Kyoto University Research Information Repository	
Title	Integral representation of monotone functions (Mathematical Studies on Independence and Dependence Structure : Algebra meets Probability)
Author(s)	Machida, Motoya
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2012), 1820: 69-72
Issue Date	2012-12
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/194647
Right	
Туре	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Integral representation of monotone functions

Motoya Machida

Tennessee Technological University, Cookeville, TN mmachida@tntech.edu

Abstract

Integral representation of monotone functions has been studied by Choquet [1], Murofushi and Sugeno [4], Norberg [5], and many others, but not necessarily been their primal interest due to the lack of uniqueness in their representations. Here we present a brief overview of different approaches and generalizations, and show our own version of integral representation from the ongoing investigation.

1 Choquet theory of integral representation

In his treatise on theory of capacity, Choquet outlined a series of applications for integral representation on the set \mathcal{E} of extreme points of a compact convex Hausdorff space \mathcal{C} (Chapter VII of [1]). Let L be a partially ordered set (poset) with a maximum element e, and let \mathcal{C} be the convex set of nonnegative monotone functions φ on L with $\varphi(e) \leq 1$. Assuming the topology of simple (i.e., pointwise) convergence on functions over L, we can show that \mathcal{C} is compact, and the set \mathcal{E} of extreme points of \mathcal{C} consists of indicator functions of the form

(1)
$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in U; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The monotonicity of χ implies that $y \in U$ whenever $x \in U$ and $x \leq y$, and such subset U is called an *upper* set. The set \mathcal{E} is compact, and any element φ of \mathcal{C} is represented in the integral form

(2)
$$\varphi(x) = \int \chi(x) \, d\mu(\chi), \quad x \in L,$$

with a Radon measure μ on \mathcal{E} (Section 40 of [1]).

Let S be a compact Hausdorff space, and \mathcal{K} be the class of compact subsets of S. Then a nonnegative monotone function φ on \mathcal{K} is called a *capacity* if it is upper semicontinuous (i.e., $\varphi(E) \downarrow \varphi(F)$ whenever $E \downarrow F$) in the exponential (i.e., Vietoris) topology. Here the convex set \mathcal{C} of capacities φ with $\varphi(S) \leq 1$ is considered similarly; however, the topology of simple convergence is not suitable for the space C. Over the convex cone Q of nonnegative continuous functions on S, a capacity φ uniquely corresponds to the functional

(3)
$$\varphi(\xi) = \int_0^{\max\xi} \varphi(\{x \in E : \xi(x) \ge r\}) \, dr, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Then we can introduce the topology of vague convergence on capacities in which a net $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}$ converges to φ if and only if $\varphi_{\alpha}(\xi)$ converges to $\varphi(\xi)$ for any $\xi \in Q$. Under this topology the convex set C is compact Hausdorff, and the indicator function χ in (1) corresponds to a closed upper set U in the exponential topology (Section 48 of [1]).

When S is a locally compact Hausdorff space, it is not necessary for \mathcal{K} to contain S. Here we can introduce a partial ordering on \mathcal{K} by the dual (i.e., the reverse order) of inclusion, and denote the poset by L with the maximum element \emptyset . Then we can set the convex set \mathcal{C}^* of lower semicontinuous and nonnegative monotone functions φ on L with $\varphi(\emptyset) \leq 1$. Observe that a lower semicontinuous and nonnegative monotone functions φ on L uniquely corresponds to a bounded capacity ψ on \mathcal{K} via

$$\varphi(E) = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{K}} \psi(F) - \psi(E) + \psi(\emptyset), \quad E \in \mathcal{K}.$$

The topology of vague convergence is introduced by (3) over the convex cone Q of nonnegative continuous functions with compact support, in which the convex set C^* becomes compact Hausdorff.

2 A framework of continuous semilattice

In the application of integral representation for capacities on a locally compact Hausdorff S, the Hausdorff assumption seems indispensable in order for \mathcal{C}^* to be compact Hausdorff. Then the set \mathcal{E}^* of extreme points of \mathcal{C}^* is compact and homeomorphic to the family of open upper subsets U, and the integral representation (2) of $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^*$ is equivalently formulated as

(4)
$$\varphi(x) = \mu(\mathcal{U}_x), \quad x \in L,$$

where $\mathcal{U}_x := \{ U \in \mathcal{E}^* : x \in U \}$ is an open set in \mathcal{E}^* .

In the framework of continuous posets (cf. Giertz et al. [3]), the compact Hausdorff set \mathcal{E}^* is homeomorphic to the family of Scott open subsets of L. Here the topology of vague convergence corresponds to the Lawson topology, which comes solely from the fact that L is a continuous semilattice. Norberg [5] showed that it is entirely possible to construct a Borel measure μ on the family \mathcal{E}^* of Scott open subsets satisfying (4) if L is a continuous semilattice and \mathcal{E}^* is second countable. Thus, we can choose S to be a locally compact sober and second countable space, which is not necessarily Hausdorff. Note that the Borel measure μ is a Radon measure when \mathcal{E}^* is second countable; see [2].

We claim that \mathcal{E}^* is not necessarily second countable, and demonstrate it by a rather straightforward construction of a Radon measure μ satisfying (4) due to

(5)
$$F(r) = \{x \in L : \varphi(x) > r\}$$

maps from $r \in [0, \varphi(e))$ to \mathcal{E}^* , and F is Borel-measurable. For a Borel measurable subset \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{E}^* we can define $\mu(\mathcal{V}) := m(F^{-1}(\mathcal{V}))$ with the Lebesgue measure m on $[0, \varphi(e))$. Then we can show that μ is a Radon measure, and it satisfies

$$\mu(\mathcal{U}_x) = m([0,\varphi(x))) = \varphi(x).$$

It should be noted that Norberg [5] has investigated a Borel measure μ on the family \mathcal{L}^* of Scott open filters in L, and proved a bijection between Borel measures on \mathcal{L}^* and lower semicontinuous and completely monotone nonnegative functions on L. The above construction immediately fails for this purpose since (5) does not map into \mathcal{L}^* in general even if φ is completely monotone.

Finally we present our own version of construction without assuming the second countable \mathcal{E}^* . Let $C(\mathcal{E}^*)$ be the space of continuous functions on \mathcal{E}^* , and let δ_x be a point mass probability measure (i.e., Dirac delta) at $x \in L$. Here we will use the following proposition, but leave the proof for the future publication.

Proposition 1. There exists a subspace \mathcal{R} of $C(\mathcal{E}^*)$ such that (i) each $g \in \mathcal{R}$ is uniquely extended to a signed Radon measure R on L so that g(U) = R(U) for any $U \in \mathcal{E}^*$, and (ii) for each $x \in L$ there is an increasing net $\{g_{\alpha}\}$ of \mathcal{R} satisfying $\sup_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}(U) = \delta_x(U)$ for any $U \in \mathcal{E}^*$.

For a fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^*$, we can introduce a nonnegative homogeneous and superadditive functional on $C(\mathcal{E}^*)$ by

$$M(g) = \sup\left\{\int \varphi \, dR : R \leq g, R \in \mathcal{R}\right\}, \quad g \in C(\mathcal{E}^*).$$

By applying the Hahn-Banach theorem we obtain a linear functional Φ on $C(\mathcal{E}^*)$ satisfying (a) $M \leq \Phi$ on $C(\mathcal{E}^*)$, and (b) $M = \Phi$ on \mathcal{R} . The condition (a) implies that Φ is positive, and that Φ uniquely corresponds to a Radon measure μ on \mathcal{E}^* via the Riesz representation $\Phi(g) = \int g d\mu$. By applying Proposition 1 together with the condition (b), we can show that if an increasing net $\{R_{\alpha}\}$ of \mathcal{R} satisfies $\sup_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}(U) = \delta_x(U)$ for $U \in \mathcal{E}^*$ then

$$\mu(\mathcal{U}_x) = \sup_{\alpha} \Phi(R_{\alpha}) = \sup_{\alpha} M(R_{\alpha}) = \sup_{\alpha} \int \varphi \, dR_{\alpha} = \varphi(x),$$

as desired. A variation of this construction can be used to show the existence of a Radon measure μ whose support lies on \mathcal{L}^* when φ is completely monotone (which is a part of the ongoing investigation).

References

- [1] Choquet, G. (1954). Theory of capacities. Ann. Inst. Fourier 5, 131-295.
- [2] Folland, G. B. (1984). Real Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [3] Giertz, G., Hofmann, K. H., Keimel, K., Lawson, J. D., Mislove, M., and Scott, D. S. (2003). *Continuous Lattices and Domains*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [4] Murofushi, T. and Sugeno, M. (1991). A theory of fuzzy measures: Representations, the Choquet integral, and null sets. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 159, 532-549.
- [5] Norberg, T. (1989). Existence theorems for measures on continuous posets with applications to random set theory. *Math. Scand.* 64, 15–51.