

Title	Asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues of the Laplacian with the mixed boundary condition and its application (Geometry of solutions of partial differential equations)
Author(s)	Jimbo, Shuichi; Kurata, Kazuhiro
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2013), 1850: 127-137
Issue Date	2013-09
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/195121">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/195121</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# Asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues of the Laplacian with the mixed boundary condition and its application

北海道大学理学研究院 神保秀一 (Shuichi Jimbo)

Department of Mathematics,  
Hokkaido University

首都大学東京理工学研究科 倉田和浩 (Kazuhiro Kurata)

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,  
Tokyo Metropolitan University

## 1 Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, based on a recent work [5], we present our study on an asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues of the Laplacian on a thin domain under the mixed boundary condition. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ . For a sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ , define  $\Omega(\epsilon) = \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \Gamma) < \epsilon\}$ ,  $\Gamma(\epsilon) = \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \Gamma) = \epsilon\}$ . Consider the eigenvalue problem:

$$-\Delta\Phi = \lambda\Phi \quad \text{in } \Omega(\epsilon), \quad \Phi = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma(\epsilon), \quad \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad (1)$$

where  $\nu(x)$  is the outward unit normal vector on  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $\{\lambda_k(\epsilon)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be the eigenvalues satisfying  $0 < \lambda_1(\epsilon) < \lambda_2(\epsilon) \leq \lambda_3(\epsilon) \leq \dots \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\{\Phi_{k,\epsilon}(x)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be the associated eigenfunctions. We may assume  $\Phi_{1,\epsilon}(x) > 0$  ( $x \in \Omega(\epsilon)$ ) and  $\Phi_{1,\epsilon}$  can be obtained as the minimizer of  $\lambda_1(\epsilon) = \inf\{R_\epsilon(\Phi) \mid \Phi \in H^1(\Omega(\epsilon)), \Phi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma(\epsilon)\}$ , where

$$R_\epsilon(\Phi) = \frac{\int_{\Omega(\epsilon)} |\nabla_x \Phi|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega(\epsilon)} |\Phi|^2 dx}.$$

In general  $k$ -th eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(\epsilon)$  can be characterized by using the min-max principle.

$$\lambda_k(\epsilon) = \sup_{E \subset L^2(\Omega(\epsilon)), \dim E \leq k-1} \inf\{R_\epsilon(\Phi) \mid \Phi \in H^1(\Omega(\epsilon)), \Phi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma(\epsilon), \Phi \perp E\}.$$

Here  $E$  is a linear subspace of  $L^2(\Omega(\epsilon))$  and  $\Phi \perp E$  means  $(\Phi, \Psi)_{L^2(\Omega(\epsilon))} = 0$  for every  $\Psi \in E$ . We denote by  $H(\xi)$  the mean curvature of  $\Gamma$  at  $\xi \in \Gamma$ . Then we have the following asymptotic behavior of  $\lambda_k(\epsilon)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 1** Let  $k \geq 1$ . Then, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\epsilon^2 \lambda_k(\epsilon) = \bar{\lambda}_1 - (\max_{\xi \in \Gamma} H(\xi)) \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{3/2}).$$

Here,  $\bar{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{4}$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem:

$$-\phi''(s) = \lambda \phi(s), \quad s \in (0, 1), \quad \phi'(0) = 0, \quad \phi(1) = 0.$$

Theorem 1 also suggests that the eigenfunctions  $\Phi_{k,\epsilon}(x)$  concentrates on a certain point  $\xi^* \in \Gamma$  which attains the maximum of the mean curvature  $H(\xi)$ .

**Remark 1** A closely related result has been obtained by Krejcirik [6] for  $n = 2, 3$  with a rough remainder order term  $o(\epsilon)$  instead of  $O(\epsilon^{3/2})$ . The method is quite different from ours. His result is motivated on a quantum wave guide problem, especially on the work of Dittrich and Kriz [3], which studied existence and non-existence of bound-states on a bent strip under Dirichlet-Neumann boundary condition. For a quantum wave guide problem, see [2], [7] and the references therein. Moreover, concentration phenomena of eigenfunctions also have been studied by S.A Nazarov et. al. [1] on a thin cylindrical domain with Neumann boundary condition on the lateral boundary and Dirichlet boundary condition on other boundaries.

If we assume the maximum point  $\xi^* \in \Gamma$  of  $H(\xi)$ , i.e.  $H(\xi^*) = \max_{\xi \in \Gamma} H(\xi)$ , is **non-degenerate**, we can obtain more precise asymptotic behavior of  $\lambda_k(\epsilon)$ .

Suppose there exists a unique maximum point  $\xi^* \in \Gamma$  of  $H(\xi)$ . We may assume  $\xi^*$  is the origin by a suitable transformation. Furthermore, we assume this maximum point is non-degenerate, namely there exist positive constants  $\gamma_j > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , such that  $H(\xi)$  can be written by

$$H(\xi) = H(O) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_j \xi_j^2 + O(|\xi|^3)$$

by using a suitable normal local coordinate  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$  near the origin  $O \in \Gamma$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{Z}_+ = \{0\} \cup \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and consider the set

$$\{\Lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2m_l + 1) \sqrt{\gamma_l} \mid (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{n-1} \right\}$$

with  $\Lambda_1 < \Lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \Lambda_k \leq \Lambda_{k+1} \leq \dots$ . Then we have the following sharp asymptotics.

**Theorem 2** Suppose that the mean curvature function  $H(\xi)$  has a unique maximum point  $\xi^* \in \Gamma$  of  $H(\xi)$ , which is non-degenerate. Let  $k \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$\epsilon^2 \lambda_k(\epsilon) = \bar{\lambda}_1 - (\max_{\xi \in \Gamma} H(\xi)) \epsilon + \Lambda_k \epsilon^{3/2} + o(\epsilon^{3/2}) \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

**Remark 2** When  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid R - \epsilon < |x| < R\}$ , by using a direct computation we have

$$\epsilon^2 \lambda_k(\epsilon) = (\pi/2)^2 - \frac{(n-1)}{R} \epsilon + \left( \frac{\Lambda_k}{R^2} - \frac{n^2-1}{4R^2} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{R^2 \pi^2} \right) \epsilon^2 + o(\epsilon^2),$$

where  $\Lambda_k$  is the  $k$ -th eigenvalue of the Laplacian on  $S^{n-1}$ . When  $H(\xi)$  is constant near its maximum point, then the following formula would hold in general:

$$\epsilon^2 \lambda_k(\epsilon) = (\pi/2)^2 - c_1 \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2), \quad c_1 = \max H(\xi).$$

Although Theorem 1 and 2 has its own interest, our another motivation is to solve the question raised by K. Umezu [8] in his study of a certain bifurcation problem arising in population dynamics. As an application of Theorem 1 we give a partial result to that question. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  be a bounded smooth domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $m \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  be a sign changing function satisfying  $\int_\Omega m \, dx < 0$ . Then it is well-known that the problem:

$$\lambda_1(m) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla \phi|^2 \, dx}{\int_\Omega m(x) \phi^2 \, dx} \mid \phi \in H^1(\Omega), \int_\Omega m(x) \phi^2 \, dx > 0 \right\} \quad (2)$$

is attained by  $\phi(x; m) > 0$  ( $x \in \Omega$ ) and  $\lambda_1(m) > 0$ . Then the question is the following: find the condition on  $m(x)$  which implies the inequality:

$$\frac{\int_\Omega \phi(x; m)^3 \, dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega} \phi(x; m)^3 \, dS} < \frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial\Omega|}. \quad (3)$$

We can give a sufficient condition for general domains  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 3** Let  $n = 2$ ,  $\Omega(\epsilon) = \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \partial\Omega) < \epsilon\}$  and consider the function  $m(x)$  satisfying  $m(x) = 1$  on  $\Omega(\epsilon)$ ,  $m(x) = -s$  on  $\Omega \setminus \Omega(\epsilon)$  for  $s > 0$ . Then there exist a sufficiently small  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and sufficiently large  $s_0 > 0$  such that the inequality (3) holds for  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$  and  $s > s_0$ .

Let us briefly explain the relation between the question above and the bifurcation problem studied by K. Umezu. Consider the problem

$$-\Delta u = \lambda(m(x)u - u^2), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda b u^2, \quad x \in \partial\Omega,$$

where  $m(x)$  is a sign-changing function satisfying  $\int_\Omega m(x) \, dx < 0$ . If the inequality (3) is satisfied, take  $b$  such that

$$\frac{\int_\Omega \phi(x; m)^3 \, dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega} \phi(x; m)^3 \, dS} < b < \frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial\Omega|}.$$

Then Umezu proved that there exists a (subcritical) bifurcation curve  $(\lambda, u(x, \lambda))$  which bifurcates at  $(\lambda_1(m), 0)$  with  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(m)$  and  $u(x, \lambda) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . So the inequality (3) is a sufficient condition to determine a structure of the bifurcation curve.

## 2 Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1 and 2

### 2.1 Preliminaries

First, using a local coordinate  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$  for  $\xi \in \Gamma = \partial\Omega$ , every point  $x \in \Omega(\epsilon)$  in the neighborhood of  $\Gamma$  can be expressed by  $x = \xi - t\nu(\xi)$  with  $x \in \Gamma, 0 < t < \epsilon$ .

So let  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \xi_n) = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, t)$  be a local coordinate of  $\Gamma \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  and by  $(g_{ij})$  the metric tensor associated with this local coordinate. Then we have  $g_{in} = g_{ni} = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) and  $g_{nn} = 1$ . Let  $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$  and  $G = \det(g_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \det(g_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$ . Then we can write the norm of the gradient and the Laplacian of  $\Phi$  by using this local coordinate as follows:

$$|\nabla_x \Phi|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \xi_j} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \xi_j} + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}\right)^2,$$

$$\Delta \Phi = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \left( g^{ij} \sqrt{G} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \xi_j} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sqrt{G} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right).$$

Taking  $\Phi(\xi, t) = t$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sqrt{G} \right) = \Delta t = \operatorname{div}(\nabla t) = -\operatorname{div}(\bar{\nu}) = -H(\xi, t),$$

where  $\bar{\nu}(\xi, t)$  is the extended unit normal such that  $\bar{\nu}(\xi, 0) = \nu(\xi)$ . Now, we obtain the following formula:

$$\sqrt{G(\xi, t)} = \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)}(1 - H(\xi)t) + O(t^2),$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , where  $H(\xi)$  is the mean curvature function of  $\Gamma$  at  $\xi \in \Gamma$ . Note that, when  $\Gamma = \partial B(0, R)$ , then  $H(\xi) = \frac{n-1}{R}$  for  $\xi \in \Gamma$ . Using a local coordinate and the transformation  $\tilde{\Phi}(\xi, \tau) = \Phi(\xi, \epsilon\tau), \xi \in \Gamma, 0 < \tau < 1$ , we can rewrite the Rayleigh quotient as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\epsilon(\Phi) &= \frac{\int_{\Omega(\epsilon)} |\nabla_x \Phi|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega(\epsilon)} \Phi^2 dx} = \frac{\int_{\Gamma \times (0, \epsilon)} (|\nabla_\xi \Phi|^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}\right)^2) \sqrt{G(\xi, t)} d\xi dt}{\int_{\Gamma \times (0, \epsilon)} \Phi^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, t)} d\xi dt} \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\int_{\Gamma \times (0, 1)} (\epsilon^2 |\nabla_\xi \tilde{\Phi}|^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial \tau}\right)^2) \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau}{\int_{\Gamma \times (0, 1)} \tilde{\Phi}^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \tilde{R}_\epsilon(\tilde{\Phi}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Now, we recall the definition of the Hermite polynomials  $H_m(s)$ : for  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $s \in \mathbf{R}$  define

$$H_m(s) = (-1)^m \exp\left(\frac{s^2}{2}\right) \frac{d^m}{ds^m} \left( \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{2}\right) \right).$$

Let  $\phi_m(t) = H_m(\sqrt{2}t) \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then one can see  $\phi_m(t)$  satisfies

$$-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \phi_m(t) + t^2 \phi_m(t) = (2m+1) \phi_m(t).$$

Now for  $k > 0, \epsilon > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ , we put

$$\rho_{k,m,\epsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{1}{(m!)^{\frac{1}{2}}} k^{\frac{1}{4}} \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{8}} \phi_m \left( \frac{\sqrt{k}t}{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right), \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Basic properties of the function  $\rho_{k,m,\epsilon}$  are as follows:

**Lemma 1**  $\rho_{k,m,\epsilon}$  satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \rho_{k,m,\epsilon}(t) \rho_{k,l,\epsilon}(t) dt &= \delta(m, l), \quad (m, l \in \mathbf{Z}_+, k, \epsilon > 0), \\ -\epsilon \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \rho_{k,m,\epsilon}(t) + k^2 t^2 \rho_{k,m,\epsilon}(t) &= k(2m+1) \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{k,m,\epsilon}(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of Lemma 1 and other useful properties of  $\rho_{k,m,\epsilon}$ , see [5].

We will explain how to choose a test function for the case  $k = 1$ . As a test function we want to choose  $\tilde{\Phi}(\xi, \tau) = \psi_{\mathbf{p},\epsilon}(\xi) \phi_1(\tau)$ , where  $\phi_1(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\tau)$  and a suitably chosen  $\psi_{\mathbf{p},\epsilon}(\xi) \in H^1(\Gamma)$ . Now take any  $k_j > 0$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) and any  $\mathbf{p} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{n-1}$ . Let  $0 < a < b$  be small numbers and let  $\eta(t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  is a suitable cut-off function. Then we can take our test functions as follows:

$$\psi_{\mathbf{p},\epsilon}(\xi) = \eta(\xi_1) \rho_{k_1, m_1, \epsilon}(\xi_1) \eta(\xi_2) \rho_{k_2, m_2, \epsilon}(\xi_2) \cdots \eta(\xi_{n-1}) \rho_{k_{n-1}, m_{n-1}, \epsilon}(\xi_{n-1})$$

by using a local normal coordinate. To construct a test function for  $k > 1$ , we must choose  $k$  different pair of  $\mathbf{p}$  which assures the orthogonality condition.

## 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1(upper bound for $k = 1$ ):

For simplicity, we only explain the case  $k = 1$ . As a test function, using a notation  $\psi_\epsilon(\xi) = \psi_{\mathbf{p},\epsilon}(\xi)$  for simplicity, we consider

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon(\xi, \tau) = \psi_\epsilon(\xi) \phi_1(\tau), \quad \phi_1(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\tau\right)$$

with normalization  $\int_{\Gamma} \psi_\epsilon(\xi)^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi = 1$ . Then the rescaled Rayleigh quotient is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_\epsilon(\tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon) &= \frac{N_1(\epsilon) + N_2(\epsilon)}{M(\epsilon)}, \\ M(\epsilon) &= \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} \psi_\epsilon(\xi)^2 \phi_1(\tau)^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} (1 - H(\xi)\epsilon\tau + O(\epsilon^2)) d\xi d\tau \\ &= 1 - \int_{\Gamma} \psi_\epsilon^2 H(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \times \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi^2}\right)\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2), \\ N_1(\epsilon) &= \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} \psi_\epsilon(\xi)^2 (\phi_1'(\tau))^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} (1 - H(\xi)\epsilon\tau + O(\epsilon^2)) d\xi d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bar{\lambda}_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right) \int_{\Gamma} \psi_{\epsilon}^2 H(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \times \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2), \\
N_2(\epsilon) &= \epsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} |\nabla \psi_{\epsilon}(\xi)|^2 (\phi_1(\tau))^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} (1 - H(\xi)\epsilon\tau + O(\epsilon^2)) d\xi d\tau \\
&= \epsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla \psi_{\epsilon}(\xi)|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi + O(\epsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}), \\
&= O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

since our test function  $\psi_{\epsilon}(\xi)$  satisfies the following estimate( see [5]):

$$\int_{\Gamma} |\nabla \psi_{\epsilon}(\xi)|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi = O(\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}_{\epsilon}(\tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}) &= \left\{ \bar{\lambda}_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right) \int_{\Gamma} \psi_{\epsilon}^2 H(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \times \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}) \right\} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ 1 - \int_{\Gamma} \psi_{\epsilon}^2 H(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \times \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right) \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2) \right\}^{-1} \\
&= \bar{\lambda}_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1 \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right) \right) \int_{\Gamma} \psi_{\epsilon}^2 H(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \times \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}) \\
&= \bar{\lambda}_1 - c_1 \epsilon + \int_{\Gamma} \psi_{\epsilon}^2 \hat{H}(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \times \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $H(\xi) = c_1 - \hat{H}(\xi)$  with  $c_1 = \max H$ ,  $\hat{H}(\xi) \geq 0$ . These yields the desired upper bound.

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 1(lower bound for $k = 1$ ):

Let  $\tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}(\xi, \tau)$  be the 1st eigenfunction. Then

$$\epsilon^2 \lambda_1(\epsilon) = \frac{\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} \left( \epsilon^2 |\nabla \tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}(\xi, \tau)|^2 + (\partial_{\tau} \tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon})^2 \right) \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau}{\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} |\tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}(\xi, \tau)|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau}$$

with normalization

$$\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} |\tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}(\xi, \tau)|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau = 1.$$

Let  $\phi_l(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \cos((l - \frac{1}{2})\pi\tau)$ , ( $l \geq 1$ ),  $\bar{\lambda}_l = (l - \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi^2$  and

$$\alpha^{(l)}(\xi, \epsilon) = \int_0^1 \tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}(\xi, s) \phi_l(s) ds.$$

By using the Fourier expansion, we can decompose as follows:

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}(\xi, \tau) = \tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}^{(1)}(\xi, \tau) + \tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}^{(2)}(\xi, \tau),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(1)}(\xi, \tau) &= \alpha^{(1)}(\xi, \epsilon)\phi_1(\tau), \\ \tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(2)}(\xi, \tau) &= \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \alpha^{(l)}(\xi, \epsilon)\phi_l(\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Our normalization implies

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(l)}(\xi, \epsilon))^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi = 1.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\partial_\tau \tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon)^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma} \bar{\lambda}_l (\alpha^{(l)}(\xi, \epsilon))^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \\ &= \bar{\lambda}_1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (\bar{\lambda}_l - \bar{\lambda}_1) \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(l)}(\xi, \epsilon))^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Note that there exists a constant  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\epsilon) = O(\epsilon)$  such that

$$1 - \delta_1(\epsilon) \leq \frac{\sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)}}{\sqrt{G(\xi, 0)}} \leq 1 + \delta_1(\epsilon).$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^2 \lambda_1(\epsilon) &\geq \frac{\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\partial_\tau \tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon)^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau}{\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon)^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau} \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \delta_1(\epsilon)}{1 + \delta_1(\epsilon)} \frac{\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\partial_\tau \tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon)^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau}{\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon)^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau} \\ &= \frac{1 - \delta_1(\epsilon)}{1 + \delta_1(\epsilon)} \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\partial_\tau \tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon)^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Now, first we will establish a rough estimate. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1 - \delta_1(\epsilon)}{1 + \delta_1(\epsilon)} \left( \bar{\lambda}_1 + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_l (\alpha^{(l)}(\xi, \epsilon))^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \right) \\ \leq \epsilon^2 \lambda_1(\epsilon) \leq \bar{\lambda}_1 - c_1 \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{3/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma} \bar{\lambda}_l (\alpha^{(l)}(\xi, \epsilon))^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi = O(\epsilon).$$

By this estimate, we can get

$$\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\partial_\tau \tilde{\Phi}^{(1)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau = \bar{\lambda}_1 + O(\epsilon),$$



$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\partial_\tau \tilde{\Phi}^{(2)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau &= O(\epsilon), \\ \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau &= 1 + O(\epsilon), \\ \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\tilde{\Phi}^{(2)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau &= O(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\tilde{\Phi})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau \\ &= 1 - \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)} + \tilde{\Phi}^{(2)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} H(\xi) \tau d\xi d\tau \times \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2) \\ &= 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi^2}\right) \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(1)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} H(\xi) d\xi \times \epsilon + Q_1(\xi), \quad Q_1(\xi) = O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} (\partial_\tau \tilde{\Phi})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau \\ &= \bar{\lambda}_1 + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} (\bar{\lambda}_l - \bar{\lambda}_1) \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(l)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi^2}\right) \bar{\lambda}_1 \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(1)})^2 H(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \times \epsilon + Q_2(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

with  $Q_2(\epsilon) = O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}})$ . Combining these estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{\lambda}_1 - c_1 \epsilon + O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}) \geq \epsilon^2 \lambda_1(\epsilon) \\ &\geq \bar{\lambda}_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1 \left( \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi^2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi^2}\right) \right) \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(1)})^2 H(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \times \epsilon \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} (\bar{\lambda}_l - \bar{\lambda}_1) \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(l)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \\ &\quad + \epsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} |\nabla \tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau (1 + O(\epsilon)) + O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}) \\ &= \bar{\lambda}_1 - \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(1)})^2 (c_1 - \hat{H}(\xi)) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \times \epsilon \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} (\bar{\lambda}_l - \bar{\lambda}_1) \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(l)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \\ &\quad + \epsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} |\nabla \tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau (1 + O(\epsilon)) + O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} (\bar{\lambda}_l - \bar{\lambda}_1) \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(l)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi = o(\epsilon)$$

and this improves the estimate of  $Q_j(\xi)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  as follows:  $Q_j(\epsilon) = o(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}})$ . Therefore, we can conclude

$$-c_1 + C_2 \epsilon^{1/2} \geq (\epsilon^2 \lambda_1(\epsilon) - \bar{\lambda}_1) \epsilon^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \left\{ -c_1(1 + O(\epsilon)) + \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(1)})^2 \hat{H}(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} (\bar{\lambda}_l - \bar{\lambda}_1) \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(l)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \epsilon \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} |\nabla \tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau + (Q_2(\xi) - \bar{\lambda}_1 Q_1(\xi)) \epsilon^{-1} \right\} \times (1 + O(\epsilon))^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we are ready to obtain an improved estimate. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(1)})^2 \hat{H}(\xi) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi = O(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}), \\
&\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} (\bar{\lambda}_l - \bar{\lambda}_1) \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha^{(l)})^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi = O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}), \\
&\epsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} |\nabla \tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon}|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau = O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence we get the desired lower bound:

$$(\epsilon^2 \lambda_1(\epsilon) - \bar{\lambda}_1) \epsilon^{-1} \geq -c_1 + O(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

## 2.4 Comments on the proof of Theorem 2

To obtain a sharp upper bound, we choose the precise vector  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\{k_i\}$  for the test functions to match the coefficients appear in the Taylor expansion of the mean curvature function. Once we obtain the desired sharp upper bound, noting the concentration of  $L^2$  norm near the unique maximum point of  $H(\xi)$ , we can arrive at the desired lower bound. For the details, see [5].

## 3 Proof of Theorem 3

### 3.1 limiting problem and an interpolation inequality

First, the following proposition connects the problem of Umezū and our problem. Take any sequence  $\{s_j\}$  such that  $s_j \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $j \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Then let  $m_j(x)$  be a function satisfying  $m_j(x) = 1$  on  $\Omega(\epsilon)$ ,  $m_j(x) = -s_j$  on  $\Omega \setminus \Omega(\epsilon)$  and let  $\lambda(m_j(x))$  and  $\phi^{(j)}(x) = \phi(x; m_j)$  be the associated eigenvalue and eigenfunction, respectively.

**Proposition 1**  $\phi^{(j)}$  converges weakly to  $\Phi_{1,\epsilon}$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\lambda(m_j(x)) \rightarrow \lambda_1(\epsilon)$  as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ . Here  $\Phi_{1,\epsilon}(x)$  is the zero extension to  $\Omega$  and can be seen as an element of  $H^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover, when  $n = 2$ , we have

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} (\phi^{(j)}(x))^3 dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega} (\phi^{(j)}(x))^3 dS} \rightarrow \frac{\int_{\Omega(\epsilon)} (\Phi_{1,\epsilon}(x))^3 dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega} (\Phi_{1,\epsilon}(x))^3 dS}$$

as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We can prove Proposition 1 easily by using a standard argument. We also need the following interpolation inequality.

**Proposition 2** *Let  $n = 2$  and  $\phi \in H^1(\Gamma \times (0, 1))$  with  $\phi(\xi, 1) = 0$  ( $\xi \in \Gamma$ ). Then there exists constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that the following inequalities hold: as  $U = \Gamma \times (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \int_{\Gamma} |\phi(\xi, s)|^3 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi &\leq C_1 \left( \int_U |\phi(\xi, \tau)|^4 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_U \left| \frac{\partial \phi(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \tau}(\xi, \tau) \right|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau \right)^{1/2}, \\ \int_U |\phi(\xi, \tau)|^4 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau &\leq C_2 \left( \int_U |\phi(\xi, \tau)|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_U (|\nabla_{\xi} \phi(\xi, \tau)|^2 + |\nabla_{\tau} \phi(\xi, \tau)|^2 + |\phi(\xi, \tau)|^2) \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau \right)^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of Proposition 2, see [5].

### 3.2 Outline of the proof of Theorem 3

First by  $\tilde{\Phi}(\xi, \tau) = \Phi(\xi, \epsilon\tau)$  we have

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega(\epsilon)} (\Phi_{1,\epsilon}(x))^3 dx}{\int_{\Gamma} (\Phi_{1,\epsilon}(x))^3 dS} = \epsilon \left( \frac{\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} \tilde{\Phi}(\xi, \tau)^3 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau}{\int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\Phi}(\xi, 0)^3 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi} \right).$$

Since  $\sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} = \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} + O(\epsilon)$ , it is enough to estimate the quantity:

$$\frac{\int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} \tilde{\Phi}(\xi, \tau)^3 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi d\tau}{\int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\Phi}(\xi, 0)^3 \sqrt{G(\xi, 0)} d\xi}.$$

Now we use the Fourier decomposition used in the proof of Theorem 1:

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\xi, \tau) = \tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(\xi, \tau) + \tilde{\Phi}^{(2)}(\xi, \tau), \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(\xi, \tau) = \alpha_1(\xi, \epsilon) \phi_1(\tau),$$

where

$$\alpha_1(\xi, \epsilon) = \int_0^1 \tilde{\Phi}(\xi, s) \phi_1(s) ds > 0$$

with  $\phi_1(s) = \sqrt{2} \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}s)$ . On the other hand, from Theorem 1 and its proof, we note that

$$\epsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma \times (0,1)} |\nabla_{\xi} \tilde{\Phi}|^2 \sqrt{G(\xi, \epsilon\tau)} d\xi d\tau = O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}})$$

holds. By using this key estimate and Proposition 2, we can obtain the desired estimate. For the details, see [5].

## 4 Future problems

We give several comments on open questions in this field.

- (1) The computation of the coefficient of the fourth order term  $O(\epsilon^2)$  would be rather difficult.
- (2) Dirichlet-Robin or Robin-Neumann mixed boundary condition would be interesting.
- (3) Similar asymptotics would hold for an eigenvalue problem with Dirichlet boundary condition with Neumann window (cf. [4]).
- (4) Asymptotic behavior of the least energy of a nonlinear eigenvalue problem  $-\Delta u = u^p$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  for  $p > 1$ , for example, on a thin domain would be interesting.

## 参考文献

- [1] G. Cardone, T. Durante and S.A. Nazarov, The localization effect for eigenfunctions of the mixed boundary value problem in a thin cylinder with distorted ends, arXiv:0910.1454v1, 2009.
- [2] P. Duclos and P. Exner, Curvature-induced bound states in quantum waveguides in two and three dimensions, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 7(1995), 73–102.
- [3] J. Dittrich and J. Kříž, Curved planer quantum wires with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, *J. Phys. A* 35(2002), L269-275.
- [4] P. Exner and S.A. Vugalter, Asymptotic estimates for bound states in quantum waveguides coupled laterally through a narrow window, *Ann. H. Poincaré: Phys. théor.* 65(1996), 109-123.
- [5] S. Jimbo and K. Kurata, Asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues of the Laplacian with the mixed boundary condition and its application, 2012, submitted.
- [6] D. Krejciřík, Spectrum of the Laplacian in a narrow curved strip with combined Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 15(2009), 2941-2974.
- [7] D. Krejcirik and J. Kříž, On the spectrum of curved quantum waveguides, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University* 41(2005), 757–791.
- [8] K. Umezū, Bifurcation approach to a logistic elliptic equation with a homogeneous incoming flux boundary condition, *J. Diff. Eq.*, (2012).

E-mail address:

Shuichi Jimbo (jimbo@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp)

Kazuhiro Kurata (kurata@tmu.ac.jp)