



Title	N-Heterocyclic Carbene-Catalyzed Benzoin Strategy for Divergent Synthesis of Cyclitol Derivatives from Alditols
Author(s)	Kang, Bubwoong; Sutou, Toshiaki; Wang, Yinli; Kuwano, Satoru; Yamaoka, Yousuke; Takasu, Kiyosei; Yamada, Kenichi
Citation	Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis (2014), 357(1): 131-147
Issue Date	2014-12-08
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/200181
Right	This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Kang, B., Sutou, T., Wang, Y., Kuwano, S., Yamaoka, Y., Takasu, K. and Yamada, Ki. (2015), N-Heterocyclic Carbene-Catalyzed Benzoin Strategy for Divergent Synthesis of Cyclitol Derivatives from Alditols. Adv. Synth. Catal., 357: 131–147, which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201400712. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 8 DEC 2015 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'
Туре	Journal Article
Textversion	author

DOI: 10.1002/adsc.201((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

# N-Heterocyclic Carbene—Catalyzed Benzoin Strategy for Divergent Synthesis of Cyclitol Derivatives from Alditols

Bubwoong Kang, <sup>a</sup> Toshiaki Sutou, <sup>a</sup> Yinli Wang, <sup>a</sup> Satoru Kuwano, <sup>a</sup> Yousuke Yamaoka, <sup>a</sup> Kiyosei Takasu, <sup>a\*</sup> and Ken-ichi Yamada <sup>a\*</sup>

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, Yoshida, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan Phone: +81-(0)75-753-4573. E-mail: kay-t@pharm.kyoto-u.ac.jp, yamak@pharm.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201######.((Please delete if not appropriate))

**Abstract.** A divergent synthesis of cyclitol derivatives has been developed utilizing N-heterocyclic carbene-catalyzed benzoin-type cyclization of  $C_2$ -symmetric dialdoses. The resulting inososes are versatile intermediates, which are readily converted into not only inositols but also amino-, deoxy-, O-methyl-, and C-methyl-inositols.

**Keywords:** N-heterocyclic carbenes; a benzoin reaction; cyclitol derivatives

#### Introduction

Cyclitols, which are poly-hydroxylated cycloalkanes, have attracted attention, especially as building blocks for natural product synthesis. In addition, interesting bioactivities of cyclitols have recently been reported. Despite the utilities, cyclitols are not readily available in nature. Hence, many synthetic methods have been developed. In addition to fermentative approaches, various reactions have been applied to make cycloalkanes from oxygenated carbon chains, including Ferrier carbocyclization, cyclization of malonates, in intronate, nitronate, enolate, enolate, or  $\alpha, \alpha$ -dithio carbanion, Mukaiyama aldol reactions, Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reactions, enolate, and ring-closing metathesis, and cycloaddition, and claisen rearrangement.

The benzoin condensation, which occurs between two molecules of aldehydes to give α-hydroxy ketones, [16] is a well-known N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC)-catalyzed reaction. [17,18] Because the products are simple homo-dimeric compounds, the original reaction has a limited utility. Therefore, efforts have been made to develop the so-called cross-benzoin reactions. In particular, an intramolecular cross-benzoin reaction between aldehydes and ketones [19] has been utilized for natural product synthesis. [20] In contrast, an intramolecular benzoin reaction of dialdehydes has been less explored, [21] probably due to difficulty in controlling chemoselectivity [22] and the lack of attention to products derived from simple symmetric dialdehydes. [19c,23] However, we expected that an intramolecular benzoin-type cyclization of dialdose should provide a new divergent access to a variety of cyclitol derivatives.

Our strategy is based on the availability of various dialdoses 1 and the versatility of inososes 2 as a synthetic intermediate (Scheme 1). Benzoin-type cyclization of 1, which is available from the corresponding alditols, should give 2. Stereoselective reduction of 2 affords cyclitols 3 and *epi-3*. Moreover, other derivatives, such as amino, deoxy, and O- and C-methyl cyclitols 4, 5, 6, and 7 should also be available from 2. Herein, we report the syntheses of cyclitol derivatives based on this strategy using  $C_2$ -symmetric dialdoses. [24]

**Scheme 1.** Divergent strategy for cyclitol derivatives via NHC-catalyzed benzoin-type cyclization.

#### **Results and Discussion**

NHC-catalyzed benzoin-type cyclization of  $C_2$ -symmetric dialdoses to give inososes

First, tetrabenzyl dialdose **1a**, which was prepared from D-mannitol, was subjected to an NHC-catalyzed benzoin-type cyclization reaction (Table 1). A THF solution of **1a** was added to a solution of thiazolium salt **8**<sup>[25]</sup> (Figure 1; 25 mol %) and triethylamine (20 mol %) in THF. After stirring at rt for 4 h, TLC monitoring indicated that **1a** was completely consumed, and *allo-2*-inosose **2a** was produced as a single diastereomer in 19% yield after purification by silica gel column chromatography (entry 1). The stereochemistry of the newly formed hydroxyl group was determined by the *trans*-diaxial coupling (J = 9.5 Hz) between the carbinol and the adjacent methine protons ( $H_5$  and  $H_6$ ; Figure 2).

protons (H<sub>5</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>; Figure 2).

Using triazolium salt  $9a^{[28]}$  or  $9b^{[29]}$  instead of 8 gave a complex mixture, and 2a was not obtained (entries 2 and 3). Because several formyl protons were observed in <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the crude mixtures, it is speculated that aldol-type side reactions occur due to the basicity of the utilized NHCs. Using more acidic triazolium salt  $9c^{[28]}$  with a pentafluorophenyl group suppressed the side reactions, and 2a was obtained in 58% yield after 10 h (entry 4).

To our delight, using chiral triazolium salt 10<sup>[28]</sup> drastically accelerated the reaction, which was completed after 2.5 h even with a lower catalyst loading (10 mol %) and an improved yield of 2a (78%) (entry 5). Interestingly, when the antipode of 10 was used as a catalyst, the reaction did not proceed, and 1a was recovered quantitatively after 24 h (entry 6).

**Table 1.** Optimization of the reaction conditions for  $1a^a$ 

-	MICHW	1 4	. /1	· 11/0/h
entry	NHC·HX	solvent	time/h	yield/% <sup>b</sup>
1	8 25 mol %	THF	4	19
2	9a 25 mol %	THF	24	<1
3	<b>9b</b> 25 mol % <sup>c</sup>	THF	24	<1
4	<b>9c</b> 25 mol %	THF	10	58
5	<b>10</b> 10 mol %	THF	2.5	78
6	ent-10 25 mol %	THF	24	0 (quant)
7	<b>10</b> 5 mol %	THF	24	39 (11)
8	<b>10</b> 5 mol %	MeCN	12	83
9	<b>10</b> 5 mol %	$CH_2Cl_2$	0.5	81
10	<b>10</b> 5 mol %	toluene	0.75	88
$11^d$	<b>10</b> 5 mol %	toluene	1	90
a				

a 20 mol % of Et<sub>3</sub>N was used in entries 1–4 and 6, while same amount of Et<sub>3</sub>N as NHC·HX was used in entries 5 and 7–11. b 1a was completely consumed unless the recovery yield is presented in parentheses. DBU was used instead of Et<sub>3</sub>N. d 6.0 g of 1a (11 mmol) was used.

**Figure 1.** Structures of the NHC precursors.

Figure 2. Determination of the stereochemistry of inososes.

Other solvents were tested for the reaction using 5 mol % of 10. In acetonitrile, the reaction proceeded more cleanly, and 2a was obtained in a higher yield (83% after 12 h; entry 8) than that in THF (39% after 24 h; entry 7). The reaction was much faster in dichloromethane, and 2a was obtained in 81% yield after 30 min (entry 9). Among the tested solvents, toluene gave the best results (88% yield after 45 min; entry 10). It is noteworthy that the reaction with 6.0-g 1a proceeded without problems to give 5.4-g 2a (entry 11).

Next, the reaction was conducted with another  $C_2$ -symmetric dialdose **1b**, which was derived from Liditol (Scheme 2). Although the reaction with **9c** gave **2b** in a moderate yield along with unidentified byproducts, the reaction with *ent-***10** (10 mol %) afforded *myo*-inosose **2b** as a single diastereomer in 86% yield. In contrast to the reaction with **1a**, which has the opposite stereochemistry at the  $\alpha$ -positions, **1b** and **10** had a mismatched stereochemistry, giving **2b** in 58% yield after 24 h along with 27% recovery of **1b**. The stereochemistry of **2b** was determined based on the coupling constant similar to that of **2a** (Figure 2).

Scheme 2. Reaction with 1b.

The observed stereoselectivity in the benzoin-type cyclization of dialdoses 1a and 1b can be explained as follows (Scheme 3; for simplicity, *ent-1b* and 10 are considered instead of 1b and *ent-10*). Breslow intermediate A, which is generated from 10 and dialdose 1a or *ent-1b*, undergoes cyclization through the chair conformation, where the benzyloxy group next to the nucleophilic enamine carbon is in the equatorial position to minimize  $A^{1,3}$  strain. The nucleophilic attack then occurs from the *si*-face of the aldehyde moiety, which leads to hydrogen bonding between the carbonyl and the hydroxy group,

resulting in the *R*-configuration in the newly formed stereochemistry.

The observed stereochemical outcome and match-mismatch with **10** and *ent-***10** likely indicate that the reaction better proceeds through the Breslow intermediate with the *Z*-geometry; otherwise the reaction would occur from the hindered face of the enamine moiety. This model contradicts the Houk's model, where a Breslow intermediate derived from *N*-phenyl triazolylidene prefers the *E*-geometry in the transition state of a benzoin reaction. [30] Recently, however, Rovis' group also reported evidence of the *Z*-preference for a Breslow intermediate derived from *N*-pentafluorophenyl triazolylidene. [31] The preferred geometry of Breslow intermediates likely depends on the *N*-substituent of the NHC.

1a or ent-1b 10 R<sup>2</sup> R<sup>2</sup> H H OBn N 
$$\rightarrow$$
 2a or ent-2b from 1a: R<sup>1</sup> = OBn, R<sup>2</sup> = H ent-1b: R<sup>1</sup> = H , R<sup>2</sup> = OBn

**Scheme 3.** Rationale for the stereochemical outcomes of the reaction with **1a** or **1b** (*ent*-**1b** is depicted for clarity).

The above speculation led us to envision that changing the protective groups alters stereochemistry in the cyclization (Scheme 4). If the 3- and 4-hydroxy groups are protected as an acetonide as shown in 11, the reaction should proceed via chair conformation B or C. In B, there are one repulsive 1,3-diaxial interaction and one nonminimum A<sup>1,3</sup> strain, while C has two repulsive 1,3diaxial interactions and an unfavorable bisect allylic conformation. If B is more stable than C, then inosose 12 with a hydroxy group in the Sconfiguration should be produced.

**Scheme 4.** Transition states **B** and **C** leading to **12** and *epi***12**, respectively.

Thus, the reaction was performed with dialdose 11a, which has TIPSO groups at the 2- and 5-positions with acetonide as a protective group for the 3- and 4-hydroxy groups. As expected, with 9c as an NHC precursor, 12a was formed preferentially over

epi-12a (74:26), and 12a and epi-12a were produced in 53% combined yield (Table 2, entry 3). With 10 or ent-10, the reaction was much slower, giving an almost 1:1 mixture of 12a and epi-12a in 13 and 7% combined yield, respectively (entries 1 and 2). This is probably because the bulky NHCs enforce the A<sup>1,3</sup> strain in transition state B as well as the 1,3-diaxial interaction in C (Scheme 4).

**Table 2.** Reactions of **11a–c** protected with acetonide.

entry	11	NHC·HX	12	yield/% <sup>a</sup>	<b>12</b> : <i>epi</i> - <b>12</b> <sup>b</sup>
1	11a	10	12a	13 (61)	48:52
2	11a	ent-10	12a	7 (47)	49:51
3	11a	9c	12a	53 (31)	74:26
4	11b	9c	12b	30 (3)	57:43
5	11c	9c	12c	49 (29)	>99:1
6	11c	$9c^c$	12c	$55^{d}(4)$	>99:1

<sup>a</sup> Combined yield of **12** and *epi*-**12** determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR with Ph<sub>3</sub>CH as an internal standard. Number in parentheses is the recovery yield of **11**. <sup>b</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the crude mixture. <sup>c</sup> 20 mol %. <sup>d</sup> Isolation yield.

The axially oriented substituents at the 2,5-positions significantly influence the selectivity. The product ratio was reduced to 57:43 in the reaction with 11b, which has less bulky benzyloxy groups (entry 4), while 12c was produced with perfect selectivity (>99:1) when the reaction was conducted using 11c, which bears more bulky TBDPSO groups (entry 5). This observation indicates that the two 1,3-diaxial repulsions in C are predominant over the A<sup>1,3</sup> strain in B (Scheme 4). Finally, using 20 mol % of 9c, 12c was obtained in 55% yield as a single diastereomer (entry 6). The stereochemistry of 12c was determined by the NOE experiment (Figure 2).

#### Stereoselective reduction of inososes to give inositols

To selectively obtain *allo*- and *chiro*-inositols from 2a, the conditions for stereoselective reduction were investigated (Table 3). Treating 2a with NaBH<sub>4</sub> in methanol gave allo-insitol derivative allo-3a in 81% yield as a single diastereomer (entry 1). The by stereochemistry was determined crystallography. Thus, conditions to produce chiro-3a were examined. Although neither reduction using NaBH(OAc)<sub>3</sub> nor BH<sub>3</sub>·THF produced chiro-3a, reduction with BH<sub>3</sub>·SMe<sub>2</sub> gave chiro-3a as a minor diastereomer (entry 2). The proportion of chiro-3a in the product increased to 30% and 56% when BH<sub>3</sub>·NH<sub>3</sub> and *t*-BuNH<sub>2</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub><sup>[32]</sup> were used as a hydride source, respectively (entries 3 and 4).

**Table 3.** Selectivity in the reduction of **2a** and **2c-f**.<sup>a</sup>

20. K - IL	3 2	I . K - IIVIS			
entry	2	H <sup>-</sup> source	solvent	yield	dr
1	2a	NaBH <sub>4</sub>	МеОН	81% <sup>b</sup>	>99:1
2	2a	$BH_3 \cdot SMe_2$	THF	quant	92:8
3	2a	$BH_3 \cdot NH_3$	THF	96%	70:30
4	2a	t-BuNH <sub>2</sub> ⋅BH <sub>3</sub>	THF	98%	44:56
5	<b>2c</b>	t-BuNH <sub>2</sub> ·BH <sub>3</sub>	toluene	$93\%^{b}$	33:67
6	2d	t-BuNH <sub>2</sub> ·BH <sub>3</sub>	toluene	96%	19:81
7	<b>2e</b>	t-BuNH <sub>2</sub> ⋅BH <sub>3</sub>	toluene	87% <sup>c</sup>	10:90
8	2f	t-BuNH <sub>2</sub> ·BH <sub>3</sub>	toluene	88%	16:84
37:.1.1	1	1 ( /: C	11 1	7 .	2 \

<sup>a</sup> Yield and dr (ratio of *allo*- and *chiro*-3a) were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR using Ph<sub>3</sub>CH as an internal standard unless otherwise noted. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup> Isolated yield over two steps from 2a.

The observed selectivity can be explained as follows. Hydride reduction of inososes generally occurs from the less hindered side, [5f] due to the electron-negative substituents of inosose, which enhances the electrophilicity of the carbonyl group and induces an early transition state. Consequently, steric hindrance becomes a controlling factor. When an amine–borane complex is used as a hydride source, its relatively low reducing ability causes a later transition state. Consequently, torsional strain becomes more important (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Rationale for stereoselectivity in Table 3.

Based on the above speculation, the  $\alpha$ -hydroxy group of 2a was protected with a bulky silyl group to increase the torsional strain. As expected, the selectivity was further improved to 67–90% (entries 5–8). The TES group gave the best result, and *chiro-*3a was obtained with a high diastereoselectivity (90:10) in 87% yield after treatment with TBAF (entry 7). The two epimers were easily separated by silica gel column chromatography.

A similar selectivity was observed in the reduction of **2b** (Scheme 5). Reduction by NaBH<sub>4</sub> occurred preferentially from the equatorial direction to give *myo-3b* with a slightly lower selectivity (98:2) than that of **2a**, probably due to the reduced steric hindrance of the axial side. The use of L-selectride afforded *myo-3b* as a single diastereomer. Protection

with a TES group and reduction using *t*-BuNH<sub>2</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub> exclusively produced *scyllo*-**3b** after protodesilylation.

**Scheme 5.** Stereoselective reduction of **2b**.

With 12c, BH<sub>3</sub>·THF was used for the reduction from the equatorial direction to give an *allo*-rich mixture (89:11) of 13c in 93% yield (Scheme 6). In contrast, NaBH<sub>4</sub> gave the opposite selectivity, and reduction from the axial direction was slightly preferred to give a 75:25 mixture of *neo*- and *allo*-13c. This preference is attributable to the steric hindrance by the axial TBDPSO group at the  $\alpha$ -position. Reduction with *t*-BuNH<sub>2</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub> after TES protection gave *neo*-13c with a high diastereoselectivity (*neo:allo* = 96:4).

Scheme 6. Stereoselective reduction of 12c.

#### Synthesis of other cyclitol derivatives and deprotection

To show the utility of the inososes **2** as synthetic intermediates, several transformations of **2a** were demonstrated (Scheme 7). Amino sugar **4a** was obtained along with minor diastereomer *epi-***4a** by LiAlH<sub>4</sub>/NaOMe reduction of the *O*-methyl oxime<sup>[33]</sup> derived from **2a**. The stereoselectivity of the reduction was reversed when the *O*-acetyl oxime was reduced with NaBH<sub>4</sub>/NiCl<sub>2</sub>, <sup>[34]</sup> and *epi-***14a** was obtained as a sole diastereomer after acetylation. Deoxygenation of **2a** via thiocarbonate and subsequent reduction of the carbonyl group afforded deoxyinositol, *talo*-quercitol derivative **5a**. The stereochemistries of **4a**, *epi-***4a**, and **5a** were determined after acetylation on the basis of the coupling constants (**14a**, *epi-***14a**, and **15a**).

Scheme 7. Conversion of 2a into other derivatives.

Treatment of 2a with the Meerwein reagent and subsequent reduction gave O-methylinositol, epi-D-pinitol derivative 6a. Reaction of 2a with methyllithium provided C-methylinositol 7a as the sole diastereomer. The stereochemistry of 6a was confirmed by the coupling constants, while that of 7a was determined by NOESY after conversion into acetonide 16a.

As reported with *allo-3a*, [24] the benzyl groups were easily removed. Hydrogenolysis of **2a** and *chiro-3a* using Pd/C under a hydrogen atmosphere gave *allo-2*-inosose and D-*chiro*-inositol in 84% and 81% yields, respectively (Scheme 8). Deprotection of acetonide *neo-13c* was reported in the literature. [12c] By analogy, the other compounds should be deprotected in similar manners.

**Scheme 8.** Deprotection of the products.

#### Conclusion

We have developed a divergent method to synthesize cyclitol derivatives utilizing NHC-catalyzed benzointype cyclization of  $C_2$ -symmetric dialdose. With tetrabenzyl-protected dialdose, the key for efficient cyclization is the chiral triazolylidene catalyst. Acetonide protection at the 3,4-positions inverts the selectivity in the cyclization of the mannitol-derived dialdose. In the stereoselective reduction, employing t-BuNH<sub>2</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub> as a hydride source with the  $\alpha$ -O-TES protection of inosose is highly effective to overcome the inherent preference for reduction from the axial direction. The inosose products are versatile intermediates, which can be converted into various cyclitol derivatives. These results testify to the validity of our strategy in Scheme 1. Future investigations include broadening the scope of the methodology to non- $C_2$ -symmetric dialdoses.

## **Experimental Section**

General. All melting points are uncorrected. NMR (500 and 125 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C, respectively) was measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub> unless otherwise mentioned. Chemical shifts (δ) and coupling constants (*J*) are presented in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane and hertz, respectively. Abbreviations are as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad. <sup>13</sup>C peak multiplicity assignments were made based on DEPT. The wave numbers of maximum absorption peaks of IR spectroscopy are presented in cm<sup>-1</sup>. Quadrupole, double-focusing magnetic sector, and TOF mass spectrometers were used for EI-, FAB-, and ESI-MS, respectively. Silica gel was used for column chromatography. Reactions were conducted under argon atmosphere unless otherwise noted.

**Materials.** Triazoliums **9c**, **10**, and *ent*-**10** were prepared as reported. [35] (COCl)<sub>2</sub>,  $Et_3N$ , 2,6-lutidine, TMSCl, and  $CH_2Cl_2$  were purchased and distilled prior to use. Other starting materials, reagents and solvents were purchased and used as supplied unless a literature for the preparation is cited. Commercially available anhydrous solvents were used as reaction solvents, except for MeOH and  $CH_2Cl_2$ . DMSO and pyridine utilized in reactions were anhydrous grade.

Table 1. 2,3,4,5-Tetra-*O*-benzyl-*D*-manno-hexodialdose (1a): A solution of  $(COCl)_2$  (0.25 mL, 2.9 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (1.5 mL + 0.5 mL wash) was added to a solution of DMSO (0.21 mL, 2.9 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4.5 mL) cooled at -78 °C. After 2 min, a solution of 2,3,4,5-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-mannitol<sup>[36]</sup> (621 mg, 1.14 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 mL + 1 mL wash × 2) was added over 5 min. After 1.5 h,  $El_3N$  (1.6 mL, 11 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for additional 10 min before the cooling bath was removed. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting white solids was suspended in a 1:1 mixture of pentane and ElOAc (20 mL), filtered, and washed with the mixed solvent (20 mL × 2). The combined filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give a 58:28:14 mixture of the title compound, ElOAc, and DMSO as a pale yellow oil (682 mg, quant): IR (neat): 3441, 3062, 3031, 2861, 1728, 1496, 1458, 1373, 1258, 1211, 1096, 910, 741, 702, 602, 455, 463. H NMR: 4.05 (br s, 2H), 4.12 (br s, 2H), 4.43 (d, J = 12.0, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 11.0, 2H), 4.60 (d, J = 11.0, 2H), 4.65 (d, J = 12.0, 2H), 7.20–7.36 (m, 20H) 9.70 (d, J = 1.5, 2H).  $^{13}C$  NMR: 72.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 80.0 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.97 (CH), 128.00 (CH), 128.1 (CH × 2), 128.3 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 136.9 (C), 137.1 (C), 201.0 (C). FABMS m/z: 561

(M + Na). This oil was used for the next reaction without further purification.

(2S,3S,4R,5R,6R)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-6-hydroxycyclohexanone (2a) (entry 10): EtOAc was removed from the above mixture of 1a (174 mg, 0.290 mmol) by evaporation with toluene (5 mL × 3), and the residue was dissolved in toluene (3 mL). To a suspension of triazolium salt 10 (6.8 mg, 0.015 mmol) in toluene (5.5 mL), a 1% v/v solution of Et<sub>3</sub>N in toluene (0.20 mL, 0.015 mmol) was added, and after 30 min, the above solution of 1a was added (1.5 mL toluene wash × 2). After 45 min, the mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene/EtOAc 40/1 to 20/1) to give the title compound (138 mg, 88%) as a colorless oil with  $[\alpha]_D^{2-1} - 65.1$  (c 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3472, 3032, 2924, 2878, 1736, 1636, 1497, 1458, 1366, 1327, 1211, 1111, 910, 741, 702, 463. H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): 3.76 (br s, 1H), 3.86 (dd, J = 3.5, 4.0, 1H), 3.96 (dd, J = 3.0, 9.5, 1H), 4.02 (t, J = 4.0, 1H), 4.05 (d, J = 9.5, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.55 (dd, J = 1.5, 3.0, 1H), 4.76 (br d, J = 12.0, 3H), 4.81 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 4.95 (d<sub>3</sub> J = 12.0, 1H), 7.31–7.34 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.35 (m, 16H). C NMR: 72.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 75.0 (CH), 76.5 (CH), 77.7 (CH), 80.3 (CH), 82.3 (CH), 127.9 (CH × 2), 128.29 (CH × 2), 128.32 (CH), 128.35 (CH), 128.40 (CH), 137.5 (C), 137.7 (C), 137.8 (C), 138.3 (C), 205.1 (C). EIMS m/z: 538 (M<sup>†</sup>). HRMS–FAB (m/z): [M + Na]<sup>†</sup> calcd for C<sub>3</sub>4H<sub>34</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub>, 561.2253; found, 561.2249. The stereochemistry was determined based on the *trans*-diaxial coupling (J = 9.5 Hz) between 5-H and 6-H (3.96 and 4.05 ppm, respectively) as shown in Figure 2.

(2S,3S,4R,5R,6R)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-6-hydroxycyclohexanone (2a) (entry 11): To a solution of DMSO (2.2 mL, 31 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (60 mL) cooled at  $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ , a solution of (COCl)<sub>2</sub> (2.5 mL, 29 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added over 20 min. After 2 min, a solution of 2,3,4,5-tetra-0-benzyl-D-mannitol (6.0 g, 11 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL + 10 mL wash) was added over 30 min. After 1.5 h, Et<sub>3</sub>N (10 mL, 72 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for additional 15 min before the cooling bath was removed. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt and evaporated. The resulting white solids were suspended in a 1:1 mixture of pentane and EtOAc (20 mL), filtered, and washed with the mixed solvent (5 mL  $\times$  2). The combined filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give a mixture of 1a and DMSO as orange oil. The oil was dissolved in toluene (240 mL) and added to a premixed suspension of triazolium salt 10 (240 mg, 0.51 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (80  $\mu$ L, 0.58 mmol) in toluene (200 mL). After 1 h, the mixture was evaporated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3/1) to give the title compound (5.4 g, 90%) as a pale yellow oil.

Scheme 2. 2,3,4,5-Tetra-*O*-benzyl-L-*ido*-hexodialdose (1b): The same procedure as 1a using 2,3,4,5-tetra-*O*-benzyl-L-iditol<sup>[37]</sup> (331 mg, 0.611 mmol) in place of 2,3,4,5-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-mannitol gave a 71:29 mixture of the title compound, and DMSO as yellow oil (349 mg, quant). H and AC NMR were identical to those reported. This oil was used for the next reaction, without further purification.

(2R,3S,4R,5S,6S)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-6-hydroxycyclohexanone (2b): To a suspension of triazolium salt ent-10 (14 mg, 0.030 mmol) in toluene (6 mL), a 10% v/v solution of Et<sub>3</sub>N in toluene (0.04 mL, 0.03 mmol) was added at rt. After 30 min,  $1b^{138}$  (160 mg, 0.290 mmol) in toluene (3 mL + 1.5 mL wash × 2) was added. After 18 h, the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene/EtOAc 19/1) to give the title compound (137 mg, 86%) as a white solid of mp 159–161 °C (decomp) with  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  +24.4 (c 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (KBr): 3435, 1730. <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 3.42 (t, J = 9.5, 1H), 3.47 (d, J = 4.5, 1H), 3.65 (t, J = 9.5, 1H), 3.89 (t, J = 9.5, 1H), 4.30 (dd, J = 2.0, 9.5, 1H),

4.37 (ddd, J = 2.0, 4.5, 9.5, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 4.790 (d, J = 11.0, 1H), 4.794 (d, J = 11.0, 1H), 4.86–4.91 (m, 3H), 4.91 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 4.96 (d, J = 11.0, 1H) 7.20–7.40 (m, 20H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 73.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 75.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 76.1 (CH<sub>2</sub> × 2), 77.3 (CH), 81.4 (CH), 81.7 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 83.6 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.78 (CH), 127.80 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.13 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 137.0 (C), 137.9 (C), 138.0 (C), 138.1 (C), 204.1 (C). IR, and H and C NMR were in good agreement with those reported. <sup>[39]</sup>

Table 2. 3,4-*O*-Isopropylidene-2,5-bis-*O*-triethiylsilyl-D-*manno*-hexodialdose (11a): To a solution of DMSO (0.19 mL, 2.3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4 mL) cooled at -78 °C, a solution of (COCl)<sub>2</sub> (0.21 mL, 2.5 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL + 1 mL wash) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 min before a solution of 3.4-*O*-isopropylidene-2,5-bis-*O*-triisopropylsilyl-D-mannitol (535 mg, 1.00 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL + 0.5 mL wash × 2) was added over 5 min. After 1.5 h, Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.4 mL, 10 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for additional 10 min. After addition of pentane (20 mL), the cooling bath was removed, and the mixture was allowed to warm to rt. The resulting suspension was filtered, and the filtered solids were washed with a 1:1 mixture of pentane and EtOAc (20 mL × 2). The volume of combined filtrate was reduced to ca 10 mL by evaporation, and the resulting suspension was further diluted with pentane (10 mL). The whole was filtered, and the filtered solids were washed with the mixed solvent (10 mL × 2). The combined filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give a 63:30:6 mixture of the title compound, EtOAc, and DMSO as a yellow oil (570 mg, 98%): IR (neat): 1943, 2866, 1736, 1466, 1381, 1238, 1219, 1153, 1107, 1072, 1045, 1015, 999, 883, 760. H NMR: 1.00-1.20 (m, 42H), 1.35 (s, 6H), 4.21 (br s, 2H), 4.42 (br s, 2H), 9.68 (d, *J* = 1.5, 1H). C NMR: 12.2 (CH), 17.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 76.9 (CH), 77.8 (CH), 109.8 (C), 202.7 (CH). HRMS-ESI *m/z*: [M + Na] calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>54</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>, 553.3351; found, 553.3352. This oil was used for the next reaction without further purification.

**2,5-Di-***O*-Benzyl-3,4-*O*-isopropylidene-D-*manno*-hexodialdose (11b):  $^{[12c]}$  To a solution of (COCl)<sub>2</sub> (0.26 mL, 3.0 mmol) in THF (3.5 mL) cooled at  $^{-19}$  °C, was added a 1 M solution of DMSO in THF (3.1 mL, 3.1 mmol) at such a rate that the temperature did not rise above  $^{-18}$  °C. After 2 min, the mixture was cooled at  $^{-78}$  °C and stirred for additional 10 min. A solution of 2,5-di-*O*-benzyl-3,4-*O*-isopropylidene-D-mannitol<sup>[12c]</sup> (402 mg, 1.00 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (7 mL + 1 mL wash) was added over 5 min. After 30 min, Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.4 mL, 10 mmol) was added. After 10 min, the cooling bath was removed, and the mixture was allowed to warm to rt. After addition of pentane (10 mL), the resulting suspension was filtered, and the filtered solids were washed with a 1:1 mixture of pentane and EtOAc (15 mL  $\times$  2). The volume of the combined filtrate was reduced by evaporation to ca 10 mL, and the resulting suspension was further diluted with pentane (5 mL) and filtered. The filtered solids were washed with the mixed solvent (15 mL  $\times$  2). Concentration of the filtrate gave a 39:31:30 mixture of the title compound, DMSO, and EtOAc as a yellow oil (510 mg, 97%): H NMR: 1.36 (s, 6H), 3.87 (dd, J = 2.0, 3.0, 1H), 3.88 (dd, J = 2.0, 3.0, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 3.0, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 12.0, 2H), 4.64 (d, J = 12.0, 2H), 7.27–7.37 (m, 10H), 9.58 (d, J = 2.0, 2H). NMR: 201.4 (C), 136.4 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 110.8 (C), 83.0 (CH), 77.4 (CH), 73.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>). IR (neat): 3256, 3090, 3063, 3032, 2986, 2932, 2874, 2723, 1736, 1454, 1381, 1138, 1084, 1026, 914, 868, 810, 748. HRMS–ESI  $^{m/z}$  [M + Na] clcd for  $^{c}$  C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 421.1622; found, 421.1625. This oil was used for the next reaction without further purification.

**2,5-Bis-***O-tert***-butyldiphenylsilyl-3,4-***O***-isopropylidene-D-***manno***-hexodialdose (11c):**[12c] The same procedure as **11a** using 3,4-*O*-isopropylidene-2,5-bis-*O-tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl-D-mannitol[12c] (1.02 g, 1.46 mmol) in place of 3,4-*O*-isopropylidene-2,5-bis-*O*-triisopropylsilyl-D-mannitol gave a 52:37:11 mixture of the title compound,

EtOAc, and DMSO as a pale yellow oil (1.11 g, 98%): IR (neat): 3071, 3051, 2959, 2932, 2859, 1740, 1474, 1427, 1381, 1234, 1111, 1076, 9999, 822, 760, 741. <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 1.07 (s, 18H), 1.30 (s, 6H), 3.75 (br s, 2H), 4.27 (br s, 2H), 7.25–7.39 (m, 12H), 7.54–7.60 (m, 8H), 9.25 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 14.2 (C), 26.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 76.1 (CH), 78.5 (CH), 110.1 (C), 127.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 130.0 (CH), 130.2 (CH), 132.27 (C), 132.28 (C), 135.75 (CH), 135.77 (CH), 202.0 (CH). HRMS–ESI *m/z*: [M + Na]\* calcd for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>50</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>, 717.3038; found, 717.3028. The oil was used for the next reaction without further purification.

(2S,3S,4R,5R,6S)- and (2S,3S,4R,5R,6R)-3,4-Isopropylidenedioxy-2,5-bis(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-hydroxycyclohexanone (12a and epi-12a) (entry 3): EtOAc was removed from the above mixture of 11a (212 mg, 0.365 mmol) by evaporation with toluene (5 mL × 3), and the residue was dissolved in toluene (6.5 mL). To a suspension of triazolium salt 9c (13 mg, 0.037 mmol) in toluene (7 mL), a 1% v/v solution of Et<sub>3</sub>N in toluene (0.51 mL, 0.037 mmol) was added, and after 30 min, the above solution of 11a was added (2 mL toluene wash). After 24 h, the mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give a crude product. The yield (53%) and diastereomeric ratio (74:26) of the title compounds, and the recovery yield of 11a (31%) were determined by integration area of 'H NMR signals at 4.75 (12a), 4.13 (epi-12a), and 9.67 (11a) ppm with Ph<sub>3</sub>CH (5.55 ppm) as an internal standard. The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography (toluene/hexane 5:1) to give 12a as a pale yellow solid of mp 48–50 °C with [\alpha]<sup>25</sup><sub>D</sub> +6.2 (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and epi-12a as a pale yellow oil, containing ca 10% unidentified impurity. The stereochemistry was tentatively assigned by analogy with that of 12c.

**12a**: IR (KBr): 3510, 2943, 2866, 1736, 1466, 1381, 1369, 1227, 1165, 1138, 1069, 1049, 1018, 883, 864, 849, 822, 799. <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 1.00–1.20 (m, 42H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 3.17 (br d, J = 7.0, 1H), 3.98 (dd, J = 2.5, 9.5, 1H), 4.45 (dd, J = 2.0, 9.5, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 2.5, 1H), 4.67 (dd, J = 3.0, 7.0, 1H), 4.75 (dd, J = 2.0, 3.0, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 11.9 (CH), 12.6 (CH), 17.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 70.2 (CH), 73.7 (CH), 73.8 (CH), 74.2 (CH), 75.4 (CH), 112.5 (C), 207.2 (C). HRMS–ESI m/z: [M + Na] calcd for  $C_{27}H_{54}NaO_6Si_2$ , 553.3351; found, 553.3351.

*epi-***12a**: IR (neat): 3507, 2943, 2870, 1736, 1466, 1381, 1227, 1134, 1057, 1042, 1015, 883, 853, 826, 760. <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 1.00–1.20 (m, 42H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 3.68 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 4.00 (ddd, J = 1.0, 3.0, 8.5, 1H), 4.13 (dd, J = 3.0, 10.0, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 3.0, 10.0, 1H), 4.49 (t, J = 3.0, 1H), 4.66 (dd, J = 1.0, 3.0, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 12.0 (CH), 12.2 (CH), 17.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.65 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.68 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 70.6 (CH), 73.3 (CH), 74.3 (CH), 77.5 (CH), 80.5 (CH), 112.1 (C), 203.7 (C). HRMS–ESI m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{27}H_{54}NaO_6Si_2$ , 553.3351; found, 553.3351.

(2S,3S,4R,5R,6S)- and (2S,3S,4R,5R,6R)-2,5-Bis(benzyloxy)-3,4-isopropylidenedioxy-6-hydroxycyclohexanone (12b and epi-12b) (entry 4): EtOAc was removed from the above mixture of 11b (148 mg, 0.280 mmol) by evaporation with toluene (5 mL  $\times$  3), and the residue was dissolved in toluene (3.5 mL). To a suspension of triazolium salt 9c (10 mg, 0.028 mmol) in toluene (5 mL), a 1% v/v solution of Et<sub>3</sub>N in toluene (0.39  $\mu$ L, 0.028 mmol) was added, and after 30 min, the above solution of 11b was added (1 mL toluene wash). After 24 h, the mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* to give a crude product. The yield (30%) and diastereomeric ratio (57:43) of the title compounds, and the recovery yield of 11b (3%) were determined by integration area of H NMR signals at 4.14 (12b), 4.26–4.33 (epi-12b, 2H), and 9.58 (11b) ppm with Ph<sub>3</sub>CH (5.55 ppm) as an internal standard. The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 8/1 to 4/1). The stereochemistry was tentatively assigned by analogy with that of 12c.

**12b**: a colorless oil with  $[α]^{20}_D$  –4.8 (c 1.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (neat): 3464, 3090, 3063, 3032, 2986, 2932, 2874, 1736, 1497, 1454, 1381, 1346, 1231, 1169, 1126, 1069, 1057, 1026, 972, 910, 849, 802, 741. H NMR: 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.54 (s, 3H), 3.12 (br s, 1H), 4.14 (dd, J = 2.5, 10.0, 1H), 4.35 (dd, J = 2.5, 1H), 4.41 (dd, J = 2.0, 4.0, 1H), 4.55 (dd, J = 2.0, 10.0, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 4.60 (br d, J = 4.0, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 12.5, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 12.5, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 7.27–7.38 (m, 10H). CNMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): 26.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 73.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.1 (CH), 74.50 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.54 (CH), 75.5 (CH), 75.6 (CH), 80.0 (CH), 112.4 (C), 127.76 (CH), 127.78 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.52 (CH), 128.53 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 137.5 (C), 138.7 (C), 205.7 (C). HRMS–ESI m/z:  $[M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{23}H_{26}O_6Na$ , 421.1622; found, 421.1622.

*epi-***12b**: a white solid of mp 121–124°C with  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +11.5 (*c* 1.82, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (neat): 3329, 3090, 3063, 3028, 2982, 2932, 2909, 2882, 1744, 1497, 1454, 1396, 1381, 1242, 1219, 1180, 1150, 1123, 1096, 1049, 1022, 968, 914, 976, 845, 795, 752, 733. H NMR: 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 3.40 (br d, J = 6.5, 1H), 3.97 (dt, J = 8.0, 1.5, 1H), 4.20 (br m, 1H), 4.26–4.33 (m, 2H), 4.40 (dd, J = 1.5, 4.0, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 7.27–7.37 (m, 8H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.5, 2H). C NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): 26.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 72.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.8 (CH), 75.7 (CH), 76.8 (CH), 77.0 (CH), 81.8 (CH), 112.6 (C), 127.68 (CH), 127.74 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 138.3 (C), 138.5 (C), 205.2 (C). HRMS–ESI m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 421.1622; found, 421.1622.

(2S,3S,4R,5R,6S)-2,5-Bis(tert-butyldiphenylsiloxy)-3,4-isopropylidenedioxy-6-hydroxycyclohexanone (12c) (entry 6): EtOAc was removed from the above mixture of 11c (367 mg, 0.474 mmol) by evaporation with toluene (5 mL × 2), and the residue was dissolved in toluene (7.5 mL). To a suspension of triazolium salt 9c (34 mg, 0.095 mmol) in toluene (9.5 mL), a 10% v/v solution of Et<sub>3</sub>N in toluene (0.13 mL, 0.095 mmol) was added, and after 30 min, the above solution of 11c was added (1 mL toluene wash × 2). After 24 h, the mixture was concentrated in vacuo.to give a crude product (469 mg) as a yellow oil. The yield was estimated to be 57% by integration area of H NMR signals at 4.57–4.66 (4H) with Ph<sub>3</sub>CH (5.55 ppm) as an internal standard. The above oil was purified by column chromatography (DIOL silica gel, hexane to hexane/EtOAc 98:2) to give 12c (181 mg, 55%) as a white solid of mp 156–157°C with [α]<sup>25</sup><sub>D</sub>+12.6 (c 3.03, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3510, 3071, 3051, 2954, 2932, 2893, 2859, 1732, 1589, 1470, 1427, 1369, 1227, 1169, 1130, 1111, 1068, 1049, 968, 937, 864, 821, 799, 753, 741, 702. H NMR: 1.02 (s, 9H), 1.04 (s, 9H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 2.72 (d, J = 7.5, 1H), 4.12 (dd, J = 8.5, 10.0, 1H), 4.59 (dd, J = 1.5, 10.0, 1H), 4.62–4.65 (m, 2H), 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.77 (m, 2H). H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): 1.09 (s, 9H), 1.18 (s, 9H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 3.02 (d, J = 7.0, 1H), 4.08 (dd, J = 2.5, 10.0, 1H), 4.34 (dd, J = 1.0, 10.0, 1H), 4.59 (dd, J = 3.5, 7.0, 1H), 4.60 (dd, J = 1.0, 3.5, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 2.5, 1H), 7.13–7.28 (m, 12H), 7.61–7.69 (m, 4H), 7.77–7.83 (m, 2H), 7.94–7.99 (m, 2H). The NMR: 19.3 (C), 19.6 (C), 26.75 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.85 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.89 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 70.8 (CH), 73.6 (CH), 73.9 (CH), 127.68 (CH), 127.52 (CH), 127.68 (CH), 127.59 (CH), 130.01 (CH), 130.2 (CH), 131.86 (C), 132.00 (C), 132.1 (C), 134.1 (C), 135.7 (CH), 129.54 (CH), 129.88 (CH), 130.01 (CH), 130.2 (CH), 131.86 (C), 132.00 (C), 132.1 (C), 134.1 (C), 135.7 (CH), 129.54 (CH), 129.88 (CH), 120.01 (C), 134.1 (C), 135.7 (CH), 129.54 (CH

**Table 3.** (2S,3S,4S,5S,6R)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-6-(tert-butyldiphenylsiloxy)cyclohexanone (2c). To a solution of **2a** (108 mg, 0.201 mmol), imidazole (27 mg, 0.40 mmol), and DMAP (5 mg, 0.4 mmol), in DMF (0.4 mL) was added TBDPSCl (0.06 mL, 0.2 mmol) at rt. After 8.5 h, TBDPSCl (0.04 mL, 0.2 mmol) was added. After 2.5

h, water (1 mL) was added, and the whole was extracted with toluene (8 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were washed three times with water and with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene/hexane 3:1 and then hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to give a colorless oil. The oil was dissolved in EtOAc (2 mL), and washed with sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the title compound (94 mg, 60%) as a colorless oil with  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  –58.6 (*c* 1.35, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3067, 3028, 2932, 2859, 1748, 1497, 1454, 1427, 1389, 1362, 1215, 1161, 1111, 1080, 1049, 822, 756. HNMR: 1.18 (s, 9H), 3.74 (dd, J = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 3.79 (dd, J = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 3.84 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 3.91 (dd, J = 3.0, 10.0, 1H), 4.08 (d, J = 3.0, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 12.5, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 12.5, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 10.0, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 11.5, 1H), 7.04–7.14 (m, 6H), 7.21–7.38 (m, 20H), 7.72–7.75 (m, 5H). CNMR: 19.6 (C), 27.2 (CH<sub>3</sub> × 3), 72.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 75.3 (CH), 77.6 (CH), 78.0 (CH), 80.5 (CH), 82.2 (CH), 127.21 (CH), 127.53 (CH), 127.57 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 129.3 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 133.8 (C), 134.2 (C), 136.1 (CH), 136.6 (CH), 137.8 (C), 138.0 (C), 138.2 (C), 138.3 (C), 201.9 (C). FABMS m/z: 799 (M + Na), 91 (Bn). HRMS–FAB m/z: [M + Na] calcd for C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>52</sub>O<sub>6</sub>SiNa, 799.3426; found, 799.3431.

(2S,3S,4S,5S,6R)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-6-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)cyclohexanone (2d): To a solution of 2a (106 mg, 0.197 mmol) and imidazole (74 mg, 1.1 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) was added TBDMSCl (50 mg, 0.33 mmol) at rt. After 4 h, TBDMSCl (50 mg, 0.33 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 11 h. After addition of water (1 mL), the whole was extracted with toluene. The organic layer was washed with water three times and with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 15:1) to yield the title compound (118 mg, 92%) as a yellow oil with [α]<sup>25</sup><sub>D</sub> –24.1 (*c* 1.20, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3088, 3063, 3030, 2951, 2928, 2857, 1746, 1497, 1454, 1389, 1362, 1254, 1207, 1155, 1101, 1051, 1028, 837, 781, 737. H NMR: 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.17 (s, 3H), 0.96 (s, 9H), 3.70 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 3.83 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 9.5, 1H), 3.85 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 4.37 (d, *J* = 12.5, 1H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 12.5, 1H), 4.42 (dd, *J* = 1.0, 3.0, 1H), 4.47 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.51 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.56 (d, *J* = 1.0, 9.5, 1H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 12.5, 1H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 12.5, 1H), 4.80 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.85 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 7.07–7.15 (m, 4H), 7.23–7.35 (m, 16H). C NMR: -5.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>), -4.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.6 (C), 25.8 (CH<sub>3</sub> × 3), 72.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 75.4 (CH), 77.5 (CH), 78.0 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 81.8 (CH), 127.55 (CH), 127.63 (CH), 127.67 (CH), 127.71 (CH), 127.83 (CH), 127.94 (CH), 137.9 (C), 138.00 (C), 138.04 (C), 138.5 (C), 203.1 (C). FABMS *m/z*: 675 (M + Na), 91 (Bn). HRMS–FAB *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>6</sub>SiNa, 675.3113; found, 675.3118.

(2S,3S,4S,5S,6R)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-6-(triethylsiloxy)cyclohexanone (2e): To a solution of 2a (258 mg, 0.440 mmol) and pyridine (0.14 mL, 1.8 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.8 mL), was added TESCl (0.20 mL, 0.88 mmol) at -78 °C. After 2 h, sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) was added, and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with toluene (2 mL × 5). The combined organic layers were washed with water (10 mL × 5) and brine (10 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 96:4) to yield the title compound (118 mg 86%) as a colorless oil with [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup>D - 37.2 (c 0.445, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (neat): 3086, 3063, 3032, 2955, 2936, 2913, 2874, 1748, 1454, 1385, 1362, 1238, 1207, 1157, 1111, 1084, 1053, 1026, 914, 814, 737. H NMR: 0.58–0.78 (m, 6H), 0.99 (t, J = 8.0, 9H), 3.71 (dd, J = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 3.82 (dd, J = 3.0, 9.5, 1H), 3.86 (dd, J = 3.0, 4.0,

1H), 4.37 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.43 (dd, J = 1.0, 3.0, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.59 (dd, J = 1.0, 9.5, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 7.06–7.16 (m, 4H), 7.23–7.35 (m, 16H). The constant of the

(2S,3S,4S,5S,6R)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-6-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclohexanone (2f): To a solution of 2a (87 mg, 0.16 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.09 mL, 0.6 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (0.5 mL) was added TMSCl (0.04 mL, 0.3 mmol) at 0 °C. After 1.5 h, Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.05 mL, 0.3 mmol) and TMSCl (0.02 mL, 0.2 mmol) were added. After 1.5 h, sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (4 mL) was added, and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (4 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were washed with water (12 mL) and brine (12 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated to give a crude product as a pale yellow oil: HNMR: 0.31 (s, 9H), 3.87 (dd, J = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 4.07 (dd, J = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 4.11 (dd, J = 3.0, 9.5, 1H), 4.21 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.62 (dd, J = 1.0, 3.0, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.88 (dd, J = 1.0, 9.5, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 7.03–7.37 (m, 20H). Remaining EtOAc was removed by evaporation with toluene (5 mL). The resulting pale yellow oil was used in the reduction without purification.

(1*R*,2*S*,3*R*,4*R*,5*R*,6*R*)-3,4,5,6-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)cyclohexane-1,2-diol (allo-3a) (entry 1): To a solution of 2a (38 mg, 0.071 mmol) in MeOH (0.5 mL) was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (4 mg, 0.1 mmol) at 0 °C. After 30 min, sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1 mL) was added, and the whole was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to yield the title compound (31 mg, 81%) as a colorless solid of mp 96–98 °C with  $\left[\alpha\right]^{20}_{D}$  – 20.2 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3441, 3063, 3028, 2874, 1497, 1454, 1273, 1092, 1068, 1026, 910. H NMR (55 °C): 2.67 (br s, 1H), 3.47 (br s, 1H), 3.86–3.92 (br m, 5H), 4.15 (br s, 1H), 4.50–4.70 (m, 8H), 7.20–7.35 (m, 20H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 73.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 77.1 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.36 (CH), 128.44 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 137.9 (C), 138.4 (C). HRMS–ESI m/z:  $\left[M + Na\right]^+$  calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 563.2405; found, 563.2404. Recrystallization from hexane/EtOAc provided colorless needles suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis; monoclinic  $P2_1$ , a = 11.3487(9) A, b = 7.9412(6) Å, c = 16.2792(13) Å,  $a = 90.0000^\circ$ ,  $a = 99.4718(19)^\circ$ ,  $a = 90.0000^\circ$ ,  $a = 99.4718(19)^\circ$ ,  $a = 90.0000^\circ$ ,  $a = 99.4718(19)^\circ$ ,  $a = 90.0000^\circ$ , a = 90.1548; which determined the stereochemistry. CCDC-1009954 contains the supplementary crystallographic data of this compound. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif.

(1S,2S,3R,4R,5R,6R)-3,4,5,6-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)cyclohexane-1,2-diol (chiro-3a) (entry 7): To a solution of 2a (174 mg, 0.324 mmol) and pyridine (0.11 mL, 1.3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL) was added TESCl (0.15 mL, 0.65 mmol) at -78 °C. After 2 h, sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) was added, and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with toluene (2 mL × 4), and the combined organic layers were washed with water (8 mL × 5) and brine (8 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give crude O-TES inosose 2e as a yellow oil (230 mg). After removal of residual EtOAc by evaporation with toluene (5 mL), the crude product was dissolved in toluene (2 mL), and t-BuNH<sub>2</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub> (73 mg, 0.81 mmol) was added. After 30 min, 10% HCl (4 mL) and EtOAc (2 mL) were added, and the mixture was vigorously

stirred for 2 h. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with EtOAc (4  $^{\rm mL}$  × 2). The combined organic layers were washed with sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (12  $^{\rm mL}$ ) and brine (12 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The dr (90:10) was determined by the integration area of H NMR signals at 4.39 (*chiro* 2H) and 3.86–3.93 (*chiro* 2H + *allo* signals at 4.39 (*chiro* 2H) and 3.86–3.93 (*chiro* 2H + *allo* 5H) ppm. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 5:2 to 1:1) to yield the title compound (136 mg, 78%) as a colorless oil with  $\left[\alpha\right]^{25}_{D}$  +2.0 (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3410, 3063, 3028, 2916, 2870, 1493, 1454, 1273, 1096, 1057, 1026, 999, 914. H NMR (55 °C): 2.52 (br s, 2H), 3.68 (br d, J = 7.0, 2H), 3.74 (br s, 2H), 3.91 (m, 2H), 4.39 (d, J = 12.0, 2H), 4.54 (d, J = 12.0, 2H), 4.60 (d, J = 12.0, 2H), 4.61 (d, J = 12.0, 2H), 7.18–7.19 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.33 (m, 16H). C NMR: 72.6 (CH × 2), 72.8 (CH<sub>2</sub> × 2), 73.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.3 (CH × 2), 78.2 (CH × 2), 127.70 (CH × 2), 127.72 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 128.0 (CH × 2), 128.35 (CH × 2), 128.45 (CH × 2), 138.16 (C), 138.23 (C). FABMS m/z: 563 (M + Na). HRMS–FAB m/z:  $\left[M + Na\right]^+$  calcd for  $C_{34}H_{36}O_6Na$ , 563.2404; found, 563.2411. The stereochemistry was determined after the conversion into D-*chiro*-inositol. *allo*-3a (16 mg, 9%) was also obtained as the less polar product. also obtained as the less polar product.

Scheme 5. (1R,2S,3S,4R,5R,6S)-3,4,5,6-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)cyclohexane-1,2-diol (myo-3b): To a solution of 2b (20 mg, 0.037 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added a 1 M solution of L-selectride in THF (0.07 mL, 0.07 mmol) at -20 min to 1 min to 1 min to 20 min (20 mg, 0.037 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added a 1 M solution of L-selectride in THF (0.07 mL, 0.07 mmol) at – 78 °C. After 30 min, water (1 mL) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to rt. The whole was extracted with EtOAc (2 mL × 3), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 2:1) to yield the title compound (18 mg, 93%) as a white solid of mp 142–143 °C with [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> –22.3 (c 1.60, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (neat): 3588, 3345, 3086, 3063, 3028, 2913, 1497, 1454, 1385, 1358, 1211, 1134, 1088, 1069, 1026, 729. H NMR: 2.43 (br d, *J* = 4.5, 1H), 2.52 (br s, 1H), 3.45–3.50 (m, 3H), 3.86 (t, *J* = 9.5, 1H), 3.97 (t, *J* = 9.5, 1H), 4.20 (t, *J* = 2.5, 1H), 4.70 (d, *J* = 11.5, 1H), 4.71 (d, *J* = 11.5, 1H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 11.0, 1H), 4.84 (d, *J* = 11.0, 1H), 4.85 (d, *J* = 10.5, 1H), 4.91 (d, *J* = 11.0, 1H), 4.92 (d, *J* = 10.5, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 11.0, 1H), 7.26–7.35 (m, 20H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 69.1 (CH), 71.7 (CH), 72.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 75.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 75.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 75.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 80.0 (CH), 81.3 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 137.7 (C), 138.5 (C), 138.6 (C). HRMS-ESI *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub>, 563.2404; found, 563.2404. The melting point, specific rotation, and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR were in good agreement with those reported (mp 140–142 °C; [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> –25 (*c* 2.7, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)).

(1R,2R,3S,4R,5R,6S)-3,4,5,6-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)cyclohexane-1,2-diol (scyllo-3b): To a solution of 2b (38 mg, 70 μmol) and imidazole (24 mg, 0.35 mmol) in DMF (0.2 mL) cooled in an ice-water bath was added TESCl (0.03 mL, 0.2 mmol). After removal of the cooling bath, the mixture was stirred for 2 h, and water  FABMS *m/z*: 675 (M + Na), 91 (Bn). HRMS–ESI *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{48}\text{O}_{6}\text{SiNa}$ , 675.3113; found, 675.3112.

To a solution of the above solid (25 mg, 38  $\mu$ mol) in toluene (0.25 mL) was added *t*-BuNH<sub>2</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub> (8 mg, 0.09 mmol) at rt. After 1 h, 10% HCl (2 mL) and THF (2 mL) were added, and the mixture was vigorously stirred for 15 min. The volume of the mixture was reduced to ca 2 mL by min. The volume of the mixture was reduced to ca 2 mL by evaporation, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 2:1) to yield the title compound (16 mg, 80%) as a white solid of mp 120–123 °C with  $[\alpha]_D^{2}$ –4 (c 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (KBr): 3372, 3275, 3063, 3005, 2913, 1454, 1400, 1385, 1354, 1261, 1126, 1088, 1069, 1053, 1022, 802, 748. <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 2.53 (br s, 2H), 3.43 (br m, 2H), 3.49 (br m, 2H), 3.58 (br m, 2H), 4.78 (d, J = 11.0, 2H), 4.88 (s, 4H), 4.92 (d, J = 11.0, 2H), 7.26–7.33 (m, 20H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 73.8, 75.5, 75.9, 82.3, 83.1, 127.7, 127.8, 127.89, 127.94, 128.4, 128.6, 138.3, 138.4. HRMS–ESI m/z:  $[M + Na]^{+}$  calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub>, 563.2404; found, 563.2404. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>1</sup>C NMR were in good agreement with those reported. <sup>[12a,41]</sup>

Scheme 6. (1*R*,2*S*,3*R*,4*S*,5*S*,6*R*)-3,6-Bis(*tert*-butyldiphenylsiloxy)-4,5-(isopropylidenedioxy)cyclohexane-1,2-diol (*allo*-13c): To a solution of 12c (20 mg, 0.029 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) cooled in an ice-water bath, was added a 1 M solution of BH<sub>3</sub>·THF in THF (0.060 mL, 0.060 mmol). After 7 h, another portion of a 1 M solution of BH<sub>3</sub>·THF in THF (0.015 mL, 0.015 mmol) was added. After 1.5 h, water (2 mL) was added, and the whole was extracted with EtOAc (2 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>2</sub> and layers were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The borane-derived impurities were removed concentrated. The borane-derived inputries were removed by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 19:1) to yield a mixture of the title compound with neo-13c as a colorless oil (19 mg, 93%) with  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  –20 (c 0.74, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3549, 3530, 3071, 3051, 2959, 2897, 1474, 1427, 1381, 1369, 1227, 1142, 1111, 1072, 1045, 1007, 880, 849, 822, 795, 760. H NMR 1.04 (s, 9H), 1.10 (s, 9H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.89 (d, J = 10.5, 1H), 2.81 (d, J = 9.0, 1H), 3.43 (dt, J = 8.5, 3.0, 1H), 3.76 (dt, J = 10.0, 3.0, 1H), 4.08 (dd, J = 2.0, 10.0), 4.33 (dd, J = 2.5, 10.0, 1H), 4.44 (t, J = 3.0, 1H), 4.71 (br t, J = 3.0, 1H), 7.30–7.50 (m, 14H), 7.61 (m, 2H), 7.70–7.80 (m, 4H).  $^{13}$ C NMR: 19.2 (C), 19.4 (C), 26.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.07 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.12 (CH<sub>3</sub> × 2), 68.3 (CH), 69.8 (CH), 72.5 (CH), 74.1 (CH), 74.4 (CH), 75.7 (CH), 111.0 (C), 127.5 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 130.0 (CH), 130.1 (CH), 132.0 (C), 132.7 (C), 133.4 (C), 133.6 (C), 135.7 (CH), 136.0 (CH), 136.1 (CH), 136.5 (CH). HRMS m/z:  $[M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{41}H_{52}NaO_6Si_2$ , 719.3195; found, 719.3195. The dr (89:11) was determined by the integration area of  $^{1}H$  NMR signals at 4.71 and 4.58 ppm. The stereochemistry was tentatively by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 19:1) to yield at 4.71 and 4.58 ppm. The stereochemistry was tentatively assigned as drawn.

(1*R*,2*R*,3*R*,4*S*,5*S*,6*R*)-4,5-(Isopropylidenedioxy)-3,6-bis(*tert*-butyldiphenylsiloxy)cyclohexane-1,2-diol (*neo*-13c): To a solution of 12c (235 mg, 0.338 mmol) and 2,6-lutidine (0.20 mL, 1.7 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL) cooled at -78 °C, was added TESOTf (0.23 mL, 1.0 mmol). After 14.5 h, water (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to rt. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were washed with water (6 mL × 3), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 98:2) to yield 6*O*-TES inosose (254 mg, 93%) as a white solid of mp 78–80 °C with  $\left[\alpha\right]^{20}_{D}$  -20.5 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (neat): 3075, 3051, 2955, 2932, 3859, 2878, 1751, 1474, 1462, 1427, 1381, 1369, 1231, 1180, 1134, 1115, 1059, 1049, 1022, 8445, 822, 741. H NMR: 0.14 (q, J = 8.0, 6H), 0.61 (t, J = 8.0, 9H), 0.99 (s, 9H), 1.10 (s, 9H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 4.19 (dd, J = 2.5, 10.0, 1H), 4.53 (dd, J = 2.0, 10.0, 1H), 4.58 (dd, J = 2.0, 3.5, 1H), 7.29–7.45 (m, 12H), 7.59–7.64 (m, 4H), 7.67–7.72 (m, 4H).  $^{13}$ C NMR: 4.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.3 (C), 19.9 (C), 26.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 71.9 (1R,2R,3R,4S,5S,6R)-4,5-(Isopropylidenedioxy)-3,6(CH), 74.2 (CH), 74.6 (CH), 75.4 (CH), 75.7 (CH), 112.7 (C), 126.9 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.9 (CH), 130.0 (CH), 132.3 (C), 132.7 (C  $\times$  2), 133.1 (C), 135.9 (CH), 136.2 (CH), 136.4 (CH), 136.6 (CH), 203.9 (C). HRMS-ESI m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>64</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>, 831.3903; found, 831.3903.

To a solution of the above solid (20 mg, 25 μmol) in toluene (0.15 mL), was added *t*-BuNH<sub>2</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub> (6 mg, 0.06 mmol) at rt. After 30 min, the mixture was evaporated to give a crude material as a cloudy oil. The oil was dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of THF/pyridine (0.8 mL) cooled in an ice—water bath, HF·pyridine complex (0.2 mL) was added. The cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred for 45 min. The mixture was cooled in the ice—water bath, and the reaction was quenched by the addition of sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL). The whole was extracted with EtOAc (2 mL × 3), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (6 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residual pyridine was removed by evaporation with toluene (10 mL × 2). The dr (96:4) was determined by the integration area of H NMR signals at 4.58 and 4.71 ppm. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 95:5) to give a 96:4 diastereomeric mixture of the title compound (17 mg, 97%) as a white solid of mp 46–48 °C with [α]<sup>25</sup><sub>D</sub> +20 (*c* 0.83, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) (lit: [12e] mp 61–64 °C, [α]<sub>D</sub> +13.7 (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)): IR (neat): 3549, 3071, 3051, 3013, 2986, 2969, 2932, 2859, 1427, 1381, 1369, 1219, 1157, 1111, 1042, 1007, 837, 760. H NMR: 1.08 (s, 18H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 3.66 (br s, 2H), 4.12 (br s, 2H), 4.58 (br s, 2H), 7.33–7.45 (m, 12H), 7.66 (m, 4H), 7.78 (m, 4H). C NMR: 19.6 (C), 27.06 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.13 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 67.0 (CH), 72.8 (CH), 74.5 (CH), 111.4 (C), 127.5 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 129.9 (CH), 132.6 (C), 134.1 (C), 136.0 (CH), 136.5 (CH). EIMS *m/z*: 639 (M – *t*-Bu). H and C NMR were in good agreement with those reported.

Scheme 7. (1*S*,2*R*,3*R*,4*R*,5*S*,6*R*)-2-Acetamido-3,4,5,6-tetrakis(benzyloxy)cyclohexyl acetate (14a): To a stirred solution of 2a (160 mg, 0.0.299 mmol) in EtOH (1.5 mL), were added pyridine (0.16 mL, 2.0 mmol) and MeONH<sub>2</sub>·HCl (125 mg, 1.50 mmol) at rt. After 30 min, the solution was diluted with EtOAc, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated *in vacuo*. From the residue, the remaining pyridine was removed by three-time evaporation with toluene to give crude *O*-methyl oxime as a yellow oil (178 mg).

The above oil was dissolved in THF (0.5 mL + 0.25 mL wash  $\times$  2) and added to a suspension of NaOMe (162 mg, 3.00 mmol) and LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (170 mg, 4.48 mmol) at -78 °C. After 30 min, the cooling bath was removed, and the mixture was allowed to warm up to rt. After 30 min, the mixture was heated at 65 °C for 30 min and then cooled to rt. To the mixture, H<sub>2</sub>O was dropwise added until no gas evolution occurred. The whole was filtered through celite, which was successively washed with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give a crude mixture of **4a** and *epi-***4a** as a yellow oil.

The above oil was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL), and pyridine (0.48 mL, 5.9 mmol), Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.57 mL, 6.0 mmol), and DMAP (4 mg, 0.03 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 1.5 h and diluted with EtOAc. The mixture was washed with 10% HCl, H<sub>2</sub>O, sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:2 to 4:6) to give the title compound (127 mg, 68%) as a yellow oil with  $[\alpha]^{2^0}_D$  +23 (c 0.33, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3294, 3063, 3028, 2924, 2870, 1736, 1667, 1551, 1524, 1497, 1454, 1373, 1234, 1103, 1072, 1026, 756. HNMR: 1.86 (s, 3H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 3.57 (dd, J = 1.5, 10.0, 1H), 3.67 (br m, 1H), 3.71 (br m, 1H), 3.90 (dd, J = 2.5, 10.0, 1H), 4.32 (br d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.36–4.42 (m, 2H), 4.45–4.57 (m, 4H), 4.67 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 5.12 (t, J = 10.0, 1H), 5.12 (br s, 1H), 7.15–7.19 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.36 (m, 16H).  $^{13}_{}$ C NMR: 20.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 71.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.0 (CH), 73.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 73.6 (CH × 2), 75.0 (CH), 76.5 (CH), 77.2

(CH), 127.65 (CH), 127.73 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 128.31 (CH), 128.34 (CH), 128.37 (CH), 128.43 (CH), 138.0 (C), 138.2 (C), 170.1 (C), 171.3 (C). HRMS–ESI m/z:  $[M + K]^+$  calcd for  $C_{38}H_{41}KNO_{7}$ , 662.2515; found, 662.2518. The stereochemistry was determined based on the *trans*-diaxial couplings of 1-H (5.12 ppm) with 2-H and 6-H (both J = 10 Hz) as shown in Scheme 7. In addition, *epi-14a* (*vide infra*) was isolated as a minor product (20 mg, 11%).

(1S,2S,3R,4R,5S,6R)-2-Acetamido-3,4,5,6-tetrakis(benzyloxy)cyclohexyl acetate (epi-14a): To a solution of 2a (109 mg, 0.203 mmol) in pyridine (2 mL), was added HONH<sub>2</sub>·HCl (71 mg, 1.0 mmol), and the solution was stirred at rt for 1.5 h. The solution was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL), washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL  $\times$  3) and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated in vacuo to give crude oxime as a pale brown oil (139 mg).

The above oil was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL), and pyridine (0.33 mL, 4.1 mml), DMAP (3 mg, 0.02 mmol), and  $Ac_2O$  (0.38 mL, 4.0 mmol) were added to the stirred solution cooled in an ice–water bath. The mixture was stirred for 1 h, and the reaction was quenched by the addition of  $H_2O$  (10 mL). The whole was extracted with  $CHCl_3$  (20 mL  $\times$  3), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ , and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residual pyridine was removed by three-time evaporation with toluene (10 mL  $\times$  3) to give crude O-acetyl oxime as a yellow oil (131 mg).

The above oil was dissolved in EtOH (2 mL), and NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (97 mg, 0.41 mmol) was added. To the mixture cooled in an ice—water bath, NaBH<sub>4</sub> (78 mg, 2.1 mmol) was portion-wise added, and the cooling bath was removed. After 1.5 h, the mixture was cooled in an ice—water bath, and NaBH<sub>4</sub> (78 mg, 2.1 mmol) was portion-wise added again. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h and diluted with EtOAc (20 mL). After addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL), the whole was filtered through celite pad, which was successively washed with EtOAc. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (20 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated *in vacuo* to give crude *epi-4a* as a pale yellow oil (115 mg).

The above oil was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL), and pyridine (0.16 mL, 2.0 mmol), Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.19 mL, 2.0 mmol), and DMAP (2 mg, 0.02 mmol) were added to the stirred solution cooled in an ice—water bath. After 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL), and the whole was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated *in vacuo* to give crude product as a pale brown oil (128 mg). This oil was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 2:1) to give the title compound (65 mg, 51%) as a colorless oil with  $\left[\alpha\right]^{20}_{D}$  – 18.2 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3410, 3086, 3063, 3028, 3009, 2059, 2924, 2870, 1734, 1674, 1512, 1497, 1454, 1431, 1369, 1323, 1234, 1099, 1053, 1026, 914, 802, 752. H NMR (-20 °C): 1.81 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 3.78 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 3.84 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 11.0, 1H), 3.86 (m, 1H), 3.94 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 4.5, 1H), 4.36 (d, *J* = 11.5, 1H), 4.46 (d, *J* = 11.0, 1H), 4.47 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.68 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 5.04 (ddt, *J* = 1.0, 9.0, 4.5, 1H), 5.22 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 11.0, 1H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 9.0, 1H), 7.18-7.21 (m, 4H), 7.29-7.40 (m, 16H). CNMR: 21.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 48.1 (CH), 70.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 70.8 (CH), 71.7 (CH), 73.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.5 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.27 (CH), 128.30 (CH), 128.31 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 128.27 (CH), 128.30 (CH), 128.32 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 137.5 (C), 137.87 (C), 137.90 (C), 138.4 (C), 170.4 (C), 170.5 (C); FABMS *m/z*: 624 (M + H), 91 (Bn). HRMS-FAB *m/z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>42</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>, 624.2956; found, 624.2949. The stereochemistry was determined based on the *trans*-diaxial coupling (*J* = 11.0 Hz) between 2-H and 3-H (3.84 and 5.22 ppm, respectively) as shown in Scheme 7.

(1R,2R,3R,4R,5R)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)cyclohexanol (5a): To a solution of 2a (540 mg, 1.00 mmol), DMAP (18 mg, 0.15 mmol), and pyridine (0.28 mL, 3.5 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) cooled in an ice—water bath, was added *O*-phenyl chlorothioformate (0.42 mL, 3.0 mmol). After 2.5 h, MeOH (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 10 min and evaporated. To the residue, EtOAc and water were added, and the aqueous layer was separated and extracted 3 times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. To the resulting orange oil (1.1 g), containing *O*-phenoxythiocarbonyl inosose, was used in the next reaction without purification. The crude inosose was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 95:5) to provide a pale yellow solid of mp 88–90 °C with [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> –53.0 (c 1.21, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3086, 3063, 3028, 2920, 2874, 1751, 1589, 1493, 1454, 1362, 1285, 1223, 1207, 1107, 1049, 1045, 1026, 1003, 914, 864, 818, 752. H MMR: 3.82 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 3.93 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 4.19 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 11.0, 1H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.43 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.50 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.53 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.62 (d, *J* = 3.0, 11.0, 1H), 4.71 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.73 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.78 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.79 (dH), 85.6 (CH), 12.19 (CH), 77.5 (CH), 73.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 77.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 77.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 127.76 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 127

To a solution of the above crude material in toluene (10 mL), were added Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (0.40 mL, 1.5 mmol) and AIBN (16 mg, 0.097 mmol), and the solution was heated at 80 °C. After 2 h, another portion of AIBN (16 mg, 0.097 mmol) was added. After 1.5 h, AIBN (16 mg, 0.097 mmol) and Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (0.40 mL, 1.5 mmol) were added again. After 1 h, the mixture was evaporated, and the resulting yellow oil was purified by column chromatography (hexane to hexane/EtOAc 95:5) to yield deoxyinosose (345 mg, 66% in 2 steps) as a pale yellow oil with  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  –61.3 (c 0.45, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3086, 3063, 3028, 2924, 2870, 1732, 1496, 1454, 1366, 1327, 1312, 1265, 1215, 1111, 1026, 1003, 914, 802, 752. H NMR: 2.75 (ddd, J = 1.5, 5.0, 13.0, 1H), 2.84 (dd, J = 11.0, 13.0, 1H), 3.93 (ddd, J = 1.5, 2.5, 5.0, 1H), 3.99 (dd, J = 3.5, 5.0, 1H), 4.06 (ddd, J = 2.5, 5.0, 11.0, 1H), 4.431 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.433 (d, J = 3.5, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 7.16–7.19 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.37 (m, 16H). C NMR: 42.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 71.4 (CH), 78.1 (CH), 73.4 (CH), 73.5 (CH), 75.75 (CH), 127.76 (CH), 127.76 (CH), 127.79 (CH), 128.31 (CH), 128.38 (CH), 128.42 (CH), 137.8 (C), 138.0 (C), 138.05 (C), 138.14 (C), 204.6 (C). HRMS–ESI m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{34}H_{34}O_5$ Na, 545.2299; found, 545.2299.

To a solution of the above oil (9.0 mg, 17 μmol) in MeOH (0.2 mL) cooled in an ice–water bath, was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1.7 mg, 45 μmol), and the mixture was stirred for 40 min. After addition of sat aq NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, the whole was extracted with EtOAc (1.5 mL × 4). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (4.5 mL) and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 9:2) to give the title compound (8.3 mg, 93%) as a pale yellow solid of mp 91–92.5 °C with  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  –24 (c 0.30, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (KBr): 3314, 3086, 3063, 3028, 2955, 2928, 2855, 2878, 2758, 2739, 2677, 1496, 1454, 1435, 1315, 1261, 1207, 1150, 1099, 1084, 1065, 1026, 1084, 914, 868, 802, 745. H NMR (60 °C): 1.85–1.95 (br s, 1H), 1.98 (ddd, J = 3.5, 7.5, 11.5, 1H), 3.86–3.88 (m, 2H), 3.95 (dd, J = 2.5, 7.5, 1H), 3.97 (br s, 1H), 4.09 (br s, 1H), 4.55–4.72 (m, 7H), 4.77 (br s, 1H), 7.21–7.35 (m, 20H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 32.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 71.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 72.5 (CH × 3), 73.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 79.4 (CH × 2), 127.6 (CH), 127.66

(CH), 127.72 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.39 (CH), 128.41 (CH), 128.49 (CH), 128.51 (CH), 138.7 (C), 138.8 (C), 138.9 (C). HRMS–ESI m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{34}H_{36}O_{5}Na$ , 547.2455; found, 547.2453. The stereochemistry was determined at the stage of **15a**.

(1*R*,2*R*,3*S*,4*R*,5*R*)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)cyclohexyl acetate (15a): To a solution of 5a (5.9 mg, 11 μmol), DMAP (1 mg, 8 μmol), and Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.01 mL, 0.07 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.01 mL) cooled in an ice–water bath, was added Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.01 mL, 0.1 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. After addition of water (2 mL), the whole was extracted three times with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 95:5) to give the title compound (4.0 mg, 64%) as a pale yellow oil with  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\ D}$  – 3.9 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3088, 3063, 3030, 2933, 2903, 2868, 1738, 1497, 1454, 1368, 1346, 1240, 1207, 1159, 1094, 1070, 1051, 1026, 735. H NMR (60 °C): 1.93–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.97 (s, 3H), 3.91–3.94 (m, 2H), 3.98 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 9.0, 1H), 4.12 (br m, 1H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 11.5, 1H), 4.76 (d, *J* = 11.5, 1H), 4.81 (d, *J* = 11.5, 1H), 5.12 (ddd, *J* = 2.5, 4.0, 11.0, 1H). The NMR: 21.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 69.9 (CH), 71.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 72.5 (CH), 73.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.3 (CH<sub>2</sub> × 2), 74.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 76.9 (CH), 79.1 (CH), 79.4 (CH), 127.82 (CH), 127.84 (CH), 127.54 (CH), 128.33 (CH), 127.85 (CH), 127.86 (C), 139.0 (C), 139.1 (C), 139.2 (C), 170.6 (C). HRMS–ESI *m/z*: [M + K]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>36</sub>KO<sub>6</sub>, 605.2300; found, 605.2300. The stereochemistry was determined based on the coupling constant (2.5, 4.0, and 11.0 Hz) of the methine proton that the AcO group and axial orientation of the adjacent BnO groups, as shown in Scheme 7.

(1R,2R,3R,4R,5R,6S)-2,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-6-methoxycyclohexanol (6a): To a solution of 2a (107 mg, 0.198 mmol) and proton sponge (85 mg, 0.040 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL), was added Me<sub>3</sub>O·BF<sub>4</sub> (59 mg, 0.40 mmol) at rt. After 14 h, water (8 mL) was added, and the whole was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (8 mL × 2). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (16 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 5:1) to yield O-Me inosose (103 mg, 90%) as a colorless oil with  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  –39.0 (c 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3395, 3086, 3062, 3028, 3086, 3005, 2920, 2873, 1959, 1871, 1743, 1654, 1543, 1497, 1454, 1385, 1366, 1323, 1026, 1150, 1111, 1026, 957, 918, 737. H NMR: 3.58 (s, 3H), 3.75 (dd, J = 3.5, 4.0, 1H), 3.88 (dd, J = 3.0, 4.0, 1H), 3.90 (dd, J = 3.5, 10.0, 1H), 4.18 (dd, J = 1.0, 10.0, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.48 (dd, J = 1.0, 3.0, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 7.08–7.16 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.36 (m, 16H). CNMR: 59.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 72.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 75.3 (CH), 77.2 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 80.7 (CH), 85.7 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.71 (CH), 127.73 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 137.7 (C), 137.8 (C), 137.9 (C), 138.5 (C), 202.8 (C). FABMS m/z: 575 (M + Na), 329, 136, 91 (Bn). HRMS–FAB m/z: [M + Na] calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 575.2404; found, 575.2415.

To a solution of the above oil (9.7 mg, 0.018 mmol) in MeOH (0.2 mL) cooled in an ice—water bath, was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (2 mg, 0.05 mmol). After 30 min, sat aq NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (1.5 mL) was added, and the whole was extracted with EtOAc (1.5 mL  $\times$  5). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (8 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 2:1) to yield the title compound (8.4 mg, 86%) as a colorless oil with  $[\alpha]_{\ D}^{20}$  –13 (c 0.42, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3502, 3086, 3063, 3028, 3086, 3009, 2924, 2874, 1497, 1454, 1358, 1261, 1215, 1092, 1041, 1026, 910, 802, 748. H NMR (–

20 °C): 3.50 (dd, J = 2.5, 10.0, 1H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 3.64 (br s, 1H), 3.66 (br s, 1H), 3.77 (br s, 1H), 3.94 (dd, J = 2.0, 10.0, 1H), 4.34 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 12.0, 1H), 5.57.45 (m, 20H). CNMR (-20 °C): 58.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 68.6 (CH), 70.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 72.9 (CH), 73.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.6 (CH<sub>2</sub> × 2), 74.8 (CH), 75.7 (CH), 77.8 (CH), 79.8 (CH), 127.84 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 127.69 (CH), 127.78 (CH), 127.84 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.29 (CH), 128.34 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 137.0 (C), 137.8 (C), 137.9 (C), 138.7 (C). HRMS-ESI m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 577.2561; found, 577.2568. The stereochemistry was determined based on the *trans*-diaxial coupling (J = 10.0 Hz) between 5-H and 6-H (3.94 and 3.50 ppm, respectively) as shown in Scheme 7.

(1*R*,2*R*,3*R*,4*R*,5*S*,6*S*)-3,4,5,6-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-1-methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol (7a): A 1.5 M solution of MeLi·LiBr in THF (0.23 mL, 0.35 mmol) was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.4 mL) and cooled at −78 °C. A solution of 2a (62 mg, 0.12 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.4 mL + 0.2 mL wash × 2) was added, and the cooling bath was replaced with an ice—water bath. After 2 h, sat aq NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (2 mL) was added, and the whole was extracted with EtOAc (2 mL × 4). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (8 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1 to 2:1) to yield the title compound (57 mg, 89%) as a colorless oil with [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> +15.8 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3483, 3086, 3063, 3028, 3005, 2982, 2932, 2870, 1956, 1871, 1655, 1605, 1543, 1497, 1420, 1385, 1366, 1331, 1250, 1207, 1142, 1099, 1065, 1026, 964, 914, 802, 748. H NMR: 1.34 (s, 3H), 2.41 (br s, 1H), 3.49 (br s, 1H), 3.60–3.78 (m, 4H), 3.82 (br s, 1H), 4.43–4.42 (m, 2H), 4.45–4.63 (m, 5H), 4.71 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 7.09–7.19 (m, 4H), 7.23–7.39 (m, 16H). CNMR: 22.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 72.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 73.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.2 (CH × 2), 76.4 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 128.35 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 137.1 (C), 137.7 (C), 138.2 (C), 138.5 (C). FABMS *m/z*: 577 (M + Na), 525, 481, 437, 393, 349, 305, 243, 183, 91 (Bn). HRMS–FAB *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>4</sup> calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 577.2561; found, 577.2565. The stereochemistry was determined at the stage of **16a**.

(1*R*,2*R*,3*R*,4*R*,5*S*,6*S*)-3,4,5,6-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-1,2-isopropylidenedioxy-1-methylcyclohexane (16a): To a solution of 7a (66 mg, 0.12 mmol) in 2,2-dimethoxypropane (2.0 mL, 16 mmol) was added TsOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mg, 0.03 mmol) at rt. The mixture was stirred for 2 h, and sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10 mL) was added. The whole was extracted with EtOAc (10 mL × 3), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 96:4) to yield the title compound (51 mg, 93%) as a colorless oil with [α]<sup>25</sup><sub>D</sub> – 27.2 (*c* 1.44, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): IR (neat): 3086, 3062, 3028, 3005, 2982, 2932, 2889, 2878, 1497, 1454, 1377, 1308, 1258, 1242, 1211, 1188, 1115, 1088, 1072, 1026, 984, 918, 864, 752, 733. H NMR: 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 3.65 (d, *J* = 3.0, 1H), 3.87 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 6.5, 1H), 4.08 (d, *J* = 5.0, 1H), 4.10 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 6.5, 1H), 4.19 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 5.0, 1H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 4.65 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.67 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.58 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.72 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.74 (d<sub>3</sub>, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 4.76 (d, *J* = 12.0, 1H), 7.23–7.37 (m, 20H). CNMR: 26.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 72.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 72.89 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 72.92 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 76.5 (CH), 76.9 (CH), 77.2 (CH), 81.0 (CH), 81.2 (C), 82.2 (CH), 109.7 (C), 127.31 (CH), 127.32 (CH), 127.34 (CH), 127.36 (CH), 127.49 (CH), 127.52 (CH × 2), 127.57 (CH), 128.07 (CH), 128.11 (CH), 128.15 (CH), 128.18 (CH), 138.6 (C × 2), 138.70 (C), 138.77 (C). FABMS *m/z*: 617 (M + Na), 329, 176, 91 (Bn). HRMS–FAB *m/z*: [M + Na]\* calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>42</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub>, 617.2874; found, 617.2873. The relative configuration was determined by NOESY correlation

between angular  $CH_3$  (1.36 ppm) and H (4.05 ppm) as shown in Scheme 7.

Scheme 8. (2R,3S,4R,5S,6S)-2,3,4,5,6-pentahydroxy-cyclohexanone (allo-2-inosose): To a solution of 2a (819 mg, 1.52 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL), was added 10% Pd/C (wetted with 55% water, 485 mg, 0.20 mmol), and the mixture was stirred under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at rt for 24 h. After addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) and activated charcoal (500 mg), the mixture was stirred for 20 min and filtered through filter paper, which was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL × 3). The combined filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give the title compound (228 mg, 84%) as colorless blocks of mp 180–182 °C (H<sub>2</sub>O/*i*-PrOH) with  $[\alpha]_D^{20} +75.1$  (c 1.04, H<sub>2</sub>O) (lit:  $[\alpha]_D^{40} +68.6$  (c 1, H<sub>2</sub>O)):  $[\alpha]_D^{40} +68.6$  (c 1, H<sub>2</sub>O)):  $[\alpha]_D^{40} +68.6$  ( $[\alpha]_D^{40} +68.$ 

**D-chiro-Inositol:** To a solution of *chiro-***3a** (195 mg, 0.362 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL), was added 10% Pd/C (wetted with 55% water, 193 mg, 0.0819 mmol), and the mixture was stirred under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at rt for 10 h. After addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL), the whole was filtered through filter paper, which was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL × 3). The combined filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give the title compound (53 mg, 81%) as a slightly brown solid of mp 231–235 °C (H<sub>2</sub>O/EtOH) with [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> +65.2 (c 1.05, H<sub>2</sub>O) (lit: [α]<sup>24</sup><sub>D</sub> +85.5 (c 0.1, H<sub>2</sub>O); H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): 3.52 (m, 2H), 3.70 (m, 2H), 3.96 (br s, 2H). HNMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): 71.0 (CH), 72.3 (CH), 73.3 (CH). HRMS–ESI *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub>, 203.0526; found, 203.0532. H and H<sub>2</sub>C NMR were in good agreement with those reported. [51,42]

# Acknowledgements

We thank Hiroki Konegawa for his contribution in the preliminary study. We thank JSPS [Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientist (B)]; MEXT (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Advanced Molecular Transformations by Organocatalysts" and Platform for Drug Design, Discovery and Development); and Takeda Science Foundation for financial support.

### References

- [1] Selected examples: a) Inoue, S. J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn. 1987, 107, 645. b) Chida, N.; Yamada, K.; Ogawa, S. Chem. Lett. 1992, 687. c) Chida, N.; Yoshinaga, M.; Tobe, T.; Ogawa, S. Chem. Commun. 1997, 1043. d) Suzuki, T.; Suzuki, S. T.; Yamada, I.; Koashi, Y.; Yamada, K.; Chida, N. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 2874. e) Li, M.; Wu, A.; Zhou, Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 3707. Review: f) Kiddle, J. J. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2189.
- [2] a) Schopfer, W. H.; Posternak, T. Helv. Chim. Acta, 1960, 43, 2147. b) Nestler, J. E.; Jakubowicz, D. J.; Reamer, P.; Gunn, R. D.; Allan G. N. Engl. J. Med. 1999, 17, 1314. c) Palatnik, A.; Frolov, K.; Fux, M.; Benjamin, J. J. Clin. Psychopharmacol. 2001, 21, 335. d) McLaurin, J.; Kierstead, M. E.; Brown, M. E.; Hawkes, C. A.; Lambermon, M. H. L.; Phinney, A. L.; Darabie, A. A.; Cousins, J. E.; French, J. E.; Lan, M.

- F.; Chen, F.; Wong, S. S. N.; Mount, H. T. J.; Fraser, P. E.; Westaway, D.; George-Hyslop, P. S. *Nat. Med.* **2006**, *12*, 801.
- [3] a) Duchek, J.; Adams, D. R.; Hudlicky, T. *Chem. Rev.*2011, 111, 4223. b) Arjona, O.; Gómez, A. M.; López, J. C.; Plumet, J. *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107, 1919.
- [4] a) Carless, H. A. J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1992**, *3*, 795. b) Hudlicky, T.; Gonzalez, D.; Gibson, D. T. *Aldrichimica Acta* **1999**, *32*, 35. c) Paul, B. J.; Willis, J.; Martinot, T. A.; Ghiviriga, I.; Abboud, K. A.; Hudlicky, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10416. d) S. Pilgrim, G. Kociok-Köhn, M. D. Lloyd and S. E. Lewis, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 4799. e) Griffen, J. A.; White, J. C.; Kociok-Köhn, G.; Lloyd, M. D.; Wells, A.; Arnot, T. C.; Lewis, S. E. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 5989.
- [5] a) Ferrier, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1979, 1455. b) Machado, A. S.; Olesker, A.; Lukacs, G. Carbohydr. Res. 1985, 135, 231. c) Chida, N.; Ohtsuka, M.; Ogura, K.; Ogawa, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1991, 64, 2118. d) Adam S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6589. e) László, P.; Dudon, A.; J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1992, 11, 587. f) Takahashi, H.; Kittaka, H.; Ikegami, S. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 2705. g) Das, S. K.; Mallet, J.-M.; Sinaÿ, P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 493. h) Sollogoub, M.; Mallet, J.-M.; Sinaÿ, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3471.
- [6] a) Suami, T.; Tadano, K.; Kameda, Y.; Iimura, Y. Chem. Lett. 1984, 1919. b) Tadano, K.; Maeda, H.; Hoshino, M.; Iimura, Y.; Suami, T. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1946.
- [7] Yoshikawa, M.; Cha, B. C.; Nakae, T.; Kitagawa, I. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1988**, *36*, 3714.
- [8] Andersson, F. O.; Classon, B.; Samuelsson, B. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4699.
- [9] a) Bräuer, N.; Dreeßen, S.; Schaumann, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 2921. b) Le Merrer, Y.; Gravier-Pelletier, C.; Maton, W.; Numa, M.; Depezay, J.-C. Synlett 1999, 1322.
- [10] a) Tatsuta, K.; Mukai, H.; Takahashi, M. J. Antibiot. **2000**, 53, 430. b) Rassu, G.; Auzzas, L.; Pinna, L.; Battistini, L.; Zanardi, F.; Marzocchi, L.; Acquotti, D.; Casiraghi, G. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, 65, 6307.
- [11] a) Paulsen, H.; von Dein, W. Liebigs Ann. Chem.
  1987, 125. b) Fukase, H.; Horii, S. J. Org. Chem. 1992,
  57, 3651. c) Cai, S.; Stroud, M. R.; Hakomori, S.;
  Toyokuni, T. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6693.
- [12] a) Watanabe, Y.; Mitani, M.; Ozaki, S. Chem. Lett. 1987, 123. b) Chiara, J. L.; Martín-Lomas, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 2969. c) Carpintero, M.; Fernández-Mayoralas, A.; Jaramillo, C. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1916. d) Carpintero, M.; Jaramillo, C.; Fernández-Mayoralas, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 1285.
- [13] Kapferer, P.; Sarabia, F.; Vasella, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1999, 82, 645.
- [14] a) McCasland, G. E.; Furuta, S.; Durham, L. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1966**, *31*, 1516. b) Shing, T. K. M.; Elsley, D.

- A.; Gillhouley, J. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 1280. c) Tatsuta, K.; Niwata, Y.; Umezawa, K.; Toshima, K.; Nakata, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 1171. d) Ishikawa, T.; Shimizu, Y.; Kudoh, T.; Saito, S. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 3879.
- [15] Sudha, A. V. R. L.; Nagarajan, M. Chem. Commun. 1998, 925.
- [16] Originally reported as a cyanide-catalyzed reaction:
  a) Lapworth, A. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1903, 20, 995.
  b) Lapworth, A. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1904, 21, 1206.
- [17] a) Ukai, T.; Tanaka, R.; Dokawa, S. J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn. 1943, 63, 296. b) Breslow, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 3719.
- [18] Reviews on NHC catalysis: a) Enders, D.; Niemeier, O.; Henseler, A. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5606. b) Bugaut, X.; Glorius, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3511.
  c) Vora, H. U.; Wheeler, P.; Rovis, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 1617. d) Chen, X.-Y.; Ye, S. Synlett 2013, 24, 1614. e) Ryan, S. J.; Candish, L.; Lupton, D. W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 4906. f) Mahatthananchai, J.; Bode, J. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 696.
- [19] a) Hachisu, Y.; Bode, J. W.; Suzuki, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8432. b) Hachisu, Y.; Bode, J. W.; Suzuki, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1097. c) Enders, D.; Niemeier, O. Synlett 2004, 2111. d) Enders, D.; Niemeier, O.; Balensiefer, T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1463. e) Enders, D.; Niemeier, O.; Raabe, G. Synlett 2006, 2431.
- [20] a) Takikawa, H.; Hachisu, H.; Bode, J. W.; Suzuki, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3492. b) Takikawa, H.; Suzuki, K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2713.
- [21] Mennen, S. M.; Miller, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5260.
- [22] Cookson, R. C.; Roger, M. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 20, 804.
- [23] Mennen, S. M.; Miller, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5260.
- [24] Inositol synthesis with benzoin-type cyclization has recently been reported: Stockton, K. P.; Greatrex, B. W.; Taylor, D. K. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 5088.
- [25] Stetter, H.; Kuhlmann, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 639.
- [26] In contrast to comments in ref 24, decomposition of 2a was not observed during silica gel chromatography.
- [27] Karplus, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 2870.
- [28] Kerr, M. S.; Read de Alaniz, J.; Rovis, T. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 5725.
- [29] Sohn, S. S.; Bode, J. W. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3873.
- [30] Dudding, T.; Houk, K. N. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2004**, *101*, 5770.
- [31] White, N. A.; DiRocco, D. A.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8504.

- [32] Iida, T.; Chang, F. C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1194.
- [33] Narasaka, K.; Ukaji, Y.; Ysmazaki, S. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1986**, *59*, 525.
- [34] Herscovici, J.; Egron, M.-J.; Antonakis, K. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, **1988**, 1219.
- [35] Vora, H. U.; Lathrop, S. P.: Reynolds, N. T.; Kerr, M. S.; Read de Alaniz, J.; Rovis, T. *Org. Synth.* **2010**, *87*, 350.
- [36] Mabiala-Bassiloua, C.-G.; Zwolinska, M.; Therisod, H. Sygusch, J.; Therisod, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2008, 18, 1735.

- [37] Guidot, J. P.; Le Gall, T.; Mioskowski, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 6671.
- [38] Painter, G. F.; Eldridge, P. J. Falshaw, A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *12*, 225.
- [39] Migaud, M. E.; Frost, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5154.
- [40] Bruzik, K. S.; Salamończyk, G. M. Carbohydr. Res. 1989, 195, 67.
- [41] Jagdhane, R. C.; Patil, M. T.; Krishnaswamy, S. Shashidhar, M. S. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 5144.
- [42] Mandel, M.; Hudlicky, T. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2331.