

Title	Prevalence of plasmid-mediated AmpC β -lactamase-producing <i>Escherichia coli</i> and spread of the ST131 clone among extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing <i>E. coli</i> in Japan.
Author(s)	Matsumura, Yasufumi; Yamamoto, Masaki; Higuchi, Takeshi; Komori, Toshiaki; Tsuboi, Fusayuki; Hayashi, Akihiko; Sugimoto, Yoshihisa; Hotta, Gou; Matsushima, Aki; Nagao, Miki; Takakura, Shunji; Ichiyama, Satoshi
Citation	International journal of antimicrobial agents (2012), 40(2): 158-162
Issue Date	2012-08
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/178036
Right	© 2012 Elsevier B.V.
Type	Journal Article
Textversion	author

1 **Short communication**

2 **Title:** Prevalence of plasmid-mediated AmpC β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and spread of
3 the ST131 clone among extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *E. coli* in Japan

4 **Authors:** Yasufumi Matsumura^a, Masaki Yamamoto^a, Takeshi Higuchi^a, Toshiaki Komori^b, Fusayuki
5 Tsuboi^c, Akihiko Hayashi^d, Yoshihisa Sugimoto^e, Goh Hotta^a, Aki Matsushima^a, Miki Nagao^a, Shunji
6 Takakura^a, and Satoshi Ichiyama^a

7 **Affiliation:** ^aDepartment of Clinical Laboratory Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of
8 Medicine, 54 Shogoin-Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan

9 ^bDepartment of Infection Control and Clinical Laboratory, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine,
10 465 Kajii-cho, Kamigyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan

11 ^cDepartment of Clinical Laboratory, Nagahama City Hospital, 313 Ooinui-cho, Nagahama-shi, Shiga,
12 Japan

13 ^dDepartment of Clinical Laboratory, Kyoto City Hospital, 1-2 Mibuhigashi-Takadacho, Nakagyo-ku,
14 Kyoto, Japan

15 ^eDepartment of Clinical Laboratory, Kohka Public Hospital, 3-39 Rokushin, Minakuchi-cho, Kohka,
16 Shiga, Japan

17 **Corresponding Author:** Yasufumi Matsumura

18 Department of Clinical Laboratory Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine
19 54 Shogoin-Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

20 TEL: +81-75-751-4914; FAX: +81-75-751-3233

21 E-mail: yazblood@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp

22

23 **Abstract**

24 In 2010, a total of 1327 clinical *Escherichia coli* isolates were analysed by PCR in 5 hospitals in the
25 Kyoto and Shiga regions of Japan. The prevalence of plasmid-mediated AmpC β -lactamase (pAmpC)
26 producers, extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) producers, and co-producers of pAmpC and ESBL
27 were 1.7%, 9.7%, and 0.3%, respectively. Less than half of the pAmpC producers were reported to be
28 resistant to third-generation cephalosporins, cephamycins, and β -lactam/ β -lactam inhibitors with the
29 old CLSI breakpoints in 2009. CMY-2 was the most prevalent pAmpC type (95%), and CTX-M-14
30 (38%), CTX-M-15 (26%), and CTX-M-27 (19%) were the most prevalent ESBL types. The
31 worldwide O25b-ST131-B2 clone accounted for 11% of pAmpC producers and 41% of ESBL
32 producers. The O25b-ST131-B2 clone was characterised by a CTX-M-27 or CTX-M-15 type ESBL
33 and ciprofloxacin non-susceptibility with quadruple mutations in quinolone resistance-determining
34 regions (S83L and D87N in GyrA and S80I and E84V in ParC). A significant proportion of pAmpC
35 producers and the O25b-ST131-B2 clone were found in Japan by a recent regional surveillance
36 program.

37

38 **Keywords:** ESBL, AmpC, ST131, CTX-M-27, prevalence

39

40 **1. Introduction**

41 In recent years, the prevalence of extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing
42 *Escherichia coli* has increased dramatically worldwide [1]. A CTX-M-15 ESBL-producing *E. coli*
43 with sequence type 131 (ST131) belonging to the O25b serogroup and the B2 phylogenetic group has
44 emerged as an international pandemic clone[2]. The prevalence of plasmid-mediated AmpC
45 β -lactamase (pAmpC)-producing *E. coli* has likewise been increasing [3]. As standard guidelines for
46 detecting pAmpC remain unavailable, pAmpC producers are rarely identified in routine laboratory
47 practices. However, the current data for the ST131 clone and pAmpC-producing *E. coli* in Japan are
48 poor. In this study, we investigated the prevalence and characteristics of the ST131 clone and
49 pAmpC-producing *E. coli* in the Kyoto and Shiga regions of Japan.

50

51

52 **2. Materials and methods**

53 **2.1. Bacterial isolates**

54 This study was conducted at 5 acute care hospitals in Japan: 3 municipal hospitals and 2
55 university hospitals in the Kyoto and Shiga regions of Japan. All of the *E. coli* isolates collected from
56 both in-patients and out-patients between June 2010 and December 2010 were eligible for the study.
57 In each hospital, microbiological speciation was conducted using the Vitek2 system (bioMérieux,
58 Marcy l'Etoile, France) or the MicroScan system (Siemens Healthcare diagnostics, Tokyo, Japan).
59 The ESBL screening test was performed according to the CLSI microdilution methodology
60 (cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefpodoxime, or aztreonam) [4].

61

62 **2.2. Molecular analysis**

63 Only the first isolate from each patient that was positive in the ESBL screen was sent to a
64 reference laboratory (Kyoto University) and subjected to PCR amplification and sequencing of the
65 *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{OXA-1}, and *bla*_{CTX-M} genes and the 6 main groups of pAmpC-type genes [5]. All of
66 the isolates with ESBL or pAmpC genes were further characterised based on their plasmid-mediated

67 quinolone resistance determinants (*qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrC*, *qnrS*, and *aac(6')-Ib-cr*) [5], their phylogenetic
68 groups using triplex PCR (A, B1, B2, D, and non-typable) [5], integrases [6], and plasmid replicon
69 typing [7] as has been previously described. Isolates that belonged to phylogenetic group B2 and were
70 O25b PCR positive and O25b-*pabB* PCR positive were considered to belong to the ST131 clone [8].
71 Five selected ST131 isolates identified by these presumptive methods were confirmed by multilocus
72 sequence typing according to the *E. coli* MLST Web site (<http://mlst.ucc.ie/mlst/dbs/Ecoli>). Random
73 amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) fingerprinting using a DAF4 primer was also performed [5],
74 and the profiles were analysed by GelCompar II, version 4.6 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem,
75 Belgium). Isolates with 100% similarity were designated as indistinguishable following the criteria by
76 Tenover et al. [9]. Ciprofloxacin-non-susceptible isolates were sequenced to determine the quinolone
77 resistance-determining regions (QRDRs) of *gyrA* and *parC* [10], and the correlated amino acids were
78 compared with the corresponding regions of *E. coli* K-12 (GenBank accession no. NC000913).

79

80 **2.3. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

81 The antibiotic susceptibility was evaluated by microdilution using Dry Plate Eiken (Eiken,
82 Tokyo, Japan) following CLSI specifications and interpreted according to the 2009 and 2011 CLSI
83 criteria [4]. The ESBL confirmation test was performed using the double-disk synergy test following
84 the CLSI guidelines [4].

85

86 **2.4. Statistical analysis**

87 Categorical variables were compared using the Fisher's exact test. A *P* value less than 0.05
88 was considered statistically significant.

89

90 **3. Results and discussion**

91 **3.1. Prevalences of pAmpC or ESBL producers**

92 During the study period, *E. coli* was isolated from a total of 1327 patients from 5 hospitals (Table 1).
93 Of those isolates, 172 (13.0%) were positive in the ESBL screen. The PCR analysis identified 23

94 pAmpC producers and 129 ESBL producers, 4 of which were positive for both the pAmpC and the
95 ESBL genes. The remaining 24 isolates contained neither pAmpC nor ESBL. The prevalence of
96 pAmpC producers was 1.7%. CMY-2 was the most prevalent pAmpC type. Surveillance conducted
97 between 2002 and 2008 in the Kinki region, which includes our study sites, showed that CMY-2 type
98 was most prevalent [11]. However, the prevalence rate from our data (1.7%) seems to be substantially
99 higher (0.1%) than that found previously. One possible explanation for this difference is that the
100 prevalence has varied over time. We did not assess the yearly variation, but the prevalence of pAmpC
101 producers has been increasing; for example, a Spanish study reported that the prevalence increased
102 from 0.04% in 1997 to 1.1% in 2007. The prevalence of ESBL producers was 9.7%. In 2003, inpatient
103 urine collected in 37 hospitals in Japan was studied, and the prevalence was 14% [12]. The SMART
104 surveillance in 2009 reported a diverse prevalence within the Asia-Pacific region that ranged from
105 2.0% in Australia to 65.4% in China [13]. The prevalence of ESBL producers and pAmpC producers
106 were higher in the 2 university hospitals than in the 3 municipal hospitals, which may be associated
107 with the fact that university hospitals had less frequent community-acquired infections and had
108 patients with more severe underlying diseases than municipal hospitals.

109

110 **3.2. Antimicrobial susceptibility**

111 Table 2 shows the characteristics of the pAmpC producers and the ESBL producers. The
112 most frequent isolation source was urine. All of the isolates were susceptible to imipenem (minimum
113 inhibitory concentration ≤ 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). Almost all of the ESBL producers were judged to be resistant to
114 third-generation cephalosporins by the old CLSI breakpoints (prior to 2010) due to the positive results
115 of the ESBL confirmation test. However, the revised breakpoints classified 66% of ESBL producers
116 as susceptible to ceftazidime. This finding can be explained by the fact that 87% (42/48) of
117 CTX-M-14-producers and 13% (4/31) of CTX-M-15-producers were susceptible to ceftazidime. This
118 phenomenon is worth noting when implementing the revised breakpoints where CTX-M-14 is
119 prevalent.

120 Less than one half of the pAmpC producers were determined to be resistant to

121 third-generation cephalosporins by old breakpoints, as all the isolates were negative in the ESBL
122 confirmation test. The revised breakpoints correctly classified more than 90% of the pAmpC
123 producers as resistant to third-generation cephalosporins. In Japan, the old breakpoints are still used,
124 and the phenotype tests for the detection of pAmpC producers are rarely conducted. Furthermore,
125 pAmpC producers are likely resistant to cefmetazole and piperacillin-tazobactam because of the
126 activity of the pAmpC enzyme [3]. However, fewer than half were judged to be resistant. When ESBL
127 screening-positive and ESBL confirmation-negative isolates are detected, the use of third-generation
128 cephalosporins, cephamycins, or β -lactam/ β -lactam inhibitors requires caution irrespective of the
129 susceptibilities of the isolates because the clinical efficacies of these drugs have not yet been
130 established.

131 Twenty-four isolates without pAmpC or ESBL genes had reduced susceptibility rates to
132 β -lactam/ β -lactam inhibitors (29% for ampicillin/sulbactam and 46% for piperacillin/tazobactam), and
133 elevated chromosomal AmpC was a suggested mechanism of resistance.

134

135 **3.3 Phylogenetic group, CTX-M type, and the ST131 clone isolates**

136 Virulent phylogenetic groups B2 and D were prevalent in both pAmpC and ESBL producers.
137 The CTX-M type, which is associated with the international emergence of the O25b-ST131-B2 clone,
138 is now spreading worldwide [1]. CTX-M-15 is most closely associated with the ST131 clone, and thus
139 is the most widely distributed CTX-M subtype. In our study, CTX-M-14 was the most prevalent
140 ESBL, and CTX-M-15 was the second prevalent. Among 125 ESBL producers, 51 isolates of the
141 ST131 clone (41%) were found. CTX-M-27 (41%) and CTX-M-15 (28%) were the most prevalent
142 ESBLs in the ST131 clone isolates; however, CTX-M-27 was rarely found in non-ST131 clone
143 isolates (2%). CTX-M-14 was the most frequent ESBL in non-ST131 isolates (41%). In the previous
144 Japanese nationwide surveillance study, a significant portion of ESBL producers belonged to the
145 ST131 and ST38 clones (approximately 20% each [14]). Most of the ST131 clone isolates contained
146 CTX-M-14, but none of them contained CTX-M-15 or CTX-M-27 [14]. The prevalence of the ST131
147 clone has doubled, and the distribution of CTX-M types was quite different from that found in a

148 previous study. RAPD analysis showed that 135 of the 148 pAmpC or ESBL producers had
149 distinguishable patterns. All of the 13 other isolates belonged to the ST131 clone and were composed
150 of 1 cluster of 3 isolates and 5 clusters of 2 isolates. These results suggest that the ST131 clone is a
151 dominant and unique clone among ESBL producers in our region.

152 The ST131 clone frequently contained genes for TEM-1, OXA-1, *aac(6')-Ib-cr*, and
153 ciprofloxacin resistance [2]. In our study, the ST131 clone isolates had a higher ciprofloxacin
154 non-susceptible rate (85%) than non-ST131 clone isolates (46%). Table 3 shows all of the
155 ciprofloxacin non-susceptible isolates that had at least 3 mutations in QRDRs. All of the 46
156 ciprofloxacin non-susceptible ST131 clone isolates had double mutations both in GyrA (S83L and
157 D87N) and ParC (S80I and E84V). This genotype was rarely found in the previous study in Asia [10].
158 On the contrary, 30 of 43 (70%) non-ST131 clone isolates had double mutations in GyrA (S83L and
159 D87N) and a single mutation in ParC (S80I). This genotype was found worldwide, including in Asia
160 [10]. A significantly smaller number of the ST131 clone isolates had TEM-1, which differed from
161 previous studies [2]. The ST131 clone isolates frequently contained OXA-1 and *aac(6')-Ib-cr*, but the
162 difference was not statistically significant. The ST131 clone isolates more frequently contained
163 plasmid replicons for both IncFIA and IncFIB. Associations between CTX-M-15 producers and both
164 IncFIA and IncFIB have been reported [7].

165 The ST131 clone accounted for only 2 of 19 pAmpC producing-isolates. These two isolates
166 were susceptible to ciprofloxacin. The low prevalence of pAmpC-producing ST131 is consistent with
167 the results of studies from Europe, which found a prevalence of less than 10% [15]. IncI1 was more
168 frequently found in pAmpC producers than in ESBL producers. A Norwegian study reported a high
169 prevalence of IncI1 among CMY-2 producers [15].

170

171 **4. Conclusion**

172 We found that 1.7% of *E. coli* isolates from clinical specimens were pAmpC producers.
173 Among the ESBL producers, 41% were isolates of the ST131 clone, and these isolates were
174 characterised by ciprofloxacin non-susceptibility with quadruple mutations in QRDRs, the presence of

175 CTX-M-27, the absence of TEM-1, and the plasmid replicons for IncFIA and IncFIB.

176

177 **Acknowledgements**

178 Funding: This work was supported by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan

179 (H21-Shinkou-Ippan-008).

180 Competing interests: None declared.

181 Ethical approval: Not required.

182 **References**

- 183 1. Rossolini GM, D'Andrea MM, Mugnaioli C. The spread of CTX-M-type
184 extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2008;14 Suppl 1:33-41.
- 185 2. Rogers BA, Sidjabat HE, Paterson DL. *Escherichia coli* O25b-ST131: a pandemic,
186 multiresistant, community-associated strain. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66:1-14.
- 187 3. Jacoby GA. AmpC beta-lactamases. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2009;22:161-82.
- 188 4. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for
189 antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Twentieth informational supplement. CLSI document
190 M100-S21. Wayne, PA, USA: CLSI; 2011.
- 191 5. Matsumura Y, Nagao M, Iguchi M, Yagi T, Komori T, Fujita N, et al. Molecular and
192 clinical characterisation of plasmid-mediated AmpC β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*
193 bacteraemia: a comparison with extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing and
194 non-resistant *E. coli* bacteraemia. Clin Microbiol Infect. (in press)
- 195 6. Ploy MC, Denis F, Courvalin P, Lambert T. Molecular characterization of integrons
196 in *Acinetobacter baumannii*: description of a hybrid class 2 integron. Antimicrob Agents
197 Chemother. 2000;44:2684-8.
- 198 7. Mshana SE, Imirzalioglu C, Hossain H, Hain T, Domann E, Chakraborty T.
199 Conjugative IncFI plasmids carrying CTX-M-15 among *Escherichia coli* ESBL producing
200 isolates at a University hospital in Germany. BMC Infect Dis. 2009;9:97.
- 201 8. Clermont O, Dhanji H, Upton M, Gibreel T, Fox A, Boyd D, et al. Rapid detection
202 of the O25b-ST131 clone of *Escherichia coli* encompassing the CTX-M-15-producing strains.
203 J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009;64:274-7.
- 204 9. Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV, Mickelsen PA, Murray BE, Persing DH, et al.
205 Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel
206 electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. J Clin Microbiol. 1995;33:2233-9.
- 207 10. Uchida Y, Mochimaru T, Morokuma Y, Kiyosuke M, Fujise M, Eto F, et al.
208 Geographic distribution of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* strains in Asia. Int J

209 Antimicrob Agents. 2010;35:387-91.

210 11. Yamasaki K, Komatsu M, Abe N, Fukuda S, Miyamoto Y, Higuchi T, et al.
211 Laboratory surveillance for prospective plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamases in the
212 Kinki region of Japan. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:3267-73.

213 12. Muratani T, Matsumoto T. Urinary tract infection caused by fluoroquinolone- and
214 cephem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2006;28 Suppl 1:S10-3.

215 13. Chen YH, Hsueh PR, Badal RE, Hawser SP, Hoban DJ, Bouchillon SK, et al.
216 Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of aerobic and facultative Gram-negative bacilli
217 isolated from patients with intra-abdominal infections in the Asia-Pacific region according
218 to currently established susceptibility interpretive criteria. J Infect. 2011;62:280-91.

219 14. Suzuki S, Shibata N, Yamane K, Wachino J, Ito K, Arakawa Y. Change in the
220 prevalence of extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in Japan by
221 clonal spread. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009;63:72-9.

222 15. Naseer U, Haldorsen B, Simonsen GS, Sundsfjord A. Sporadic occurrence of
223 CMY-2-producing multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* of ST-complexes 38 and 448, and
224 ST131 in Norway. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2010;16:171-8.

225

226

228 **Table 1. Prevalences of ESBL-producing and pAmpC-producing *E. coli* in each hospital.**

Hospital	Type of hospital	All isolates	ESBL screening		ESBL confirmation		ESBL ^a		pAmpC ^a		Both pAmpC and ESBL	
			test positive	(%)	test positive	(%)		(%)		(%)		(%)
A	Municipal	350	35	(10.0%)	20	(5.7%)	20	(5.7%)	4	(1.1%)	1	(0.3%)
B	University	253	42	(16.6%)	33	(13.0%)	32	(12.6%)	7	(2.8%)	2	(0.8%)
C	Municipal	173	18	(10.4%)	17	(9.8%)	17	(9.8%)	1	(0.6%)	0	(0.0%)
D	Municipal	272	28	(10.3%)	23	(8.5%)	23	(8.5%)	5	(1.8%)	1	(0.4%)
E	University	279	49	(17.6%)	38	(13.6%)	37	(13.3%)	6	(2.2%)	0	(0.0%)
A, C, and D	Municipal	795	81	(10.2%)	60	(7.5%)	60	(7.5%)	10	(1.3%)	2	(0.3%)
B and E	University	532	91	(17.1%)	71	(13.3%)	69	(13.0%)	13	(2.4%)	2	(0.4%)
Total		1327	172	(13.0%)	131	(9.9%)	129	(9.7%)	23	(1.7%)	4	(0.3%)

229 All of the first isolates from each patient that were positive in the ESBL screening test were collected. The prevalences of ESBL producers and
 230 pAmpC producers were higher in the 2 university hospitals than in the 3 municipal hospitals ($P=0.001$ and $P=0.13$, respectively).

231 ^a The numbers included co-producers of pAmpC and ESBL.

232

233

234 **Table 2. Sources, in-vitro susceptibilities, and molecular characteristics of pAmpC-producing and ESBL-producing *E. coli*.**

Characteristic	pAmpC			<i>P</i> value ^a	Non-ST 131		<i>P</i> value
	pAmpC only (n=19)	ESBL only (n=125)	and ESBL (n=4)		ST131 clone (n=54)	clone (n=94)	
Source of isolates							
Urine	11 (58%)	83 (66%)	2 (50%)	0.61	40 (74%)	56 (60%)	0.11
Pus	4 (21%)	14 (11%)	0 (0%)	0.26	7 (13%)	11 (12%)	0.80
Blood	1 (5%)	9 (7%)	1 (25%)	1	1 (2%)	10 (11%)	0.06
Sputum	0 (0%)	5 (4%)	0 (0%)	1	5 (9%)	0 (0%)	0.006
Bile	0 (0%)	4 (3%)	1 (25%)	1	0 (0%)	5 (5%)	0.16
Others	3 (16%)	10 (8%)	0 (0%)	0.38	1 (2%)	12 (13%)	0.03
In-vitro susceptibility							
Cefepime	19 (100%)	86 (69%)	3 (75%)	0.002	39 (72%)	69 (73%)	1
Cefepime (old BP)	19 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	<0.001	2 (4%)	18 (19%)	0.01
Cefotaxime	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	1	1 (2%)	1 (1%)	1
Cefotaxime (old BP)	15 (79%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	<0.001	2 (4%)	14 (15%)	0.05

Ceftazidime	1 (5%)	82 (66%)	0 (0%)	<0.001	30 (56%)	53 (56%)	1
Ceftazidime (old BP)	9 (47%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	<0.001	1 (2%)	9 (10%)	0.09
Aztreonam	12 (63%)	50 (40%)	1 (25%)	0.08	21 (39%)	42 (45%)	0.61
Aztreonam (old BP)	15 (79%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	<0.001	2 (4%)	14 (15%)	0.05
Cefmetazole	10 (53%)	122 (98%)	2 (50%)	<0.001	52 (96%)	82 (87%)	0.08
Ampicillin-sulbactam	1 (5%)	45 (36%)	0 (0%)	0.007	25 (46%)	21 (22%)	0.003
Piperacillin-tazobactam	11 (58%)	96 (77%)	1 (25%)	0.09	47 (87%)	61 (65%)	0.004
Imipenem	19 (100%)	125 (100%)	4 (100%)	1	54 (100%)	94 (100%)	1
Amikacin	19 (100%)	125 (100%)	4 (100%)	1	54 (100%)	94 (100%)	1
Gentamicin	18 (95%)	103 (82%)	4 (100%)	0.31	48 (89%)	77 (82%)	0.35
Ciprofloxacin	14 (74%)	43 (34%)	2 (50%)	0.002	8 (15%)	51 (54%)	<0.001
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	10 (53%)	67 (54%)	2 (50%)	1	31 (57%)	48 (51%)	0.50
Minocycline	11 (58%)	93 (74%)	2 (50%)	0.17	46 (85%)	60 (64%)	0.008
Colistin	19 (100%)	125 (100%)	4 (100%)	1	54 (100%)	94 (100%)	1
ESBL confirmation test	0 (0%)	125 (100%)	3 (75%)	<0.001	52 (96%)	76 (81%)	0.01

Resistance gene

CMY-2	18 (95%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	<0.001	2 (4%)	20 (21%)	0.003
DHA-1	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.14	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0.37
CTX-M-14 ^b	0 (0%)	50 (40%)	0 (0%)	<0.001	11 (20%)	39 (41%)	0.01
CTX-M-15 ^b	0 (0%)	33 (26%)	1 (25%)	0.007	15 (28%)	18 (19%)	0.31
CTX-M-27	0 (0%)	24 (19%)	0 (0%)	0.04	22 (41%)	2 (2%)	<0.001
CTX-M-2	0 (0%)	10 (8%)	1 (25%)	0.36	1 (2%)	10 (11%)	0.06
CTX-M-24	0 (0%)	4 (3%)	0 (0%)	1	2 (4%)	2 (2%)	0.62
CTX-M-1	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	2 (50%)	1	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	1
CTX-M-3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	1	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0.37
CTX-M-9	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0.37
CTX-M-44	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	1 (25%)	1	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0.37
CTX-M-65	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0.37
SHV type ESBL	0 (0%)	3 (2%)	0 (0%)	1	1 (2%)	2 (2%)	1
TEM-1	8 (42%)	45 (36%)	2 (50%)	0.62	12 (22%)	43 (46%)	0.005
OXA-1	0 (0%)	4 (3%)	0 (0%)	1	3 (6%)	1 (1%)	0.14

<i>qnr^c</i>	1 (5%)	3 (2%)	0 (0%)	0.44	1 (2%)	3 (3%)	0.46
<i>aac(6')-Ib-cr</i>	0 (0%)	5 (4%)	0 (0%)	1	4 (7%)	1 (1%)	0.06
Phylogenetic group							
A	2 (11%)	4 (3%)	0 (0%)	0.18	0 (0%)	6 (6%)	0.08
B1	2 (11%)	9 (7%)	1 (25%)	0.64	0 (0%)	12 (13%)	0.004
B2	6 (32%)	66 (53%)	2 (50%)	0.14	54 (100%)	20 (21%)	<0.001
D	7 (37%)	43 (34%)	1 (25%)	0.80	0 (0%)	51 (54%)	<0.001
Non-typable	2 (11%)	3 (2%)	0 (0%)	0.13	0 (0%)	5 (5%)	0.16
ST131 clone	2 (11%)	51 (41%)	1 (25%)	0.01	54 (100%)	0 (0%)	<0.001
Class 1 integrase ^d	10 (53%)	61 (49%)	2 (50%)	0.81	25 (46%)	48 (51%)	0.61
Plasmid replicon type ^e							
IncFIA	0 (0%)	20 (16%)	1 (25%)	0.07	13 (24%)	8 (9%)	0.01
IncFIA and IncFIB	4 (21%)	51 (41%)	1 (25%)	0.13	33 (61%)	23 (24%)	<0.001
IncFIB	6 (32%)	29 (23%)	1 (25%)	0.41	5 (9%)	31 (33%)	0.001
IncII	10 (53%)	26 (21%)	4 (100%)	0.008	7 (13%)	33 (35%)	0.004

235 The data are presented as the number (%).

236 All in vitro susceptibilities were evaluated using the revised CLSI breakpoints for 2011 except those for the antibiotics labeled “old BP”; for these

237 antibiotics, the susceptibility was evaluated using the old CLSI breakpoints for 2009 with modification of the category if the ESBL confirmation test
238 was positive. For colistin, all of the isolates in this study had minimum inhibitory concentrations of ≤ 2 $\mu\text{g/mL}$.
239 ^a *P* value for the comparison between pAmpC-only and ESBL-only isolates.
240 ^b Two ESBL-producing isolates (one ST131 clone and one non-ST131 clone) were positive for CTX-M-14 and CTX-M-15.
241 ^c *qnrB* was found in the pAmpC and ST131 group. *qnrS* was found in the ESBL and non-ST131 group.
242 ^d Class 2 and class 3 integrase genes were not found.
243 ^e The 4 most prevalent replicon types are listed. The A/C, P, B/O, K/B, N, and Y types were found, but the prevalences were less than 10%.
244

245 **Table 3. Molecular mechanism of quinolone resistance among ciprofloxacin non-susceptible ESBL-producing *E. coli*.**

Clone type	Number of isolates	Amino acid mutations in QRDR				Number of isolates with <i>aac(6')-Ib-cr</i>
		GyrA		ParC		
		83	87	80	84	
ST131 clone	46	L	N	I	V	4
Non-ST131 clone	30	L	N	I	E	0
	5	L	N	I	G	1
	2	L	N	I	V	0
	2	L	Y	I	E	0
	1	L	N	I	A	0
	1	L	N	I	K	0
	1	L	N	I	S	0
	1	L	N	R	E	0
Wild type (<i>E.coli</i> K-12)	-	S	D	S	E	-

246 All of these isolates lacked *qnr*.