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One of the purposes of the studies in the organic materials is to create the molecular aggregate, which shows the desired electronic properties, by the method of organic synthesis. The electronic structure of each molecules is possible to design and synthesis, but the construction of the desired molecular aggregate is very difficult to control. Both of the physical and chemical methods to control the molecular arrangements, intermolecular distances, intermolecular bond (covalent, ionic, van der Waals, and metal types), and dimensionality of intermolecular interaction in the molecular aggregate are not enough to develop.

There are many states of molecular aggregation; for examples, powder, polycrystalline, single crystals, evaporated film, and Langmuir-Blodgett (LB) film. Based on the studies of electrically conducting organic charge transfer (CT) complexes in the form of single crystals, the synthetic strategies of molecular metal and superconductor have been proposed and accumulated in these day. 1) In addition, the molecular magnet and metallic LB film were also reported, ${ }^{2}$ ) and the researches of new organic materials, which shows an interesting chemical and physical properties, will be important to deduce the functions of organic materials. I focused on the interaction of the proton transfer (PT) and tried to combine the CT and PT interactions.

## 1-1 Organic Charge Transfer (CT) Complex

The first highly conducting organic compound (perylene)(bromine) $)_{x}$, which showed the conductivity at room temperature of ca. $0.1 \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}$, was discovered by Japanese group in 1954.3) This work indicated that the mobile carrier bearing high conductivity was generated on the organic molecule. The large numbers of organic metals were developed until now, and about 70 kinds of organic superconductors with 12 kinds of molecular systems; for examples, tetrathiafulvalene (TTF), metal[2-thioxo-1,3-
dithiol-4,5-dithiolate $]_{2}(\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{dmit}) 2)$, and carbon sixty ( C 60 ), were found until 1995. The transition temperature to the superconducting state $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ raised above 30 K in the C 60 system. These metallic or superconducting organic systems are the CT complex, which is composed of electron donor and acceptor molecules. Figure 1-1 summarizes the representative electron donor and acceptor molecules.

## Electron Donors



Perylene


TMTSF


BEDT-TTF

Electron Acceptors


Fig. 1-1 Molecular structures of representative electron donor and acceptor molecules

In the 1960 s, the crystal structures and physical properties of a number of anion radical salts of 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) were examined. ${ }^{4)}$ A theory of the high $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ superconductor using the organic molecule was proposed by Little in 1964.5) He proposed that the $T_{\mathcal{C}}$ value will rise up to room temperature if the formation of the Cooper pair is mediated by the electron-exciton interaction instead of the electron lattice one. This idea was expanded to the two-dimensional electronic system as the surface superconductor by Ginzburg.6) They pointed out that the enhancement of molecular polarizability was necessary to increase the electron-exciton interaction. Although many synthetic attempts have been done for above mentioned excitonic superconductors, no successful results have yet been reported. However, the studies of many kinds of TCNQ anion radicals have revealed the importance of molecular polarizability of counter cation on transport of electron in solid. The counter cation of large molecular polarizability in the TCNQ complexes increased the electrical conductivity compared with that of small molecular polarizability. 7,8 ) The large size of molecular has many electron compared with small size one, so the molecular polarizability enhances according to the increase of volume of molecule. However, the size mismatch between the TCNQ and counter cation destroyed the stacking structure of TCNQ column, and the electrical conductivity is decreased in the combination of very large cation molecule. As a result, it was pointed out that the small size of molecule with large molecular polarizability increased the electrical conductivity.

In 1969, the TTF, which is the most representative electron donor molecule until now, was synthesized by several groups. ${ }^{9)}$ The fulvalene molecule was interested from a viewpoint of aromaticity. This molecule has the stable $7 \pi-7 \pi$ electronic structure by the delocalization of the $\pi$-electron and gives the aromatic $7 \pi-6 \pi$ and $6 \pi-6 \pi$ electronic structures by the stepwise oxidations (Fig. 1-2). Since the chemical stabilities of cation radical $(6 \pi-7 \pi)$ and dication $(6 \pi-6 \pi)$ states in the heptafulvalene were insufficient, the isoelectronic substitution from the ethylene moiety to the sulphur atoms was tried to increase the stabilities of open shell electronic structure (Fig. 1-2). As a result, the
radical cation and dication states of TTF existed as stable species, and used as an electron donor molecule in the field of organic conductor.


Fig. 1-2 Isoelectronic substitutions of heptafulvalene and redox characters of Weitz (TTF) and Würster (TCNQ) type molecules.

In the multi redox system, a conventional classification of redox character of the $\pi$ - electron was proposed by Hünig et al. ${ }^{10 \text { ) Here, I explain the redox systems of TTF }}$ and TCNQ, which belong to the Weitz and Würster types, respectively (Fig. 1-2). This classification was based on the differences of redox system whether the redox reaction occurred at the end of the molecule (dicyanomethylene part of TCNQ) or the within the cyclic system of $\pi$-electron (five-membered ring of TTF). The aromatic $6 \pi-7 \pi$ and $6 \pi$ $-6 \pi$ characters appear by two stage oxidations of TTF, while the quinoide structure of TCNQ changes to the aromatic $6 \pi$ structure by one step reduction. However, no further contribution of aromaticity exists at the $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{2-}$. This classification is conventional
nomenclature, and there are no detailed discussion concerning to the differences between the Weitz and Würster redox systems.

The first organic metal (TTF)(TCNQ) was discovered in 1973. This complex showed the metallic conducting behaviour from room temperature to 60 K , and changed to insulator below $60 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{11}$ ). It is known that three stable low temperature phases exist in the low dimensional conductor; that is, i) the charge density wave (CDW) phase by the strong electron - lattice interaction, ii) the spin density wave (SDW) phase by the antiferromagnetic interaction, and iii) the superconducting (SC) phase by the formation of Cooper pair. In the case of (TTF)(TCNQ), the insulating phase at low temperature ( $<60$ K) was ascribable to the CDW phase due to the one dimensional character of Fermi surface. The suppression of CDW and/or SDW phases at low temperature is indispensable to generate the SC phase in organic metal.

To suppress the low temperature insulating phases, two chemical methods were applied for one dimensional electronic system; i) the destruction of periodicity in the one dimensional Fermi surface, ii) the increase of the dimensionality from one to two or three dimensional electronic states of Fermi surface. The first method was succeeded in the case of the $\left(\mathrm{DEPE}^{2+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ}) 4\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}<0.2$ (DEPE is 1,2 -di( $N$-ethyl-4pyridinium)ethylene ${ }^{2+}$ ). This salt contains the incommensurate water molecule as solvent, which influences the periodicity of one dimensional Fermi surface. As a result, the complete nesting of Fermi surface at low temperatures was suppressed due to the blurred Fermi surface, and the metallic conducting behaviour was observed from room temperature $\left(\sigma \mathrm{RT}=150 \sim 2200 \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}\right.$ ) to $20 \mathrm{mK} .{ }^{12}$ ). The second method was succeeded for the tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene (TMTSF) and bis(ethylenedithio) tetrathiafulvalene (BEDT-TTF) molecules. The replacements from the sulphur atoms (S) to selenium atoms (Se) increased the intermolecular interaction along the side-by-side direction to the conducting column, while multi chalcogen substitutions of TTF framework also enhanced the dimensional property of electronic structure. The application of pressure has also the same effect as the above mentioned chemical modifications. The first organic superconductor was discovered by the application of
pressure to the $\left.(\mathrm{TMTSF})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right) ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.6 \mathrm{~K}(0.6 \mathrm{kbar}) .13\right)$ The cation radical salts of the BEDT-TTF system provided the organic superconductors with the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}>10 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{14}$ ) From the studies of BEDT-TTF superconductors, it was pointed out that the small intermolecular transfer and high density of state at Fermi level were required to increase the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$, the alkali metal - C 60 system fulfilled this criteria fortunately. The first superconductor of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ system was discovered in or on $(\mathrm{K})_{3}(\mathrm{C} 60)$.15) Since the linear correlation between the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and lattice parameter was found, it was expected that the large size of alkali metal increased the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$. In this sense, the Cs 3 C 60 was developed at the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ of 40 K under the application of pressure. ${ }^{16}$ )

In these days, the appearance of superconducting state is common character even in the organic molecules. The controls of the crystal structure and band filling are the important subjects to increase the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ of superconductor in the organic system. In the $\mathrm{CuO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ high- $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ superconductors, the precise control of band filing largely increased the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

## 1-2 Proton-Transfer (PT) and Charge-Transfer (CT) Complex

The phase transition, which interplays between electron (charge)-transfer (CT) and proton-transfer (PT) interactions, in the solid state was studied by Hertel in 1924.17) The CT and PT properties of the picric acid complexes with some anilines were examined by Briegleb and Delle, ${ }^{18)}$ Kofler et al., 19) Saito and Matsunaga, 20) Bernstein et al., ${ }^{21}$ ) and Tanaka et al. ${ }^{22 \text { ). The 3,3',5,5'-tetranitrobiphenol and 2,4,6-trinitrobenzoic }}$ acid were also employed for the electron acceptor and proton donor instead of picric acid. ${ }^{23)}$ Until the 1970 s, the researches of the CT and PT system in the solid state were mainly carried out for the polynitrophenol - aromatic amine systems. Only a few synthetic strategies have been proposed for these CT and PT system. 20a, d, g, h, 24) After the 1980s, the new systems; quinhydrones, ${ }^{25}$ ) N -salicylideneanilines, ${ }^{26}$ ) and metal(diaminoglyoxime) 2 complexes, ${ }^{27}$ ) have been examined from a viewpoint of simultaneous operation of the CT and PT interactions. At first, I describe the

relationship between CT and PT interactions based on the family tree of the molecular complex of $\pi$ - electron system (Fig. 1-3). ${ }^{24 b}$ b, 28)

There are two main categories of the CT complex, one is one chain conductor (radical salt), and another is two chain conductor such as (TTF)(TCNQ). The latter class can be further divided into the ionic $(\delta>0.5)$ and non-ionic ( $\delta<0.5$ ) CT complexes based on the boundary of degree of $\mathrm{CT}(\delta)$ in the ground state. The organic metals and superconductors are obtained from the ionic two chain CT complex or radical salt. In these categories, the reversible phase transition from non-ionic $\mathrm{CT}(\delta \sim 0.3)$ to ionic CT ( $\delta \sim 0.7$ ) types (Scheme 1-1) was reported for the (TTF)(p-chloranile), which occurred at 81 K or applying the pressure above $10 \mathrm{kbar} .{ }^{29}$ )


In the case of CT and PT system, the balance of Brønsted's acid-base interaction, CT interaction, and Madelung energy decides the types of the obtained complex in the solid state. If the acidity of proton donor: $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DH})$, is higher than that of proton acceptor: $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{AH}^{+}\right)$, the proton of the HD molecule moves to the A molecule, which gives a PT salt: $\left[\mathrm{D}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{AH}^{-}\right]($Scheme $1-2 \mathrm{a})$. On the other hand, no PT reaction from the DH molecule to A molecule occurs in the condition of $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{AH}^{+}\right)<\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DH})$. If the DH and A molecules have the electron donating and accepting abilities, respectively, at the same time, the formation of a CT complex can be observed as the $\left[\mathrm{DH}^{+} \delta\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-} \delta\right]$ form (Scheme 1-2b).
$a ; D H+A \rightarrow D^{-}+A H^{+} \rightarrow\left[D^{-}\right]\left[A H^{+}\right]$
$b ; D H+A \rightarrow\left[D H^{+} \delta\right]\left[A^{-} \delta\right]$



 colour of complex (o-bromoaniline)(s-trinitrobenzene) was red, the yellow and red

 bromoaniline][picric acid], respectively, as shown in Scheme 1-3 (the PT and CT interactions are denoted as allows with the notations of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{e}^{-}$, respectively). He






Table 1-1. Molecular complexes between anilines and polynitrophenol.

| Proton and electron donor | Proton and electron acceptor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | Colour change ( $\left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) | Electron acceptor ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | Colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CT and PT complex |  | CT complex |  |
| o-bromoaniline | picric acid | yellow-orange red (95) | s -trinitrobenzene | orange |
| o-iodoaniline | picric acid | yellow-deep orange (90) | $s$-trinitrobenzene | orange |
| 1-chloro-2-napthtylamine | picric acid | yellow-dark red (130) | s-trinitrobenzene | bright red |
| 1-bromo-2-napthylamine | picric acid | yellow-violet red (114) | $s$-trinitrobenzene | bright red |
| 1,6-bromo-2-napthylamine | picric acid | yellow-dark red (96) | s-trinitrobenzene | bright red |
| 4-bromo-1-napthylamine | 2,6-dinitrophenol | yellow-dark red (91) | 2,6-dinitrobenzene | red |
| 4-chloro-1-napthylamine | 2,6-dinitrophenol | yellow-red (76) | 2,6-dinitrobenzene | orange |
| 2,4-dichloro-1-napthylamine | 2,6-dinitrophenol | yellow-red (72) | 2,6-dinitrobenzene | - |

a) The PT interaction occurs due to the existence of phenolic protons. b) The colour of high temperature phase is yellow with PT type and low temperature phase is CT type with no PT interaction. c) There are no PT interaction in the absence of the phenolic protons.
isomerizm .30) The reason of the colour change was explained as follow. If the acidbase interaction was added to the force of molecular complex formation (CT interaction), the phenomena of the complex isomerizm occurred by the balance between the CT and the acid - base interactions. In the low temperature region, the acid - base interaction is superior to the CT one, while the CT interaction is important at the high temperature region.

In the PT and CT system, the types of the obtained complex depend on the acid base dissociation constants $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, ionization potential $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$, electron affinity $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ of the component molecules, and Madelung energy (M) in the solid state. In the case of polynitrophenol - aromatic amine system, the difference of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values $\left(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\right.$ $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DH})-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{AH}^{+}\right)$) between polynitrophenol $(\mathrm{pK}(\mathrm{DH}))$ and aromatic amine $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{AH}^{+}\right)\right)$dominated to decide whether the obtained complex was the PT salt or CT complex. The clear boundary between $\mathrm{CT}\left(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}>0\right)$ and PT $\left(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}<0\right)$ types was indicated at around the $\Delta \mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim 0$ in the polynitrophenol - aromatic amine systems. ${ }^{24 \mathrm{~b} \text { ) }}$ The complex locating at $\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim 0$ region was sensitive to the condition of complex formation.

There are some examples that both of the CT and PT interactions operate simultaneously in the solid state. Kofler obtained yellow and red coloured (1naphthylamine)(picric acid) complexes from pyridine solution.19) The explanation of this colour changes was carried out by Matsunaga and Saito. 20b) The phenolic proton of picric acid was transferred to the amino group of 1-naphthylamine, which gave the yellow salt of [1-naphthylammonium $\left.{ }^{+}\right]\left[\right.$picrate $\left.^{-}\right]$. In the red form, both of the CT and PT interactions coexisted simultaneously, and the real chemical formula was deduced as the [1-naphthylamine][picrate $\left.{ }^{-}\right]\left[\right.$pyridinium $\left.{ }^{+}\right]$. The picrate anion was acted as the electron acceptor, and the red colour was attributed to the CT interaction between 1 naphthylamine and picrate anion (Scheme 1-4).



Benzidine - picric acid (1:1)
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Tryptophan - picrat
Fig. 1-4. CPT complexes of (benzidine)(picric acid), (benzidine)(picric acid)2, and (tryptophan)(picrate).

The molecular arrangement of 1-naphthylamine and picrate anion in the solid state was also preferred to occur the CT interaction between 1-naphthylamine and picrate, which was confirmed by the X-ray crystal structural analysis. 21) The complex, coexisting both of the PT and CT interactions simultaneously, was called as the charge and proton transfer (CPT) type. Fig. 1-4 shows another example of CPT complexes; (benzidine)(picric acid), (benzidine)(picric acid)2 20d, 20g, 23a) and (tryptophan)(picric acid). ${ }^{20 e)}$ The $\left[\mathrm{o}\right.$-dibromotolidine $\left.{ }^{+\delta}\right]\left[\right.$ picric acid $\left.^{-}{ }^{-}\right]$was obtained as black coloured crystal with non-ionic CT complex from chloroform, while the cation radical [odibromotolidine $\left.{ }^{+\bullet}\right]\left[\right.$ picrate $\left.{ }^{-}\right]$was also formed from ethanol (Scheme 1-5).20d) This is also an example of complex isomerizm, which depends on the solvent system of crystal growth.
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Scheme 1-5

The intermolecular transfer processes of hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}=\mathrm{H}^{*}\right)$ and hydride $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}=\mathrm{H}^{-}\right)$were also examined. The hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}\right)$ - transfer reaction of organic molecules was examined by Braude and Listead. ${ }^{31)}$ The hydride $\left(\mathrm{H}^{-}\right)$- transfer (HT) and PT processes were also employed for the CT complex formation between TCNQ and some heterocyclic molecule (for examples, $N$-methylacridan and $N$-propyl-1,4-
dihydronicotinamide). ${ }^{32)}$ The TCNQ molecule changes to the 1,4benzenedimalononitrile (H2TCNQ) by two step CT and PT processes (Fig. 1-5). Nine independent chemical species can be speculated for H2TCNQs - TCNQs system. Among nine species, there are no reports for the species; $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQs}{ }^{2+}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{+*}$ and HTCNQs+ ${ }^{+}$


Fig. 1-5. $3 \times 3$ PT and CT diagram of H2TCNQ - TCNQ system. Each vertical and horizontal line corresponds to two-step PT and CT processes, respectively. The species in bracket are considered to be unstable. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1} \sim \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{p}$, and $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p} 2$ describe the processes in figure.

Scheme 1-6 shows an example of complex formation between TCNQ and N alkylacridan. The hydride of N -alkylacridan is transferred to TCNQ, which forms N alkylacridinium ${ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-}$. The $\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-}$molecule reacts with TCNQ, which forms the H 2 TCNQ and $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\left(\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-}+0.5 \mathrm{TCNQ} \rightarrow 0.5 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}+\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$.

As a result, the complex ( $N$-alkylacridinium ${ }^{+}$) $(\mathrm{TCNQ})_{2}$ was formed in addition to the H2TCNQ


Scheme 1-6

Next, I explain three representative CT and PT systems: i) quinhydrone, which is composed of $p$-benzoquinone $(\mathrm{BQ})$ as the electron acceptor and hydroquinone $(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q})$ as the electron donor, ii) flavine nucleus as the biological redox processes, and iii) polyanilines as the conducting polymer system.
i) Quinhydrone. Since the transformation from H 2 Q to BQ occurs by two step PT and CT processes, nine independent species exist in this system (Fig. 1-6).33) The oxidation of H 2 Q forms the cation radical: $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}^{+}$, and reduction of BQ produces the anion radical; $\mathrm{BQ}^{-*}$. The quinhydrone belongs to non-ionic CT complex due to the $\delta$ of 0.2 from the crystal structural analysis, ${ }^{34)}$ and the open shell species in the crystal are $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}^{+\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{BQ}^{-\bullet}$. If a proton is removed from $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}^{+\bullet}$ or added to $\mathrm{BQ}^{-\bullet}$, the neutral radical (HQ*) appears at the center of $3 \times 3$ diagram. The application of the pressure changed the optical spectra of quinhydrone crystal discontinuously, which is speculated to be the occurrence of transformation from the weak CT complex to the neutral radical (HBQ*) state. ${ }^{25 \mathrm{a})}$ The direct evidence of the formation of semiquinone radical species (HBQ*) is not obtained. Nakasuji et al. proposed two chemical methods to stabilize the neutral radical state. The quinhydrone with small CT gap stabilizes the HBQ* state through the excess charge effect of PT state, and the second method is the direct
stabilization by the push-pull substitution effect. 25 b) They synthesized the extended $\pi$ electron systems; naphthoquinhydrone or pyrenoquinhydrone, to decrease the CT gap, but both of the direct detection of neutral radical species and the relationship between the CT gap and stabilization were not carried out.





## Electron - transfer (CT)

Fig. 1-6 $3 \times 3$ (two-proton and two-electron matrix) diagram of quinone (BQ) hydroquinone (H2Q) system. Each vertical and horizontal line corresponds to multiplex PT and CT processes, respectively.
ii) Flavine nucleus. The PT and CT interactions are also important in the biological system. The flavine nucleus is one of the typical components of CT and PT system in a biological redox moiety. ${ }^{35}$ )


Flavine
The redox interconversions of flavine give cationic, neutral, or anionic species depending on the CT and PT processes. The HT reaction between the coenzyme of pyridinenucleotide and the substrate of the dehydrogenation enzyme is indispensable biological process in the biological energy transformation. In a viewpoint of the biological CT and PT system, the CT interaction between the tryptophan or serotonine and picrate was examined by the spectroscopic method. ${ }^{20 e)}$ The crystal structural analysis of these picrate complexes also showed the preferable arrangements between the donor and acceptor molecules to occur the CT interaction in the solid state. ${ }^{36}$ )
iii) Polyaniline. The electrical conducting behaviour of polyaniline have been extensively studied by many researchers. The electrical conducting behaviour largely changes according to the protonated states of polyaniline. ${ }^{37)}$ Scheme 1-7 indicates three representative forms of polyaniline.


Scheme 1-7

The full protonated white polymer (a) is called as leucoemerardine, while fully deprotonated dark-purple form (c) is perigraniline. The form $b$, which has the equivalent amount of protonated and deprotonated units, is called as emerardine, and this state is important for a starting material of conducting polyaniline. The conductivity changed from $10^{-10}$ to $1 \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}$ continuously by the proton doping in aqueous HCl solution.

## 1-3 CT and PT Nature of 2,2'-bi-1H-imidazole (H2BIM) System

Figure 1-7 showed the electron donor and acceptor molecules, which have been employed for the studies of CT and PT system in the solid state. All molecules in Fig. 1-7 (aromatic amines, hydroquinones, biphenols, polynitrophenols, and quinones) have a Würster type redox system. On the other hand, the representative electron donor molecule: TTF, belongs to the Weitz type redox system, which give a number of organic metals. Since the research of CT and PT system is not carried out for the Weitz type redox system, I focused on Weitz type molecule for a candidate of study. The 2,2'-bi-
$1 H$-imidazole (H2BIM) system has Weitz type redox structure and same electronic structure to the TTF by the changes of the protonated and electronic states (Scheme 1-8). Thus, I selected H2BIM system as molecular framework of the CT and PT examination.

Polynitrophenol

Polynitrobiphenol

Quinone

Aromatic Amine

Benzidine

Hydroquinone

Fig. 1-7. Weitz type electron donor and acceptor molecules.


2,2'-bi-1H-imidazole
Scheme 1-8

The H2BIM system has complicated CT and PT character due to the existence of four nitrogens in the molecular framework. This four nitrogens can accept or donate the protons, which changes the chemical species step-by-step. Fig. 1-8 indicated the PT and CT scheme of H2BIM system, and each vertical and horizontal processes indicate the PT and CT processes, respectively. The existence of four-step CT and PT processes

Proton $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$Transfer
 Fig. 1-8. Ideal $5 \times 5$ (four-proton and four-electron matrix) diagram of HXBIM (X $=0 \sim 4$ )
system. Each vertical line indicates multiplex proton-transfer (PT) processes, while
horizontal lines correspond to multiplex electron-transfer (CT) one. Vertically related horizontal lines correspond to multiplex electron-transfer (CT) one. Vertically related
species have the same electronic structure from $5 \pi-5 \pi$ to $7 \pi-7 \pi$
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gives the twenty-five independent chemical species. I explain the CT and PT processes of H2BIM system using the routes $\mathbf{1} \sim \mathbf{8}$.

Route 1; The neutral H2BIM changes to 2-(2-1H-imidazolyl)-1 $H$-imidazolium $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right.$), then $2,2^{-}$-bi-imidazolium ( $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ ) by the stepwise protonations. Also, 2-(2-1H-imidazolyl)-1H-imidazolide ( $\mathrm{HBIM}^{-}$) and $2,2^{\prime}$-biimidazolide ( $\mathrm{BIM}^{2-}$ ) were formed by the deprotonation processes of H2BIM. The electronic structure of these species is a close shell of $6 \pi-6 \pi$. Among four PT processes, only the acid dissociation constant of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$was reported $\left.\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.60\right) .{ }^{89}\right)$
Route 2; The one step reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ gives the open shell radical cation of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{+\bullet}$ with $6 \pi-7 \pi$ electronic structure, and further reduction gives the neutral H4BIM with $7 \pi-7 \pi$ electronic structure. This two step reduction processes are similar to that of TTF ${ }^{2+}$. Route 3; The reduction of H3BIM ${ }^{+}$generates the neutral radical species: H3BIM* , and further reduction of H3BIM* produces the anion species of H3BIM - Route 4; The reduction and oxidation processes of H2BIM change the chemical species to the anion radical ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{*}$ ) or cation radical ( $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$) , respectively. Since the H 2 BIM has a $6 \pi-6 \pi$ electronic structure, the redox character of H2BIM is similar to the biphenyl. Route 5; The oxidation of HBIM ${ }^{-}$species provides the neutral radical of HBIM* Route 6; The two-step oxidation of BIM ${ }^{2-}$ generates the anion radical species of $\mathrm{BIM}^{-}$, and further oxidation of BIM-* produces the neutral BIM with $5 \pi-5 \pi$ electronic structure. Within 25 species, three neutral species (H2BIM, H4BIM, and BIM) and two neutral radical (H3BIM* and HBIM*) exist in the CT and PT diagram. Routes 7 and 8 ; The PT process also changes the character of radical species. The neutral radical H3BIM* is formed by the deprotonation of the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{+\boldsymbol{}}$ or protonation of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{-}$, while $\mathrm{HBIM}{ }^{*}$ is also obtained by the protonation of $\mathrm{BIM}^{*}$ or deprotonation of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{+\bullet}$.

The H2BIM system has been known to the ligand for the metal coordination compounds. The lone pair of nitrogen has highly coordination ability to the various kinds of metal ions such as $\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{Ir}, \mathrm{Ru}$, and Rh . The $\mathrm{BIM}^{2-}$ was used either as a ligand to the transition metals $\mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Ir}, \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Pd}$, or Cu in chelate compounds,
such as $\left[\mathrm{CuI}_{2}(\mathrm{BIM})(\right.$ Me5dien $\left.\left.) 2\right][\mathrm{BPh} 4]_{2}, 39 \mathrm{a}\right)$ or as a counter anion in $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{Cp})_{2}\right]_{2}[\mathrm{BIM}] .39 \mathrm{~b}\right)$ Some of their crystal structures have been reported. ${ }^{39}$, 40) The preparation of the $\mathrm{HBIM}^{-}$compounds with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left(\mathrm{HBIM}_{2}\right]\right.$, $\left[\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{PPh} 3)(\mathrm{HBIM})\right],\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{4}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) 4(\mu-\mathrm{BIM}) 2\right]$, and even with $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}$, and Cu , have been elucidated. ${ }^{40}, 41$ ) Only the crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { salenNMe2 })\left(\mathrm{HBIM}^{-}\right)\right]_{2}$, salenNMe2 is $N$-salycylidene- $N, N^{\prime}$ - dimethyl ethylenediamine, was reported recently. ${ }^{42 \text { ) The neutral }} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ has been known to give such compounds as $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{I I}(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]\left[\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right] 2$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{n} \mathrm{I}_{2} \text { (H2BIM) }\right)_{5}\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{4}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{3}$, and $\left[\mathrm{Zn} \mathrm{I}_{( }(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM})_{2}\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]$, the crystal structures of which have been reported ${ }^{43}$ ) besides that of pristine $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0.44)}$ However, there are no report concerning to the crystal structures of the $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ states.

The CT complex formation between the ethylene bridged tetracyano-biimidazole $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 2\right.$ TCN-BIM) and TTF was reported (Scheme 1-9).45) The crystal structure was composed of the mixed stack of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TCN}-\mathrm{BIM}$ and TTF.


Scheme 1-9

Since the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ TCN-BIM was a weak electron acceptor by the reason of the low reduction potential $\left(-1.84 \mathrm{~V}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ vs $\left.\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}\right)$, the CT complex $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TCN}\right.$ BIM)(TTF) was classified as the neutral complex. On the other hands, the crystal structures of anion radical salts of Ir complexes with tetracyano-bi-1 $H$-imidazole (TCN$\mathrm{BIM})$ molecule were reported for $\left[\mathrm{NEt4}_{4}^{+}\right]_{5}\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{TCN}-\mathrm{BIM}\right]_{6}\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}\right]$and $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]_{5}\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{TCN}-\mathrm{BIM}\right]_{6}\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right]$, which showed the conductivities at room temperature $(\sigma \mathrm{RT})$ of $2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}$. 45)

$\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{TCN}-\mathrm{BIM}$

The most conducting salt in this series was the $\left[\mathrm{NPrEt}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right] 5\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{TCN}\right.$ BIM $] 6\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}\right]$with $\sigma_{\mathrm{RT}}$ of $2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}$. The crystal structures of $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}+\right]\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{TCN}-\mathrm{BIM}\right]_{2}$ and mixed metal complex $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{3} \mathrm{Me}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{TCN}-\right.$ $\mathrm{BIM}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{2} \mathrm{TCN}-\mathrm{BIM}\right]$ were also reported, and the $\sigma_{\mathrm{RT}}$ of these were $10^{-4} \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}$ in both cases. The crystal structures were composed of the non-uniform segregated stacking of $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{TCN}-\mathrm{BIM}$ molecules, and the one - dimensional stacking structure was formed by the strong metal-metal interaction.

Since the neutral BIM molecule has a $5 \pi-5 \pi$ electronic structure , this species is an electron acceptor molecule. The neutral 4,4,5,5'-tetrachloro-BIM (Cl4-BIM), 4,4,5,5'-tetrabromo-BIM (Br4-BIM), and dibenzo-BIM (BBIM) have been already prepared. ${ }^{46)}$ The reduction potentials of $\mathrm{Cl}_{4}-\mathrm{BIM}, \mathrm{Br} 4-\mathrm{BIM}$, and BBIM were 0.30 , 0.38 , and -0.65 V (vs. $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ in dichloromethane), respectively. The electron accepting abilities of $\mathrm{Cl}_{4}$-BIM and Br4-BIM molecules are higher than that of TCNQ, but the CT complex formations have not been examined until now.

## 1-4 Scope of this Thesis

For the H2BIM system, there are no systematic researches concerning to the CT and PT nature. To construct a new CT and PT system based on the H2BIM system, it is need to evaluate the CT and PT abilities of individual species in solution. If the CT complex formation is carried out in solution, both of the redox potentials ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {redox }}$ ) and acid dissociation constants $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ of each component molecules decide the types of the obtained complex.

In Chapter 2, I examined the Eredox and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of H 2 BIM derivatives in addition to the tetracyano-, tetrachlaoro-, tetrabromo-, tetramethyl-H2BIM, and related systems. Both of the $\mathrm{E}_{\text {redox }}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of H2BIM system are compared with another redox or acid dissociation system, and I try to deduce the structural parameter, which relates to the on-site Coulomb repulsion energies $(\mathrm{U})$ of CT and PT. Since the H2BIM system is the first example of Weitz type redox system, I focus on the difference of PT and CT characters from a viewpoint of $U$ between the Weitz and Würster molecular framework.

In Chapter 3, I discuss the CT complex formations between the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BLM}^{+}$or H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ and some kinds of TCNQ derivatives. Since the TCNQ has both of electron and proton accepting abilities, the PT and CT characters of TCNQ system are examined to explain the results of CT complex formation. The types of obtained complex (complete ionic CT, mixed CT, and neutral CT) can explain from the differences of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $E_{\text {redox }}$ of the initial $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-}$molecules. The evaluation of PT state using the spectroscopic method is useful to distinguish the protonated species in the CT complex. The mechanism of CT complex formation can be discussed from the spectroscopic analysis of CT complex and both of the $\mathrm{E}_{\text {redox }}$ and $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of initial components ( $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}$ molecules). Since the structural characters of the $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ molecules are also important for the CT complex formation, the crystal structures of close shell cations and TCNQ complex are shown from a viewpoint of hydrogen bond.

The complex formation of $2,2^{\prime}$-bi-1 H -benzoimidazole (H2BBIM) systems with TCNQ is discussed in Chapter 4. The effect of expansion of $\pi$-electron system to the parent H2BIM molecule is examined from the viewpoints of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {redox }}$, and crystal structures (H2BBIM and 2, $2^{\prime}$-bi- $1 H$-benzoimidazolium (H4BBIM ${ }^{2+}$ )). To obtain the TCNQ complex, the new method of crystal preparation was carried out in the acetonitrile - buffer solution. The obtained TCNQ salt showed the high electrical conductivity at room temperature, thus the crystal structure, temperature and pressure dependences of conductivity, magnetic and optical properties were examined to clarify the CT and PT
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Chapter 2. Acid Dissociation Constants and Redox Properties of $2,2^{\prime}-$ bi-1H-imidazole System in Solution.

Seven new states among the speculated 25 independent of $2,2^{\prime}-1 H$-biimidazole (H2BIM) system were identified based on the study of their redox and acid dissociation properties. Isoelectronic substitution of four sulfur atoms of the dication state of tetrathiafulvalene $\left(\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}\right)$ by four imino groups was found to increase the stability of the dication state $2,2^{\prime}-$ bi-1 1 -imidazolium ( $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ ) with aromatic $6 \pi-6 \pi$ character. The high PT character of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$(2-(2-1H-imidazolyl)-1H-imidazolium) and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ compared with that of the corresponding hydroquinone system was clearly observed. The on-site Coulomb repulsion energy for electron-transfer ( $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ ) was evaluated for various $\pi$-electron acceptor and donor systems. It was found that the $U_{1} C T$ values decrease linearly with increasing the length of a molecule, which can divide the molecular systems into two classifications, i.e. electron acceptors and dications. The on-site Coulomb repulsion energy for two step proton transfer processes ( $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ ) was newly defined and evaluated for the H2BIM, H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$, hydroquinone (H2Q), and bis(4hydroxyphenyl) disulfide (HPDS) systems. The $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ values become constant above a certain molecular length ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ). Below $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}$ the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ values increase linearly with decreasing the distance r regardless of Würster and Weitz structures, approximately. The H2BIM (H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ ) system has large $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ PT values in comparison with the H2Q and HPDS systems.

## 2-1 Introduction

The types of redox processes are classified into two typical cases: Weitz and Würster types, depending on whether the end groups of the redox system are ring members or external groups of a cyclic $\pi$-system, respectively. 1) According to this classification, the TTF and H4BIM systems have the redox character of the Weitz type and the p-benzoquinone (BQ), 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) and p-
phenylenediamine (PPD) systems have that of the Würster one. The simultaneous CT and PT systems examined until now have been based on the BQs and diamines frameworks having Würster type redox natures (see section 1-2). The H2BIM is the first example having the Weitz type CT and PT character. Before the studies of the CT and PT complexes in the solid state, I first evaluate the most essential characters in such study; i.e. the CT and PT character of individual component, from the measurements of acid-base dissociation constants $\left(\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and redox potentials $(\mathrm{E})$ in solution. I examine the redox character, especially the on-site Coulomb repulsion energy $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$, which represents the repulsion energy between two electrons on the same site in the CT process and is an essential parameter, in the transport phenomena in the solid state, of these two types of molecular framework. I tried to find the differences of redox behavior between the Weitz (TTFs and H4BIM etc.) and Würster (BQ, p-diphenoquinone (DPQ), TCNQ, PPD, benzidine $(\mathrm{Bz})$ systems, etc.) types with the magnitude of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ (Scheme 2-1)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{M}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{e}^{-}} \mathrm{M}^{-} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{e}^{-}} \mathrm{M}^{2-} \\
\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{M}^{2-}\right)+\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{M}^{0}\right)-2 \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}\right) \\
\text {Scheme 2-1 } \\
\mathrm{M}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^{+}} \mathrm{HM}^{+} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^{+}} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{M}^{2+} \\
\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{M}^{2+}\right)+\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{M}^{0}\right)-2 \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{HM}^{+}\right) \\
\text {Scheme } 2-2
\end{gathered}
$$

where $E\left(M^{2-}\right)$ represents the total energy of the $M^{2-}$ molecule. Similarly, in the twostep PT processes (Scheme 2-2), I define the on-site Coulomb repulsion energy of the PT process, $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$, which represents the repulsive energy between two charges on the same molecule in the PT process. The $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ values are compared among the various types of molecular structures, Weitz $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$ and Würster $(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}$, bis(4hydroxyphenyl) disulfide (HPDS) systems, etc.). I discuss the relationship between the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ values and the structural properties.

## 2-2 Experimenta

Materials All solvents were distilled before use. TCNQ, $1 H$-imidazole, 2-methy$1 H$-limidazole, 4 -nitro- $1 H$-imidazole, 4,5-dichloro- $1 H$-imidazole, 4,5-dicyano- $1 H$ imidazole, H 2 Q, 2,3-dicyanohydroquinone $\left(\mathrm{CN}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}\right)$, tetracyanoethylene (TCNE), BQ, 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-p-benzoquinone (DDQ), p-chloranil ( $\mathrm{Cl}_{4}$-BQ), 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-p-benzoquinone (F4-BQ), 2,5-dimethyl-p-benzoquinone (Me2-BQ), duroquinone (Me4-BQ), 2,5-dichloro-p-benzoquinone ( $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-BQ), 1,4-naphthoquinone, $\mathrm{Bz}, 3,3^{\prime}$-dimethylbenzidine (o-tolidine), 3,3'-dimethoxybenzidine (o-dianisidine), 3,3'5,5'tetramethylbenzidine (Me4-Bz), $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylbenzidine ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{TMB}$ ), PPD, and diaminodurene (DAD) were commercially obtained, and were purified by recrystallization and/or vacuum sublimation. 2,3,5,6-Tetrafluoro-7,7,8,8tetracyanoquinodimethane (F4-TCNQ), ${ }^{\text {2a) }}$ 2-fluoro-7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (F-TCNQ), 2b) 2,5-dimethyl-7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (Me2-TCNQ), 2c) 2,5-diethyl-7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (Et2-TCNQ), 2c) 2,5-dimethoxy-7,7,8,8tetracyanoquinodimethane $\left.\left(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}\right),{ }^{2} \mathrm{c}\right)$ 4,8-bis(dicyanomethylidene)-4,8-dihydrobenzo[1,2-c:4,5-c']bis[1,2,5]thiadiazole (BTDA-TCNQ), ${ }^{2 d}$ ) 2,5dichlorohydroquinone $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}\right),{ }^{3 a}$ ) 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorohydroquinone $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{4}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}\right),{ }^{3}$ a) 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanohydroquinone (H2DDQ), 3b) 2,3-dicyano-p-benzoquinone $\left(\mathrm{CN}_{2}-\mathrm{BQ}\right),{ }^{3}$ c) 2,3,5,6-tetrabromo-p-benzoquinone ( $\mathrm{Br} 4-\mathrm{BQ}$ ), ${ }^{20 \mathrm{~d})} \mathrm{DPQ},{ }^{20 e}$ ) 3, ${ }^{\prime}, 5,55^{\prime}-$ tetramethyl-p-diphenoquinone (Me4-DPQ), 3f) 3,3',5,5'-tetrachloro-p-diphenoquinone $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right.$-DPQ), 3 g$) \quad 3,3^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}$-tetrabromo-p-diphenoquinone $\left.\left.(\mathrm{Br} 4-\mathrm{DPQ}), 3 \mathrm{~g}\right) \quad \mathrm{TTF}, 4 \mathrm{a}\right)$ (dimethyl)tetrathiafulvalene (DM-TTF), ${ }^{4}$ a) (tetramethyl)tetrathiafulvalene (TM-TTF), 4a) 2,3:6,7-bis(tetramethylene)tetrathiafulvalene (OM-TTF),4a) 2,3:6,7-bis(trimethylene) tetrathiafulvalene (HM-TTF), 4a) 2,3:6,7-bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene (BEDTTTF), 4d) 2,3:6,7-bis(ethylenedioxy)tetrathiafulvalene (BEDO-TTF), 4e) 2,3(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene (EDT-TTF), 4f) tetrakis(methylthio)tetrathiafulvalene (TTC 1 -TTF), 4d) 6,7-ethylenedithio-2,3-bis(methoxycarbonyl) tetrathiafulvalene $\left(\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}\right.$-EDT-TTF), 4 g ) 1,6-diaminopyrene (DAP), 5) 1,6-dithiapyrene (DTPY), ${ }^{6}$ )
and $5,6: 11,12$-bis(epidithio)naphthacene $(\text { TTT })^{7)}$ were prepared by the literature methods, and were purified by recrystallization and/or vacuum sublimation. All of 1,4benzenedimalononitrile derivatives ( $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$, and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$ ) were prepared by similar methods from the literature, ${ }^{8)}$ and were purified by recrystallization from $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (6:4).

2,2'- Bi - $\mathbf{1 H}$-imidazole (H2BIM). The preparation of $2,2^{\prime}$-bi- $1 H$-imidazole was done by the method of B. F. Fieselmann et al.9) Anhydrous ammonia gas was slowly bubbled into 500 ml of a $20 \%$ solution of glyoxal at such a rate that the temperature was maintained about $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 h , the solution was filtered and washed with water, then acetone, to give 41.0 g of crude brown product with a yield of $35 \%$. This was recrystallized from boiling ethylene glycol twice with charcoal. The cold filtrate was deposited as colorless needles, which were collected, washed with water, then acetone, and dried in a vacuum. $\mathrm{Mp}>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 53.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.40 ; \mathrm{N}, 41.90 \%$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ : C, $53.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 41.77 \%$. IR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ pellet; $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ is in good agreement with the literature ${ }^{9}$ ): IR spectrum: $3333-2000(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{br}) ; 3142,3073,3001,2895$, 2803, 2747, 2634; 1670(w,br); 1545(s); 1436(m); 1404(s); 1338(m); 1217(m); 1104(s); 939(s); 918(w); 887(s); 763(m); 748(s); 739(sh); 690(s).

4,4',5,5'-Tetramethyl-2,2'-bi-1H-imidazole (H2TMeBIM), 10a) 4,4',5,5'-tetrachloro- 2,2'-bi-1 H -imidazole (H2TCIBIM), 10b) 4,4',5,5'-tetrabromo-2,2'-bi-1 H -
 (H2TCNBIM) ${ }^{10}$ c) were prepared by methods from the literatures, and were purified by recrystallization from 1,4-dioxane.

2-(2-1 $H$-Imidazolyl)- 1 H -imidazolium iodide $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$. A mixture of $1 \mathrm{~g}(7.46$ mmol ) of H2BIM and 1.1 molar amount of aqueous hydroiodic acid (55\%) in 30 ml of ethanol was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and left at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered to provide $1.5 \mathrm{~g}(52 \%)$ of a white solid, which was washed with acetonitrile, then ether, and recrystallized from ethanol-water. $\mathrm{Mp}>180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (sublimation). Found: C,27.56; H,2.55; N,21.63; I,48.65\%. Calced for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N} 4 \mathrm{I}$ : C,27.51; H,2.67; N,21.39; I,48.44\%. IR spectrum: 3322-2000(br); 3118, 3028, 2938,

2795; 1648(s); 1560(s); 1499(w); 1449(s); 1422(m); 1400(m); 1316(s); 1216(m); $1153(\mathrm{w}) ; 1115(\mathrm{~s}) ; 1093(\mathrm{~s}) ; 927(\mathrm{~s}) ; 912(\mathrm{~m}) ; 871(\mathrm{~m}) ; 781(\mathrm{~s}) ; 754(\mathrm{~s}) ; 670(\mathrm{~s}) ; 474(\mathrm{~s})$.
2-(2-1 $H$-Imidazolyl)- $1 H$-imidazolium tetrafluoroborate $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]$. Via the procedure described above for $\left[\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right], 1 \mathrm{~g}(7.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ of H 2 BIM was treated with 1.1 molar amount of aqueous tetrafluoroboric acid solution in ethanol to provide $0.84 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%)$ of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]$, which was recrystallized from acetonitrile. Mp $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as a white powder. Found: C,32.38; H,3.00; N,25.25\%. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{BF} 4: \mathrm{C}, 32.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.15 ; \mathrm{N}, 25.25 \%$. IR spectrum: 3700-2200(br): 3349, 3227, $3168,3035,2940,2805,2630 ; 1968(\mathrm{br}) ; 1649(\mathrm{~s}) ; 1557(\mathrm{~s}) ; 1508(\mathrm{w}) ; 1458(\mathrm{~m}) ; 1423(\mathrm{w}) ;$ $1300(\mathrm{w}) ; 1218(\mathrm{~m}) ; 1127(\mathrm{~s}) ; 1065(\mathrm{~s}) ; 932(\mathrm{~m}) ; 912(\mathrm{~m}) ; 877(\mathrm{~m}) ; 770(\mathrm{~s}) ; 733(\mathrm{~m}) ; 710(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ 686(s); 521 (m).
$2,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{Bi}-1 H$-imidazolium dichloride $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 2$. A mixture of $1 \mathrm{~g}(7.46$ mmol) of H2BIM and 3 molar amounts of hydrochloric acid solution in 200 ml of ethanol was refluxed for 3 h . After cooling, filtration provided $1.4 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ of a white solid, which was washed well with water and recrystallized from ethanol-hydrochloric acid solution. $\mathrm{Mp} 320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as a white powder. Found: C,34.78; $\mathrm{H}, 3.67$; $\mathrm{N}, 27.30$; $\mathrm{Cl}, 34.02 \%$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C,34.80; $\mathrm{H}, 3.90 ; \mathrm{N}, 27.30 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 34.24 \%$. IR spectrum: $3200-2000(\mathrm{br}): 3127,3103,3029,2923,2771,2714,2649,2539 ; 1686(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ 1586(s); 1436(s); 1334(m); 1218(m); 1124(s); 894(s); 794(s); 666(s).
$2,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{Bi}$ - $1 H$-imidazolium dibromide $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right] 2$. Via the procedure described above for $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{2}$, the treatment of aqueous hydrobromic acid provided $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right] 2$ with a yield of $83 \%$, which was recrystallized from ethanolhydrobromic acid solution. $\mathrm{Mp} 290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as a white powder. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 24.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.80$; $\mathrm{N}, 18.87 \%$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 24.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.70 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.94 \%$. IR spectrum: 32002000 (br): 3130, 3021, 2922, 2780, 2665; 1654(w); 1581(s); 1430(m); 1326(m); $1214(\mathrm{~m}) ; 1124(\mathrm{~s}) ; 1103(\mathrm{~m}) ; 920(\mathrm{w}) ; 853(\mathrm{~s}) ; 788(\mathrm{~s}) ; 662(\mathrm{~m})$.
$2,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{Bi}-1 H$-imidazolium diiodide $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}$. Via the same procedure described above for $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{2}$, the treatment of aqueous hydroiodic acid solution provided $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}$ with a yield of $52 \% . \mathrm{Mp} 280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as white needles. Found:

C,18.49; H,2.07; $\mathrm{N}, 14.44 \%$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{I}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 18.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.05 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.44 \%$. IR spectrum: $3200-2000(\mathrm{br}): 3126,3020,2922,2778,2680 ; 1579(\mathrm{~s}) ; 1430(\mathrm{w}) ; 1319(\mathrm{~m}) ;$ 1206(m); 1098(s); 848(m); 821(m); 784(s); 654(m).

## 2,2'-Bi-1H-imidazolium bis(tetrafluoroborate) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{2}$

$\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right]_{4}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{3}$. Via the procedure described above for $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{2}$. The treatment of aqueous tetrafluoroboric acid solution provided $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right] 4$ $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{3}$ with a yield of $61 \%$, which was washed with cold water, then ether. $\mathrm{Mp} 270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as a white powder. Found: C,21.15; H,3.52; N,16.74\%. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{16}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 21.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.27 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.64 \%$. IR spectrum: 3334(br); 3200$2450(\mathrm{br}): 3130,3023,2923,2787,2658 ; 1584(\mathrm{br}) ; 1432(\mathrm{~s}) ; 1328(\mathrm{~s}) ; 1084(\mathrm{br}) ; 854(\mathrm{w})$; 787(m); 745(m); 522(s).
Measurements The infrared absorption spectra were taken on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 Series FT-IR spectrometer with a KBr pellet. Melting points were taken on a Yanaco MP-500D apparatus and are uncorrected.
pH Measurements. The instrument used for pH measurements was a Toa HM-5ES glass electrode. The pH meter was corrected using tetraborate ( $\mathrm{pH}=9.18$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), phosphate ( $\mathrm{pH}=6.86$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and phthalate ( $\mathrm{pH}=4.01$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) pH standard solutions (Nacalai). The subsequent titrations were done by the addition of standard sodium hydroxide solution ( 0.1 M , Nacalai). All titrations were run at $22 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the ion strengths fixed to a constant value $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ using sodium tetrafluoroborate. The low solubility of the 2,2'-bi-1H-imidazole derivatives in water necessitated the use of the $70 \%$ by volume dimethylformamide (DMF) - water solvent medium.

Cyclic Voltammetry Measurements. Redox potentials were measured on a Yanako Polarographic Analyzer P-1100 under argon. All measurements were done under the following conditions: solvent, DMF; supporting electrolyte, 0.1 M of tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate $\left(T B A-\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$; scan rate, $100 \mathrm{mVs}^{-1}$; reference electrode, $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$; working and counter electrodes, Pt ; temperature, $22 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrode was checked at the initial and the final points using reference compounds (TCNQ and ferrocene).

Molecular Orbital Calculations. Orbital eigenvalues and characters were calculated by the extended Hückel method (EHMO) ${ }^{11)}$ to examine the difference for $\mathrm{TT}^{2+}$ and H4BIM $^{2+}$. A Pariser-Parr-Pople (PPP) self-consistent field (SCF) MO calculation ${ }^{12 \text { ) }}$ was also used to obtain the electron affinity ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ), ionization potential ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) and $\pi$-electron density in Sections 2-3-1-4, 2-3-1-5, and 2-3-2-6. For the EHMO calculations, standard parameters were used for $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and S atoms.11) For PPP calculations, atomic basis functions were used for all elements. 12) Bond lengths and angles were taken from the crystal structures of TTF ${ }^{13}$ ) and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+14}$ )

## 2-3 Results and Discussion

I examined the various molecular systems to clarify the PT and CT natures. Here, the representative molecular structures in Chapter 2 are summarized in Fig. 2-1. The neutral electron acceptors, BQ, DPQ, and TCNQ systems of the Würster type, are shown in Fig. 2-1a, and PPD, Bz, DAP, and 5,10-dimethyl-5,10-dihydrophenazine ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PHz}$ ) also have a Würster type redox character (Fig. 2-1b). On the contrary, TTF, DTPY, and TTT, belonging to the Weitz type electron donors, are shown in Fig. 2-1c. These molecules are mainly discussed from the point of the CT properties. In the section on the PT properties, I did comparisons with the H2Q, H2TCNQ, and HPDS systems as shown in Fig. 2-1d.


BQ


DPQ


TCNQ
b.


PPD
c.

TTE


DAP


DTPY

$\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PHz}$


TTT
d.


H 2 O


H2TCNQ


Fig. 2-1. The representative molecular structures appeared in this text. a) BQ, DPQ and TCNQ systems. b) PPD, Bz, DAP, and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PHz}$ systems. c) DTPY and TTT systems. d) H2Q, H2TCNQ and HPDS systems. In HPDS series, the bridging unit $(X)$ is represented as S (HPS), Se (HPSe), SS (HPDS), 1,4-phenylenedithio (HPTB) and 1,5naphthylenedithio (HPTN)

## 2-3-1. Electron-Transfer Properties in Solution

The results of cyclic voltammetry studies of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, all of which have a $6 \pi-6 \pi$ electronic structure (Scheme 2-3), are compared with those of related compounds in Table 2-1. All redox processes of biimidazole species are quasior irreversible ones even in a nonaqueous solvent (DMF).

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
6 \pi-6 \pi & \mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p} 1} 6 \pi-7 \pi & \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} 2 & 7 \pi-7 \pi \\
{\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}}^{2+} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{+\bullet} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{0} \\
\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{\bullet} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{-} \\
\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{-} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{2-} \\
\left(\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}\right. & \rightarrow & \text { TTF }^{+} & \rightarrow & \text { TTF } \left.^{0}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Scheme 2-3

Table 2-1. Reduction ( $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p}$ ) and oxidation ( $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{p}$ ) peak potentials of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$, and related compounds. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\mathrm{E}^{0} \mathrm{pl}$ | Compounds | $\mathrm{Er}^{\mathrm{r}} 1$ | $\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p}} 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V |  | V | V |
| - | H3BIM ${ }^{+}$ | -0.84 c ) | - |
| - | $N-\mathrm{MeQn}+\mathrm{d})$ | -0.94 c) | - |
| - | $\left.\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{MeAc}^{+} \mathrm{e}\right)$ | -0.44 c) | - |
| - | $\left.\mathrm{HAc}^{+} \mathrm{f}\right)$ | -0.59 c) | - |
| - | Me4-BQ ${ }^{0}$ | -0.88 | $-1.70$ |
| 1.22 c ) | H2BIM 0 | $-1.69 \mathrm{c})$ | - |
| - | Acridine 0 | -1.73 b ) | - |
| - | Naphthacene ${ }^{0}$ | -1.62 | - |
| 1.20 c) | H2Q ${ }^{0}$ | - | - |
| $1.42 \mathrm{~b})$ | Anthracene 0 | - | - |

a) Measured at a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ using Pt vs. $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBA-BF4/}$

DMF. b) Quasi-irreversible process. c) Irreversible process. d) N -Methylquinolinium. e)
N -Methylacridinium. f) Acridinium.

## 2-3-1-I Dication state; H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$

$\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ shows two quasi-reversible reduction peaks at $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p} 1=-0.54$ and $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p} 2$
$=-0.77 \mathrm{~V}$. These reduction peaks appear on the slightly negative side compared with
those of a weak electron acceptor; 2,4,7-trinitro-9H-fluoren-9-one $\left(\mathrm{TNF}^{0}\right)$ : $\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{pl}}=-0.42$ and $\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p} 2}=-0.69 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\mathrm{TNF}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{TNF}^{-\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{TNF}^{-\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{TNF}^{2-}$ processes, respectively. And the $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{pl}$ value of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ is the same as that of 2,5 -dihydroxy-p-benzoquinone $\left(\mathrm{DHQ}^{0}\right): \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}} 1=-0.54 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\mathrm{DHQ}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{DHQ}^{-\bullet}$ process. Therefore, the electronaccepting ability of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ is very weak, approximately identical to that of $\mathrm{DHQ}^{0}$, and is somewhat weaker than TNF 0 . Under the same conditions, $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$ shows oneelectron reduction processes at $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p} 1=+0.65$ and $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} 2=+0.41 \mathrm{~V}$. This indicates that, despite their isoelectronic structures of $\mathrm{TTF}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$, the dicationic species $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and monocationic species $\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{+\bullet}$ gaine larger stability by 1.19 and 1.18 V than $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{TTF}^{+\bullet}$, respectively. This is the consequence of the replacement of four sulfur atoms of $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$ by four imino groups (sp ${ }^{3}$-type) of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, which is consistent with the observation that the isoelectronic substitutions of methylimino groups for sulfur atoms are considerably effective in increasing the electron-donating properties of neutral structures. ${ }^{15 \text { ) } \text { This is the most pronounced character of } \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2}+\text { species }}$ and the extremely strong electron-donating ability of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ is the reason why I was not able to isolate $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ species in the solid state.

## 2-3-1-2 Monocation state; H3BIM ${ }^{+}$

The reduction peak potential ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{pl}$ ) of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$is compared with typical nitrogen- containing monocations, which were frequently used in the study of highly conductive TCNQ anion radical salts, to evaluate the stability of the monocation state (Table 2-1). The first reduction of these monocations produces the neutral radicals, while the second reductive waves, which were not observed for all compounds examined, correspond to the generation of anion species. $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$shows a reduction peak at -0.84 V , which is higher than that of $N$-methylquinolinium $\left(N-\mathrm{MeQn}^{+} ; \mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{pl}}=\right.$ $-0.94 \mathrm{~V})$ and lower than that of N -methylacridinium $\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{MeAc}^{+} ; \mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{pl}}=-0.44 \mathrm{~V}\right)$. Thus the electron-accepting abilities of these monocations decrease in the following order: N -$\mathrm{MeAc}^{+}(-0.44 \mathrm{~V})>\mathrm{HAc}^{+}(-0.59 \mathrm{~V})>\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}(-0.84 \mathrm{~V})>\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{MeQn}^{+}(-0.94 \mathrm{~V})$. The poor electron-accepting ability of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, which is comparable to that of Me4-BQ
$\left(\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p} 1=-0.88 \mathrm{~V}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p} 2=-1.70 \mathrm{~V}\right)$, suggests both the stable existence of the cation state $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)$and the difficult accessibility of the neutral radical state $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{*}\right)$ in the solid state.

## 2-3-1-3 Neutral state; H2BIM ${ }^{0}$

H2BIM ${ }^{0}$, with a neutral $6 \pi-6 \pi$ electronic structure, shows both the irreversible reduction $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pl}}=-1.69 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ and oxidation processes $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pl}}=1.22 \mathrm{~V}\right)$. The electronaccepting nature of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ is poor and is comparable to those of acridine ${ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p} 1=\right.$ $-1.73 \mathrm{~V})$ and naphthacene ${ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{pl}}=-1.62 \mathrm{~V}\right)$. On the other hand, the electron-donating character is very close to that of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Q}^{0}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{pl}=1.20 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ and is stronger than that of anthracene ${ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{pl}^{2}=1.42 \mathrm{~V}\right)$. Thus it is said that $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ is able to act as an electron donor rather than an electron acceptor in the solid state, though the electron-donating ability is not very strong.

## 2-3-1-4 The Substitution Effects of Imino and Nitrilo Groups for Sulfur Atoms of

## Tetrathiafulvalene

H4BIM ${ }^{0}$ has only imino nitrogens, while $\mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ has only nitrilo-nitrogens in the five-membered rings. $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}{ }^{0}$ has both kinds of nitrogens, so at first I discuss the substitution effects of imino and nitrilo groups on the electron transfer properties. It has been known that the insertion of nitrilo-nitrogen ( $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-type) into an aromatic ring causes the enhancement of the electron-accepting ability. On the contrary, the isoelectronic substitution by imino-nitrogen ( $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-type) increases the electron-donating character of neutral species. For example, the reduction peak potentials of neutral anthracene derivatives increase by increases of nitrilo-nitrogens (Scheme 2-4),




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=-2.07<\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=-1.73<\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=-1.25(\mathrm{~V}) \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.67
\end{aligned}<\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.11<\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.56(\mathrm{eV})
$$

Scheme 2-4
where $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the calculated electron affinity by the method of PPP calculation assuming Koopman's theorem. The $\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p}}$ values of acridine and phenazine increase by 0.34 and 0.48 V per one nitrilo-nitrogen atom, respectively, and which has a linear relation with the calculated $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values. For the TTF framework, the lowering of electron-donating abilities has been reported for tetrathiadiazafulvalene by the substitution of two nitrilonitrogens. ${ }^{16)}$

Next the effects of the replacement of nitrilo groups by imino groups will be examined. For example, in the case of 4,4'-bipyridine (BPY0 ) system (Scheme 2-5a),
a.


BPY0

## $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \oplus\left(\oplus \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right.$

$\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BPY}^{2+}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p}}(0 & \longrightarrow-\bullet)=-2.05 \\
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} & =0.70 \\
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}(0 & \longrightarrow+\bullet)>1.40 \\
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} & =9.10
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p}}(2+\longrightarrow+\bullet)=-0.49(\mathrm{~V}) \\
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.69(\mathrm{eV}) \\
\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p}}(+\bullet \longrightarrow 0)=-0.88(\mathrm{~V}) \\
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=5.53(\mathrm{eV})
\end{gathered}
$$

where $I_{p}$ is the calculated ionization potential. By the replacement of nitrilo with methylammonio groups, this system can change from neutral (BPY0) to dication (Me2 $\mathrm{BPY}^{2+}$ ), keeping the stable $6 \pi-6 \pi$ electronic structure. BPY0 has a quasireversible reduction peak at $-2.05 \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{BPY}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{BPY}^{-}\right)$but no oxidative peak $\left(\mathrm{BPY}^{0} \rightarrow\right.$ $\mathrm{BPY}^{+*}$ ) up to 1.4 V in DMF. The dication species $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BPY}^{2+}\right.$ ), which can be converted to the neutral $7 \pi-7 \pi$ structure by the use of magnesium as a reducing reagent, ${ }^{17}$ ) show two reversible reduction peak potentials at $-0.49\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BPY}^{2+} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BPY}^{+\bullet}\right)$ and $-0.88 \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BPY}^{+\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BPY}^{0}\right)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BPY}^{2+}$ is a stronger electron acceptor by 1.56 V than BPY 0 . Concerning the electron-donating ability, the existence of a dication form at ambient condition is clear evidence of the enhancement of the electron-donating ability of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BPY} 0$. The methylammonio groups increase the electron-donating ability of BPY 0 by at least 2.19 V . The enhancement of donor ability is also recognized by the replacement of sulfur atoms of the TTF moiety with methylimino groups as exemplified by the $3,3^{\prime}$-dimethyl-2, $2^{\prime}$-bi( 2,3 -dihydrobenzothiazol-2-ylidene) molecule (Scheme 2-5b).15)

The first reduction peak potentials of three biimidazole derivatives with $6 \pi-6 \pi$ electronic structure increase in the following order:H2BIM ${ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p}}=-1.69 \mathrm{~V}\right)<$ $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}+\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=-0.84 \mathrm{~V}\right)<\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}=-0.54 \mathrm{~V}\right)$. The electron-accepting abilities increase by 0.85 and 0.30 V by the conversion of the first and second nitrilo groups to imino groups, respectively.


H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$

$\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$

Fig. 2-2. $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$ molecules with atomic numbering scheme.

Table 2-2. Extended Hückel molecular orbital calculations of $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$.

| Atom | Orbital | Electron densities Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Net charge ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{T T F^{2+}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ | s | 1.156 |  |  |
|  | $p_{x}+p_{\text {y }}$ | 1.712 | 3.694 | 0.306 |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \pi$ | 0.826 |  |  |
| $C^{2}$ | s | 1.162 |  |  |
|  | $p x+p y$ | 1.829 | 3.998 | 0.002 |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \pi$ | 1.007 |  |  |
| S ${ }^{1}$ | $s$ | 1.481 |  |  |
|  | $p_{x}+p_{y}$ | 2.650 | 5.711 | 0.289 |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \pi$ | 1.580 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ | s | 0.944 | 0.944 | 0.056 |
| $\text { HABIM }^{2+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $C^{1}$ | $s$ | 1.053 |  |  |
|  | $p \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{py}$ | 1.532 | 3.442 | 0.558 |
|  | p $\pi$ | 0.857 |  |  |
| $C^{2}$ | s | 1.146 |  |  |
|  | $p \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{py}$ | 1.699 | 3.902 | 0.098 |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \pi$ | 1.057 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ | s | $1.338$ |  |  |
|  | $p x+p y$ | 2.289 | 5.141 | -0.141 |
|  | $p \pi$ | 1.514 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ | s | 0.956 | 0.957 | 0.043 |
| $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ | s | 0.779 | 0.779 | 0.221 |

a) Total electron density $\left(\mu_{t}\right)$ is the sum of electron density of $s, p_{x}, p_{y}$ and $p \pi$ orbitals.
b) Net charge is defined as the difference between the total charge and ideal number of the valence electron; N and S atoms has 5 and 6 valence electrons, respectively.

To clarify the differences of electronic states of TTF ${ }^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, the simple MO calculations (extended Hückel MO; EHMO) were done for these two cations. Table 2-2 summarizes the electron densities and the net charge (a polarization of the
charge distribution) of individual atoms for $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ with the atomic numbering scheme in Fig. 2-2. The results indicate that $\pi$-electron densities $(\mu \pi)$ of nitrogens in $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\mu_{\pi}=1.514\right)$ are slightly low compared with those of sulfurs in $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}\left(\mu_{\pi}=1.580\right)$. Also the $\pi$-electron populations of carbon atoms $\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom sites) of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ are slightly large in comparison with $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$. The large difference exists in the sign of the net charge on hetero atoms. In the case of $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$, the net charges of all atoms become the positive value and about 58 percent of the positive charge is localized at $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ atom sites. For the $\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ molecule, about 56 percent of the positive charge is localized at $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom sites, and the net charge of nitrogen atoms $\left(\mathrm{N}^{1}\right)$ changes to the negative value which indicates that the $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ sites have the negative charge. Furthermore, imino-proton $\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}\right)$ has less charge $(0.779)$ by the polarization structure and about 45 percent of the positive charge is located at $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ atom sites. Thus, $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ has a highly polarized structure represented as $\mathrm{N}^{-0.221}-\mathrm{H}^{+0.221}$ and has the character of ion polarization structure.

## 2-3-1-5 On-site Coulomb Repulsion of Electron-Transfer

The effective on-site Coulomb energy ( $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eff}} \mathrm{CT}$ ) in solid CT-complexes has been simply approximated by the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eff}} \mathrm{CT}=\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{U}_{2} \mathrm{CT} \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ (so called bare U ) represents the repulsion between two electrons on the same site (disproportional energy for $2 A^{-} \rightarrow A^{0}+A^{2-}$ in the gas phase) and $U_{2} C T$ represents that between two electrons on neighboring sites. The value of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eff}} \mathrm{CT}$ can be estimated from the optical or magnetic measurements, ${ }^{18}$ ) and the direct measurement of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ values has been done in special cases (some lanthanoid compounds). ${ }^{19 \text { ) It has }}$ been known that half-wave redox potentials can be used to obtain information on the relative magnitude of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ for different molecules provided that the same conditions are used for comparative measurements. ${ }^{18 b}$ )

Table 2-3a. The first and second half-wave redox potentials $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(2)\right), \Delta \mathrm{E}$ $\left(E_{1 / 2}(1)-E_{1 / 2}(2)\right)$ and on-site Coulomb repulsion energy $\left(U_{1} C T\right)$ of the BQ, DPQ, and TCNQ systems. a)

| Compounds ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}_{\left.1 / 2(1)^{\mathrm{c}}\right)} \\ V \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}_{\left.1 / 2(2)^{\mathrm{c}}\right)} \\ \mathrm{V} \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ V | $\begin{gathered} \left.\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT} \mathrm{~d}\right) \\ \mathrm{eV} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BQ system |  |  |  |  |
| a: $2,3-(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}-5,6-(\mathrm{CN})_{2}-\mathrm{BQ}$ | 0.50 | -0.34 | 0.86 | 5.33 |
| b: 2,3-(CN)2-BQ | 0.32 | -0.62 | 0.94 | 5.32 |
| c: 2,3,5,6-(Cl) 4 -BQ | 0.06 | -0.82 | 0.88 | 5.31 |
| d: $2,3,5,6-(\mathrm{Br}) 4-\mathrm{BQ}$ | 0.03 | -0.86 | 0.89 | 5.30 |
| e: 2,3,5,6-(F) 4 -BQ | 0.03 | -0.96 | 0.99 | 5.30 |
| f: $2,5-(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}-\mathrm{BQ}$ | -0.13 | -1.08 | 0.95 | 5.29 |
| $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{BQ}$ | -0.49 | -1.44 | 0.95 | 5.27 |
| h: $2,5-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}-\mathrm{BQ}$ | -0.64 | -1.50 | 0.86 | 5.26 |
| i: 1,4-Naphthoquinone | -0.65 | -1.45 | 0.80 | 5.26 |
| j: $2,3,5,6-(\mathrm{Me}) 4-\mathrm{BQ}$ | -0.80 | -1.60 | 0.80 | 5.25 |
| DPQ system |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}: 3,3$ ',5,5'-(Cl) 4 -DPQ | 0.27 | -0.15 | 0.42 | 4.81 |
| 1: $3,3^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}-(\mathrm{Br}) 4-\mathrm{DPQ}$ | 0.23 | -0.12 | 0.35 | 4.81 |
| $\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{DPQ}$ | -0.20 | -0.60 | 0.40 | 4.78 |
| n: 3,3',5,5'-(Me)4-DPQ | -0.41 | -0.81 | 0.40 | 4.77 |
| TCNQ system |  |  |  |  |
| o: 2,3,5,6-(F)4-TCNQ | 0.62 | 0.07 | 0.55 | 4.99 |
| p: 2-F-TCNQ | 0.37 | -0.23 | 0.60 | 4.97 |
| $\mathrm{q}:$ TCNQ | 0.28 | -0.33 | 0.61 | 4.97 |
| r: $2,5-(\mathrm{Et}) 2_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ | 0.22 | -0.36 | 0.58 | 4.96 |
| s: $2,5-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ | 0.21 | -0.34 | 0.55 | 4.96 |
| t: $2,5-(\mathrm{MeO})_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ | 0.10 | -0.46 | 0.56 | 4.96 |
| u: BTDA-TCNQ | 0.04 | -0.46 | 0.50 | 4.95 |

a) Measured at a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ using Pt vs. $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBA-BF} 4 /$ DMF. b) Molecular structures and substituted positions were indicated in Fig. 2-1. c) $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)$ and $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2(2)}$ are the average values of reduction and oxidation peak potentials for the processes of $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{A}^{-\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{-\bullet}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{A}^{--}$, respectively. d) $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}=-2.2$ (eV) was used for the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ estimations.

Table 2-3b. The first and second half-wave redox potentials $\left(E_{1 / 2}(1)\right.$ and $\left.E_{1 / 2}(2)\right), \Delta E$ $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)-\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(2)\right)$, and on-site Coulomb repulsion energy $\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}\right)$ of dication molecules. a )

| Compounds ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $E_{\left.1 / 2(1)^{c}\right)}$ | $E_{1 / 2(2) c} c$ <br> V | $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ V | $\begin{gathered} \left.\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT} \mathrm{~d}\right) \\ \mathrm{eV} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TTF system |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ : HM-TTF | 0.56 | 0.34 | 0.22 | 4.65 |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ : TM-TTF | 0.58 | 0.32 | 0.26 | 4.65 |
| $c^{\prime}$ : TTF | 0.59 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 4.65 |
| d': EDT-TTF | 0.60 | 0.42 | 0.18 | 4.54 |
| $e^{\prime}$ : BEDO-TTF | 0.60 | 0.47 | 0.13 | 4.54 |
| $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ : DM-TTF | 0.61 | 0.35 | 0.26 | 4.65 |
| g ': OM-TTF | 0.61 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 4.65 |
| h': BEDT-TTF | 0.68 | 0.56 | 0.12 | 4.54 |
| i': TTC $_{1}-$ TTF | 0.70 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 4.55 |
| $\mathrm{j}^{\prime}:\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}$-EDT-TTF | 0.83 | 0.68 | 0.15 | 4.55 |
| Bz system |  |  |  |  |
| k : o-Dianisidine | 0.54 | 0.42 | 0.12 | 4.57 |
| $\mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ : Me4-Bz | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 4.54 |
| m': $N-$ TMB | 0.60 | 0.47 | 0.13 | 4.54 |
| n ': o-Tolidine | 0.63 | 0.42 | 0.21 | 4.58 |
| $\mathrm{o}^{\prime}: \mathrm{Bz}$ | 0.66 | 0.45 | 0.21 | 4.58 |
| PPD system |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}: ~ D A D$ | 0.34 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 4.73 |
| $\mathrm{q}^{\prime}$ : PPD | 0.51 | 0.16 | 0.35 | 4.74 |
| Another system |  |  |  |  |
| r': TTT | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 4.64 |
| s': DAP | 0.45 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 4.64 |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ : DTPY | 0.67 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 4.64 |
| $\mathrm{u}^{\prime}: \mathrm{Me}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PHz}$ | 0.89 | 0.20 | 0.69 | 5.09 |
| $\mathrm{v}^{\prime}$ : H4BIM | -0.54 | -0.77 | 0.23 | 4.68 |

a) Measured at a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ using Pt vs. $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBA-BF} 4$, DMF. b) All molecules are dication species and those neutral structures are illustrated in Fig. 2-1.c) $E_{1 / 2}(1)$ and $E_{1 / 2}(2)$ are the average values of reduction and oxidation peak potentials for the processes of $\mathrm{D}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{D}^{+\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{+\bullet}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{D}^{0}$, respectively.
d) $\Delta G_{\text {sol }}=-2.2(\mathrm{eV})$ was used for the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ estimations.



Fig. 2-3. The plots of $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)$ vs. $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(2)$ for $\alpha ; \mathrm{BQ}(0), \beta ; \operatorname{TCNQ}(0), \gamma ; \operatorname{DPQ}(\Delta), \delta$; $\operatorname{PPD}(\diamond), \varepsilon ; \operatorname{TTF}(O), \zeta ; \operatorname{Bz}(\Delta)$ and $\eta ;$ BEDT-TTF systems. About the lines, see text. a) The numbering of BQ $(a-j)$, TCNQ (o-u) and DPQ (k-n) systems correspond to those listed in Table 2-3a. b) The expanded figure of a) to clarify $\delta, \varepsilon, \zeta$ and $\eta$ systems. The numberings correspond to those listed in Table 2-3b.

No quantitative comparison has been attempted between the two extreme classifications of redox properties of organic molecule, namely the Weitz or Würster type. Here, I examine the redox potentials to evaluate $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ values of various types of molecules and try to find the differences, if any, between these two types.

The half-wave redox potentials $\left(E_{1 / 2}=\left(E_{p}+E_{p}^{0}\right) / 2\right)$ of reduction processes, $\Delta E\left(E_{1 / 2}(1)-E_{1 / 2}(2)\right)$ and the estimated $U_{1} C T$ values (described later) for the $B Q$, DPQ, and TCNQ systems are summarized in Table 2-3a. The results show that similar kinds of molecules give the same extent of $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ values. The $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ values of the BQ system amount in the $\triangle \mathrm{E}$ range from 0.80 to 0.99 V , and those of the TCNQ system are in the $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ range from 0.50 to 0.61 V . The plots of $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)$ and $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(2)$ values for two redox processes, $\mathrm{A}^{0}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{A}^{-\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{A}^{2-}$, indicate the good linear correlation of these two redox processes for the BQ $(a-j$, line $\alpha)$ and TCNQ ( $o-u$, line $\beta$ ) systems as shown in Fig. 2-3. By a least-squares method, the following equations were obtained.

$$
B Q \text { system; } E_{1 / 2}(2)=0.941 E_{1 / 2}(1)-0.901
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { TCNQ system; } \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(2)=0.935 \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)-0.547 \tag{2.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The slopes of equations 2.1 .2 and 2.1 .3 are less than unity 20 ) and are equal to 0.94 within experimental error. A linear relation with the slope of 0.94 fits well with the data of the DPQ system ( $k-n$, line $\gamma$ in Fig. 2-3a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { DPQ system; } E_{1 / 2}(2)=0.94 E_{1 / 2}(1)-0.391 \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of intercept decrease in the order of the DPQ, TCNQ, and BQ systems, which suggests that the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ values increase in this sequence. The observed linear relationships between $E_{1 / 2}(1)$ and $E_{1 / 2}(2)$ values are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1 / 2(2)}=\alpha E_{1 / 2(1)}-\beta \tag{2.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the gas phase, the energy change for the reaction $\mathrm{A}^{0}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\bullet}$ corresponds to $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{t}}$ 1 $E^{t} 0$, where $E^{t} 0$ and $E^{t} 1$ indicate the total energies of $A^{0}$ and $A^{*}$ species, respectively. On the other hands, in solution I need to consider the term of solvation energy as shown in equation 2.1.6,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{t_{1}}-E^{t} 0=-E_{1 / 2}(1)-\Delta G_{S o l}+C \tag{2.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta G_{\text {sol }}$ is the difference in solvation energies for $A^{0}$ and $A^{\bullet}$ molecules, and $C$ is a constant which depends upon the reference electrode. ${ }^{21)}$ In the same manner, the energy change between $A^{\bullet \bullet}$ and $A^{2-}$ species can be related to the $E_{1 / 2}(2)$ value as shown in equation 2.1.7,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{2}-\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{t}}=-\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(2)-\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sol}}+\mathrm{C} \tag{2.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E t_{2}$ means the total energy of $A^{2-}$ molecule and $\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\text {sol }}$ is the difference in solvation energies for $A^{-\bullet}$ and $A^{2-}$ molecules. From equations 2.1.6 and 2.1.7, the relation of $E_{1 / 2}(1)$ and $E_{1 / 2}(2)$ is described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(2)=-\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)+\left(\mathrm{E}_{2}+\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{t}} 0-2 \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Sol}}+\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\text {Sol }} \tag{2.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\text {sol }}$ was roughly approximated as $3 \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {sol }}$ by Hedges and Matsen, ${ }^{22)}$ and the term in the parenthesis is equal to $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$, so equations 2.1 .5 and 2.1.8 give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}=(1-\alpha) \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2(1)}+\beta-2 \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {Sol }} \tag{2.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kebarle et al. estimated the $\Delta G_{\text {Sol }}$ values for various sizes and shapes of p-benzoquinone derivatives based on the measured electron affinities and half-wave redox potentials. ${ }^{21 a}$ ) For example, the estimated $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {sol }}$ values are -2.13 eV (p-chloranil; c in Table 2-3a),
are summarized in Table 2-3b. The $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ values of dication molecules are somewhat smaller than those of the BQ, TCNQ, and DPQ systems.

The $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ values of the TTF system exist within the $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ range from 0.12 to 0.27 V , and those of the Bz system have the $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ range from 0.12 to 0.21 V . There are some molecular series on the same line having the slope of 0.94 just as the BQ, TCNQ, and DPQ systems do, so I classified these dications into four representative groups (Fig. 2$3 b)$ : i) the PPD system; $\mathrm{DAD}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{PPD}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{q}^{\prime}\right)$ are on the line $\delta$ having an intercept at -0.31 V . In TTF derivatives, there are two classes of molecules: ii) TTF and alkyl substituted TTF; HM-TTF ${ }^{2+}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{TM}^{2}-\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{TTF}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{DM}^{2}-\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}(\mathrm{f})$ and $\mathrm{OM}_{\mathrm{TTF}}{ }^{2+}\left(\mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)$ belong to the line $\varepsilon$ with an intercept at -0.19 V , on which $\mathrm{TTT}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{r}^{\prime}\right)$, $\mathrm{DAP}^{2+}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ and DTPY ${ }^{2+}\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ are located. iii) the BEDT-TTF system (alkylthio substituted TTF); BEDO-TTF ${ }^{2+}$ ( $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ ), BEDT-TTF ${ }^{2+}\left(\mathrm{h}^{\prime}\right)$, TTC $_{1}-$ TTF $^{2+}\left(\mathrm{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}-$ EDT-TTF $^{2+}\left(\mathrm{j}^{\prime}\right)$ in addition to two $\mathrm{Bz}^{2+}$ derivatives (o-dianisidine ${ }^{2+}\left(\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{TMB}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{m}^{\prime}\right)$ ) are on the line $\eta$ with an intercept at -0.09 V . iv) Benzidine system; Me4- $\mathrm{Bz}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{I}^{\prime}\right)$, o-tolidine ${ }^{2+}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Bz}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{o}^{\prime}\right)$ are on the line $\zeta$ with an intercept at -0.16 V , on which EDT-TTF ${ }^{2+}$ (d') resides. In addition to these classifications, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PHz}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)$ are also shown in Fig. 2-3.

Roughly speaking, molecules on one line in Fig. 2-3 have almost the same $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ CT value. Furthermore, molecules on the upper line have smaller $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ CT values than those on the lower one and the difference of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ between them is approximately the difference in the intercepts; $\beta$ in equation 2.1.5. Therefore, the molecules on lines $\alpha-\eta$ have the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ values in the following order, line $\alpha(\beta=0.90)>$ line $\beta(\beta=0.55)>$ line $\gamma(\beta=0.39)>$ line $\delta(\beta=0.31)>$ line $\varepsilon(\beta=0.19)>$ line $\zeta(\beta=0.16)>$ line $\eta(\beta=$ 0.09).

For the case of diamine molecules, since $\mathrm{DAP}^{2+}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ is on the line $\varepsilon$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PHz}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right)$ is below the line $\beta$, the estimated $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ values decrease in the order of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PHz}^{2+}, \mathrm{PPD}^{2+}$ (line $\delta$ ), $\mathrm{DAP}^{2+}$ (line $\varepsilon$ ), and $\mathrm{Bz}^{2+}$ (line $\zeta$ ). This order suggests the existence of a correlation between the values of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ and the distances of two nitrogen atoms for these Würster's dication species (described later). For the case of the
-2.36 eV (9,10-anthraquinone), and -2.45 eV (2,5-dimethyl-p-benzoquinone; h in Table 2-3a) in DMF. While, in acetonitrile, the $\left|\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {sol }}\right|$ decreases slightly, 2.09 eV for pchloranil and 2.23 eV for 9,10 -anthraquinone. They derived these $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {sol }}$ as a function of $E_{1 / 2(1)}$ values. However, the $E_{1 / 2(1)}$ dependence in $\Delta G_{\text {sol }}$ and $\Delta F_{\text {Sol }}$ is already included in equation 2.1 .5 and the deviation from unity in the observed $\alpha$ is the consequence of the $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)$ dependence. The constant term in $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{SOl}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)=0\right)$ is evaluated as 2.196 eV by using the $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {sol }}$ values in Ref. 21a and $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)$ values in Table 2-3a for the BQ system. Assuming that $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Sol}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)=0\right)$ is not much different among the systems (the difference of $\triangle \mathrm{G}$ between BQ and TCNQ system is within the difference of $\Delta G$ in the $B Q$ system), sizes, and shapes of molecules under examination, $I$ can represent the relationship between the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ and the $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)$ values.
line $\alpha ; \mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}(\mathrm{BQ})=0.06 \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2(1)}+5.30$
line $\beta ; \mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}(\mathrm{TCNQ})=0.06 \mathrm{E} 1 / 2(1)+4.95$
(2.1.11),
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { line } \gamma ; \mathrm{U}^{1} \mathrm{CT}(\mathrm{DPQ})=0.06 \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)+4.79 \tag{2.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimated $\mathrm{U}^{1}$ CT values decrease in the order of the BQ, TCNQ, and DPQ systems. In the BQ system, the strongest acceptor, DDQ ( $a$ in Table 2-3a), has the highest $U_{1} C T$ of 5.33 eV and the weakest one, Me4-BQ (j in Table 2-3a), has the lowest $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ of 5.25 eV within my experimental data. So all BQs in Table 2-3a are included within the change of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ of 0.08 eV . For the TCNQ system, the change of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}_{\text {is much less }}$ amount; 0.04 eV .

The MO calculations on the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ values have been done by several groups for TCNQ, and it is between 2.33 and $5.44 \mathrm{eV} .18 \mathrm{a}, 23$ ) The estimated value for TCNQ $\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}=4.97 \mathrm{eV}\right)$ is within this range.

The half-wave redox potentials $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}\right)$ for the process $\mathrm{D}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{D}^{+\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{+\bullet}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{D}^{0}, \Delta \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)-\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(2)\right)$ and the estimated $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}^{\text {for dication systems }}$

TTF system, there is a slight difference between the alkyl substituted TTF ${ }^{2+}$; line $\varepsilon$, and the alkylthio-substituted TTF ${ }^{2+}$; line $\eta$, the latter group has a smaller $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ CT value than that of the former one and EDT-TTF ${ }^{2+}$ is between them.

| Compounds | $\left.\mathrm{r}^{-1} \mathrm{a}\right)$ | $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\AA^{-1}$ | eV |
| Acceptors |  |  |
| $\mathrm{a}: \mathrm{BCDT}{ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 0.127 | 4.61 |
| $\mathrm{b}: T \mathrm{CNDQ}{ }^{\text {d) }}$ | 0.128 | 4.55 |
| c:TNAPd) | 0.133 | 4.77 |
| d:TCNPe) | 0.145 | 4.74 |
| e:TCNTd) | 0.180 | 5.00 |
| f:TCNQ | 0.183 | 4.97 |
| $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{DPQ}$ | 0.187 | 4.78 |
| h:CNQI ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0.219 | 4.91 |
| i:HCBD | 0.247 | 4.94 |
| $\mathrm{j}: \mathrm{DCNQIg})$ | 0.270 | 5.03 |
| k:BQ | 0.327 | 5.29 |
| 1:TCNE | 0.476 | 5.20 |
| Dications |  |  |
| m:DTPY | 0.177 | 4.64 |
| n :BEDT-TTF | 0.178 | 4.55 |
| o:Bz | 0.179 | 4.57 |
| $\mathrm{p}: \mathrm{Me} 4-\mathrm{Bz}$ | 0.179 | 4.54 |
| q :TTT | 0.189 | 4.64 |
| r:DAP | 0.190 | 1.64 |
| s :TTF | 0.258 | 4.65 |
| t:H4BIM | 0.283 | 4.68 |
| $\mathrm{u}:$ PPD | 0.315 | 4.74 |
| $\mathrm{v}: \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PHz}$ | 0.482 | 5.09 |

a) The r values are defined as $\Sigma \mu_{\pi i} r_{i} / \Sigma \mu_{\pi i}$ in a half unit of molecules divided by a mirror plane at a center of inversion. The $\mu_{\pi i}$ ( $\pi$-electron density) was obtained for the dianions and neutral donors by PPP molecular orbital calculation. b) $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ were estimated from equation 1.9 taking the $\alpha$ as 0.94 . Redox potentials in c) Ref. 24e, d) Ref. $24 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e})$ Ref. 24 d , f) Ref. 24 a and g) Ref. 24 b were used in the estimation of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$.


Fig. 2-4. The relation between $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}(\mathrm{eV})$ and $\mathrm{r}^{-1}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ for ( I ); electron acceptors (closed circles), II) dications (open circles). Two straight lines were obtained by the method of the least-squares. The numberings correspond to these listed in Table 2-4.

The Weitz type dication $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ is close to line $\delta$ and its $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ value is higher than $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$ and lower than $\mathrm{PPD}^{2+}$. Reflecting the low electron-accepting ability of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, its position is far from the usual $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$ system in Fig. 2-3.

Table 2-4 summarizes the $\mathrm{r}^{-1}$ and the estimated $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ values. Here the structural parameter $r$ value is the distance between the centers of gravities of $\pi$-electron density for a half unit of molecule, which is caluculated for neutral donor and dianion states of acceptor based on PPP MO calculations. To make the relation between the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ and r values clear, I added data from the literatures for the following molecules; $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyano-p-benzoquinonediimine (DCNQI), ${ }^{24 a)}$ 7,7-dicyano-p-benzoquinone methide (CNQI), 24b) 9,9,10,10-tetracyano-2,6-naphthoquinodimethane (TNAP), 24c) 13,13,14,14-tetracyanodiphenoquinodimethane (TCNDQ), 24c) 2,5-bis (dicyanomethylidene)-2,5-dihydrothiophene (TCNT), 24c) 11,11,12,12-tetracyano-2,7pyrenoquinodimethane (TCNP) ${ }^{24 d}$ ), and 2,5-bis(4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadien-1-ylidene)-2,5-dihydrothiophene (BCDT). ${ }^{24 e}$ )

The relation between the estimated $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ and $\mathrm{r}^{-1}$ values (Fig. 2-4) clearly divided the examined molecules into two classes: I, electron acceptors (closed circles) and II, dications (open circles). The roughly linear relationship is observed for these two classes, though there are some exceptions, for example, TCNDQ (b) is near class II and BCDT (a) and TCNE (1) are between classes I and II. Two straight lines, I and II in Fig. $2-4$ the intercepts of which are fixed to $4.4 \mathrm{eV}\left(=-2 \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {sol }}\right)$, are the guides to eyes to distinguish the two classes. For the electron acceptors (line I), all of them belong to the Würster type, while both the Würster and Weitz types are on the same line II for dication molecules. So there are no differences between the Würster and Weitz types for $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$. However, it should be noted that a distinct difference exists in the correlation of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ and $1 / \mathrm{r}$ between electron acceptors (line I) and donors (line II) and the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ values of the dications are insensitive to the change in the r compared with those of electron acceptors.

## 2-3-2. Proton-Transfer Properties in Solution

## 2-3-2-1 General Scope

Since the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the H2BIM system extend for a wide pH range due to the large influence of the substitution effects, I was only able to measure part of the acid dissociation constants experimentally. To get insight into the structural information for the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values, the estimation of the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values not measured was done by the following procedure. Based on the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of 1 H -imidazoles (HIM) having a half unit of the H2BIM molecule, the linear relationship between the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values and the sum of Hammett's constants $\left(\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ was derived. By using this relation and the $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the H2BIM system, the $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ values of $2-1 H$-imidazolyls were estimated. Using the estimated $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ values of 2-1H-imidazolyls, the pK a values not measured for the H2BIM system were obtained, and the linear relationship between $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values and $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$ was compared with those of other representative PT and CT systems, H2Q and H2TCNQ systems with the Würster type molecular framework. The PT processes of the H2Q system have extensively been examined, but almost none of the H2TCNQ one have been reported, no matter what a good component of the CT and PT system it is. Some examples of CT complex formation using the PT process of the H2TCNQ molecule, which requires hydride transfer, have been reported by Melby et al. 25 a) and Saito and Colter (see Section 1-2), 25b) Against these two-step PT systems, I describe the procedure of the estimation of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ values based on the $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in solution. Finally, the correlation will be described between the degree of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ values and the structural characteristics, namely the distance $r$ in Section 2-3-1-5.

## 2-3-2-2 Acid Dissociation Constants ( $p K_{a}$ ) of the H2BIM System

Table 2-5 summarizes the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ data of H2TMBIM, H2BIM, H2TCIBIM, H 2 TBrBIM , and H2TCNBIM, together with the $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{26}$ ) based on $2,2^{\prime}$-bi- $1 H$-imidazole $\left(\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}=2 \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}=0\right)$. The values in parenthesis correspond to the estimated ones described later. The second acid dissociation constant $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 2\right)$ for the process of
$\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$was measured as 4.60 (Scheme 2-6), while no further protonation of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$was observed below $\mathrm{pH}=1.50\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}<1.50\right)$ and no deprotonation of H 2 BIM was detected up to the range of $\mathrm{pH}=12.0$ indicating that $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 4$ of H 2 BIM are larger than 12.0 .


Scheme 2-6

Table 2-5. $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of 2,2'-1H-biimidazole derivatives and the data in parenthesis are the estimated $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values.

| Compounds $^{\mathrm{a})}$ | $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{b})}$ | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | -0.48 | $1.60 \mathrm{c})$ | 6.30 | $(14.15)$ | $(18.17)$ |
| $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.00 | $(-0.24)$ | 4.60 | $(12.31)$ | $(16.33)$ |
| $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}$ | 1.20 | $(-5.52)$ | $(-0.94)$ | 7.45 | 11.40 |
| $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}$ | 1.24 | $(-5.52)$ | $(-0.94)$ | 7.44 | 11.50 |
| $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CN}$ | 2.44 | $(-9.68)$ | $(-5.10)$ | 2.99 | 7.60 |
| a) Molecular structures are shown in Scheme 2-6.b) $\left.\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}=2 \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{m} .} . \mathrm{c}\right)$ Determined |  |  |  |  |  |

a) Molecular structures are shown in Scheme $2-6$, b) $\Sigma \sigma_{i}=2 \sigma_{p}+2 \sigma_{m}$.c) Determined
by the spectrometry.

The H2TMBIM system $\left(\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}=-0.48\right)$ had the dissociation constants at $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{1}=1.60$, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=6.30$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}>$ 12.0. Consequently, both H2BIM and H2TMBIM molecules behave as bases and are usable as a source of cation species from pH 1.50 to 12.0, while $\operatorname{H2TCIBIM}\left(\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}=1.20\right)$, $\operatorname{H2TBrBIM}\left(\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}=1.24\right)$, and $\operatorname{H2TCNBIM}\left(\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ $=2.44)$ molecules had two-step acid dissociation processes, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}$ as shown in Table 2-5. Consequently, H2TBrBIM, H2TCIBIM, and H2TCNBIM molecules behave as acids and are a source of anion species in the pH range from 1.5 to 12.0.

## 2-3-2-3 Linear Correlation between $\Sigma \sigma_{i}$ and $p K_{a}$ of IH-Imidazoliums

The acid dissociation processes of various simple $1 H$-imidazolium compounds (Scheme 2-7) were compared with the H2BIM system to have a deeper insight into H2BIM framework.


Scheme 2-7

The shift of equilibrium constant $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ in different solvent systems has been ascribed to the change of solvation energy, which depends mainly on the electrostatic interaction of solvents. ${ }^{27}$ ) For the case of the complete dissociation of charged species, Born's equation can be used for the derivation of the solvation energy, especially for solvents with high dielectric constants. For the acid dissociation process; $\mathrm{HA}\left(\mathrm{HA}^{+}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{A}^{-}\left(\mathrm{A}^{0}\right)+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, the change of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{I})\right)$ in two different solvents (I and II) can be described as, 27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.217 \mathrm{Ne}^{2} /(\mathrm{RT})\left(1 / \mathrm{r} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{zA}^{2} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{zHA}^{2} / \mathrm{r} \mathrm{HA}\right)\left(1 / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{II}}-1 / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{I}}\right) \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is Avogadro's number, r and z are the radius and the charge of respective chemical species, e is the charge of electron, R is the gas constant, T is the temperature, and $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric constant. The $\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value is a linear function of $\left(1 / \varepsilon_{I I}-1 / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ and the slope depends on the type of dissociation processes, i.e. the slope in the $\mathrm{HA}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$
$+\mathrm{H}^{+}$process is smaller than that in the $\mathrm{HA} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$one.

Table 2-6. $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $1 H$-imidazolium.

| Compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\Sigma \sigma_{1}{ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ ) | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a: $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{Me}$ | -0.41 | 8.92 |  |
| b: $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | -0.24 | 8.50 |  |
| c: $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | -0.17 | 7.85 | 15.10 |
| $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}$ ) | -0.17 | 7.66 |  |
| e: $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | -0.15 | 8.00 |  |
| f: $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | -0.07 | 7.56 | 15.10 |
| $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.00 | 7.00 | 14.90 |
| $h: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}$ ) | 0.00 | 7.10 |  |
| i: $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.00 | 5.54 |  |
| $\mathrm{j}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.05 | 6.20 |  |
| $\mathrm{k}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.23 | 3.85 | 11.03 |
| 1: $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.34 | 2.44 | 11.92 |
| $\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R} 5=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.37 | 3.66 |  |
| $\mathrm{n}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.39 | 3.88 | 12.32 |
| o: $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{CHO}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.42 | 2.90 | 10.70 |
| $\mathrm{p}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.43 | 2.28 |  |
| $\mathrm{q}: \mathrm{R} 2=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.54 | 0.5 |  |
| r: R2=H, R $4=\mathrm{R} 5=\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {d }}$ ) | 0.60 |  | 9.70 |
| $\mathrm{s}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.71 | 0.0 | 9.30 |
| $\mathrm{t}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}$ ) | 0.71 |  | 9.50 |
| $\mathrm{u}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{R} 5=\mathrm{Br}$ | 0.77 | -0.55 |  |
| v : $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{R} 4=\mathrm{R} 5=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.78 | -0.81 |  |
| w: $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{R} 5=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.89 | -0.85 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 1.22 |  | 5.2 |
| $\mathrm{z}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{CN}^{\text {d }}$ ) | 1.22 |  | 5.53 |

b) $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}=2 \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}+\sigma_{\mathrm{m}} \cdot \mathrm{c}$ ) $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ corresponds to $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{IM}^{+} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{HIM}^{0}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{HIM}^{0} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{IM}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, respectively. d) This work in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 3)$, others are in water (Ref. 28).

Table 2-6 summarizes the $\Sigma \sigma_{i}$ and the $\mathrm{p} K_{a}$ values together with data from Ref. 28. I used a $\sigma_{p}$ for 2- and 5 -substituted groups and a $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ for 4 -substitution (Scheme 27). The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ values of $1 H$-imidazolium ( $\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{pKal}_{\mathrm{a} 1}=7.10$ ) and 2 -methyl- $1 H$ imidazolium ( $\mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.66$ ) in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (7:3) are close to those in water $\left(\mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{l}=\right.$ $7.00, \Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-0.10 . \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.85, \Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=+0.19$.). The $\varepsilon$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
(7:3) are known to be 78.5 and 49.2, respectively. ${ }^{29}$ ) The difference of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in these two solvents was obtained from equation 2.1 as $+0.24 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit in the case of $\mathrm{T}=295$ $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{rH}=1.4$ and $\mathrm{rHA}=\mathrm{rA}_{\mathrm{A}}=10 \AA$. The influence of the lengths of rHA and $\mathrm{rA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ was small, for example, the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of +0.26 pK unit is obtained if using $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{HA}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ $20 \AA$. Therefore, the observed $\Delta \mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value is within the range of the difference in the solvent used, and my $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values measured in a mixed solvent agree with those in water within +0.20 in $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ units.

The second acid dissociation constant $\left(\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ of 4 -nitro- $1 H$-imidazolium ( $\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ $=9.50$ ) and 4,5-dicyano- $1 H$-imidazolium ( $z ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=5.53$ ) in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 3)$ are also comparable to those in water ( $\mathrm{s}: \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=9.30, \mathrm{y}: \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=5.20$.). The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ values in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are larger by $0.2-0.3$ in $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ units than those in water. The shift of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ values is explained similarly using equation 2.1 ; the degree of $\Delta \mathrm{pK}$ a was derived as + $0.32 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit for $\mathrm{r} \mathrm{HA}=\mathrm{rA}=10 \AA$ and $+0.30 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit for $\mathrm{r} \mathrm{HA}=\mathrm{rA}=20 \AA$, which is compatible with the observed one. Accordingly, I treat the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ values as the same in these two solvents.

Hammett's equation can be written,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a} 0}-\rho \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a} 0}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ are the equibrium constants for unsubstituted and substituted molecules, respectively, $\rho$ is the constant for a given reaction under a given set of conditions, and the value of $\rho$ is set at 1.00 for the ionization of the benzoic acid system. ${ }^{30)}$ The $\rho$ value is a characteristic constant for the molecular framework and implies the sensitivity of the substitution effects.

The correlations of the $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$ for imidazole compounds can be represented by two straight lines, (1) and (2) in Fig. 2-5, assuming the same slopes $(\rho)$ by the leastsquares method,


Fig. 2-5. $\Sigma \sigma_{i}$ vs. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $1 H$-imidazoles (compounds a-z in Table 2-6). Two straight lines, (1) and (2), correspond to the PT process of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{IM}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{HIM}^{0}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{HIM}^{0} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{IM}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, respectively. My measurements in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 3)$ are represented as open circles and closed circles are corresponded to the data from Ref. 28 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}\left(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{IM}^{+}\right)=6.26-8.00 \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the two acid dissociation processes (Scheme 2-7), respectively. A good linear relationship is ascertained as a standard deviation of 0.98 for equation 2.2 .3 and 0.97 for equation 2.2 .4 , respectively. The large $\rho$ value for the imidazole framework suggests that the electron density on nitrogen atoms is more sensitive to the substitution effects than the benzoic acid $(\rho=1.00)$, anilinium ( $\rho=2.77$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), or pyridinium ( $\rho$ $=6.11$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) systems. ${ }^{31}$ ) Thus, the acidity of the imidazoles and imidazoliums has the great susceptibility to substitution effects of any acid-base equilibrium series.

## 2-3-2-4 Derivation of Hammett's Constant $\left(\sigma_{p}\right)$

About the nitrogen-containing ring membered groups, the $\sigma_{p}$ values of 2 pyridinyl, 3-pyridinyl, 5-pyrimidinyl, and 1-phenyl-1H-benzoimidazol-2-yl have been calculated as $0.17,0.25,0.39$, and 0.21 , respectively. 26,32 ) For $2-1 H$-imidazolyl, the $\sigma_{I}$ value, which represents the contribution from the field or inductive effects caused by the polarization through the bond and space due to the difference of the electronegativities $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\delta+}-\mathrm{Y}^{\delta-}\right)$, has been obtained as $\left.0.27,26\right)$ however its $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ value has not been measured. Furthermore, there are no reported $\sigma_{p}$ values of 4,5-disubstituted 2-1Himidazolyls, 2-imidazolide, and 2-imidazolio.

Based on equations 2.2.3 and 2.2.4 and the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of H2BIM derivatives, I can evaluate Hammett's constant of 2-1H-imidazolyl, 2-imidazolio, and 2-imidazolide groups (Table 2-7). The evaluated $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ of $2-1 H$-imidazolyl groups $(0.19 \sim 0.25)$ are similar to those of chloro $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=0.23\right)$ and bromo $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=0.23\right)$ groups $\left.{ }^{26}\right)$ and not much different from those of the nitrogen containing rings above mentioned. These results indicate that the substitutions of 4- and 5-positions on 2-1H-imidazolyl group with either electron-donating or -accepting substituents have a minor influence on the $\sigma_{p}$ values. It
is concluded that the resonance effect, which stabilizes the conjugated acid by the contribution of certain resonance structures ( $\mathrm{X}^{-}-\mathrm{Y} \quad \rightleftarrows \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}^{-}$), of the 2-1 $\mathrm{H}^{-}$ imidazolyl group makes the major contribution to the $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ value, because 2-1 H -imidazolyl has several kinds of resonance structures.

Table 2-7. Estimated Hammett's constant ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) of 2-1H-imidazolyl, 2-imidazolio, and 2-imidazolide groups together with related ones.

| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\sigma_{p}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\sigma_{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-imidazolyl | 0.24 | 4,5-dimethyl-2-imidazolio | 0.82 |
| 4,5-dimethy-2-limidazolyl | 0.25 | 4,5-dibromo-2-imidazolide | -0.28 |
| 4,5-dibromo-2-imidazolyl | 0.23 | 4,5-dichloro-2-imidazolide | -0.26 |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-imidazolyl | 0.25 | 4,5-dicyano-2-imidazolide | -0.39 |
| 4,5-dicyano-2-imidazolyl | 0.19 | $-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 3^{+}$ | $0.82{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.23a) | $-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $0.75{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $-\mathrm{Br}$ | 0.23a) | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ | -0.17a) |
|  |  | -O- | -0.81 ${ }^{\text {a) }}$ |
|  |  | - OH | -0.37a) |
| 2-pyridinyl | 0.17a) | 3-pyridinyl | $0.25{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |
| 5-pyrimidinyl | 0.39 a ) | 1-phenyl-1 $H$-benzoimidazol-2-yl | $0.21{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |

a) Cited from Ref. 26.

The equation 2.2.3 and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{TMBIM}^{2+}$ give a $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ of 4,5-dimethyl-2imidazolio ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=0.82$ ) which is the same as that of trimethylammonium ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=0.82$ ) and slightly higher than that of a nitro group $\left.\left(\sigma_{p}=0.75\right) .26\right)$ The $\sigma_{p}$ values of 2imidazolide groups ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=-0.26 \sim-0.39$ ) deduced from equation 2.4 and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}$ of H2BIM derivatives is between those of $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=-0.17\right)$ and $-\mathrm{O}-\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=-0.81\right)$, and are close to that of hydroxy group $\left.\left(\sigma_{p}=-0.37\right) .26\right)$

The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the H2BIM framework, which is a deprotonation at the 1-position, is governed by the field and inductive effects at 4- and 5-substituted groups and the resonance one of the remaining imidazolium $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 0.8\right)$ at the 2-position. The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$, which is a deprotonation on the remaining imidazolium ring, is affected by the $4^{\prime}$ - and $5^{\prime}$ substituted groups and the imidazolyl $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 0.2\right)$ at $2^{\prime}$-position. The $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$, where the deprotonation occurs in one of two $1 H$-imiadzolyl rings, say at the 3 '-position, is

(2.2.8)
(2.2.6)
(2.2.7)

Fig. 2-6. $\quad \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$ vs. $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $2,2^{\prime}$-bi-1 $H$-imidazole and hydroquinone systems. The lines are represented by the equation number in text. The open and closed circles correspond to the measured and estimated $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values, respectively. For dotted lines, the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ data (triangular circles); $\alpha$, Me4-H2Q; $\beta$, Me3-H2Q; $\gamma, 2,5-\mathrm{Me} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q} ; \delta$, Me$\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q} ; \varepsilon, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q} ; \zeta, \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q} ; \eta, 2,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q} ; \theta, 2-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}$ in water were cited from Ref. 33.
influenced by the effects at $4^{\prime}-$ - $5^{\prime}$-substituted groups and the $1 H$-imidazolyl $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 0.2\right)$ at the 2 'position. So the pK 22 and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ have similar field, inductive, and resonance effects. Finally the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}$, say the last proton is on the 3 -position, is governed by the effects at 4- and 5-substituted groups and the neighboring 2-imidazolide ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}} \sim-0.3$ ) at the 2-position.

## 2-3-2-5 $p K_{a}$ Prediction for H2BIM System and Comparison with Another System

The predicted $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ indicated in parenthesis in Table 2-5 were obtained from equations 2.2.3 and 2.2.4 using the $\sigma_{p}$ values in Section 2-3-2-4. For example, the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ of H4TMBIM ${ }^{2+}$ was estimated by Hammett's constants for methyl ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) and 4,5-dimethyl-2-1 H -imidazolyl ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) groups having been determined as $-0.07,-0.17$, and 0.25 , respectively. So the $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$ was obtained as 0.01 , which leads to the $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ as $14.15\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a3}}=14.23-8.00 \times 0.01=14.15\right)$.

Figure 2-6 shows the plots of $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$ based on the H2BIM framework; The open and closed circles correspond to the observed and the predicted $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values, respectively. Two equations, 2.2 .5 and 2.2 .6 , assuming the same slope,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=4.20-3.88 \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}=12.28-3.88 \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

were obtained by the least-squares method based on the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ data in Table 25. Even though the confidence of estimated $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}$ values are not high owing to the restricted experimental data, I can get two equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{al}_{\mathrm{a}}=-0.46-3.88 \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}=16.44-3.88 \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the slope was adjusted to 3.88 , just as in the previous equations. By the replacement of the molecular framework of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{IM}^{+}$by $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, the dissociation sites are increased twice. As a result, the susceptibility to substitution effects in equations 2.2.5 $\sim 2.2 .8$ for the H2BIM system are reduced to approximately one-half of that of simple 1 H -imidazoles. The triangular points in Fig. 2-6 show the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of hydroquinone (H2Q) system measured in water (Scheme 2-8). 33)


Scheme 2-8

Two dotted straight lines for the H2Q system,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}=9.96-2.38 \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=11.67-2.38 \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are obtained by the least-squares method assuming the same slope. The $\rho$ value of H 2 Q system ( $\rho=2.38$ ) is smaller than for the H2BIM system ( $\rho=3.88$ ) indicating that the electron density of nitrogen atoms of H2BIM framework has a higher sensitivity than that of the oxygen atoms of H2Q system toward the substitution. The comparison of acidity between H2BIM and H2Q systems was done under the same measurement conditions. Since the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ value of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}=9.85\right.$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=11.39$ in water $\left.{ }^{33}\right)$ ) is higher than 12.0 in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 3)$, I examined the pK a values of more acidic H 2 Q derivatives; polychloro- and polycyano-substituted hydroquinones. $\mathrm{Cl}_{4}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}=\right.$ 6.43 and $\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=8.21\right)$ has the acidity as strong as $\mathrm{CN}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}=6.54\right.$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=$ $8.32)$, and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{DDQ}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}=5.14\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=7.46\right)$ is the most acidic one in our study
of the H 2 Q system. It turned out that $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=4.60\right)$ is a stronger acid than H 2 DDQ . Consequently, it is expected that the proton-donating nature of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ is considerably superior to the H2Q system. Even the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ values of H2TCIBIM and H 2 TBrBIM are slightly lower than $\mathrm{Cl}_{4}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}$ and $\mathrm{CN}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}$.

The two-step reduction process of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ gives the dianion species $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{2-}$, which is also obtained by the two-step acid dissociation processes of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{TCNQ}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$. Since TCNQ has proven its excellency in electron-accepting ability in the CT process, it is of significance to examine the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the H2TCNQ system. However no $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ data for H2TCNQ derivatives and other typical electron acceptors have been reported except for BTDA-H2TCNQ $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=-0.2 \text { in water }\right)^{34)}$ and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNE}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}=3.6\right.$ in water). ${ }^{35}$ ) The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of H 2 TCNQ , $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$ in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (7:3) indicate that $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$ has the highest acidity $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}=4.01\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=7.20\right)$, more than H2TCNQ $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}=7.10\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=10.30\right)$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}=7.60\right.$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=11.30$ ). The dianion state is stabilized in the case of strong electron acceptors $\left(\mathrm{A}^{0}\right)$; for example, 2,3,5,6-tetracyano-7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (CN4-TCNQ) can be isolated only as dianion species $\left.\left(\mathrm{A}^{2-}\right), 2 \mathrm{a}\right)$ and this implies that $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}^{0}$ is a very strong proton donor. Consequently, in general, there is a correlation between electronaccepting abilities $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(1)\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(2)\right)$ of $\mathrm{A}^{0}$ states and proton-donating abilities $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ 1 and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{0}$ states among the structurally related molecules. Therefore, the extremely strong proton-donating ability of BTDA-H2TCNQ cannot be expected from its moderate electron-accepting ability of BTDA-TCNQ, suggesting that the anomalous enhancement of the proton-donating ability of BTDA-H2TCNQ may be structurally caused.

Scheme 2-9 compares the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values necessary for the reaction between the H2BIM and TCNQ derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} \xrightarrow[4.01]{\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-} \xrightarrow[7.20]{\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{2-} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} \underset{7.10}{ } \text { HTCNQ }^{-} \xrightarrow[10.30]{ } \text { TCNQ }^{2-} \\
& \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} \xrightarrow[7.60]{ } \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-} \xrightarrow[11.30]{ } \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{2-}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Scheme 2-9

$\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$is a weaker proton donor than $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$ by 0.6 pK a unit, but is stronger than H2TCNQ and Me2-H2TCNQ by $2.50-3.00 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ units. Therefore, the following reaction, $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-}$(Me2-$\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-}$), is entirely negligible, but the reverse PT reaction, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-}$ $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}\right)$, will easily occur. About the solid CT complex formation between the H2BIM and H2TCNQ systems, the difference of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in the component molecules is one of the parameters that govern the physical properties and structures of the solid.

## 2-3-2-6 On-site Coulomb Repulsion of Proton-Transfer

In the case of dibase or diacid compounds, the charges of molecules vary from neutral, monovalent, to divalent states or vice versa by two-step PT processes; H2D ${ }^{0} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{HD}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{HA}^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$and $\mathrm{HD}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\left(\mathrm{HA}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{0}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. The two-step PT processes are similar to the two-step CT ones and the parameters of PT processes are expressed by the acid dissociation constants $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ instead of the half-wave redox potentials ( $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}$ ) in CT processes. The exact ability for a PT process relates to the proton affinity in the gas phase, ${ }^{36)}$ since the value of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in solution is appreciably influenced by the solvation energy. For large numbers of organic molecules, however,
the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values are available only in solution. I try here to evaluate the electrostatic energy for the PT process, namely the on-site Coulomb repulsion energy ( $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ ) of the divalent state ( $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{2-}$ ) from the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in solution based on the same approaches at Section 2-3-1-5. The evaluations of $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ PT value were done for H 2 BIM $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right), \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$, and HPDS ${ }^{37)}$ systems (Table 2-8).

Table 2-8. Acid dissociation constants and estimated $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$, H2Q, H2TCNQ, and HPDS systems.

| Compounds | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 4^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}(\mathrm{eV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H2BIM ( H4BIM $^{2+}$ ) | system |  |  |  |
| a: $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{TMBIM}^{2+}$ | 1.60 | 6.30 | 4.70 | 4.27 |
| b: $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{BIM}}{ }^{2+}$ | -0.24 | 4.60 | 4.84 | 4.26 |
| c: $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{TCIBIM}^{2+}$ | -5.52 | -0.94 | 4.58 | 4.25 |
| d: $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{TBrBIM}^{2+}$ | -9.68 | -0.94 | 4.58 | 4.25 |
| e: $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{TCNBIM}{ }^{2+}$ | 14.15 | -5.10 | 4.58 | 4.25 |
| f: H2TMBIM | 12.31 | 18.17 | 4.02 | 4.29 |
| g: H2BIM | 12.31 | 16.33 | 4.02 | 4.29 |
| h: H2TCIBIM | 7.45 | 11.40 | 3.95 | 4.28 |
| i: H 2 TBrBIM | 7.44 | 11.50 | 4.06 | 4.28 |
| j: H2TCNBIM | 2.99 | 7.60 | 4.61 | 4.27 |
| H2Q system |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}: 2,5-\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{DHQ}^{\text {c }}$ ) | -3.00 | -0.50 | 2.50 | 4.13 |
| 1: $\left.2,5-(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}-\mathrm{DHQ}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ | 1.09 | 2.42 | 1.33 | 4.14 |
| $\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{DHQ}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.71 | 5.18 | 2.47 | 4.14 |
| n : H2DDQ | 5.14 | 7.46 | 2.32 | 4.14 |
| o: $(\mathrm{Cl}) 4-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}$ | 6.43 | 8.21 | 1.78 | 4.15 |
| p: $2,3-(\mathrm{CN})_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}$ | 6.54 | 8.31 | 1.77 | 4.15 |
| $\mathrm{q}: 2,6-(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}^{\text {c }}$ ) | 7.30 | 9.99 | 2.69 | 4.15 |
| r: $2,5-(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}^{\text {c }}$ ) | 7.90 | 10.00 | 2.10 | 4.15 |
| s: $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}^{\text {c }}$ ) | 8.90 | 11.90 | 3.00 | 4.15 |
| t: H2Q ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 9.85 | 11.39 | 1.54 | 4.15 |
| $\mathrm{u}:(\mathrm{MeO})-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{c}}$ ) | 9.91 | 11.90 | 1.99 | 4.15 |
| v : (Me)-H2Q ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10.05 | 11.62 | 1.57 | 4.15 |
| $\left.\mathrm{w}: 2,5-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ | 10.35 | 12.40 | 2.05 | 4.15 |
| $\left.\mathrm{x}:(\mathrm{Me}) 3-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ | 10.80 | 12.90 | 2.10 | 4.15 |
| $\mathrm{y}:(\mathrm{Me}) 4-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}^{\text {c }}$ ) | 11.25 | 13.20 | 1.95 | 4.15 |
| H2TCNQ system |  |  |  |  |


| A: F4-H2TCNQ | 4.01 | 7.20 | 3.19 | 4.22 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B: H2TCNQ | 7.10 | 10.30 | 3.20 | 4.22 |
| C: Me2-H2TCNQ | 7.60 | 11.30 | 3.70 | 4.22 |
| $\quad$ HPDS system |  |  |  |  |
| D: HPS | 12.30 | 13.10 | 0.80 | 4.08 |
| E: HPSed) | 12.50 | 13.00 | 0.50 | 4.08 |
| F: HPDS | 11.90 | 12.50 | 0.60 | 4.08 |
| G: HPTB $^{\text {d }}$ ) | 12.00 | 12.60 | 0.60 | 4.08 |
| H: HPTN $^{\text {d }}$ ) | 11.90 | 12.50 | 0.60 | 4.08 |

a) $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3$ is for $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM} \rightarrow \mathrm{HBIM}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}$ is for $\mathrm{HBIM}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{BIM}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$. b) $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ $=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ 3. c) Cited from Ref. 33. d) Cited from Ref. 37 and the molecular structures are shown in Fig. 2-1d.

In the case of the H 2 Q system, the values of $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ are within the $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ range from 1.33 to 3.00 , while those of the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ (H2BIM) system range from 3.95 to 4.84 , which is larger than the former system. The compounds with similar molecular frameworks have the same extent of $\Delta \mathrm{pK}$ a values, which suggests the correlation of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}$ (Fig. 2-7). By the method of the least-squares, the relation is expressed as
$\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM})$ system; $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}=0.972 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}+4.476$

## (2.2.11),

where the slope and intercept of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and H 2 BIM systems are the same, respectively, within the experimental error (line i). A linearity is also observed for the H2Q (line iii) system.

H 2 Q system; $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=0.974 \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a} 1+2.288$

Similarly for the case of H2TCNQ (line ii) and HPDS (line iv) systems, equations 2.2.13 and 2.2.14 are obtained, though the numbers of observed data are limited, assuming the same slope as 0.97.

H 2 TCNQ system; $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=0.97 \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a} 1+3.550$
and
HPDS system; $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=0.97 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+0.984$
(2.2.14).

The values of the intercept increase in the order of the HPDS, H2Q, H2TCNQ, and H2BIM (H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ ) systems. This order corresponds to the degree of $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$, which relates to the value of $\mathrm{U}^{1} \mathrm{PT}$ as following.

The experimental relation between $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}$ is represented as the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2} \text { or } \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}=\alpha\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1} \text { or } \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3\right)+\beta \tag{2.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the gas phase, the total energy change for the first acid dissociation process, $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D}^{0} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{HD}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$corresponds to $\mathrm{E}^{t}-\mathrm{E}^{t} 0$, where $\mathrm{E}^{t} 0$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{1}$ are the total energies of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{HD}^{-}$species, respectively. In solution, it is needed to add the term of solvation, so the energy difference of two states is represented as the following equation,
$\mathrm{Et}_{1}-\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{t}} 0=2.303 \mathrm{RT}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3\right)-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$
where $\Delta G^{\prime}$ sol is the change of the solvation energies for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{HD}^{-}$species, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is a constant which depends on the glass electrode.38) Similarly for the second (or fourth for the H2BIM system) acid dissociation process of $\mathrm{HD}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, the change of total energy is expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{1}=2.303 \mathrm{RT}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2} \text { or } \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}\right)-\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sol}}+\mathrm{C}_{1} \tag{2.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2-7. The linear relationship between $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ ) vs. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}\right)$ of i) $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ (H2BIM) system (triangular circles), ii) H2TCNQ (closed squares), iii) H2Q (open and closed circles) and iv) HPDS (open squares) systems. The open and closed circles in H2Q system correspond to $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of our measurement and these of Ref. 33, respectively.

No precise values of $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {sol }}^{\prime}$ for the systems under examination have been measured, unfortunately, so I roughly approximated it by the value for aromatic hydrocarbons ( -2.0 eV). ${ }^{22)}$ As a result, the individual values of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ at 295 K for the H 2 BIM (H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ ), H2TCNQ, H2Q, and HPDS systems can be represented as the following equations,
$\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$ system; $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}=-0.00176\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3\right)+4.26 \quad$ (2.2.22),

$$
\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ} \text { system; } \mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}=-0.00176 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}+4.21
$$

H 2 Q system; $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}=-0.00176 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}+4.13$
and
HPDS system; $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}=-0.00176 \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{al}_{\mathrm{al}}+4.06$

The estimated $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ values decrease in the order of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right), \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$, H2Q, and HPDS systems (Table 2-8). In each system, the differences of $U_{1}$ PT value are less than 0.02 eV because of its insensitivity to the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ value.
$\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{r}^{-1}$, and the estimated $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ are summarized in Table 2-9, where the data
 $\mathrm{Bz},{ }^{29)}$ and terephthalic acid $\left.(\mathrm{PHTH})^{29}\right)$ are compared. The distance r is derived as the same procedure in Section 2-3-1-5. The correlations between the estimated $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ and $\mathrm{r}^{-1}$ for these systems are shown in Fig. 2-8.
where $\mathrm{Et}_{2}$ is the total energy of the $\mathrm{D}^{2-}$ molecule and $\Delta \mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ sol is the difference of solvation energies for $\mathrm{HD}^{-}$and $\mathrm{D}^{2-}$ species. The equations 2.2.16 and 2.2.17 will give the equation 2.2.18.
$2.303 \mathrm{RT}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 4}\right)=2.303 \mathrm{RT}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{E}_{2}+\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{t}} 0-2 \mathrm{E}_{1}\right)$

$$
-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}^{\prime}+\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sol}}^{\prime}
$$

The $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ PT value can be defined as the disproportional energy for the process of $2 \mathrm{HD}^{-} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D}^{0}+\mathrm{D}^{2-}$, which is equal to the term within the parenthesis in equation 2.2.18. The term of solvation energy is deduced as $2 \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ sol assuming the same procedure at Section 2-3-1-5.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2.303 \mathrm{RT}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2} \text { or } \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{4}\right)=2.303 \mathrm{RT}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1} \text { or } \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3\right)+\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}+2 \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}^{\prime} \tag{2.2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly for the PT processes of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{HA}^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{HA}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{0}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, namely the first and second dissociation steps of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, the following equation can be derived,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2.303 \mathrm{RTpK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=2.303 \mathrm{RTpK} \mathrm{al}+\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}-2 \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime} \text { sol } \tag{2.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the on-site Coulomb repulsion energy of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2+}, \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}$ sol is the difference of solvation energies of $\mathrm{HA}^{+}$and $\mathrm{A}^{0}$ species and is approximated as $-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ sol. The equations 2.2.15 and 2.2.19 lead to the following formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}=-2.303 \mathrm{RT}(1-\alpha)\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}} \text { or } \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)+2.303 \mathrm{RT} \beta-2 \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}^{\prime} \tag{2.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2-8. The relation of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}(\mathrm{eV})$ and $\mathrm{r}^{-1}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ for H2BIM, H2Q, H2TCNQ, HPDS (open circles), and DCAR (closed circles) systems. The respective species of HPDS system are depicted in Fig. 2-1d. $r_{c}$ is the critical length for $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ PT values. The dotted line is a guide for eye of DCAR system.

a) $\Delta \mathrm{pK}$ a is the same as Table 2-8. b) r is the same as Table 2-4. c) $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ is estimated
from equation 2.2.15 assuming the $\alpha$ as 0.97 . d) Measured in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 3)$ from Ref. 39. e) DCAR is $\mathrm{HOOC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{COOH}$ series and n is the number of methylenes. f$)$ pK data of PHTH (terephthalic acid), BPH (4,4'-biphenyldiol), and Bz are cited from Ref. 29.

The values of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ of DCAR system show a linear decrease against the value of $\mathrm{r}^{-1}$ in the small r range and tend to saturate in the large r range $\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}>7 \AA\right)$. For this system, if two dissociation sites of diacidic base are perfectly independent of each other, for example $\mathrm{n}=\infty$ in $\operatorname{HOOC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{COOH}$ molecules, the difference of $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ is equal to $0.6(=\log 4)$ from a statistical reason, ${ }^{39)}$ namely the equilibriium constant of the first dissociation process $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a} 1}\right)$ is four times larger than that of the second one $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a} 2}\right)$.
In the short r region, the ratio of $\mathrm{Kal}_{\mathrm{a} 1} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ becomes larger than 4 due to the electrostatic

H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ becomes on the same order of that in $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$. From the results of EHMO calculation, the ionic polarization structure was found to be essential for the stabilization of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ state. The high stability of the monoprotonated state of ${\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}}^{\text {is }}$ confirmed by its low electron-accepting ability as its reduction potential is comparable to that of the stable cation $N$-methylquinolinium. The neutral species of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ is a weak electron donor rather than acceptor.

The plots of the first and second reduction potentials for 43 kinds of molecules indicated that each type of molecular system, for example BQ, DPQ, and TCNQ systems, has an individual linear correlation, with the same slope but different intercepts between these potentials. I discussed the conventional procedure to estimate the value of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ from the redox properties and find interesting correlations between the estimated $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ and the distances r between the centers of gravities of $\pi$-electron at a half unit of a molecule. As a result, two types of classification can be made, I) electron acceptors and II) dications. For electron acceptors, the degree of change in $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ against the r values is bigger than dications. The value of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ in dication molecule is found to be insensitive to the molecular size.

The H2BIM framework has an essentially amphoteric acid-base character, so neutral H2BIM derivatives can change the PT characters from a base (H2TMBIM and H2BIM) to an acid (H2TCIBIM, H2TBrBIM, and H2TCNBIM) according to the substituted groups covering the pH range from 1.5 to 12.0 . I confirmed the linear relationship between $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$ and the high sensitivity of substitution effects for $1 H$ imidazole framework. The $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ values of $2-1 H$-imidazolyls are not influenced by the substituted groups at the $4^{\prime}$ - and $5^{\prime}$-position, but the pKa values of H2BIM derivatives are influenced by the resonance contribution of the $2-1 H$-imidazolyl group. The acidity of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$was comparable to the first dissociation of H 2 DDQ , and that of $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ was further enhanced. The plots of the first acid dissociation constants and the second ones indicated a linear relationship for H2BIM and H2Q systems. From this linearity, the estimation of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ was done for $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right), \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$, and HPDS systems. The values of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ are related with the distances r regardless of whether the
repulsion of the two sites. From equation 2.2.19, the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}(1 / \mathrm{r}=0)$ is estimated as 4.04 eV from the relation of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}+0.6$. Approximately, the same feature of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}_{\text {vs. }} 1 / \mathrm{r}$ is seen among H2BIM (H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ ), H2Q, HPDS, PHTH, BPH, and Bz systems, though the $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ PT value of H 2 TCNQ system is rather out of the expected point. In the course of my titration measurements of H2TCNQs in DMF-H2O (7:3), the colorless solution changes to a slightly green one in the second acid dissociation process which may suggest instability of the $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{2-}$ species. At this moment, I do not have confidence whether the deviation of H2TCNQ data is intrinsic or not. The general features of Fig. 2-8 are as follows. The value of $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ PT increases as the decreasing of r value with a weak correlation with the topological molecular structure, either Weitz or Würster type. And the $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ values emerge within $4.06 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{eV}$ in the region $\mathrm{r}>7$ $\AA$, while in the $\mathrm{r}<7 \AA$ region the $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ PT values are dominated by the distance r .

## 2-4 Summary

I examined the proton-transfer and electron (charge)-transfer properties of the H2BIM system in solution. This system belongs to a new CT and PT system that has a Weitz's type molecular structure. Furthermore the evaluations of on-site Coulomb repulsion of the CT process ( $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ ) were done for various types of molecules, including H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$, and I discussed the relation with the molecular structures (Würster and Weitz types). At the same time, the concept of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{PT}$ was proposed for the two-step PT process. The value of $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ PT of H2BIM system was estimated and compared with those of the H2Q, H2TCNQ, and HPDS systems.

Seven new species; $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$, $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{*}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{+\bullet}$, in addition to known five species; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$, $\mathrm{HBIM}^{-}$, BIM $^{2-}$, $\mathrm{BIM}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ were confirmed among the twenty-five ideal ones in solution. Despite the isoelectronic structure of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{TTF}^{2+}$, the reduction peak potential of $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ was largely suppressed (about -1.2 V ) by the isoelectronic substitution of four sulfur atoms of TTF by four imino groups. However, the value of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{CT}$ in
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## Chapter 3. Crystal Structures and Charge-Transfer Complexes of 2,2'-Bi-1H-imidazole System

Studies of the crystal structures and formation of a charge-transfer (CT) complex proved that $2,2^{\prime}$-bi-1H-imidazole (H2BIM ${ }^{0}$ ) forms a new system concerned with the interplay of proton - transfer (PT) and electron - transfer (or CT)) interactions. X-ray crystal analyses of newly isolated species, $2-(2-1 H$-imidazolyl)-1H-imidazolium $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)$and 2,2'-bi- 1 H -imidazolium ( $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ ), revealed the formation of strong hydrogen bonds along the side-by-side direction of the molecular plane. CT complexes of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ with the $7,7,8,8$-tetracyanoquinodimethane derivatives (TCNQs) were synthesized by a method of metathesis, and the crystal structure of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$ was determined.* During CT complex formation, the initial simple protonated states of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]$or $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]$ changed to different ones, for example, $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-x}, \quad\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}$, and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$. According to the protonated states of the H2BIM system, the formal charge of the TCNQs in the CT complexes varies from completely ionic, partial CT, to neutral. The mechanism of these complex formations was explained by differences in the acidities between the TCNQ anion radicals (TCNQs**) and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$or $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$. Higher acidities of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ than the TCNQs** induce the following PT reaction: $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)+$ TCNQs $^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{*}$. The isolation of HTCNQ* was a failure due to the following disproportional reaction: $2 \mathrm{HTCNQ}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$. However, the formation of the $1,4-$ benzenedimalononitrile $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$ was confirmed by an analysis of the residual compounds after complex formation. Although the electronic absorptions of TCNQ complexes indicated both the partial CT state of TCNQ and a segregated stacking manner, semiconductive characters were observed. In a crystal of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$, the $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$formed a planar dimer connected by two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds, and TCNQ molecules existed as a charge-separated state with segregated trimer stacks. These dimers and TCNQ stacks were tightly connected by both the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}$
hydrogen bonds, which spread into the overall crystal, and by the CT interaction. For the PT and CT system, I propose a synthetic strategy based on the redox potentials and acid dissociation constants.

* The tentative and the real chemical formulas are represented in parenthesis and brackets, respectively.


## 3-1 Introduction

Using the differences in the redox potentials $\left(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{D}\right.$ or DH$)-\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~A}$ or AH$)$ ), the synthetic strategy of the organic metal has been proposed by Wheland 1a) and Saito and Ferraris. ${ }^{1 b}$ ) For the PT process, the differences in the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values $\left(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ $=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DH}$ or AH$)-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{D}$ or A$\left.)\right)$ determine whether the proton is moved from DH $(\mathrm{AH})$ to $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{D})\left(\mathrm{DH}\right.$ or $\mathrm{AH}+\mathrm{A}$ or $\mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{-}$or $\mathrm{A}^{-}+\mathrm{AH}^{+}$or $\left.\mathrm{DH}^{+}\right)$or not. Here, D and A are electron donor and acceptor molecules having proton-accepting ability, and HD and AH are electron donor and acceptor molecules having proton-donating ability. In some polynitrophenol - amine complexes, Saito and Inukai described the interconversion point from the CT to PT one. ${ }^{2)}$ To construct the CT and PT system, the acid-dissociation constants $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and half-wave redox potentials $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}\right)$ of the component molecules are appropriate parameters.

From the viewpoints of a PT and CT system, I have been studying the 2,2'-bi-1Himidazole (H2BIM) system (see Chapter 2). Figure 3-1 illustrates a part of the species within the 25 independent states, showing the known redox peak potentials $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ and acid-dissociation constants $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$. The acidic characters of 2-(2-1H-imidazolyl)-1Himidazolium $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.60\right)$ and $2,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{bi}-1 H$-imidazolium $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=\right.$ $-0.24)$ are expected to be much higher than that of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=10.5\right)$ or 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanohydroquinone $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}=5.14\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=7.46\right)$ in an $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide (DMF) $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 3)$ media. The electron-accepting abilities of the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$(reduction peak potential; $\left.\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p}}=-0.84 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=-0.54 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ species are comparable

## Electron (Charge)-Transfer (CT)
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Fig. 3-1. Proton - transfer (PT) and electron (charge) - transfer (CT) diagram of 2,2'-bi$1 H$-imidazole system. Two step PT and CT processes of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ - $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ system are drawn and part of species $\left(\mathrm{BIM}^{0}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)$ are omitted to clarify the figure. Each vertical and horizontal line corresponds to the PT and CT process, respectively. The reduction and oxidation peak potentials ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{p}$ ) and acid dissociation constants $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ are cited from Chapter 2.
to that of 2,3,5,6,-tetramethyl-p-benzoquinone ( $\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p}}=-0.88 \mathrm{~V}$ ) and 2,4,7-trinitro-9 H -fluoren-9-one ( $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p}=-0.42 \mathrm{~V}$ ), respectively. As a result, I expect that the CT ability of the H2BIM system is not very high, but that a high acidic character of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ influences the CT complex formations. To clarify the complicated protonated states of the H2BIM system, I prepared a variety of complexes of H2BIM ${ }^{0}$, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and examined the crystal structures, molecular vibrational spectra, electronic absorption spectra, and electric properties. The tentative chemical formula of the CT complex in parentheses was deduced only from an elemental analysis, and is represented by the initial components of complex formation. The real states of the component molecules in the complex, i.e. the valence states of TCNQs and the protonated states of the H2BIM system, deduced by spectroscopic, structural, and elemental analyses, are represented in brackets. The mechanism of these complex formations is discussed on the basis of the differences in the acidity $\left(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and redox potential $\left(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}\right)$ between $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$or $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and the anion radical of the TCNQ derivatives. The representative molecular structures in Chapter 3 are shown in Fig. 3-2.
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Fig. 3-2. The representative molecular structures appeared in this text. a) H2BIM series. b) TCNQ series. c) Picric acid, PICRATE- , and 3,3',5,5'-tetranitrobiphenyl-4,4'-diol (H2TNBP).

## 3-2 Experimental

Materials. In Chapter 3, the compounds are described as the entry number of the underline. The preparations of 2,2'bi-1 H -imidazole ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ ) ( $\mathbf{1}$ ), 2-(2-imidazolyl)$1 H$-imizazolium iodide $\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\right) \quad$ (2), $2,2^{2}$-bi- $1 H$-imidazolium diiodide $\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}\right)(3)$, other closed shell cations $\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right.$, and $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$salts), and all of TCNQ derivatives were described in Chapter 2.
[H3BIM ${ }^{+}$][PICRATE ${ }^{-}$(4): To a saturated solution of 0.5 mmol of (2) in 30 ml of methanol was added a solution of 0.5 mmol of $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]\left[\right.$PICRATE $\left.{ }^{-}\right]$in 20 ml of methanol. After it was stirred for 3 h , the precipitates were collected and washed with water, then
methanol. Mp. $287^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec), yellow powder. Found: C,39.51; H,2.35; N,27.08\% Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{~N} 7 \mathrm{O} 7$ : $\mathrm{C}, 39.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.48 ; \mathrm{N}, 27.00 \%$.
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\right.$ PICRATE $\left.^{-}\right] 2(\underline{5})$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TNBP}^{2-}\right]$ (6): To a suspended solution of 0.5 mmol of ( $\mathbf{1}$ ) in 300 ml of ethanol was added a solution of 1.0 mmol of picric acid in 50 ml of ethanol or a solution of 0.5 mmol of $3,3^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}$-tetranitrobiphenyl4,4 -diol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TNBP}^{0}\right)$ in 100 ml of ethanol. It was refluxed for 3 h and cooled to room temperature; the precipitates were then collected and washed with ethanol. Single crystals were obtained by recrystallization from methanol for (5). (5); Mp. $258^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec), yellow needles. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 36.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.99 ; \mathrm{N}, 23.63 \%$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ 14: $\mathrm{C}, 36.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.03 ; \mathrm{N}, 23.65 \%$. ( 6 ) $; \mathrm{Mp} .>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, orange powder. Found: C,43.50; $\mathrm{H}, 2.69 ; \mathrm{N}, 22.60 \%$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ : C,43.22; $\mathrm{H}, 2.40 ; \mathrm{N}, 22.40 \%$.
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{7})$ : Recrystallization of (2) from acetonitrile gave single crystals of (7). Then, white needles were collected and washed with acetonitrile. Mp. $380^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 39.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.53 ; \mathrm{N}, 30.44 ; \mathrm{I}, 27.40 \%$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C,38.90; H,3.46; N,30.24; I,27.40\%.

## Preparation of Charge-Transfer Complexes

H2BIM ${ }^{0}$ complexes: It was not possible to prepare the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ complexes in solution due to the low solubility of $(\mathbf{1})$ in most organic solvents. Thus, the complex formations were prepared by the cosublimation method. There was no complex formation for the F4-TCNQ, TCNQ, and BTDA-TCNQ cases. The infrared (IR) spectra and elemental analysis of the $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ and $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ complexes deduced these complexes as $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \delta\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-} \delta\right]$ with $\delta \approx 0$.
$\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ complexes: A preparation of CT complexes of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$ and H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ was achieved by the method of metathesis ${ }^{3}$ ) between lithium or sodium salts of TCNQs* ${ }^{-\bullet}$ and (2) and/or ( $\mathbf{3}$ ). Each anion radical salt of TCNQs was prepared by the reaction of neutral TCNQs and three molar amounts of anhydrous lithium iodide or sodium iodide in acetonitrile. The metathesis of the equimolar solution of Li salt of TCNQs and (2) gave 1:1 CT complexes. The $2: 1$ complex was prepared only with the combination of $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$by the use of a twice excess of (2); no other
stoichiometries other than $1: 1$ were realized for the combination of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and other TCNQs, even using a wide range of concentrations of the components in the metathesis. However, the recrystallization of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(11)$ or $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right) 2$ (18) from acetonitrile or methanol yields the $2: 3$ complex, $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(\mathbf{1 2})$, as well-developed single crystals. The chemical formulas of the complexes are summarized in Table 3-1 in addition to the results of the elemental analysis, decomposition points (d.p.), and colour of the obtained CT complexes. The transition peaks of the ultra violet, visible and near-infrared (UV-VIS-NIR) spectra of these CT complexes are tabulated in Table 3-2.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(\underline{8})$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(\underline{9})$ : The equimolar component molecules ( 0.25 mmol of $(\underline{1})$ and 0.25 mmol of TCNQs) were heated under a vacuum condition $\left(200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ / 0.3 Torr) using an H -shaped cell to give (8) and (9).
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(\mathbf{1 0}),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(11),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{BTDA}^{-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}}\right)$ (13), $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(14)$, and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(16)$ : To a saturated solution of 0.5 mmol of $\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-*}\right]$, though $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]$was used for the (10) case, in absolute methanol was added a solution of 0.5 mmol of (2) in 10 ml of methanol; the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and left standing at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The precipitates were collected and washed with cold methanol, then ether.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(\mathbf{1 2}): \quad$ Recrystallization of (11) or $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{1 8})$ from methanol and/or acetonitrile gave single crystals of (12). Then, black blocks were collected and washed with cold methanol. The composition was changed from $1: 1$ or $1: 2$ to $2: 3$ after recrystallization.
$\left(\mathbf{H 3 B I M}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathbf{T C N Q}^{-}\right)(\mathbf{1 5}):$ To a saturated solution of 0.5 mmol of $\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-0}$ ] in methanol was added a solution of 1 mmol of (2) in 20 ml of methanol; the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and left standing at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Green precipitates were collected and washed with cold methanol, then ether.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(17), \quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}(18), \quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$ (BTDA-TCNQ $\left.{ }^{-}\right)_{2}(\underline{19})$, and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(\underline{20})$ :

To a saturated solution of 0.5 mmol of $\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQs} s^{-\bullet}\right]$, though $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]$ was used for the complex formation of (17), in water was added a solution of 0.25 mmol of $(\underline{3})$ in 10 ml of methanol; the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and left standing at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The precipitates were collected and washed with water, then ether.
Stoichiometric Relation of CT Complex Formation Table 3-3 summarizes the tentative chemical formula, mole amount of the initial components for a metathesis reaction (A and B), the mole amount of the obtained CT complexes (C), yield (\%), and the residual compounds recovered by the addition of excess water in mother liquor. Identification of the residual compounds was achieved by infrared (IR) measurements.
Measurements The IR and the UV-VIS-NIR absorption spectra were measured by a method of Chapter 2.
X-Ray Structural Analyses An automatic Rigaku AFC-4 diffractometer with $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.54178 \AA: 40 \mathrm{kV}, 200 \mathrm{~mA}$ ) was used for the data collection of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{PICRATE}^{-}\right]_{2}(\underline{\mathbf{5}})$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right) 2\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(\underline{\mathbf{1 2}})$. Intensity data of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}(\underline{7})$ were collected using $\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71069$ $\AA$ : $40 \mathrm{kV}, 200 \mathrm{~mA}$ ) of an automatic Rigaku AFC-4. A summary of the crystal parameters is given in Table 3-4. Twenty reflections with $40^{\circ}<2 \theta<60^{\circ}$ were used to determine the lattice parameters. The intensity data were collected in the $2 \theta<1250$ region for $(\underline{\mathbf{5}})$ and $(\underline{\mathbf{1 2}})$, and $2 \theta<60^{\circ}$ for (7) in the $2 \theta-\varpi$ mode at a scan rate of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-}$ 1. The background counts were 4 s . The intensities of three standards, monitored every 100 data measurements, showed no significant variation. I used 1386, 1631, and 2562 independent reflections with $\left|F_{\sigma}\right|>3 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}\right)$ for a structural analysis of (프), (12), and (ㅈ) , respectively. The crystal structures were solved by a direct method, ${ }^{4)}$ and all hydrogen atoms, except for (7), were determined from difference synthesis maps. A blockdiagonal least-squares technique with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms and isotropic for hydrogen atoms were employed for structure refinements. 5)

Table 3-2. Absorption Energy ( $\times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) of UV-VIS-NIR Spectra of TCNQ Complexes in KBr pellet.


| 17 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}}\right]_{0.1}\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{0.9}\left[\mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\right]_{1.9}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}$ | Found: C, 49.76; H, 1.78; N, 23.41 | >35 | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} 8.8 \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{11.6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$ | Calcd.: C,49.65; H,1.72; N,23.32 | 0 |  |
|  |  | $\left[\mathrm{H}^{\text {B }} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-x}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}\right]_{1+x}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-x}$ | Found: C,66.10; H,3.19; N, 30.59 | 290 | Green |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{12}$ | Calcd.: C,66.19; H,2.94; N,30.87 |  |  |
| 19 | $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\left(\mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)}\left(\mathrm{BTDA}^{\text {- }} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\right.$ |  | Found: C,46.28; H, 0.73; N, 35.87; S, 16.24 | 300 | Brown |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{20} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | Calcd.: C, 46.39 ; H, 1.03; N, 36.07; S, 16.51 |  |  |
| 20 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)_{3}$ |  | Found: C,64.98; H,4.37; N, 28.49 | 188 | Green |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~N}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | Calcd.: C,64.73; H,4.37; N,28.57 |  |  |

a) Tentative formula is described as an initial component of metathesis reaction. b) Real formula is based on the spectroscopic measurements. c)

Calculation of elemental analysis is based on the tentative formula.

Table 3-3. Stoichiometric Relation of CT Complex Formation.

| Entr y | Composition a) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}(\text { Yield }) \mathrm{b}) \\ & \mathrm{mmol} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Residual Compounds ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$ | 0.206 | 0.210 | 0.200 | (97) | No ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 9 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$ | 0.149 | 0.153 | 0.145 | (97) | No f) |
| 11 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$ | 0.946 | 0.930 | 0.830 | (88) | H2TCNQ ${ }^{0}$, TCNQ $^{*}$, $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ |
| 14 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$ | 0.477 | 0.400 | 0.242 | (51) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$, $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ |
| 16 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$ | 0.664 | 0.632 | 0.345 | (52) | -e) |
| 17 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 0.376 | 0.770 | 0.201 | (54) | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}, \mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ |
| 18 | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}$ | 0.420 | 0.851 | 0.302 | (72) | H2TCNQ ${ }^{0}$, TCNQ** |
| 19 | $\left(\mathrm{H}^{(1)} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{BTDA}^{-T C N Q}\right)_{2}$ | 0.191 | 0.380 | 0.120 | (63) | ? d) |

a) The compositions are tentative chemical formula. b) The compounds; $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C , correspond to those of the following reaction, $\mathrm{A}=[\mathrm{HxBIM}(\mathrm{x}-2)+]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]+\mathrm{B}=\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQs}^{*}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{C}=[\mathrm{HxBIM}(\mathrm{x}-2)+]\left[\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$. The yields $(\%)$ correspond to the obtained complexes: $\left[\mathrm{HxBIM}^{(x-2)+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQs}^{*}\right]$. c) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ is the 1,4 -benzenedimalononitrile. Residual compounds except for lithium iodide and sodium iodide were collected from the mother liquor and were identified by the IR spectra. d) Not identified due to the complicated spectrum. e) Not determined. f) No residual compounds.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right){ }_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$
$\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]$

Table 3-4. Crystal Data of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{PICRATE}^{-}\right] 2$ (5), $[\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right] 2$ (7), and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(\mathbf{1 2})$.

|  | $\underline{5}$ | $\underline{7}$ | $\underline{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{20 \mathrm{I}} 2$ | $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{20}$ |
| Crystal colour | yellow | white | black |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.6 \times 0.03 \times 0.02$ | $0.5 \times 0.02 \times 0.02$ | $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | P 21 | P 21 | $\mathrm{P} \overline{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | $25.522(3)$ | $29.422(8)$ | $10.411(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{b} / \AA$ | $5.459(3)$ | $12.304(3)$ | $13.998(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $8.781(4)$ | $5.071(1)$ | $7.822(7)$ |
| $\alpha / \mathrm{deg}$ |  |  | $79.15(9)$ |
| $\beta / \mathrm{deg}$ | $96.66(7)$ | $94.06(3)$ | $68.62(3)$ |
| $\gamma / \mathrm{deg}$ |  |  | $83.37(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{V} / \AA^{3}$ | $1120.0(8)$ | $1831.1(8)$ | $1041.4(3)$ |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| $\left.\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{gcm}^{-3} \mathrm{a}\right)$ | 1.756 | 1.680 | 1.408 |
| R | 4.02 | 5.28 | 8.38 |

a) $D_{C}$ is the calculated density.
pH and Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) Measurements The measurement procedures of the acid-dissociation constants and redox potentials were described in Chapter 2. The basic $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of $\mathrm{TCNQs}^{\bullet}$ (HTCNQ ${ }^{\bullet} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$process) are not so precise due to the occurrence of a disproportionation reaction $\left(2 \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow\right.$ $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ ) during titration.
Electrical Conductivity Measurements The electrical conductivities were measured on a compressed pellet by a two-probe method, except for (12); a single crystal, which was measured by the standard four-prove one. Electrical contacts were made using gold paste (Tokuriki 8560).

## 3-3 Results and Discussion

## 3-3-1. Structural and Optical Properties of H2BIM System

I have prepared several simple salts of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$, PICRATE-, $\mathrm{TNBP}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$, PICRATE- , and a complex salt of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}$. To characterize the electronic states of the CT complexes and to understand the nature of the intermolecular contacts and the hydrogen bonds in the H2BIM system, I first elucidated the crystal structures and spectroscopic features of the H2BIM compounds with the counter anions of the close shell electronic structure.

## 3-3-1-1 Crystal Structure of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}$ (7)

Three crystallographically independent $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$, two $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$molecules, and two iodide ions ( $\mathrm{I}^{-}$) exist within a unit cell. Figure 3-3b illustrates a unit cell viewed along the c-axis. The crystal consists of a hydrogen bond $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BIM}}{ }^{+}(1) \cdots$ $\operatorname{H2BIM}^{0}(2) \cdots \operatorname{H2BIM}^{0}(1) \cdots \operatorname{H3BIM}^{+}(2)$ tetramer unit, a $\operatorname{H2BIM}^{0}(3)$ molecule, and two kinds of iodide $(I(1)$ and $I(2)$ ), where the numbers in parentheses correspond to those in Fig. 3-3a. Two molecules located at both ends of a tetramer were deduced as being $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$species from the concept of ice-rule based on the hydrogen bond pattern. ${ }^{6)}$ Six $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds within a tetramer unit link four molecules, and each dihedral angle of the $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$molecules at the central $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond decreased according to the following order:

$$
\approx \operatorname{H2}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(1) ; 4.62^{\circ} \approx \operatorname{H2BIM}^{0}(2) ; 4.41^{\circ}
$$

The low planarity of the ${\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}}^{+}(2)$ molecule implies the existence of a molecular strain due to strong hydrogen bonds within the tetramer unit. The dihedral angle of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}(2)$ is larger than that of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}(1)$ which is probably due to the existence of


Fig. 3-3. Crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}$ ( 7 ). a) Hydrogen bond tetramer unit, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(2) \cdots \operatorname{H2BIM}^{0}(1) \cdots \operatorname{H3BIM}^{+}(2)$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(3)$ showing the atom numbering scheme. Dashed lines correspond to the N $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{I}^{-}$hydrogen bonds ( $\AA$ ). b) Unit cell viewed along the c -axis. c) Stacking pattern of tetramer units and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(3)$ viewed along the b-axis. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(3)$ is connected by the hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) along the c -axis. The I - ions are located at the lateral position of the tetramer.
a

an extremely strong hydrogen bond, $\mathrm{N}_{23} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{33}$. The deviations from a tetramer plane, which were calculated from the individual forty atoms ( N and C ) within the tetramer, are less than $0.27 \AA$, and are larger at the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(2)$ molecule ( $\mathrm{N} 42(-0.27 \AA)$, C43 ($0.25 \AA)$, and $\mathrm{N} 44(0.22 \AA)$ ).

On the other hand, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(3)$ molecules are aligned in the perpendicular direction to the tetramer plane; the long axis of the molecule corresponds to the a-axis. Two I- ions are allocated at the lateral position of the tetramer plane, and form $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{I}^{-}$ type hydrogen bonds between $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$.

Every tetramer unit stacks to form a segregated column along the c-axis (Fig. 33c). The average interplanar distance of the tetramer unit ( $3.34 \AA$ ) is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radius of the carbon atom $(3.40 \AA) .7$ ) The tetramer plane inclines by an angle of $45^{\circ}$ toward the long axis of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(3)$. The I- ions are not on the tetramer planes, but between them. Short contacts between terminal H3BIM ${ }^{+}$ molecules of the tetramer and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions exist along the a -axis. Along the c -axis, there are no interatomic contacts of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions within the sum of the ion radius, and each tetramer is piled up together along the stacking direction (c-axis). Since no meaningfully short atomic contacts exist between $\operatorname{H2BIM}^{0}(3)$ and the $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions, the hydrogen bond chain of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(3)$ is isolated from the stack of tetramers. Two kinds of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{N}$ type hydrogen bonds (one is finite within a tetramer unit along the a - c direction and the other is infinite among the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(3)$ molecules along the c -axis) are observed simultaneously.

Table 3-5. Hydrogen bond distances $(\AA)$ of $\left.\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}(\underline{7}) .{ }^{\text {a }}\right)$

| $\left.\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}_{\text {type }} \mathrm{b}\right)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Itype}^{\mathrm{c}}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{11} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{41}=2.969(12)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{31} \cdots \mathrm{I}(1)=3.632(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{12} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{42}=2.822(13)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{32} \cdots \mathrm{I}(1)=3.485(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{21} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{44}=2.841(13)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{13} \cdots \mathrm{I}(2)=3.489(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{22} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{43}=2.841(13)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{14} \cdots \mathrm{I}(2)=3.533(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{23} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{33}=2.585(12)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{24} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{34}=2.864(13)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{51} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{54}{ }^{\prime}=2.816(12)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{52} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{53}{ }^{\prime}=2.888(13)$ |  |

a) Standard deviations are shown in parenthesis. b) Interatomic distance of two nitrogens. c) Interatomic distance between the nitrogen and iodide ion ( $\mathrm{I}^{-}$).

Table 3-5 summarizes all of the hydrogen bond distances observed in this crystal. Within a tetramer unit, an alternating sequence of the lengths of the hydrogen bonds is observed (Fig. 3-3b), that is, short $\left(\mathrm{N}_{12} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{42}=2.822 \AA\right) \cdots$ long $\left(\mathrm{N}_{43} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{22}=\right.$ $2.841 \AA) \cdots$ short $\left(\mathrm{N}_{23} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{33}=2.585 \AA\right)$ and long $\left(\mathrm{N}_{11} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{41}=2.969 \AA\right) \cdots$ short $\left(\mathrm{N}_{44} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{21}=2.841 \AA\right) \cdots$ long $\left(\mathrm{N}_{24} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{34}=2.864 \AA\right)$; also all of the $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distances can be found within $2.97 \AA$, which is shorter by about $0.13 \AA$ compared with the sum of the van der Waals radius of nitrogen $(3.10 \AA) .{ }^{7}$ ) It should be emphasized that an extremely short $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distance among them, $2.585(12) \AA$, is detected at $\mathrm{N}_{23} \cdots \mathrm{~N}_{33}$, which is comparable to the $\mathrm{N} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distance of $2.59 \AA$ observed in $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ (a symmetric single minimum potential of the $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ type of hydrogen bond has been postulated. ${ }^{8)}$ ). Other hydrogen bond distances are indicative of the formation of an unsymmetric potential. For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(3)$, two kinds of hydrogen bond distances along the c-axis, $\mathrm{N}_{51} \cdots \mathrm{~N}^{\prime} 54=2.816(12)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{52} \cdots \mathrm{~N}^{\prime} 53=2.888(13) \AA$, where the prime symbol indicates the atoms generated by the translation along the c-axis, are nearly identical to those of free $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0} 9$ ) In the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \boldsymbol{\cdots} \mathrm{I}^{-}$hydrogen bonds, three $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{I}^{-}$ distances $\left(\mathrm{N}_{32} \cdots \mathrm{I}(1)=3.485, \mathrm{~N}_{13} \cdots \mathrm{I}(2)=3.489\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{N}_{14} \cdots \mathrm{I}(2)=3.533 \AA\right)$ are shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radius of the nitrogen and the ion radius of the $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions $(3.61 \AA){ }^{7}{ }^{7}$ )

The stacks of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions are elongated uniformly along the c -axis ( $5.07 \AA$ interval), which is longer than the sum of the ion radius of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions $\left.(4.12 \AA), 7 \mathrm{~b}\right)$ and the minimum distance between $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions in the ab-plane is obtained as $6.454 \AA$ along the b-axis (Fig. 33b). Thus, there are no effective interatomic contacts of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions in the zig zag arrangement of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions, which gives rise to the isolation of the $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions from each other There are also no effective overlaps between the tetramer and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}(3)^{0}$; the shortest contact between them is $\mathrm{N}_{51} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{45}(3.45 \AA)$, which is longer than the sum of the van der Waals radius $\left(3.25 \AA\right.$ ). ${ }^{7}$ ) Thus, the respective units (tetramer, $\operatorname{H2BIM}(3)^{0}$, and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$) are isolated from each other in the ab-plane, except for the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{I}^{-}$hydrogen bonds.

It should be emphasized that the crystal of $(\underline{7})$ contains two kinds of protonated species, i.e., both $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$coexist in the crystal. I call this states a mixed PT state; a further confirmation was made by IR measurements (Section 3-3-1-3).

## 3-3-1-2 Crystal Structure of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$ BIM $\left.^{2+}\right][\text { PICRATE }]_{2}$ (5)

Here, I examined the crystal structure of the $1: 2$ complex (프), which belongs to a simple salt with no CT interaction (see section 3-3-1-4), in order to evaluate the characteristics of the hydrogen bond and stacking pattern in the solid state.

Within a unit cell, there are two crystallographically independent PICRATE- and one $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ molecules. Figure 3-4a shows a stereoview of the packing pattern for (5) viewed along the c-axis. A fundamental unit of crystal structure consists of a hydrogen bond trimer: $\operatorname{PICRATE}^{-}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$... $\operatorname{PICRATE}^{-}(2)$. The spiral arrangement of the trimer unit elongates along the direction of the a -axis, and there are no effective intermolecular contacts between the trimer units.
a
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Fig. 3-4. Crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\text { PICRATE }^{2}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{5})$. a) Unit cell viewed along the c-axis (stereoview). Spiral configuration is elongated along the a-axis. b) Hydrogen bond trimer unit, PICRATE-(2) $\cdots$ H4BIM $^{2+} \cdots$ PICRATE-(1), showing the atom numbering scheme. Dashed lines indicate the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond. c) Stacking pattern of trimer units viewed along the long axis of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$. Each molecule stacks in the order of PICRATE-(1), $\mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, and PICRATE-(2) along the b -axis.

Table 3-6. Hydrogen bond distances ( $\AA$ ) for PICRATE $-(1) \cdots$ H4BIM $^{2+}$...
PICRATE ${ }^{-}$(2) unit of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\text { PICRATE }^{-}\right]_{2}\left(\mathbf{5}\right.$ ). ${ }^{\text {a) }}$

| $\left.\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\text {(Phenolate) }} \mathrm{b}\right)$ | $\left.\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{(\text {Nitro }} \mathrm{c}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 37 \cdots \mathrm{O}_{21}=2.700(8)$ | $\mathrm{N} 37 \cdots \mathrm{O}_{27}=2.917(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{O}_{11}=2.668(9)$ | $\mathrm{N} 38 \cdots \mathrm{O}_{12}=2.865(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{O}_{11}=2.681(9)$ | $\mathrm{N} 39 \cdots \mathrm{O}_{17}=2.937(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 40 \cdots \mathrm{O}_{21}=2.704(8)$ | $\mathrm{N} 40 \cdots \mathrm{O}_{22}=2.885(8)$ |
| a) Atomic numbering scheme is shown in Fig. 3-4b. b) $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond |  | between H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ and phenolate-oxygen of PICRATE-. c) $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds between H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ and nitro-oxygens of PICRATE-.

Figure $3-4 \mathrm{~b}$ illustrates the trimer unit, showing the atom numbering scheme. Four strong $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}^{-}$hydrogen bonds between $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and the phenolate oxygen atom of PICRATE- link each molecule to form a trimer; four different $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds between $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and the nitro groups of PICRATE- support its trimer structure. Table 3-6 summarizes the $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \boldsymbol{\cdots} \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond. Four $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (phenolate) distances within the trimer plane $(2.668 \sim 2.704 \AA)$ are contracted by about $0.2 \AA$ compared with the sum of the van der Waals radius $(2.90 \AA$ ), 7) and are shorter than the standard hydrogen bond distance of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \boldsymbol{\cdots} \mathrm{O}$ system (2.88 A). 10) Since the maximum deviation from the trimer plane, which is defined by one $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and two PICRATE ${ }^{-}$except for the nitro-oxygens and hydrogens, is within $0.13 \AA$, the trimer unit is nearly coplanar. Two hydrogen bond nitro-oxygens, $\mathrm{O}_{12}$ (+ $0.57 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{O}_{17}(+0.66 \AA)$, exist above the trimer plane; on the contrary, $\mathrm{O}_{22}(-0.67$ $\AA$ ) and $\mathrm{O}_{27}(-0.60 \AA)$ atoms exist below the plane.

Each hydrogen bond trimer unit stacks along the b-axis in the order of PICRATE $^{-}$(1), H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$, and PICRATE ${ }^{-}$(2), as shown in Fig. 3-4c, which is viewed along the long axis of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$. The average intertrimer distance $(3.65 \AA$ ) is longer than the sum of the van der Waals radius of the carbon atom ( $3.40 \AA$ ).7)

## 3-3-1-3 IR and UV-VIS Spectra of H2BIM System in Solid

Figure 3-5 shows the vibration spectra of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$, $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$, and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{2}$ at the frequency range from 4000 to $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Although the


Fig. 3-5. Vibration spectra of each protonated species of i) $\operatorname{H2BIM}^{0}(\underline{1})$, ii) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$(2) , iii) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{BIM}}{ }^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{2}$, and iv) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}$ (7) at the frequency range of $4000 \sim 400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
replacement of the counter anions $\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{I}^{-}\right)$did not change the observable spectra of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ in-plane bending $\left(\nu^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ and the ring-torsion modes $\left(v^{t}\right)$ of H 2 BIM system, a slight change of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching $\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ mode was observed. The structural simplicity of the spectra of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ indicates that the molecular symmetry increases according to the following order

$$
\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~h}\right)>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~h}\right)>\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)
$$

One finds a broad stretching absorption spread out from ca. 3200 to $2200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is characteristic of the hydrogen bond intramolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching bands $\left(v^{5} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ H). ${ }^{11)}$ In addition, multiple structures of $v^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, which greatly resemble that of 1 H imidazole, ${ }^{12 \text { ) are also observed in all of the protonated species. In the case of a very }}$ strong $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bond system, the single minimum potential of the position of the proton, that is, a proton locates at the center of two nitrogens $(\mathrm{N} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N})$, causes a large red shift of the $v^{s} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}$ mode. 13) For the imidazole system, the absorption range of the $v^{s} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}$ mode indicates no existence of a single minimum potential for the proton position, which has also been confirmed by the neutron-diffraction method for 1 H imidazole. ${ }^{14}$ ) In the H2BIM system, other characteristic absorptions, which are assigned to the $v^{b} N-H\left(1700 \sim 1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $v^{t}\left(600 \sim 700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ modes, respectively, are useful guides to identify the protonated state of the H2BIM system.

The vibration spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}(\underline{Z})$ is compared with those of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ in the frequency ranges of 1750-1350 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and 750-420 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ of H2BIM ${ }^{0}$ is observed at $1545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the imidazole ring stretching frequency locates at $1404 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.11) Two nearby bands of H3BIM ${ }^{+}$, observed at 1648 and $1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, should be assigned to $v^{b} N+-H$ and $v^{b} N-H$, while the $\nu^{b} \mathrm{~N}^{+}-\mathrm{H}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ is assigned to the $1586 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ band. In the case of (7), both the $v^{b} N-H$ and $v^{b} N^{+}-H$ of H3BIM ${ }^{+}$, together with the $v^{b} N-H$ ascribable to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$, are found at 1648,1560 , and $1545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively.

A single band observed at $689 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to the $v^{t}$ of H2BIM 0 11) For the case of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, the corresponding band appears at $671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Further, the occurrence of the $468 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ band in $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$is particularly noteworthy. The band observed at $468 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ disappears again in the fully protonated form of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$. In the case of (7), three absorptions ascribable to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$emerge at 689 , 671 , and $468 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ simultaneously. Above mentioned spectral features strongly support the coexistence of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$species in complex (7), which is in excellent agreement with the result of the afore-mentioned X-ray crystal analysis.

The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$, $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]$, and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right] 2$ show a broad absorption in the solid state with the absorption maxima located at $34.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right), 33.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)$, and $32.2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$, respectively. The absorption maxima of these are slightly shifted by protonation, and there is no well-defined change in the electronic absorption spectra in the UV-VIS-NIR region by protonation in a solid.

## 3-3-1-4 IR and UV-VIS-NIR Spectra of PICRATE and TNBP Complexes

I describe here three complexes: $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{PICRATE}^{-}\right)(4),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)$ (Picric $\operatorname{acid}) 2(\underline{5})$, and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TNBP}^{0}\right)(\underline{6})$. The compounds in parenthesis are the initial components of complex formations.

For the complex of (4), three absorptions (Fig. 3-6: 1652, 1567, and 1492 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), which are assumed to the $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ modes of the $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$species, and no absorptions ascribable to those of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$, were observed. These results afford the real state of the H2BIM species of this complex as being H3BIM ${ }^{+}$. Since the absorptions at $1586 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ observed in (5) and (6) are consistent with the $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{N}^{+}{ }_{-}$ H of $\left[\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{2}$, the protonated states of the H2BIM species are assigned to the fully protonated form of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{BIM}}{ }^{2+}$.

The UV-VIS spectrum of ( $\mathbf{5}$ ) has an electronic absorption band at $25.0 \times 10^{3}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, which is consistent with that of $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right][P I C R A T E-]\left(24.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. This indicates that Picric acid, which has an absorption maximum at $29.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, is


Fig. 3-6. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ in plane bending mode ( $\nu^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{H}$ ) at $1750 \sim 1350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of PT salts, i) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{PICRATE}^{-}\right] \quad$ (4), ii) $\quad\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\text { PICRATE }^{-}\right]_{2} \quad$ (5), and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TNBP}^{2-}\right](\underline{6})$.
changed to PICRATE ${ }^{-}$by the intermolecular PT process in solution, 2 Picric acid + $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0} \rightarrow 2$ PICRATE $^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$; also, there is no evidence of a CT interaction. A similar UV-VIS spectral character was also observed for (4) and (5). Thus, the real chemical formulas of (4) and (5) were deduced as to be $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{PICRATE}^{-}\right]$and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{PICRATE}^{-}\right] 2$.

The UV-VIS spectrum of the fully protonated H 2 TNBP 0 shows an absorption at $26.3 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; also, $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TNBP}^{2-}\right]$ has an absorption maximum at $21.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}$ -1 in the solid state. ${ }^{15)}$ The absorption maximum of (6), located at $22.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, with a shoulder near to $19.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was observed. This spectral feature is very similar to the case of the $1: 1$ diamines - H2TNBP complexes, where the diamines are pphenylenediamine, 1,5-naphthalenediamine, 1,6-pyrenediamine, and 3,3'dimethylbenzidine. All of these complexes have an electronic absorption band located in the energy range from $22.5 \times 10^{3}$ to $23.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and is classified into PT type with no CT interaction.15) The absorption maxima of (6), in addition to IR measurements, indicate the real chemical formula as being $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TNBP}^{2-}\right]$.

| Entry | Acceptor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \rho_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}}}^{\mathrm{b})} \\ & \Omega \mathrm{cm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \mathrm{eV} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h} \nu_{\mathrm{CT}^{\mathrm{c}}}^{\mathrm{c})} \\ \mathrm{x} 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{CN}}{ }^{\mathrm{c})} \\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H3BIM ${ }^{+}$Complexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\mathrm{F}_{4}$-TCNQ | $4.2 \times 10^{6}$ | 0.16 | 6.90 | 2212 |
| 11 | TCNQ | $1.7 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.37 | 5.06 | 2213,2219 |
| 12 | TCNQ | $1.2 \times 10^{6}$ | 0.33 | 4.75 | 2213,2219 |
| 13 | BTDA-TCNQ | $3.4 \times 10^{5}$ | 0.30 | 5.23 | 2220 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$-TCNQ | $2.4 \times 10^{9}$ | 0.39 | $\sim 15.5$ | 2219 |
| 15 | $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$-TCNQ | $6.4 \times 10^{9}$ | -d) | $\sim 15.5$ | 2222 |
| 16 | $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}$-TCNQ | $2.9 \times 10^{9}$ | 0.39 | $\sim 18.0$ | 2222 |
| H4BIM $^{2+}$ Complexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $\mathrm{F}_{4}$-TCNQ | $8.0 \times 10^{8}$ | 0.40 | 10.6 | 2201 |
| 18 | TCNQ | $6.2 \times 10^{6}$ | 0.41 | 4.90 | 2213, 2190 |
| 19 | BTDA-TCNQ | $3.3 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.16 | 3.26 | 2185 |
| 20 | $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$-TCNQ | $8.8 \times 10^{7}$ | -d) | $\sim 15.5$ | 2258e), 2222 |

a) The moleculare structures are shown in Fig. 3-2 b) Measured by two-probe method on compressed pellet. c) Measured on KBr disks. d) Not determined. e) This peak is ascribed to the $v_{\mathrm{CN}}$ mode of $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ species.
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Fig. 3-8. Vibration spectra of $\mathrm{F}_{4}$-TCNQ complexes at the frequency range 1700 ~ $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, i) $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$, ii) $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}-{ }^{-}\right]$, iii) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(10)$, and iv) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(17)$.
and partially ionic acceptor molecules in the segregated stacking, respectively.
It is therefore suggested that $\mathrm{F}_{4}$-TCNQ is a completely ionized monovalent state, and that the intermolecular interactions among the F4-TCNQ anion radical are prohibited by a special stacking manner in complex (17).

Figure 3-8 shows the $\mathbb{R}$ spectrum of $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0},\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\right],(\mathbf{1 0})$ and (17) in the frequency range of $1700 \sim 1350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. It has been reported that the blu $\left(v_{19}\right)$ and $b_{2} u\left(v_{23}\right)$ modes display the highest ionization shifts, and are almost insensitive to environmental perturbations. ${ }^{17}$ ) The $\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{u}\left(1548 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{u}(1600$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) modes of $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ shifted to lower frequencies at $1503(\mathrm{blu})$ and $1537 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $(\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{u})$ for $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$, respectively. These two modes of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ complexes with $\mathrm{F}_{4}$-TCNQ indicate the completely ionized state of the F4-TCNQ molecule, in agreement with the result of the UV-VIS-NIR spectra. Curve iii in Fig. 3-8 clearly indicates that $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$is the only species of the biimidazole molecule, confirming the real state of the complex as being $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]$. On the other hand, I can see both absorptions due to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(1648\right.$ and $1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ ( $1586 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in the spectrum of (18). As a result, I must conclude that some of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ molecules changed to $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$owing to the deprotonation process of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{xH}^{2+} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}+(1-\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. This conclusion predicts that one of the following chemical formulas is the real one for this complex:
i) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{1+\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}$,
ii) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{F} 4-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\right]_{1+\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}$,
or
iii) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{X}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\right]_{1+\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}$.

The IR spectrum of the complex in a solid shows no evidence of the existence of either $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right.$ has a strong $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ absorption at 2911 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ accompanying by the disappearance of $v_{C-H}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right)$ mode at $1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ ). Therefore, it is most plausible that the chemical formula of the complex is $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{1+x}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{x}=0.9$, which is assumed

## 3-3-2-2 Neutral CT Complex: (8), (9), (14), (15), (16), and (20)

The CT complexes of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ and $\mathrm{MeO}_{2-}$ TCNQ ((14), (15), and (20)) have high resistivity $\left(8.8 \times 10^{7} \sim 6.4 \times 10^{9} \Omega \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ with high $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values. Figure 3-9 shows the UV-VIS-NIR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]$ and biimidazole complexes. The main transition in the solid state, comprising a segregated column of $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$, has three distinguishable bands, $6.38 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, due to the intermolecular (intracolumn; B-band) one, $16.2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (D-band), and $26.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to the intramolecular transition (F-band) for the case of $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right.$ TCNQ ${ }^{-\bullet}$. The D-band was assigned to the CT from next HOMO of TCNQ-* to the LUMO of TCNQ ${ }^{0}$ in the lowest triplet excited state in the case of $\left(\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{TCNQ})_{3}$, but the explanation in the $1: 1$ completely ionic salt is not present.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(\mathbf{1 4})$ : The IR spectrum of (14) complex is completely represented by a superposition of those of neutral $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$. There are no vibration bands ascribable to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}, \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$. None of electronic absorptions ascribable to $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}$ were observed for (14) in curve iii of Fig. 3-9. Me2-TCNQ ${ }^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ exhibit intramolecular transitions in the regions of $23-25 \times 10^{3}$ (G-band) and $36 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (H-band), respectively. The origin of the additional transition at the lower energy side ( $\sim 15.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ : E-band) observed in this complex is conceivable to the CT interaction between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ for the process of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}{ }^{\bullet}+\mathrm{Me}_{2}-$ TCNQ ${ }^{-}$.18) In order to verify this idea, I tried to determine the CT transition between $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ in solution, and to compare the CT transition energies. However, the low solubility of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ species in an organic solvent prevents such experiments. I thus prepared the $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$ (8) complex by a direct reaction in the gas phase.


Fig. 3-9. UV-VIS-NIR spectra of Me2-TCNQ complexes, i) $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}{ }^{-}\right]$, ii) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$ (8), iii) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(14)$, iv) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(15)$, and $\left.v\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(20)\right.$. The origin of each band is denoted in Table 3-2.

The IR spectra of (주) was completely represented by a superposition of those of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$. There was no change found in the protonated states of the $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ species. The overall feature of the UV-VIS-NIR spectrum of (8) (curve $\mathbf{i i}$ in Fig. 3-9) greatly resembles that of (14). Thus, the E-band ( $\sim 15.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) can be ascribed to the CT transition between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$. Therefore, complex (14) should be designated as a $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \delta\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-} \delta\right]$ with $\delta \sim 0$.

The donor ability of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ is estimated to be as follows. The CT absorption energy of the Me2-TCNQ complex with pyrene is observed at $13.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in chloroform. Usually, the CT energy in a solid shows a red shift by $2 \sim 3 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the crystal field interaction. Therefore, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ is a weaker donor by about $4 \sim 5$ $\times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(0.5 \sim 0.6 \mathrm{eV})$ than pyrene, and is comparable to naphthalene or phenanthrene.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(15)$ : The $2: 1$ complex of (15) shows an IR spectrum similar to that of the $1: 1$ complex (14), except for a decreased intensity of the absorptions assigned to $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$. The UV-VIS-NIR spectrum of the $2: 1$ complex (curve iv in Fig. 3-9) is almost identical to that of the $1: 1$ complex. These results indicate the real chemical formula of this complex to be $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \delta_{2}\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-2 \delta}\right]\right.$ with $\delta \sim 0$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right) 3\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right) 4\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ (20): This complex exhibits somewhat different features. No absorptions ascribable to $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$have been observed in the IR spectrum. Instead, all of the absorptions of H2BIM ${ }^{0}$ have been detected, and the inclusion of water molecules has been identified by the broad bands at around 3450 and $1718 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Several peaks due to neutral $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ were observed, though their intensities are very weak. However, the strong peak at $2913 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the complicated $v_{\mathrm{CN}}\left(2258,2222,2211\right.$, and $\left.2177 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ can only be explained by the coexistence of $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$, which have absorptions at 2913 $(\mathrm{VCH})$ and $2258\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{CN}}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Thus, the IR spectrum of (20) is represented by a superposition of those of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}, \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Since the UV-VIS-NIR spectrum of this $3: 4$ complex (curve $\mathbf{v}$ in Fig. 3-9) is almost identical
to that of a $1: 1$ complex of $\left[\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM} 0^{+} \delta\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\delta}\right](\delta \sim 0)$, the electronic transition at the low-energy side (E-band) is attributed to the CT transition between the H2 $\mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ molecules. Consequently, the complex is formulated as $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{+\delta}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-3 \delta /(4-\mathrm{x})}\right]_{(4-\mathrm{x})}\left[\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}$ with $\delta \sim 0 ; \mathrm{x}(\neq 0$, 1) is unknown.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(\mathbf{1 6})$ : The IR spectrum of (16) is a superposition of those of the neutral $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$, and no absorptions due to $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$have been detected. The complex shows a broad electronic absorption band above $36.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$, and at around $23.2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$. A broad shoulder near to $18 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ should have originated from the CT transition from $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ to $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$. This was confirmed by direct complex formation between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ in the gas phase, to yield a $1: 1$ complex, $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]\left[\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right](9)$, which has a broad shoulder near to $18 \times 10^{3}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, and its IR spectrum is completely represented by a superposition of the neutral $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$. This complex is represented as $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \delta\right]\left[\mathrm{MeO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\delta}\right]$ with $\delta \sim 0$.

For these neutral complexes, since the recombinational PT processes of the H2BIM system, H4BIM ${ }^{2+} \rightarrow$ H2BIM $^{0}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}$, have occurred completely, these complexes are designated as weak CT complexes, where $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ is an electron donor and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ are electron acceptors. The low degree of CT for these $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ and $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}$-TCNQ complexes, and their low conductivities may indicate an alternating stack of H 2 BIM and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ (or $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$ ) molecules in the crystal.

## 3-3-2-3 Mixed CT and PT Complex

3-3-2-3a TCNQ Complex: (11), (12), and (18)
The TCNQ complexes show resistivities of $10^{6} \sim 10^{7} \Omega \mathrm{~cm}$ with the CT absorption below $5.2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which is usually ascribed to a transition among the


Fig. 3-11. Nitrile stretching frequencies ( $v_{\mathrm{CN}}$ ) of TCNQ complexes, i) $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$, ii) $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}{ }^{*}\right]$, iii) $\quad\left[\mathrm{TTF}^{+}+0.59\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}-{ }^{-0.59}\right]$, iv) $\quad\left[\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$, v) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$, vi) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}$, and vii) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{3}$.


Fig. 3-10. UV-VIS-NIR spectra of TCNQ complexes, i) $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}{ }^{\bullet}\right]$, ii) ( $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(\mathbf{1 1})$, iii) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}$ (18), and iv) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\left.3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{3}}\right.$ (12). The origin of each band is denoted in Table 3-2.
segregated column of partially ionized or charge-separated TCNQ molecules. All of the TCNQ complexes have two kinds of vCN modes.

Figure 3-10 displays the UV-VIS-NIR spectra of TCNQ complexes. The completely ionized salt $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]$, which contains dimerized non-uniform segregated TCNQ columns, reveals the B-band (intradimer transition) at $8.19 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the C-band (interdimer + monomer) at $11.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the D-band at $16.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (the CT from next HOMO of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$to the LUMO of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\circ}$ in the lowest triplet excited state), and the F- and F'bands (intramolecular transition) at 25.3 (shoulder) and $\left.27.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .19\right)$ The UV-VIS-NIR spectra of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$(11), $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(\mathbf{1 2})$, and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}$ (18) all bear a general resemblance to each other. The differences from $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}\right]$ represent the appearance of the H -band due to the $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, and/or $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ species at 34 $\sim 35 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the increment of the intensity of the C-band, and especially the appearance of a new A-band below $5.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The appearance of the A-band requires the incomplete CT state of TCNQ molecules in these complexes.19) The distinct C-band may indicate: i) charge separation among the TCNQ molecules in a uniform segregated stacking; ii) a uniform charge, but non-uniform segregated stacking; or iii) a non-uniform charge in a non-uniform segregated stacking. The X-ray crystal analysis given in the Section 3-3-2-4 indicates the formation of non-uniform segregated stacking, which is also suggested by the spectral feature of the $v \mathrm{CN}$ mode.

Figure 3-11 shows the $v_{\mathrm{CN}}$ of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0},\left[\mathrm{~K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right],[\mathrm{TTF}+0.59]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-0.59}\right]$, $\left(\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right),(11),(12)$, and (18). The $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ has a single absorption at $2222 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]$has three distinguishable modes at 2195 (b1u), 2182 (ag), and $2166 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{u})^{20}$ ) The v CN of $\left[\mathrm{TTF}^{+0.59}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-0.59}\right]$ having uniform segregated stacks, shows a strong blu mode at $2203 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, a weak ag $\left(2180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, and $\mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{u}}\left(2164 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ modes. On the contrary, the v CN of $\left[\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$, which has charge-separated TCNQ molecules in non-uniform stacks, ${ }^{21)}$ displays absorption due to $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\left(2223 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\left(2190,2179\right.$, and $\left.2154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in addition to the band at $2213 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The $V_{\mathrm{CN}}$ of three TCNQ complexes of (11), (12),


Fig. 3-12. Vibration spectra of TCNQ complexes in the frequency region $1750 \sim 1250$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. i) $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$, ii) $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ} Q^{-}\right]$, iii) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$(11), iv) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{1 8})$, and v$)\left(\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{1 2})$.
and (18), which have four distinguishable bands at 2213, 2190, 2179, and $2154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, greatly resemble each other and $\left(\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{TCNQ})_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$, except for the disappearance of absorption at $2223 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Three absorptions located at 2190,2179 , and $2154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ suggest the existence of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$species. The strong intensity of the ag mode at 2179 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ indicates dimerized non-uniform stacking.

Figure 3-12 shows the vibration spectra of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0},\left[\mathrm{~K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\right],(11),(\mathbf{1 2})$, and (18) in the frequency range of $1750 \sim 1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ has a blu mode at $1545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and that of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}$ is observed at $1580 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in addition to the appearance of the $\mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ mode at $1504 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .20$ ) Two kinds of $\mathrm{b}_{1 \mathrm{u}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{20}\right)$ modes due to $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{TCNO}^{-\bullet}$ are observed at 1580 and $1545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in my three TCNQ complexes (curves iii, iv, and $\mathbf{v}$ in Fig. 3-12). It is thus concluded that the existence of both $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$leads to a partial CT state having charge-separated TCNQ molecules in CT complexes.

Complex (11) has two additional absorptions at 1648 and $1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the existence of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, and a weak band at $1404 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which has been identified to be the $v^{b} \mathrm{~N}$-H mode of H2BIM 0 (curve iii of Fig. 3-12. see Section 3-3-1-4). It is thus concluded that the mixed PT state is attained, and that the real chemical formula of this can be deduced as $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-x}$.

In the case of (12), the vibration spectrum is almost completely explained by the $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}, \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}$, and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$species. The disappearance of the band at $1404 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates the absence of the $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ species in (12). Thus, the spectral evidence for the complex deduces the real chemical formula as being $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$. Complex (18) exhibits the $v^{s}$ N-H modes assignable to both H3BIM ${ }^{+}$(1648 and 1560 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) and H4BIM ${ }^{2+}\left(1586 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, though the latter band overlaps with the blu mode of TCNQ-*. However, the absorption at $666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ clearly indicates the presence of the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ species. These spectral features deduce the real chemical formula as being $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{x}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-x}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\right]_{1+x}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}$.


Fig. 3-13. UV-VIS-NIR spectra of BTDA-TCNQ complexes. i) $\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right][\mathrm{BTDA}-$ TCNQ--], ii) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{BTDA}^{-T C N Q}\right)(13)$, iii) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{BTDA}^{-} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}(19)$, and iv) (triethylammonium $\left.{ }^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\right.$ BTDA-TCNQ $_{3}$. The origin of each band is denoted in Table 3-2.

It is concluded that these TCNQ complexes have segregated stacking, but lack the requirements for a low-dimensional organic metal, namely a uniform charge distribution with uniform stacking.

## 3-3-2-3b BTDA-TCNQ Complex: (13) and (19)

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{BTDA}^{-T C N Q}\right)_{2}(19)$ was the most conductive one $\left(3.3 \times 10^{4}\right.$ $\Omega \mathrm{cm}$ ) with the lowest $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(0.16 \mathrm{eV})$ and hvCT ( $3.26 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) values among the complexes studied here. The UV-VIS-NIR spectra of the BTDA-TCNQ complexes are compared with those of $\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BTDA}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]$and (triethylammonium $\left.{ }^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{BTDA}^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{BTDA}^{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)^{22}$ ) in Fig. 3-13.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\right.$BTDA-TCNQ $\left.^{-}\right)(\mathbf{1 3})$ exhibits a similar spectrum to that of the Li salt. The first B-band at $5.23 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is ascribed to the CT transition in the segregated column of BTDA-TCNQ ${ }^{*}$, i.e., 2BTDA-TCNQ ${ }^{-} \rightarrow$ BTDA-TCNQ ${ }^{0}+$ BTDATCNQ ${ }^{2-}$, which is also observed in the Li salt at $5.23 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, indicating the small effective on-site Coulomb repulsion in BTDA-TCNQ ${ }^{2-}$. The I-band at $9.66 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ has the same origin to that of $8.35 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of the Li salt, and the assignment of this one is not clear. Since $\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[B T D A-T C N Q^{-\bullet}\right]$ displays absorptions at $15.9,17.4,26.2$, 28.8 , and $32.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in acetonitrile, the C -band originates from the isolated monomer of BTDA-TCNQ ${ }^{-}$. The F and $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ bands are intramolecular ones in BTDATCNQ ${ }^{-}$, and the H-band is assigned to the biimidazole species. The IR spectrum of (13) has a strong resemblance to those of $1: 1$ BTDA-TCNQ salts of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, N-$ methylpyridinium, and ethyltrimethylammonium. Although the absorptions ascribable to those of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ could not be identified in the IR spectrum, the above results together with those of an elemental analysis and conductivity measurements indicate the real chemical formula of this complex to be $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BTDA}^{-T C N Q}{ }^{-\bullet}\right]$ with segregated non-uniform stacking.

The most conductive complex in this study (19) clearly affords evidence of a mixed CT state in the UV-VIS-NIR spectrum, namely, the lowest energy A-band at 3.26 $\times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which originates from the intermolecular CT transition between charge-
separated species (BTDA-TCNQ ${ }^{0}$ and BTDA-TCNQ-* molecules) or partially ionized BTDA-TCNQ molecules (intraband transition). This kind of transition is also observed in (triethylammonium $\left.{ }^{+}\right)_{2}($ BTDA-TCNQ $) 3$ at $3.40 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The other bands correspond to those observed in (13). The vibration spectrum of this complex is rather featureless, as usual for the mixed CT complex, due to an overlap of the electronic transition of the A-band. The total feature is more similar to that of (triethylammonium $\left.{ }^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\right.$ BTDA-TCNQ $^{( }$than $\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BTDA}^{-T C N Q}{ }^{-}\right]$The triethylammonium salt exhibits distinct absorptions assignable to BTDA-TCNQ ${ }^{0}$ at $1203,1165,1026,845,831,585$, and $490 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, almost all of which are identified in (19), though their intensities are very weak. I have examined whether the cation species is $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, or a mixture of them. The vibration bands ascribable to H2BIM ${ }^{0}$ were not present at all, but weak bands characteristic of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ were observed together with those unidentified. Taking into account these spectral features and the resistivity of $3.3 \times 10^{3} \Omega \mathrm{~cm}$, the complex is represented as $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{BTDA}^{-T C N Q}-\delta\right]_{y}\left[\text { BTDA-TCNQ }{ }^{-(1-\delta)}\right]_{2-\mathrm{y}}$ with $\delta \sim 1,0$ $<\mathrm{x}<1$ and $y=\frac{2 \delta-x}{2 \delta-1}$, and segregated non-uniform stacking.

## 3-3-2-4 Crystal Structure of $\left[\mathrm{HBBIM}^{+}\right] 2\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet} / 2\left[\right.\right.$ TCNQ $\left.{ }^{0}\right]$ (12)

Some of the TCNQ complexes with a $2: 3$ composition have already been prepared. Among them, the common crystal characteristics have been observed for $\left(\mathrm{TMA}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right),\left(\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{TCNQ})_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$, and $\left(\mathrm{Mor}^{+}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{TCNQ})_{2}$ (TCNQ ${ }^{0}$ ), where $\mathrm{TMA}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Mor}^{+}$are tetramethylammonium and morpholinium, respectively $21,23,24$ ) All of these reference complexes have two $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$molecules and one $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ molecule in the crystal. Table 3-8 compares the natures of the intermolecular contacts, that is, the mean interplanar distance in the TCNQ columns ( $\mathrm{A}^{-}$. $\cdots A^{\bullet}$ and $A^{\bullet} \cdots A^{0}$, where $A^{\bullet}$ and $A^{0}$ are $T C N Q-\bullet$ and $T C N Q Q^{0}$, respectively), interatomic contacts ( $\mathrm{A}^{-} \cdots \mathrm{D}^{+}$, where $\mathrm{D}^{+}$is the counter cation), and selected intramolecular distances of the $2: 3 \mathrm{TCNQ}$ complexes with those of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right) 2\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(12)$.


Table 3-8. Mean Interplanar Distances $(\AA)$, Intermolecular Contacts $(\AA)$, and Selected Intramolecular Distances $(\AA)$ of Some TCNQ Complexes with the Composition of $2: 3$.

| Real composition a) | $A^{\bullet} \cdot \cdots A^{-}$b) | $\left.A^{*} \cdot \cdots A^{0} \mathrm{c}\right)$ | $A^{-} \cdot \cdots \cdot D^{+d)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{e})} \\ \left.\mathrm{A}^{-\bullet}: \mathrm{A}^{0} \mathrm{c}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left.c^{e}\right) \\ \left.A^{*}: A^{0} c\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{e})} \\ \left.\mathrm{A}^{\bullet}: \mathrm{A}^{0 \mathrm{c}}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{TMA}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$ | 3.26 | No contact ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}^{+} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}=3.031$ | $1.419: 1.447$ | 1.421: 1.338 | $1.423: 1.433$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$ | 3.26 | 3.22 | $\mathrm{Cs}^{+} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}=3.07$ | $1.427: 1.444$ | $1.410: 1.371$ | $1.419: 1.428$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mor}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$ | 3.24 | 3.25 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}=2.945$ | $1.419: 1.432$ | $1.416: 1.391$ | $1.414: 1.426$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{H}_{\left.3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]}\right.$ | 3.28 | 3.27 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}^{+} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}=2.903$ | $1.428: 1.449$ | $1.407: 1.360$ | $1.432: 1.449$ |

a) $\mathrm{TMA}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Mor}^{+}$are tetramethylammonium and morpholinium, respectively. b) Interplanar distance between two TCNQ** ( $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ ). Overlap mode is the ring - ring type. c) Interplanar distance between $T_{C N Q}{ }^{-}\left(A^{*}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TCNQ}^{0}\left(A^{0}\right)$. Overlap mode is ring - external bond type. d) Intermolecular contacts between TCNQ ${ }^{-}\left(A^{*}\right)$ and counter cation $\left(D^{+}\right)$. e) Bond lengths $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$, and $\mathbf{d}$ are shown in Fig. 314 b , where $\mathrm{TCNQ}(1)$ and $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)$ correspond to the $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}$, respectively. f) $\left[\mathrm{TMA}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$ has no effective intermolecular contacts within the sum of the van der Waals redius.
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Fig. 3-14. Crystal structure of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\left.3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{3} \text { (12). a) Unit cell of }}^{\text {(12) }}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{3}$ viewed along the c-axis (stereoview). b) Hydrogen bond $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$dimer, $\mathrm{TCNQ}(1)$, and $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)$ showing the atom numbering scheme. Dashed lines indicate $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bond $(2.795 \AA)$.

Figure 3-14a shows a stereoview of the crystal packing of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$ viewed along the c -axis. Fig. 3-14b illustrates the crystallographically independent $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$, which is drawn as a dimer, and two kinds of TCNQ molecules (TCNQ(1) and TCNQ(2)) with an atomic-numbering scheme. The TCNQ(1) lies on a center of inversion. The TCNQ molecules stack in a segregated pattern parallel to the a-axis. The $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$molecules are connected by two intermolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds, so as to form a nearly planar dimer. The $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$dimer is located essentially perpendicular to the TCNQ column with the molecular long axis along the TCNQ column. The $\mathrm{N} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{N}$ bond length of $2.795(7) \AA$ in the $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$dimer is contracted by $0.305 \AA$ A compared with the sum of the van der Waals radius of nitrogen $\left(3.10 \AA \AA^{7} .{ }^{7}\right)$ This distance can be comparable to the $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distance of $2.75(1) \AA$ observed in [pyridine] [pyridinium $\left.{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$, which has unsymmetric $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds. ${ }^{25}$ ) In the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{N}$ system reported here, the hydrogen atoms are unsymmetrically located in the hydrogen bonds within the accuracy of structural analysis. Therefore, two $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$molecules are related by short and unsymmetric hydrogen bonds at ambient pressure in the crystal.

The mean bond lengths (b, c, and $\mathbf{d}$ as shown in Fig. 3-14b) were used to estimate the charged state of the TCNQ molecules, ${ }^{26}$ ) where I assume an inversion center at the center of the $\mathrm{TCNQ}(2)$ molecule; some deviations were detected in three reference complexes. The $\mathbf{b}$ value of $1.449 \AA$ of $\mathrm{TCNQ}(1)$ is similar to those of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ $(1.432 \AA)$ in the TMA and Cs salts, and that of $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)(1.428 \AA)$ is similar to that of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}$ in the Cs salt $(1.427 \AA)$. The bond length $\mathbf{c}$ in the TCNQ molecule is known to elongate by the addition of an electron. The changes in the $\mathbf{c}$ values of $\operatorname{TCNQ}(1)$ and TCNQ(2) in complex (12) have quantitatively the same tendency as those of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$in the Cs salt, respectively. Although bond length $\mathbf{d}$ increases to some extent, the overall feature is similar to those of the reference complexes. It is thus said that $\mathrm{TCNQ}(1)$ is nearly neutral and $\mathrm{TCNQ}(2)$ is completely charged:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{TCNQ}(1) & =\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} \\
\mathrm{TCNQ}(2) & =\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, the real chemical formula is deduced to be $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]$, which is consistent with that one derived from the spectroscopic results.

In the case of (12), the order of stacking of the TCNQ molecules within a column is represented as $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)^{-\bullet} \sim \operatorname{TCNQ}(1)^{0} \sim \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}(2)^{-\bullet}$ in Fig. 3-15a. This indicates that the TCNQ column consists of a timer unit, and two types of overlap modes are observed: the ring - external bond for $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)^{-\bullet} \sim \operatorname{TCNQ}(1)^{0}$ and the ring - ring for $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)^{-\bullet} \sim \operatorname{TCNQ}(2)^{-\bullet} . \quad$ The mean intratrimer separation $\left(T C N Q(2)^{\bullet \bullet} \sim \operatorname{TCNQ}(1)^{0}\right.$ $=3.27 \AA$ ) is comparable to the intertrimer separation $\left(\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)^{-\bullet} \sim \operatorname{TCNQ}(2)^{\bullet \bullet}=3.28\right.$ $\AA$ A). The effective overlap mode exists between two $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)^{\bullet}$ molecules, and TCNQ(2) ${ }^{-\bullet}$ is connected to $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$by a $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \bullet \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ hydrogen bond. For all of $2: 3$ complexes in Table 3-8, the overlap modes of the TCNQ molecule within the column are the ring-over-ring ( $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-} \sim \mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}$ ) and ring-over-bond ( $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} \sim \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$) types. ${ }^{24 b}$ )

All of the complexes listed in Table 3-8 have short atomic contacts, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ hydrogen bonds or a $\mathrm{Cs}^{+} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ contact, between the monovalent $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}$ and the cations. Figure 3-15a shows the cations and TCNQ columns of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)$ viewed along the molecular long axis of TCNQ. Each $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)^{-}$is connected by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ hydrogen bonds, where the $\mathrm{N} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distance $(2.903(7) \AA$ ) is shorter than those of $\left(\mathrm{TMA}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(3.031 \AA)$ and $\left(\mathrm{Mor}^{+}\right)_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(2.945 \AA)$. This result indicates the formation of a strong hydrogen bond in (12). The local structure of (12) comprises the hydrogen bond unit, $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2)^{-\bullet}$ $\cdots \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{HIM}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{TCNQ}^{(2)^{\bullet \bullet}}$, and $\mathrm{TCNQ}_{(2)^{\bullet \bullet}}$ makes dimeric pairs by an effective overlap within the TCNQ column.

Figure 3-15b illustrates the overall crystal packing viewed along the perpendicular direction of the TCNQ plane. The TCNQ stacks are represented by $\mathrm{TCNQ}(2)^{-\bullet}$ ~ $\mathrm{TCNQ}(2)^{-}$pairs, and the hydrogen bond networks are indicated by the dotted lines. Along the c-axis, there are no intermolecular side-by-side contacts of the TCNQ molecules, which are shorter than the sum of the van der Waals contacts. The H3BIM ${ }^{+}$ dimer is located at a parallel position to the TCNQ column. The mean interplanar

b


Fig. 3-15. Intermolecular contacts of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{1 2})$. a) $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ and N $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ hydrogen bond structures viewed along the molecular long axis of TCNQ. The TCNQ column is composed of trimer unit (TCNQ(2) ~ TCNQ(1) ~TCNQ(2)) and the mean interplaner distances of $\operatorname{TCNQ}(1) \sim \operatorname{TCNQ}(2)$ and $\operatorname{TCNQ}(2) \sim \operatorname{TCNQ}(2)$ are 3.27 and $3.28 \AA$, respectively. Dashed lines show the hydrogen bonds. b) Overall crystal packing viewed along the perpendicular direction of the TCNQ plane. Dashed lines indicate the hydrogen bonds. The crystal is constructed by two-dimensional TCNQ layer $(12 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$dimer layer $(5 \AA$ ).
distance between the $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$molecules, which overlaps at the five-membered ring moiety of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}{ }^{+}$, was obtained as $3.17 \AA$; the thickness of cation layers was thus estimated to be about $5.0 \AA$. Along the b-axis, the TCNQ (about $12 \AA$ ) and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$ layers (about $5 \AA$ ) stack in an alternating fashion and form a two-dimensional layered structure. The hydrogen bond unit relays in the crystal by the CT interactions (Scheme 3-1), and the neutral $\operatorname{TCNQ}(1)^{0}$ incorporates into these hydrogen bond and CT networks.


Scheme 3-1

## 3-3-3 Mechanism of Complex Formation Regarding with PT and CT

In the metathesis reaction, the complex formation accompanies with a charge redistribution and recombination among the initial components. Thus, both of the protonated state of the H2BIM system and the valence state of the TCNQs are expected to change from the initial condition to the real one. The types of obtained complexes vary according to the kinds of TCNQ derivatives; for example, F4-TCNQ and Me2TCNQ gave completely ionic and neutral complexes, respectively, in the metathesis reaction. For the neutral complexes, the protonated states of the H2BIM system changed from $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$or $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ to the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ species.

Here, I defined the complete PT reaction, such as $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. For most partial CT complexes, the protonated states of H2BIM system contain two kinds of species, that is, $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{x}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{x}$
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-x}$, which is called mixed PT.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Complete PT; } & \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\text { or }{\left.\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\text {or } \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)+\mathrm{H}^{+}}^{\text {Mixed PT; }}\right. \\
& {\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[{\left.\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{xH}}^{+}\right.} \\
& \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \rightarrow\left[{\left.\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{xH}}^{+}\right. \\
\text {No PT; } & {\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\text { or } \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right) \rightarrow} \rightarrow{\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\text {or } \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)+\mathrm{H}^{+}}^{\text {M }}
\end{array}
$$

In order to gain a deeper insight into the mechanism of the protonation and deprotonations on the complex formation of the H2BIM system, I examined the CT and PT natures of the TCNQs and H2TCNQs molecules.

Nine independent species can also be speculated for the H2TCNQs - TCNQs system (see Section 1-2). Table 3-9 summarizes the oxidation and reduction peak potentials of $\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-\bullet}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{p} 1}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p} 2}\right)$ together with $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$, and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$, as shown in Fig. 1-5. At the same time, the differences in the reduction potentials of TCNQs ${ }^{-}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$or $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p} 1}\right.$ or $\left.\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p} 2}\right)$ are summarized, where $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p} 1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{Ep}_{2}$ are defined as $\mathrm{E}^{0} \mathrm{p} 1\left(\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-*}\right)-\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{pl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)$and $\mathrm{E}^{0} \mathrm{pl}$ (TCNQs$\left.{ }^{-}\right)$$\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{pl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$, respectively. Also, the differences in the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for TCNQ-• and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$or $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{1}\right.$ and $\left.\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}\right)$ are listed in the table, where $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{2}$ correspond to $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$ $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right)$, respectively. The oxidation peak potentials of $\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-\bullet}$ decrease in the following order:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}(0.66 \mathrm{~V})>\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}(0.31 \mathrm{~V})>\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}(0.24 \mathrm{~V})> \\
\text { BTDA-TCNQ }
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the electron-donating abilities of $\mathrm{TCNQs}{ }^{*}$ increase in the above order, and the electron-accepting ones are the inverse order from the $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p} 2$ values. In addition to the previously reported $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQs}, 1$ obtained the acidity of TCNQs** $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}\right)$ in the HTCNQs ${ }^{\bullet} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{TCNQs}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$process. However, the following

| Compounds | $\mathrm{E}^{0} \mathrm{pl}$ | $\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p} 2}$ | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 1$ | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ | $\mathrm{pK}_{\text {a }}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}^{1}{ }^{\text {c }}$ c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\text {d }}$ ) | $\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{1}{ }^{\text {e }}$ ) | $\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a} 2}{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{4}$-TCNQ** | 0.66 | 0.02 | 4.01 | 4.20 | $\sim 0$ | 1.50 | 1.20 | $\sim 4.6$ | $\sim-0.2$ |
| TCNQ** | 0.31 | -0.36 | 7.10 | 10.30 | $\sim 2.0$ | 1.15 | 0.85 | ~ 2.6 | $\sim-2.2$ |
| Me ${ }_{2}$-TCNQ** | 0.24 | -0.38 | 7.60 | 11.30 | $\sim 5.0$ | 1.08 | 0.78 | $\sim-0.4$ | -5.2 |
| BTDA-TCNQ** | 0.13 | -0.51 | - | - | $\sim 1.0$ | 0.97 | 0.67 | $\sim 3.6$ | $\sim-1.2$ |
| a) Measured in DMF, $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}, \mathrm{TBA} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$, scan rate $100 \mathrm{mVss}^{-1}$, and Pt electrode. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p} 1}$ and $\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p} 2}$ correspond to the processes; TCNQs ${ }^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$and TCNQ ${ }^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{TCNQ}^{2-}$, respectively. b) Measured in DMF - $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 3)$. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ indicate the following acid dissociation processes, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQs}^{0} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{TCNQ}^{2-}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}\right) . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ is the basic acidity of TCNQs** species, TCNQs ${ }^{*}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{HTCNQ}{ }^{*}$. c) $\triangle \mathrm{E}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{E}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{pl}}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ} s^{*}\right)-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p} 1}$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)$. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pl}}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)$is -0.84 V . d) $\Delta \mathrm{E}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pl}}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{TCNQs}^{*}\right)-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pl}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pl}}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)$ is -0.54 V . e) $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ $=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}\left(\right.$ TCNQs $\left.^{*}\right)$. f) $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}\left(\mathrm{TCNQs}^{*}\right)$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

disproportionation reaction (equation 3-1) caused some ambiguity in the determination of the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ values:

$$
\mathrm{TCNQs}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \stackrel{\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}}{\rightleftarrows} \mathrm{HTCNQ}^{\bullet} \rightarrow 0.5 \mathrm{TCNQs}^{0}+0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQs}^{0} .
$$

The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ values of $\mathrm{TCNQs}^{*}$ increase in the order $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$, $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$, and an unexpected high $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}$ was observed for BTDA-TCNQ-*. The obtained order of the acidity $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3\right)$ was as follows:
$\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3 \sim 5\right)>\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}(\sim 2)>\mathrm{BTDA}^{-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}}(\sim 1)>\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}(\sim 0)$.

The anomalously high acidity of BTDA-TCNQ-* influences CT complex formation in the metathesis reaction.

I now examine the individual complex formation processes. The initial species in the metathesis complex formation are $\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$or $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$. Consequently, the first step reaction of complex formation is the electron-transfer (CT), proton-transfer (PT), or hydrogen-transfer (HT) processes between the initial species:
$\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)+\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{\bullet}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{+\bullet}\right)+\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} \cdots C T$ $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)+\mathrm{TCNQs}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{\bullet} \ldots$... $P T$ $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)+\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{+\bullet}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+\bullet}\right)+\mathrm{HTCNQ}^{-\cdots} \mathrm{HT}^{-\cdots}$

At first, I consider the possibilities of CT from an electron donor, TCNQs ${ }^{-}$, to an electron acceptor, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$or $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$. I can predict the CT state of the obtained complexes using the difference in the oxidation potentials of the donor and the reduction potentials of the acceptor $\left.\left(\Delta E_{p}\right) .{ }^{1 b}\right)$ The equation proposed by Saito and Ferraris,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ionic } \leq \text { Mixed valence } \leq \text { Neutral } \\
& \left.-0.02 \leq \Delta \mathrm{E}^{1} \text { or } 2 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { (Donor }\right)-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}(\text { Acceptor }) \leq 0.34,
\end{aligned}
$$

is examined to predict the CT state for the TCNQ system. I can obtain the following $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}$ values for the combination of $\mathrm{TCNQs}^{\bullet}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}-\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ (see Table 3-9):

$$
0.97 \leq \Delta \mathrm{E}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{E}^{0} \mathrm{pl}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}\right)-\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{pl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right) \leq 1.50
$$

and

$$
0.67 \leq \Delta \mathrm{E}^{2} \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{pl}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}\right)-\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{pl}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right) \leq 1.20
$$

For the $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ complexes, the $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}$ values greatly shifted to the positive side, which indicates that the CT from $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$to $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$or $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ is very unrealistic, due both to the low electron-accepting abilities of the $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ species, and the loss of the Madelung energy in the CT process.

I next consider the possibility of the HT processes. Since the energetically unstable species $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ * was formed by the HT reaction of the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ species, the possibility of the HT process is very low. As a result, the first-step reaction of the complex formation is highly expected to be the PT process from $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$or $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{TCNQs}{ }^{-}$. Although the equilibrium of $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{I}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{HI}$ also exists during the metathesis reaction, I neglect this process in order to simplify the mechanism of complex formations.

It was expected that the differences in the acidity $\left.(\Delta \mathrm{pK})_{a}\right)$ between $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ (or ${\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}}^{+}$) and TCNQs ${ }^{\bullet}$ determine whether the PT reaction occurs or not. In the case of a negative $\Delta \mathrm{pK}$ a value, the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ (or ${\left.\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)}$) $\rightarrow$ H3BIM ${ }^{+}$(or $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ ) process occurs, while there is no contribution from the PT process in the case of a positive $\Delta \mathrm{pK}$ a value. In the region of $\Delta \mathrm{pK} a \approx 0$, the mixed PT states are attained. In the following, the mechanism of CT complex formation is discussed in detail. Table 310 summarizes the PT and CT states, type of obtained complexes, $\Delta \mathrm{pK}$ a between

Table 3-10. Entry Number, Type of Complexes, $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ between $\mathrm{HxBIM}(x=2 \sim 4)$ and TCNQs, and the Degree of PT (\%) for the Obtained TCNQ Complexes

| $\frac{\text { Entry }{ }^{\text {a) }}}{\text { H2BIM }^{0} \text { Complex }}$ | Type ${ }^{\text {b) }}$ |  | $\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}^{\text {c }}$ ) | $\frac{\% \text { of PT species }{ }^{\text {d) }}}{\% \text { of } H B I M^{-}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $P T$ | $C T$ |  |  |
| 8 | No | No | 7.3 | 0 |
| 9 | No | No | $\sim 7$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H3BIM}^{+}$Complex |  |  |  | \% of H2BIM ${ }^{0}$ |
| 10 | No | Complete | $\sim 4.6$ | 0 |
| 11 | Mixed | Mixed | 2.6 | 11 |
| 12 | No | Mixed | 2.6 | 0 |
| 13 | No | Complete | 3.6 | 0 |
| 14 | Complete | No | -0.4 | 100 |
| 15 | Complete | No | -0.4 | 100 |
| 16 | Complete | No | $\sim-0.4$ | 100 |
| H4BIM ${ }^{2+}$ Complex |  |  |  | \% of H3BIM ${ }^{+}$ |
| 17 | Mixed | Complete | $\sim 0$ | 10 |
| 18 | Mixed | Mixed | -2.2 | 40 |
| 19 | Mixed | Mixed | -1.2 | - |
| $\underline{20}$ | Complete | No | -5.2 | 100 |

a) Entry number and real chemical formula are corresponding to those in Table 3-1. b) respectively. c) $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right.$, or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{TCNQs}^{*}\right)$. The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)$is the acid dissociation process as shown in Fig. 1-5. d) The contents of PT species in the complexes, $\%$ of HBIM $^{-}=\left[\mathrm{HBIM}^{-}\right] /\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]+\left[\mathrm{HBIM}^{-}\right]\right\}, \%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right] /\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\right\}$, and $\%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}=$ $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right] /\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\right\}$.
$\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ (or $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$) and TCNQs ${ }^{-*}$, and the degree of PT species (\%) based on the discussion given in the Sections 3-3-3-1 ~ 3-3-3-3.

## 3-3-3-1 Completely Ionic Complex: (10), (13), and (17)

The complexes of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right](\mathbf{1 0})$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]$
[BTDA-TCNQ**] (13) belong to the completely ionic CT with a non-mixed PT complex; $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{0} .1\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{0.9}\left[\mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{1.9}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}(17)$ is classified as a completely ionic CT with a mixed PT complex. The acidity of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=4.60\right)$ is fairly lower than the acidity $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3\right)$ of $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}<0\right)$ and BTDA-TCNQ-•$\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3\right.$ ~ 1). This means that the PT from $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$to $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}$ and BTDA-TCNQ-*,
$\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet} \longrightarrow \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+\mathrm{HF}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}{ }^{\bullet}$
and
$\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+$BTDA-TCNQ $^{-} \rightarrow \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+\mathrm{HBTDA}^{-T C N Q}{ }^{*}$,
are negligible for these two acceptors. Consequently, the complexes of completely ionic CT with a non-mixed PT were formed directly by the cation exchange reactions from $\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]$ to $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BIM}}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-\bullet}\right]$ lacking an intermediate PT state, i.e. $\mathrm{HF}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}$ and HBTDA-TCNQ ${ }^{\bullet}$ species.

For $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{0} .1\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right] 0.9\left[\mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{1.9}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}(17)$, the results of elemental and spectroscopic analyses indicate the following PT-assisted reaction scheme, $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{D}^{2+}+\mathrm{A}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{D}^{+}+\mathrm{HA}^{*}:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{D}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{~A}^{-} & \rightarrow 0.9 \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{D}^{2+}+0.1 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{D}^{+}+1.9 \mathrm{~A}^{\bullet}+0.1 \mathrm{HA}^{\bullet} \\
& \rightarrow\left[{\left.\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{D}^{2+}\right] 0.9\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{D}^{+}\right] 0.1\left[\mathrm{~A}^{-}\right] 1.9\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 2 \downarrow+0.1 \mathrm{HA}^{\bullet},(3-2)}^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where D and A represent BIM and $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}$, respectively. The low stability of the monoprotonated neutral radical state, HTCNQs ${ }^{\bullet}$, easily gives rise to a disproportionation
reaction as shown in equation 3-1. I confirmed the formation of $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$, which has a strong absorption of vCH at $2911 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, by an analysis of the residual compounds (Table 3-3).

The occurrence of the PT process of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}+\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$ $+\mathrm{HF}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}$ is explained by the acidity of the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ species, which is higher than that of $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$. From the titration data, the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$was expected to be below 0. If I assume that $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3$ of $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}$ is 0 , the $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value between $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}$ is a large positive value $(+4.60)$, which is consistent with no contribution of the PT process from $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$to $\mathrm{F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$. In the case of the $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ complex, the formation of mixed PT salts with $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{0.1}\left[\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{0.9}\left[\mathrm{~F}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{1.9}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}$ is explained by a slightly negative $\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(-0.2)$ value.

## 3-3-3-2 Mixed CT and/or PT Complex: (11), (12), (18), and (19)

The complexes of i) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}$ (11), ii) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]_{1+\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}} \quad$ (18), and iii) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{BTDA}^{\left.-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\delta}\right]_{y}\left[\mathrm{BTDA}^{-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}(1-\delta)_{2-y}}\right]_{2} \text { (19) belong }}\right.$ to this class, while iv) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right](\underline{\mathbf{1 2}})$ is classified as a mixed CT with a non-mixed PT type. The acidity of $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3} \sim 2.0\right)$ locates at the intermediate point between $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}=4.60\right)$ and ${\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}=-0.24\right) \text {, }}^{2}$ which makes the occurrence of the PT possible.
i) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}(11)}$ : The following scheme can be derived for the $1: 1$ complex formation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{D}^{+}+\mathrm{A}^{\bullet} & \rightarrow \alpha \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D}^{+}+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{0}+\alpha \mathrm{A}^{\bullet}+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{HA}^{\bullet} \\
(1-\alpha) \mathrm{HA} & \rightarrow 0.5(1-\alpha) \mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{A}^{0}+0.5(1-\alpha) \mathrm{A}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D}^{+}+\mathrm{A}^{\bullet} \rightarrow & {\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{D}^{+}\right]_{\alpha}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{0}\right] 0.5(1-\alpha)\left[\mathrm{A}^{\bullet}\right] \alpha\left[\mathrm{A}^{0}\right] 0.5(1-\alpha) \downarrow } \\
& +0.5(1-\alpha) \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}^{0}+0.5(1-\alpha) \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D}^{0} \tag{3-3}
\end{align*}
$$

where A and D represent TCNQ and BIM , respectively. The ratio for $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and H2 BIM $^{0}(\alpha /(1-\alpha))$ of the first step in solution is changed according to the pH of the reaction solvents. There exists equimolar amounts of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}$ species in a solvent of $\mathrm{pH}=4.60$. In solution, the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}{ }^{0}$ species coexist in the pH range from about 1 to about 8 ; it is thus possible to control the degree of the PT reaction from $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}$to $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$, depending on the pH of the solution of the metathesis reaction. The stoichiometric relationship of the reaction products indicates the recovery of 0.88 equivalents of the CT complex (Table 3-3) in the experiment, which assumes $\alpha=0.76$ from the equation of $\alpha+(1-\alpha) / 2=0.88$. Consequently, I can represent the real chemical formula of this complex as $\left[\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}{ }^{+}\right] 0.86\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right] 0.14$ [TCNQ $\left.{ }^{-}\right] 0.86\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right] 0.14$, and the degree of CT is estimated to be 0.86 based on this formula. The residual products, except for $\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$, indicate a strong absorption at 2980 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, which is ascribed to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CN}}$ of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ species in addition to $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}{ }^{0}$. The formation of $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}$ supports the validity of both the above-mentioned reaction scheme and the partial PT state of the H2BIM system as well as the mixed CT state of TCNQ
ii) $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]_{1+\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}(\mathbf{1 8})$ : If there exists a completely recombinational PT process from $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}$, and no contribution rom the PT process of $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}{ }^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$, the stoichiometry and charge balance can be fulfilled by the following equation 3-4 of this complex:

```
H4D 2+ + 2A** H3DD+}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{}{+}+0.5\textrm{H}2\textrm{A}+0.5\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{}{0
0.5H3D+}+0.5\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{}{\bullet}->0.5\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{2}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{D}}{}{0}+0.5\mp@subsup{\textrm{HA}}{}{\bullet
    ->0.5H2\mp@subsup{D}{}{0}+0.25H2\mp@subsup{A}{}{0}+0.25\mp@subsup{A}{}{0}
```

```
\(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{D}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{~A}^{-} \rightarrow 0.5 \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D}^{+}+0.5 \mathrm{~A}^{\bullet}+0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{0}+0.5 \mathrm{~A}^{0}+\)
```

$\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{D}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{~A}^{-} \rightarrow 0.5 \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D}^{+}+0.5 \mathrm{~A}^{\bullet}+0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{0}+0.5 \mathrm{~A}^{0}+$
$0.5 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D}^{0}+0.25 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}^{0}+0.25 \mathrm{~A}^{0}$
$0.5 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D}^{0}+0.25 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}^{0}+0.25 \mathrm{~A}^{0}$
[H3DD}\mp@subsup{}{}{+}]0.5[\mp@subsup{A}{}{\bullet\bullet}]0.5[\mp@subsup{A}{}{0}]0.5\downarrow+0.25\mp@subsup{A}{}{0}+0.5H2\mp@subsup{D}{}{0}+0.75H2\mp@subsup{A}{}{0

```

The residual products after complex formation showed strong absorption at \(2980 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) due to \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\), in addition to a weak band ascribable to \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\). However, there is no evidence for the formation of \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\) in the residual compounds. Furthermore, since the experimental yield ( 0.72 equivalents) of the complex exceeded the expected maximum yield ( 0.50 equivalents), equation \(3-4\) is not adequate. As a result, I must consider the partial PT mechanism according to the following equation 3-5:
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{D}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{~A}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{xH} 4 \mathrm{D}^{2+} & +(1-\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D}^{+}+(1+\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{A}^{\bullet} \\
& +0.5(1-\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}^{0}+0.5(1-\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{A}^{0} . \tag{3-5}
\end{align*}
\]

From the \(72 \%\) recovery of the obtained complex, the real chemical formula against the initial composition \(\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]+2\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]\right)\)is represented by \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right] 0.72-\mathrm{x}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right] \mathrm{x}+0.72\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right] 0.72-\mathrm{x}\) to fulfil both the stoichiometry and charge balance. The ratio of \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\) and \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\) was determined from a spectrometrical calibration curve using the synthetic mixture of \(\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}\right]\) and \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\).27) The mole ratio of \(\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]\) and \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\) changes the ratio of the absorption intensities at \(842 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)\)and \(395 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)\) in acetonitrile. In the case of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right) 2\), this intensity ratio was found to be 0.82 in acetonitrile, which leads to a mole ratio of \(4: 1\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right.\): \(\left.\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)\). Thus, the value of x in
equation \(3-5\) was determined to be \(0.43(x+0.72: 0.72-x=4: 1)\), and equation \(3-5\) is represented as follows:
```

$\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{D}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{~A}^{-\bullet} \rightarrow 0.43 \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{D}^{2+}+0.57 \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D}^{+}+1.43 \mathrm{~A}^{-}$
$+0.29 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{0}+0.29 \mathrm{~A}^{0}$.

```
\(\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{D}^{2+}\right]_{0.43}\left[\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D}^{+}\right]_{0.29}\left[\mathrm{~A}^{-\bullet}\right]_{1.15}\left[\mathrm{~A}^{0}\right]_{0.29}\)
\(+0.28 \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D}^{+}+0.28 \mathrm{~A}^{\bullet}+0.29 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{0}\)

Based on the above real chemical formula, the degree of CT is 0.78 .
iii) \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{BTDA}^{-T C N Q}-\delta\right]_{\mathrm{y}}\left[\mathrm{BTDA}^{-T C N Q}-(1-\delta)\right]_{2-\mathrm{y}}\)
(19): In the case of BTDA-TCNQ, since 0.64 equivalents of the complex were recovered directly, the real chemical formula of this complex is deduced to be:
\(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{X}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{0} .64-\mathrm{x}\left[\mathrm{BTDA}^{-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}}\right]_{\mathrm{X}+0.64}\left[\mathrm{BTDA}^{-T C N Q}{ }^{0}\right]_{0.64-\mathrm{x}}\) and \(0.64>x>0\). The residual products are very complicated, and the precise value of \(x\) is unknown.
iv) \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]\) (12): Recrystallization of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})_{2}\) causes the subtraction of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) and a part of \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\), giving a \(2: 3\) complex of single crystals (12). The degree of CT was obtained to be 0.67 based on this formula. Furthermore, the intensity ratio of UV-VIS absorptions at 842 and 395 nm is 1.5 in acetonitrile, which is consistent with a mole ratio for \(2: 1\) of \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}\) and TCNQ 0.27 )

The complex formation of mixed CT and PT types can be ascribed to the high acidity of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}=-0.24\right)\) compared with those of \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3} \sim 2.0\right)\) and BTDA-TCNQ-* \(\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} 3 \sim 1\right)\). The differences in acidity \(\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\right.\) \(\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{TCNQs}^{*}\right)\) ) for the TCNQ and BTDA-TCNQ complexes are negative, -2.24 and ~ -1.24 , respectively. Based on the acidity of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.60\right)\), the values of \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}\) (4.6-pK \(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\right)\) ) of the \(\mathrm{F}_{4}\)-TCNQ and BTDA-TCNQ complexes are positive (4.6 and 3.6 , respectively); thus, there is no contribution of the PT reaction \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \rightarrow\)
\(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\). The formation of the \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\) species according to the above PT reaction is observable in the case of \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}\) a below 3.6. The formation of a neutral CT complex is expected from the redox potential of BTDA-TCNQ \({ }^{*}\). However, the high acidity of BTDA-TCNQ** \(\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3} \sim 1\right)\) interferes with the occurrence of a PT reaction from \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)to BTDA-TCNQ**. From these results, I can also confirm that the first step is the charge-redistribution process of PT from \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) to \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\).

\section*{3-3-3-3 Neutral CT Complex: (8), (9), (14), (15), (16), and (20)}

All of the Me2-TCNQ ((8), (14), (15), and (20)) and \(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}(\mathbf{9})\) and
(16)) complexes belong to a neutral CT with a completely PT complex. The aciddissociation constant of \(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim 5.0\right)\) is higher than the acidity of \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) and \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\). That of \(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\)is expected to be of the same order, due to the similar value of the Hammett's constant between methyl ( \(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=-0.17\) and \(\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}=-\) \(0.07)\) and methoxy \(\left(\sigma_{p}=-0.27\right.\) and \(\left.\sigma_{m}=0.12\right)\).28) Since the \(\Delta p K_{a}\) value between \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) (or \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)) and \(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\)is negative, -0.4 (or -5.2 ), it is expected that the PT process easily takes place, as follows:
```

H4BIM}\mp@subsup{}{}{2+}+2\mp@subsup{\textrm{Me}}{2}{}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{TCNQ}}{}{\bullet}->\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{3}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{BIM}}{}{+}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{HMe}}{2}{-}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{TCNQ}}{}{\bullet}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{Me}}{2}{}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{TCNQ}}{}{\bullet}
H2BIM}0+2\mp@subsup{\textrm{HMe}}{2}{}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{TCNQ}}{}{*
H2BIM}

```
and
\[
\begin{align*}
&{\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+} \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+\mathrm{HMe}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet} . \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+0.5 \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}+0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} \tag{3-7}
\end{align*}
\]

For the complex formation of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)(14)\), I can derive the following equation 3-8:


Fig. 3-16. The degree of PT vs. \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) of a) anilines - Picric acid, b) \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\). TCNQs \({ }^{\bullet}\), and c) \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) - TCNQs \({ }^{-}\)systems. The vertical axis is the ratio of the PT species, and horizontal one is the differences of \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\). The numbers of complexes correspond to the entry number in Table 3-1. The dashed lines are the guide for eye.
\[
\begin{align*}
2 \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{D}^{+}+2 \mathrm{~A}^{\bullet} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D}^{0}+2 \mathrm{HA}^{\bullet} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{0}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{0}+\mathrm{A}^{0} \\
& \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D}^{+\delta}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-\delta}\right] \downarrow+\mathrm{H}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}^{0} \tag{3-8}
\end{align*}
\]
where A and D represent \(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}\) and BIM, respectively. The above reaction scheme gives the maximum yield of \(50 \%\) (Table 3-3). The yield of (14) is \(51 \%\), and the residual compounds show a strong absorption at \(2908 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\), which is assigned to \({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}\) of \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\) in addition to the bands ascribable to \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\). A similar stoichiometric relationship was observed for the case of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)\) (16).

In the gas phase, the recovery of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right)(\underline{8})\) was attained to be \(100 \%\), due to the absence of the PT process (Table 3-3),
```

H2BIM }0+\mp@subsup{\textrm{Me}}{2}{}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{TCNQ}}{}{0}->[\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{2}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{BIM}}{}{+\delta}][\mp@subsup{\textrm{Me}}{2}{}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{TCNQ}}{}{-}
H2BIM}\mp@subsup{}{}{0}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{Me}}{2}{}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{TCNQ}}{}{0}-/->\mp@subsup{\textrm{HBIM}}{}{-}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{HMe}}{2}{}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{TCNQ}}{}{+}

```

The \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 3}\) of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\), that is \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{HBIM}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\), is estimated to be 12.3 ; and since \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) is 7.3, no recombinational PT process is expected during complex formation. The complex formation of (9) gives a similar stoichiometric relationship (Table 3-3).

In the case of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right) 4\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{2 0})\), the reaction scheme is similar to equation 3-6. The real chemical formula of the obtained complex can be described as \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{\sim}\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\sim 0}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right] 0.33\).

\section*{3-3-3-4 Relation Between \(\Delta p K_{a}\) and \(\Delta E_{p}\)}

According to the differences between \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) of polynitrophenol and \(\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}\) of amines, it is possible to classify the solid complexes of the PT type ([anilinium \(\left.{ }^{+}\right]\left[\right.\)PICRATE \(\left.^{-}\right]\) form) and of the CT one ([aniline][Picric acid] form). For the PT and CT system, the types of obtained complexes relate to the magnitude of two parameters, which are the
acid dissociation constants \(\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\) and the redox potentials \(\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) .{ }^{29}\) ) I first consider the relationship between the protonated states of the H 2 BIM system and the \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\) values.

Figure 3-16 shows a correlation between the \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}\) a values of a) amines - Picric acid systems measured in water, b) \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}-\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-}\), and c) \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+} \mathrm{TCNQs}^{-}\) in water - DMF \((7: 3)\) media and the degree of PT of the solid complexes for the H2BIM system based on the real chemical formula (Table 3-1), where \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{al}}\) and \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{2}\) are defined as

\section*{\(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{al}}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}}\right)-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-\bullet}\right)\), Fig. 3-16 b}
and
\(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{2}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{TCNQs}^{\bullet}\right)\), Fig. 3-16c,
respectively. In the combinations of Picric acid and aromatic amines, the proton of Picric acid transfers to the amines at the interconversion point of \(\Delta \mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=-0.7\). A sharp boundary from the \([\mathrm{D}][\mathrm{AH}]\) type to the \(\left[\mathrm{DH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\)one was observed (Fig. 3-16a), where \(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{DH}^{+}, \mathrm{AH}\), and \(\mathrm{A}^{-}\)are amine, anilinium, Picric acid, and PICRATErespectively. \({ }^{2}\) ) Some complexes near to the boundary show complex isomerism either enantiotropically or monotropically: namely, a transformation from the \(\left[\mathrm{HD}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\)type complex to the \([\mathrm{D}][\mathrm{AH}]\) one by a heating process in a solid or melt, or the precipitation of both the \(\left[\mathrm{HD}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\)and \([\mathrm{D}][\mathrm{AH}]\) complexes separately, or simultaneously, depending on the crystal-growth conditions.

In contrast, the protonated states of the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)complexes (Fig. 3-16b) vary from \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\), \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right)_{1-\mathrm{x}}\), to \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\) according to the \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\) values. Only the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)species can exist for \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}} \geq 3.6\) (the \(\mathrm{F}_{4}\)-TCNQ (10) and BTDATCNQ (13) complexes), and the condition of \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}=-0.4\) (the Me2-TCNQ complex \((14,15)\) and \(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\) TCNQ \(\left.(16)\right)\) gave completely PT complexes due to the full participation of the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\)process. In the intermediate range of \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\), a mixed PT complex with TCNQ ((11); \(\left.\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.6\right)\) was obtained. This mixed PT character was also observed in (1-naphthylamine)(pyridine)(Picric acid) and
(benzidine)3(Picric acid)2. Approximately, the CT - PT mixed valence state is speculated to be located within a \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}\) a range of \(0 \sim 3\) for the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)complexes.

All of the H4BIM \({ }^{2+}\) complexes, except for \(\mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}\), require a partial transformation of the H 2 BIM system from \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) to \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+} \rightarrow\) \(\alpha \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+\alpha \mathrm{H}^{+}\), due to the high acidic character of the \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) species. This process makes the mixed PT state of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{X}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\) for the F4-TCNQ (17), TCNQ (18), and BTDA-TCNQ (19) complexes. In the BTDA-TCNQ complex, the precise content of the \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)species is unknown. In the Me2-TCNQ complex \(((\underline{\mathbf{2 0}}) ; \Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}=-5.2)\), the complete doublet PT process of \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+} \rightarrow\) \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}\)gives only the \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\) species. The CT - PT mixed valence state may exist in the \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}\) a range of \(-3 \sim 0\), based on an extrapolation of the experimental data; this has a somewhat broad regime compared with the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)complexes, because this regime includes the transfer of two protons \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow\right.\) \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}\)).

Based on the above-mentioned mixed PT boundary, I can estimate the PT and CT natures of the H2BIM - TCNQs system. Here, the mixed CT boundary, \(-0.02<\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}<\) 0.34, was used for predicting the CT state. \({ }^{1)}\) Similar to the CT boundary, the PT state can also vary from neutral or mixed, to ionic PT, according to the degree of \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\).

Since all of the H4BIM \({ }^{2+}\) (and H3BIM \(^{+}\)) - TCNQs system is located at the largely positive side of the \(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) region (Table 3-9), the occurrence of the following \(C T\) reactions is energetically unfavorable:
\(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\left(\right.\)or \(\left.\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right)\)
\(\quad \rightarrow \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{\bullet}\left(\right.\) or \(\left.\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{+\bullet}\right)\)

On the other hand, the value of \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\) crosses the mixed-PT region, where two kinds of H2BIM species coexist in the TCNQs complexes. The degree of the PT reaction in solid complexes is defined by the following equations:
\(\%\) of \(\mathrm{H}_{n}\) BIM \(^{n-3}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BIM}^{3-\mathrm{n}}\right] /\left[\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right.\right.\right.\) BIM \(\left.\left.^{\mathrm{n}-3}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}_{n} \mathrm{BIM}^{\mathrm{n}-3}\right]\right\}\),
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \% of } \mathrm{HBIM}^{-}=\left[\mathrm{HBIM}^{-}\right] /\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]+\left[\mathrm{HBIM}^{-}\right]\right\}, \\
& \% \text { of } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right] /\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]\right\}, \\
& \% \text { of } \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right] /\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
\]

The control of the PT state of the H2BIM system induces a change in the band-filling of the conducting acceptor column. A continuous change in the degree of PT produces a variable band-filling of the electron acceptor chain, that is, from completely ionic, mixed valence, to neutral ground states. For example, it may be possible that the completely ionic 1:1 TCNQ complex with \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)varies to the mixed valent CT complex induced by the mixed PT state of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\); finally, it can be converted to the neutral CT complex of \(\left[\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{\sim}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\sim 0}\right]\).

For the BQ - H 2 Q system, \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) and \(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) are derived as \(16.9\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q})=9.9\right.\) and \(\left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{BQ})=-7\right)\) and \(1.89\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}^{0}(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Q})=1.20\right.\) and \(\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{BQ})=-0.69 \mathrm{~V}\) vs. \(\mathrm{Ag} /\) AgCl in DMF), respectively. 30) These parameters make the BQ-H2Q complex to be a weak CT with a weak PT regime. In Picric acid - aromatic amine complexes, the PT interactions cross from the ionic (PT type) regime to the neutral (CT type) regime (Fig. 3-16a). Since this system has large \(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) values, the CT state is a neutral one. For the H2BIM - TCNQs system, the \(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) values are located nearly at the regime between the mixed CT and neutral CT types. Searches for complexes in the special regime, where \(-0.02<\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}<0.34\) and \(0<\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}<3\), are the next targets for the development of a new PT and CT system.

\section*{3-4 Summary}

The properties of proton-transfer (PT) and electron-transfer (charge-transfer (CT)) in CT complexes were investigated for \(2,2^{\prime}\)-bi-1 \(H\)-imidazole ( H 2 BIM ) derivatives. The crystal structures of a mixed PT salt \(\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{2}\right)\) and a simple salt
\(\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{PICRATE}^{-}\right]_{2}\right)\) were determined in this work. The common structural character of the H2BIM system is the formation of strong hydrogen bonds in the side-by-side direction of the molecular planes.

The electronic state of the TCNQs among the CT complexes of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)and H4BIM \({ }^{2+}\) changed from completely ionic, mixed valent, to neutral state according to the PT character of the TCNQs \({ }^{\bullet}\). F4-TCNQ-* gave completely ionic CT complexes, and the BTDA-TCNQ gave completely ionic CT with the non-mixed PT and the mixed CT and PT types. All of the valence states for the TCNQ complexes were classified as the mixed CT type. The protonated states were different for each complex: mixed PT for the \(1: 1\) and \(1: 2\) complexes and non-mixed PT for the \(2: 3\) type. For the Me2-TCNQ and \(\mathrm{MeO}_{2}-\) TCNQ complexes, neutral CT complexes were obtained by the participation of complete PT process: \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{BIM}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\). Here, \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\) behaves as a weak electron donor. The crystal of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]\) contains a segregated non-uniform TCNQ column, which is connected by \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{C}\) hydrogen bonds between the \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}{ }^{+}\)dimer and \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\).

The kinds of complex formations are related to the acidic character between TCNQs \({ }^{-}\)and \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)or \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\). It is concluded that the PT from \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) to \(\mathrm{TCNQs}^{-}\)during metathesis leads to a variation of the protonated states of the H2BIM system and the electronic states of TCNQs. The diagram of \(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) and \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} a\) shows that the H2BIM - TCNQs system belongs to the neutral CT with a crossing at the PT - CT interconversion point. For a comparison with the previously reported systems, H2BIM system is close to the mixed PT and CT regime.

In the H2BIM system, the term mixed PT states has a key role in constructing the CT complex. Since the charged states of TCNQs depend upon the PT states of the H2BIM system, it is necessary to control the PT state by a novel approach.
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\title{
Chapter 4. Crystal Structure and Electrical Conductivity of Mixed Proton-Transfer and Charge-Transfer Complex of 2,2'-Bi-1Hbenzoimidazole System and 7,7,8,8-Tetracyanoquinodimethane
}

The \(2,2^{\prime}\)-bi-1 \(H\)-benzoimidazole (H2BBIM) system can utilize the component system of the multiplex proton-transfer (PT) and charge-transfer (CT) interactions. The 2-(2-1H-benzoimidazolyl)- \(1 H\)-benzoimidazolium ( \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)) has higher acidity and electron accepting ability than that of parent \(2-(2-1 H\)-imidazolyl \()-1 H\)-imidazolium \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)\). The crystal structures of close shell species; H2BBIM and [2,2'-bi-1Hbenzoimidazolium \(\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right]_{2}\), indicated the formations of the infinite hydrogen bond network of \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}\) and \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}\). The charge transfer (CT) complex of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)with \(7,7,8,8\)-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) was prepared by a novel electrocrystallization method. Since the monocation species \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)was unstable in dry acetonitrile solution due to the occurrence of deprotonation reaction of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\), the electrocrystallization was carried out in the mixed solvent system of the buffer solution \((\mathrm{KCl}-\mathrm{HCl}\) with \(\mathrm{pH}=1.20)\) and acetonitrile. The obtained single crystals had a composition of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{( }\right)\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.7}\), and the crystal structure was composed of the segregated uniform stacks of TCNQ and \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\). The degree of CT \((\delta)\) of TCNQ was estimated as 0.7 from the analysis of bond length of TCNQ. The electrical conductivity at room temperature was \(4 \sim 20\) \(\mathrm{Scm}^{-1}\) with the semiconduting temperature dependence, which changed to the insulator at around 100 K , and the temperature dependence of molar magnetic susceptibility indicated a broad maxima at around 80 K . Both of the mixed CT state \(\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{X}}\) \(\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]_{1-x}\) and the mixed PT state \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-x}\) were confirmed by the optical measurements. The real chemical formula of this complex was deduced as \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right] 0.6\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right] 0.4\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-0.7}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0.7\) from the result of structural analysis.

\section*{4-1. Introduction}

From the redox and acid dissociation properties of H2BIM system, highly PT abilities of the \(2-\left(2-1 H\right.\)-imidazolyl)- \(1 H\)-imidazolium ( \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)) and \(2,2^{\prime}\)-bi-1 \(H\) imidazolium ( \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) ) were confirmed as shown in Chapter 2. I examined the CT complex formation between the \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)(or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) ) and anion radical of \(7,7,8,8\) tetracyanoquinodimethane \(\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right)\) in Chapter 3. The characteristic feature of H 2 BIM system within the CT complex was the formation of the mixed PT states \(\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\right.\) and \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\) ), which also provided the electronic state of TCNQ with the mixed CT state to compensate the total charge balance in the solid state. The mixed PT state was closely related to the difference of acid dissociation constants ( \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) ) between the initial component molecules in the CT complex formation.

Although the mixed CT state was confirmed in the TCNQ complexes, the electrical conducting behaviour of these was the semiconducting or insulating due to the charge separated state of TCNQ molecules. The crystal of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right)_{3}\) was composed of the segregated non-uniform stack of TCNQ with charge separation, and real chemical formula was deduced as the \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]\). The \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)molecule existed as the hydrogen bond dimer unit with non stacking structure, which distorted the TCNQ stack by the formation of the strong hydrogen bond between the imino proton of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)and nitrile group of TCNQ. I focus on the \(2,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{bi}-1 \mathrm{H}\) benzoimidazole (H2BBIM) system, which has the extended \(\pi\)-electron system, to construct the stacking structure of H 2 BBIM system in the CT complex.

The H2BBIM system has the multiplex PT and CT nature as well as the H2BIM system (Fig. 4-1). By the stepwise protonations, the neutral H2BBIM changes to monocation, \(2-\left(2-1 H\right.\)-benzoimidazolyl)- \(1 H\)-benzoimidazolium ( \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)), and dication, 2,2'-bi- 1 H -benzoimidazolium ( \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) ). The reduction of the \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) forms the cation radical of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+\bullet}\), and further reduction generates the neutral

H4BBIM, which has the same electronic structure of dibenzotetrathiafulvalene. On the other hand, the reduction of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)produces the neutral radical state of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{*}\)

At first, I examined the acid dissociation and redox properties of close shell H 2 BBIM system (H2BBIM, \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\), and \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) ) and the character of hydrogen bond in the solid state. Then, I tried to prepare the highly conducting TCNQ salt having the mixed PT state of \([\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}]_{\mathrm{X}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\) or \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]_{x}\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-x}\). The crystal preparation, crystal structure, electrical conducting behaviour, magnetic, and optical properties of obtained TCNQ salt are examined, and I discussed the CT and PT states in the complex.


Fig. 4-1 Proton - transfer (PT) and electron (charge) - transfer (CT) diagram of H2BBIM system. Two step PT and CT processes of H2BBIM - H4BBIM system are drawn and part of species (BBIM - H2BBIM) are omitted to clarify the figure. Each vertical and horizontal line corresponds to the PT and CT process, respectively.

\section*{4-2. Experimental}

2,2'-bi-1H-benzoimidazole H2BBIM (1) The preparation of (1) was done by the method of Fieselmann. 1) The 0.1 molar amount of oxamide and 0.2 molar amount of o-phenylenediamine were refluxed for 24 h in ethyleneglycol ( 20 ml ). It was added to the 400 ml of hot water and collect the yellow crude products, which were recrystallized from ethylenglycol twice using charcol. The yellow colored fine needles were obtained with the yield of \(50 \%\). M.p. \(>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). Found: C, \(71.49 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.47 ; \mathrm{N}, 23.99\). Calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 71.80 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.27\); N, 23.93 .

2-(2-1H-benzoimidazolyl)- \(1 H\)-benzoimidazolium iodide [H3BBIM \(\left.{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\)(2) A mixture of 0.1 mol of ( \(\mathbf{1}\) ) and 1.1 molar amount of aqueous hydroiodic acid ( \(55 \%\) ) in 50 ml of ethanol was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and left at \(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered to provide 0.8 mol of a yellow solid, which was washed with ether, then recrystallized from ethanol - water. Mp. \(>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). Found: C,45.15; H,3.06; N,14.69. Calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{I}\) : C,45.19; H,2.96; N,15.06.

2-(2-1H-benzoimidazolyl)-1H-benzoimidazolium tetrafluoroborate [H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\)] [ \(\left.\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.\) ] (3) A mixture of 0.1 mol of (1) and 1.1 molar amount of aqueous hydrogen tetrafluoroborate ( \(\mathrm{HBF}_{4}\) ) solution in 50 ml of ethanol was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and left at \(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered to provide 0.08 mol of a yellow solid, which was washed with ether, then recrystallized from ethanol - water. Mp. \(320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). Found: C,49.30; H,3.90; N,16.50. Calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OBF}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 49.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.82 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.47\).

2,2'-bi- \(1 H\)-benzoimidazolium ditetrafluoroborate \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right] 2\) (4) A mixture of 0.1 molar amount of (1) and 2.4 molar amount of aqueous \(\mathrm{HBF}_{4}\) solution in 50 ml of ethanol was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and left at \(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered to provide 0.09 mol of yellow single crystals, which were washed with ether, then recrystallized from acetonitrile. Mp. \(380^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). Found: C,41.03; H,3.13; N,13.76. Calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F} 8: \mathrm{C}, 41.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.93 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.67\).

Preparation of CT Complex with TCNQ A preparation of TCNQ complex was done by the electrocrystallization method. TCNQ \((12 \mathrm{mg})\) and \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\)( 60 mg ) were inserted into the H-shaped cell \((20 \mathrm{ml})\). The 4 (acetonitrile) : 1 (Clark-Lubus's buffer solution with \(\mathrm{pH}=1.20\) ) volume ratio of solution, where buffer solution was prepared by mixing of \(0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(6.45 \mathrm{ml})\) and \(0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KCl}(6.45 \mathrm{ml})\) solution in 8.55 ml of \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2}\) ) was used as the electrocrystallization solvent to suppress the deprotonation process of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}+{ }^{+} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\). The current value was the constant \((1 \mu \mathrm{~A})\). After the period of two weeks, black coloured single crystals were obtained as typical dimension of \(2.0 \times 0.1 \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\). The insertion of the chlorine and oxygen atoms was confirmed by the elemental analysis. The tentative chemical formula of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) (5) was best fitted to the result of elemental analysis (Table 4-1)

Table 4-1. Results of elemental analysis of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right)_{x}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{y}\)
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\hline & & C & H & N & O \\
\hline \hline Found(\%) & & & & & Cl \\
& & 65.68 & 3.51 & 23.31 & 2.48 \\
Calcd.(\%) & & & & & 5.00 \\
\(\mathrm{x}=0.5\) & \(\mathrm{y}=0.5\) & 67.00 & 3.43 & 24.04 & 1.72 \\
\(\mathrm{x}=1.0\) & \(\mathrm{y}=0.5\) & 65.73 & 3.58 & 23.59 & 2.79 \\
\(\mathrm{x}=0.7\) & \(\mathrm{y}=0.7\) & 65.50 & 3.44 & 23.50 & 2.35 \\
\(\mathrm{x}=0.7\) & \(\mathrm{y}=0.8\) & 65.01 & 3.41 & 23.32 & 2.33 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Measurements The infrared (IR) and the ultra-violet, visible and near-infrared (UV-VIS-NIR) absorption spectra were measured by the method of Chapter 2.
X-Ray Structural Analyses An automatic Rigaku AFC-4 diffractometer with \(\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}\) radiation \((\lambda=1.54178 \AA\) A: \(40 \mathrm{kV}, 200 \mathrm{~mA}\) ) was used for data collection of H2BBIM (1), \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right]_{2}(4)\), and \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7(5)\). A summary of crystal parameters was given in Table 4-2. Twenty reflections with \(40^{\circ}<2 \theta<60^{\circ}\) were used to determine the lattice parameters. The intensity data were collected in the


Background counts were 4 s . The intensities of three standards, monitored every 100 data measurements, showed no significant variation. The 1130 independent reflections with \(\left|\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}\right|>3 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}\right)\) were used for a structural analysis of (1), and the 1353 independent reflections with \(\left|\mathrm{F}_{0}\right|>3 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}\right)\) were used for (4). While, the 1605 independent reflections with \(\left|\mathrm{F}_{0}\right|>3 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}\right)\) were used for a structural analysis of (5). The crystal structures were solved by a direct method \({ }^{3}\) ) and all hydrogen atoms, except for a part of (5), were determined from the difference synthesis maps. A block-diagonal leastsquares technique with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms and isotropic for hydrogen atoms was employed for the structure refinements. \({ }^{4)}\)

Table 4-2. Crystal data of \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}(1),\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right] 2\) (4), and \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) (5).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & (1) & (4) & (5) \\
\hline Chemical formula Crystal colour & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4}
\] \\
yellow
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \\
\text { yellow }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{16.4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{0.7} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.7} \\
\text { black }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Crystal size / mm \({ }^{3}\) & \(0.9 \times 0.06 \times 0.06\) & \(0.5 \times 0.02 \times 0.02\) & \(0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2\) \\
\hline Crystal system & Orthorhombic & Monoclinic & Triclinic \\
\hline Space group & Pca 21 & \(\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}\) & P1 \\
\hline a / \(\AA\) & 10.165(8) & 17.603(2) & 7.664(7) \\
\hline b / \(\AA\) & 11.380(9) & 8.150(2) & 19.090(4) \\
\hline c / A & \(9.902(7)\) & 13.113(2) & \(3.883(5)\) \\
\hline \(\alpha / \operatorname{deg}\) & & & 88.710 (9) \\
\hline \(\beta / \mathrm{deg}\) & & 120.72(3) & 85.12(9) \\
\hline \(\gamma /\) deg & & & 88.35(8) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{V} / \AA^{3}\) & 1145(2) & 1617(2) & 565.7(12) \\
\hline Z & 4 & 4 & 1 \\
\hline \(\left.\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{gcm}^{-3} \mathrm{a}\right)\) & 1.354 & 1.680 & 1.40 \\
\hline R & 3.73 & 4.76 & 6.60 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
a) \(D_{c}\) is the calculated density.
pH and Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) Measurements The measurement procedures of acid dissociation constants \(\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\) and redox potentials \(\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\) were described in Chapter 2. All titrations were run at \(22 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\), and the ion strengths were fixed at 0.1 M using sodium tetrafluoroborate in \(N, N^{\prime}\)-dimethylformamide (DMF) - \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) (7:3). The
measurement condition of CV is as follows, solvent; DMF, supporting electrolyte; 0.1 M of tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate (TBA•BF4), reference electrode; \(\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}\), working and counter electrodes; Pt, temperature; \(22 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\).

Electrical Conductivity Measurements Electrical conductivities were measured on a single crystal with the standard four-prove method. Electrical contacts were done by the gold paste (Tokuriki 8560). The pressure measurements up to \(18 \times 10^{3}\) bar were made using a Be-Cu clamp cell using Daphne oil (Idemitu \#7373) as pressure medium.
Magnetization Measurements Magnetic DC susceptibilities were measured at the temperature range from 2 to 300 K by the aid of a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS). 12 mg of a sample in polyethylene film was used for the measurement. The measured susceptibility was corrected for polyethylene and sample diamagnetism using a compilation of blank and Pascal's constant, respectively. I used the diamagnetic susceptibility correction of 2.73 x \(10^{-4} \mathrm{emu} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\) for \((\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM})(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\).

\section*{4-3 Results and Discussion}

\section*{4-3-1. Redox and Acid Dissociation Properties of H2BBIM System}

Since the CT and PT nature of H2BBIM system in solution is an indispensable parameter to decide the types of the obtained CT complex, both of the redox potentials (Eredox) and acid dissociation constants ( \(\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\) ) of the H2BBIM, H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\), and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) species are measured.

Table 4-3. Reduction peak potentials ( \(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) ) of H 2 BBIM system \({ }^{\mathrm{a}}\) ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Initial Form & \(E^{r} p / V\) & Reduced Form \\
\hline H2BBIM & -1.20 & H2BBIM \({ }^{*}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\) & -0.68 & H3BBIM* \\
\hline \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) & -0.47 & \(\mathrm{H}^{\text {4 }}\) BBIM \({ }^{+\bullet}\) \\
\hline Acridinium \({ }^{+}\) & -0.59 & Acridinium* \\
\hline N -methylacridinium \({ }^{+}\) & -0.44 & \(N\)-methylacridinium \({ }^{*}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
a) Measured at a scan rate of \(100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\) using Pt vs. \(\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}\) in \(0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBA} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}\) / DMF.

Table 4-3 summarizes the reduction peak potentials ( \(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) ) of H2BBIM system and related cations (Scheme 4-1). All of reduction processes of H2BBIM system were irreversible one. The \(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) value of H 2 BBIM appeared at -1.20 V , which was 0.49 V larger than that of \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=-1.69 \mathrm{~V}\right)\). The reductions of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) correspond to the generations of the H3BBIM \({ }^{*}\) and \(\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{BBIM}}{ }^{+}\)• and \(\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}}\) p of these appeared at -0.68 and -0.47 V , respectively. The \(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) of \(\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BBIM}}{ }^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) increased 0.16 and 0.07 V compared with those of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}(-0.84 \mathrm{~V})\) and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}(-0.54 \mathrm{~V})\). Thus the expansion of \(\pi\)-electron system to the parent \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\) and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) enhances the electron-accepting abilities. To evaluate the stabilities of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\), the \(\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}}\) p of N -methylacridinium ( \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ac}\) ) and acridinium (Ac) were measured at the same condition. The cation state of \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ac}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{Ac}^{+}\)are known to be stable compared with opene shell state \(N-A c^{*}\) and Ac , and the \(\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{r}}\) of \(N-\mathrm{Ac}\) and Ac were -0.44 and -0.59 V , respectively. Since the electron-accepting ability of
\(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) are lower than that of the \(\mathrm{Ac}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ac}^{+}\), the cation states, \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\), are inert to the CT processes as well as H 2 BIM system.
```

            \(-1.20\)
    $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BBIM}^{-}$
-0.68
${\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{\circ}$
$-0.47$
$\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+} \cdot$
$-0.59$
acridinium $^{+}+$e- $\rightarrow$ acridinium $^{\bullet}$
$-0.44 \mathrm{~V}$
N -methylacridinium ${ }^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$-methylacridinium ${ }^{\bullet}$

```

Scheme 4-1

The CT state in the complex can predict from the difference of redox potential \(\left(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\) between the electron donor and electron acceptor. \({ }^{5}\) ) It is known that the mixed CT state appears at the following \(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) equation;
\[
\begin{array}{rc}
\text { Completely Ionic } \leq \quad \text { Mixed } \mathrm{CT} & \leq \text { Neutral } \\
-0.02 \leq \Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}(\text { Donor })-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}(\text { Acceptor })\right) \leq 0.34 .
\end{array}
\]

I consider the case of complex formation between the \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\), here electron donor is the \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\)and electron acceptor is \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\). Since the oxidation peak potential of \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\) appears at 0.32 V , the \(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) values of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) - TCNQ \(^{\bullet}\) systems were 1.15 and 0.85 V , respectively. The largely positive \(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) values exist at the neutral CT region according to the above equation, thus the CT from \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\)to \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) are negligible amount.

Next, I consider the possibility of PT from \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)or \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) to TCNQ \({ }^{-}\). The \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) value of \({\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=\right.}_{\rightleftarrows}\) 2.32) was determined by the standard titration method, but no observable change of titration curve below pH of 1.0 was found (Scheme \(4-2\) ). The \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}\) or pKa 2 values of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}, \mathrm{HTCNQ}^{*}\), and H 2 TCNQ are summarized in Table 4-4.
\[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}<1} \\
{\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2}}_{\rightleftarrows}^{\rightleftarrows}{\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \stackrel{2.32}{\rightleftarrows} \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}+\mathrm{H}^{+}}_{\stackrel{-0.24}{\rightleftarrows}}^{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \stackrel{4.60}{\rightleftarrows} \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}+\mathrm{H}^{+}}
\end{gathered}
\]

Scheme 4-2

The \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}\) and \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}\) values of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) were determined as -0.24 and 4.60 , respectively, at the same condition (see Chapter 2). The \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)increased about \(2.3 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) unit by the expansion of \(\pi\)-electron system to the parent H2BIM molecule. The \(\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\) value of HTCNQ * was estimated as ca. 2.3, and \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}\) and \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}\) of H 2 TCNQ (1,4-benzenedimalononitrile) were 7.10 and 10.30 , respectively.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Table 4-4. Acid dissociation constants of \({\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+} \text {, and TCNQs.a) }}^{\text {Compounds }}\) & \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 1}\) & \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a} 2}\) \\
\hline \hline H4BIM \(^{2+}\) & -0.24 & 4.60 \\
H4BBIM \(^{2+}\) & \(<1\) & 2.32 \\
HTCNQ & ca. 2.3 & - \\
H2TCNQ & 7.10 & 10.30
\end{tabular}
a) Measured at DMF- \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 3)\) condition.

The difference of \(\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}\left(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{HA})-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{HD}^{+}\right)\right)\)between proton donor (HA) and acceptor (D) can utilize as the parameter whether the obtained complex classifies to the CT type \(\left(\left[\mathrm{D}^{+} \delta\right]\left[\mathrm{HA}^{-\delta}\right]\right)\), PT \(\left(\left[\mathrm{HD}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\right)\)type, or mixed type. In the case of aromatic amine (D) - picric acid (HA) system, the sharp transformation from the CT
type to PT one was observed at \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) boundary of -0.7 (i of Scheme 4-3).6) In the case of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)(or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) ) - TCNQ system, the \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) criteria of the mixed PT state were derived as following \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) regions (ii and iii of Scheme 4-3)
i. \(\left[\mathrm{HD}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]<\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}=-0.7<\left[\mathrm{D}^{+\delta}\right]\left[\mathrm{HA}^{-} \delta\right]\)
ii. \(1<\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}<2.5\) \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}<[\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}]_{\mathrm{X}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}<\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)
iii. \(-3<\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \ll-1\)
\(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}<\left[\mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}<\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\)

\section*{Scheme 4-3}

Since the \(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\) criteria of mixed PT region changes in some extent according to the system, I can expect the PT state of H2BBIM system based on the results of H2BIM system. The \(\triangle \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) value between the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\) is determined as ca. 0 , which will deduce the complete PT reaction of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\) assuming the \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) criteria of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\). The \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\) of \(\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{BBIM}}{ }^{2+}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\left(\Delta \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}<\right.\) \(-1)\) will cause the mixed PT; \(\left[\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\), or complete PT reaction; \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2+} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\), if I apply the similar \(\Delta \mathrm{pK}_{\text {a }}\) criteria of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) - TCNQs \({ }^{\bullet}\) system. Therefore the PT process is expected to dominate the complex formation between the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}+\) ( or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) ) and \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\)rather than the CT one.

\section*{4-3-2. Electrocrystallization in Buffer Solution}

The single crystals of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) were obtained by the electrocrystallization method between the \(\left[\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\)and TCNQ in acetonitrile buffer solution ( \(\mathrm{KCl}-\mathrm{HCl}\) with \(\mathrm{pH}=1.20\) ). During the electrocrystallization, both of the \(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) were incorporated into the crystal, which was supported by the result of elemental analysis. The electrocrystallization in dry acetonitrile solution gave no CT
complex due to the precipitation of neutral H2BBIM from solution. Since the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)has highly acidity, the equilibrium of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\)is largely shifted to the right side in dry acetonitrile solution. Thus, I adjusted the pH of electrocrystallization solvent, where the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)can exist as a stable form. The Clark - Lubus's buffer solution (HCl- KCl aquaeous solution with pH of 1.20 ) was added to the acetonitrile solution, which shifted the above equilibrium to the left side. This method suppressed the deprotonation reaction of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\), and existed the cation species of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) in electrocrystallization solvent. It is noted that the stability of TCNQ* , which is formed by the reduction of TCNQ in the Pt electrode, is closely related to the pH range of the electrocrystallization solvent. Highly acidic condition causes the following cyclic reaction.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{TCNQ}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-} \\
& \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{HTCNQ}^{\bullet} \\
& \mathrm{HTCNQ} \rightarrow 0.5 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}+0.5 \mathrm{TCNQ} \\
& 0.5 \mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 0.5 \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}
\end{aligned}
\]

However, the formation of the H2TCNQ was not observed during the electrocrystallization. It is thus considered that the reaction of \(0.5 \mathrm{TCNQ}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\) \(0.5 \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\) was negligible in the electrocrystallization of TCNQ complex.

I tried the electrocrystallization of a complex using the another TCNQ derivative; F4-TCNQ (2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-TCNQ), Me2-TCNQ (2,5-dimethyl-TCNQ), or BTDA-TCNQ(4,8-bis(dicyanomethylidene)-4,8-dihydrobenzo[1,2-c:4,5-c']bis[1,2,5]thiadiazoleTCNQ). However, the isolation of solid CT complex was failure. Since the electrocrystallization of Me2-TCNQ complex in the similar condition to the case of TCNQ complex formation indicates no observable colour change of crystallization solvent, the \(\mathrm{HMe}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}\) - seems to be formed in the acidic electrocrystallization solvent. The low acidity of \(\mathrm{HMe}_{2}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim \mathrm{ca} .5 .0\right)\) may cause the following cyclic disproportion reaction easily.
```

Me2-TCNQ + e- }->\mp@subsup{\textrm{Me}}{2}{-}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{TCNQ}}{}{-
Me2-TCNQ** + H+}->\mp@subsup{}{}{+}->\mp@subsup{\textrm{HMe}}{2}{}-\textrm{TCNQ
HMe2-TCNQ*}->0.5\mp@subsup{\textrm{Me}}{2}{}-\textrm{TCNQ}+0.5\textrm{H}2\mp@subsup{\textrm{Me}}{2}{}-\textrm{TCNQ}
0.5Me2-TCNQ + - +- Me2-TCNQ-*

```

On the other hand, the electrocrystallizations of F4-TCNQ or BTDA-TCNQ complex changed the colour of crystallization solvent, thus the cyclic disproportion reaction are suppressed in contrast to the case of Me2-TCNQ complex. High acidic characters of F4-TCNQ \({ }^{-}\)( \(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim \mathrm{ca} .0\) ) and BTDA-TCNQ-• \(\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim\right.\) ca. 1) may suppress the formations of \(\mathrm{HF}_{4}-\mathrm{TCNQ}^{\bullet}\) and HBTDA-TCNQ* , thus the adequate modification of crystallization condition will provide the single crystals of these complexes.

\section*{4-3-4 IR and UV-VIS Spectra of H2BBIM, H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\), and H4BBIM \({ }^{2+}\)}

The IR spectra are useful guide to distinguish the protonated species of H2BBIM system in the CT complexes. Fig. 4-2 indicates the IR spectra of H2BBIM, \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\), and \(\left[\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right] 2\) in the frequency range from 4000 to 600 \(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\). The broad band in the frequency region from 3300 to \(2200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) was accompanied by the multi band structures, \(3028,2498,2968\), and \(2749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\), which were assigned to the \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}\) stretching mode \((\mathrm{VNH}) .7\) ) The multi band structures of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)were slightly shifted to the lower frequency region ( \(3200 \sim 2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) ) compared with those of H 2 BBIM . In the case of \(\left[\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right] 2\), the broad \(v \mathrm{NH}\) band has the absorption maxima at \(3285 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\), which is ascribable to the \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{F}\) hydrogen bond \(v_{\mathrm{NH}}\) mode. These changes of \(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{NH}}\) mode are related to the formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds of \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BBIM}), \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{I}^{-}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)\), and \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{F}^{-}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right)\) types.

In the H2BBIM system, the \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}\) in-plane bending mode \(\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{NH}\right)\) appeared at frequency range from 1700 to \(1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\). The \(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{NH}\) band of H2BBIM was observed at \(1584 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\), and the ring stretching mode of benzoimidazole appeared at \(1397 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\). 7)


Fig. 4-2 Vibration spectra of each protonated species of i) H 2 BBIM , ii) \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\) , and iii) \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right] 2\) at the frequency range of \(4000 \sim 600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\).

The H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\)showed the absorptions at 1636,1623 , and \(1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\), which were assigned to the \(v^{b} N^{+}-H^{2}\) and \(v^{b} N H\), while the \(v^{b} N_{N+}\) H of \(\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) was observed at \(1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) as a single band. These \(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{NH}\) mode can be used to examine the PT state of H2BBIM system in the CT complex.

\section*{4-3-5 Crystal Structure of H2BBIM (1)}

Figure 4-3 illustrates the unit cell of H2BBIM viewed along the c-axis. One H2BBIM molecule is crystallographically independent. Since the dihedral angle between two benzoimidazole rings at the central \(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}\) bond is \(0.02^{\circ}\), the H 2 BBIM is nearly planar. Each H 2 BBIM molecule is inclined by about \(45^{\circ}\) to the c -axis, which are connected by the \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{N}\) hydrogen bonds. There are no intermolecular contacts within the ab plane, which is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radius, \({ }^{8)}\) and the highly cleavage character along the c -axis is reflected by one dimensional intermolecular interaction.

Figure \(4-4\) shows the hydrogen bond of H 2 BBIM viewed along the a -axis. Each H2BBIM molecule is connected by the four \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}\) hydrogen bonds at the side-by-side direction of the molecular plane. The hydrogen bonds elongated along the c-axis, and the H2BBIM molecules form a non planar sheet structure due to the steric repulsions between the hydrogen atoms of benzene rings. In the case of H 2 BIM , the similar N \(\mathrm{H} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{N}\) hydrogen bond formation with planar sheet structure was reported. \({ }^{9}\) )

The average \(\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{N}\) distances \((2.864 \AA)\) of hydrogen bonds in the H2BBIM \(\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 2=2.916(8)\) and \(\mathrm{N} 3 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 4=2.811(8) \AA\), are slightly shorter than that of H2BIM \((2.872 \AA) .9)\) The strength of hydrogen bond of H2BBIM is not changed by the expansion of \(\pi\)-electron system of the parent H2BIM. The positions of the hydrogen atoms based on the differential Fourier synthesis revealed no disorder, which indicated the localization of the proton within the asymmetrical double minimum potential of hydrogen bond. The \(\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{N}\) distances of 4,5-dichloro-1H-imidazole ( \(2.800 \AA\) ), 1 H imidazole ( \(2.860 \AA\) ), and 4 -nitro- 1 H -imidazole ( \(2.871 \AA\) ) were reported by the structural analysis. 10) The average \(\mathrm{N} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{N}\) distance of H 2 BBIM is similar to that of \(1 H\)-imidazole,



Fig. 4-4 Hydrogen bond of H2BBIM with atomic numbering scheme viewed along the a-axis. Dashed lines are \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}\) hydrogen bond.
thus the strength of hydrogen bond is not influenced by the benzo substitution to the imidazole molecule.

\section*{4-3-6 Crystal Structure of \(\left[H 4 B B I M^{2+}\right][B F 4] 2\) (4)}

Half units of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}(1)\) and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}(2)\), one \(\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(1)\) and \(\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(2)\) molecules are crystallographically independent in the unit cell, and the mirror planes are located on the molecular short axis of H4BBIM \({ }^{2+}\) molecules (Fig. 4-5). Since the dihedral angles between two benzoimidazolium parts at the central \(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}\) bond of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}(1)\) and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}(2)\) are 11.2 and \(10.2^{\circ}\), respectively, the \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) molecules deviates from the planar structure.

Figure 4-6 showed a stereoview of the unit cell viewed along the b-axis. The \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) molecules are arranged in the ac-plane, and the \(\mathrm{BF} 4^{-}\)molecules are sandwiched by the \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) layers. In the ac-plane, the overlap of each \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) molecules occurred at benzoimidazole parts along the c-axis. The \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{F}\) hydrogen bond between the \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}{ }^{2+}\) and \(\mathrm{BF}^{-}\)layers connects to each \(\mathrm{H}_{4}\) BBIM \({ }^{2+}\) layer along the \(b\)-axis.

The fundamental unit in the crystal is the \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \bullet \bullet \cdot \mathrm{F}\) hydrogen bond planar sheet structure, which is composed of the alternate arrangements of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) and two \(\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\) (Fig. 4-7a). The \(\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\)anions between the \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) are located at above and below positions of the molecular plane of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\). Table \(4-5\) summarizes the \(\mathrm{N} \bullet \bullet \cdot \mathrm{F}\) distances of the \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \bullet \bullet \mathrm{F}\) hydrogen bond. Among the \(\mathrm{N} \bullet \bullet \mathrm{F}\) distances of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}(1)\); N11 \(\cdots\) F41 \((2.800 \AA)\), N11 \(\cdots \mathrm{F}^{\prime} 1^{\prime}(2.909 \AA)\), N12 \(\cdots\) F42 ( \(2.314 \AA\) ), and N12 \(\cdots F 42^{\prime}(2.749 \AA)\), the N12 \(\cdots\) F42 distance is very short. Similar short distance is also observed at N21 \(\cdots\) F31' among the \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}{ }_{(2)}\); N22 \(\cdots\) F34 (2.745 A ), N22••F34 \(\left(2.976 \AA\right.\) ) , N21 \(\cdots\) F31 ( \(2.840 \AA\) Å), and N21 \(\cdots \cdot{ }^{\prime}\) F31' ( \(2.307 \AA\) ), where the prime symbol corresponds to the atom generated by the symmetrcal operations.
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Fig. 4-7 Crystal structure of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right] 2\). a) hydrogen bond network viewed along the c -axis. b) Layer structures of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) and \(\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\)viewed along the a -axis.

Table 4-5. \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{\cdots}\) F hydrogen bond distances \((\mathrm{A})\) of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{BBIM}}{ }^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right] 2\).
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{c}{ H4BBIM \(^{2+}(1)\)} & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ H4BBIM \(^{2+}(2)\)} \\
\hline \hline N11 \(\cdots\) F41 & \(2.800(10)\) & N22 \(\cdots\) F34 & \(2.745(12)\) \\
N11 \(\cdots\) F41 & \(2.909(11)\) & N22 \(\cdots\) F34 & \(2.976(12)\) \\
N12 \(\cdots\) F42 & \(2.314(12)\) & N21 \(\cdots\) F31 & \(2.840(12)\) \\
N12 \(\cdots\) F42 & \(2.749(12)\) & N21 \(\cdots\) F31 \(^{\prime}\) & \(2.307(12)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The distances of N12 \(\cdots \mathrm{F} 42\) and \(\mathrm{N} 21 \cdots \mathrm{~F} 31\) ' are contracted about \(0.5 \AA\) Å compared with the sum of the ion radius of \(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\)and \(\left.\mathrm{F}^{-}(2.84 \AA), 8\right)\) The \(\mathrm{N} \bullet \bullet \mathrm{F}\) distances of [benzoimidazole][benzoimidazolium \({ }^{+}\)[ \(\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\)] were reported as \(2.870(4)\) and \(2.896(3) \AA, 11)\) while those of \(\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]\)and \(\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right] 2\) were 2.69 and 2.62 \(\AA\), respectively. \({ }^{12)}\) It is thus the \(\mathrm{N} 12 \cdots \cdot \mathrm{~F} 42\) and \(\mathrm{N} 21 \cdots \cdot \mathrm{~F} 31\), hydrogen bonds are extremely strong among the \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \bullet \mathrm{F}\) hydrogen bonds.

Figure \(4-7 \mathrm{~b}\) indicates the \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) and \(\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\)layers viewed along the molecular long axis of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\). Since the mean interplanar distances between \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) planes along the c -axis is determined as \(3.61 \AA\), a considerable intermolecular interaction by the \(\pi-\pi\) overlap of benzoimidazole parts is expected along this direction. The intermolecular \(\pi-\pi\) interaction within the \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) layer is two dimensional (Fig. 4-6). Consequently, the two dimensional conductor will be formed from this crystal structure, if the carrier doping is possible. In the anion layer, the weak intermolecular contacts were found at F31 \(\cdots\) F31' \((3.056 \AA\) ) and F42 \(\bullet \bullet\) F42 \((3.016 \AA)\), which are slightly longer than the sum of the van der Waals radius of fluorine \((2.94 \AA)\). The intermolecular interactions in the crystal \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right] 2\) are observed as the hydrogen bond along the b-axis and the \(\pi-\pi\) overlap of \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) in the ac plane.

\section*{4-3-7 Crystal Structure of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) (5)}

One \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and TCNQ molecule are crystallographically independent in the unit cell (Fig. 4-8). I used the non-centrosymmetric space group P1 instead of P \(\overline{1}\) by the reason of non-symmetry of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)molecule. The occupancy factors of \(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\)and O atoms were refined as 0.7 , which had the positional disorder with two equivalent sites.


TCNQ


H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\)

Fig. 4-8 Crystallographically independent molecules of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\) \(\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) with atomic numbering scheme.
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Fig. 4-9 Crystal structure of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\). a) Unit cell viewed along the c -axis, and the dashed lines along the a -axis are the hydrogen bonds. b) Overlap modes of TCNQ molecules and H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\)molecules in columns. c) Stacking of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)molecules and disordered \(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) having two equivalent sites viewed along the b -axis.

The hydrogen atoms of \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) and amino protons were not detected, while those of benzene rings were found from the differential Fourier map. The protonated species of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)have ambiguity from the result of structural analysis. The dihedral angle between two benzoimidazole parts at the central \(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}\) bond \(\left(1.3^{\circ}\right)\) indicates the nearly planar structure of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\).

Figure 4-9a shows a unit cell viewed along the c-axis. The crystal was composed of the segregated uniform stacks of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)molecules and TCNQ molecules along the c-axis. The mean interplanar distances of TCNQ molecules and \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)molecules in each column are 3.25 and \(3.47 \AA\), respectively. The overlap mode of TCNQ is the bond-over-ring type, which resembles to that of the highly conducting TCNQ salts, \({ }^{13}\) ) while the effective overlap of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)molecules is also observed (Fig. 4-7b). Along the a-axis, each \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)molecules are connected by the hydrogen bonds between amino proton of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\)or \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\), which forms the hydrogen bond sheet structure as observed in the \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right] 2\). The \(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) locate at the side-by-side space between two \(\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BBIM}}{ }^{+}\)molecules, and can participate to the hydrogen bonds (Fig. 4-9c). There are no interatomic contacts between the TCNQ and H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\)molecules, which is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radius.

The degree of CT \((\delta)\) of TCNQ molecule can be estimated from the bond lengths of TCNQ. I examined the correlation between the bond lengths and the \(\delta\) from the results of structural analysis of some kinds of TCNQ complexes. \({ }^{14)}\) Table 4-6 summarizes the selected bond lengths \(\mathrm{a} \sim \mathrm{d}\) (see below Table \(4-6\) ) and \(\delta\) of reference complexes. Eight kinds of TCNQ complexes having the various \(\delta\) values ranging from 0 to 1.0 were used to deduce the correlation.

Table 4-6. Selected bond lengths of TCNQ complexes. \({ }^{\text {a) }}\)
\begin{tabular}{lccccc}
\hline & a & b & \(c\) & \(d\) & \(\delta\) b) \\
\hline \hline TCNQ & 1.346 & 1.448 & 1.374 & 1.441 & 0 \\
(TMTSF)(TCNQ) \(\left.{ }^{\text {c }}\right)\) & 1.354 & 1.438 & 1.375 & 1.429 & 0.21 \\
(TMTSF)(TCNQ) \(\left.{ }^{\text {d }}\right)\) & 1.39 & 1.44 & 1.39 & 1.42 & 0.57 \\
(TMPD)(TCNQ)2 & 1.353 & 1.431 & 1.394 & 1.423 & 0.5 \\
(TMTSF)(DMTCNQ) & 1.360 & 1.441 & 1.398 & 1.423 & 0.5 \\
(HMTTF)(TCNQ) & 1.356 & 1.434 & 1.397 & 1.421 & 0.72 \\
(TTF)(TCNQ) & 1.356 & 1.433 & 1.402 & 1.423 & 0.59 \\
\(\left(\mathrm{Rb}^{+}\right.\))(TCNQ) & 1.373 & 1.423 & 1.420 & 1.416 & 1.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
a) Cited from Ref. 14. The notations of TMTSF, TMPD, and HMTTF are tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene, \(\quad N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\)-tetramethyl- \(p\)-phenylenediamine, hexa methylenetetrathiafulvalene. b) Degree of CT ( \(\delta\) ) determined by experimental method. c) Red form. d) Black form.


Fig. 4-10 indicates the nearly linear correlation between the bond lengths of \(\mathrm{a} \sim \mathrm{d}\) and the \(\delta\). Both of the a and c bonds increase linearly according to the increase of \(\delta\), while the b and d decrease. I tried to fit these data by the least-squares method. The linear correlation between the bond lengths \(\mathrm{a} \sim \mathrm{d}\) and \(\delta\) are derived as the following equations.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}=0.0210 \delta+1.345  \tag{4-1}\\
& \mathrm{~b}=-0.0178 \delta+1.442  \tag{4-2}\\
& \mathrm{c}=0.0513 \delta+1.368  \tag{4-3}\\
& \mathrm{~d}=-0.0198 \delta+1.437 \tag{4-4}
\end{align*}
\]

The bond length c is the most sensitive to the change of the \(\delta\). Kistenmacher et al. used the parameter \(\mathrm{c} /(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{d})\) to determine the \(\delta\), thus I also used this parameter. The following equation was derived from the equations \(4-2,4-3\), and 4-4.


Fig. 4-10 The relation between the degree of \(\mathrm{CT}(\delta)\) and the selected bond lengths of TCNQ. The bond lengths a \(\sim d\) correspond to those of Table 4-6.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{b+d}=\frac{0.0513 \delta+1.3681}{2.8792-0.03756 \delta} \tag{4-5}
\end{equation*}
\]

The \(\delta\) value is expressed as equation 4-6.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { Degree of CT }(\delta)=86.956 \frac{c}{b+d}-41.313 \tag{4-6}
\end{equation*}
\]

Using equation 4-6, the \(\delta\) value in the complex (5) was deduced as 0.7 .
The H2BBIM system exists as the stable cation within the solid complex as supported by the results of redox properties, magnetic and optical properties (see Sections 4-3-9 and 4-3-10). Since the chlorine and water existed as \(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) rather than the \(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\)(described later), the real chemical formula is expressed as \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right] 0.6\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right] 0.4\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-0.7}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0.7\) based on the above \(\delta\) value. The mixed PT state \(\left[\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right] 0.6\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]_{0.4}\) is needed to explain the total balance of charge in the solid CT complex. In the electrocrystallization solvent, the equilibrium \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+} \rightleftarrows{\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\)gives rise to the mixed PT state of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\).

\section*{4-3-8 Electrical Conductivity of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}{ }^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\)}

In the ambient pressure, the conductivity at room temperature ( \(\sigma \mathrm{RT}\) ) was \(2 \sim 20\) \(\mathrm{Scm}^{-1}\), which was measured along the stacking axis of TCNQ. The temperature dependence of conductivity \((\sigma)\) showed the semimetallic character in the temperature range from 300 to 280 K (Fig. 4-11a), and the semiconducting behaviour was observed from 280 to 100 K with the activation energy \(\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\) of 0.04 eV . The abrupt decrease of \(\sigma\) was observed at 100 K , which changed the system to an insulator. The semimetallic conducting behaviour was reported for the narrow gap semiconductor; for examples, ( N methylphenazinium)(TCNQ) and (triethylammonium)( \(\left.\left.\mathrm{I}_{3}-\right) 0.3(\mathrm{TCNQ}) .15\right)\) The semimetallic conductivity at high temperature region is arisen from the mobility term, but upon low temperature the decreasing of the activated carrier concentration changes the
a
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Fig. 4-11 The electrical conducting behaviour of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\) \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.7}\). a) Temperature dependence of conductivity at ambient pressure, and inset shows the pressure dependence of room temperature conductivity. b) Temperature dependence of resistivity normarized at \(\rho\) RT measured at 1 bar (i), 4.5 (ii), 13.4 (iii), and 18.0 (iv) kbar conditionsm, which are shifted to vertical axis to clarify the figure. The hysteresis was observed at 13.4 kbar (iii) measurement.
system to an insulator. The anisotropy of \(\sigma \mathrm{RT}\left(\mathrm{a}: \mathrm{b}: \mathrm{c}=10^{-6}: 10^{-6}: 1\right)\) in the complex (5) indicates highly one dimensional character of electronic structure.

I examined the pressure dependence of conductivity. The linear increase of \(\sigma_{R T}\) was observed from 1 bar to 12 kbar , and abrupt increase of \(\sigma \mathrm{RT}\) occurred by the application of pressure above ca. 12 kbar . The \(\sigma_{\mathrm{RT}}\) reached to \(\mathrm{ca} .800 \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}\) under a pressure of 18 kbar (inset of Fig. 4-11a). The temperature dependencies of resistivities under the 4.5 (ii), 13.4 (iii), and 18.0 (iv) kbar were also shown in Fig. 4-11b. All of the temperature dependencies of resistivities under pressure indicated the semiconducting behaviour. The resistivity at 4.5 kbar showed similar conducting behaviour to that of the ambient pressure, but the transition temperature to the insulating state was decreased to 80 K compared with ambient pressure \((100 \mathrm{~K})\). In the 13.4 kbar condition, the resistivity hump appeared at 75 K in the cooling process, which accompanied by the hysteresis with the enhanced hump at 65 K in the heating process. The similar resistivity hump ( 65 K ) was also observed at 18.0 kbar measurement with no hysteresis. Some kinds of phase transition may occur by the application of pressure about 12 kbar .

\section*{4-3-9 Magnetic Property of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.7}\)}

The temperature dependence of molar magnetic susceptibility \(\left(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\) of complex \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}{ }^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\), which was corrected of the core diamagnetism and no correlation of Curie impurity, indicates the broad maximum at around 80 K (Fig. 4-12). The paramagnetic \(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\) was observed at all measured temperature region, and abrupt increase of \(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\) at ca. 20 K was ascribable to the Curie impurity. The \(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\) at room temperature was \(4.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{emu} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\), and slightly increased up to 80 K with maximum value of \(5.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{emu} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\) at 80 K , and then the \(\chi \mathrm{m}\) slightly decreased from 80 to 30 K . This temperature dependence of \(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\) resembles to the onedimensional antiferromagnetic system, but the experimental results can not best fitted to both of the Bonnier - Fisher and Hisenberg equations. From the electrical conductivity, the transition to the insulating state was observed at \(90 \sim 100 \mathrm{~K}\), which was slightly higher temperature than the broad maxim of \(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\). Therefore, the mobile carriers of


Fig. 4-12 Temperature dependence of molar magnetic susceptibility \(\left(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\) of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.7}\). The inset is the electron spin resonance (ESR) spectrum at 297 K . The g -value and line width are 2.00323 and 0.0313 mT , respectively.

TCNQ chain disappeared at around \(90 \sim 100 \mathrm{~K}\), which will reflect the decreasing of \(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\) at around 80 K . Similar magnetic behaviours, which accompanied the broad maxima of \(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\), were reported for the metallic [1,2-di( \(N\)-ethyl-pyridinium)ethylene][TCNQ]4 ( \(\sigma_{\mathrm{RT}}\) \(=150 \sim 2200 \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}\) ) and the semimetallic [9,10-bis(4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dithiolo)-2-ylidene-9,10-dihydroanthracene][TCNQ]4 \(\left.\left(\sigma \mathrm{RT}=60 \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}\right) .16\right) \quad\) However, there is no explanations for the broad maxima of magnetic susceptibility.

The electron spin resonance at room temperature indicated the sharp absorption at the line width of 0.313 gauss with g-value of 2.0032 (inset of Fig. 4-12). Since this absorption is characteristic to the TCNQ anion radical, 15 b) the opene shell species H 3 BBIM • or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)• were not formed in the complex. The close shell \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\) and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) exist in the complex in accordance with redox, structural, and optical properties.

\section*{4-3-10 Optical Property of \(\left(\mathrm{HBBBIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\)}

From the UV-VIS-NIR and IR spectra, I examined the electronic states of TCNQ and protonated states of H2BBIM system within the complex. The UV-VIS-NIR spectra of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) was compared with the \(\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\) \(\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]\)and \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]\) (Fig. 4-13). The completely ionic \(\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-\bullet}\right]\), having the dimeric non uniform column, shows the CT absorption at 8.2 \(\times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) (B-band), which is assigned to the transition of \(2 \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{TCNQ}^{2-}+\) \(\left.\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0} .17\right)\) Both of the \(\mathrm{C}-\left(11.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\) and \(\mathrm{D}-\left(16.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\) bands are ascribable to the interdimer and monomer transitions of \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\), and the E band ( 27.6 x \(10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) ) is originated from intramolecular transition of \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\). The \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\) \(\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]\) has the charge separated non-uniform column of TCNQ. The TCNQ column was composed of the trimer unit of the \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-} \sim \mathrm{TCNQ}^{-} \sim \mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\) (see Chapter 3). The CT transition from \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{*}\) to \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\) revealed at \(4.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) (A-band), and the C-band ( \(11.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) ) was enhanced compared with \(\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\) [TCNQ-]. Both of D- \(\left(16.2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\) and \(\mathrm{E}-\left(27.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\) bands appeared at


Fig. 4-13 UV-VIS-NIR spectra of i) \(\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}{ }^{-\bullet}\right]\),
ii) \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}{ }^{-}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]\), and iii) \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\)

See text to the assingment of \(\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{F}\) bands.


Fig. 4-14. Vibration spectra of i) H 2 BBIM , ii) \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\), iii) \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\) \(\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}-12\right.\), and iv) \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})(\mathrm{Cl}) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) at the frequency range from 1700 to \(1550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\).
the similar energy region to those of the \(\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]\), and the intramolecular transition of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)species ( F -band) newly appeared at \(35.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\).

The CT transition (A-band) of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) appeared at \(3.2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\), which shifted to lower energy region compared with that of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{0}\right]\) in accordance with the high electrical conducting behaviour. The appearance of A-band indicates the mixed CT state of TCNQ column, which is consistent with electrical conductivity and crystal structure. The C-band splits to into two bands at 10.0 and \(11.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\), and resembles to the solution spectra of \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-*}\left(11.2\right.\) and \(12.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) in acetonitrile). The D-band appeared at \(16.8 \times 10^{3}\) \(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\), and E-band was overlapped with the intramolecular transition of H2BBIM species ( \(\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\)-band).

To examine the PT state of H2BBIM system in the complex, the \(v \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}}\) mode of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{( }\right)\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) was compared with those of H 2 BBIM , \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\), and \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) in the frequency range from 1700 to \(1550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) (Fig. 4 14). The \(\left(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) has the absorptions at 1634,1626 , \(1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) (line iv in Fig. 4-14), which are consistent with H 3 BBIM \({ }^{+}(1636,1623\), and \(\left.1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\) and H4BBIM \({ }^{2+}\left(1622 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\). Since the absorption at \(1584 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) of H2BBIM is not observed at curve iv, the complex is composed of the H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\). The highly acidic electrocrystallization condition provides the equilibrium of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+} \rightleftarrows{\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \text {, thus the mixed PT state of }}^{+}\) \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]_{x}\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-x}\) was realized during the complex formation.

There are two kinds of possibilities of the solvated form of chlorine and water in the complex; that is, i) \(\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0.7\) and ii) \(\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right] 0.7\). The hydronium ion \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right)\)has some characteristic IR absorptions at \(3150,2555,2100,1685\), and 1000 \(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\) 18) Unfortunately, the absorptions at 2100,1685 and \(1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) are overlapped with the intense bands of \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\)and \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\). However, the absorption maxima of the \(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}\) stretching band \(\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{OH}\right)\) appeared at around \(3460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\), which was shifted by \(310 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) to the higher frequency region than the \(v^{s} \mathrm{OH}\) of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\). In addition, there are no observable peak at around \(2555 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\). As a result, the solvent molecule in the
complex exists as \(\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0.7\) form rather than the \(\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right] 0.7\) one. As a consequence, the real chemical formula is deduced as \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\) \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-(1.3-\mathrm{x})}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{0.7}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0.7}, 0.3<\mathrm{x}<1\).

From the nitrile stretching frequency \(\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{CN}}\right)\) of TCNQ, I estimated the degree of \(\mathrm{CT}(\delta)\) in the complex. \({ }^{19)}\) The neutral TCNQ and complete ionizied \(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\)have the blu modes at 2222 and \(2196 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\), respectively. The V CN of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\) \(\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) appeared at \(2192 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\). Assuming the linear relation between the \({ }^{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{CN}\) and \(\delta\) value, the \(\delta\) is estimated as 0.85 , which is larger than the estimated value of the structural analysis \((\delta=0.7)\).

\section*{4-4 Conclusion}

The 2, ''-bi- 1 H -benzoimidazole (H2BBIM) system has the multiplex CT and PT interaction as well as \(2,2^{\prime}\)-bi-1H-imidazole (H2BIM) one. From the examinations of the acid dissociation ( \(\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\) ) and redox properties ( \(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}\) ) of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\), it is concluded that the expansion of \(\pi\)-electron system increases both of the acidity and electron-accepting ability of the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\). However, the close shell cation species; \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\), are expected to be stable rather than the open shell species; \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}{ }^{\bullet}\) and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+\bullet}\). The crystal structures of close shell species; H 2 BBIM and \(\left[\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right]_{2}\), were composed of the hydrogen bond networks of \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}\) and \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\), respectively. The hydrogen bond ability is not influenced by the dibenzo substitution.

The TCNQ complex with \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)was prepared by a novel electrocrystallization method. Since the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)was unstable in the dry acetonitrile solution, the electrocrystallization was carried out in the mixed solvent system containing the buffer solution \((\mathrm{pH}=1.20)\). The acidic crystallization condition stabilized cationic states, which succeeded the preparation of good quality of single crystals as the composition of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\). The crystal structure of this
was composed of the uniform segregated stacks of TCNQ and H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\), and the degree of \(\mathrm{CT}(\delta)\) was estimated as 0.7 from the bond lengths of TCNQ, which deduced the real chemical formula of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right] 0.6\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right] 0.4\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-0.7}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\) [ \(\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0.7\) with mixed PT state of H 2 BBIM species.

The electrical conducting behaviour of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right] 0.6\left[\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{BBIM}}{ }^{2+}\right] 0.4\) [TCNQ \(\left.{ }^{-0.7}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0.7\) was semiconducting, and changed to the insulator at around 100 K . Similar semiconducting character was observed by the application of pressure up to 18 kbar . The molar magnetic susceptibility indicated a broad maxima at around 80 K , which was slightly lower temperature than the transition temparature of the insulator in the electrical conductivity measurement.

The UV-VIS-NIR spectra of TCNQ complex showed the mixed CT state of TCNQ, while the IR measurement supported the mixed PT state of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) in the complex. The mixed PT state of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) is closely related to the mixed CT state of TCNQ. The control of \(x\) value in the \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-(1.3-\mathrm{x})}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0} .7\) will cause the filling change of the band structure of TCNQ chain. The crystal preparation in the pH controlled solvent is useful method to do the precise injection of carrier to the conduction band.
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\section*{Chapter 5. General Conclusion}

I examined the multiplex proton-transfer (PT) and electron-transfer (CT) nature of the 2,2'-bi-1H-imidazole (H2BIM) molecular framework. This system has complicated PT and CT nature, having twenty-five independent species, and belongs to the Weitz type redox structure. Among twenty-five species, I focused on the cation species; 2-(2-1H-imidazolyl)-1H-imidazolium ( \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)) and \(2,2^{\prime}\)-bi- \(1 H\)-imidazolium ( \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) ).

The acid dissociation and redox properties in solution are indispensable characters to evaluate the PT and CT nature, which are useful to explain the types of the obtained CT complex. In Chapter 2, both of the acid dissociation constants \(\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\) and redox potentials ( \(\mathrm{E}_{\text {redox }}\) ) of various kinds of H2BIM systems were examined to compare the PT and CT nature of the representative electron and proton donor and acceptor molecules. I related the CT and PT nature of various kinds of molecules to the on-site Coulomb repulsion energy \((\mathrm{U})\) of two step CT or PT processes. The U of CT process was classified into the electron donor and acceptor system, while U of PT one was closely related to the size of molecules.

The CT complex formations of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) with \(7,7,8,8-\) tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) derivatives were examined to clarify the CT and PT interactions during the crystal preparation. The types of the obtained CT complexes were changed to completely ionic, mixed CT, and neutral CT types. From the redox character between \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)(or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) ) and TCNQ systems, the CT process is inert in this combination, while the PT process dominates the complex formation. The PT states of H 2 BIM system in the complex were changed from the initial \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)(or \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) ) to \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\) (or \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}[\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\) ) and H2BIM (or \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)) according to the differences of acidity between the \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)(or H4 \(\mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) ) and anion radical of TCNQ. Since the TCNQ system has moderate proton accepting ability, the detailed analysis of CT and PT nature of TCNQs were needed to explain the mechanism of the CT complex formation.

The electrical conductivities of the CT complex of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\) were not so high \(\left(10^{-5} \sim 10^{-10} \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}\right)\). The structural analysis of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}\) [TCNQ-*][TCNQ] indicated that the extension of \(\pi\) - electron system is useful to construct the uniform stacking structure of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)and TCNQ chains. Thus, I tried to increase the electrical conductivity in the \(2,2^{\prime}\)-bi-1 \(H\)-benzoimidazole (H2BBIM) system. The 2-(2-1H-benzoimidazolyl)-1H-benzoimidazolium (H3BBIM \({ }^{+}\)) and 2,2'-bi-1 \(H\) benzoimidazolium ( \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\) ) were newly prepared and examined the redox and acid dissociation properties, which showed the stable existence of cation species. The single crystals of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\) was obtained by the novel electrocrystallizaton method in the mixed solvent system between the buffer solution and acetonitrile. This method was possible to isolate the TCNQ complex, which can not be obtain from dry acetonitrile due to the deprotonation reaction of H 3 BBIM \({ }^{+}\). Since the crystal structure of TCNQ complex was composed of the segregated uniform stacks of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and TCNQ, the expansion of \(\pi\)-electron system was effective to increase the intermolecular overlap of H 2 BIM system. The electrical conductivity of \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\) complex with TCNQ \(\left(2 \sim 20 \mathrm{Scm}^{-1}\right)\) largely enhanced compared with \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\)and H4BIM \(^{2+}\) ones. The real chemical formula of this was deduced as the \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right] 0.6\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right] 0.4\left[\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-0.7}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right] 0.7\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0.7\) from the structural analysis, and the IR measurements of \(v \mathrm{NH}\) mode of the complex supported the mixed PT state of \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\right]_{1-\mathrm{x}}\) in the TCNQ complex.

The mixed PT state in the crystal is interesting character from a viewpoint of filling control of electron conducting band. The degree of CT in the TCNQ can be changed according to the mixing ratio of \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)and \(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BBIM}^{2+}\), if the isostructural salt is obtained. The filling control of organic system is next subject to increase the \(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\) of organic superconductor as well as \(\mathrm{CuO}_{x}\) system. However, the chemical method of free control of band filling is not established. Since the mixing ratio of PT state depends on the equilibrium between two kinds of protonated species, the changes of the pH of crystallization solvent vary the PT state in the complex. It is thus that the mixed PT state is possible to use as a method of band filling control in the electron conducting system.

However, there are some problems to apply this method. i) The stability of electron donor or acceptor molecules to the acidic or basic solvent. ii) The isostructural character in large ranges of filling control. iii) The precise determination of mixing ratio of PT. To clear these problems, the equilibrium between the macrocyclic ligand and ion can also be used to the filling control. This equilibrium is inert to the CT processes of electron donor and acceptor molecules, and the moderate size of ion is possible to determine the content of ion from structural and density measurements. However, the increasing of the ion size will cause to a large deformation of potential field in the crystal, which influences the conduction electron. The equilibrium of acid-base and ion-ligand systems will be useful chemical method to control the band filling.
\begin{tabular}{c|cccc}
\multicolumn{5}{l}{ Table 6-1. Atomic Parameters for \([\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}{ }^{+}\right]_{2}[\mathrm{I}-]_{2}(7)\)} \\
\hline Atom & x & y & z & \(\left.\mathrm{B}_{\text {eq }} / \AA^{2} \mathrm{a}\right)\) \\
\hline \hline \(\mathrm{I}(1)\) & 0.46914 & 0.38807 & 0.05943 & 3.19 \\
\(\mathrm{I}(2)\) & 0.03225 & 0.41600 & 0.94696 & 3.80 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{11}\) & 0.07141 & 0.85536 & 0.48602 & 2.80 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{12}\) & 0.07762 & 0.95811 & 0.51656 & 2.25 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{13}\) & 0.10711 & 1.12197 & 0.49374 & 4.23 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{14}\) & 0.07112 & 1.13623 & 0.69595 & 4.64 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{15}\) & 0.04299 & 0.68524 & 0.55312 & 3.77 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{16}\) & 0.07515 & 0.68167 & 0.33710 & 3.86 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{11}\) & 0.08443 & 0.78554 & 0.31053 & 2.63 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{12}\) & 0.10683 & 1.02844 & 0.39427 & 4.05 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{13}\) & 0.05623 & 1.02676 & 0.71626 & 2.94 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{14}\) & 0.04085 & 0.79073 & 0.61912 & 2.88 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{21}\) & 0.41890 & 0.83625 & 0.44947 & 2.57 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{22}\) & 0.43121 & 0.96145 & 0.49914 & 2.49 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{23}\) & 0.46164 & 1.12023 & 0.49977 & 3.88 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{24}\) & 0.43298 & 1.12319 & 0.65610 & 3.79 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{25}\) & 0.39665 & 0.67353 & 0.51982 & 4.84 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{26}\) & 0.42216 & 0.67892 & 0.32392 & 3.80 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{21}\) & 0.43727 & 0.77873 & 0.28734 & 4.21 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{22}\) & 0.46040 & 1.01644 & 0.36738 & 3.60 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{23}\) & 0.40618 & 1.01863 & 0.67298 & 2.62 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{24}\) & 0.39188 & 0.79192 & 0.60257 & 3.40 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{31}\) & 0.22760 & 0.40409 & 0.48597 & 2.58 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{32}\) & 0.27744 & 0.40264 & 0.52529 & 1.95 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{33}\) & 0.34276 & 0.40028 & 0.71228 & 3.14 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{34}\) & 0.34825 & 0.38980 & 0.42279 & 2.51 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{35}\) & 0.15587 & 0.41441 & 0.31650 & 2.74 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{36}\) & 0.15548 & 0.39823 & 0.56500 & 2.87 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{31}\) & 0.19516 & 0.40784 & 0.68177 & 2.28 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{32}\) & 0.30002 & 0.40100 & 0.74820 & 2.47 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{33}\) & 0.30009 & 0.40566 & 0.31660 & 2.38 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{34}\) & 0.20488 & 0.41163 & 0.23913 & 2.86 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{41}\) & 0.18620 & 0.84870 & 0.80421 & 3.13 \\
& & & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{l|llll}
\(\mathrm{C}_{42}\) & 0.19767 & 0.96159 & 0.85098 & 2.65 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{43}\) & 0.20188 & 1.12590 & 1.03732 & 3.88 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{44}\) & 0.22458 & 1.12183 & 0.84290 & 3.32 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{45}\) & 0.18376 & 0.68667 & 0.64049 & 3.66 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{46}\) & 0.15618 & 0.69287 & 0.82071 & 2.54 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{41}\) & 0.15621 & 0.79677 & 0.92834 & 2.77 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{42}\) & 0.18157 & 1.02498 & 1.07559 & 2.67 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{43}\) & 0.22498 & 1.01758 & 0.73514 & 3.69 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{44}\) & 0.19965 & 0.78791 & 0.62839 & 2.37 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{51}\) & 0.30403 & 0.84168 & 0.12805 & 3.03 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{52}\) & 0.31703 & 0.95612 & 0.16861 & 2.61 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{53}\) & 0.31377 & 1.12313 & 0.33357 & 3.98 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{54}\) & 0.34765 & 1.12351 & 0.11986 & 3.67 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{55}\) & 0.30708 & 0.67458 & -0.00086 & 3.42 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{56}\) & 0.30708 & 0.67458 & -0.00086 & 3.42 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{51}\) & 0.27070 & 0.79402 & 0.27797 & 3.03 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{52}\) & 0.29141 & 1.01877 & 0.35484 & 3.17 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{53}\) & 0.34779 & 1.01419 & 0.03008 & 3.25 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{54}\) & 0.32686 & 0.77608 & -0.00539 & 2.05 \\
\hline a) \(B_{\text {eq }}=\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\left(\frac{B_{11}}{\left(A^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{B_{22}}{\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{B_{33}}{\left(C^{*}\right)^{2}}\right)\) & &
\end{tabular}

Table 6-2. Interatomic distances ( \(\AA\) ) and bond angles (deg) for
\(\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right]_{2}[\mathrm{I}]_{2}(7)\) with estimated standard deviations in parenthesis.
\begin{tabular}{ll|ll}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(1)\)} & \multicolumn{2}{c}{\(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{BIM}^{0}(3)\)} \\
\hline \hline N21-C21-N24 & \(115.4(9)\) & N51-C51-N54 & \(109.2(8)\) \\
N22-C22-N23 & \(116.0(8)\) & N52-C52-N53 & \(117.0(9)\) \\
C24-C23-N22 & \(112.0(9)\) & C54-C53-N52 & \(125.4(9)\) \\
C23-C24-N23 & \(104.3(8)\) & C53-C54-N53 & \(100.5(8)\) \\
C26-C25-N24 & \(103.7(9)\) & C56-C55-N54 & \(109.0(8)\) \\
C25-C26-N21 & \(108.1(9)\) & C55-C56-N51 & \(111.6(9)\) \\
C21-N21-C26 & \(102.8(8)\) & C51-N51-C56 & \(108.2(8)\) \\
C22-N22-C23 & \(99.5(8)\) & C52-N52-C53 & \(109.3(9)\) \\
C22-N23-C24 & \(107.9(8)\) & C52-N53-C54 & \(103.5(8)\) \\
C21-N24-C25 & \(109.2(9)\) & C51-N54-C55 & \(100.1(7)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{c}{\(\mathrm{H}_{2}\) BIM \(^{0}(2)\)} \\
\hline \hline N31-C31-N34 & \(118.4(9)\) \\
N32-C32-N33 & \(116.6(8)\) \\
C34-C33-N32 & \(109.1(9)\) \\
C33-C34-N33 & \(114.9(9)\) \\
C36-C35-N34 & \(103.8(8)\) \\
C35-C36-N31 & \(111.9(9)\) \\
C31-N31-C36 & \(105.7(8)\) \\
C32-N32-C33 & \(102.8(8)\) \\
C32-N33-C34 & \(95.6(7)\) \\
C31-N34-C35 & \(99.9(8)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Atom & x & y & z & \(\left.\mathrm{B}_{\text {eq }} / \AA^{2} \mathrm{a}\right)\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{11}\) & 0.35651 & 0.68554 & 0.27361 & 2.34 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{12}\) & 0.41656 & 0.70885 & 0.31432 & 2.57 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{13}\) & 0.44901 & 0.88397 & 0.23780 & 3.05 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{14}\) & 0.41786 & 1.03349 & 0.12350 & 3.49 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{15}\) & 0.35976 & 1.01673 & 0.08172 & 3.59 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{16}\) & 0.33065 & 0.85073 & 0.16209 & 2.83 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{N}_{11}\) & 0.44803 & 0.55362 & 0.42722 & 3.07 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{N}_{12}\) & 0.44822 & 1.22125 & 0.05521 & 4.19 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{N}_{13}\) & 0.26933 & 0.83838 & 0.11037 & 2.45 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{11}\) & 0.32568 & 0.51906 & 0.34230 & 3.76 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{12}\) & 0.42459 & 0.46358 & 0.52557 & 5.20 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{13}\) & 0.49934 & 0.52605 & 0.41020 & 4.88 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{14}\) & 0.49858 & 1.26299 & 0.10646 & 4.16 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{15}\) & 0.42899 & 1.31465 & -0.06602 & 5.93 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{16}\) & 0.25329 & 0.85878 & -0.02528 & 3.41 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{17}\) & 0.23677 & 0.81312 & 0.20809 & 3.95 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{21}\) & 0.14695 & 0.53202 & 0.71739 & 3.20 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{22}\) & 0.08424 & 0.48866 & 0.69536 & 2.96 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{23}\) & 0.05395 & 0.32320 & 0.76049 & 3.13 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{24}\) & 0.07915 & 0.17346 & 0.86556 & 2.69 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{25}\) & 0.13738 & 0.19259 & 0.91225 & 2.41 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{26}\) & 0.16852 & 0.36473 & 0.84354 & 2.58 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{N}_{21}\) & 0.05187 & 0.64905 & 0.58122 & 3.52 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{N}_{22}\) & 0.04609 & -0.01348 & 0.94847 & 3.87 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{N}_{23}\) & 0.22985 & 0.37355 & 0.88775 & 3.40 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{21}\) & 0.17353 & 0.68510 & 0.66479 & 2.80 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{22}\) & 0.07671 & 0.72348 & 0.46890 & 3.86 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{23}\) & 0.00226 & 0.70084 & 0.58972 & 3.85 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{24}\) & -0.00209 & -0.05988 & 0.89314 & 5.71 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{25}\) & 0.07635 & -0.12465 & 1.05428 & 6.87 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{26}\) & 0.24605 & 0.34944 & 1.02907 & 3.52 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{O}_{27}\) & 0.26360 & 0.40135 & 0.79207 & 3.27 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{31}\) & 0.22420 & 0.14297 & 0.46127 & 2.75 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{32}\) & 0.27621 & 0.04515 & 0.54466 & 2.80 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{33}\) & 0.33638 & -0.17170 & 0.70312 & 2.89 \\
\hline C34 & 0.36659 & 0.00553 & 0.63912 & 3.11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6-4. Interatomic distances ( \(\AA\) ) and bond angles (deg) for \(\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BIM}^{2+}\right]\)
[PICRATE \(\left.{ }^{-}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{5})\) with estimated standard deviations in parenthesis.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{PICRATE \({ }^{-(1)}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{PICRATE \({ }^{-}\)(2)} \\
\hline C11-C12 & 1.415(7) & C21-C22 & 1.494(8) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C12-C13}\) & 1.462(8) & C22-C23 & \(1.293(7)\) \\
\hline C13-C14 & \(1.438(8)\) & C23-C24 & 1.323(7) \\
\hline C14-C15 & \(1.372(8)\) & C24-C25 & \(1.383(7)\) \\
\hline C15-C16 & \(1.368(8)\) & C25-C26 & \(1.382(7)\) \\
\hline C16-C11 & \(1.428(7)\) & C26-C21 & \(1.465(7)\) \\
\hline C11-O11 & 1.341(7) & C21-O21 & \(1.176(7)\) \\
\hline C12-N11 & 1.425(7) & C22-N21 & 1.494(8) \\
\hline C14-N12 & 1.431(8) & C24-N22 & 1.508(8) \\
\hline C16-N13 & 1.453(8) & C26-N23 & 1.461(7) \\
\hline N11-O12 & 1.187(7) & N21-O22 & 1.217(7) \\
\hline N11-O13 & 1.246(7) & N21-O23 & \(1.206(7)\) \\
\hline N12-O14 & \(1.238(7)\) & N22-O24 & \(1.210(7)\) \\
\hline N12-O15 & \(1.224(7)\) & N22-O25 & \(1.250(7)\) \\
\hline N13-O16 & 1.219(7) & N23-O26 & 1.257(7) \\
\hline N13-O17 & \(1.221(7)\) & N23-O27 & \(1.230(7)\) \\
\hline C13-H11 & 1.030 (90 & C23-H21 & 0.925(9) \\
\hline C15-H12 & 0.965(9) & C25-H22 & 1.057(9) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

H4BIM \(^{2+}\)
\begin{tabular}{ll|ll}
\multicolumn{4}{c}{ H4BIM \(^{2+}\)} \\
\hline \hline C31-C32 & \(1.451(8)\) & & \\
C31-N39 & \(1.395(8)\) & C32-N37 & \(1.276(7)\) \\
N39-C35 & \(1.347(7)\) & N37-C33 & \(1.417(7)\) \\
C35-C36 & \(1.323(8)\) & C33-C34 & \(1.368(7)\) \\
C36-N40 & \(1.336(7)\) & C34-N38 & \(1.396(7)\) \\
N40-C31 & \(1.31587)\) & N38-C32 & \(1.356(7)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll|ll}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{ PICRATE-(1) } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ PICRATE-(2) } \\
\hline \hline C11-C12-C13 & \(120.7(5)\) & C21-C22-C23 & \(129.0(5)\) \\
C12-C13-C14 & \(115.4(5)\) & C22-C23-C24 & \(121.5(5)\) \\
C13-C14-C15 & \(124.6(5)\) & C23-C24-C25 & \(119.5(5)\) \\
C14-C15-C16 & \(117.0(5)\) & C24-C25-C26 & \(118.7(5)\) \\
C15-C16-C11 & \(124.6(5)\) & C25-C26-C21 & \(126.9(5)\) \\
C16-C11-C12 & \(117.3(5)\) & C26-C21-C22 & \(104.1(5)\) \\
\(011-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12\) & \(121.0(5)\) & O21-C21-C22 & \(129.5(5)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{c|cccc}
\multicolumn{5}{l}{ Table 6-5. Atomic Parameters for \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{BIM}}{ }^{+}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{TCNQ})_{3}(\mathbf{1 3})\)} \\
\hline Atom & x & y & z & \(\mathrm{B}_{\text {eq }} / \AA^{2 \mathrm{a})}\) \\
\hline \hline \(\mathrm{C}_{1}\) & 0.05294 & 0.41544 & 0.08950 & 2.02 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{2}\) & 0.02955 & 0.41361 & -0.08146 & 1.84 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{3}\) & 0.02470 & 0.49798 & 0.16667 & 2.07 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{4}\) & 0.03680 & 0.32897 & -0.33244 & 2.46 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{5}\) & 0.05776 & 0.33115 & -0.15967 & 2.08 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{6}\) & 0.10819 & 0.24130 & -0.07464 & 3.11 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{1}\) & 0.02083 & 0.32755 & -0.46784 & 3.79 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{2}\) & 0.14538 & 0.17028 & -0.00757 & 5.47 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{31}\) & 0.01857 & -0.07850 & 0.31421 & 2.26 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{32}\) & 0.15426 & -0.08846 & 0.18263 & 2.60 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{33}\) & 0.33308 & -0.08215 & -0.08027 & 3.80 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{34}\) & 0.37922 & -0.11936 & 0.05782 & 3.99 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{35}\) & -0.15621 & -0.09174 & 0.57812 & 2.87 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{36}\) & -0.20072 & -0.04187 & 0.44053 & 3.21 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{37}\) & 0.19313 & -0.06298 & -0.00164 & 3.25 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{38}\) & 0.26955 & -0.12328 & 0.22005 & 3.38 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{39}\) & -0.01865 & -0.11342 & 0.49746 & 2.50 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{40}\) & -0.08870 & -0.03385 & 0.27360 & 2.76 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{41}\) & 0.67185 & 0.54269 & 0.53487 & 2.10 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{42}\) & 0.69940 & 0.45936 & 0.45738 & 2.01 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{43}\) & 0.67851 & 0.45683 & 0.28840 & 1.71 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{44}\) & 0.62825 & 0.54509 & 0.20246 & 2.01 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{45}\) & 0.60028 & 0.62785 & 0.27951 & 2.07 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{46}\) & 0.62027 & 0.63035 & 0.45018 & 1.78 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{47}\) & 0.76272 & 0.28544 & 0.29575 & 2.24 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{48}\) & 0.70813 & 0.37085 & 0.20946 & 1.85 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{49}\) & 0.69421 & 0.36596 & 0.03527 & 2.18 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{50}\) & 0.61781 & 0.71785 & 0.69940 & 2.28 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{51}\) & 0.59042 & 0.71489 & 0.53324 & 2.11 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{52}\) & 0.53778 & 0.80438 & 0.45523 & 2.50 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{50}\) & 0.80618 & 0.21817 & 0.36844 & 3.23 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{51}\) & 0.68553 & 0.36191 & -0.10420 & 3.73 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{52}\) & 0.64072 & 0.72127 & 0.83018 & 3.53 \\
\(\mathrm{~N}_{53}\) & 0.49357 & 0.87608 & 0.39786 & 3.61 \\
\(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) & 0.08268 & 0.34999 & 0.14481 & 3.27 \\
\(\mathrm{H}_{2}\) & 0.05208 & 0.50496 & 0.29085 & 3.99 \\
& & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6-6. Interatomic distances \((\AA)\) and bond angles (deg) for \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BIM}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{TCNQ}^{-}\right) 3\) (13) with estimated standard deviations in parenthesis.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{TCNQ(1)} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{TCNQ(2)} \\
\hline C1-C2 & 1.449(7) & C41-C42 & 1.368(7) \\
\hline C1-C3' & \(1.356(7)\) & C42-C43 & 1.423(7) \\
\hline C2-C3 & \(1.437(7)\) & C43-C44 & \(1.432(7)\) \\
\hline C2-C5 & \(1.360(7)\) & C44-C45 & \(1.359(7)\) \\
\hline C4-C5 & \(1.452(7)\) & C45-C46 & 1.431 (7) \\
\hline C5-C6 & \(1.446(7)\) & C46-C41 & 1.427 (7) \\
\hline C4-N1 & \(1.135(7)\) & C43-C48 & 1.407 (7) \\
\hline C6-N2 & \(1.130(8)\) & C48-C49 & \(1.437(7)\) \\
\hline C1-H1 & \(1.003(11)\) & C47-C48 & \(1.425(7)\) \\
\hline C3-H2 & 1.131(11) & C46-C51 & \(1.406(7)\) \\
\hline & & C51-C52 & \(1.429(7)\) \\
\hline & & C50-C51 & \(1.437(7)\) \\
\hline & & C47-N50 & 1.142(7) \\
\hline & & C49-N51 & \(1.139(7)\) \\
\hline & & C52-N53 & \(1.139(7)\) \\
\hline & & C50-N52 & 1.142(7) \\
\hline & & C41-H41 & 1.002(11) \\
\hline & & C42-H41 & 0.958(11) \\
\hline & & C44-H44 & 0.941(11) \\
\hline & & C45-H45 & 0.924(11) \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{H3BIM \({ }^{+}\)} \\
\hline C31-C32 & \(1.422(7)\) & & \\
\hline C31-N40 & \(1.328(7)\) & C32-N37 & 1.336(7) \\
\hline N40-C36 & \(1.394(7)\) & N37-C33 & \(1.375(8)\) \\
\hline C36-C35 & 1.367(8) & C33-C34 & \(1.334(8)\) \\
\hline C35-N39 & \(1.362(7)\) & C34-N38 & \(1.360(8)\) \\
\hline N39-C31 & 1.349 (7) & N38-C32 & \(1.354(7)\) \\
\hline C33-H33 & 0.946(12) & N37-H37 & \(0.655(11)\) \\
\hline C34-H34 & 0.686 (12) & N38-H38 & 0.713(11) \\
\hline C35-H35 & 0.790(12) & N39-H39 & \(0.684(11)\) \\
\hline C36-H36 & 1.105(12) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6-7 Atomic Parameters of H2BBIM
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Atom & x & y & z & \(\left.\mathrm{B}_{\text {eq }} / \AA^{2} \mathrm{a}\right)\) \\
\hline C1 & 0.22533 & 0.31116 & 0.74573 & 2.6 \\
\hline C2 & 0.16574 & 0.48415 & 0.66878 & 3.2 \\
\hline C3 & 0.13431 & 0.58406 & 0.60221 & 3.3 \\
\hline C4 & 0.08260 & 0.67658 & 0.66952 & 4.4 \\
\hline C5 & 0.06690 & 0.67042 & 0.81160 & 3.4 \\
\hline C6 & 0.09546 & 0.57015 & 0.88712 & 3.6 \\
\hline C7 & 0.14706 & 0.47345 & 0.80882 & 2.6 \\
\hline C8 & 0.27238 & 0.19108 & 0.74090 & 2.6 \\
\hline C9 & 0.33301 & 0.01620 & 0.80987 & 2.5 \\
\hline C10 & 0.36450 & -0.08709 & 0.88701 & 4.0 \\
\hline C11 & 0.41291 & -0.17869 & 0.80569 & 3.7 \\
\hline C12 & 0.43458 & -0.16879 & 0.66725 & 4.4 \\
\hline C13 & 0.40253 & -0.06697 & 0.60095 & 3.3 \\
\hline C14 & 0.35107 & 0.02453 & 0.66882 & 2.7 \\
\hline N1 & 0.21759 & 0.37801 & 0.63138 & 2.8 \\
\hline N2 & 0.18714 & 0.36309 & 0.85428 & 2.8 \\
\hline N3 & 0.28443 & 0.12472 & 0.85382 & 2.9 \\
\hline N4 & 0.31284 & 0.13589 & 0.62669 & 2.9 \\
\hline H1 & 0.26649 & 0.35865 & 0.56069 & 3.3 \\
\hline H2 & 0.26683 & 0.14245 & 0.94140 & 0.6 \\
\hline H3 & 0.13866 & 0.59884 & 0.48522 & 8.0 \\
\hline H4 & 0.07791 & 0.75761 & 0.62511 & 5.0 \\
\hline H5 & 0.04489 & 0.73358 & 0.87663 & 2.7 \\
\hline H6 & 0.08323 & 0.56921 & 0.97615 & 0.8 \\
\hline H7 & 0.34319 & -0.08389 & 0.97581 & 2.0 \\
\hline H8 & 0.45531 & -0.24713 & 0.86966 & 4.6 \\
\hline H9 & 0.48909 & -0.23669 & 0.61998 & 7.5 \\
\hline H10 & 0.41993 & -0.05347 & 0.47989 & 7.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
a) \(B_{\text {eq }}=\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\left(\frac{B_{11}}{\left(A^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{B_{22}}{\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{B_{33}}{\left(C^{*}\right)^{2}}\right)\)

Table 6-8. Intramolecular bond distances ( A ) and angles (deg) of H2BBIM.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Bond & Distance & Bond & Distance & Bond & Distance \\
\hline C1-C8 & 1.449(6) & C1-N1 & 1.367(6) & C1-N2 & 1.287(6) \\
\hline N1-C2 & 1.369 (6) & N2-C7 & 1.395 (6) & C2-C7 & 1.405(6) \\
\hline C2-C3 & \(1.353(6)\) & C3-C4 & \(1.353(7)\) & C4-C5 & 1.418 (7) \\
\hline C5-C6 & 1.395 (6) & C6-C7 & 1.45 (6) & C3-H3 & 1.172 (8) \\
\hline C4-H4 & 1.023(9) & C5-H5 & 0.991 (8) & C6-H6 & 0.891 (8) \\
\hline C8-N3 & 1.355 (6) & C8-N4 & \(1.358(6)\) & N3-C9 & 1.399(6) \\
\hline N4-C14 & 1.390 (6) & C9-C14 & \(1.412(6)\) & C9-C10 & \(1.438(6)\) \\
\hline C10-C11 & 1.406(7) & C11-C12 & \(1.394(7)\) & C12-C13 & 1.371(7) \\
\hline C13-C14 & \(1.345(6)\) & N3-H2 & 0.909(8) & C10-H7 & 0.897(8) \\
\hline C11-H8 & 1.093(8) & C12-H9 & 1.060(9) & C13-H10 & 1.222(8) \\
\hline N1-H1 & 0.887(8) & N3-H2 & 0.909(8) & & \\
\hline N1-C1-N2 & 114.8(4) & C1-N1-C2 & 106.8(4) & N1-C2-C7 & 104.0(4) \\
\hline C2-C7-N2 & 110.9(4) & C1-N2-C7 & 103.4(4) & C2-C3-C4 & 120.4(4) \\
\hline C3-C4-C5 & 119.6(4) & C4-C5-C6 & 123.3(4) & C5-C6-C7 & \(114.2(4)\) \\
\hline C6-C7-C2 & 120.9(4) & C7-C2-C3 & 121.5(4) & N3-C8-N4 & 113.7(4) \\
\hline C8-N3-C9 & 105.5(4) & N3-C9-C14 & 107.1(4) & C9-C14-N4 & 108.8(4) \\
\hline C8-N4-C14 & 104.9(4) & C9-C10-C11 & 112.3(4) & C10-C11-C12 & 123.4(4) \\
\hline C11-C12-C13 & 120.1(5) & C12-C13-C14 & 120.5(4) & C23-C24-C9 & \(119.5(4)\) \\
\hline C14-C9-C10 & 123.5(4) & & & & \\
\hline H-bond & & H-bond & & H-bond & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 2\) & 2.916 (8) & N3 \(\cdots\) N 4 & 2.811(8) & \(\mathrm{N} 2 \cdots \mathrm{H} 1\) & 2.099(8) \\
\hline N4 \(\cdots\) H2 & 2.008(9) & & & & \\
\hline N1-H1 \(\cdots\) N2 & 152.8(7) & N3-H2 \(\cdots\) N4 & 160.8(7) & N2-H5 \(\cdots\) N1 & 143(7) \\
\hline N3-H6 \(\cdots\) - \({ }^{\text {N }}\) & 165(5) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Atom & x & y & z & \(\left.\mathrm{Beq} / \AA^{2} \mathrm{a}\right)\) \\
\hline C11 & 0.95663 & 0.74900 & 0.22866 & 2.0 \\
\hline C12 & 0.81845 & 0.67063 & 0.15762 & 2.4 \\
\hline C13 & 0.73823 & 0.56616 & 0.11431 & 3.4 \\
\hline C14 & 0.65962 & 0.66386 & 0.08450 & 4.6 \\
\hline C15 & 0.66391 & 0.82821 & 0.09870 & 3.9 \\
\hline C16 & 0.74327 & 0.93473 & 0.14024 & 3.5 \\
\hline C17 & 0.81826 & 0.84113 & 0.17009 & 2.3 \\
\hline N11 & 0.90008 & 0.62924 & 0.19192 & 2.1 \\
\hline N12 & 0.90362 & 0.88617 & 0.21098 & 2.5 \\
\hline C21 & 0.55134 & -0.73860 & 0.22794 & 2.5 \\
\hline C22 & 0.68795 & -0.83635 & 0.15808 & 2.3 \\
\hline C23 & 0.76410 & -0.91225 & 0.11396 & 2.7 \\
\hline C24 & 0.83607 & -0.83024 & 0.08494 & 2.5 \\
\hline C25 & 0.83597 & 0.65340 & 0.09395 & 2.8 \\
\hline C26 & 0.76287 & 0.57584 & 0.13472 & 2.9 \\
\hline C27 & 0.68421 & 0.66515 & 0.16858 & 2.5 \\
\hline N21 & 0.59672 & 0.87854 & 0.20038 & 2.8 \\
\hline N22 & 0.59579 & 0.61323 & 0.21571 & 2.6 \\
\hline B31 & 0.43416 & 0.74829 & 0.06951 & 2.6 \\
\hline F31 & 0.48477 & 0.88918 & 0.12416 & 4.3 \\
\hline F32 & 0.36825 & 0.74871 & 0.10076 & 7.1 \\
\hline F33 & 0.39869 & 0.75001 & 0.04974 & 4.6 \\
\hline F34 & 0.48577 & 0.61256 & 0.11925 & 3.8 \\
\hline B41 & 0.93592 & 0.25730 & 0.07404 & 3.4 \\
\hline F41 & 0.98440 & -0.39495 & 0.12000 & 4.0 \\
\hline F42 & 0.98710 & -0.11622 & 0.12692 & 4.6 \\
\hline F43 & 0.86473 & -0.25326 & 0.08910 & 7.2 \\
\hline F44 & 0.90888 & -0.25052 & 0.04416 & 6.6 \\
\hline H11 & 0.91742 & 0.52369 & 0.18946 & 3.8 \\
\hline H12 & 0.92094 & 0.99207 & 0.21159 & 2.3 \\
\hline H13 & 0.73837 & 0.45738 & 0.10329 & 5.7 \\
\hline H14 & 0.59819 & 0.59663 & 0.04887 & 7.8 \\
\hline H15 & 0.61097 & 0.89715 & 0.06554 & 2.2 \\
\hline H16 & 0.75144 & 1.06523 & 0.15389 & 5.9 \\
\hline H21 & 0.58259 & 0.99069 & 0.19637 & 8.0 \\
\hline H22 & 0.57875 & 0.50137 & 0.25043 & 1.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
H 23 & 0.75824 & 1.05626 & 0.11553 & 4.3 \\
H 24 & 0.88838 & 0.89039 & 0.04370 & 3.2 \\
H 25 & 0.88879 & 0.59705 & 0.07448 & 3.5 \\
H 26 & 0.76100 & 0.44751 & 0.14090 & 3.4 \\
\hline a) \(B_{\text {eq }}=\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\left(\frac{B_{11}}{\left(A^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{B_{22}}{\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{B_{33}}{\left(C^{*}\right)^{2}}\right)\) & &
\end{tabular}

Table 6-10. Intramolecular bond distances \((\AA)\) and angles (deg) of
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Bond & Distance & Bond & Distance \\
\hline C11-C11 & 1.327(4) & C21-C21 & 1.587(4) \\
\hline C11-N11 & 1.353(3) & C21-N21 & 1.332(3) \\
\hline N11-C12 & 1.329(3) & N21-C22 & 1.444(3) \\
\hline C12-C13 & 1.488(4) & C22-C23 & \(1.310(3)\) \\
\hline C13-C14 & 1.463(4) & C23-C24 & 1.303(3) \\
\hline C14-C15 & 1.349 (4) & C24-C25 & \(1.446(3)\) \\
\hline C15-C16 & 1.489(3) & C25-C26 & \(1.278(3)\) \\
\hline C16-C17 & 1.393 (3) & C26-C27 & \(1.417(4)\) \\
\hline C17-C12 & \(1.400(3)\) & C27-C22 & 1.401(3) \\
\hline C17-N12 & \(1.359(3)\) & C27-N22 & 1.411 (3) \\
\hline N12-C11 & \(1.396(4)\) & N22-C21 & \(1.245(3)\) \\
\hline N11-H11 & 0.850(4) & N21-H21 & \(0.957(5)\) \\
\hline C13-H13 & 0.899(5) & C23-H23 & \(1.178(5)\) \\
\hline C14-H14 & \(1.081(5)\) & C24-H24 & \(0.934(5)\) \\
\hline C15-H15 & 0.978(5) & C25-H25 & \(0.946(5)\) \\
\hline C16-H16 & \(1.076(5)\) & C26-H26 & 1.051(4) \\
\hline N12-H12 & 0.914(4) & N22-H22 & 0.993(5) \\
\hline B31-F31 & \(1.405(3)\) & B41-F41 & 1.350(3) \\
\hline B31-F32 & \(1.408(3)\) & B41-F42 & \(1.405(3)\) \\
\hline B31-F33 & 1.354(3) & B41-F43 & 1.360(3) \\
\hline B31-F34 & 1.367 (3) & B41-F44 & \(1.369(3)\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Hydrogen-bond Distances} \\
\hline N11•*F41 & 2.803(12) & N22 \(\cdots\) F34 & 2.745 (12) \\
\hline N11 \(\cdots \cdot{ }^{\text {F }} 41^{\prime}\) & \(2.909(11)\) & N22•••F34 & 2.976 (12) \\
\hline N12 \(\cdots\) F42 & 2.214(12) & N21 \(\cdots\) F31 & 2.840(12) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
N12 \(\cdots-F_{42}\) & \(2.749(12)\) & N21 \(\cdots\) F31 & \(2.307(12)\) \\
& & & \\
N11-C11-N12 & \(104.85(8)\) & N21-C21-N22 & \(114.79(8)\) \\
C11-N11-C12 & \(110.44(8)\) & C21-N21-C22 & \(107.04(9)\) \\
N11-C12-C17 & \(109.48(8)\) & N21-C22-C27 & \(102.25(8)\) \\
C12-C17-N12 & \(104.28(9)\) & C22-C27-N22 & \(109.14(8)\) \\
C17-N12-C11 & \(110.86(9)\) & C27-N22-C21 & \(106.67(8)\) \\
C12-C13-C14 & \(111.72(9)\) & C22-C23-C24 & \(120.38(7)\) \\
C13-C14-C15 & \(121.65(9)\) & C23-C24-C25 & \(121.79(8)\) \\
C14-C15-C16 & \(127.29(9)\) & C24-C25-C26 & \(119.12(8)\) \\
C15-C16-C17 & \(110.87(9)\) & C25-C26-C27 & \(119.14(8)\) \\
C16-C17-C12 & \(124.67(9)\) & C26-C27-C22 & \(119.45(7)\) \\
C17-C12-C13 & \(123.7(9)\) & C27-C22-C23 & \(120.02(8)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\multicolumn{2}{c}{ Hydeogen-Bond } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Hydrogen-Bond } \\
\hline \hline N11 \(\cdots\) F41 & \(2.803(12)\) & N22 \(\cdots\) F34 & \(2.745(12)\) \\
N11 \(\cdots\) F41 & \(2.909(11)\) & N22 \(\cdots\) F34 & \(2.976(12)\) \\
N12 \(\cdots\) F42 & \(2.314(12)\) & N21 \(\cdots\) F31 & \(2.840(12)\) \\
N12 \(\cdots\) F42 & \(2.749(12)\) & N21 \(\cdots\) F31 & \(2.307(12)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6-11 Atomic Parameters of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\).
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
Atom & x & y & z & \(\mathrm{Beq}_{\mathrm{eq}} / \AA^{2 \mathrm{a})}\) \\
\hline \hline C1 & 0.02657 & 0.58954 & 0.47015 & 4.3 \\
C2 & 0.11211 & 0.52750 & 0.34780 & 3.3 \\
C3 & -0.15094 & 0.59636 & 0.40083 & 2.5 \\
C4 & 0.11099 & 0.64123 & 0.64429 & 5.0 \\
C5 & 0.02023 & 0.70221 & 0.75866 & 3.1 \\
C6 & 0.09671 & 0.75544 & 0.92515 & 2.9 \\
C7 & 0.28035 & 0.74539 & 0.99357 & 2.5 \\
C8 & 0.37421 & 0.68099 & 0.87724 & 3.5 \\
C9 & 0.29061 & 0.63494 & 0.70850 & 3.6 \\
C10 & 0.35988 & 0.79605 & 1.16176 & 3.7 \\
C11 & 0.26563 & 0.85974 & 1.28098 & 2.6 \\
C12 & 0.54459 & 0.78894 & 1.23716 & 4.2 \\
N11 & 0.83607 & 0.47431 & 0.25233 & 3.2 \\
N12 & -0.29304 & 0.59948 & 0.33721 & 2.8 \\
N13 & 0.20568 & 0.90705 & 1.38557 & 2.9 \\
N14 & 0.68649 & 0.78337 & 1.30077 & 3.1 \\
H1 & -0.09382 & 0.70971 & 0.70472 & 5.2 \\
H2 & 0.03973 & 0.78651 & 0.99716 & 5.6 \\
H3 & 0.49723 & 0.66309 & 0.93580 & 6.0 \\
H4 & 0.35419 & 0.58766 & 0.62443 & 4.9 \\
C21 & 0.70092 & 0.22608 & 0.39270 & 3.4 \\
C22 & 0.60713 & 0.32645 & 0.61462 & 3.6 \\
C23 & 0.50461 & 0.38685 & 0.72850 & 3.2 \\
C24 & 0.60219 & 0.43918 & 0.86589 & 3.3 \\
C25 & 0.77282 & -0.43347 & 0.88618 & 4.5 \\
C26 & 0.87516 & -0.37335 & 0.76226 & 4.1 \\
C27 & 0.77571 & -0.32103 & 0.62633 & 4.0 \\
C28 & 0.70189 & -0.15883 & 0.23597 & 4.6 \\
C29 & 0.79465 & 0.05663 & 0.01518 & 3.9 \\
C30 & 0.88162 & -0.00127 & -0.10869 & 5.0 \\
C31 & 0.79402 & -0.05240 & -0.22971 & 2.8 \\
C32 & 0.60104 & -0.04520 & -0.22939 & 2.8 \\
C33 & 0.51476 & 0.01035 & -0.12497 & 3.2 \\
C34 & 0.60172 & 0.06401 & 0.00213 & 2.8 \\
N21 & 0.54960 & 0.26841 & 0.46771 & 3.8 \\
& & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccccl}
N 22 & 0.83440 & 0.25505 & 0.48332 & 2.9 \\
N 23 & 0.83677 & 0.11976 & 0.16070 & 3.0 \\
N 24 & 0.55110 & 0.12609 & 0.13911 & 4.0 \\
H 23 & 0.37935 & 0.39904 & 0.69981 & 5.6 \\
H 24 & 0.54009 & 0.48501 & 0.95556 & 5.5 \\
H 25 & 0.82341 & 0.47091 & 0.98368 & 3.8 \\
H 26 & 0.99327 & 0.37071 & 0.76229 & 6.1 \\
H30 & 1.00200 & -0.00373 & -0.10609 & 5.0 \\
H31 & 0.85780 & -0.09673 & -0.30947 & 5.3 \\
H32 & 0.54609 & -0.08858 & -0.30665 & 5.4 \\
H33 & 0.39061 & 0.00783 & -0.12176 & 6.0 \\
O1 & 0.18505 & 0.17183 & 0.49173 & 5.8 \\
O2 & 0.19907 & 0.20079 & 0.23405 & 5.4 \\
C11 & 0.21942 & 0.24413 & 0.12750 & 2.9 \\
Cl2 & 0.17909 & 0.08848 & 0.39020 & 3.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\frac{\mathrm{Cl} 2}{\text { a) } B_{c q}=\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\left(\frac{B_{11}}{\left(A^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{B_{22}}{\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}+\frac{B_{33}}{\left(C^{*}\right)^{2}}\right)}
\]

Table 6-12. Intramolecular bond distances \((\AA)\) and angles (deg) of \(\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\right)(\mathrm{TCNQ})\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) 0.7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.7\).
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{c}{ TCNQ } & \multicolumn{3}{c}{\(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{BBIM}^{+}\)} \\
\hline \hline C1-C2 & \(1.409(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 28\) & \(1.433(5)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 33-\mathrm{C} 34\) & \(1.363(4)\) \\
C1-C3 & \(1.411(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{N} 22\) & \(1.257(4)\) & \(\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 5\) & \(0.641(6)\) \\
C1-C4 & \(1.412(4)\) & \(\mathrm{N} 22-\mathrm{C} 27\) & \(1.433(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{H} 23\) & \(0.996(7)\) \\
C2-N11 & \(1.199(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 27-\mathrm{C} 22\) & \(1.298(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{H} 24\) & \(1.036(6)\) \\
C3-N12 & \(1.137(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 21\) & \(1.360(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 25-\mathrm{H} 25\) & \(0.927(8)\) \\
C4-C5 & \(1.399(4)\) & \(\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{C} 21\) & \(1.407(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{H} 26\) & \(0.905(6)\) \\
C5-C6 & \(1.387(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{C} 23\) & \(1.432(4)\) & \(\mathrm{N} 23-\mathrm{H} 7\) & \(0.765(6)\) \\
C6-C7 & \(1.461(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{C} 24\) & \(1.407(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 30-\mathrm{H} 30\) & \(0.923(7)\) \\
C7-C8 & \(1.466(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 25\) & \(1.318(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 31-\mathrm{H} 31\) & \(0.991(8)\) \\
C8-C9 & \(1.321(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 25-\mathrm{C} 26\) & \(1.439(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 32-\mathrm{H} 32\) & \(1.003(8)\) \\
C9-C4 & \(1.421(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{C} 27\) & \(1.413(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 33-\mathrm{H} 33\) & \(0.953(9)\) \\
C7-C10 & \(1.366(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 28-\mathrm{N} 23\) & \(1.274(4)\) & \(\mathrm{N} 24-\mathrm{H} 8\) & \(0.689(8)\) \\
C10-C11 & \(1.472(4)\) & \(\mathrm{N} 23-\mathrm{C} 29\) & \(1.400(4)\) & & \\
C10-C12 & \(1.460(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 29-\mathrm{C} 34\) & \(1.486(4)\) & & \\
C11-N13 & \(1.073(4)\) & \(\mathrm{C} 34-\mathrm{N} 24\) & \(1.343(4)\) & & \\
C12-N14 & \(1.137(4)\) & \(\mathrm{N} 24-\mathrm{C} 28\) & \(1.413(4)\) & & \\
& & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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