling develops alongside neural circuits in the postnatal brain. *Neurogenesis* 3(1):e1244439. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23262133.2016.1244439>
348. Colonnese MT, Phillips MA, Constantine-Paton M, Kaila K, Jasanoff A (2008) Development of hemodynamic responses and functional connectivity in rat somatosensory cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 11(1):72–79. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn2017>
349. Kozberg MG, Chen BR, DeLeo SE, Bouchard MB, Hillman EMC (2013) Resolving the transition from negative to positive blood oxygen level-dependent responses in the developing brain. *PNAS* 110(11):4380–4385. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212785110>
350. Chhabria K et al (2018) The effect of hyperglycemia on neurovascular coupling and cerebrovascular patterning in zebrafish. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X18810615>
351. Chhabria K et al (2019) Sodium nitroprusside prevents the detrimental effects of glucose on the neurovascular unit and behaviour in zebrafish. *Dis Models Mech*. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.039867>
352. Jayadev R, Sherwood DR (2017) Basement membranes. *Curr Biol* 27(6):R207–R211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.02.006>
353. Marchand M, Monnot C, Muller L, Germain S (2019) Extracellular matrix scaffolding in angiogenesis and capillary homeostasis. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 89:147–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2018.08.007>
354. Seano G, Primo L (2015) Podosomes and invadopodia: tools to breach vascular basement membrane. *Cell Cycle* 14(9):1370–1374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2015.1026523>
355. Pozzi A, Yurchenco PD, Iozzo RV (2017) The nature and biology of basement membranes. *Matrix Biol* 57–58:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2016.12.009>
356. Thomsen MS, Routhe LJ, Moos T (2017) The vascular basement membrane in the healthy and pathological brain. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 37(10):3300–3317. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X17722436>
357. Randles MJ, Humphries MJ, Lennon R (2017) Proteomic definitions of basement membrane composition in health and disease. *Matrix Biol* 57–58:12–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2016.08.006>
358. Hallmann R, Horn N, Selg M, Wendler O, Pausch F, Sorokin LM (2005) Expression and function of laminins in the embryonic and mature vasculature. *Physiol Rev* 85(3):979–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00014.2004>
359. Sixt M, Engelhardt B, Pausch F, Hallmann R, Wendler O, Sorokin LM (2001) Endothelial cell laminin isoforms, laminins 8 and 10, play decisive roles in T cell recruitment across the blood-brain barrier in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Cell Biol* 153(5):933–946. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.153.5.933>
360. Yousif LF, Di Russo J, Sorokin L (2013) Laminin isoforms in endothelial and perivascular basement membranes. *Cell Adh Migr* 7(1):101–110. <https://doi.org/10.4161/cam.22680>
361. Lee J, Gross JM (2007) Laminin beta1 and gamma1 containing laminins are essential for basement membrane integrity in the zebrafish eye. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 48(6):2483–2490. <https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.06-1211>
362. Gnanaguru G, Pinzón-Duarte GA, Bachay G, Brunken WJ (2009) Laminins are key regulators of retinal angiogenesis and vascular integrity. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 50(13):2928–2928
363. Gautam J, Zhang X, Yao Y (2016) The role of pericytic laminin in blood brain barrier integrity maintenance. *Sci Rep* 6:36450. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36450>
364. Menezes MJ, McClenahan FK, Leiton CV, Aranmolate A, Shan X, Colognato H (2014) The extracellular matrix protein laminin $\alpha 2$ regulates the maturation and function of the blood-brain barrier. *J Neurosci* 34(46):15260–15280. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3678-13.2014>
365. Biswas S, Bachay G, Chu J, Hunter DD, Brunken WJ (2017) Laminin-Dependent Interaction between Astrocytes and Microglia: A Role in Retinal Angiogenesis. *Am J Pathol* 187(9):2112–2127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2017.05.016>
366. Stenzel D et al (2011) Endothelial basement membrane limits tip cell formation by inducing Dll4/Notch signalling in vivo. *EMBO Rep* 12(11):1135–1143. <https://doi.org/10.1038/embor.2011.194>
367. Estrach S et al (2011) Laminin-binding integrins induce Dll4 expression and Notch signaling in endothelial cells. *Circ Res* 109(2):172–182. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.240622>
368. Pöschl E, Schlötzer-Schrehardt U, Brachvogel B, Saito K, Ninomiya Y, Mayer U (2004) Collagen IV is essential for basement membrane stability but dispensable for initiation of its assembly during early development. *Development* 131(7):1619–1628. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01037>
369. Gould DB et al (2005) Mutations in Col4a1 cause perinatal cerebral hemorrhage and porencephaly. *Science* 308(5725):1167–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1109418>
370. Gould DB et al (2006) Role of COL4A1 in small-vessel disease and hemorrhagic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 354(14):1489–1496. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa053727>
371. Takeuchi M et al (2015) Type IV collagen controls the axogenesis of cerebellar granule cells by regulating basement membrane integrity in zebrafish. *PLoS Genet* 11(10):e1005587. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005587>
372. Yurchenco PD, Schittny JC (1990) Molecular architecture of basement membranes. *FASEB J* 4(6):1577–1590. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fasebj.4.6.2180767>
373. Dong L et al (2002) Neurologic defects and selective disruption of basement membranes in mice lacking entactin-1/nidogen-1. *Lab Invest* 82(12):1617–1630
374. Schymeinsky J et al (2002) Gene structure and functional analysis of the mouse nidogen-2 gene: nidogen-2 is not essential for basement membrane formation in mice. *Mol Cell Biol* 22(19):6820–6830. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.22.19.6820-6830.2002>
375. Salmivirta K, Talts JF, Olsson M, Sasaki T, Timpl R, Ekblom P (2002) Binding of mouse nidogen-2 to basement membrane components and cells and its expression in embryonic and adult tissues suggest complementary functions of the two nidogens. *Exp Cell Res* 279(2):188–201. <https://doi.org/10.1006/excr.2002.5611>
376. Bader BL et al (2005) Compound genetic ablation of nidogen 1 and 2 causes basement membrane defects and perinatal lethality in mice. *Mol Cell Biol* 25(15):6846–6856. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.25.15.6846-6856.2005>
377. Zhu P et al (2017) Short body length phenotype is compensated by the upregulation of nidogen family members in a deleterious nid1a mutation of zebrafish. *J Genet Genomics* 44(11):553–556. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2017.09.011>
378. Sarrazin S, Lamanna WC, Esko JD (2011) Heparan sulfate proteoglycans. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a004952>
379. Lord MS, Chuang CY, Melrose J, Davies MJ, Iozzo RV, White-lock JM (2014) The role of vascular-derived perlecan in modulating cell adhesion, proliferation and growth factor signaling. *Matrix Biol* 35:112–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2014.01.016>

380. Fukuda S, Fini CA, Mabuchi T, Koziol JA, Eggleston LL, del Zoppo GJ (2004) Focal cerebral ischemia induces active proteases that degrade microvascular matrix. *Stroke* 35(4):998–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000119383.76447.05>
381. Gustafsson E, Almonte-Becerril M, Bloch W, Costell M (2013) Perlecan maintains microvessel integrity in vivo and modulates their formation in vitro. *PLoS ONE* 8(1):e53715. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053715>
382. Costell M, Carmona R, Gustafsson E, González-Iriarte M, Fässler R, Muñoz-Chápuli R (2002) Hyperplastic conotruncal endocardial cushions and transposition of great arteries in perlecan-null mice. *Circ Res* 91(2):158–164. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.res.0000026056.81424.da>
383. González-Iriarte M, Carmona R, Pérez-Pomares JM, Macías D, Costell M, Muñoz-Chápuli R (2003) Development of the coronary arteries in a murine model of transposition of great arteries. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 35(7):795–802. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2828\(03\)00134-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2828(03)00134-2)
384. Mongiat M, Sweeney SM, San Antonio JD, Fu J, Iozzo RV (2003) Endorepellin, a novel inhibitor of angiogenesis derived from the C terminus of perlecan. *J Biol Chem*. 278(6):4238–4249. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M210445200>
385. Zoeller JJ, McQuillan A, Whitelock J, Ho S-Y, Iozzo RV (2008) A central function for perlecan in skeletal muscle and cardiovascular development. *J Cell Biol* 181(2):381–394. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200708022>
386. Zoeller JJ, Whitelock JM, Iozzo RV (2009) Perlecan regulates developmental angiogenesis by modulating the VEGF-VEGFR2 axis. *Matrix Biol* 28(5):284–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2009.04.010>
387. Kim MJ et al (2007) Agrin is required for posterior development and motor axon outgrowth and branching in embryonic zebrafish. *Glycobiology* 17(2):231–247. <https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwl069>
388. Liu I-H, Zhang C, Kim MJ, Cole GJ (2008) Retina development in zebrafish requires the heparan sulfate proteoglycan agrin. *Dev Neurobiol* 68(7):877–898. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.20625>
389. Bassat E et al (2017) The extracellular matrix protein agrin promotes heart regeneration in mice. *Nature* 547(7662):179–184. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22978>
390. Steiner E et al (2014) The heparan sulfate proteoglycan agrin contributes to barrier properties of mouse brain endothelial cells by stabilizing adherens junctions. *Cell Tissue Res* 358(2):465–479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-014-1969-7>
391. Barber AJ, Lieth E (1997) Agrin accumulates in the brain microvascular basal lamina during development of the blood-brain barrier. *Dev Dyn* 208(1):62–74. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0177\(199701\)208:1%3c62::AID-AJA6%3e3.0.CO;2-#](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199701)208:1%3c62::AID-AJA6%3e3.0.CO;2-#)
392. van Hinsbergh VWM, Koolwijk P (2008) Endothelial sprouting and angiogenesis: matrix metalloproteinases in the lead. *Cardiovasc Res* 78(2):203–212. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvm102>
393. Jabłońska-Trypuć A, Matejczyk M, Rosochacki S (2016) Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), the main extracellular matrix (ECM) enzymes in collagen degradation, as a target for anticancer drugs. *J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem* 31(sup1):177–183. <https://doi.org/10.3109/14756366.2016.1161620>
394. Stetler-Stevenson WG (1999) Matrix metalloproteinases in angiogenesis: a moving target for therapeutic intervention. *J Clin Invest* 103(9):1237–1241. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI6870>
395. Chang D-I et al (2003) Activation systems for latent matrix metalloproteinase-2 are upregulated immediately after focal cerebral ischemia. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 23(12):1408–1419. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.WCB.0000091765.61714.30>
396. Hosomi N, Lucero J, Heo JH, Koziol JA, Copeland BR, del Zoppo GJ (2001) Rapid differential endogenous plasminogen activator expression after acute middle cerebral artery occlusion. *Stroke* 32(6):1341–1348. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.32.6.1341>
397. Kozol RA, Abrams AJ, James DM, Buglo E, Yan Q, Dallman JE (2016) Function over form: modeling groups of inherited neurological conditions in zebrafish. *Front Mol Neurosci*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2016.00055>
398. Bill BR, Korzh V (2014) Choroid plexus in developmental and evolutionary perspective. *Front Neurosci*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2014.00363>
399. Korzh V (2018) Development of brain ventricular system. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 75(3):375–383. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-017-2605-y>
400. Kimelberg HK (2004) Water homeostasis in the brain: basic concepts. *Neuroscience* 129(4):851–860. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.07.033>
401. Lun MP, Monuki ES, Lehtinen MK (2015) Development and functions of the choroid plexus-cerebrospinal fluid system. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 16(8):445–457. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3921>
402. Orešković D, Klarica M (2010) The formation of cerebrospinal fluid: Nearly a hundred years of interpretations and misinterpretations. *Brain Res Rev* 64(2):241–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2010.04.006>
403. Orešković D, Klarica M (2014) A new look at cerebrospinal fluid movement. *Fluids Barriers CNS* 11:16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2045-8118-11-16>
404. Klose U, Strik C, Kiefer C, Grodd W (2000) Detection of a relation between respiration and CSF pulsation with an echoplanar technique. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 11(4):438–444. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1522-2586\(200004\)11:4%3c438::aid-jmri12%3e3.0.co;2-o](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1522-2586(200004)11:4%3c438::aid-jmri12%3e3.0.co;2-o)
405. Yamada S et al (2013) Influence of respiration on cerebrospinal fluid movement using magnetic resonance spin labeling. *Fluids Barriers CNS* 10(1):36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2045-8118-10-36>
406. Xu Q et al (2016) Head movement, an important contributor to human cerebrospinal fluid circulation. *Scientific Reports* 6:31787. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31787>
407. Faubel R, Westendorf C, Bodenschatz E, Eichele G (2016) Cilia-based flow network in the brain ventricles. *Science* 353(6295):176–178. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aae0450>
408. Ibañez-Tallon I et al (2004) Dysfunction of axonemal dynein heavy chain Mdnah5 inhibits ependymal flow and reveals a novel mechanism for hydrocephalus formation. *Hum Mol Genet* 13(18):2133–2141. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddh219>
409. Ballabh P, Braun A, Nedergaard M (2004) The blood-brain barrier: an overview: structure, regulation, and clinical implications. *Neurobiol Dis* 16(1):1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2003.12.016>
410. Munji RN et al (2019) Profiling the mouse brain endothelial transcriptome in health and disease models reveals a core blood-brain barrier dysfunction module. *Nat Neurosci* 22(11):1892–1902. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0497-x>
411. Eliceiri BP, Gonzalez AM, Baird A (2011) Zebrafish model of the blood-brain barrier: morphological and permeability studies. *Methods Mol Biol* 686:371–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60761-938-3_18
412. Fleming A, Diekmann H, Goldsmith P (2013) Functional characterisation of the maturation of the blood-brain barrier in larval zebrafish. *PLoS ONE* 8(10):e77548. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077548>
413. van Leeuwen L et al (2017) A transgenic zebrafish model for the in vivo study of the blood and choroid plexus brain barriers using claudin 5. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/180653>

414. Quiñonez-Silvero C, Hübner K, Herzog W (2019) Development of the brain vasculature and the blood-brain barrier in zebrafish. *Dev Biol*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2019.03.005>
415. Xie J, Farage E, Sugimoto M, Anand-Apte B (2010) A novel transgenic zebrafish model for blood-brain and blood-retinal barrier development. *BMC Dev Biol* 10:76. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-10-76>
416. Umans RA et al (2017) CNS angiogenesis and barrierogenesis occur simultaneously. *Dev Biol* 425(2):101–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.03.017>
417. Aspelund A et al (2015) A dural lymphatic vascular system that drains brain interstitial fluid and macromolecules. *J Exp Med* 212(7):991–999. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20142290>
418. Louveau A et al (2015) Structural and functional features of central nervous system lymphatic vessels. *Nature* 523(7560):337–341. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14432>
419. Bower NI et al (2017) Mural lymphatic endothelial cells regulate meningeal angiogenesis in the zebrafish. *Nat Neurosci* 20(6):774–783. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4558>
420. Galanternik MV et al (2017) A novel perivascular cell population in the zebrafish brain. *eLife* 6:e24369. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.24369>
421. van Lessen M, Shibata-Germanos S, van Impel A, Hawkins TA, Rihel J, Schulte-Merker S (2017) Intracellular uptake of macromolecules by brain lymphatic endothelial cells during zebrafish embryonic development. *eLife* 6:e25932. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.25932>
422. Absinta M et al (2017) Human and nonhuman primate meninges harbor lymphatic vessels that can be visualized noninvasively by MRI. *Elife* 6:03. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.29738>
423. Bower NI, Hogan BM (2018) Brain drains: new insights into brain clearance pathways from lymphatic biology. *J Mol Med* 96(5):383–390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-018-1634-9>
424. Chen J, He J, Ni R, Yang Q, Zhang Y, Luo L (2019) Cerebrovascular injuries induce lymphatic invasion into brain parenchyma to guide vascular regeneration in zebrafish. *Dev Cell* 49(5):697–710.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.03.022>
425. Iliff JJ et al (2012) A paravascular pathway facilitates CSF flow through the brain parenchyma and the clearance of interstitial solutes, including amyloid β . *Sci Transl Med* 4(147):147111. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3003748>
426. Xie L et al (2013) Sleep drives metabolite clearance from the adult brain. *Science* 342(6156):373–377. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1241224>
427. Benveniste H, Liu X, Koundal S, Sanggaard S, Lee H, Wardlaw J (2019) The glymphatic system and waste clearance with brain aging: a review. *GER* 65(2):106–119. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000490349>
428. Jessen NA, Munk ASF, Lundgaard I, Nedergaard M (2015) The glymphatic system: a beginner's guide. *Neurochem Res* 40(12):2583–2599. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11064-015-1581-6>
429. Plog BA, Nedergaard M (2018) The glymphatic system in central nervous system health and disease: past, present, and future. *Annu Rev Pathol* 13:379–394. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-051217-111018>
430. Semyachkina-Glushkovskaya O, Postnov D, Kurths J (2018) Blood–brain barrier, lymphatic clearance, and recovery: Ariadne's thread in labyrinths of hypotheses. *Int J Mol Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19123818>
431. Baldessari D, Mione M (2008) How to create the vascular tree? (Latest) help from the zebrafish. *Pharmacol Ther* 118(2):206–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2008.02.010>
432. Hogan BM, Schulte-Merker S (2017) How to plumb a pisces: understanding vascular development and disease using zebrafish embryos. *Dev Cell* 42(6):567–583. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.08.015>
433. Kume T (2010) Specification of arterial, venous, and lymphatic endothelial cells during embryonic development. *Histol Histopathol* 25(5):637–646. <https://doi.org/10.14670/HH-25.637>
434. Takeshita Y, Obermeier B, Cotleur A, Sano Y, Kanda T, Ransohoff RM (2014) An in vitro blood–brain barrier model combining shear stress and endothelial cell/astrocyte co-culture. *J Neurosci Methods* 232:165–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2014.05.013>
435. Adriani G, Ma D, Pavesi A, Goh ELK, Kamm RD (2015) Modeling the blood-brain barrier in a 3D triple co-culture microfluidic system. In: 2015 37th annual international conference of the IEEE engineering in medicine and biology society (EMBC), pp 338–341. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/EMBC.2015.7318368>.
436. Quadrato G et al (2017) Cell diversity and network dynamics in photosensitive human brain organoids. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22047>
437. Schutgens F, Clevers H (2020) Human organoids: tools for understanding biology and treating diseases. *Annu Rev Pathol* 15(1):211–234. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012419-032611>
438. Bassi A, Fieramonti L, D'Andrea C, Mione M, Valentini G (2011) In vivo label-free three-dimensional imaging of zebrafish vasculature with optical projection tomography. *J Biomed Opt* 16(10):100502. <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.3640808>
439. Correia T et al (2015) Accelerated optical projection tomography applied to in vivo imaging of zebrafish. *PLoS ONE* 10(8):e0136213. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136213>
440. Kumar S et al (2016) Quantitative in vivo optical tomography of cancer progression & vasculature development in adult zebrafish. *Oncotarget* 7(28):43939–43948. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.9756>
441. Koth J et al (2017) High-resolution magnetic resonance imaging of the regenerating adult zebrafish heart. *Sci Rep* 7(1):2917. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03050-y>
442. Merrifield GD et al (2017) Rapid and recoverable in vivo magnetic resonance imaging of the adult zebrafish at 7T. *Magn Reson Imaging* 37:9–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2016.10.013>
443. Kline TL et al (2019) Three-dimensional NMR microscopy of zebrafish specimens. *NMR Biomed* 32(1):e4031. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.4031>
444. Bassi A, Schmid B, Huisken J (2015) Optical tomography complements light sheet microscopy for in toto imaging of zebrafish development. *Development* 142(5):1016–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.116970>
445. Kugler E, Plant K, Chico T, Armitage P (2019) Enhancement and segmentation workflow for the developing zebrafish vasculature. *J Imaging* 5(1):14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jimaging5010014>
446. Gupta T, Marquart GD, Horstick EJ, Tabor KM, Pajevic S, Burgess HA (2018) Morphometric analysis and neuroanatomical mapping of the zebrafish brain. *Methods* 150:49–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2018.06.008>
447. Marquart GD et al (2017) High-precision registration between zebrafish brain atlases using symmetric diffeomorphic normalization. *Gigascience* 6(8):1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/gix056>
448. Randlett O et al (2015) Whole-brain activity mapping onto a zebrafish brain atlas. *Nat Methods* 12(11):1039–1046. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3581>
449. Ronneberger O et al (2012) ViBE-Z: a framework for 3D virtual colocalization analysis in zebrafish larval brains. *Nat Methods* 9(7):735–742. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2076>
450. Cox CM, Poole TJ (2000) Angioblast differentiation is influenced by the local environment: FGF-2 induces angioblasts and patterns vessel formation in the quail embryo. *Dev Dyn* 218(2):371–382. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0177\(200006\)218:2%3c371::AID-DVDY10%3e3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(200006)218:2%3c371::AID-DVDY10%3e3.0.CO;2-Z)

451. Funa K, Sasahara M (2014) The roles of PDGF in development and during neurogenesis in the normal and diseased nervous system. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol* 9(2):168–181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11481-013-9479-z>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.