

Title	Holter monitoring for the screening of cardiac disease in diabetes mellitus: The non-invasive Holter monitoring observation of new cardiac events in diabetics study.
Author(s)	Nakao, Yoko M; Ueshima, Kenji; Nohara, Ryuji; Mizunuma, Yoshimi; Segawa, Ikuo; Tanaka-Mizuno, Sachiko; Yasuno, Shinji; Nakao, Kazuwa; Hiramori, Katsuhiko; Kihara, Yasuki
Citation	Diabetes & vascular disease research (2015), 12(6): 396-404
Issue Date	2015-11
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/204366
Right	The final, definitive version of this paper has been published in [Diabetes and Vascular Disease Research November 2015 vol. 12 no. 6 396-404] by SAGE Publications Ltd, All rights reserved. © The Author(s)
Type	Journal Article
Textversion	author

Title: Holter monitoring for the screening of cardiac disease in diabetes mellitus: the Non-Invasive Holter monitoring Observation of New cardiac events in diabetics (NIHON) study

Authors: Yoko M. Nakao, M.D.¹, Kenji Ueshima, M.D., Ph.D.¹, Ryuji Nohara, M.D., Ph.D.², Yoshimi Mizunuma, M.D., Ph.D.³, Ikuo Segawa, M.D., Ph.D.⁴, Sachiko Tanaka-Mizuno, Ph.D.⁵, Shinji Yasuno M.D., Ph.D.¹, Kazuwa Nakao M.D., Ph.D.⁶, Katsuhiko Hiramori M.D., Ph.D.⁷, Yasuki Kihara M.D., Ph.D.⁸

¹ Department of EBM Research, Institute for advancement of clinical and translational science, Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto, Japan

² Kitano Hospital, the Tazuke Kofukai Medical Research Institute, Osaka, Japan

³ Mizunuma Medical Clinic, Morioka, Japan

⁴ Diabetes Center, Hitakami-Chuo Clinic, Hitakami, Japan

⁵ Department of Medical Statistics, Shiga University of Medical Science, Shiga, Japan

⁶ Medical Innovation Center, Kyoto University School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

⁷ Morioh Co. Ltd., Morioka, Japan

⁸ Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Hiroshima University Graduate School of Biomedical & Health Sciences, Hiroshima, Japan

Corresponding author:

Kenji Ueshima

Department of EBM Research, Institute for advancement of clinical and translational science, Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto, Japan 54 Shogoinkawaharacho, Sakyo-ku,

Kyoto #606-8507, Japan

Tel: +81-75-751-4741

Fax: +81-75-771-5154

Email: ueshima.kenji.5m@kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We investigated the usefulness of Holter monitoring to detect cardiac disease and predict future cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic diabetics. This is a multi-center, prospective study in 406 asymptomatic diabetics. They were categorized into three groups based on findings of Holter monitoring. Three-hundred and seventy-seven met inclusion criteria and were classified as low (n=172), moderate (n=136) and high risk (n=69). Eighty-six in moderate and 53 in high risk receive further evaluation. Twenty-nine in moderate and 25 in high risk were diagnosed as cardiac disease and 12 required additional treatment, including coronary intervention. Over 1.8 years of mean follow-up, 11 (16.5 per 1,000 person-years) experienced cardiovascular events. The cumulative incidence in moderate and high risk was higher than that in low risk (p=0.029 and p = 0.014, respectively). Our study suggests that Holter monitoring may be a useful screening tool to detect cardiac disease and predict future cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic diabetics.

Key Words: Diabetes mellitus; cardiac disease; silent myocardial infarction; Holter monitoring.

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in diabetic patients.¹ Diabetic patients without previous myocardial infarction have as a high risk of cardiovascular death and myocardial infarction as non-diabetic patients with previous myocardial infarction.^{2,3} Considering the specific features of diabetic CAD, a concern is that myocardial ischemia can be silent with its first presentation of an acute coronary syndrome or a sudden death. The silent myocardial ischemia (SMI) is two to six times more common in the diabetic patients than in the non-diabetic patients.⁴ To identify CAD in diabetic patients without clear symptoms of CAD, risk factor based approach to the initial diagnostic evaluation and subsequent follow-up is recommended.^{5,6} However, a recent study suggested that the conventional cardiac risk factors did not help to identify patients whose likelihood of having SMI was high.⁷

Holter monitoring is a non-invasive tool for assessing the frequency and duration of silent transient myocardial ischemia, by providing for the detection and analysis of arrhythmias and ST-segment deviation.⁸ While a variety of diagnostic tests for CAD require referrals to cardiologists, Holter monitoring is available to adopt in primary care for the assessment of patients with suspected cardiac diseases by general physicians. Current evidence suggests that Holter monitoring can improve assessment of future CAD

risk, particularly in patients who are post-acute myocardial infarction, those with acute coronary syndrome, and in patients in the acute post-operative period.⁹ There is, however, no current evidence that Holter monitoring in diabetic patients without history of cardiac disease improves future cardiac risk assessment or leads to better utilization of treatments.

The Non-Invasive Holter monitoring Observation of New cardiac events in diabetics (NIHON) study was aimed at evaluating the usefulness of a Holter monitoring based screening strategy for asymptomatic diabetic patients. Here, we report the results of our study.

Materials and Methods

The NIHON study was a prospective, multi-center study. Four hundred and six patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) were enrolled at 28 clinics in Japan between July 2008 and July 2009.

The study recruited asymptomatic patients aged over 20 years with Type 2 DM or IGT defined according to the 1999 Japan Diabetes Society criteria who had no history of organic heart disease but who did have one or more of the following risk factors: hypertension (systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg; early morning home blood pressure ≥ 130 mmHg systolic or ≥ 80 mmHg diastolic; or use of antihypertensive medications), dyslipidemia (LDL-cholesterol ≥ 140 mg/dl;

HDL-cholesterol <40 mg/dl; triglyceride \geq 150 mg/dl; or medication with lipid-lowering agents), proteinuria, diabetic nephropathy (microalbuminuria or estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] \leq 60 ml/min), abdominal obesity (waist circumference \geq 85cm in men, \geq 90cm in women), diabetic retinopathy, diabetic neuropathy, history of cerebrovascular disease, internal carotid artery stenosis (intima-media complex \geq 1.2mm), or peripheral artery disease ([PAD] ankle brachial pressure index \leq 0.8).

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Kitano Hospital, the Tazuke Kofukai Medical Research Institute, and the Institutional Review Boards at the individual collaborating physicians' institutions, which also approved the protocol when requested. After obtaining written informed consent, baseline data were recorded including 24-h Holter monitoring.

Holter monitoring

Electrocardiograms were recorded continuously on digital storage, Holtrec (Nihon Kohden Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with the use of two electrodes (leads CM5 and NASA). All Holter recordings were sent to a central site by using Net De Holter (Morioh Co. Ltd, Morioka, Japan) via the internet. An expert cardiologist blinded to the patient's baseline characteristics interpreted all recordings and categorized them into the three following groups: low risk, moderate risk and high risk. These reports were overviewed

by expert cardiologists in Department of EBM Research, Institute for advancement of clinical and translational, Kyoto University Hospital.

The low risk group included patients without abnormalities or with only mild abnormalities which did not meet the following criteria of the moderate and high risk.

The moderate risk group included patients with second-degree atrioventricular block; sick sinus syndrome, sinoatrial block, sinus arrest, sinus bradycardia, or total heart beat [THB]

$\leq 80,000/24h$; paroxysmal atrial flutter, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, sinus tachycardia

(THB $\geq 120,000/24h$), premature atrial contractions ($\geq 5\%$ of THB); premature ventricular

complexes (PVCs) ($\geq 5\%$ of THB) or multifocal PVCs (Lown grades 2 to 3); and the

possibility of ischemic ST-T changes. Ischemic ST-T changes were defined as: 1) ST

segment depression at least 1 mm below the baseline ST position measured at 80 ms after

the J point, 2) lasting for at least one minute and no more than 20 minutes, and 3) separated

from other episodes by ≥ 1 min. The possibility of ischemic ST-T changes was defined by

the presence of 1) without 2) or 3). The high risk group included patients with third-

degree atrioventricular block; sinus arrest ≥ 5 min; paroxysmal atrial flutter or atrial

fibrillation lasting more than 30 min, persistent atrial flutter or atrial fibrillation; frequent

PVCs such as couplets or triplets, ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation, R on T

extrasystoles (Lown grades 4a and above); and heart rate-related ischemic ST-T changes

with or without long lasting ST-depression, T wave inversion, or symptoms. ~~Ischemic ST-T changes were defined as ST segment depression at least 1 mm below the baseline ST position measured at 80 ms after the J point, lasting for at least one minute and no more than 20 minutes, and separated from other episodes by ≥ 1 min.~~ The definition of stratification was determined preliminarily by reference to Minnesota code and the guideline by the Japan Society of Ningen Dock.

Other risk stratification

We also stratified patients based on the following criteria: (1) American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria: The ADA recommended screening for myocardial ischemia in diabetic patients with one of the following: PAD; carotid artery disease; at least two of five cardiovascular risk factors including dyslipidemia, hypertension, current smoking, family history of premature CAD, or albuminuria.¹⁰ (2) The French-speaking Societies for diabetes & cardiology (ALFEDIAM/SFC) criteria: The ALFEDIAM/SFC recommended screening for myocardial ischemia in diabetic patients with one of the following: age over 60 years; diabetic duration over 10 years and at least two other cardiovascular risk factors; PAD; carotid artery disease; proteinuria.⁶

Evaluation and follow-up

Attending physicians received a report of their patients' results of Holter recordings

including group categorizations (low, moderate, and high risk), and communicated the results to the patients. Patients with moderate or high risk were referred to cardiologists for further evaluations and follow-up. Evaluation and management decisions were made according to the best-effort judgments of the cardiologists.

Cardiovascular events

The cardiovascular end point was a composite of myocardial infarction, stroke, coronary revascularization, hospitalization for heart failure, hospitalization for arrhythmias, hospitalization for angina pectoris or SMI, hospitalization for aneurysm, hospitalization for PAD, or dialysis.

Statistical analysis

We summarized the baseline characteristics separately for groups (low, moderate, and high risk), using means and standard deviation for normally distributed data, medians and interquartile range for non-normally distributed data, and percentages for categorical data. We used the Kaplan-Meier method with a log-rank test to compare the incidence of cardiovascular events between groups. To calculate cardiac event rates, we divided the number of cardiac events by person-years of follow-up, defined as the period from the date of first visit to the date of the first event or 31 January 2011, whichever came first. We performed univariate Cox proportional hazard regression analyses to evaluate the

relationships between risk classification by Holter and cardiovascular events. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 12.1 (STATA, Texas, USA).

Results

Characteristics

Of the 406 patients enrolled at the baseline screening, we analyzed a total of 377 patients, 360 for type 2 DM, and 17 for IGT. We classified them as low risk (n = 172), moderate risk (n=136), and high risk (n=69) based on the results of Holter recordings (Figure 1). Table 1 showed the baseline characteristics of these patients. Low risk patients were younger, higher HbA1c, and had a higher prevalence of diabetic complications (nephropathy and retinopathy) than high risk patients. There are no trend between High risk by ADA or ALFEDIAM/SFC criteria and the groups by Holter monitoring (p=0.927, p=0.392).

Table 2 showed the detailed results of Holter recordings in patients with moderate and high risk. Both groups had high prevalence of ventricular arrhythmias (PVCs, 86.0% in moderate risk; NSVT or VT, 91.3% in high risk patients). In addition, 16 (11.8%) patients in moderate risk and 11 (15.9%) patients in high risk had silent ischemic ST changes on Holter recordings.

Additional testing and medical treatment

Eighty-six (63.2%) patients in moderate risk and 53 (76.8%) in high risk were referred to a cardiology department during follow-up (Figure 2). Non-invasive tests were performed in 51 patients at moderate risk and in 42 at high risk (Supplementary table 1), and 29 in moderate risk and 25 in high risk were diagnosed as cardiac disease (Table 2). In the diagnosed cardiac diseases, structural heart disease had a higher prevalence compared to ischemic heart disease in both moderate and high risk. 12 patients (5 in moderate risk and 7 in high risk) required additional treatment, including a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI; 1 in moderate risk and 2 in high risk).

Cardiovascular Outcomes

Over 1.8 years of mean follow-up, accounting for 665 person-years, 11 patients experienced cardiovascular events (only in patients with type 2 DM): 1 at low risk (the incidence rate 3.3 per 1,000 person-years), 6 at moderate risk (24.9 per 1,000 person-years), and 4 at high risk (32.4 per 1,000 person-years). We observed only heart disease including ischemic heart disease, structural heart disease, and arrhythmias (Table 3). The cumulative incidence was significantly higher in patients at high risk than in those at low risk ($p = 0.014$; Figure 3), and higher in patients at moderate risk than those at low risk ($p = 0.029$). Patients with high risk showed a trend toward increased cardiovascular events (p for trend = 0.028). After exclusion of IGT patients, this trend was significant in patients

with type 2 DM (p for trend = 0.034). Table 4 showed clinical factors associated with cardiovascular events. We also found that age, HbA1c, and diabetic neuropathy were associated with increased risk of cardiovascular events. The current two criteria (ADA and ALFEDIAM/SFC) commonly used CAD screening in patients with diabetes were not predictors of significant cardiovascular events in our setting. The low number of cardiovascular events precluded multivariate analyses.

Discussion

In the present study, Holter monitoring detected high prevalence of abnormality including ischemic ST changes in asymptomatic diabetic patients. Patients classified as moderate or high risk by Holter monitoring were diagnosed as cardiac disease with high frequency and some required additional treatments including PCI. The proportions of patients who led to the diagnosis of cardiac disease and received additional treatment were higher in the high risk group than in the moderate risk group. Further, diabetic patients at high risk detected by Holter monitoring had a poorer prognosis than those with low risk.

The current strategy of screening patients with diabetes for advanced asymptomatic CAD is a risk factor-guided approach to identify patients with high cardiac risk whose outcomes might be improved through more aggressive intervention ¹⁰. Both ADA and

ALFEDIAM/SFC considered that multiple risk factors in the same patient substantially increase the overall cardiovascular risk¹² and that intervention directed at risk factors significantly improved cardiovascular prognosis.¹³ In addition, considering the high likelihood of a positive screening test result, ALFFEDIAM/SFC defined the first selection criterion for inclusion in a screening program for SMI as age > 60 years and a duration of diabetes of > 10 years.⁶ However, those traditional risk factors do not always predict which patients will have abnormal screening test,^{7, 14} and negative screening tests in diabetic patients do not uniformly confer a benign prognosis.^{15, 16} In the Detection of Ischemia in Asymptomatic Diabetics (DIAD) study,¹⁷ none of the current stratification schemes using conventional cardiac risk factors predicted abnormalities on screening-stress myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI); and neither the presence nor severity of MPI abnormalities was greater in the higher-risk patients. Our present study confirms these findings in an Asian diabetic population whose mean BMI at baseline was around 25 kg/m². Together, these findings suggest that current recommendations for routine cardiovascular screening in asymptomatic patients with diabetes require reconsideration.

The very limited number of clinical trials conducted to date means that no comprehensive strategy for CAD screening in diabetic patients can be proposed.^{10, 11} Although exercise treadmill test is recommended in asymptomatic diabetic patients or in

individuals who are about to embark on moderate to high-intensity exercise,^{18, 19} its use is limited to patients who can exercise and have a normal baseline electrocardiogram. Furthermore, many diabetic patients are obese and have additional comorbidities such as hypertension, peripheral neuropathy, PAD, decreased functional capacity, and physical deconditioning — all of which may adversely influence the ability of the patient to exercise for a sufficiently long time to allow the evaluation of ischemic changes on electrocardiography.^{20, 21} While the clinical usefulness of several cardiac imaging for CAD detection has continued to improve over the past few years, their use is hampered by the disadvantages of radiation exposure²² and costs, and are not appropriate as an initial screening test. The Detection of Ischemia in Asymptomatic Diabetes (DIAD) study reported that among 1,123 diabetic patients without symptomatic or previously recognized CAD, many of whom had 2 or more cardiac risk factors, MPI screening for myocardial ischemia did not significantly reduce cardiac event rates over 4.8 years.²³ Although the coronary artery calcium score by cardiac computed tomography is a valuable marker for the overall coronary atherosclerotic burden and identifies asymptomatic individuals at higher risk for inducible ischemia,^{24, 25} the usefulness of screening asymptomatic moderate risk populations is currently unknown.²⁶

Holter monitoring is non-invasive, inexpensive, widely available, and one of the

most frequently used modalities in the assessment of suspected or proven cardiovascular disease. The prevalence of SMI in diabetes as assessed by Holter monitoring varies between 35% and 58%.²⁷⁻³⁰ Although the predicted value of cardiac disease by Holter monitoring in diabetic patients is expected to be more useful than that by other non-invasive modalities, findings to date have been inconsistent.

In Asymptomatic Cardiac Ischemia Pilot (ACIP) study, diabetic patients tended to have less measurable ischemia during the 48-hour Holter monitoring despite their having more extensive and diffuse CAD.³² One reason for these results is that evaluation was restricted to Holter monitoring of ST segment changes. Holter monitoring provides for the detection and analysis of two important factors, arrhythmias and ST-segment deviation.⁸ In the NIHON study, we assessed both the arrhythmias and ST-segment changes, and ventricular arrhythmias detected by Holter monitoring led to the diagnosis of SMI in patients who needed for additional cardiac evaluations and treatments.

There are several limitations to the present study and analysis. First, as not all patients in high and moderate risk had referred to cardiology, there is a possible risk of selection bias. Second, another important limitation is that an oral glucose tolerance test was not performed to diagnose IGT or newly-diagnosed DM. Third, cardiovascular event rates were low due to the relatively short duration of follow-up, and the study does not

have sufficient statistical power to allow for the adjustment of potential confounding factors. In our study, low-risk group had a higher HbA1c level and a higher prevalence of diabetic complications compared to moderate or high risk group at baseline. We need to further evaluate the associations between Holter monitoring and cardiovascular outcomes with a variety of risk factors including a glycemic control. Forth, we did not evaluate the possibility of cardiac disease among low risk patients. One low-risk patient experienced a cardiovascular event during 1.8 years of mean follow-up, but this event rate (3.3 per 1,000 person-years) was the lowest among groups. Finally, we were unable to compare the Holter screening and non-screening groups because the present study was not designed to assess the hypothesis. In the NIHON study, we suggested that the potential benefits of screening by Holter monitoring would be greater for diabetic patients with at least one risk factor. Studies are needed to directly evaluate whether screening for CAD by Holter monitoring identifies diabetic patients at high cardiac risk and whether it affects clinical outcome compared with no screening.

In conclusion, Holter monitoring detected high prevalence of abnormality including ischemic ST changes and arrhythmias, and enabled to detect cardiac disease and stratify the risk of serious cardiovascular events in asymptomatic diabetic patients. These findings suggest that a screening by a combination of conventional risk factors and Holter

monitoring may be advantageous to early detection of CAD and the prevention of subsequent cardiovascular events.

Acknowledgements

Contributors

YMN did analyses and drafted the manuscript. KU designed the study, secured data, managed data, did analyses, and drafted the manuscript. RN designed the study and revised the manuscript. YM evaluated the Holter recordings and revised manuscript. IS designed the study and secured data. ST secured data, managed data, did analyses, and drafted the manuscript. SY secured data, managed data, did analyses, and drafted the manuscript. KN managed data and revised the manuscript. KH designed the study and revised the manuscript. YK designed the study, managed data, and revised the manuscript. All authors had full access to all of the data in the study. All authors approved of the final manuscript.

NIHON Study group

Principal Study Coordinators

Yasuki Kihara, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine and Clinical Science, Hiroshima

University Graduate School of Biomedical & Health Sciences

Steering Committee

Ryuji Nohara (Chairman), Kitano Hospital, the Tazuke Kofukai Medical Research

Institute

Ikuo Segawa, Diabetes Center, Hitakami-Chuo Clinic

Yoshimi Mizunuma, Mizunuma Medical Clinic

Kenji Ueshima, EBM Research Center, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine

Protocol Committee

Yasuki Kihara (Chairman), Department of Cardiovascular Medicine and Clinical Science,

Hiroshima University Graduate School of Biomedical & Health Sciences

Ryuji Nohara, Kitano Hospital, the Tazuke Kofukai Medical Research Institute

Kenji Ueshima, EBM Research Center, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine

Holter Recording evaluation Committee

Yoshimi Mizunuma (Chairman), Mizunuma Medical Clinic

Biostatistics Committee

Kenji Ueshima (Chairman), EBM Research Center, Kyoto University Graduate School
of Medicine

Special Advisor

Katsuhiko Hiramori, Morioh Co. Ltd

Executive Office

Shinji Yasuno, EBM Research Center, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine

Yoko M. Nakao, EBM Research Center, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine

Sachiko Tanaka, EBM Research Center, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine

Kazuwa Nakao, EBM Research Center, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine

NIHON study Participating Doctors

Hiroki Yokoyama, Jiyugaoka Yokoyama Medical Clinic, Hokkaido, Japan *, Takeshi

Yoshinaka, Kyoto Min-iren Chuo Hospital, Kyoto, Japan *, Osamu Tomonaga, Ryuji

Suzuki, Yuko Hiramori, Takeshi Takami, Mitsutoshi Kato, Hiroshi Nonogi, Shuichi

Oshima, Ken-ichi Fukami, Masaharu Ishihara, Kensei Hayashida, Ken-ichi Koyama,

Yutaka Furukawa, Chikashi Kohmura, Kazusuke Itoh, Yoshiki Takatsu, Yasuhiro Endo,

Hideyuki Takano, Takashi Ichikawa, Hiroyuki Yamada, Tetsuya Sumiyoshi, Yasuo

Takayama, Toshiko Kawakita

(*: contributing to register more than 10% of enrolled patients)

Conflict of Interest Statement

KU, YM, IS, and KH are stakeholders of Morioh Co. Ltd.

Source of Funding:

Japan Research Promotion Society for Cardiovascular Diseases

References

1. Bloomgarden ZT. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care*. 2011; 34: e24-30.
2. Haffner SM, Lehto S, Ronnema T, Pyorala K and Laakso M. Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction. *The New England journal of medicine*. 1998; 339: 229-34.
3. Juutilainen A, Lehto S, Ronnema T, Pyorala K and Laakso M. Type 2 diabetes as a "coronary heart disease equivalent": an 18-year prospective population-based study in Finnish subjects. *Diabetes Care*. 2005; 28: 2901-7.
4. Koistinen MJ. Prevalence of asymptomatic myocardial ischaemia in diabetic subjects. *Bmj*. 1990; 301: 92-5.
5. Standards of medical care in diabetes--2007. *Diabetes Care*. 2007; 30 Suppl 1: S4-S41.
6. Puel J, Valensi P, Vanzetto G, et al. Identification of myocardial ischemia in the diabetic patient. Joint ALFEDIAM and SFC recommendations. *Diabetes & metabolism*. 2004; 30: 3S-18.
7. Wackers FJ, Young LH, Inzucchi SE, et al. Detection of silent myocardial

ischemia in asymptomatic diabetic subjects: the DIAD study. *Diabetes Care*. 2004; 27: 1954-61.

8. Crawford MH, Bernstein SJ, Deedwania PC, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for ambulatory electrocardiography: executive summary and recommendations. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines (committee to revise the guidelines for ambulatory electrocardiography). *Circulation*. 1999; 100: 886-93.

9. Gibson CM, Ciaglo LN, Southard MC, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of ambulatory ECG (Holter) monitoring in patients with coronary heart disease: a review. *Journal of thrombosis and thrombolysis*. 2007; 23: 135-45.

10. Bax JJ, Young LH, Frye RL, et al. Screening for coronary artery disease in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2007; 30: 2729-36.

11. Chou R, Arora B, Dana T, Fu R, Walker M and Humphrey L. Screening asymptomatic adults with resting or exercise electrocardiography: a review of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med*. 2011; 155: 375-85.

12. Mortality after 16 years for participants randomized to the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Circulation*. 1996; 94: 946-51.

13. Gaede P, Vedel P, Larsen N, Jensen GV, Parving HH and Pedersen O. Multifactorial intervention and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2003; 348: 383-93.
14. Scognamiglio R, Negut C, Ramondo A, Tiengo A and Avogaro A. Detection of coronary artery disease in asymptomatic patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006; 47: 65-71.
15. Giri S, Shaw LJ, Murthy DR, et al. Impact of diabetes on the risk stratification using stress single-photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging in patients with symptoms suggestive of coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2002; 105: 32-40.
16. De Lorenzo A, Lima RS, Siqueira-Filho AG and Pantoja MR. Prevalence and prognostic value of perfusion defects detected by stress technetium-99m sestamibi myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography in asymptomatic patients with diabetes mellitus and no known coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 2002; 90: 827-32.
17. Bansal S, Wackers FJ, Inzucchi SE, et al. Five-year outcomes in high-risk participants in the Detection of Ischemia in Asymptomatic Diabetics (DIAD) study: a post hoc analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2011; 34: 204-9.

18. Chopra S and Peter S. Screening for coronary artery disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: An evidence-based review. *Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism*. 2012; 16: 94-101.
19. Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, Bricker JT, et al. ACC/AHA 2002 guideline update for exercise testing: summary article. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Update the 1997 Exercise Testing Guidelines). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002; 40: 1531-40.
20. Lakkireddy DR, Bhakkad J, Korlakunta HL, et al. Prognostic value of the Duke Treadmill Score in diabetic patients. *American heart journal*. 2005; 150: 516-21.
21. Harris GD and White RD. Exercise stress testing in patients with type 2 diabetes: When are asymptomatic patients screened? *Clinical diabetes*. 2007; 25: 126-30.
22. Fazel R, Krumholz HM, Wang Y, et al. Exposure to low-dose ionizing radiation from medical imaging procedures. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2009; 361: 849-57.
23. Young LH, Wackers FJ, Chyun DA, et al. Cardiac outcomes after screening for asymptomatic coronary artery disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: the DIAD study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2009; 301: 1547-55.
24. He ZX, Hedrick TD, Pratt CM, et al. Severity of coronary artery calcification by

electron beam computed tomography predicts silent myocardial ischemia. *Circulation*. 2000; 101: 244-51.

25. Berman DS, Wong ND, Gransar H, et al. Relationship between stress-induced myocardial ischemia and atherosclerosis measured by coronary calcium tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004; 44: 923-30.

26. Greenland P, Bonow RO, Brundage BH, et al. ACCF/AHA 2007 clinical expert consensus document on coronary artery calcium scoring by computed tomography in global cardiovascular risk assessment and in evaluation of patients with chest pain: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Clinical Expert Consensus Task Force (ACCF/AHA Writing Committee to Update the 2000 Expert Consensus Document on Electron Beam Computed Tomography) developed in collaboration with the Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention and the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007; 49: 378-402.

27. Chiariello M, Indolfi C, Cotecchia MR, Sifola C, Romano M and Condorelli M. Asymptomatic transient ST changes during ambulatory ECG monitoring in diabetic patients. *American heart journal*. 1985; 110: 529-34.

28. Marin Huerta E, Rayo I, Lara JJ, et al. [Silent myocardial ischemia during Holter monitoring in patients with diabetes mellitus]. *Revista espanola de cardiologia*. 1989; 42:

519-29.

29. Aronow WS, Mercado AD and Epstein S. Prevalence of silent myocardial ischemia detected by 24-hour ambulatory electrocardiography, and its association with new coronary events at 40-month follow-up in elderly diabetic and nondiabetic patients with coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol.* 1992; 69: 555-6.

30. Djaber R, Beishuizen ED, Pereira AM, et al. Non-invasive cardiac imaging techniques and vascular tools for the assessment of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia.* 2008; 51: 1581-93.

31. Ahluwalia G, Jain P, Chugh SK, Wasir HS and Kaul U. Silent myocardial ischemia in diabetics with normal autonomic function. *Int J Cardiol.* 1995; 48: 147-53.

32. Caracciolo EA, Chaitman BR, Forman SA, et al. Diabetics with coronary disease have a prevalence of asymptomatic ischemia during exercise treadmill testing and ambulatory ischemia monitoring similar to that of nondiabetic patients. An ACIP database study. ACIP Investigators. Asymptomatic Cardiac Ischemia Pilot Investigators. *Circulation.* 1996; 93: 2097-105.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Study flow chart.

Figure 2. Cardiac diagnosis and additional treatments in patients with moderate or high risk.

Tx = treatment; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; IHD = ischemic heart disease.

Figure 3. Cardiovascular events from Kaplan-Meier estimates.