KURENAI

Kyoto University Research Information Repository

KYOTO UNIVERSITY

Title	Fluorescent characteristics of dissolved organic matter produced by bloom-forming coastal phytoplankton
Author(s)	Fukuzaki, K.; Imai, I.; Fukushima, K.; Ishii, K.-I.; Sawayama, S.; Yoshioka, T.
Citation	Journal of Plankton Research (2014), 36(3): 685-694
Issue Date	2014-03-03
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/198835
Right	This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in "J. Plankton Res." following peer review. The version of record [Koji Fukuzaki, Ichiro Imai, Keitaro Fukushima, Ken-Ichiro Ishii, Shigeki Sawayama, and Takahito Yoshioka Fluorescent characteristics of dissolved organic matter produced by bloom-forming coastal phytoplankton. J. Plankton Res. (May/June 2014) 36(3): 685-694 first published online March 3, 2014 doi:10.1093/plankt/fbu015] is available online at: http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/content/36/3/685.
Type	Journal Article
Textversion	author

1 **Fluorescent characteristics of dissolved organic matter produced by**

2 **bloom-forming coastal phytoplankton**

3

4 **Koji Fukuzaki[a*], Ichiro Imai[b], Keitaro Fukushima[c], Ken-Ichiro Ishii[d], Shigeki**

5 **Sawayama[d] and Takahito Yoshioka[a, e**]**

6

7 *[a]Laboratory of Forest Information, Division of Forest and Biomaterials Science,*

8 *Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwake-cho,*

9 *Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

10 *[b]Plankton Laboratory of Marine Biology, Graduate School of Fisheries Sciences,*

11 *Hokkaido University, 3-1-1 Minato-cho, Hakodate, Hokkaido 041-8611, Japan*

12 *[c]Division of Research Project Promotion, Field Science Education and Research Center,*

13 *Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

14 *[d]Laboratory of Marine Environmental Microbiology, Division of Applied Bioscience,*

15 *Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwake-cho,*

16 *Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

17 *[e]Division of Forest Ecosystem, Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto*

18 *University, Kitashirakawa Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

1

1

2   *Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-75-753-6440; Fax: +81-75-753-6443

3   E-mail: fukuzaki@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp (K. Fukuzaki)

4   **Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-75-753-6421; Fax: +81-75-753-6443

5   E-mail: yoshioka@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp   (T. Yoshioka)

6

Keywords

8   Fluorescent dissolved organic matter, Excitation emission matrix, Incubation

9   experiment, Phytoplankton, Spectrofluorometer, Bloom

10

Abstract

12   Dynamics and sources of fluorescent dissolved organic matter (FDOM) are important

13   for understanding the biogeochemical process in aquatic ecosystems. This study aimed

14   to analyse direct production of FDOM by marine phytoplankton cultures and reveal

15   fluorescent characteristics of exuded FDOM. Axenic cultures of eight species of

16   bloom-forming marine phytoplankton, including two diatoms; a raphidophyte; two

17   dinoflagellates; a chlorophyte; a cryptophyte and a haptophyte, were incubated in an

18   artificial medium. Excitation emission matrices (EEMs) of FDOM in the culture

2

1 medium were spectrofluorometrically measured. FDOM production was observed in all

2 species, and fluorescent characteristics of the exudates varied considerably among

3 species. Measured EEMs had peaks at 350 nm/450 nm (excitation/emission) for the

4 diatom *Ditylum brightwellii* and 370 nm/450–470 nm for the raphidophyte *Heterosigma*

5 *akashiwo* and the chlorophyte *Oltmansiellopsis viridis*, which were previously regarded

6 as the peaks of terrestrially derived humic-like substances. Direct production of FDOM

7 by marine phytoplankton should be considered in future studies of FDOM dynamics in

8 marine systems. Species-specific features of FDOM might be used for early detection of

9 noxious bloom because this method is simple, rapid, and suitable for monitoring.

10

11 **Introduction**

12

13 Marine dissolved organic matter (DOM) is one of the major factors affecting the

14 global carbon cycles and is the largest ocean reservoir of reduced carbon (Hansell et al.,

15 2009). DOM also affects various biological processes such as bacterial respiration and

16 microalgal primary production by serving as a substrate for bacterial populations

17 (Findlay et al., 2003; Findlay and Sinsabaugh, 2003), controlling the transport and

18 availability of trace elements (van den Berg et al., 1986; Shiller et al., 2006; Laglera et

3

1   al., 2007; Laglera and van den Berg, 2009) and absorbing photosynthetic effective

2   sunlight (Sulzberger and Durisch-Kaiser, 2009) and harmful ultraviolet (UV) light

3   (Nielsen et al., 1995; Nielsen and Ekelund, 1995). The quality and quantity of DOM are

4   thought to influence these ecological functions of DOM and should be taken into

5   account when evaluating the biogeochemical processes in aquatic ecosystems.

6   The fluorescent spectroscopic characterisation of chromophoric DOM (CDOM), which

7   is a coloured fraction of DOM, is an excellent method for evaluating the source and

8   quality of DOM (Coble, 1996, 2007; Coble et al., 1990, 1993; Stedmon et al., 2003;

9   Jaffé et al., 2008). This method compiles individual fluorescent spectra at each

10   excitation (Ex) wavelength to generate three-dimensional excitation emission matrices

11   (EEMs). EEMs of DOM can be highly variable and are controlled by different physical,

12   chemical and biological processes; therefore, they can have important ecological

13   consequences (Maie et al., 2006; Jaffé et al., 2008). In aquatic systems, fluorescent

14   CDOM (FDOM) is assumed to be derived from biological processes in the system

15   (autochthonous) as well as from the transport of terrestrial organic matter from rivers

16   and the surrounding environment (allochthonous) (Jaffé et al., 2008; Yamashita and

17   Tanoue, 2008). Autochthonous production is thought to be mainly derived from

18   bacterial metabolic by-products (Nieto-Cid et al., 2006; Shimotori et al., 2009;

4

1 Yamashita and Tanoue, 2008).

2 It has been well documented that phytoplankton is one of the main sources of organic

3 matter in the sea, because it releases organic compounds such as carbohydrates and

4 polysaccharides (Biddanda and Benner, 1997). Recently, the exudates from marine

5 phytoplankton have also been shown to have fluorescent properties, and they may

6 contribute as a source of marine autochthonous FDOM (Romera-Castillo et al., 2010).

7 In coastal and estuarine environments, various phytoplankton species occasionally grow

8 massively and attain high cell densities. These algal blooms are thought to have

9 substantial impacts on DOM dynamics of a region.

10 To understand direct FDOM production by marine phytoplankton, it is essential to

11 conduct experiments using axenic cultures. However, the maintenance of axenic

12 cultures is difficult, and very few studies have analysed FDOM production by axenic

13 cultures of marine phytoplankton. Indeed, direct production of FDOM has been tested

14 in only four species in the genera *Chaetoceros*, *Skeletonema*, *Prorocentrum* and

15 *Micromonas* (Romera-Castillo et al., 2010). The optical properties of DOM varied

16 considerably among these four species (Romera-Castillo et al., 2010).

17 We aimed to evaluate direct production of FDOM by eight major bloom-forming

18 coastal phytoplankton species from diverse taxonomic groups of six classes and to

5

1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11   12   13   14   15   16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25   26   27   28   29   30   31   32   33   34   35   36   37   38   39   40   41   42   43   44   45   46   47   48   49   50   51   52   53   54   55   56   57   58   59   60

1   reveal the fluorescent characteristics of the exuded FDOM.

2

3   **Methods**

4

5   *Phytoplankton cultures*

6

7   Axenic cultures of the following species were used in the incubation experiments: the

8   diatoms *Ditylum brightwellii* and *Chaetoceros curvisetus*, the raphidophyte

9   *Heterosigma akashiwo*, the dinoflagellates *Heterocapsa circularisquama* and

10   *Alexandrium catenella*, the chlorophyte *Oltmansiellopsis viridis*, the cryptophyte

11   *Rhodomonas ovalis* and the haptophyte *Pleurochrysis roscoffensis* (Table I). These are

12   commonly found bloom-forming species in the Western Pacific (Omura et al., 2012).

13   Culture of *C. curvisetus* was obtained from sea bottom sediment and made axenic (Ishii,

14   personal communication). Axenic cultures of the other seven species were made by the

15   methods described elsewhere (Imai and Yamaguchi, 1994; Nagai et al., 1998). All the

16   cultures were axenically maintained in the modified IHN medium (Imai et al., 2004).

17   The axenic conditions of each culture were confirmed by DAPI staining and

18   epifluorescence microscopy before the incubation experiments (Imai, 1987).

6

1

Incubation experiment

3

4   Modified IHN medium (Imai et al., 2004) was prepared using Milli-Q water and

5   dispensed into 50 mL conical glass flasks (30 mL in each) with autoclavable plastic

6   caps (Iwaki, Tokyo, Japan). The prepared culture medium was sterilised by autoclaving

7   at 121°C for 15 min. To prevent contamination with organic compounds, the glass

8   flasks were pre-combusted at 450°C for 4 h. Well-grown strains of maintenance cultures

9   were inoculated (600 μL) into each flask in a clean bench. The inoculated cultures were

10   incubated under cool-white fluorescent light at 93–145 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} with

11   14:10-h light:dark cycle until they reached the stationary phase, which was 6 days for *C.*

12   *curvisetus*, 14 days for *H. akashiwo*, 27 days for *A. catenella* and 12 days for the other

13   species. Incubation temperature was set at 25°C for *H. circularisquama* and 20°C for

14   the other species, because only the maintenance culture of *H. circularisquama* indicated

15   the better growth at 25°C while the other species showed the better growth at 20°C. An

16   autoclaved culture medium without plankton inoculation was also kept in the same

17   conditions as a control. A small amount of each culture was taken to measure the *in vivo*

18   fluorescence using a fluorometer (Model 10-AU 005, Turner Designs, Sunnyvale,

7

1   California, USA) at the start, middle and end of the culture experiments. The cell

2   densities of the cultures were determined by microscopic counting at the end of the

3   incubation. All the culture experiments were conducted in triplicate.

4

5   *Analysis*

6

7   After reaching the stationary phase, the cultures were filtered into pre-combusted

8   brown glass bottles using radiation-sterilised disposable syringes (Terumo Corp., Tokyo,

9   Japan) and GF/F filters (Whatman, Tokyo, Japan). The glass bottles and glass filters

10   were pre-combusted at 450°C for 4 h. The three-dimensional EEM spectra of the culture

11   filtrates were measured using a spectrofluorometer (Model F-7000, Hitachi

12   High-Technologies, Tokyo, Japan), which was equipped with a 150 W xenon lamp. The

13   corrections of the spectra were performed with Rhodamine B solution, according to the

14   instructions in the instrument operation manual and the method described by Yoshioka

15   et al. (2007). The scanning ranges were 250–400 nm for Ex and 280–480 nm for

16   emission (Em). Fluorescence intensity (FI) was measured at 5-nm intervals for Ex and

17   1-nm intervals for Em, with a scanning speed of 1200 nm min^{-1}. The bandwidths were 5

18   nm for both Ex and Em. The EEM spectrum of the control medium was subtracted from

8

1   each sample EEM spectrum to obtain the net increase in FDOM as a result of the

2   microalgal activities. All the sample data of FI were standardised using quinine sulphate

3   units (QSU), where 10 QSU correspond to the FI at 350 nm/450 nm of a 10 μg L^{-1}

4   quinine sulphate solution in 0.1 N H_2SO_4. Solutions of quinine sulphate (Nacalai

5   Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan) were measured with each set of samples. The FI data of

6   each species were averaged for triplicates.

7   The bulk dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration of the culture filtrate was

8   measured using a Shimadzu TOC-V$_{CSH}$ total organic carbon analyzer (Shimadzu, Kyoto,

9   Japan). The DOC content of each sample was determined using a calibration method

10   based on a potassium hydrogen phthalate standard for each measurement. Each sample

11   was injected five times, and the three values that yielded the minimum standard

12   deviation were used to calculate the average DOC value for a sample. The net increase

13   in DOC was determined by subtracting the control DOC from DOC of each sample.

14

15   *Calculation of the index*

16

17   The biomass of the cultures at the final point was estimated using previously reported

18   models and equations (Sun and Liu, 2003; Menden-Deuer and Lessard, 2000) because

9

1 we did not directly measure the cell biomass. The cell volume was calculated by

2 approximating the complex cell shapes as simple three-dimensional geometric models

3 (Sun and Liu, 2003) based on the cell sizes obtained from microscopic measurements. *D.*

4 *brightwellii* was approximated as a prism with a triangle-based girdle view shape

5 (30-H), and *C. curvisetus* was approximated as a prism with an elliptic-based girdle

6 view shape (29-H). *H. akashiwo* and *H. circularisquama* were approximated as a cone +

7 hail sphere shape (9-H) (Sun and Liu, 2003). *A. catenella* was approximated as an

8 ellipsoid (3-H). *O. viridis*, *R. ovalis* and *C. roscoffensis* were approximated as prolate

9 spheroids (2-H) (Sun and Liu, 2003). The calculated cell volumes were converted into

10 the cell biomass using the equations described by Menden-Deuer and Lessard (2000).

11 As an indicator of the percentage of extracellular carbon released during total

12 photosynthetic production, the apparent percentage of photosynthetic extracellular

13 release (APER) values (Romera-Castillo et al., 2010) were calculated using the

14 following formula:

15

16 APER (%) = DOC/(DOC + Biomass) × 100,

17

18 where DOC and Biomass represent the net increase in DOC in the culture medium (mg

10

1   C L^{-1}) and the phytoplankton biomass (mg C L^{-1}), respectively.

2

3   **Results**

4

5   *Growth of the cultures and cell density attained*

6

7   The cell densities of the stationary phase cultures varied from $11 \pm 1 \times 10^3$ (cells mL^{-1})

8   for *D. brightwellii* to $253 \pm 45 \times 10^3$ (cells mL^{-1}) for *R. ovalis*. *H. circularisquama*

9   produced the highest biomass of 33.1 ± 7.9 mg C L^{-1} among the eight species examined,

10 and *R. ovalis* produced the lowest biomass of 3.5 ± 0.6 mg C L^{-1}. The average growth

11 rate in the exponential growth phase, which was calculated on the basis of the *in vivo*

12 fluorescence values, varied from 0.35 ± 0.03 day^{-1} for *H. akashiwo* to 0.97 ± 0.07 day^{-1}

13 for *C. curvisetus* (Table II). The growth rates of these species in modified IHN medium

14 were previously reported as 0.39–1.27 day^{-1} (Naito et al. 2008), which are comparable

15 with the values obtained in the present study.

16

17 *DOC and APER*

18

11

1   The increased DOC concentrations and APER values are summarised in Table III. Net

2 increase in DOC concentrations ranged from 19.3 ± 5.1 mg C L^{-1} for *H. akashiwo* to

3 49.4 ± 7.5 mg C L^{-1} for *P. roscoffensis* during incubation periods (Table III). APER

4 values were estimated to be between 46.1% for *A. catenella* and 80.2% for *P.*

5 *roscoffensis*, with rather wide variations. There were no significant differences in APER

6 values among the eight species (one-way ANOVA, $p = 0.198$).

7

8 *Optical properties of DOM exudates from phytoplankton*

9

10 Figure 1 shows the average EEMs of the net FDOM increases for each triplicate

11 culture filtrate sample. In all the cultures, EEMs had fluorescence peaks in the

12 protein-like and humic-like regions (Table IV, Fig. 1). In the protein-like region, as

13 described by Coble (1996) at Ex/Em 275 nm/340 nm (peak T) and Ex/Em 275 nm/310

14 nm (peak B), the most prominent peaks were at 280 nm/349–357 nm (Ex/Em) for the

15 cultures of *C. curvisetus*, *H. circularisquama* and *A. catenella*. In the corresponding

16 region, *H. akashiwo*, *O. viridis* and *R. ovalis* had slightly shorter Em wavelengths in the

17 range 280 nm/324–337 nm. *D. brightwellii* and *P. roscoffensis* had peaks at 255 nm/316

18 nm and 250 nm/350 nm, respectively, although these peaks were rather uniform (Fig. 1).

12

1   The protein-like peaks were broad toward the longer Em wavelengths. In particular, *H.*

2   *circularisquama* had a considerably broad peak toward longer Ex/Em wavelengths in

3   the region and appeared to have an overlapping peak at 290 nm/410 nm (Fig. 1), which

4   corresponded to peak M, i.e. marine humic-like substances, as defined by Coble et al.

5   (1998). Peak M was only observed with *H. circularisquama* in the present study,

6   whereas peak M was observed with all the marine phytoplankton axenic cultures

7   examined by Romera-Castillo et al. (2010). *O. viridis* has the most prominent peak

8   among the eight species in the protein-like fluorescent region, at 280 nm/337 nm, with

9   an intensity of 2.22 ± 0.36 QSU (Fig. 1, Table IV).

10   *D. brightwellii*, *H. circularisquama*, *H. akashiwo* and *O. viridis* had the maxima of

11   humic-like fluorescence at 355 nm/454 nm, 340 nm/461 nm, 375 nm/473 nm and 375

12   nm/462 nm, respectively (humic-like peak 1 in Table IV, Fig. 1). *O. viridis* had the most

13   conspicuous peak in the fluorescent area, with an FI of 0.86 ± 0.24 QSU. *C. curvisetus*,

14   *A. catenella*, *R. ovalis* and *P. roscoffensis* did not have defined peaks in this area (Fig.

15   1). Coble (1996) referred to the peaks in the region of 350 nm/420–480 nm as peak C

16   and identified them as humic-like DOM components. The peaks observed in the

17   cultures of *D. brightwellii* and *H. circularisquama* were assumed to correspond to peak

18   C. Furthermore, the peaks resembled the peak produced by a *M. pusilla* culture at 348

13

1   nm/434 nm and 348 nm/436 nm, as reported by Romera-Castillo et al. (2010). *H.*

2   *akashiwo* and *O. viridis* had peaks at longer Em wavelengths, i.e. 370 nm/450–470 nm

3   (Ex/Em), and these peaks were very close to the C1 peak reported to be related to

4   terrestrial humic substances by Yamashita et al. (2008).

5   Humic-like peaks were also detected in all the samples at 250–255 nm/446–471 nm

6   (humic-like peak 2), which corresponded to peak A (260 nm/458 nm), as defined by

7   Coble (1996). The FI of humic-like peak 2 ranged from 0.54 ± 0.04 QSU for *C.*

8   *curvisetus* to 1.20 ± 0.16 QSU for *O. viridis*.

9   DOC-specific FIs of humic like peak 1 and 2 and protein like peak considerably varied

10 among species (Table IV). The DOC-specific FI of humic-like peak 1 was highest for *O.*

11 *viridis* (Table IV). The DOC-specific FI of humic-like peak 2 ranged from 0.011 ±

12 0.003 QSU L mg C^{-1} for *P. roscoffensis* to 0.046 ± 0.016 QSU L mg C^{-1} for

13 *H.akashiwo* (Table IV). The DOC-specific FI of protein-like peak ranged from 0.011 ±

14 0.002 QSU L mg C^{-1} for *P. roscoffensis* to 0.080 ± 0.018 QSU L mg C^{-1} for *O. viridis*

15 (Table IV). The cell density-specific FDOM production rates were the highest for *D.*

16 *brightwellii* in terms of both the protein-like and humic-like peaks (Table V). In terms

17 of the biomass-specific FDOM production rates (data not shown), *R. ovalis* had the

18 highest values for both fluorescent peaks.

14

1

2   **Discussion**

3

4   *DOC and APER*

5

6   Sharp et al. (1977) reported that photosynthetic extracellular release (PER) values

7   obtained using the ^{14}C method reached up to 70%. APER values obtained in the present

8   study were 46%–80%, which were comparable with the previously reported values. In

9   contrast, Lancelot and Billen (1985) reported that the PER value using the culture

10   method was 0%–20%. Compared with APER values of 10%–18% obtained in a

11   previous study using axenic cultures of microalgae (Romera-Castillo et al., 2010), the

12   present study obtained rather high APER values (46%–80%). In the present study, the

13   incubation period was 6–14 days, with the exception of 27 days for *A. catenella* (Table

14   II), which was longer than the incubation period (3–6 days) used previously

15   (Romera-Castillo et al., 2010). It was previously reported that PER values of the diatom

16   *Chaetoceros affinis* increased by up to 58% during the phase of decreasing

17   photosynthetic activity because of nutrient depletion (Myklestad et al., 1989). Thus,

18   APER values appear to be affected in nutrient-limited environments (Lancelot and

15

1   Billen, 1985). The longer incubation period probably resulted in larger release of DOC

2   from the phytoplankton cells, although we did not measure the nutrient concentration.

3   The influence of light and nutrient stress on DOC secretion should be tested in future

4   studies because phytoplankton are likely to experience light and nutrient stresses in

5   natural environments.

6

7   *Peak assignments and possible functions of the fluorescent DOM*

8

9   Significant peaks were observed at approximately 275 nm/340 nm (Ex/Em) in the

10   culture filtrates of all the species. This peak was considered to be related to protein-like

11   substances and was previously reported as peak T (Coble 1996). Romera-Castillo et al.

12   (2010) also reported a corresponding peak in cultures of *Chaetoceros*, *Skeletonema*,

13   *Prorocentrum* and *Micromonas*. Phytoplankton are known to release extracellular

14   nitrogenous compounds such as proteins, peptides and amino acids as well as

15   carbohydrates such as polysaccharides (Goldman et al., 1992; Myklestad, 1995). Some

16   of these substances with proteinaceous aromatic structures were probably detected as

17   peak T. Similar peaks were detected in EEM measurements of coastal seawaters (Para et

18   al., 2010; Yamashita et al., 2008; Maie et al., 2007). Yamashita et al. (2008) suggested

16

1   that a tryptophan-like fluorescent peak in a seawater sample from Mikawa Bay, Japan

2   was derived from relatively fresh long-chain peptides, which were readily degradable.

3   Maie et al. (2007) suggested that the origin of peak T in a temperate coastal seawater

4   was a mixture of proteinaceous compounds and the phenolic structures contained in

5   humic substances.

6   *H. circularisquama* had a peak at 290 nm/410 nm, which was similar to peak M

7   designated by Coble (1996). Peak M is found at 290–310 nm/370–410 nm (Ex/Em) and

8   was first reported in seawater collected during a phytoplankton bloom in the Gulf of

9   Maine (Coble, 1996) and thereafter in samples from an upwelling region in the Arabian

10   Sea (Coble et al., 1998). This peak is considered to be related to marine humic-like

11   substances (Coble, 1996). In a recent study, peak M was detected in the culture filtrates

12   of four marine algal species: *Chaetoceros* sp., *S. costatum*, *P. minimum* and *M. pusilla*

13   (Romera-Castillo et al., 2010). These findings are consistent with FDOM produced by

14   *H. circularisquama*, which showed peak M in the present study. The absence of peak M

15   in the cultures of other species examined in the present study have been possibly due to

16   differences in the metabolic processes among the species, such as different

17   photosynthetic pigments.

18   Coble (1996, 2007) suggested that terrestrial humic-like materials produce two peaks

17

1 at 240–260 nm/400–460 nm (Ex/Em) (peak A) and 320–360 nm/420–460 nm (Ex/Em)

2 (peak C). All the species produced peaks at 250–255 nm/ 446–471 nm (humic-like peak

3 2), which were very close to peak A. Humic-like peak 2 is also similar to Component 1

4 (<260/458 nm) in a study that used the EEM-PARAFAC method in Ise Bay, Japan

5 (Yamashita et al., 2008). In other studies, this peak was also reported to be attributable

6 to land-derived components, e.g. Q2 (Cory and Mcknight, 2005) and Component 1

7 (Stedmon and Markager, 2005).

8 *D. brightwellii* had a peak at 350 nm/450 nm (Ex/Em), which corresponded to the

9 region of peak C (Coble, 1996). *H. akashiwo* and *O. viridis* had peaks at a slightly

10 longer wavelength of 370 nm/450–470 nm (Ex/Em), and these peaks were very close to

11 the peak attributed to terrestrial humic substances (Yoshioka et al., 2007; Yamashita et

12 al., 2008, 2011). These findings suggest that FDOM produced by phytoplankton

13 occasionally have a peak in the region previously assigned to terrestrial humic

14 substances. Thus, we should be cautious when investigating the dynamics and sources

15 of DOM in coastal areas using fluorescent analysis.

16 It is not known how and why phytoplankton release humic-like substances. Bjørnsen

17 (1988) suggested that DOM exudates are caused by the passive diffusion of metabolic

18 by-products. The low-molecular-weight compounds produced by photosynthetic

18

1 metabolism and by-products of the decomposition of cellular polymers are assumed to

2 be released extracellularly (Myklestad, 1995). However, it is possible that

3 phytoplankton exude FDOM with ecological functions. Many species of microbial

4 prokaryotes, fungi and some phytoplankton are known to secrete organic iron ligands,

5 known as siderophores, in iron-depleted environments (Naito et al., 2001, 2004; Vraspir

6 and Butler, 2009). Most siderophores appear to have aromatic structures, although the

7 chemical structures of the siderophores secreted by eukaryotic phytoplankton are not

8 clear at present (Naito et al., 2001; Vraspir and Butler, 2009). Humic substances also

9 have aromatic structures and the capacity for metal complexation. Naito et al. (2001)

10 also suggested that *R. ovalis* secretes siderophores; this species had the highest

11 biomass-specific production of FDOM in the present study. Thus, it is possible that

12 siderophores are involved with the release of humic-like fluorescence by phytoplankton.

13 Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the biological roles of fluorescent exudates in

14 the future.

15

16 *Fluorescence in natural environments and bloom formation*

17

18 To evaluate the contributions of phytoplankton FDOM in natural environments, we

19

1 extrapolated the values obtained in this study to a naturally occurring *H.*

2 *circularisquama* bloom. The cell density of *H. circularisquama* reached >10,000 cells

3 mL^{-1} during the bloom period in Japanese coastal water (Kamiyama et al., 2001). For

4 this bloom, the FI of humic-like peak 1 was estimated to be 1.6×10^{-2} QSU using the

5 data for the FI per cell density (Table V). The possible FIs of humic-like peak 2 and

6 protein-like peak were also estimated in the same manner. Humic-like peak 2 and

7 protein-like peak would have been approximately 6.60×10^{-2} QSU and 1.72×10^{-1}

8 QSU, respectively. These data suggest that it is possible to detect phytoplankton-derived

9 FDOM in the natural aquatic environment, although these are rough estimates and high

10 intensity FDOM in the same region derived from other sources could mask these peaks.

11 Species-specific peaks are considered to be novel indicators that could facilitate

12 better understanding of the contribution of microalgal activities to FDOM production.

13 For example, Suksomjit et al. (2009) observed significant increase of tyrosine-like,

14 tryptophan-like and humic-like fluorescence, which were centred at 225 nm/305 nm,

15 280 nm/350 nm and 230 nm/395 nm respectively, in coastal seawater during

16 *Heterosigma akshiwo* and *Chaetoceros* sp. bloom. While axenic cultures of *H. akshiwo*

17 and *C. curvisetus* were indicated to produce the corresponding peaks such as

18 protein-like peak and humic-like peak 2 in our study. The absence of humic-like peak 1

20

1 in the natural sweater was possibly due to the relatively weak FI of the peak. Moreover,

2 it was suggested that the fluorescent peaks detected in EEM of an axenic *Micromonas*

3 culture, which were centred at 275 nm/345 nm and 348 nm/436 nm, were consistent

4 with the peak detected in natural seawater during a *Micromonas* bloom

5 (Romera-Castillo et al., 2010). We observed species-specific strong peaks such as at

6 375 nm/462 nm for *O. viridis*, which are likely to be identified in natural environment.

7 It is suggested that the DOC-specific FIs are also indicators, although we should note

8 that photo-degradability of FDOM and DOC were considered to vary (Mostofa et al.,

9 2007). We should also note that heterotrophic bacteria were known to alter the FDOM

10 property. For instance, they consume peak M to produce peak C (Romera-Castillo et al.,

11 2011). The three-dimensional fluorescence method does not require any special

12 techniques during the pre-treatment procedure; therefore, it is suitable for monitoring. It

13 suggests that early detection of noxious algal blooms is possible using the EEM

14 technique. To test this possibility, we need to monitor time-series of fluorescent EEMs

15 in seawater during the course of a bloom event and compare the optical characteristics

16 of seawater samples and those of the axenic culture filtrates of the noxious

17 phytoplankton species. In the present study, we investigated the fluorescence properties

18 of DOM exuded by eight species of axenic phytoplankton using an artificial medium.

21

1   Our knowledge about FDOM production by pure cultures of marine phytoplankton is

2   still quite limited. For example, the study does not involve cyanobacterial species,

3   which often form nuisance bloom in coastal areas. Further studies are required to

4   understand the biogeochemical and ecological role of FDOM and its relative abundance

5   in the natural environments.

6

7   **Acknowledgements**

8

12

13   **Funding**

14

22

References

Biddanda, B. and Benner, R. (1997) Carbon, nitrogen, and carbohydrate fluxes during the production of particulate and dissolved organic matter by marine phytoplankton. Limnol. Oceanogr. 42, 506--518.

Bjørnsen, P. K. (1988) Phytoplankton exudation of organic matter－why do healthy cells do it. *Limnol. Oceanogr*. **33**, 151--154.

Coble, P. G. (1996) Characterization of marine and terrestrial DOM in seawater using excitation emission matrix spectroscopy. *Mar. Chem.* **51**, 325--346.

Coble, P. G. (2007) Marine optical biogeochemistry: The chemistry of ocean color. *Chem. Rev.* **107**, 402--418.

Coble, P. G., Del Castillo, C. E. and Avril, B. (1998) Distribution and optical properties of CDOM in the Arabian Sea during the 1995 Southwest Monsoon. *Deep-Sea Res. Part II-Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* **45**, 2195--2223.

Coble, P. G., Green, S. A., Blough, N. V. and Gagosian, R. B. (1990) Characterization of dissolved organic-matter in the black-sea by fluorescence spectroscopy. *Nature* **348**, 432--435.

23

1　Coble, P. G., Schultz, C. A. and Mopper, K. (1993) Fluorescence contouring analysis of

2　　DOC intercalibration experiment samples - a comparison of techniques. *Mar.*

3　　*Chem.* **41**, 173--178.

4　Cory, R. M. and Mcknight, D. M. (2005) Fluorescence spectroscopy reveals ubiquitous

5　　presence of oxidized and reduced quinones in dissolved organic matter. *Environ.*

6　　*Sci. Technol.* **39**, 8142--8149.

7　Findlay, S. E. G. and Sinsabaugh, R. L. (eds) (2003) Aquatic ecosystems: Interactivity

8　　of dissolved organic matter. Academic Press, California, USA

9　Findlay, S. E. G., Sinsabaugh, R. L., Sobczak, W. V. and Hoostal, M. (2003) Metabolic

10　　and structural response of hyporheic microbial communities to variations in

11　　supply of dissolved organic matter. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **48**, 1608--1617.

12　Goldman, J. C., Hansell, D. A. and Dennett, M. R. (1992) Chemical characterization of

13　　3 large oceanic diatoms － potential impact on water column chemistry. *Mar.*

14　　*Ecol.-Prog. Ser.* **88**, 257--270.

15　Hansell, D. A., Carlson, C. A., Repeta, D. J. and Schlitzer, R. (2009) Dissolved organic

16　　matter in the ocean a controversy stimulates new insights. *Oceanography* **22**,

17　　202--211.

18　Imai, I. (1987) Size distribution, number and biomass of bacteria in intertidal sediments

24

1   and seawater of Ohmi Bay, Japan. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Microb. Ecol.* **2**, 1--11.

2   Imai, I. and Yamaguchi, M. (1994) A simple technique for establishing axenic cultures

3   of phytoflagellates. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Microb. Ecol.* **9**, 15--17.

4   Imai, I., Hatano, M. and Naito, K. (2004) Development of a chemically defined artificial

5   medium for marine red tide-causing raphidophycean flagellates. *Plankton Biol.*

6   *Ecol.* **51**, 95--102.

7   Jaffé, R., McKnight, D., Maie, N., Cory, R., McDowell, W. H. and Campbell, J. L.

8   (2008) Spatial and temporal variations in DOM composition in ecosystems: The

9   importance of long-term monitoring of optical properties. *J. Geophys.*

10   *Res.-Biogeo.* **113**.

11   Kamiyama, T., Takayama, H., Nishii, Y. and Uchida, T. (2001) Grazing impact of the

12   field ciliate assemblage on a bloom of the toxic dinoflagellate *Heterocapsa*

13   *circularisquama. Plankton Biol. Ecol.* **48**, 10--18.

14   Laglera, L. M., Battaglia, G. and van den Berg, C. M. G. (2007) Determination of

15   humic substances in natural waters by cathodic stripping voltammetry of their

16   complexes with iron. *Analytica Chimica Acta* **599**, 58--66.

17   Laglera, L. M. and van den Berg, C. M. G. (2009) Evidence for geochemical control of

18   iron by humic substances in seawater. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 610--619.

25

1   Lancelot, C. and Billen, G. (1985) Carbon- nitrogen relationships in nutrient

2   metabolism of coastal marine ecosystems. *Adv. Aquat. Microbiol.* **3**, 263--321.

3   Maie, N., Boyer, J. N., Yang, C. Y. and Jaffé, R. (2006) Spatial, geomorphological, and

4   seasonal variability of CDOM in estuaries of the Florida Coastal Everglades.

5   *Hydrobiologia* **569**, 135--150.

6   Maie, N., Scully, N. M., Pisani, O. and Jaffé, R. (2007) Composition of a protein-like

7   fluorophore of dissolved organic matter in coastal wetland and estuarine

8   ecosystems. *Water Res.* **41**, 563--570.

9   Menden-Deuer, S. and Lessard, E. J. (2000) Carbon to volume relationships for

10   dinoflagellates, diatoms, and other protist plankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **45**,

11   569--579.

12 Mostofa, K.M.G., Yoshioka, T., Konohira, E. and Tanoue, E. (2007) Photodegradation

13   of fluorescent dissolved organic matter in river waters. *Geochem. J.* **41**,

14   323--331.

15 Myklestad, S., Holmhansen, O., Varum, K. M. and Volcani, B. E. (1989) Rate of

16   release of extracellular amino－acids and carbohydrates from the marine diatom

17   *Chaetoceros affinis*. *J. Plankton Res.* **11**, 763--773.

18 Myklestad, S. M. (1995) Release of extracellular products by phytoplankton with

26

1   special emphasis on polysaccharides. *Sci. Total Environ.* **165**, 155--164.

2   Nagai, S., Imai, I. and Manabe, T. (1998) A simple and quick technique for establishing

3   axenic cultures of the centric diatom *Coscinodiscus wailesii* Gran. *J. Plankton*

4   *Res.* **20**, 1417--1420.

5   Naito, K., Imai, I. and Nakahara, H. (2008) Complexation of iron by microbial

6   siderophores and effects of iron chelates on the growth of marine microalgae

7   causing red tides. *Phycol. Res.* **56**, 58--67.

8   Naito, K., Suzuki, M., Matsui, M. and Imai, I. (2004). Secretion of iron-complexing

9   ligands from *Closterium aciculare* (Charophyceae, Chlorophyta) under

10   iron-deficient conditions. *Phycologia* **43**, 632--634.

11   Naito, K., Suzuki, M., Mito, S., Hasegawa, H., Imai, I., Sohrin, Y. and Matsui, M.

12   (2001) The pursuit of siderophore secreted by marine phytoplankton

13   *Rhodomonas ovalis*. *Anal. Sci. S.* **17**, i817.

14   Nielsen, T., Björn, L. O. and Ekelund, N. G. A. (1995) Impact of natural and artificial

15   UVB radiation on motility and growth rate of marine dinoflagellates. *J.*

16   *Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol.* **27**, 73--79.

17   Nielsen, T. and Ekelund, N. G. A. (1995) Influence of solar ultraviolet radiation on

18   photosynthesis and motility of marine phytoplankton. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **18**,

27

281--288.

Nieto-Cid, M., Alvarez-Salgado, X. A. and Perez, F. F. (2006) Microbial and photochemical reactivity of fluorescent dissolved organic matter in a coastal upwelling system. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **51**, 1391--1400.

Omura, T., Iwataki, M., Borja, V. M., Takayama, H. and Fukuyo, Y. (eds) (2012) Marine phytoplankton of the Western Pacific. Kouseisha Kouseikaku, Tokyo, Japan

Para, J., Coble, P. G., Charriere, B., Tedetti, M., Fontana, C. and Sempere, R. (2010) Fluorescence and absorption properties of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in coastal surface waters of the northwestern Mediterranean Sea, influence of the Rhone River. *Biogeosciences* **7**, 4083--4103.

Romera-Castillo, C., Sarmento, H., Alvarez-Salgado, X. A., Gasol, J. M. and Marrase, C. (2010) Production of chromophoric dissolved organic matter by marine phytoplankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **55**, 446--454.

Romera-Castillo, C., Sarmento, H., Alvarez-Salgado, X. A., Gasol, J. M. and Marrase, C. (2011) Net production and consumption of fluorescent colored dissolved organic matter by natural bacterial assemblages growing on marine phytoplankton exudates. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **77**, 7490--7498.

28

1 Sharp, J. H. (1977) Excretion of organic－matter by marine－phytoplankton - healthy

2   cells do it. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **22**, 381--399.

3 Shiller, A. M., Duan, S. W., van Erp, P. and Bianchi, T. S. (2006) Photo-oxidation of

4   dissolved organic matter in river water and its effect on trace element speciation.

5   *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **51**, 1716--1728.

6 Shimotori, K., Omori, Y. and Hama, T. (2009) Bacterial production of marine

7   humic-like fluorescent dissolved organic matter and its biogeochemical

8   importance. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* **58**, 55--66.

9 Stedmon, C. A. and Markager, S. (2005) Resolving the variability in dissolved organic

10   matter fluorescence in a temperate estuary and its catchment using PARAFAC

11   analysis. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **50**, 686--697.

12 Stedmon, C. A., Markager, S. and Bro, R. (2003) Tracing dissolved organic matter in

13   aquatic environments using a new approach to fluorescence spectroscopy. *Mar.*

14   *Chem.* **82**, 239--254.

15 Suksomjit, M., Nagao, S., Ichimi, K., Yamada, T., Tada, K. (2009) Variation of

16   dissolved organic matter and fluorescence characteristics before, during and

17   after phytoplankton bloom. *J. Oceanogr.* **65**, 835--846.

18 Sulzberger, B. and Durisch-Kaiser, E. (2009) Chemical characterization of dissolved

29

1   organic matter (DOM): A prerequisite for understanding UV-induced changes of

2   DOM absorption properties and bioavailability. *Aquat. Sci.* **71**, 104--126.

3   Sun, J. and Liu, D. Y. (2003) Geometric models for calculating cell biovolume and

4   surface area for phytoplankton. *J. Plankton Res.* **25**, 1331--1346.

5   Traganza, E. D. (1969) Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of dissolved

6   organic matter in sea water. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **19**, 897--904.

7   van den Berg, C. M. G., Buckley, P. J. M., Huang, Z. Q. and Nimmo, M. (1986) An

8   electrochemical study of the speciation of copper, zinc and iron in two estuaries

9   in England. *Est. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **22**, 479--486.

10   Vraspir, J. M., and Butler, A. (2009) Chemistry of marine ligands and siderophores.

11   *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **1**, 43--63.

12   Yamashita, Y., Jaffé, R., Maie, N. and Tanoue, E. (2008) Assessing the dynamics of

13   dissolved organic matter (DOM) in coastal environments by excitation emission

14   matrix fluorescence and parallel factor analysis (EEM-PARAFAC). *Limnol.*

15   *Oceanogr.* **53**, 1900--1908.

16   Yamashita, Y., Panton, A., Mahaffey, C. and Jaffé, R. (2011) Assessing the spatial and

17   temporal variability of dissolved organic matter in Liverpool Bay using

18   excitation-emission matrix fluorescence and parallel factor analysis. *Ocean Dyn.*

30

1   **61**, 569--579.

2   Yamashita, Y. and Tanoue, E. (2008) Production of bio-refractory fluorescent dissolved

3   organic matter in the ocean interior. *Nat. Geosci.* **1**, 579--582.

4   Yamashita, Y. and Tanoue, E. (2003) Chemical characterization of protein-like

5   fluorophores in DOM in relation to aromatic amino acids. *Mar. Chem.* **82**,

6   255--271.

7   Yoshioka, T., Mostofa, K. M. G., Konohira, E., Tanoue, E., Hayakawa, K., Takahashi,

8   M., Ueda, S., Katsuyama, M., Khodzher, T., Bashenkhaeva, N., Korovyakova, I.,

9   Sorokovikova, L. and Gorbunova, L. (2007) Distribution and characteristics of

10   molecular size fractions of freshwater-dissolved organic matter in watershed

11   environments: its implication to degradation. *Limnology* **8**, 29--44.

31

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **Table and Figure legends**

2

3 Table I. Coastal phytoplankton species examined.

4

5 Table II. Incubation time, growth rates (μ) during the exponential growth phase, the

6 final cell abundance (C) and biomass (B).

7

8 Table III. Net increase in the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration and the

9 apparent percentage of net photosynthetic extracellular release (APER) for each

10 plankton culture. APER was calculated as follows: DOC/(DOC + Biomass) ×

11 100, where DOC and Biomass are the net increases in DOC and biomass during

12 the incubation period, respectively. The data shown are average values ±

13 standard error (S.E.) (n = 3).

14

15 Table IV. Peak position, averaged fluorescence intensity (FI) standardised to quinine

16 sulphate unit (QSU) at each peak position and DOC-specific FI of FDOM (QSU

17 L mg C^{-1}) secreted by each species. Mean value ± S.E. (n = 3).

18

32

1 Table V. DOC (µg C 1000 cells^{-1}) and intensity of each fluorescent component (QSU

2 mL 1000 cells^{-1}), which were standardised on the basis of the cell density at the

3 end of the incubation, secreted by the phytoplankton cultures. Mean value ± S.E.

4 (n = 3).

5 Fig. 1. Average excitation emission matrices of fluorescent dissolved organic matter

6 (FDOM) secreted by each plankton culture.

7

33

Table I. Coastal phytoplankton species examined

Bacillariophyceae

    Ditylum brightwellii (T.West) Grunow, 1885

    Chaetoceros curvisetus P.T. Cleve, 1889

Dinoflagellata

    Heterocapsa circularisquama Horiguchi, 1995

    Alexandrium catenella (Whedon & Kofoid) E.Balech, 1985

Raphidophyceae

    Heterosigma akashiwo (Y.Hada) Y.Hada ex Y.Hara & M.Chihara, 1967

Chlorophyceae

    Oltmannsiellopsis viridis (P.E.Hargraves & R.L.Steele) M.Chihara & I.Inouye in Chihara, Inouye & Takahata, 1986

Cryptomonadea

    Rhodomonas ovalis Nygaard

Prymnesiophyceae

    Pleurochrysis roscoffensis (P. Dangeard) J. Fresnel & C. Billard

---

Table II. Incubation time, growth rates (μ) during the exponential growth phase, the final cell abundance (C) and biomass (B)

Phytoplakton culture	Time (d)	μ (d^{-1})	C (cells ml^{-1})	B (mg C L^{-1})
Ditylum brightwellii	12	0.76 ± 0.05	$11 \pm 1 \times 10^3$	18.8 ± 1.0
Chaetoceros curvisetus	6	0.97 ± 0.07	$199 \pm 37 \times 10^3$	5.9 ± 1.1
Heterocapsa circularisquama	12	0.84 ± 0.01	$93 \pm 22 \times 10^3$	33.1 ± 7.9
Alexandrium catenella	27	0.48 ± 0.01	$22 \pm 3 \times 10^3$	27.6 ± 4.1
Heterosigma akashiwo	14	0.35 ± 0.03	$121 \pm 59 \times 10^3$	28.7 ± 13.8
Oltmannsiellopsis viridis	12	0.55 ± 0.06	$247 \pm 95 \times 10^3$	18.2 ± 7.0
Rhodomonas ovalis	12	0.40 ± 0.01	$253 \pm 45 \times 10^3$	3.5 ± 0.6
Pleurochrysis roscoffensis	12	0.45 ± 0.03	$136 \pm 18 \times 10^3$	11.8 ± 1.6

Table III. Net increase in the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration and the apparent percentage of net photosynthetic extracellular release (APER) for each plankton culture. APER was calculated as follows: DOC/(DOC + Biomass) × 100, where DOC and Biomass are the net increases in DOC and biomass during the incubation period, respectively. The data shown are average values ± standard error (S.E.) (n = 3).

Phytoplakton culture	DOC (mgC L^{-1})	APER (%)
Ditylum brightwellii	25.1 ± 4.9	55.9 ± 4.5
Chaetoceros curvisetus	26.6 ± 11.3	71.5 ± 16.0
Heterocapsa circularisquama	38.6 ± 14.8	51.0 ± 14.6
Alexandrium catenella	24.1 ± 5.5	46.1 ± 9.4
Heterosigma akashiwo	19.3 ± 5.1	52.4 ± 19.6
Oltmannsiellopsis viridis	27.8 ± 4.5	63.7 ± 7.7
Rhodomonas ovalis	26.4 ± 16.6	72.1 ± 18.6
Pleurochrysis roscoffensis	49.9 ± 7.5	80.2 ± 3.7

Journal of Plankton Research

Page 54 of 56

Table IV. Peak position, averaged fluorescence intensity (FI) standardised to quinine sulphate unit (QSU) at each peak position and DOC-specific FI of FDOM (QSU L mg C^{-1}) secreted by each species. Mean value ± S.E. (n = 3).

Phytoplakton culture	Humic-like peak 1				Humic-like peak 2				Protein-like peak			
	Ex (nm)	Em (nm)	FI (QSU)	FI/DOC (QSU L mg C^{-1})	Ex (nm)	Em (nm)	FI (QSU)	FI/DOC (QSU L mg C^{-1})	Ex (nm)	Em (nm)	FI (QSU)	FI/DOC (QSU L mg C^{-1})
Ditylum brightwellii	355	454	0.26 ± 0.02	0.010 ± 0.002	250	464	0.66 ± 0.07	0.026 ± 0.006	255	316	0.58 ± 0.03	0.023 ± 0.005
Chaetoceros curvisetus					250	455	0.54 ± 0.04	0.020 ± 0.009	280	349	0.69 ± 0.04	0.026 ± 0.011
Heterocapsa circularisquama	340	461	0.15 ± 0.01	0.004 ± 0.002	255	441	0.61 ± 0.04	0.016 ± 0.006	280	357	1.60 ± 0.10	0.041 ± 0.016
Alexandrium catenella					250	471	0.55 ± 0.15	0.023 ± 0.008	280	352	0.75 ± 0.07	0.031 ± 0.008
Heterosigma akashiwo	375	473	0.31 ± 0.10	0.016 ± 0.007	250	455	0.90 ± 0.21	0.046 ± 0.016	280	335	0.44 ± 0.19	0.023 ± 0.012
Oltmannsiellopsis viridis	375	462	0.86 ± 0.24	0.031 ± 0.010	250	455	1.20 ± 0.16	0.043 ± 0.009	280	337	2.22 ± 0.36	0.080 ± 0.018
Rhodomonas ovalis					250	446	0.80 ± 0.13	0.030 ± 0.020	280	324	1.24 ± 0.61	0.047 ± 0.038
Pleurochrysis roscoffensis					250	455	0.56 ± 0.11	0.011 ± 0.003	250	350	0.57 ± 0.03	0.011 ± 0.002

Table V. DOC (μg C 1000 cells^{-1}) and intensity of each fluorescent component (QSU mL 1000 cells^{-1}), which were standardised on the basis of the cell density at the end of the incubation, secreted by the phytoplankton cultures. Mean value $\pm$ S.E. (n = 3).

| Phytoplakton culture	Time (d)	DOC (μg C 1000 cells^{-1})			Humic-like peak 1 (QSU mL 1000 cells^{-1})			Humic-like peak 2 (QSU mL 1000 cells^{-1})			Protein-like peak (QSU mL 1000 cells^{-1})		
Ditylum brightwellii	12	2.22	$\pm$	0.45	0.023	$\pm$	0.0024	0.058	$\pm$	0.007	0.051	$\pm$	0.004
Chaetoceros curvisetus	6	0.13	$\pm$	0.06	Not Detected			0.003	$\pm$	0.001	0.003	$\pm$	0.001
Heterocapsa circularisquama	12	0.42	$\pm$	0.19	0.0016	$\pm$	0.0004	0.007	$\pm$	0.002	0.017	$\pm$	0.004
Alexandrium catenella	27	1.09	$\pm$	0.29	Not Detected			0.025	$\pm$	0.008	0.034	$\pm$	0.006
Heterosigma akashiwo	14	0.16	$\pm$	0.09	0.0026	$\pm$	0.0015	0.007	$\pm$	0.004	0.004	$\pm$	0.002
Oltmannsiellopsis viridis	12	0.11	$\pm$	0.05	0.0035	$\pm$	0.0016	0.005	$\pm$	0.002	0.009	$\pm$	0.004
Rhodomonas ovalis	12	0.10	$\pm$	0.07	Not Detected			0.003	$\pm$	0.001	0.005	$\pm$	0.003
Pleurochrysis roscoffensis	12	0.37	$\pm$	0.07	Not Detected			0.004	$\pm$	0.001	0.004	$\pm$	0.001

Fig. 1. Average excitation emission matrices of fluorescent dissolved organic matter (FDOM) secreted by each plankton culture.