KURENAI 紅

Kyoto University Research Information Repository

KYOTO UNIVERSITY

Title	Shoe-fit is correlated with exercise tolerance in community-dwelling elderly people
Author(s)	Tanigawa, Takanori; Hirashima, Masashi; Fukutani, Naoto; Nishiguchi, Shu; Kayama, Hiroki; Yukutake, Taiki; Yamada, Minoru; Aoyama, Tomoki
Citation	Footwear Science (2014), 7(1): 37-42
Issue Date	2014-08-30
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/198811
Right	This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Footwear Science on 30 Aug 2014, available online: http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/19424280.2014.941416; 2015-08-30 .
Type	Journal Article
Textversion	author

Kyoto University

3   **Authors:** Takanori Tanigawa[1], Masashi Hirashima[2], Naoto Fukutani[1], Shu Nishiguchi[1, 3],

4   Hiroki Kayama[1], Taiki Yukutake[1], Minoru Yamada[1], PhD, Tomoki Aoyama[1], MD, PhD

5   **Affiliation:** [1]Department of Physical Therapy, Human Health Sciences, Kyoto University

6   Graduate School of Medicine, Japan

7   [2]Foot-create Corporation

8   [3]Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

9

10   **Corresponding author:** Takanori Tanigawa, OT

11   Department of Physical Therapy, Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine,

12   Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

13   **Address:** 53 Kawahara-cho, Shogoin, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

14   **Tel:** +81-75-751-3935

15   **Fax:** +81-75-751-3909

16   **E-mail:** tanigawa.takanori.23z@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp

17   **Short Title:** Shoe-fit and exercise tolerance

18

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Maintenance of physical activity significantly affects quality of life, and the frequency of physical activity depends upon exercise tolerance. However, there is minimal information on the external factors that contribute to exercise tolerance. The aim of this study was to examine the association between exercise tolerance and shoe-fit in community-dwelling elderly people.

Methods: Subjects were 155 elderly, healthy, community-dwelling Japanese volunteers. Exercise tolerance (Shuttle Walk Test [SWT]), 10-m walking time (10mWT), and Forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) were measured. Shoe-fit was assessed and participants were divided into 3 groups according to the heel-fit of their shoes (Too Loose, Loose, Fit). Group scores in the above variables were compared. Further, a multivariate logistic regression model using a stepwise method was performed to investigate which shoe-fit factors were independently associated with SWT.

Results: No significant differences in age, gender, Body Mass Index, 10mWT, FEV1, or presence or absence of pain sites were observed between the three groups. The Fit (p = 0.001) and Loose (p = 0.008) groups had significantly higher SWT score than the Too Loose group. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that poor heel-fit was significantly correlated with a low SWT score, even following adjustments for age, gender, 10mWT and FEV1 (Odds Ratio: 0.25, 95%; Confidence Interval: 0.07–0.95, p = 0.04).

38 **Conclusions:** This study demonstrates that heel-fit is associated with exercise tolerance in

39 community-dwelling elderly people. It is important for elderly people to wear adequate fit

40 shoes in order to enhance physical functions and prevent from declining physical functions.

41

42 **Key words:** shoe-fit, heel, exercise tolerance, shuttle walk test, physical function

43

INTRODUCTION

The maintenance of physical activity has been linked to a higher quality of life, especially in the elderly. Exercise tolerance plays an important role in increasing physical activity (1) and preventing injuries and complications (2). Further, decreased exercise tolerance is associated with physical frailty (3, 4) and higher mortality (4). Therefore, exercise tolerance is a crucial factor in the maintenance of a healthy life for elderly people.

Evidence suggests that exercise tolerance is associated with numerous physical functions such as walking speed (5), balance (6), and pulmonary function (7). Thus, to enhance exercise tolerance and such various physical functions create a synergy effect for each factors, but such changes would take considerable time (8). Elderly people would likely cease exercising before such improvements would manifest (9). It is consequently necessary to explore additional approaches towards the maintenance of efficient exercise that focus on external contributing factors as well as physical functions.

Shoes are required for many kinds of exercise and have various influences on physical functions. Particularly in the elderly, shoes play an important role in exercise because of age-related changes in foot structure and function. Wearing inadequate shoes increases foot problems (10, 11, 12), instability (13, 14, 15), and fall risk (16, 17) in the elderly. Conversely, adequate shoes may improve gait characteristics (18, 19), walking speed (20), and balance (21). Further, several researchers investigated shoe-fit defined as the length and width

4

63   difference between the foot and the shoe in the elderly and found that more than half of

64   people wear poor fit shoes (11, 22). Thus, wearing well-fitting shoes may enable rapid

65   increases in physical activity in the elderly; however, few studies have addressed the

66   association between shoe-fit and exercise tolerance.

67   Therefore, the goal of the present study was to examine the association between exercise

68   tolerance and shoe-fit in community-dwelling elderly people.

69

70

71

72 **METHODS**

73 *Participants*

74   Participants were recruited for the study through local press that requested healthy

75 community-dwelling volunteers. A total of 155 Japanese people participated in the study.

76 Initial participation requirements stipulated that subjects be 65 years of age or older;

77 community-dwelling; able to walk without assistance; willing to participate in physical

78 fitness assessments, had normal pulmonary function; and met minimum hearing requirements.

79 An interview was subsequently conducted to exclude participants based on the following

80 exclusion criteria: severe cardiac, pulmonary, or musculoskeletal disorders; comorbidities

81 associated with a greater risk of falls, such as Parkinson's disease and stroke; and use of

82 psychotropic drugs. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant in

83 accordance with the guidelines approved by the Kyoto University Graduate School of

84 Medicine and the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the ethical

85 committee of Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine.

86

87 *Demographic data*

88   Data on age, body mass index (BMI), gender, and presence or absence of pain sites were

89 obtained. All data were collected in a single session. Information on age, gender and presence

90 or absence of pain sites was directly obtained from the participants and BMI was calculated

91   from measured height and weight using standardized height and weight scales.

92

93   ***10-m walking time test and shuttle walking test***

94   A comfortable 10-m walking time test (10mWT; 23) and a Shuttle Walk Test (SWT; 24)

95   were used to assess physical functions. In the 10mWT, participants walked 15 m at an

96   individually determined comfortable pace. A stopwatch was used to record the time required

97   to reach the 10-m point marked in the middle of the path. The SWT is used to evaluate

98   exercise tolerance. During the SWT, subjects walk back and forth along a 10-m flat course,

99   and progressively increase pace in accordance with audio signals until they are unable to

100   maintain the pace. We performed SWT as the maximum was 50 times of 10 m walking (500

101   m walking in total). Participants were divided into groups by SWT score: ≤390 or >390. A

102   cutoff of 390 or 400 has been shown to be diagnostically accurate for elderly people (25).

103

104   ***Pulmonary function***

105   All subjects underwent spirometric evaluation. Forced expiratory volume in 1 second

106   (FEV1) was measured by spirometry (Spiro Sift SP-370; Fukuda Denshi Co., Ltd, Tokyo,

107   Japan). Pulmonary function tests were conducted according to the guidelines of the Japanese

108   Respiratory Society. (26)

109

Evaluation of footwear

111   A shoe-fit checklist was used to assess the adequacy of subjects' habitual shoes. We told

112   the subjects to wear their most common shoes on the day of the test. The evaluated factors

113   included heel-fit, toe space, width-fit (Width), sole stiffness, the presence or absence of a heel

114   counter (Counter), adjuster type (Adjuster; i.e., lace, Velcro fastening, and zip fastening), and

115   adjusting (Adjusting). We checked their shoes to exclude participants based on the following

116   exclusion criteria: high heels, not covered upper, high-cut shoes, sandals and boots.

117   Heel-fit was assessed and designated as too loose (Too Loose), loose (Loose) and fit (Fit)

118   at the indicated points. While in a standing position, subjects were asked to raise their heel

119   while the heel region of their shoe was held by the experimenter. The degree of fit between

120   the heel region and the shoe was then assessed. A shoe was considered too loose if it was

121   separated from the inferior of calcaneal bone. A shoe was deemed merely loose if it was

122   separated from the inferior calcaneus at the rear of the insole. A shoe that adhered to the

123   calcaneal region was considered a good fit (Figure 1). Toe space, width, and sole stiffness

124   were assessed with a scale: 1 = loose or soft, 2 = fit, and 3 = tight or hard at the indicated

125   points. Thus, a score of 1 or 3 indicated that a shoe was a poor fit, while a score of 2 indicated

126   a shoe was a good fit. Toe space and width was assessed by palpating the shoe and evaluating

127   the space between the toes and shoe, and between the dorsum of the foot and the shoe.

128   Intra-rater reliability testing of questionnaire responses revealed kappa coefficients

129 consistency over 0.60 (0.75-0.78) for each item, and data ranges suggested the coaches and

130 parents, who had no medical knowledge, could answer with substantial reliability. (27) Sole

131 stiffness was assessed by twisting the shoe. Counters and Adjusters were checked by

132 palpating the shoe. Finally, information on Adjusting was obtained directly from participants

133 by listening "Do you always adjust your adjuster (i.e., lace, Velcro fastening, and zip

134 fastening)?" (Figure 2).

135

136 *Statistical analyses*

137   The participants were divided into three groups based on heel-fit: Too Loose, Loose, and

138 Fit. Differences between the three groups were assessed using an ANOVA for age, BMI,

139 10mWT and FEV1; a Kruskal-Wallis test for SWT because SWT score is not

140 normally-distributed; and a chi-square test for gender, and presence or absence of pain sites.

141 The Mann-Whitney test was used for post-hoc analysis of SWT. A multivariate logistic

142 regression model using a stepwise method was performed to examine which measurements of

143 shoe-fit were independently associated with SWT. We assigned SWT as a dependent variable

144 and measurements of shoe-fit as independent variables adjusted by age, gender, BMI,

145 10mWT, presence or absence of pain cites and FEV1. In addition, a chi-square test was

146 performed to investigate which shoe-fit factors were best associated with exercise tolerance.

147   A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for the ANOVA,

148   Kruskal-Wallis test, and the multivariate logistic regression model. A p-value of <0.016 was

149   considered statistically significant for the post-hoc test.

150

RESULTS

The demographic characteristics of the overall sample and the Too Loose, Loose, and Fit groups are summarized in Table 1. Thirty-one participants were assigned to the Too Loose group, 60 to the Loose group, and 64 to the Fit group. There were no significant differences in age, BMI, 10mWT, FEV1, or presence of pain between the three groups. There was significant difference in gender. Adequate heel-fit was associated with a better SWT score than inadequate heel-fit (Too Loose group = 358.7 ± 68.2 m, Loose group = 401.5 ± 78.6 m, Fit group = 415.9 ± 76.9 m, p = 0.002; Table 1). In addition, the Fit group had significantly higher SWT scores than the Too Loose group (p = 0.001), and the Loose group had higher SWT scores than the Too Loose group (p = 0.008), as indicated by a post-hoc Mann-Whitney test.

The multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that inadequate heel-fit (odds ratio: 0.16, 95% confidence interval: 0.04–0.63, p = 0.009) was significantly correlated with a low SWT score, even after adjustments for age, gender, 10mWT, presence or absence of pain cites and FEV1 (Table 2).

The chi-square test showed that a better heel-fit was significantly correlated with better width, better sole stiffness, presence of Counters, presence of Adjusters, and better Adjusting (Table 3, p < 0.016).

11

DISCUSSION

The present study analyzed the relationship between exercise tolerance and shoe fit in community-dwelling elderly individuals. Results showed that heel-fit is associated with exercise tolerance after adjustment for age, gender, foot pain, physical function, and pulmonary function. There is minimal data on the relationship between shoe-fit and physical function, and the present findings indicate that adequate shoe-fit is associated with exercise tolerance.

We considered that heel-fit has been demonstrated to influence walking efficacy in exercise tolerance tests. Adequate shoe-fit is associated with faster walking speed (20, 28) and better gait performance. (28) In our study, there was no significant in 10mWT between three groups. However, three groups' results showed a trend similar to SWT's results. We considered that shoe-fit, particularly heel-fit, is not influence to walking speed, but to walking test walked long distance. Thus, an adequate heel-fit may enhance the efficiency of walking with each cycle, and support elderly people. Further, heel-fit may influence walking to a greater degree over a prolonged period. It follows that well-fitting shoes may improve exercise tolerance in elderly people. In contrast, we can produce a mindset that people who have inadequate fit shoes are walking at a much slower pace and shorter distances. It is unclear that whether adequate shoe-fit enhances exercise tolerance or whether inadequate shoe-fit negatively affects exercise tolerance. However, it is important for elderly people to wear adequate fit shoes in order to

12

189   enhance physical functions or prevent from declining physical functions and foot problem.

190   In addition, we demonstrated that heel-fit is associated with Width, sole stiffness, Counter,

191   Adjuster, and Adjusting. Previous reports have suggested that sole stiffness affects balance

192   (29, 13). Adequate shoe-fit also depends on the adhesion of both the calcaneal region of the

193   foot and anterior ankle to the heel-counter and adjuster of shoes. Furthermore, suitable shoe

194   width decreases foot movement within the shoes. Thus, these elements of shoe-fit may

195   influence heel-fit. In this study, toe space did not influence heel-fit. This may have resulted

196   from the fact that the majority of participants wore shoes with appropriate toe space, similar

197   to a previous study. (11) Taken together, results of the present study indicate that external

198   contributing factors, such as shoe-fit, may sufficiently be associated with exercise tolerance.

199   This study had several limitations. First, because this study used a cross-sectional design,

200   further investigation of certain matters, such as whether wearing suitable shoes for an

201   extended period can improve SWT scores and other physical functions, is needed. Also,

202   because direction of causality is unclear, it is unknown which well-fitting affects exercise

203   tolerance or people who have much exercise tolerance wear well-fitting shoes. Second, a

204   thorough survey of foot complications such as bunion, hammer toe, high/low arch, and

205   neuropathy and shoe type which can affect the exercise tolerance level was not performed.

206   Due to these limitations, the results of the present study should be interpreted with caution.

207

CONCLUSION

208

209   Results of the present study showed a significant relationship between exercise tolerance

210   and heel-fit. This finding indicates that shoe-fit may positively influence physical function.

211

212

213   **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

216

REFERENCES

1. Chmelo E, Nicklas B, Davis C, Miller GD, Legault C, Messier S. Physical activity and physical function in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. J Phys Act Health. 2012;10(6):777–83.

2. Nutt CL, Russell JC. Use of the pre-operative shuttle walk test to predict morbidity and mortality after elective major colorectal surgery. Anesthesia. 2012;67(8):839–49.

3. Pereira SR, Chiu W, Turner A, et al. How can we improve targeting of frail elderly patients to a geriatric day-hospital rehabilitation program? BMC Geriatr. 2010;10:82. doi: 10.1186/1471-2318-10-82.

4. Boxer R, Kleppinger A, Ahmad A, Annis K, Hager D, Kenny A. The 6-minute walk is associated with frailty and predicts mortality in older adults with heart failure. Congest Heart Fail. 2010;16(5):208–13

5. Spagnuolo DL, Jürgensen SP, Iwama AM, Dourado VZ. Walking for the assessment of balance in healthy subjects older than 40 years. Gerontology. 2010;56(5):467–73.

6. Bardin MG, Dourado VZ. Association between the occurrence of falls and the performance on the Incremental Shuttle Walk Test in elderly women. Rev Bras Fisioter. 2012;16(4):275–80.

7. Léger LA, Lambert J. A maximal multistage 20-m shuttle run test to predict VO2 max. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. 1982;49(1):1–12.

15

236   8. Gudlaugsson J, Aspelund T, Gudnason V, et al. The effects of 6 months' multimodal

237   training on functional performance, strength, endurance, and body mass index of older

238   individuals. Are the benefits of training similar among women and men? Laeknabladid.

239   2013;99(7-8):331–7.

240   9. Silveira P, van de Langenberg R, van Het Reve E, Daniel F, Casati F, de Bruin ED.

241   Tablet-based strength-balance training to motivate and improve adherence to exercise in

242   independently living older people: a phase II preclinical exploratory trial. J Med Internet

243   Res. 2013;15(8):e159.

244   10. Menz HB, Lord SR. The contribution of foot problems to mobility impairment and falls

245   in community-dwelling older people. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2001;49:1651–6.

246   11. Menz HB, Morris ME. Footwear characteristics and foot problems in older people.

247   Gerontology 2005;51:346–51.

248   12. Menz HB, Sherrington C. The Footwear Assessment Form: a reliable clinical tool to

249   assess footwear characteristics of relevance to postural stability in older adults. Clin

250   Rehabil. 2000;14:657–64.

251   13. Menaut JC, Steele JR, Menz HB, Munro BJ, Lord SR. Effects of walking surfaces and

252   footwear on temporo-spatial gait parameters in young and older people. Gait Posture.

253   2009;29(3):392–7.

254   14. Menant JC, Steele JR, Menz HB, Munro BJ, Lord SR. Effects of footwear features on

255   balance and stepping in older people. Gerontology. 2008;54:18–23

256   15. Arnadottir SA, Mercer VS. Effects of footwear on measurements of balance and gait in

257   women between the ages of 65 and 93 Years. Phys Ther. 2000; 80(1):17–27.

258   16. Koepsell TD, Wolf ME, Buchner DM, et al. Footwear style and risk of falls in older

259   adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52:1495–501.

260   17. Tencer AF, Koepsell TD, Wolf ME, et al. Biomechanical properties of shoes and risk of

261   falls in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52:1840–6.

262   18. Nigg B, Hintzen S, Ferber R. Effect of an unstable shoe construction on lower extremity

263   gait characteristics. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2006;21(1):82–8.

264   19. Shakoor N, Lidtke RH, Wimmer MA, et al. Improvement in knee loading after use of

265   specialized footwear for knee osteoarthritis: results of a six-month pilot investigation.

266   Arthritis Rheum. 2013;65(5):1282–9.

267   20. Arnadottir SA, Mercer VS. Effects of footwear on measurements of balance and gait in

268   women between the ages of 65 and 93 Years. Phys Ther. 2000;80(1):17–27.

269   21. Ramstrand N, Thuesen AH, Nielsen DB, Rusaw D. Effects of an unstable shoe

270   construction on balance in women aged over 50 years. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).

271   2010;25(5):455–60.

272   22. Burns SL, Leese GP, McMurdo ME. Older people and ill fitting shoes. Postgrad Med J.

273   2002; 78:344–6.

17

274   23. Lopopolo RB, Greco M, Sullivan D, Craik RL, Mangione KK. Effect of therapeutic

275   exercise on gait speed in community-dwelling elderly people: a meta-analysis. Phys Ther.

276   2006;86:520–540.

277   24. Singh SJ, Morgan MD, Scott S, Walters D, Hardman AE. Development of a shuttle

278   walking test of disability in patients with chronic airways obstruction. Thorax.

279   1992;47:1019–1024.

280   25. Win T, Jackson A, Groves AM, Sharples LD, Charman SC, Laroche CM. Comparison of

281   shuttle walk with measured peak oxygen consumption in patients with operable lung

282   cancer. Thorax. 2006;61(1):57–60.

283   26. Tojo N, Suga H, Kambe M. Lung function testing – the official guideline of the Japanese

284   Respiratory Society. 2005;53(1):77–81.

285   27. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.

286   Biometrics. 1977;33:159-174.

287   28. Doi T, Yamaguchi R, Asai T, et al. The effects of shoe fit on gait in community-dwelling

288   older adults. Gait & Posture. 2010;32: 274–278.

289   29. Menaut JC, Perry SD, Steele JR, Menz HB, Munro BJ, Lord SR. Effects of shoe

290   characteristics on dynamic stability when walking on even and uneven surfaces in young

291   and older People. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2008;89(10):1970–6.

Table 1. Comparison of demographic characteristics and measurements between

Overall, Too Loose, Loose, and Fit groups.

	Overall (n=155)	Too Loose (n=31)	Loose (n=60)	Fit (n=64)	P value
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
Age [†]	73.6 ± 4.4	74.9 ± 4.7	73.4 ± 4.0	73.2 ± 4.6	0.183
BMI [†]	23.1 ± 2.7	23.4 ± 2.5	23.0 ± 2.2	22.9 ± 3.3	0.714
10m walking time test [†]	7.4 ± 1.1	7.6 ± 1.3	7.5 ± 0.9	7.2 ± 1.1	0.103
FEV1 [†]	2.0 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.6	0.052
Shuttle walking test [††]	399.0 ± 78.3	358.7 ± 68.2	401.5 ± 78.6	415.9 ± 76.9	0.002
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Female gender, n (%) [†††]	81 (52.3%)	23 (74.2%)	30 (50.0%)	28 (43.8%)	0.019
Pain, n (%) [†††]	37 (23.9%)	11 (35.5%)	15 (25.0%)	11 (17.2%)	0.14
Hallux valgus, n (%) [†††]	36 (23.2%)	8 (25.8%)	16 (26.7%)	12 (18.8%)	0.54
Bunionette, n (%) [†††]	11 (7.0%)	3 (9.6%)	4 (6.7%)	4 (6.3%)	0.82

Note: BMI=Body Mass Index, FEV1= Forced expiratory volume in 1 second

[†]ANOVA, [††]Kruskal Wallis test, [†††]χ2 test

1 **Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression model using a stepwise method to determine the**

2 **SWT association**

	Odds Ratio	95%CI	P value
Heel fitting			0.023
Too Loose	0.16	(0.04 - 0.63)	0.009
Loose	0.94	(0.38 - 2.33)	0.90
Fit	1 [reference]	1 [reference]	-
Toe-space	-	-	not significant
Width	-	-	not significant
Sole-stiffness	-	-	not significant
Counter	-	-	not significant
Adjuster	-	-	not significant
Adjusting	-	-	not significant

Adjusted by age, gender, BMI, 10m walking time, pain and FEV1

Note: BMI=Body Mass Index, FEV1= Forced expiratory volume in 1

second

3

1   **Table 3. Associations between heel-fit and each shoe-fit measurement**

2

	Too Loose (ⅰ)	Loose (ⅱ)	Fit (ⅲ)	P value	*Post hoc*
Proper (percent in each group)					
Toe-space	26 (83.9%)	58 (96.7%)	59 (92.2%)	not significant	-
Width	3 (10.7%)	25 (41.7%)	34 (53.1%)	p<0.001	ⅰ ＜ ⅱ , ⅲ
Sole-stiffness	8 (25.8%)	28 (46.7%)	40 (62.5%)	p=0.004	ⅰ ＜ ⅲ
Counter	7 (22.6%)	33 (55.0%)	32 (50.0%)	p=0.01	ⅰ ＜ ⅱ , ⅲ
Adjuster	13 (41.9%)	50 (83.3%)	52 (81.3%)	p<0.001	ⅰ ＜ ⅱ , ⅲ
Adjusting	1 (3.2%)	13 (21.7%)	19 (29.7%)	p=0.013	ⅰ ＜ ⅲ

3

4

Figure 1

Too Loose

Loose

Fit

Figure 2