

Title	Homogenized modular algorithms for Grobner bases (Algebraic Systems and Theoretical Computer Science)
Author(s)	KOBAYASHI, YUJI
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2012), 1809: 205-212
Issue Date	2012-09
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/194455">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/194455</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# Homogenized modular algorithms for Gröbner bases

YUJI KOBAYASHI

Department of Information Science, Toho University,  
Funabashi 274-8510, Japan

## 1 Introduction

Gröbner bases and the Buchberger algorithm (Buchberger [3]) are now central techniques in Computational Algebra ([2]). One of serious problems is the intermediate swell of the size of the coefficients of polynomials during computation of Gröbner bases (Ebert [4]).

To avoid this, the modular algorithm is considered to be useful (Winkler [5]). Choosing a suitable prime  $p$  compute a Gröbner basis  $\overline{G}$  over the field  $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/(p)$ , then reconstruct a system  $G$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  from  $\overline{G}$ . If  $p$  is large enough and lucky,  $G$  is a correct Gröbner basis. But there is no effective way to check that  $p$  is lucky and large enough beforehand.

Let  $H$  be a finite set of polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X] = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and let  $p$  be a prime number. For a polynomial  $f$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ ,  $f_p$  denotes the polynomial on  $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$  induced from  $f$ . Moreover, define  $H_p = \{f_p | f \in H\}$ . Let  $>$  be a term order on  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $\overline{G}$  be the Gröbner basis obtained by the Buchberger algorithm from  $H_p$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ . Let  $G$  be a set of polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that  $G_p = \overline{G}$ .

To see that  $G$  is a Gröbner basis we check that (i) every  $S$ -polynomial of  $G$  is reduced to 0 modulo  $G$ . If this is checked, then  $G$  is a Gröbner basis of 'some' ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . To see that  $G$  is a Gröbner basis of the ideal  $I(H)$  generated by  $H$ , we check that (ii) every  $h \in H$  is reduced to 0 modulo  $G$ . If this is checked,  $I(H) \subset I(G)$  holds. Here, if the converse inclusion  $G \subset I(H)$  is satisfied,  $G$  is a correct Gröbner basis for  $H$ .

Arnold [1] proved that if  $H$  is homogeneous, the converse inclusion holds if the conditions (i) and (ii) above are checked. If  $H$  is not homogeneous, we homogenize it to  ${}^hG$ , and complete it to  $G'$  by the modular algorithm, and then ahomogenizing it we obtain the Gröbner basis  $G = {}^aG'$  of  $I(H)$ . In this note we examine these steps precisely.

## 2 Compatible orders and weights

A *quasi-order*  $\geq$  on a set  $A$  is a reflexive, transitive and comparable relation on  $A$ . For  $a, b \in A$  we write  $x \sim y$  if  $x \geq y$  and  $y \geq x$ , and  $x > y$  if  $x \geq y$  and

$(x \succcurlyeq y)$ .

A quasi-order  $\geq$  on  $A$  is *well-founded* if there is no infinite decreasing sequence  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots$ , or equivalently, any nonempty subset of  $A$  has a minimal element. A well-founded order is a *well-order*.

Let  $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r\}$  be a finite set of symbols (variables). Let  $M(X)$  be the set of (monic) monomials, that is,  $M(X)$  is the free abelian monoid generated by  $X$ . Any element  $x$  in  $M(X)$  is written as

$$x = X_1^{e_1} X_2^{e_2} \dots X_r^{e_r} \quad (1)$$

with  $e_i \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , in particular, 1 denotes the identity element (the empty monomial). For another  $y = X_1^{f_1} X_2^{f_2} \dots X_r^{f_r} \in M(X)$ , we have

$$xy = X_1^{e_1+f_1} X_2^{e_2+f_2} \dots X_r^{e_r+f_r}.$$

From now on we consider only (quasi-)orders on  $M(X)$ .

A quasi-order on  $M(X)$  is *compatible*, if

$$x \geq y \Rightarrow sxt \geq syt$$

for any  $x, y, s, t \in M(X)$ . It is *positive* (resp. *non-negative*), if

$$x > 1 \text{ (resp. } x \geq 1)$$

for any  $x (\neq 1) \in M(X)$ .

As is well known as a variant of Dickson's lemma (see [2]), a non-negative compatible quasi-order on  $M(X)$  is well-founded.

A *weight function* (simply a *weight*)  $\omega$  is a homomorphism from  $M(X)$  to the additive group  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers. The weight  $\omega$  is determined by the values  $\omega(X_i)$  of  $X_i \in X$ . In fact, for  $x \in M(X)$  in (1) we have

$$\omega(x) = e_1\omega(X_1) + e_2\omega(X_2) + \dots + e_r\omega(X_r).$$

The set of weights on  $M(X)$  forms an  $\mathbb{R}$ -space of dimension  $d$ .

A weight  $\omega$  is *positive* (resp. *non-negative*), if

$$\omega(X_i) > 0 \text{ (resp. } \omega(X_i) \geq 0)$$

for every  $i$ . It is *rational* (resp. *integral*), if

$$\omega(X_i) \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ (resp. } \omega(X_i) \in \mathbb{Z})$$

for every  $i$ . The degree function  $\text{deg}$  is a typical positive integral weight.

For a weight  $\omega$ , the associated quasi-order  $\geq_\omega$  is defined by

$$x \geq_\omega y \Leftrightarrow \omega(x) \geq \omega(y)$$

for  $x, y \in M(X)$ .

For a weight  $\omega$  on  $M(X)$ ,  $\geq_\omega$  is a compatible quasi-order on  $M(X)$ . If  $\omega$  is positive (resp. non-negative), so is  $\geq_\omega$  and it is well-founded.

A weight  $\omega$  is  $\geq$ -monotone (simply monotone), if

$$x \geq y \Rightarrow \omega(x) \geq \omega(y),$$

or equivalently,

$$\omega(x) > \omega(y) \Rightarrow x > y$$

for  $x, y \in M(X)$ .

### 3 Gröbner bases

Let  $K$  be a field and let  $K[X]$  be the polynomial ring in  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r$  over  $K$ . A compatible positive order on  $M(X)$  is called a *term order*, and we fix a term order  $\geq$  in this section.

For a polynomial

$$f = \sum_{x \in M(X)} k_x \cdot x \quad (k \in K) \quad (2)$$

in  $K[X]$ , the maximal  $x$  such that  $k_x \neq 0$  is the *leading monomial* of  $f$  denoted by  $\text{lt}(f)$ , here  $k_x$  is the *leading coefficient* denoted by  $\text{lc}(f)$  and  $k_x \cdot x = \text{lc}(f) \cdot \text{lm}(f)$  is the *leading term* denoted by  $\text{lt}(f)$ . We set  $\text{rt}(f) = f - \text{lt}(f)$ . For a subset  $G$  of  $K[X]$ , set

$$\text{lm}(G) = \{\text{lm}(g) \mid g \in G\}.$$

We extend  $\geq$  to the quasi-order  $\geq$  on  $M(X)$  as follows. First,

(i)  $f > 0$

for any nonzero  $f \in K[X]$ , and

(ii)  $f \geq g$  if  $\text{lm}(f) > \text{lm}(g)$  or ( $\text{lm}(f) = \text{lm}(g)$  and  $\text{rt}(f) \geq \text{rt}(g)$ )

for any nonzero  $f, g \in K[X]$ .

Let  $G \subset K[X]$ . If some term of  $f \in K[X]$  is divided by  $\text{lm}(g)$  for some  $g \in G$ ,  $f$  is  $G$ -reducible, otherwise,  $f$  is  $G$ -irreducible. Let  $\text{Red}(G)$  (resp.  $\text{Irr}(G)$ ) denote the set of  $G$ -reducible (resp.  $G$ -irreducible) monomials. Clearly,

$$\text{Red}(G) = \text{lm}(G) \cdot M(X), \quad \text{Irr}(G) = M(X) \setminus \text{Red}(G).$$

For  $f \in K[X]$ , if some term  $k \cdot x$  ( $k \in K \setminus \{0\}, x \in M(X)$ ) of  $f$  is  $G$ -reducible;  $x = x' \cdot \text{lm}(g)$  for some  $x' \in K[X]$  and  $g \in G$ , then we can *rewrite*  $f$  to

$$f' = f - k \cdot x' \left( \text{lm}(g) - \frac{\text{rt}(g)}{\text{lc}(g)} \right) = f - \frac{k}{\text{lc}(g)} \cdot x' g.$$

In this situation we write as

$$f \rightarrow_G f'.$$

The reflexive transitive closure of the relation  $\rightarrow_G$  is denoted by  $\rightarrow_G^*$ . If  $f \rightarrow_G^* f'$  for  $f, f' \in K[X]$ , we say that  $f$  is *reduced* to  $f'$  modulo  $G$ .

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $K[X]$ . A finite set  $G \subset K[X]$  is a *Gröbner basis* of  $I$ , if

- (i)  $G \subset I$ , and
- (ii) every  $f \in I$  is reduced to 0 modulo  $G$ .

The condition (ii) is equivalent to the inclusion  $\text{lm}(I) \subset \text{Red}(G)$ .

$G$  is *reduced*, if any  $g \in G$  is  $(G \setminus \{g\})$ -irreducible.  $G$  is *monic*, if every  $f \in G$  is monic, that is  $\text{lc}(f) = 1$ . Any ideal in  $K[X]$  has a unique monic reduced Gröbner basis (if the order  $\geq$  is fixed).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal, and for  $x \in \text{lm}(I)$  choose one  $f_x$  in  $I$  such that  $\text{lm}(f_x) = x$ . Then,  $\{f_x\}_{x \in \text{lm}(I)}$  is a  $K$ -linear base of  $I$ . If  $G$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$ , then  $\{f_x\}_{x \in \text{Red}(G)}$  is a  $K$ -linear base of  $I$ .*

Suppose that  $K$  is the quotient field of an integral domain  $R$ . Let  $P$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$  and let  $\rho_P$  be the canonical surjection from  $R$  to the quotient  $\bar{R} = R/P$ . The homomorphism  $\rho_P$  extends to the homomorphism  $\rho: R[X] \rightarrow \bar{R}[X]$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *With the situation above, suppose that a subset  $G$  of  $R[X]$  is a Gröbner basis of an ideal  $I$  of  $K[X]$ . If  $\text{lc}(G)$  is out of  $P$ , then  $G_P = \rho_P(G)$  is a Gröbner basis of the ideal  $I_P = \rho_P(I \cap R[X])$  in  $R_P[X]$ .*

## 4 Homogeneous ideals

Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $M(X)$  and let  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ . A polynomial  $f \in K[X]$  is  $\omega$ -*homogeneous* (we simply say *homogeneous*) of weight  $v$ , if all the monomials in  $f$  have the same weight  $v$ . In this case  $v$  is the *weight* of  $f$  and we write  $\omega(f) = v$ . Any polynomial  $f$  is decomposed as a sum of the homogeneous polynomials;

$$f = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{R}} f[v],$$

where  $f[v]$  is homogeneous with weight  $v$ .

For a subset  $H$  of  $K[X]$ ,  $H[v]$  denotes the set of homogeneous elements with weight  $v$ .  $H$  is *homogeneous*, if every element of it is homogeneous, that is,  $H = \cup_{v \in \mathbb{R}} H[v]$ . An ideal of  $K[X]$  is *homogeneous* if it is generated by homogeneous polynomials. If  $I$  is a homogeneous ideal, then any element in  $I$  is a sum of homogeneous elements of  $I$ . Thus,  $I[v]$  is the set of homogeneous elements of  $I$  of weight  $v$ . A homogeneous ideal  $I$  has a homogeneous Gröbner basis. In fact, a reduced Gröbner basis of  $I$  is homogeneous.

If  $\omega$  is positive, then the set  $M(X)[v]$  of monomials with a given weight  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  is finite. If  $I$  is a homogeneous ideal, then for  $x \in \text{lm}(I)$ ,  $f_x$  can be chosen from  $I[v]$  such that  $\text{lm}(f_x) = x$ . By this observation together with Lemma 3.1, we have

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\omega$  be a positive weight on  $M(X)$  and  $I$  be a homogeneous ideal of  $K[X]$ . Then,  $I[v]$  is a finite dimensional  $K$ -space with base  $\{f_x | x \in \text{lm}(I)[v]\}$ , and  $\dim_K I[v] = |\text{lm}(I)[v]|$ . If  $G$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$ , then  $\dim_K I[v] = |\text{Red}(G)[v]|$*

From here in this section  $R$  is a principal ideal domain,  $K$  is its quotient field,  $p$  is a prime element of  $R$ , and  $\rho_p$  denotes the canonical surjection from  $R$  to  $R_p = R/(p)$  as well as the canonical surjection from  $R[X]$  to  $R_p[X]$ . For an ideal  $I$  of  $K[X]$ ,  $I_p$  denotes the ideal  $\rho_p(I \cap R[X])$  of  $R_p[X]$ . If  $J$  is an ideal of  $R[X]$ , then  $J_p = \rho_p(J)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\omega$  be a positive weight on  $M(X)$  and let  $I$  be a homogeneous ideal of  $K[X]$ . Then, for any  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\dim_K I[v] \geq \dim_{R_p} I_p[v].$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\omega$  be a positive weight on  $M(X)$ , and let  $I$  be a homogeneous ideal of  $K[X]$ . Let  $G$  be a (homogeneous) Gröbner basis of a homogeneous ideal  $L$ . Let  $\bar{G}$  be a (homogeneous) Gröbner basis of a homogeneous ideal  $\bar{J}$  of  $R_p[X]$ . If (i)  $I \subset L$ , (ii)  $\text{lm}(G) = \text{lm}(\bar{G})$ , and (iii)  $\bar{J} \subset I_p (= \rho_p(I \cap R[X]))$ , then  $I = L$  and  $G$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$ .*

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $\omega$  be a positive weight on  $M(X)$ , and let  $H$  be a homogeneous subset of  $R[X]$ . Let  $I$  (resp.  $J$ ) be the ideal of  $K[X]$  (resp.  $R[X]$ ) generated by  $H$ . Let  $G$  be a (homogeneous) Gröbner basis of a homogeneous ideal  $L$ . Let  $\bar{G}$  be a (homogeneous) Gröbner basis of a homogeneous ideal  $J_p$  of  $R_p[X]$ . If (i)  $I \subset L$ , and (ii)  $\text{lm}(G) = \text{lm}(\bar{G})$ , then  $I = L$  and  $G$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$ .*

## 5 Homogenization and ahomogenization

Let  $\omega$  be a fixed non-negative integral weight on  $M(X)$  with  $\omega(X_i) = v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . For  $f \in K[X]$ , let  $m_\omega(f)$  denote the maximum of the weights of the monomials appearing in  $f$ .

We introduce a new indeterminate  $X_0$  and the weight  $\omega_0$  on  $M(X_0, X) = M([X_0, X_1, \dots, X_r])$  defined by  $\omega_0(X_0) = 1$ , and  $\omega_0(X_i) = v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $K[X_0, X] = K[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_r]$ .

For  $f \in K[X]$ , define  ${}^h f \in K[X_0, X]$  by

$${}^h f = X_0^t f(X_1 X_0^{-v_1}, \dots, X_r X_0^{-v_r}),$$

where  $t = m_\omega(f)$ . Then  ${}^h f$  is  $\geq_0$ -homogeneous. On the other hand for  $f \in K[X_0, X]$ , we define  ${}^a f \in K[X]$  by

$${}^a f = f[1, X].$$

For a subset  $H$  of  $K[X]$  (resp.  $K[X_0, X]$ ), set

$${}^h H = \{{}^h f \mid f \in H\} \quad (\text{resp. } {}^a H = \{{}^a f \mid f \in H\}).$$

For an ideal  $I$  of  $K[X]$ ,  ${}^h I$  denotes the ideal of  $K[X_0, X]$  generated by  ${}^h I$ . Because the mapping sending  $f \in K[X_0, X]$  to  ${}^a f \in K[X]$  is a homomorphism,  ${}^a I$  is an ideal of  $K[X]$  for an ideal  $I$  of  $K[X_0, X]$ .

An order  $\geq_0$  on  $M(X_0, X)$  is defined as follows. For  $x, y \in M(X_0, X)$

$$x \geq_0 y \Leftrightarrow \omega_0(x) > \omega_0(y) \text{ or } (\omega_0(x) = \omega_0(y) \text{ and } {}^a x \geq {}^a y).$$

If  $\geq$  is positive (non-negative, well-founded, compatible) on  $M(X)$ , so is it on  $M(X_0, X)$ . If  $\omega$  is monotone,  $\geq_0$  is an extension of  $\geq$ , that is,  $\geq_0|_{M(X)} = \geq$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** (1)  ${}^h(f \cdot g) = {}^h f \cdot {}^h g$  for  $f, g \in K[X]$ .

(2)  ${}^a h f = f$  for any  $f \in K[X]$ .

(3)  ${}^a h H = H$  and  ${}^a h I = I$  for a subset  $H$  of  $K[X]$  and an ideal  $I$  of  $K[X]$ ,

(4) For any homogeneous  $f \in K[X_0, X]$ ,  $X_0^t \cdot {}^h a f = f$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$

(5) For any  $f \in K[X]$   $\text{lm}({}^h f) = X_0^t \cdot \text{lm}(f)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\omega$  is monotone,  $\text{lm}({}^h f) = \text{lm}(f)$ .

(6) For any homogeneous  $f \in K[X_0, X]$ ,  $X_0^t \cdot \text{lm}({}^a f) = \text{lm}(f)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** (1) If  $G$  is a homogeneous Gröbner basis of a homogeneous ideal  $I$  of  $K[X_0, X]$ , then  ${}^a G$  is a Gröbner basis of the ideal  ${}^a I$  of  $K[X]$ .

(2) Suppose that  $\omega$  is monotone. If  $G$  is a Gröbner basis of an ideal  $I$  of  $K[X]$ , then  ${}^h G$  is a homogeneous Gröbner basis of  ${}^h I$ .

Hereafter in this section,  $K$  is the quotient field of a principal ideal domain  $R$  and  $p$  is a prime element of  $R$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $\omega$  be a compatible positive integral weight on  $M(X)$ . Let  $H$  be a subset of  $R[X]$ , and let  $I$  (resp.  $J$ ) be the ideal of  $K[X]$  (resp.  $R[X]$ ) generated by  $H$ . Let  $G$  be a Gröbner basis of an ideal  $L$  of  $K[X]$ . Let  $\overline{G}$  be a Gröbner basis of a homogeneous ideal  $J_p$  of  $R_p[X]$ . If (i)  $I \subset L$ , and (ii)  $\text{lm}(G) = \text{lm}(\overline{G})$ , and (iii)  ${}^h(f_p) \in ({}^h I)_p$  for all  $f \in J$ , then  $I = L$  and  $G$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$ .

If the condition (iii) in the above Lemma is satisfied,  $p$  is called *lucky*, but there is no way to find  $p$  is lucky effectively. Next we work in the homogenized side.

**Proposition 5.4.** Let  $H$  be a subset of  $K[X]$  and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $K[X]$  generated by  $H$ . Let  $I'$  (resp.  $J'$ ) be the ideal of  $K[X_0, X]$  (resp.  $R[X_0, X]$ ) generated by  ${}^h H$ . Let  $\overline{G}$  be a homogeneous Gröbner basis of  $J'_p$  and let  $G$  be a homogeneous Gröbner basis of a homogeneous ideal  $L'$  of  $K[X_0, X]$ . If  $I' \subset L'$ , and  $\text{lm}(G) = \text{lm}(\overline{G})$ , then  ${}^a G$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$ . Moreover, if  $\omega$  is monotone,  ${}^h a G$  is a Gröbner basis of  ${}^h I$

## 6 Algorithms and examples

Let  $p$  be a odd prime and let  $>$  be a term order on  $M(X)$ . For  $f = a_n X^n + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , let  $\|f\|$  be the maximal norm of  $f$ , that is,

$$\|f\| = \max\{|a_i| \mid i = 0, \dots, n\}.$$

For  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ , let  $g = \text{re}(f)$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  with minimal  $\|g\|$  satisfying  $g_p = c \cdot f$  with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . For a set  $G$  of polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ , set  $\text{re}(G) = \{\text{re}(f) \mid f \in G\}$ . Let  $H$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

(i) Compute the reduced Gröbner basis  $\overline{G}$  of  ${}^hH_p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[X_0, X]$  with respect to  $>_0$ .

(ii) Compute  $G_0 = \text{re}(\overline{G})$ .

(iii) Check if every  $S$ -polynomial reduced to 0 modulo  $G_0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X]$ .

(iv) Check if every  $h \in {}^hH$  is reduced to 0 modulo  $G_0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X]$ .

(v) Let  $G = {}^aG_0$ .

If  $G_0$  obtained in (ii) passes the tests (iii) and (iv), then  $G$  is a correct Gröbner basis of  $H$ .

**Example 6.1.** Let

$$H = \{X^2 + 2Y, XY + 1\}.$$

We consider the pure lexicographic order with  $X > Y$ . We have an  $S$ -polynomial  $X - 2Y^2$ , and reducing the system  $H \cup \{X - 2Y^2\}$  we have a Gröbner basis

$$G = \{2Y^3 + 1, X - Y^2\}$$

of  $I(H)$ . On the other hand, homogenizing  $H$ , we have

$${}^hH = \{X^2 + 2YZ, XY + Z^2\}.$$

Let  $p = 5$ , Completing  ${}^hH_p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[X, Y, Z]$ , we have a Gröbner basis

$$\overline{G} = \{X^2 + 2YZ, XY + Z^2, XZ^2 + 3Y^2Z, 2Y^3Z + Z^4\}$$

of  $I({}^hH_p)$ . From this we reconstruct a Gröbner basis

$$G' = \{X^2 + 2YZ, XY + Z^2, XZ^2 - 2Y^2Z, 2Y^3Z + Z^4\}$$

of  $I({}^hH)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y, Z]$ . Then, ahomogenizing it we have a Gröbner basis

$${}^aG' = \{X^2 + 2Y, XY + 1, X - 2Y^2, 2Y^3 + 1\}.$$

of  $I(H)$ . Then, reducing it we have  $\{2Y^3 + 1, X - Y^2\} = G$ .

As seen in the above example  ${}^aG'$  may not be reduced, though  $G'$  is reduced. Sometimes,  $G'$  can be very big compared with  $G$ . In these cases, our methods are not practical.

**Example 6.2.** Let

$$H = \{3X^2 + 5X^3 - 3Y^2, -4 - 4X^2 + 3XY + Y^3, 3 + XY + 5X^2Y + 4Y^2 - 3XY^2\}.$$

The reduced Gröbner basis of  $H$  is  $\{1\}$ . However, the reduced Gröbner basis of  ${}^hH$  is very big with a polynomial which involves an integer with 1120 digits in decimal expression in its coefficients.



## References

- [1] E.A. Arnold, Modular algorithms for computing Gröbner bases, *J. Symbolic Comp.* **35** (2003), 403–419.
- [2] T. Becker, V. Weispfenning, *Gröbner bases*, Springer, 1993.
- [3] B. Buchberger, Gröbner-bases: an algorithmic method in polynomial ideal theory, In: *Multidimensional Systems Theory* (1985), 184–232.
- [4] G.L. Elbert, Some comments on the modular approach to Gröbner-bases. *ACM SIGSAM Bulletin* **17**, (1983), 28–32.
- [5] F. Winkler, A p-adic approach to the computation of Gröbner bases, *J. Symbolic Comp.* **6** (1987), 287- 304.