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# Vector Representation of Descendant Sets and Binary Fingerprinting Codes 

Ryoh Fuji－Hara<br>Faculty of Engineering，Information and System，University of Tsukuba，Japan fujihara＠sk．tsukuba．ac．jp


#### Abstract

Let $S$ be a finite set of $q$ symbols and $C \subseteq S^{n} . C(i)$ is the set of $S$ consisting of the elements appear in the $i$－th coordinate of $C, C(i)=\left\{c_{i} \mid\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in C\right\}$ ． The decedent set of $\mathrm{C}, \operatorname{desc}(C)$ ，is the set of all possible $n$－tuples of $S^{n}$ such that the elements at the $i$－th coordinate of $\operatorname{desc}(C)$ are from $C(i)$ ． $$
\operatorname{desc}(C)=C(1) \times C(2) \times \cdots \times C(n)
$$

The $n$－tuples of $C$ are called parents．There are several codes defined by using descen－ dant sets．Here we consider a code called $t$－separable code．It is a set of $n$－tuples $\mathfrak{C} \subset S^{n}$ satisfying $\operatorname{desc}(C) \neq \operatorname{desc}(D)$ for any $C, D \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ such that $C \neq D$ and $|C|,|D| \leq t$ ． In the case $|S|=2$ and $t=2$ ，we discuss a way to represent descendant sets，basic properties of descendant sets and constructions of t －separable codes，etc．


## 1 Introduction

Let $S$ be a finite set of $q$ symbols and $C \subset S^{n} . C(i)$ is the set of $S$ consisting of the elements appear in the $i$－th coordinate of $C$ ．

$$
C(i)=\left\{c_{i} \mid\left(c_{1} \cdot c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in C\right\}
$$

The decedent set of C denoted by $\operatorname{desc}(C)$ is the set of all possible $n$－tuples of $S^{n}$ such that the elements at the $i$－th coordinate of $\operatorname{desc}(C)$ are from $C(i)$ ．

$$
\operatorname{desc}(C)=C(1) \times C(2) \times \cdots \times C(n)
$$

The $n$－tuples of $C$ are called parents．
Example 1．1 Let $S=\{0,1\}, C=\{(1,0,1,0),(1,1,0,0)\}$ ，then $\operatorname{desc}(C)=\{1\} \times\{0,1\} \times$ $\{0,1\} \times\{0\}=\{(1,0,0,0),(1,0,1,0),(1,1,0,0),(1,1,1,0)\}$ ．

There are several codes defined by descendant sets which are used in digital fingerprinting. t -Frameproof code and t -secure frameproof code were defined by D. Boneh and J. Shaw (1998) [2], t-identifying parent property code by H. D. L. Hollmann, J. H. van Lint, J-P. Linnartz and L. M. G. M. Tolhuizen (1998) [12], t-traceability code by B. Chor, A. Fiat and M. Noor [7], t-expanded separable code by M. Cheng et. al., etc. We call these generally fingerprinting codes. The underlying problems of the fingerprinting code can be seen in [2] , [8], [11], [16] . Combinatorial approaches to analysis and construction of fingerprinting codes are seen in [1], [15].

Here we consider a code called $t$-separable code. It is a set of $n$-tuples $\mathfrak{C} \subset S^{n}$ satisfying $\operatorname{desc}(C) \neq \operatorname{desc}(D)$ for any $C, D \subset \mathfrak{C}$ such that $C \neq D$ and $|C|,|D| \leq t$. We denote it $t-S C(n, M,|S|)$, where $M=|\mathfrak{C}|$ is the number of code words.

The code is defined by M. Cheng and Y. Miao (2012) [5], and it is the most basic code because every other codes mentioned above have to satisfy the condition of t-separable code[13], which means these fingerprinting codes are all subsets of t-separable codes.
M. Cheng and Y. Miao [5] have shown an upper bound on the size of 2-separable codes: If there exists a $2-S C(n, M, q)$ then

$$
M \leq q^{n-1}+q(q-1) / 2 .
$$

Note that F. Gao and G. Ge [10] recently made better bound:

$$
M \leq \frac{3}{2} q^{2\left[\frac{n}{3}\right]}-\frac{1}{2} q^{\left[\frac{n}{3}\right]} .
$$

We disscuss here the simplest case of t-separable codes, that is, the case of $|S|=2$ and $t=2$.

## 2 Descendant Vector

Constructions of the codes defined by descendant sets are very difficult problems. The main reason of the difficulty is caused by a set theoretical definition of descendant sets. Here we represent a descendant set by a vector over an algebra.

Let $S=\{0,1\}$. The set of $n$-tuples of S deals with the set of $n$-dimensional vectors over the finite field of order $2, F_{2}{ }^{n}$.

Definition 2.1 For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in F_{2}{ }^{n}$,

$$
d v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}):=\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y}+a l f(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})
$$

where $*,+$ are multiplication and addition over $F_{2}$, respectively. alf $(0)=0, \operatorname{alf}(1)=\alpha$ and $\alpha$ is an indeterminate. Apply the operations for each coordinate of $F_{2}{ }^{n}$.

Example $2.2 \mathbf{x}=(1,0,1,0), \mathbf{y}=(1,1,0,0)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{desc}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\{1\} \times\{0,1\} \times\{0,1\} \times\{0\}, \\
d v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=(1, \alpha, \alpha, 0)
\end{gathered}
$$

If the set of symbols of $S$ which appears in the $i$-th coordinate $C(i)$ is $\{0,1\}$, then the $i$-th position of descendant vector turns out $\alpha$. For the descendant vector of parents $C \subseteq F_{2}{ }^{n}$ such that $|C| \geq 3$, we need to define an algebra over the set $\mathcal{A}=\{0,1, \alpha, \alpha+1\}$.

## Definition 2.3

$$
1 * \alpha=\alpha * 1=1 \text { and } \alpha * \alpha=\alpha
$$

From the definition, we have the following multiplication table:

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $\alpha$ | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| $\alpha+1$ | 0 | 0 | $\alpha+1$ | $\alpha+1$ |

The addition on $\mathcal{A}$ is naturally computed as polynomials over $F_{2}$. In deed, the algebra with the multiplication and addition on $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to the ring $F_{2} \times F_{2}$ with the correspondence $0=(0,0), 1=(0,1), \alpha=(1,1), \alpha+1=(1,0)$.

Now we define the descendant vector for parents of general size.
Definition 2.4 Suppose $d v(C)$ is defined for a subset $C$ of $F_{2}{ }^{n}$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in F_{2}{ }^{n} \backslash C$,

$$
d v(C \cup\{\mathbf{x}\}):=d v(C) * \mathbf{x}+a l f(d v(C)+\mathbf{x}),
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{alf}(z)= \begin{cases}\alpha & \text { if } z=1 \\ z & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for any $z \in A$
Lemma 2.5 For any $d \in\{0,1, \alpha\}$ and $x \in\{0,1\}, d * x+\operatorname{alf}(d+x) \in\{0,1, \alpha\}$.
Proof When $d=0$ or 1 , it is obvious. We consider the case $d=\alpha$ and $x \in\{0,1\}$. If $d=\alpha$ and $x=0$, then $\alpha * 0+a l f(\alpha+0)=0+\alpha=\alpha$. If $d=\alpha$ and $x=1$, then $\alpha * 1+a l f(\alpha+1)=1+(\alpha+1)=\alpha$

From this lemma, descendant vector does not contain $\alpha+1$, that is $d v(C) \in\{0,1, \alpha\}^{n}$ for any $C \subseteq F_{2}{ }^{n}$.

## Lemma 2.6

$$
d v(\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\} \cup\{\mathbf{z}\})=d v(\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}\} \cup\{\mathbf{y}\})
$$

Consider possible combinations of $i$-th coordinate of $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$. The possible combinations of 0,1 are only 8 . It is not difficult check all 8 cases. The lemma implies the definition of descendant vector is well-defined.

## Example 2.7

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1, & \alpha, & \alpha, & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathbf{z} & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0, & 1, & 0, & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
d v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) * \mathbf{z} & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0, & 1, & 0, & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
a l f(d v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})+\mathbf{z}) & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha, & \alpha+1, & \alpha, & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
d v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha, & \alpha, & \alpha, & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$C(i)$ is the set of symbols which appear in $i$-th coordinate of each $\mathbf{x} \in C$, for any $C \subset F_{2}{ }^{n} . C(i)$ is $\{0\},\{1\}$, or $\{0,1\}$. Each coordinate of a descendant vector has an element 0,1 or $\alpha$ which corresponds to $\{0\},\{1\}$, or $\{0,1\}$ of $C(i)$, respectively. Therefore, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.8 For any subsets $C, D \subseteq F_{2}{ }^{n}, \operatorname{desc}(C)=\operatorname{desc}(D)$ if and only if $d v(C)=$ $d v(D)$.

## 3 Basic Properties

The theorem 2.8 means that any descendant set is represented by a vector on the algebra $A$. Therefore, the set theoretical operations on descendant sets can be replaced by algebraic operations on $A$. We see basic properties of the correspondences. Those may be useful for constructions of fingerprinting codes.

Lemma 3.1 For any $C, D \subseteq F_{2}{ }^{n}, \operatorname{desc}(C) \cap \operatorname{desc}(D)=\phi$ if and only if there exists an element 1 of $S$ as a coodinate in the vector $d v(C)+d v(D)$.

The proof is seen in [9].

## Example 3.2

$$
\left.\begin{array}{llllllll}
d v(C) & = & (1, & 0, & \alpha, & \alpha, & \alpha, & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.3 For any $\mathbf{x} \in F_{2}{ }^{n}$ and $C \subset F_{2}{ }^{n}$, the followings are equivalent:

1. $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{desc}(C)$,
2. there exists no element 1 in $d v(C)+\mathbf{x}$,
3. $d v(C)=d v(C \cup\{\mathbf{x}\})$.

The proof is seen in [9].
Lemma 3.4 For any $\mathbf{x} \in F_{2}{ }^{n}$ and $C \subset F_{2}{ }^{n}$, if $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{desc}(C)$ then $d v(C) * \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}$.
The proof is seen in [9]. I
Lemma 3.5 For any $C, D \subset F_{2}{ }^{n}, C \neq D, \operatorname{desc}(C) \subset \operatorname{desc}(D)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $d v(C) * d v(D)=d v(C)$ and
- $d v(C)+d v(D)$ contains no element 1.

The proof is seen in [9].
Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a $(0,1)$-vector. The function $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ is offen used as the following definition:

$$
\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})=\left\{i \mid x_{i}=1,1 \leq i \leq n\right\} .
$$

Then, $\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}$ implies $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{y})$. Here we denote the relation $\mathbf{x} \preceq \mathbf{y}$ if $\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}$ for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}$

Lemma 3.6 For any $C, D \subset F_{2}{ }^{n}$, when $C \cap D \neq \phi$, then the following holds:

$$
d v(C \cap D) \preceq d v(C) * d v(D)
$$

The proof is seen in [9]. Proof

## Example 3.7

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C & =\{(1,0,1,0,0),(1,0,0,1,0)\} \\
D & =\{(1,0,1,0,0),(1,0,1,1,1)\} \\
d v(C) & =(1,0, \alpha, \alpha, 0) \\
d v(D) & =(1,0,1, \alpha, \alpha) \\
d v(C) * d v(D) & =(1,0,1, \alpha, 0) \\
d v(C \cap D) & =(1,0,1,0,0)
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 3.8 Let $C \subseteq F_{2}{ }^{n}$ and $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in F_{2}{ }^{n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d v(C \cup\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}) & =d v(C) * d v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})+a l f(d v(C)+d v(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})) \\
& =d v(C \cup\{x\}) * d v(\mathbf{y})+\operatorname{alf}(d v(C \cup\{\mathbf{x}\})+d v(\mathbf{y}))
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the lemma can be done by verifying all possible case. Let $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ be $i$-th coordinates of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, respectively. The all possible elements are $C(i)=\{0\},\{1\}$ or $\{0,1\}$ and $x_{i}=0$ or $1, y_{i}=0$ or 1 . Totally only 12 cases.

Lemma 3.9 For any $C, D \subset F_{2}{ }^{n}$,

$$
d v(C \cup D)=d v(C) * d v(D)+a l f(d v(C)+d v(D))
$$

The proof is seen in [9].

## 4 Geometrical Constructions of 2-separable codes

Consider that each vector of $F_{2}{ }^{n}$ except the zero vector is a point of finite projective geometry $\operatorname{PG}(n-1,2)$. Then for any distinct points $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in F_{2}{ }^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, the set of three points $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\}$ is a line of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1,2)$.

Lemma 4.1 For any four distinct points of $P G(n-1,2), C_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}\right\}, C_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\}$, $d v\left(C_{0}\right)=d v\left(C_{1}\right)$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}_{0} * \mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{x}_{1} * \mathbf{y}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{x}_{1}+\mathbf{x}_{1}$.

The proof is seen in [9].
Theorem 4.2 For any four points $\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}$ of $P G(n-1,2)$ such that $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}\right\} \neq$ $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\}, d v\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}\right)=d v\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right)$ if and only if the followings are satisfied:
(i) $\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{x}_{1}+\mathbf{y}_{1}=\mathbf{h}$ (which implies $\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{x}_{1}=\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{1}=\mathbf{d}$ ) and
(ii) $\mathbf{d} * \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{d}$ (i.e. $\mathbf{d} \preceq \mathbf{h}$ )

Proof If $\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0} \neq \mathbf{x}_{1}+\mathbf{y}_{1}$, clearly $d v\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}\right) \neq d v\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right)$. Therefore, we consider the case $\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{x}_{1}+\mathbf{y}_{1}$. Then, from Lemma 4.1,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{0} * \mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{x}_{1} * \mathbf{y}_{1} \text { if and only if } d v\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}\right)=d v\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right)
$$

Since $\mathbf{x}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{1}=\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{1} * \mathbf{y}_{1} & =\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{d}\right) *\left(\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{d}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{x}_{0} * \mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{x}_{0} * \mathbf{d}+\mathbf{y}_{0} * \mathbf{d}+\mathbf{d} \\
& =\mathbf{x}_{0} * \mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{d} *\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{d}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ is a vector such that $\mathbf{d} * \mathbf{d}^{\prime}=\mathbf{d}$. From the equation, $\mathbf{x}_{1} * \mathbf{y}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{0} * \mathbf{y}_{0}$ if and only if $\mathbf{d} *\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{d}^{\prime}\right)=0$.

The necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathbf{d} *\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{d}^{\prime}\right)=0$ is $\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathrm{y}_{0}=\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ or $\mathbf{d} *\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{d} * \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{d}$ (including the case $\left.\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}\right)$.


Figure 1:

In the case $\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{y}_{0} \\
& \mathbf{y}_{1}=\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{x}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}\right\} \neq\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\}$.
In the case $\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\mathbf{d} *\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathrm{y}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{d} * \mathbf{d}^{\prime}=\mathbf{d} .
$$

Therefore, (i) and (ii) are the necessary and sufficient conditions for $d v\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}\right)=$ $d v\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right)$

A set of four points on a plane, no three of which are collinear, is called a quadrangle. Let $Q$ ba a quadrangle in a plane of order 2 . Then there is exactly one line in the plane which is not incident with any point of $Q$. The line is called a external line to $Q$. Theorem 4.2 says that if $Q=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\}$ is a quadrangle and the external line to $Q$ contains two points $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}$ such that $\mathbf{d} \preceq \mathbf{h}$, then the four points $Q$ can not be contained in a $2-\mathrm{SC}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{M}, 2)$.

The lines in PG(n-1,2) contains two points $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}$ such that $\mathbf{d} \preceq \mathbf{h}$ play an important role for construction of $2-\mathrm{SC}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{M}, 2)$. We call here such a line an $i$-line. When a line containing the points $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}$ is an $i$-line (i.e. $\mathbf{d} \preceq \mathbf{h}$ ), the third point $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{h}$ on the line and $\mathbf{d}$ has the relation $\mathbf{p} * \mathbf{d}=\mathbf{0}$, which means $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{p}) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{d})=\phi$.

Lemma 4.3 Let $\mathfrak{C} \subset{F_{2}}^{n}$ be a 2-SC(n,M,2) not including the zero vector $\mathbf{0}$. $\mathfrak{C} \cup\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is a 2-SC( $n, M+1,2)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{C}$ contains no three points on any i-line.

The proof is seen in [9].
In the case of $n=3$, the vectors of $F_{2}{ }^{3}$ except 0 correspond to the points of $\operatorname{PG}(2,2)$ called Fano plane. In the Fano plane, the line $l=\{(0,1,1),(1,1,0),(1,0,1)\}$ is only the non $i$-line. All the others are $i$-lines. $D=\{((1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1),(1,1,1)\}$ is the unique quadrangle not meet the line $l$. Therefore, $D \cup\{0\}$ or $D \cup \mathbf{p}$, where $\mathbf{p}$ is a point on the line $l$, are $2-\mathrm{SC}(3,5,2)$, which contain the maximal number of code words.

Consider PG(n-1,2), $n \geq 4$. From Theorem 4.2, we have the following theorem:
Theorem 4.4 Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a set of points in $P G(n-1,2)$. $\mathfrak{C}$ is a 2-separable code if and only if, for each plane $\mathcal{P}$ in $P G(n-1,2)$, the points of $\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathcal{P}$ contains

- no quadrangle or
- a quadrangle $Q$ but the external line to $Q$ is a non $i$-line.

Corollary 4.5 Let $l, m$ be lines of $P G(3,2)$ which are not concurrent. Then the 6 points, $\mathfrak{C}$, on the lines are 2-SC(4,6,2). If those two lines are non $i$-lines then $\mathfrak{C} \cup\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is 2-SC(4,7,2).

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a set of points in $\operatorname{PG}(\mathrm{n}-1,2)$. For any two points of $\mathcal{F}$, if the line passing through the two points is contained in $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is called a flat. A $d$-flat is a flat generated from $d+1$ independent vectors. If a $d$-flat contains no $i$-line, then it is said to be $i$-line free d-flat.

Theorem 4.6 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an i-line free $d$-flat of $P G(n-1,2)$, and $\mathcal{W}$ be a (d+1)-flat including $\mathcal{F}$. Then the the set of points of $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{W} \backslash \mathcal{F}$ is a $2-S C\left(n, 2^{d+1}, 2\right)$. Further, $\mathcal{A} \cup\{0\}$ is a $2-S C\left(n, 2^{d+1}+1,2\right)$.

The proof is seen in [9].

## 5 -line free flats

Theorem 4.6 says if there is a large $i$-line free $d$-flat, there exists a 2 -separable code with a large number of code words. So it is important to find an $i$-line free $d$-flat, and $d$ as large as possible.

In order to find an $i$-line free $d$-flats, let's count the number of $i$-lines.
Lemma 5.1 Le $P$ be a point of $P G(n-1,2)$. The number of $i$-lines incident with $P$ is

$$
2^{n-w}+2^{w-1}-2
$$

where $w$ is Hamming weight of $P$.
The proof is seen in [9].

Lemma 5.2 The number of $i$-lines in $P G(n-1,2)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{3} \sum_{w=1}^{n}\binom{n}{w}\left(2^{n-w}+2^{w-1}-2\right) \\
=\left(3^{n}-2^{n+1}+1\right) / 2
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof is seen in [9].
The number of lines in $\operatorname{PG}(\mathrm{n}-1,2)$ is $\left(2^{n}-1\right)\left(2^{n-1}-1\right) / 3$. The ratio of $i$-lines to the all lines in $\operatorname{PG}(\mathrm{n}-1,2)$ is

$$
\frac{3^{n+1}-3\left(2^{n+1}\right)+3}{\left(2^{n}-2\right)\left(2^{n}-1\right)}
$$

This reduces exponentially. The ratios are, for examples, 0.85 when $n=3,0.58$ when $n=5$, 0.16 when $\mathrm{n}=10$ and 0.0095 when $\mathrm{n}=20$. The trend of ratios suggests there may exist large $i$-line free flat. We are interested in how large the flats in $\mathrm{PG}(\mathrm{n}-1,2)$ are.

Here is the $i$-line free 1-flat in $\operatorname{PG}(2,2)$ which is the largest:

$$
(1,1,0),(1,0,1),(0,1,1)
$$

An $i$-line free 2-flat is the following, which appears in $\operatorname{PG}(5,2)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1,1,0,0,1,1) \\
& (0,0,1,1,1,1) \\
& (1,1,1,1,0,0) \\
& (1,0,0,1,1,0) \\
& (0,1,1,0,1,0) \\
& (1,0,1,0,0,1) \\
& (0,1,0,1,0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

From my experiments, there is no $i$-line free plane in $\mathrm{PG}(3,2), \mathrm{PG}(4,2)$.
If there exist an $i$-line free hyperplane in $\mathrm{PG}(\mathrm{n}-1,2)$, then we can have a 2 - $\mathrm{SC}\left(n, 2^{n-1}+\right.$ $1,2)$ which attains the Cheng-Miao Bound. Unfortunately, we have the following result:

Lemma 5.3 (A. Munemasa [14]) There is no i-line free hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}(n-1,2)$ for $n \geq 4$.

The proof is seen in [9].
Lemma 5.4 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a linear subspace in $F_{2}{ }^{n}$ excluding $\mathbf{0}$. If, for any two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in$ $\mathcal{F},|\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{y})| \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is -line free.

The proof is seen in [9].
Let V be a finite set with $v$ element and $\mathcal{B}$ a collection of $k$-subsets of $V$. If $v=$ $4 d-1, k=2 d$ and $\left|B \cap B^{\prime}\right|=d$ for any $B, B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}$, then the pair $(V, \mathcal{B})$ is called an Hadamard design.

Lemma 5.5 The incidence matrix of an Hadamard design which is linear on $F_{2}{ }^{n}$ is an $i$-line flat.

A simplex code is the dual code of the Hamming code of length $2^{m}-1, m \geq 2$. It is well known that a simplex code excluding 0 is an Hadamard design with the parameters $v=2^{m}-1, k=2^{m-1}, d=2^{m-2}$ and it is a $d$-flat in the $\operatorname{PG}\left(2^{m}-2,2\right)$.

Example 5.6 An simplex code (i-line free 2-flat in $P G(6,2)$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (0,1,1,0,0,1,1) \\
& (0,0,0,1,1,1,1) \\
& (0,1,1,1,1,0,0) \\
& (1,1,0,0,1,1,0) \\
& (1,0,1,1,0,1,0) \\
& (1,1,0,1,0,0,1) \\
& (1,0,1,0,1,0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5.7 There exists $i$-line free $\left(2^{m-2}\right)$-flat in $P G\left(2^{m}-2,2\right)$ for any integer $m \geq 2$.
Let $H$ be an incidence matrix of a Hadamard design with the parameters $v=2^{m}-1, k=$ $2^{m-1}, d=2^{m-2}$. An array $H^{\prime}$ obtained by punctuating at most $d-1$ coordinates of $H$ is also $i$-line free flat.

Conjecture 5.8 (A. Munemasa [14]) If $\mathcal{F}$ is an $i$-line free flat, then $\mathcal{F}$ is obtained from either of
(1) an simplex code or its subspace,
(2) punctuating some coordinates from (1).
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