



Title	Asymmetric desymmetrization of meso-diols by C(2)- symmetric chiral 4-pyrrolidinopyridines.
Author(s)	Schedel, Hartmut; Kan, Keizo; Ueda, Yoshihiro; Mishiro, Kenji; Yoshida, Keisuke; Furuta, Takumi; Kawabata, Takeo
Citation	Beilstein journal of organic chemistry (2012), 8: 1778-1787
Issue Date	2012-10-17
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/181265
Right	© 2012 Schedel et al; licensee Beilstein-Institut.; This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The license is subject to the Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry terms and conditions: (http://www.beilstein- journals.org/bjoc)
Туре	Journal Article
Textversion	publisher



Asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-diols by *C*₂-symmetric chiral 4-pyrrolidinopyridines

Hartmut Schedel, Keizo Kan, Yoshihiro Ueda, Kenji Mishiro, Keisuke Yoshida, Takumi Furuta and Takeo Kawabata^{*}

Full Research Paper	Open Access
Address: Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan	Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012 , <i>8</i> , 1778–1787. doi:10.3762/bjoc.8.203
Email: Takeo Kawabata [*] - kawabata@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp	Received: 05 July 2012 Accepted: 06 September 2012 Published: 17 October 2012
* Corresponding author	This article is part of the Thematic Series "Organocatalysis".
Keywords:	Guest Editor: B. List
catalyst; organocatalysis	© 2012 Schedel et al; licensee Beilstein-Institut. License and terms: see end of document.

Abstract

In this work we developed C_2 -symmetric chiral nucleophilic catalysts which possess a pyrrolidinopyridine framework as a catalytic site. Some of these organocatalysts effectively promoted asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-diols via enantioselective acylation.

Introduction

Since the pioneering discovery of a catalyst for enantioselective acylation by Vedejs [1], numerous efforts have been devoted to the development of catalysts for enantioselective acylation [2,3]. We have focused on the development of chiral nucleophilic catalysts possessing a pyrrolidinopyridine (PPY) framework as a catalytic site because PPY has been known to be one of the most powerful catalysts for the acylation of alcohols [4-7]. The salient feature of our catalyst design is to introduce chiral elements far from the catalytically active pyridine nitrogen as shown in Figure 1 [8-18]. These catalysts are expected to show high catalytic activity because the introduction of substituents close to the pyridine nitrogen has been known to result in the significant decrease of the catalytic activity [19]. Catalyst 1 was demonstrated to be effective for the kinetic resolution of racemic diols (s: up to 12) [8] and amino alcohol derivatives (s: up to 54) [9]. Catalyst 2, readily prepared from L-proline, could be employed for the kinetic resolution of amino alcohol derivatives (s: up to 11) [10]. Chiral PPY catalysts with dual functional side chains at C(2) and C(4) of the pyrrolidine ring such as 3 were prepared from *trans*-4-hydroxy-L-proline. These catalysts were found to be moderately effective for the asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-diols [11]. C_2 -Symmetric PPY-catalyst 4 was found to be effective for the chemo- and regioselective acylation of linear diols [17]. Here, we report the asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-diols [17].



by C_2 -symmetric PPY catalysts [20]. The effects of the functional side chains at C(2) and C(5) on the efficiency of the asymmetric desymmetrization are discussed. Some of the results shown here have already been appeared in the patent JP2005132746.

Results and Discussion Asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-1,2cyclohexanediol

The asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-diols via organocatalytic enantioselective acylation has been extensively studied [1,21-38]. We have reported the asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-1,2-cyclohexanediol (5) by catalytic enantioselective acylation with catalyst **3** (Scheme 1) [11]. Among various chiral PPY catalysts with dual functional side chains at C(2) and C(4)



of the pyrrolidine ring, catalyst **3** was found to be most effective for the asymmetric desymmetrization (Scheme 1). However, the enantioselectivity of the asymmetric desymmetrization was far from being sufficient. Molecular modeling of the related catalysts indicated that a C_2 -symmetric PPY catalyst with functional side chains at C(2) and C(5) might be better suited for this purpose [12,13]. Based on these background, we have prepared various C_2 -symmetric chiral PPY-catalysts according to Scheme 2 [12] and employed them for asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-1,2-cyclohexanediol (**5**) [20].

We first examined chiral PPY catalyst **8a** with an L-tryptophan side chain, which was disclosed to be an excellent catalyst for the regioselective acylation of glycopyranoses [12]. Reaction of **5** with isobutyric anhydride (1.3 equiv) in the presence of 5 mol % of **8a** in chloroform at 20 °C gave monoacylate **6** in 73% ee and 85% yield with concomitant formation of 15% of diacylate **7** (Table 1, entry 1). Catalyst **8b** with a D-tryptophan side chain gave a lower enantioselectivity (54% ee, Table 1, entry 2). The hydroxy group at the (*R*)-chiral center was preferentially acylated in both cases. Since both catalysts **8a** with an L-tryptophan side chain and **8b** with a D-tryptophan side chain gave (1*R*,2*S*)-**6** [8] by asymmetric desymmetrization of **5**, catalysts with achiral side chains were then examined. The acylation of **5** with catalyst **9** which possesses a tryptamine moiety





^oYields determined by ¹H NMR with dibenzyl ether as an internal standard. ^aDetermined by GC analysis with a chiral stationary phase, beta-DEX 225. ^e(1*R*,2*S*)-Isomer was obtained in each case.

gave 6 in 72% ee and 74% yield (Table 1, entry 3). Catalyst 10 with a glycine moiety gave 6 in 71% ee and 72% yield on treatment of 5 (Table 1, entry 4). Monoacylate 6 was also obtained in 87% ee and 75% yield by acylation of 5 with catalyst 11 which possesses a simple *n*-hexyl side chain (Table 1, entry 5). Catalysts with chiral side chains, 12a, 12b, 13, and 14, possessing L-β-phenylalanine, D-β-phenylalanine, L-valine, or L-leucine moiety, respectively, also gave 6 in 54-83% ee and 70-77% yields via acylative asymmetric desymmetrization of 5 with isobutyric anhydride (Table 1, entries 6-9). In each case, (1R,2S)-6 was preferentially obtained. These results indicate that the functionality and chirality of catalyst side chains do not affect the absolute configuration of the monoacylate obtained by the asymmetric desymmetrization while they influence the extent of the enantioselectivity. Accordingly, the configuration of the stereocenters in C(2) and C(5) position bearing the amide

substituents appears to have decisive effects on the stereochemical course of the asymmetric desymmetrization.

Since catalyst **12b** showed relatively high enantioselectivity (83% ee) and mono/diacylation ratio (77:14), we next investigated the solvent effects of the asymmetric desymmetrization of **5** with isobutyric anhydride in the presence of catalyst **12b** (Table 2, entries 1–5). A clear relationship between the enantioselectivity and the solvent polarity was observed: The lower the polarity of the solvent, the higher the enantioselectivity. This suggests that the hydrogen-bonding interaction between the catalyst and the substrate may be involved in the transition state of the enantioselective acylation (Figure 2 and Figure 3). The temperature effects (lower temperature) of the asymmetric desymmetrization employing catalysts **14** and **11** were examined because both of the catalysts show high solubility in chlo-

Table 2: Effe	ects of solvents and terr	perature on the	asymmetric desymme	trization of 5 .ª		
	(<i>R</i>)ОН (S)ОН 5	cat (5 mol %) (iPrCO) ₂ O (1.3 equiv) collidine (1.4 equiv) solvent, temperature, 4 h		(R) OCOiPr + (R) OH + (R)	OCOiPr OCOiPr 7	
Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	Temp. (°C)	6 : 7 :recovery of 5 (%) ^b	ee of 6 (%) ^{c,d}	
1	12b	CCl ₄	20 °C	75:20:5	93	
2	12b	toluene	20 °C	75:19:6	91	
3	12b	CHCl ₃	20 °C	77:14:9	83	
4	12b	THF	20 °C	57:28:15	51	
5	12b	CH ₃ CN	20 °C	69:23:8	34	
6	14	CHCI ₃	20 °C	75:20:5	81	
7 ^e	14	CHCl ₃	− 40 °C	85:6:9	87	
8	11	CHCI ₃	20 °C	75:23:2	87	
9 ^e	11	CHCI3	−40 °C	92:5:3	88	

^aReactions were run at a substrate concentration of 0.2 M. ^bYields determined by ¹H NMR with dibenzyl ether as an internal standard. ^cDetermined by GC analysis with a chiral stationary phase, beta-DEX 225. ^d(1*R*,2S)-Isomer was obtained in each case. ^eRun for 24 h.

roform at low temperatures (Table 2, entries 6–9). Both enantioselectivity (87% ee) and mono/diacylation ratio (85:6) of the acylation of **5** catalyzed by **14** at -40 °C were improved compared with those in the corresponding reaction at 20 °C (Table 2, entry 6 vs entry 7). Similarly, the efficiency of the asymmetric desymmetrization of **5** catalyzed by **11** was improved by conducting the reaction at -40 °C (Table 2, entry 8 vs entry 9). Monoacylate **6** was obtained in 88% ee and 92% yield by treatment of **5** with isobutyric anhydride in the presence of 5 mol % of **11** at -40 °C. Further optimization of the asymmetric desymmetrization of **5** with catalyst **11** was examined at 20 °C (Table 3). The use of only 0.5 mol % of catalyst was found to be still effective in the asymmetric desymmetrization of **5** to give **6** in 90% ee and 76% yield (Table 3, entry 2) [20]. Further decrease in the amount of the catalyst to 0.05 mol % resulted in a lower enantioselectivity (74% ee) and in lower yield (66%) (Table 3, entry 3). The use of a less amount (1.0 equiv) of the anhydride in the presence of 5 mol % of **11** improved the mono/diacylation ratio (84:7), while the enantioselectivity was decreased (81% ee, Table 3,

Table 3: Optimization of the asymmetry	netric desymmetrizatior	n of 5 with catalyst 11 .ª			
(<i>R</i>)ОН (S)ОН 5	11 (iPrCO) ₂ O (1 collidine (1. CHCl ₃ , 20 °	$\frac{1.3 \text{ equiv}}{4 \text{ equiv}}$ C, 24 h 6 (R) $(R$	OCOiPr H T	≠OCOiPr *OCOiPr	
Entry	Mol % of 11	Equiv of (iPrCO) ₂ O	6:7:Recovery of 5 (%) ^b	ee of 6 (%) ^{c,d}	
1	5	1.3	75:23:2	87	
2 ^e	0.5	1.3	76:20:3	90	
3	0.05	1.3	66:10:24	74	
4	5	1.0	84: 7:4	81	
5 ^{e,f}	5	1.6	59:41:0	98	

^aReactions were run at a substrate concentration of 0.2 M. ^bYields determined by ¹H NMR with dibenzyl ether as an internal standard. ^cDetermined by GC analysis with a chiral stationary phase, beta-DEX 225. ^d(1*R*,2*S*)-Isomer was obtained in each case. ^eData quoted from reference [20]. ^f1.7 Equiv of collidine were used.

entry 1 vs entry 4). On the other hand, the use of an excess amount (1.6 equiv) of the anhydride resulted in the highest enantioselectivity (98% ee) in compensation for the low yield (59%) for monoacylation (Table 3, entry 5) [20]. The increase in the amount of diacylate 7 is associated with the higher ee of monoacylate 6 (Table 3, entries 1, 4 and 5). This suggests that the ee of monoacylate 6 would be amplified by the second acylation step, i.e., acylative kinetic resolution of enantioenriched monoacylate 6 produced by the asymmetric desymmetrization of the *meso*-substrate (Scheme 3).



To confirm this issue, kinetic resolution of *racemic*-6 was performed with catalyst 12b because 12b is almost as effective as 11 in the asymmetric desymmetrization of 5 (Table 1, entry 5 and entry 7). Treatment of *rac*-6 with 0.7 equiv of isobutyric anhydride in the presence of 5 mol % of 12b gave (1R,2S)-6 in 67% ee at 53% conversion (Scheme 4). This clearly indicates that the (1S,2R)-isomer reacts faster than the (1R,2S)-isomer in the acylation catalyzed by 12b.

The results in Table 1 suggest that the amide carbonyl groups at C(2) and C(5) of the pyrrolidine ring of the catalysts **8–14** would play the key role in asymmetric acylation. This is due to the fact that the amide carbonyl group is the common structural

subunit among these chiral PPY catalysts. We chose catalyst 11, which possesses the simplest n-hexyl amide side chain, and examined the effect of the secondary amide linkage by comparing the performance of the asymmetric desymmetrization with that shown by the analogous catalysts possessing the corresponding tertiary amide- or ester linkage, 15 or 16, respectively (Table 4, entries 1-3). Much diminished enantioselectivity (13% ee) was observed in the asymmetric desymmetrization of 5 with isobutyric anhydride in the presence of catalyst 15 with the tertiary amide linkage (Table 4, entry 2). Similarly, catalyst 16 with the ester linkage was found to be far less effective (13% ee) than 11 (Table 4, entry 3) in the asymmetric acylation. These results indicate that the secondary amide linkage in 11 is essential for the high efficiency of the asymmetric acylation. The superior property of 11 compared to 16 as an asymmetric acylation catalyst could be ascribed to the stronger Lewis basicity of the amide carbonyl group than that of the ester carbonyl group (donor number of amides > donor number of esters). However, the reasons for the poorer efficiency of catalyst 15 with a tertiary amide linkage compared with catalyst 11 with a secondary amide linkage are unclear (see also Figure 3). We then examined the effects of the C₂-symmetric structure of catalysts 11 and 12a by comparing the corresponding mono-functionalized chiral PPY catalysts 17 and 18 [10], respectively (Table 4, entry 4 and entry 5). Catalyst 17 was found to be slightly less effective than 11 in the asymmetric desymmetrization of 5 to give the monoacylate in 76% ee (Table 4, entry 4). Catalyst 18 gave monoacylate 6 in diminished ee (41% ee) in the acylative desymmetrization of 5 (Table 4, entry 5 vs entry 6). The corresponding (1R, 2S)-6 was obtained in each case. These results imply that a C_2 -symmetric structure in catalysts is responsible for the higher efficiency in the asymmetric acylation.

Asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-1,3cyclohexanediol

We have reported that catalyst **3** promoted the acylative asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-1,3-cyclohexanediol (**19**) to give **20** in 52% ee and 48% yield [11]. Here, C_2 -symmetric chiral PPY catalysts were examined for this asymmetric transformation (Table 5). Treatment of **19** with isobutyric anhydride





in the presence of catalyst 4 at 0 °C gave monoacylate 20 in 48% ee and 66% yield (Table 5, entry 1). The corresponding reaction at -40 °C did not improve the enantioselectivity (Table 5, entry 2). The attempted asymmetric desymmetrization of 19 at 20 °C promoted by catalysts 11, 12a, 12b, and 14

resulted in the formation of the monoacylate in 19–31% ee and 48–69% yield (Table 5, entries 3, 5–7). Lowering the temperature of acylation of **19** in the presence of **11** did not improve the enantioselectivity (Table 5, entry 3 vs entry 4). The lack of temperature effects may indicate that the hydrogen-bonding

			a = 1		OCOID-	
	I Un		catalyst (5 mol %)	I	OCOIPr	
	\frown	, (iPrCO) ₂ O (1.3 equiv)	\sim	\land	
		└ _{ОН} —	collidine (1.4 equiv)	++ +	OCOiPr	
	19	ter	nperature, time, CHCl ₃	20	21	
Entry	Catalyst	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	20:21:Recovery of 19 (%) ^b	ee of 20 (%) ^{c,d}	
1	4	0	12	66:15:17	48	
2	4	-40	48	26: 2:72	50	
3	11	20	4	69:24:7	31	
4	11	-40	48	44:10:44	25	
5	12a	20	4	48:43:9	27	
6	12b	20	4	49:30:21	20	
7	14	20	4	62:33:6	19	

^aReactions were run at a substrate concentration of 0.2 M. ^bYields determined by ¹H NMR with dibenzyl ether as an internal standard. ^cDetermined by GC analysis with a chiral stationary phase, beta-DEX 225. ^dThe absolute configuration of **20** was not determined.

interaction between the catalyst and the substrate may not significantly be involved in the process of enantioselective acylation of **19** in the presence of catalysts **4** and **11**.

Asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-2,3butanediol and *meso*-hydrobenzoin

The asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-2,3-butanediol (22a) and *meso*-hydrobenzoin (22b) were examined (Table 6). Treatment of 22a with isobutyric anhydride in the presence of 5 mol % of catalyst 8a at 20 °C for 4 h gave monoacylate 23a in 53% ee and 78% yield (Table 6, entry 1). Catalysts 10, 11, 12b, and 14 were also examined for asymmetric desymmetrization of 22a (Table 6, entries 2–8). These catalysts are almost equally

effective in the asymmetric desymmetrization of **22a** at 20 °C to give monoacylate **23a** in 57–66% ee and 72–78% yield (Table 6, entries 2, 3, 5, and 6). As observed in the asymmetric desymmetrization of **5**, the acylation of **22a** at a lower temperature gave better selectivity. The catalytic enantioselective acylation of **22a** with isobutyric anhydride in the presence of **11** or **14** at –60 to –65 °C gave monoacylate **23a** in 87% ee (72% yield) or 92% ee (61% yield), respectively (Table 6, entries 4 and 8) [20]. The higher enantioselectivity was found to be associated with the higher mono/diacylation ratio in the asymmetric acylation of **22a** promoted by **14** (Table 6, entries 6–8). (Notice: Enantioenriched **23a** gradually undergoes partial racemization when it is kept as a CHCl₃ solution probably via intramolecular

Table 6: ⊺	he asymmetric de	symmetrization of	meso-2,3-butanediol	(22a), <i>meso</i> -hy	drobenzoin (22b) and <i>n</i>	neso-1,2-cyclope	ntanediol (22c). ^a
		l (iPr col	cat (5 mol %) CO) ₂ O (1.3 equiv) Iidine (1.4 equiv) CHCl ₃		DiPr OH +		COiPr
	22a : R = Me	e		23a : R	= Me	24a : R = M	le
	22b : R = Pł	า		23b : R	= Ph	24b : R = P	h
	22c : R, R =	–(CH ₂) ₃ –		23c : R,	$R = -(CH_2)_3 -$	24c : R, R =	= –(CH ₂) ₃ –
Entry	Substrate	Catalyst ^b	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	23:24:Recovery	of 22 (%) ^c	ee of 23 (%)
1	22a	8a	20	4	78:11:1	1	53 ^{d,e}
2	22a	10	20	4	77:16:	7	62 ^{d,e}
3	22a	11	20	4	78:13:	9	66 ^{d,e}
4 ^f	22a	11	-60	24	72:7:2	1	87 ^{d,e}
5	22a	12b	20	4	72:6:22	2	61 ^{d,e}
6	22a	14	20	24	73:18:	9	57 ^{d,e}
7	22a	14	-40	24	82:4:14	4	85 ^{d,e}
8 ^f	22a	14	-65	24	61:<1:3	9	92 ^{d,e}
9	22b	8a	20	4	64:25:1	1	40 ^{g,h}
10	22b	8b	20	4	63:22:1	5	23 ^{g,h}
11	22b	12b	20	4	54:25:2	1	19 ^{g,h}
12	22c	4	-20	6	85:12:3	3	~0 ⁱ
13	22c	25	-20	4	73:27:0	D	~0 ⁱ
14 ^j	22c	25	-20	4	67:33:0	D	~0 ⁱ

^aReactions were run at a substrate concentration of 0.2 M.



^cYields determined by ¹H NMR with dibenzyl ether as an internal standard. ^dEe was determined by GC analysis with a chiral stationary phase, beta-DEX 225. ^e(2*R*,3*S*)-Isomer was obtained. ^fData quoted from reference [20]. ^gEe was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiral stationary phase, Chiralcel OJ (iPrOH:hexane = 5:95, flow 0.5 mL min⁻¹, t_R = 35, 51 min). ^hThe absolute configuration was not determined. ⁱEe of the corresponding benzoate, which was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiral stationary phase, Chiralcel AS (iPrOH/hexane 1:99, flow 0.2 mL min⁻¹, t_R = 43, 49 min). ^jRun in toluene. acyl migration: e.g., from 88% ee to 71% ee after 168 h.) The asymmetric desymmetrization of meso-hydrobenzoin (22b) was examined. Treatment of 22b with isobutyric anhydride in the presence of catalysts 8a, 8b, and 12b at 20 °C gave 23b in 19-40% ee in 54-64% yield (Table 6, entries 9-11). Significant amounts of the diacylate were also formed (22-25% yield) together with the recovery of the unreacted material (11-21%). In these transformations, the low enantioselectivity is associated with the low mono/diacylation ratio, which was also observed in the asymmetric desymmetrization of meso-1,3cyclohexanediol (19, Table 5). The asymmetric desymmetrization of meso-1,2-cyclopentanediol (22c) was also examined using catalysts 4 and 25 [18], the corresponding octyl ester analogues of 8a and 12a, respectively (Table 6, entries 12-14). The acylation of **22c** with isobutyric anhydride in the presence of 4 in chloroform at -20 °C gave monoacylate 23c as a racemate in 85% yield (Table 6, entry 12). Similarly, racemic 23c was obtained by the reaction of 22c with isobutyric anhydride in the presence of 25, either in chloroform or in toluene (Table 6, entries 13 and 14).

Mechanistic implication

Several characteristic phenomena were observed in the asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-diols promoted by C_2 -symmetric chiral PPY catalysts. (1) Substrate specificity:

Meso-1,2-cyclohexanediol (5) and meso-2,3-butanediol (22a) (matched substrates) gave high enantioselectivity, while meso-1,3-cyclohexanediol (19), meso-hydrobenzoin (22b), and meso-1,2-cyclopentanediol (22c) (mismatched substrates) gave poor enantioselectivity in the asymmetric desymmetrization. (2) A higher enantioselectivity was observed in the reactions of matched substrate 5 in the solvents of the lower polarity (Table 2, entries 1-5). (3) A higher enantioselectivity and a higher mono/diacylation ratio were observed in the acylation of the matched substrates at the lower temperatures (Table 2, entries 6-9; Table 6, entries 3, 4, 6-8). These phenomena suggest that the enantioselective acylation of the matched substrates proceeds in an accelerative manner via hydrogenbonding interaction between the catalyst and the substrate. A possible model for the transition state assembly for the enantioselective acylation of meso-1,2-cyclohexanediol (5) catalyzed by 11 is shown in Figure 2. A chiral acylpyridinium ion generated from 11 and isobutyric anhydride is expected to be the reactive intermediate which is responsible for the asymmetric acylation. The most stable conformer A of the acylpyridinium ion was generated by a molecular modeling search (AMBER* force field with the GB/SA solvation model for chloroform using MacroModel V 9.0 (50,000 steps MCMM)) and shown in Figure 2a and Figure 2b. Since the amide carbonyl groups at C(2) and C(5) seem to play the key role in the asymmetric







desymmetrization of 5 to give (1R, 2S)-6 (Table 1 and Table 4), we assume that the amide carbonyl group would serve as a hydrogen-bond acceptor and the non-reacting OH of 5 as a hydrogen-bond donor. A possible approach of substrate 5 to A is shown in Figure 2c and Figure 2d. In the case where a hydrogen bond between the amide carbonyl group and an axial-OH at the (S)-chiral center of 5 is formed, an equatorial-OH at the (R)-chiral center locates in the close proximity to the reactive carbonyl group without any unfavorable steric interaction, resulting in the selective acylation of the hydroxy group at the (R)-chiral center to give (1R, 2S)-6. On the other hand, there may be other possible modes of the approach of 5 to A. They involve hydrogen-bonding interaction between the amide carbonyl group of A and (1) an equatorial-OH at the (S)-chiral center of 5, (2) an axial-OH at the (R)-chiral center of 5, or (3) an equatorial-OH at the (R)-chiral center of 5. The first one would give (1R, 2S)-6, while the latter two would give (1S, 2R)-6. In these cases, however, unfavorable steric interaction is expected based on our molecular modeling study. It is also anticipated that an axial-OH may be the better hydrogen-bond donor than an equatorial-OH, according to the reported higher acidity of the axial hydroxy groups of cyclohexane derivatives [39]. An alternative model for the transition-state assembly is shown in Figure 3 where the amide NH group of A serves as a hydrogen-bond donor and the non-reacting OH of substrate 5 as a hydrogen-bond acceptor. This model could explain the difference between effective catalyst 11 and ineffective catalysts 15 and 16 (Table 4, entry 1 vs entries 2 and 3). However, the calculated distance between the amide NH group and the reactive amide carbonyl group of A seems too long (8.10 Å) for the accommodation of the 1,2-diol substructure (calculated distance between two oxygen atoms of the hydroxy groups: 2.66 Å). It is also difficult to find the reasons for the preferable acylation of the hydroxy group at the (R)-chiral center of 5 from this model. We prefer the model shown in Figure 2, however, the model in Figure 3 cannot be eliminated.

Conclusion

We have developed an organocatalytic method for the acylative asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-diols. Highly enantioselective desymmetrization of *meso*-1,2-cyclohexanediol and *meso*-2,3-butanediol (matched substrates) was achieved while low to moderate enantioselectivity was observed in the asymmetric desymmetrization of *meso*-1,3-cyclohexanediol, *meso*-hydrobenzoin, and *meso*-1,2-cyclopentanediol (mismatched substrates). Organocatalytic enantioselective acylation of the matched substrates was proposed to proceed via hydrogen-bonding interaction between the catalyst and the substrate.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

Experimental details and characterization data of new compounds, copies of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR. [http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/ supplementary/1860-5397-8-203-S1.pdf]

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) and by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows to Y. U. and K. M. from The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

References

- 1. Vedejs, E.; Daugulis, O.; Diver, S. T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 430–432. doi:10.1021/jo951661v
- Wurz, R. P. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5570–5595. doi:10.1021/cr068370e
- Müller, C. E.; Schreiner, P. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6012–6042. doi:10.1002/anie.201006128
- Höfle, G.; Steglich, W. Synthesis 1972, 619–621. doi:10.1055/s-1972-21955

- Vedejs, E.; Chen, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1809–1810. doi:10.1021/ja953631f
- Spivey, A. C.; Maddaford, A.; Fekner, T.; Redgrave, A. J.; Frampton, C. S. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1 2000, 3460–3468. doi:10.1039/b004704j
- Naraku, G.; Shimomoto, N.; Nanamoto, T.; Inanaga, J. *Enantiomer* 2000, *5*, 135–136.
- Kawabata, T.; Nagato, M.; Takasu, K.; Fuji, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3169–3170. doi:10.1021/ja963275g
- Kawabata, T.; Yamamoto, K.; Momose, Y.; Yoshida, H.; Nagaoka, Y.; Fuji, K. Chem. Commun. 2001, 2700–2701. doi:10.1039/b108753c
- 10. Kawabata, T.; Stragies, R.; Fukaya, T.; Fuji, K. *Chirality* **2003**, *15*, 71–76. doi:10.1002/chir.10166
- Kawabata, T.; Stragies, R.; Fukaya, T.; Nagaoka, Y.; Schedel, H.; Fuji, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 1545–1548. doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(03)00021-2
- Kawabata, T.; Muramatsu, W.; Nishio, T.; Shibata, T.; Uruno, Y.; Schedel, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 12890–12895. doi:10.1021/ja074882e
- Kawabata, T.; Muramatsu, W.; Nishio, T.; Shibata, T.; Uruno, Y.; Stragies, R. Synthesis 2008, 747–753. doi:10.1055/s-2008-1032176
- Ueda, Y.; Muramatsu, W.; Mishiro, K.; Furuta, T.; Kawabata, T.
 J. Org. Chem. **2009**, *74*, 8802–8805. doi:10.1021/jo901569v
- 15. Yoshida, K.; Furuta, T.; Kawabata, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 4830–4832. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.07.036
- Muramatsu, W.; Mishiro, K.; Ueda, Y.; Furuta, T.; Kawabata, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 827–831. doi:10.1002/ejoc.200901393
- 17. Yoshida, K.; Furuta, T.; Kawabata, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4888–4892. doi:10.1002/anie.201100700
- Yoshida, K.; Shigeta, T.; Furuta, T.; Kawabata, T. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 6981–6983. doi:10.1039/c2cc32525j
- Sammakia, T.; Hurley, T. B. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4652–4664. doi:10.1021/jo982281n
- Furuta, T.; Kawabata, T. Chiral DMAP-Type Catalysts for Acyl-Transfer Reactions. In Asymmetric Organocatalysis 1: Lewis Base and Lewis Acid Catalysts; List, B., Ed.; Science of Synthesis; Georg Thieme Verlag KG: Stuttgart, New York, 2012; p 518.
- Duhamel, L.; Herman, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 3099–3102. doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(00)98628-3
- 22. Ruble, J. C.; Tweddell, J.; Fu, G. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 2794–2795. doi:10.1021/jo980183w
- Oriyama, T.; Imai, K.; Sano, T.; Hosoya, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 3529–3532. doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(98)00523-1
- 24. Oriyama, T.; Hosoya, T.; Sano, T. *Heterocycles* 2000, *52*, 1065–1069. doi:10.3987/COM-99-S133
- Spivey, A. C.; Zhu, F.; Mitchell, M. B.; Davey, S. G.; Jarvest, L. R. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7379–7385. doi:10.1021/jo034603f
- Mizuta, S.; Sadamori, M.; Fujimoto, T.; Yamamoto, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3383–3385. doi:10.1002/anie.200250719
- Vedejs, E.; Daugulis, O.; Tuttle, N. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1389–1392. doi:10.1021/jo030279c
- Kündig, E. P.; Lomberget, T.; Bragg, R.; Poulard, C.; Bernardinelli, G. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1548–1549. doi:10.1039/B404006F
- Yamada, S.; Misono, T.; Iwai, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 2239–2242. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2005.02.019
- Mizuta, S.; Tsuzuki, T.; Fujimoto, T.; Yamamoto, I. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3633–3635. doi:10.1021/ol051129m
- Yamada, S.; Misono, T.; Iwai, Y.; Masumizu, A.; Akiyama, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6872–6880. doi:10.1021/jo060989t

- 32. Kündig, E. P.; Garcia, A. E.; Lomberget, T.; Bernardinelli, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 98–101. doi:10.1002/anie.200502588
- Maki, B. E.; Chan, A.; Phillips, E. M.; Scheidt, K. A. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 371–374. doi:10.1021/ol062940f
- 34. Birman, V. B.; Jiang, H.; Li, X. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3237–3240. doi:10.1021/ol071064i
- 35. Kündig, E. P.; Garcia, A. E.; Lomberget, T.; Garcia, P. P.; Romanens, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 3519–3521. doi:10.1039/b808268e
- 36. Müller, C. E.; Zell, D.; Shreiner, P. R. Chem.–Eur. J. 2009, 15, 9647–9650. doi:10.1002/chem.200901711
- 37. Maki, B. E.; Chan, A.; Phillips, E. M.; Scheidt, K. A. *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 3102–3109. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2008.10.033
- Cao, J.-L.; Qu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3663–3670. doi:10.1021/jo100435f
- Majumdar, T. K.; Clairet, F.; Tabet, J.-C.; Cooks, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 2897–2903. doi:10.1021/ja00034a021.

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions: (http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: doi:10.3762/bjoc.8.203