ided by Kyoto Univer

Kyoto University Research Info	rmation Repository KYOTO UNIVERSITY
Title	Effect of habitat structural complexity on collembolan communities
Author(s)	Saitoh, Seikoh; Fujii, Saori; Takeda, Hiroshi
Citation	Ecological Research (2013), 29(1): 81-90
Issue Date	2013-11-30
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/197377
Right	The final publication is available at Springer via http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11284-013-1101-6
Туре	Journal Article
Textversion	author

1	Title:	
2	Effect of h	nabitat structural complexity on collembolan communities
3		
4	Authors:	
5	Seikoh Sa	itoh ^{a, b} , Saori Fujii ^{a, c} , Hiroshi Takeda ^{a, d}
6		
7	^a Division	of Environmental Science and Technology, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University,
8	Kitashirak	xawaoiwake-cho, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
9	^b Present a	address: Tropical Biosphere Research Center, Ryukyu University, Senbaru 1, Nishihara, Okinawa
10	903-0213,	Japan
11	^c Present a	address: Department of Environment and Natural Sciences, Graduate School of Environment and
12	Informatio	on Sciences, Yokohama National University, Yokohama 240-0067, Japan
13	^d Present a	ddress: Department of Environmental System, Faculty of Science and Technology, Doshisha University,
14	1-3 Tatara	miyakodani, Kyotanabe 601-0394, Japan.
15		
16	Correspo	nding author:
17	Seikoh Sa	itoh
18	E-mail:	h109296@comb.u-ryukyu.ac.jp
19	Tel:	+81 (0) 98-895-8943
20	Fax:	+81 (0) 98-895-8944
21		
22		

23 Abstract

We investigated soil microarthropod communities in 2 physically dissimilar inorganic soil materials and in a $\mathbf{24}$ 25mixture of these 2 materials to examine the effect of the structural complexity of a habitat on microarthropod abundance and communities, teasing it out from that of nutritional factors. Mesh boxes were filled with perlite (a 2627highly porous material), similar size of granite gravels (no pores inside), or their mixture, and placed on a forest floor. The boxes were collected after 8 or 20 months, and the microarthropods were extracted and identified to the 2829species level, with a focus on Collembola. We also evaluated fine-root biomass and the amount of organic matter 30in the boxes. It was found that the mixture of perlite and granite enhanced microarthropod abundance and root 31development. A partial redundancy analysis (pRDA) revealed that collembolan communities developed differently 32among the substrate materials. We also found that variation in the collembolan communities was related to 33fine-root development and the abundance of other microarthropods, implying that habitat structural complexity 34affects collembolan communities indirectly by affecting soil food webs.

35

36 Keywords: Collembola; community; habitat complexity; soil microarthropods; soil structure.

37

38 Introduction

In soil, heterogeneity is the basis of biodiversity (Bardgett 2002). Communities of soil microarthropods, which are highly abundant in temperate forests (Petersen and Luxton 1982), are affected by litter types (Anderson 1975), litter decomposition stages (Hasegawa and Takeda 1995), and litter depth or strata in soil (Takeda 1978; Hågvar 1983; Ponge 2000). These factors interact to produce various biotic and abiotic environments in soil, resulting in diverse habitats for individual species.

44Previous studies have highlighted the importance of habitat diversity on a small scale in soil (reviewed by 45Wardle 2002). Diverse combinations of litter materials provide a broad spectrum of substrates for decomposition, 46leading to the provision of diverse food resources for microarthropods. Similarly, in terms of physical habitat, the 47diversity in substrates translates to the presence of diverse habitable spaces for microarthropods. Further, because 48of its complexity, soil structure is thought to buffer species interactions, including competition and predation, and 49to contribute to the coexistence of different species (Anderson and Healey 1972; Wardle 1995). The positive 50correlation between the diversity of the habitat components of soil organic layers and microarthropod diversity 51supports these views (Anderson 1978).

52In the aforementioned context, experiments have been conducted using multiple litter species as substrate 53materials to investigate whether habitat heterogeneity affects microarthropod communities in terms of species 54composition, abundance, and diversity. Studies on Collembola (Takeda 1987) and Oribatida (Hansen and Coleman 551998; Kaneko and Salamanca 1999; Hansen 2000) revealed that a mixture of litter types results in species 56compositions of microarthropod communities that are different from those observed when using a single litter type. 57Further, a mixture of litter types appears to have a positive effect on total soil microarthropod abundance (Kaneko 58and Salamanca 1999; Hansen 2000) and diversity (Hansen and Coleman 1998; Kaneko and Salamanca 1999; 59Hansen 2000). Hansen (2000) suggested that mixtures of litter types contribute to strengthening the soil physical 60 structure, and thereby improve the properties of habitats, such as the amount and variety of habitable pore space, 61accumulation of fine organic particles, and development of plant fine roots and fungal hyphae, which would likely 62result in enhanced microarthropod communities.

63 In contrast, some studies on litter mixtures have failed to detect any clear effects of litter mixtures on

microarthropod abundance (Blair et al. 1990; Wardle et al. 2006). Wardle et al. (2006) suggested that the nutritional quality of individual litter types, rather than the composition of the mixture, has a significant effect on soil biota. This indicates that trophic aspects of substrate materials used when studying such mixtures contribute to ambiguity in measurements of structural complexity. To elucidate the mechanisms by which the structural complexity of a habitat affects the development of soil ecosystems and microarthropod communities, it is necessary to exclude trophic factors of the substrate materials used in experiments analyzing such mixed substrates.

71Accordingly, we decided to use mixtures of inorganic materials instead of litter. We utilized 2 inorganic materials 72with different physical traits: perlite, which has a porous structure, and granite, which is a non-porous material. 73When equal volumes of the 2 materials were mixed, the physical traits of the mixture, such as pore volume, 74surface area, and water-holding capacity, attained values that were intermediate of those of the 2 parent materials, 75while the system became more complex and spatially heterogeneous on a small scale. We compared the 76development of soil biota, especially collembolan communities, between mesocosms containing single materials 77(i.e., perlite or granite) and the mixture of these materials, to examine the effect of the structural complexity of a 78habitat.

We hypothesized that a mixture of inorganic materials would have positive effects on the abundance and species richness of microarthropods, as well as on biotic factors such as the accumulation of organic matter and elongation of plant roots in the developmental processes of ecosystems within mesocosms. An additional objective was to determine how such a mixture of inorganic materials affects individual collembolan species, and consequently, the collembolan community structure.

84

85 Materials and methods

The study was conducted in a temperate coniferous forest on a ridge in the Kamigamo Experimental Forest (135.04°E, 35.46°N; 220 m ASL), Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University, located in central Honshu Island, Japan. During the study period (from 2004 to 2007), the mean temperature was 14.8°C and the mean annual cumulative precipitation was 1485 mm (measured at the Kamigamo Experimental Forest office). 90 The forest canopy was dominated by Japanese cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa*). The understory vegetation 91 comprised *Eurya japonica*, *Evodiopanax innovans*, *Camellia japonica*, and *Cleyera japonica*. The soil humus type 92 was moder, with an organic layer approximately 4-cm thick. Fine roots of Japanese cypress formed a root mat in 93 the A_0 layer.

Three treatments were prepared: perlite, granite, and a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of the 2. Perlite is highly porous and thus has a high water-holding capacity, unlike granite. Mean particle sizes were 4 mm and 5 mm for perlite and granite, respectively. Materials were rinsed with tap water before use.

97Pore size distributions differed between treatments with respect to fine pore spaces smaller than 2.8 mm (Fig. 981): perlite has a large proportion of fine pore spaces, while granite is characterized by primarily macropore spaces. 99 Their mixture exhibited intermediate characteristics. Pores larger than 44 µm are accessible for soil 100microarthropods (Lavelle and Spain 2001). Pore size distributions were determined as follows. Material cores of 101 100 cm³ (n = 3) were saturated with water, drained through a sandbed (pF = 1.8), and subsequently exposed to a 102 pressure plate (31 kPa) to determine the maximum water-holding capacity, field capacity, and lento-capillary point, 103 respectively. These correspond to pore sizes (diameters) of 2.8 mm, 44 µm, and 8.8 µm, respectively. Subsequently, the materials were oven dried at 70°C. From the data, percent volumes of pores with diameters of 104 105>2.8 mm, 2.8 mm–44 μ m, 44–8.8 μ m, and <8.8 μ m were determined.

We arranged plastic mesh boxes (mesh size 5 mm; 12 cm [length] \times 12 cm [width] \times 8 cm [depth]) on the forest floor after removing the organic layer from under the bottom of each box on August 31, 2005. We created 10 contiguous 20-m² subplots and arranged a set of 3 boxes (one for each treatment) in each subplot; thus, 30 boxes (10 for each treatment) were established. Each box was filled with 1 L of the selected materials, and the top of each box was covered with 1-mm mesh to prevent the entry of large litter.

We collected the boxes from half the total number of subplots after 8 months (on April 20, 2006) and the remaining boxes after 20 months (on April 26, 2007). Thus, no samples were obtained from the same subplot in 2006 or 2007. After carefully cutting out fine roots with a knife, the boxes were placed in plastic bags and transported to the laboratory for analysis. Each box was placed on a Tullgren funnel to extract soil microarthropods. Extraction was performed for 7 days at a temperature of 35°C. Water content was determined by 116 comparing the weights of each box before and after extraction. Fine roots were collected using forceps, oven dried 117 at 40°C for 3 days, and weighed to 0.1 mg. Organic matter was collected with a 2-mm sieve; the carbon content 118 (mg C box⁻¹) was then measured using an NC analyzer (Sumigraph NC-900; Sumitomo Chemical Co., Osaka, 119 Japan) after milling the collected organic matter.

Microarthropods were identified to the species level for Collembola and to the order or suborder levels for other taxa, using a microscope. Collembola were categorized into 36 taxa; *Tomocerus punctatus* and *T. varius* were grouped as *Tomocerus* spp. since it was difficult to distinguish their juveniles from each other. Overall, the group appeared to be dominated by *T. varius*.

124

125 Statistical analyses

126We conducted a one-way ANOVA followed by a multiple comparison test with Welch's t-test and a Bonferroni 127correction to analyze the differences in the mean values of the abundance of microarthropods, fine-root biomass, 128accumulated organic matter, water content, and species richness of Collembola at each sampling date among the 129treatments. Counts of microarthropod individuals were log-transformed before the analysis. To calculate species 130richness, the number of species and standard errors were estimated by the first-order jackknife procedure (Smith 131and van Belle 1984), and the estimated values were used in the ANOVA and multiple comparison tests. To 132examine the effects of the mixture on these measured values, we tested observed and predicted values using a 133paired *t*-test. The observed value was that of the corresponding variable in the mixture treatment, and the predicted 134value was calculated as the mean of the 2 single-material treatments for each subplot (Blair et al. 1990; Kaneko 135and Salamanca 1999; Wardle et al. 2006). To examine the effect of the mixture on species richness, the expected 136number of species in the mixture treatment, based on measuring the number of observed individuals, was 137estimated from the combined communities of the 2 single-material treatments, using a rarefaction method for each 138subplot. Differences between the estimated values and the number of observed species in the mixture treatment 139were then examined using a Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

140 To determine the ordination of the collembolan communities, we conducted RDA with forward selection of the 141 explanatory variables to examine the effects of environmental factors, namely, elapsed time, subplot, 3 other

142higher microarthropod taxa, accumulated organic matter, fine-root biomass, and water content. Further, a partial RDA (pRDA) was conducted, in which the effects of elapsed time and subplot were separated out. The 143144significances of the RDA axes were then calculated using a permutation test. We excluded 7 species from this 145analysis because we identified fewer than 5 individuals for them or found them in only one soil sample, taking 146into account the recommendation made by Hasegawa (2006). Redundancy analysis and selection of the 147explanatory variables for the analysis were performed with the software packages "vegan" (Oksanen et al. 2010) 148and "packfor" (Dray 2009), respectively. The latter is based on a forward selection method described by ter Braak 149and Šmilauer (2002).

150

151 **Results**

Figure 2 displays the abundance of soil microarthropods, environmental factors, and species richness of 152153Collembola in the experimental boxes after 8 and 20 months. The abundance of Gamasida and fine-root biomass differed among the treatments after 20 months but not after 8 months (Figs. 2c, f). Fewer Gamasida individuals 154155appeared in perlite samples than in the other 2 samples after 20 months; no other microarthropods exhibited any 156differences among the treatments (Figs. 2a, b, d). Fine-root biomass was lowest in the granite samples (Fig. 2f). 157Water content differed among the treatments, reflecting the amount of perlite in each sample: throughout the study 158period, we consistently observed the highest water content in perlite and the lowest in granite (Fig. 2g). At the first 159sampling date, a greater amount of organic matter was detected in perlite samples than in granite samples (Fig. 2e). 160Collembolan species richness did not differ among treatments (Fig. 2h).

We detected a positive effect of the perlite–granite mixture on the abundance of Collembola (P = 0.0123) on the first sampling date (Figs. 2a, h), on the abundances of Gamasida (P = 0.0736) and Prostigmata (P = 0.0352) on the second sampling date (Figs. 2c, d), on the abundance of Oribatida on the first (P = 0.0414) and second (P =0.0772) sampling dates (Fig. 2b), and on fine-root biomass on the first (P = 0.0675) and second (P = 0.0605) sampling dates (Fig. 2f). The effect on water content was negative on the first (P = 0.0126) and second (P =0.0023) sampling dates (Fig. 2g).

167 The dominant collembolan species, which accounted for more than 1% of the total collected collembolan

168individuals on at least one sampling date, are listed in Table 1. Folsomia octoculata was less abundant in granite 169than in the other 2 treatments on the first sampling date, while Arrhopalites sp. was the least abundant in perlite. 170Onychiurus flavescens appeared more abundant in perlite than in granite on the first sampling date, while 171Entomobrya sp. was more abundant in perlite than in granite on the second sampling date. In contrast, Tomocerus 172spp. was more abundant in granite than in perlite. With regard to the abundances of individual species, we detected a positive effect of the perlite-granite mixture on Tomocerus spp. (P = 0.0007), F. octoculata (P =1731740.0046), F. japonica (P = 0.0552), and Arrhopalites sp. (P = 0.0981) on the first sampling date and Sinella 175dubiosa (P = 0.0290) and F. japonica (P = 0.0166) on the second sampling date.

In RDA, the elapsed time, water content, abundance of oribatids, fine-root biomass, and some subplots were selected as the explanatory variables using forward selection. These factors explained 65.4% of the total variation among the sampled collembolan communities. The effects of elapsed time and subplot as conditional factors were separated out in pRDA. The constraining factors in pRDA, namely, the abundance of oribatids, fine-root biomass and water content, explained 15.3% of the variance.

181 Ordination of the collembolan communities based on RDA is shown in Figure 3a. Axes 1 and 2 explained 18222.2% and 15.4% of the total variance, respectively. A permutation test indicated that RDA axes 1-5 were 183significant (P < 0.05). The ordination revealed relatively large effects of elapsed time and subplot on the 184 collembolan communities (Fig. 3a). Arrows representing Oribatida and fine-root biomass, as well as elapsed time, 185were oriented in the lower right quadrant of the coordinate plane. Reflecting elapsed time, the majority of the 186communities sampled after 20 months were plotted in the lower half of the plane, whereas those sampled after 8 187months were plotted in the upper half. Likewise, most collembolan taxa were plotted in the lower half of the plane, 188 indicating their colonization of the substrates over time. Subplot had a considerable effect on ordination, 189indicating the effect of forest floor heterogeneity on the collembolan communities. For example, the arrows for 190 subplots d, f, and g coincided with those of the communities sampled from those subplots.

In pRDA (Fig. 3b), in which the effects of subplot and elapsed time were separated out, the collembolan communities were plotted into 3 groups according to the treatments. Axes 1 and 2 explained 16.4% and 12.7% of the residual variance, respectively. A permutation test indicated that RDA axes 1 and 2 were significant (P < 0.05). 194

195 Discussion

Microarthropod communities developed in our field-incubated mesocosms containing 2 inorganic materials and their mixture. As hypothesized, we found a positive effect of the mixture on the abundance of microarthropods (Fig. 2), similar to the results of previous studies using mixed litter (Kaneko and Salamanca 1999; Hansen 2000). Enhancement was observed in the animal taxa on the first and/or second sampling dates (Figs. 2a, b, c, d). With respect to Collembola, a positive effect of the mixture was detected on the abundance of some species (Table 1), whereas no positive effect was detected on species richness (Fig. 2h).

Although we expected enhancement of the accumulation of organic matter and development of fine roots in the experimental boxes, only the latter was actually observed (Figs. 2e, f). This may have contributed to the observed positive effects on microarthropod abundances to some extent. These findings suggest that habitat structural complexity may have a positive effect on the abundance of soil microarthropods, partly via enhancement of the development of fine roots, which could increase the availability of trophic resources.

207 The responses of individual collembolan species to the composition of the substrate varied among species (Table208 1), with linear and non-linear responses exhibited (Fig. 3).

209

Effect of habitat structural complexity on the abundance of microarthropods and abiotic/biotic factors in the mesocosms

212In our system, inorganic materials served primarily as the physical framework of the habitat and received organic 213debris and fine roots from the surrounding organic layer, which likely served as energy sources in the 214experimental system. The fact that inorganic materials neither supplied any nutrients nor decomposed in the study 215period is important in interpreting the results of this study, compared with previous studies using mixtures of 216organic litter species. Those studies have suggested that mixing litters with dissimilar chemical traits improves 217food resource availability by stimulating microflora (Salamanca et al. 1998; Kaneko and Salamanca 1999), but 218this effect was absent in the present study. It has been also suggested that the slow decomposition of mixed litter 219makes these substrates superior at maintaining the physical structure and available pore space when compared to

substrates based on single litter species, leading to a relatively higher capacity to entrain small organic particles
(Hansen and Coleman 1998; Hansen 2000). Unlike previous studies, none of the examined material decomposed
in the present study. This may explain why enhancement of the accumulation of organic matter was not observed.

223We detected an enhancement of plant fine root growth. Interestingly, the amount of fine roots was equivalent 224between perlite and mixture samples on the second sampling date. Fine roots may have responded to merely the 225presence of fine pore spaces in perlite, which are important for root elongation (Pagliai and De Nobili 1993). The 226significance of this response is discussed later. Fine roots may have affected microarthropods via their role in 227supplying energy in the ecosystem (Wiggins et al. 1979, Parmelee et al. 1993). Nonetheless, variation in fine roots did not fully explain the microarthropod abundances, since no higher taxon displayed similar patterns in response 228229to changes in fine root biomass (Figs. 2a-d, f). The responses of individual collembolan species to fine roots 230appeared to be varied. This implies the presence of some other unevaluated factor(s) or mechanism(s), involved 231with mixing perlite and granite, affecting microarthropod abundances.

232Water content was evidently different among the treatments (Fig. 2g). Water content has been recognized to be 233one of the most important factors regulating the abundance of soil microarthropods; this fact has been confirmed 234in field studies (reviewed by Hopkin 1997). This is because soil fauna are generally less tolerant to desiccation 235than those aboveground, and even live fauna move downward and thus away from the topsoil when they sense 236desiccation (Swift et al. 1979). Nonetheless, it is the humidity in the soil pore spaces, rather than the water content, 237that affects soil microarthropods (Vanier 1987). In spite of the variation in water content between treatments, the 238humidity in the pore spaces of any treatment in the present study was likely at saturating levels, since we observed 239water films even on the granite particle surfaces during sampling, notwithstanding the fact that the granite 240treatment exhibited the lowest mean water content. Thus, we concluded that water content was unlikely to have 241affected microarthropod abundance in terms of desiccation.

A correlation between the total number of microarthropods and the amount of pore spaces of a certain size has been reported in the field (Vreeken-Buijs et al. 1998; Nielsen et al. 2008) and laboratory (Larsen et al. 2004). The field studies reported a correlation between Collembola and the amount of pores >90 μ m in size in mineral soil (Vreeken-Buijs et al. 1998) and between Oribatida and the amount of pores 60–300 μ m in size in the soil organic

246layer (Nielsen et al. 2008). Larsen et al (2004) conducted a soil compaction experiment to reveal the importance 247of the amount of pores 120–300 µm in size in influencing the abundance of certain collembolan species. These studies indicated that the availability of habitable pore spaces for microarthropods could limit their abundance. In 248249the current study, the pore size range corresponding to that in the previous studies was 44 µm-2.8 mm. The 250amount of this size of pore was positively correlated with the amount of perlite (i.e., perlite > mixture > granite, 251Fig. 1). Unlike in the previous studies, no higher animal taxon showed any correlation to these pores (Figs. 2a–d), 252although some individual collembolan species displayed the pattern perlite > mixture > granite in terms of 253abundance (Table 1). Since all the treatments had high porosities (>50%), the amount of pores was unlikely to have limited microarthropod abundances. Another possible explanation is that a large proportion of the pore 254spaces of the size range 44 µm-2.8 mm may have been internal and closed (or narrow-necked) in perlite particles, 255256and therefore would have been unavailable for microarthropods.

257Focusing on the patterns of species-level responses to treatments, individual collembolan species responded 258differently (Table 1). Four different patterns of responses to the substrate material composition gradient were 259observed. (1) The 2 most abundant taxa on the second sampling date (Tomocerus spp. and Entomobrya sp.) responded differently, albeit linearly, to the 2 different materials. Their abundances in the mixture treatment 260261approximated that of the mean of the 2 single-material treatments. (2) The mixture treatment enhanced the 262abundances of some species: Tomocerus spp. on the first sampling date, Sinella dubiosa on the second sampling 263date, and Friesea japonica on both sampling dates. (3) Some species were abundant in one of the 2 single-material 264treatments and also abundant at an equivalent level in the mixture treatment: Folsomia octoculata was abundant in 265perlite and in the mixture on the first sampling date whereas Arrhopalites sp. was abundant in granite and in the 266mixture treatment on the same date. (4) Some species were not clearly affected by the treatments: all the species 267apart from the abovementioned ones maintained similar abundance levels among the treatments. No species 268responded concavely to the material composition gradient. These response patterns are very similar to those reported by Takeda (1987), whose experiments involved mixtures with different ratios of pine-needle litter (Pinus 269270densiflora) and broad-leaved litter (Alnus pendula). That study revealed that some collembolan species responded 271linearly to the litter composition gradient, and others responded positively to the mixture treatment, while the

272 species richness values were similar among all treatments.

273We hypothesize that the third pattern of response described above may represent a putative mechanism to explain 274how a mixture of materials generates a positive effect on the abundance of an organism. Assuming that a material 275is necessary for, or is preferred by, an organism but that its quantity is not limiting, such a response will be 276non-linear to the gradient of the mixture and reveal a positive effect of the mixture. Indeed, certain biotic 277components in the boxes were probably correlated with the presence, rather than amount, of perlite or granite. In 278other words, it appears that the fine-root biomass on the second sampling date responded positively and equally to 279the treatments that included perlite (perlite and mixture, Fig. 2f), while Oribatida responded positively and equally to the treatments that included granite (granite and mixture, Fig. 2b). Although fine roots responded specifically to 280281the fine pore spaces contributed by perlite, as discussed earlier, it is unclear why Oribatida responded specifically 282to the treatments that included granite. Some collembolan species also responded in this manner: F. octoculata 283showed equivalent abundances between the perlite and mixture treatments but lower abundance in granite on the 284first sampling date, while Arrhopalites sp. did similarly but to the treatments that included granite on the same 285date (Table 1), although the actual factors to which they responded were not clear. More importantly, when 2 or 286more species display this type of response, but to different materials, their total abundance can form a single peak 287on the material composition gradient. This could be the reason for the positive effect on the total abundance of 288Collembola observed on the first sampling date (Fig. 2a). In other words, individual species responded 289non-linearly to different materials.

290No clear effect of the mixing of inorganic materials on the number of collembolan species was detected (Fig. 2h). 291Studies that focused on oribatid communities (Hansen and Coleman 1998; Kaneko and Salamanca 1999; Hansen 2922000) reported positive effects of substrate mixtures on species richness, whereas a study on collembolan 293communities did not (Takeda 1987), suggesting that this anomaly was potentially due to the differences in life 294histories between the 2 higher taxonomic groups. Oribatida species display distinct differences in food habits among species and low fecundity (Behan-Pletier and Hill 1983; Kaneko 1988; 1989), while Collembola species 295296are omnivorous (reviewed by Hopkin 1997) and have relatively short generation times and iteroparity 297(Takeda 1987). This characteristic of Collembola may have been responsible for the equivalent numbers of

298 species observed among the treatments, although community compositions indicated evident differences (Table

299 1).

300

301 Effect of habitat structural complexity on collembolan communities in the experimental mesocosms

302Redundancy analysis revealed that the soil ecosystems and collembolan communities in the experimental boxes 303 developed progressively with time (Fig. 3a). Subplots also affected the ordination, indicating that heterogeneity in 304 the forest floor affected the collembolan communities. Because of these relatively large effects, the RDA did not 305 reveal clear treatment effects. After a pRDA was conducted to eliminate the effects of elapsed time and subplot, the collembolan communities were clearly classified into 3 separate groups on the coordinate plane, based on 306 307 treatment (Fig. 3b). This suggested the presence of relative differences in the compositions of the collembolan 308 communities among the treatments. This also indicates that the communities that developed in the boxes with 309 mixed substrates were not merely intermediate between those in the single-material boxes, since the 3 groups 310 were graphically localized in different directions. As discussed above, this difference may have been generated by 311the varied responses, both linear and non-linear, of the species to the material gradients. This is in agreement with 312previous research conducted on litter. Kaneko and Salamanca (1999) and Hansen (2000) found that oribatid 313communities developed differently in substrates based on mixed or single litters.

314 The pRDA indicated that the water content, fine-root biomass, and abundance of oribatid mites was correlated 315with the variation among the collembolan communities. Water content was significantly different among 316treatments (Fig. 2g). In general, it is reasonable to consider that the water content affected the composition of the 317communities, since individual collembolan species may vary in their desiccation tolerance (Kærsgaard et al. 2004). 318However, the fact that the 2 most abundant collembolan taxa in the present study, Tomocerus spp. and 319 Entomobrya sp., displayed contrasting responses on the second sampling date, i.e., the former was abundant in 320 granite and the latter was abundant in perlite (Table 1) could not allow such a simplistic interpretation. Because 321both the genera can be considered to be relatively desiccation-tolerant (Takeda 1978), the variation among the 322treatments was not simply due to desiccation. In addition, as was discussed earlier, we believe that the mesocosms 323of all treatments were likely saturated, suggesting that water content was unlikely to have directly affected the

collembolan community. Water content was selected as a significant explanatory variable, likely because it was strongly correlated with the amount of perlite (Fig. 2g), representing the substrate material composition gradient among treatments to which some collembolan species linearly responded. Although pore spaces were not measured in every mesocosms and were not analyzed in the RDA, water content may have represented pore spaces because the 2 factors are closely correlated. As indicated by Larsen et al. (2004), some species may positively respond to the amount of pore spaces of a certain size, which would partially explain community differentiation.

331The other factors selected by forward selection were fine-root biomass, and the abundance of oribatid mites. The pRDA axis 1 largely differentiated the communities in the treatments that included granite (i.e., G and M in Fig. 3323333b) from those in the perlite treatment (P), while axis 2 differentiated the communities in the treatments that included perlite (i.e., P and M) from those in the granite treatment (G). Since these 2 axes were correlated with 334 335 oribatid abundance and fine-root biomass, these factors may indicate some reasons for the observed responses of 336 the communities. Given that fine roots largely represent a labile carbon source (due to root exudates) (Bais et al. 337 2006) while oribatid mites can represent dead plant material and fungi (Wallwork 1983), the observed differentiation of collembolan communities may have, to some extent, reflected fast and slow cycles in soil 338 339micro-food webs (Hunt et al. 1987; Moore et al. 2003). This hypothetical interpretation is not contradictory to a 340 recent theory that the food habits of collembolan species vary, depending on the species, from bacteria to fungi 341(Berg et al. 2004; Kaneda and Kaneko 2004; Chahartaghi et al. 2005), although we did not determine the amounts 342of bacterial or fungal biomass. Interestingly, the pRDA axis 2 was largely correlated to the natural habitat. In 343particular, the abundances of F layer dwelling species (F. octoculata, O. yosiiana, and S. dubiosa) were correlated 344with fine root biomass. This was consistent with Fujii (2012) who suggested the role of fine roots as a food 345resource, leading to resource partitioning among collembolan species.

In this study, we analyzed 3 different types of field mesocosms, 2 single inorganic material treatments, and a mixture treatment, and discovered some positive effects on the abundance of arthropods and the development of different collembolan communities, although the underlying mechanisms responsible for the differentiation remain unresolved. Since potential trophic factors for soil organisms, such as the amounts of organic matter and fine roots, differed among the treatments, the direct effect of physical habitat could not be isolated from the direct and indirect effects of trophic factors. Although some studies have emphasized the potential importance of habitat for detritivorous microarthropods as a refuge from predators (Vreeken-Buijs et al. 1998; Hansen 2000; Nielsen et al. 2008), it was not practical to examine this approach in the present mesocosms. Therefore, future studies focusing on the structural habitat for soil microarthropods should control trophic factors by, for instance, forcing initial mesocosms to contain a certain amount of organic matter and preventing fine roots from invading them.

356

357 Acknowledgments

We thank the Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University, Japan, for granting permission for 358359conducting this study. We are obliged to Prof. K. Kitayama, Dr. M. Hasegawa, and Dr. A. Yamada for their useful 360comments on the manuscript; to Dr. K. Fujii for his cooperation in the measurements of pore size distribution of 361the materials; to Dr. M. Akutsu, Ms. K. Taneda, Ms. H. Mizuta, Mr. A. Shimizu, Mr. Y. Hagiwara, Mr. N. Endo, Dr. 362T. Haraguchi, and Ms. W. Saito for their kind cooperation; to the anonymous reviewers for their careful reading 363 and constructive comments. S.F. was supported by the JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists. Our study was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for the 21st Century COE Program for Innovative Food and 364365Environmental Studies Pioneered by Entomomimetic Sciences, from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, 366 Science and Technology of Japan.

367

368 References

369 Anderson JM (1975) Succession, diversity and trophic relationships of some soil animals in decomposing leaf

- 370 litter. J Anim Ecol 44: 475–495
- 371 Anderson JM (1978) Inter- and intra-habitat relationships between woodland Cryptostigmata species diversity and
- the diversity of soil and litter microhabitats. Oecologia 32: 341–348
- 373 Anderson JM, Healey IN (1972) Seasonal and interspecific variations in the major components of the gut contents
- of some woodland Collembola. J Anim Ecol 41: 359–368
- Bais HP, Weir TL, Perry LG, Gilroy S, Vivanco JM (2006) The role of root exudates in rhizosphere interactions

- 376 with plants and other organisms. Ann Rev Plant Biol 57: 233–266
- 377 Bardgett RD (2002) Causes and consequences of biological diversity in soil. Zoology 105: 367–374
- 378 Behan–Pelletier VM, Hill SB (1983) Feeding habits of sixteen species of Oribatei (Acari) from an acid peat bog,
- 379 Glenamoy, Ireland. Rev Ecol Biol Sol 20: 221–267
- 380 Berg MP, Stoffer M, van den Heuvel HH (2004) Feeding guilds in Collembola based on digestive enzymes.
- 381 Pedobiologia 48: 589–601
- Blair JM, Parmelee RW, Beare MH (1990) Decay rates, nitrogen fluxes, and decomposer communities of single and mixed-species foliar litter. Ecology 71: 1976–1985
- 384 Chahartaghi M, Langel R, Scheu S, Ruess L (2005) Feeding guilds in Collembola based on nitrogen stable isotope
- ratios. Soil Biol Biochem 37: 1718–1725
- 386 Dray S, Legendre P, Blanchet G (2009) packfor: Forward Selection with permutation (Canoco p.46). R package
 387 version 0.0-7/r58. http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/sedar/
- 388 Fujii S (2012) Effect of fine roots on the organization of collembolan community in a forest ecosystem. Doctoral
- 389 thesis. Kyoto University, Kyoto. 92 pp
- 390 Hågvar S (1983) Collembola in Norwegian coniferous forest soils II: Vertical distribution. Pedobiologia 25: 383–
- 391 401
- Hansen RA (2000) Effects of habitat complexity and composition on a diverse litter microarthropod assemblage.
- 393 Ecology 81: 1120–1132
- Hansen RA, Coleman DC (1998) Litter complexity and composition are determinants of the diversity and species
 composition of oribatid mites (Acari: Oribatida) in litterbags. Appl Soil Ecol 9: 17–23
- Hasegawa M (2006) Application of ordination in community ecology of soil animals. Edaphologia 80: 35–64 (in
- 397 Japanese with English summary)
- Hasegawa M, Takeda H (1995) Changes in feeding attributes of four collembolan populations during the
 decomposition process of pine needles. Pedobiologia 39: 155–169
- 400 Hopkin SP (1997) Biology of the Springtails (Insecta: Collembola). Oxford University Press, Oxford. 330 pp
- 401 Hunt HW, Coleman DC, Ingham ER, Ingham RE, Elliott ET, Moore JC, Rose SL, Reid CPP, Morley CR (1987)

- 402 The detrital food web in a shortgrass prairie. Biol Fertil Soils 3: 57–68
- 403 Kaneda S, Kaneko N (2004) The feeding preference of a collembolan (Folsomia candida Willem) on
- 404 ectomycorrhiza (*Pisolithus tinctorius* (Pers.)) varies with mycelial growth condition and vitality. Appl Soil Ecol
- 405 27: 1–5
- 406 Kaneko N (1988) Feeding habits and cheliceral size of oribatid mites in cool temperate forest soils in Japan. Rev
- 407 Ecol Biol Sol 25: 353–363
- Kaneko N (1989) Life histories of four oribatid mite species in a mull type soil in a cool temperate forest in Japan.
 Pedobiologia 33: 117–126
- 410 Kaneko N, Salamanca N (1999) Mixed leaf litter effects on decomposition rates and soil arthropod communities
- 411 in an oak-pine forest stand in Japan. Ecol Res 14: 131–138
- 412 Kærsgaard CW, Holmstrup M, Malte H, Bayley M (2004) The importance of cuticular permeability, osmolyte
- 413 production and body size for the desiccation resistance of nine species of Collembola. J Insect Physiol 50: 5–15
- 414 Larsen T, Schjonning P, Axelsen J (2004) The impact of soil compaction on eucdaphic Collembola. Appl Soil Ecol
 415 26: 273–281
- 416 Lavelle P, Spain AV (2001) Soil Ecology. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. 654 pp
- 417 Moore JC, McCann K, Setälä H, De Ruiter PC (2003) Top-down is bottom-up: Does predation in the rhizosphere
- regulate aboveground dynamics? Ecology 84: 846–857
- Nielsen UN, Osler GHR, van der Wal R, Campbell CD, Burslem DFRP (2008) Soil pore volume and the
 abundance of soil mites in two contrasting habitats. Soil Biol Biochem 40: 1538–1541
- 421 Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H,
- Wagner H (2010) vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 1.17–2. URL <u>http://CRAN.R–</u>
 project.org/package=vegan
- Pagliai M, De Nobili M (1993) Relationships between soil porosity, root development and soil enzyme activity in
 cultivated soils. Geoderma 56: 243–256
- 426 Parmelee RW, Ehrenfeld JG, Tate RL (1993) Effects of pine roots on microorganisms, fauna, and nitrogen
- 427 availability in two soil horizons of a coniferous forest spodosol. Biol Fertil Soils 15: 113–119

- Petersen H, Luxton M (1982) A comparative analysis of soil fauna populations and their role in decomposition
 processes. Oikos 39: 287–388
- 430 Ponge JF (2000) Vertical distribution of Collembola (Hexapoda) and their food resources in organic horizons of
 431 beech forests. Biol Fertil Soils 32: 508–522
- 432 Salamanca EF, Kaneko N, Katagiri S (1998) Effects of leaf litter mixtures on the decomposition of Quercus
- 433 *serrata* and *Pinus densiflora* using field and laboratory microcosm methods. Ecol Eng 10: 53–73
- 434 Smith EP, van Belle G (1984) Nonparametric estimation of species richness. Biometrics 40: 119–129
- 435 Swift MJ, Heal OW, Anderson JM (1979) Decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems. Blackwell Scientific
 436 Publications, London. 384 pp
- 437 Takeda H (1978) Ecological studies of collembolan populations in a pine forest. II. Vertical distribution of
- 438 Collembola. Pedobiologia 18: 22–30
- Takeda H (1987) Dynamics and maintenance of collembolan community structure in a forest soil system. Res
 Popul Ecol 29: 291–346
- 441 ter Braak CJF, Šmilauer P (2002) CANOCO Reference Manual and CanoDraw for Windows User's Guide:
- 442 Software for Canonical Community Ordination (version 4.5). Microcomputer Power, New York. 500 pp.
- 443 Vannier G (1987) The porosphere as an ecological medium emphasized in Professor Ghilarov's work on soil
- animal adaptations. Biol Fertil Soils 3: 39–44
- 445 Vreeken–Buijs MJ, Hassink J, Brussaard L (1998) Relationships of soil microarthropod biomass with organic
- 446 matter and pore size distribution in soils under different land use. Soil Biol Biochem 30: 97–106
- 447 Wallwork JA (1983) Oribatids in forest ecosystems. Ann Rev Entomol 28: 109–130
- 448 Wardle DA (1995) Impacts of disturbance on detritus food webs in agro-ecosystems of contrasting tillage and
- 449 weed management strategies. Adv Ecol Res 26: 105–185
- 450 Wardle DA (2002) Communities and ecosystems: linking the aboveground and belowground components.
- 451 Princeton University Press, Princeton. 392 pp
- 452 Wardle DA, Yeates GW, Barker GM, Bonner KI (2006) The influence of plant litter diversity on decomposer
- 453 abundance and diversity. Soil Biol Biochem 38: 1052–1062

- 454 Wiggins EA, Curl EA, Harper JD (1979) Effects of soil fertility and cotton rhizosphere on populations of
- 455 Collembola. Pedobiologia 19: 75–82

456

457 **Figure legends and table title:**

Figure 1: Pore size distribution in the experimental boxes: Filled parts of columns denote solid matter. Each column represents the mean of 3 replicates. P, perlite; M, mixture; G, granite.

460

Figure 2: Abundance of soil microarthropods (a-d), environmental factors (e-g), and species richness of 461462Collembola (h) in the experimental soil boxes after 8 and 20 months: White, gray, and black columns indicate 463the values for perlite, mixture, and granite treatments, respectively. Bars indicate 1 standard error (n = 5). The 464 number of species and standard errors were estimated by the first-order jackknife procedure. Subscripts indicate significant differences in the mean values on the same sampling date (P < 0.05). Note that the multiple 465comparison test was conducted when the effect of treatment was revealed to be significant in a one-way analysis 466 of variance (ANOVA). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in the observed and predicted 467values for the mixture treatment: +P < 0.10; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. Arrows indicate a negative effect. 468

469

470Figure 3: Ordination of collembolan communities that developed in perlite, granite, and their mixture: Ordination 471was based on (a) redundancy analysis (RDA) and (b) partial RDA (pRDA) in which the effects of elapsed time 472and subplot were separated. Codes for the communities (black) are as follows: the first letter (P, G, or M) 473indicates the treatment (perlite, granite, or mixture, respectively); the number in the middle (1 or 2) indicates the 474sampling date (after 8 or 20 months, respectively); and the third letter (a-j) indicates the subplot. For example, 475M2d indicates the collembolan community for treatment M in subplot d, sampled 20 months after the 476experimental soil boxes were established. Arrows represent the factors (abundance of microarthropods, fine-root 477biomass, accumulated organic matter, water content, subplot, and elapsed time). The 15 dominant collembolan 478taxa (each accounting for >1% of the total number of individuals on at least one sampling date) are shown in red. 479Abbreviations used for the collembolan taxa are as follows: Arpl, Arrhopalites sp.; Dsri, Desoria sp.3; Etmb, Entomobrya sp.; Flsm, Folsomia octoculata; Frsj, Friesea japonica; Istm, Isotoma carpenteri; Lpdc, 480Lepidocyrtus sp.; Lpgt, Lophognathella choreutes; Mglt, Megalothorax minimus; Ocpd, Oncopodura yosiiana; 481 Onyf, Onychiurus flavescens; Smth, Sminthurinus sp.; Snld, Sinella dubiosa; Tmcr, Tomocerus spp.; Ttrc, 482

483 *Tetracanthella sylvatica*.

484

485Table 1: Abundances of dominant collembolan species in the experimental boxes after 8 and 20 months. A total of 15 taxa (each accounting for >1% of the total number of collembolan individuals on at least one 486 487sampling date) are shown. Values indicate the number of individuals per box. Parenthetical values indicate 1 standard error (n = 5). Superscripts indicate significant differences among the mean values of the treatments (P 488 489 < 0.05). Note that the multiple comparison test was conducted when the effect of treatment was revealed to be 490 significant in a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Data on the habitats of individual species in the soil 491organic layer are from Takeda (1978): L, F, and H represent litter layer, fermented layer, and humus layer, 492 respectively. Hyphenated letters L-F and F-H indicate that the species inhabit(s) both layers. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the observed and predicted values: +P < 0.10; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.05; *P < 0.05; 493494 0.01; ****P* < 0.001; ns, not significant.

495



Figure 1: Pore size distribution in the experimental boxes: Filled parts of columns denote solid matter. Each column represents the mean of 3 replicates. P, perlite; M, mixture; G, granite.



Figure 2: Abundance of soil microarthropods (a-d), environmental factors (e-g), and species richness of Collembola (h) in the experimental soil boxes after 8 and 20 months: White, gray, and black columns indicate the values for perlite, mixture, and granite treatments, respectively. Bars indicate 1 standard error (n = 5). The number of species and standard errors were estimated by the first-order jackknife procedure. Subscripts indicate significant differences in the mean values on the same sampling date (P < 0.05). Note that the multiple comparison test was conducted when the effect of treatment was revealed to be significant in a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in the observed and predicted values for the mixture treatment: +P < 0.10; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. Arrows indicate a negative effect

(a) RDA



Figure 3: Ordination of collembolan communities that developed in perlite, granite, and their mixture: Ordination was based on (a) redundancy analysis (RDA) and (b) partial RDA (pRDA) in which the effects of elapsed time and subplot were separated. Codes for the communities (black) are as follows: the first letter (P, G, or M) indicates the treatment (perlite, granite, or mixture, respectively); the number in the middle (1 or 2) indicates the sampling date (after 8 or 20 months, respectively); and the third letter (a-j) indicates the subplot. For example, M2d indicates the collembolan community for treatment M in subplot d, sampled 20 months after the experimental soil boxes were established. Arrows represent the factors (abundance of microarthropods, fine-root biomass, accumulated organic matter, water content, subplot, and elapsed time). The 15 dominant collembolan taxa (each accounting for > 1% of the total number of individuals on at least one sampling date) are shown in red. Abbreviations used for the collembolan taxa are as follows: Arpl, Arrhopalites sp.; Dsri, Desoria sp.3; Etmb, Entomobrya sp.; Flsm, Folsomia octoculata; Frsj, Friesea japonica; Istm, Isotoma carpenteri; Lpdc, Lepidocyrtus sp.; Lpgt, Lophognathella choreutes; Mglt, Megalothorax minimus; Ocpd, Oncopodura yosiiana; Onyf, Onychiurus flavescens; Smth, Sminthurinus sp.; Snld, Sinella dubiosa; Tmcr, Tomocerus spp.; Ttrc, Tetracanthella sylvatica.

		•		8 month	S			20 months	S	
				Treatment		Effect of		Treatment		Effect of
Family	Species or species group	Habitat	Perlite	Mixture	Granite	mixture	Perlite	Mixture	Granite	mixture
Entomobryidae	Entomobrya sp.	L layer	17.4 (11.5)	20.8 (12.8)	19.0 (17.6)	su	$146.2 (42.6)^{a}$	49.0 (33.8) ^{ab}	23.8 (15.4) ^b	su
	Lepidocyrtus sp.	L layer	6.6 (3.2)	5.2 (3.7)	9.4 (7.4)	ns	33.2 (11.9)	50.6 (28.3)	39.6 (13.7)	su
	Sinella dubiosa	Flayer	3.6 (2.3)	0.8 (1.3)	0.2 (0.4)	su	1.2 (1.0)	7.6 (3.7)	0.2 (0.2)	*
Isotomidae	Desoria sp.3	L-F layer	0.2 (0.4)	4.0 (4.1)	2.8 (5.7)	ns	24.2 (15.6)	30.8 (20.4)	7.6 (1.1)	su
	Folsomia octoculata	Flayer	$15.8 (8.2)^{a}$	$20.8 (17.3)^{a}$	2.0 (2.0) ^b	* *	19.8 (6.8)	23.4 (5.7)	10.2 (2.2)	su
	Isotoma carpenteri	L-F layer	1.4 (1.7)	0.8 (1.8)	0.6 (0.9)	su	4.4 (2.0)	3.0 (1.8)	3.8 (2.4)	ns
	Tetracanthella sylvatica	L-F layer	11.6 (25.4)	9.8 (18.7)	12.8 (25.4)	su	23.2 (21.0)	21.8 (21.1)	11.0 (10.3)	su
Neelidae	Megalothorax minimus	F-H layer	5.4 (9.3)	7.6 (8.5)	3.0 (4.1)	su	2.8 (1.9)	3.0 (1.8)	10.4 (4.9)	su
Oncopoduridae	Oncopodura yosiiana	Flayer	17.4 (12.6)	18.2 (13.8)	6.2 (5.5)	ns	37.8 (6.2)	32.8 (11.7)	27.2 (8.6)	su
Onychiuridae	Lophognathella choreutes	NA	0.4 (0.9)	12.2 (18.5)	7.6 (9.8)	ns	2.2 (1.5)	20.6 (14.8)	9.6 (4.1)	su
	Onychiurus flavescens	F-H layer	$2.8 (1.8)^{a}$	3.8 (3.8) ^{ab}	$0.4 (0.9)^{b}$	ns	3.0 (1.3)	3.6 (1.0)	6.4 (2.5)	su
Pseudoachorutidae	Friesea japonica	NA	2.0 (2.7)	10.4 (16.1)	0.2 (0.4)	+	9.4 (8.9)	23.4 (15.2)	6.4 (2.8)	*
Sminthuridae	Arrhopalites sp.	L-F layer	$1.0 (0.7)^{a}$	$4.8 (1.8)^{b}$	4.2 (3.4) ^b	+	3.8 (1.0)	4.0 (3.3)	13.2 (4.8)	su
	Sminthurinus sp.	L-F layer	2.0 (2.8)	2.8 (3.6)	2.4 (2.8)	ns	0.8 (0.4)	4.0 (3.0)	1.2 (0.5)	su
Tomoceridae	Tomocerus spp.	L layer	17.0 (14.0)	48.2 (14.6)	20.0 (12.9)	***	$46.4 (18.9)^{a}$	$85.8 (14.8)^{ab}$	183.2 (32.4) ^b	su

effect of treatment was revealed to be significant in a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Data on the habitats of individual species in the soil organic layer are from Takeda (1978): L, F, and H represent litter layer, fermented layer, and humus layer, respectively. Hyphenated letters L-F and F-H indicate that the species inhabit(s) both layers. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the observed and predicted values: +P < 0.10; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.001; ns, not significant.