

Title	Behavioral changes in the termite, <i>Coptotermes formosanus</i> (Isoptera), inoculated with six fungal isolates.
Author(s)	Yanagawa, Aya; Fujiwara-Tsujii, Nao; Akino, Toshiharu; Yoshimura, Tsuyoshi; Yanagawa, Takashi; Shimizu, Susumu
Citation	Journal of invertebrate pathology (2011), 107(2): 100-106
Issue Date	2011-06
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/141865">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/141865</a>
Right	© 2011 Elsevier Inc.
Type	Journal Article
Textversion	author

1 TITLE: Musty odor of entomopathogens enhances disease-prevention behaviors in the termite *Coptotermes*  
2 *formosanus*

3 AUTHORS: Aya Yanagawa<sup>1)</sup>, Nao Fujiwara-Tsujii<sup>2)</sup>, Toshiharu Akino<sup>3)</sup>, Tsuyoshi Yoshimura<sup>1)</sup>, Takashi  
4 Yanagawa<sup>4)</sup> and Susumu Shimizu<sup>5)</sup>

5 AFFILIATIONS:

6 1) *Research Institute for Sustainable Humanosphere, Kyoto University, Uji 611-0011, Japan*

7 2) *National Institute of Agrobiological Science, Ohwashi, Tsukuba, 305-0851, Japan*

8 3) *Chemical Ecology Laboratory, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Matsugasaki, Kyoto 606-8585, Japan*

9 4) *Biostatistics Centre Kurume University, Fukuoka, 830-0011, Japan*

10 5) *Institute of Biological Control, Graduate School of Bioenvironmental Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka,*  
11 *812-8581, Japan*

12

13

14 FOOTNOTES TO THE TITLE: Fungal odor enhances termite disease-prevention behavior.

15

16 *Correspondence to: A. Yanagawa, Research Institute for Sustainable Humanosphere, Kyoto University, Uji 611-*  
17 *0011, Japan*

18 E-mail: [ayanagawa@rsh.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:ayanagawa@rsh.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

19 Tel: 81-774-38-3664

20 Fax: 81-774-38-3664

21 **Key Words**

22 *Metarhizium anisopliae*, *Beauveria brongniartii*, *Isaria fumosoroseus*, SPME, fungal odor, *Coptotermes*  
23 *formosanus* Shiraki, disease-prevention behavior

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Termites often eliminate pathogens directly through mutual grooming, and are thereby prevent  
27 infections from entomopathogenic fungi. Our previous study confirmed that the antennae of *Coptotermes*  
28 *formosanus* sensitively responded to the musty odor of entomopathogenic fungi. However, it is unclear if this  
29 odor has any effect on termite behavior. The purpose of this study was to clarify the effects of fungal odor on  
30 termite behavior, especially on conidia removal. The musty odor was prepared as an aqueous solution by  
31 immersing conidia in distilled water. When untreated termites were mixed with fungal-odor-treated termites at a  
32 ratio of 4:1, mutual grooming and attack of treated termites were frequently observed. This indicated that the  
33 fungal odor triggered these behavioral responses. While some components of the fungal odor were found in all  
34 of the entomopathogenic fungi tested, the odor profiles differed among the isolates.

35

36 **1. Introduction**

37 Hygiene behavior plays a key role in insect prevention against pathogens (Oi and Pereira, 1993;  
38 Swanson et al., 2009). Mutual grooming behavior, which has been well studied in termites, is a typical hygiene  
39 behavior (Kramm and West, 1982; Boucias et al., 1996; Shimizu and Yamaji, 2003). Through mutual grooming,  
40 termite workers ingest fungal conidia on the cuticle of a nestmate with the glossae and dispose of them through  
41 their alimentary tract (Yanagawa and Shimizu, 2007; Chouvenc et al., 2009). When together with nest mates,  
42 mutual grooming reduces the chances of termites getting infected by entomopathogenic fungi. This aspect of  
43 termite behavior is one of the key reasons that control of termite populations with entomopathogenic fungi had  
44 so far only limited if any effect. Since such biological approaches are an environment-friendly alternative to the  
45 current chemical control (Verma et al., 2009), it is important to identify the cues that induce termite hygiene  
46 behavior. Although microbes vary greatly with regard to competitive strength, attachment pattern, germination  
47 ability, environmental adaptability, and so on (Clarkson and Charnley, 1996), it is not yet clear what cues lead  
48 termites to notice the presence of entomopathogenic fungi on the cuticle of their nestmates.

49 Termite hygiene behaviors are most likely triggered by chemical information, since most termites are  
50 blind. Recent studies have revealed that termite antennae sensitively respond to the musty odors of  
51 entomopathogenic fungi (Yanagawa et al., 2009; Yanagawa et al., 2010). To understand the role played by  
52 chemical perception in *Coptotermes formosanus* behavior, we investigated whether odor from entomopathogenic  
53 fungi may be the cue that induces termite hygiene behavior. We report here the results from a laboratory study.

54

55 **2. Materials and methods**

56 *2.1 Insects*

57 Mated workers of *C. formosanus* were obtained from a laboratory colony maintained since 2002  
58 (Okayama, Japan) in the dark at 28 °C and more than 85% R.H. at Kyoto University, Japan. Termites were  
59 separated into two groups, A and B, and each group was placed in a Petri dish (90 × 15 mm). At the center of the  
60 dishes was a filter paper (about 90 mm in diam., Whatman No.1) that was impregnated with distilled water  
61 (group A) or an aqueous solution of 0.05% (wt/wt) Nile blue A (group B). They were then kept at 25 °C for 1 to 2  
62 weeks before use in the bioassay. This treatment stained all of the termites in group B blue.

63

64 *2.2 Preparation for collecting fungal odor*

65 Three isolates of highly virulent entomopathogenic fungi, *M. anisopliae* 455, *I. fumosorosae* K3 and *B.*  
66 *brongniartii* 782, and three low-virulence isolates, *M. anisopliae* UZ, *I. fumosorosae* 8555 and *B. bassiana*  
67 F1214 were selected. Termites show 90–100% mortality on highly virulent fungi and 10-50% mortality on low  
68 virulent fungi at 7 days after treatment, and there are 10- to 100-fold difference in LC<sub>50</sub> between lower- and  
69 higher- virulence fungi when 5 termites are kept in a dish (for further information see Yanagawa and Shimizu  
70 2005; Yanagawa et al., submitted).

71 All of the *Metarhizium*, *Isaria* and *Beauveria* fungi were maintained on L-broth agar (1% polypeptone,  
72 0.3% yeast extract, 2.0% sucrose, 0.5% NaCl, 2.0% agar) at 25 °C. Entomopathogenic fungal conidia were

73 harvested with a brush from 10- to 15-day-old cultures and suspended in distilled water. About 3 ml of conidia  
74 suspensions, which contained  $1.59 \times 10^7 - 3.94 \times 10^8$  conidia/ml, could be collected from one culture. The  
75 conidial suspensions were left overnight at 25 °C and the conidia were then removed through a 0.2 µm filter unit  
76 (Dismic-13CP, Advantec, Japan). Volatiles trapped in these filtered solutions were used for fungal odor.

77 One ml of distilled water was prepared as control solution W. Another distilled water solution was  
78 prepared by gently washing the surface of solid L-broth agar that had not been inoculated with  
79 entomopathogenic fungi as control solution L. These two control solutions were left overnight and filtered as  
80 described above.

81

### 82 *2.3 Comparison of grooming behavior among the 6 isolates*

83 In this assay, groups of four workers treated with control solution and one worker treated with fungus  
84 odor were kept in a Petri dish (35 × 15 mm) and their touching frequency was monitored. The odor-treated  
85 termites were taken from the blue-stained termite group B, all termites treated with control solution originated  
86 from the unstained group A. As a control, one termite in group B was treated with control solution W or L and  
87 added to four termites from group A treated with control solution W, and their touching frequency was estimated.

88 For treatment, termites were collected from the Petri dishes and put in 1 ml microcentrifuge tubes  
89 containing a fungus-odor solution. The termites were submerged in the solution with gentle swirling for 5  
90 seconds and allowed to dry on Whatman filter paper. The treated termite groups were then partitioned into the

91 dishes. After treatment, five termites were placed in Petri dishes and covered with a cardboard box during the  
92 experiment to reduce the effect of room light on termite movements. The termites were then left for 15 minutes  
93 to reduce the impact of the artificial treatment. Since it was impractical to observe and estimate the level of  
94 grooming behavior for the entire duration of termite activity, the frequency at which the termites touched each  
95 other was counted on photographs taken every 30 sec for 15 min. Only termites for which the mouth parts  
96 touched their nestmates were counted. A total of 30 photographs were taken per dish to clarify differences in  
97 grooming behavior among the 6 isolates in addition to the two control solutions. Data were obtained from 20  
98 replicates, thus 800 termites were used.

99

#### 100 *2.4 Comparison of disease-preventive behaviors and other responses*

101 Daily observation of other hygiene behaviors was conducted using the same assay model as described  
102 above; four termites treated with control solution from group A were allowed to contact a single fungus odor-  
103 treated nestmate from group B, and their behaviors were observed. Dead individuals were not removed and the  
104 responses of other termites to the dead individual were also observed. Attack, cannibalism, burial and death  
105 caused by contact with the odor-treated termite in a dish were observed for a week, and the number of dead  
106 individuals and the duration until the first dead individual was found were estimated. The death rate was  
107 calculated both for all of the individuals in a dish and for only the odor-treated individual in a dish. Attacks were  
108 determined by the loss of body parts, and therefore cannibalism only included the eating of a dead body. As a

109 control, one termite in group B treated with control solution W (distilled water solution) or L (distilled water  
110 solution treated with L-broth medium) and four termites in group A treated with distilled water solution were  
111 placed in a dish. Data were obtained from 20 replicates.

112

### 113 *2.5 Estimation of fungal volatiles*

114 Volatiles from entomopathogenic fungal conidia collected in water were extracted with SPME fiber.

115 SPME fiber coated with 100 µm polydimethylsiloxane (Red: 100 m; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) was  
116 used to sample the volatiles in the solution. A SPME fiber was immersed in 3ml of each of the solutions  
117 containing odor substances for 30 min at room temperature.

118 The gas chromatography apparatus was a GC-14A equipped with a polar capillary column, DB-WAX  
119 (30m length, 0.25 mm diameter, 0.25 µm film thickness; J & W Scientific, Inc.) and a flame ionization detector.  
120 Helium was used as the carrier gas. The SPME fiber was inserted into the GC injection port kept at 200 °C for 1  
121 min in splitless mode with a detector temperature of 220 °C. The column oven was programmed to hold at 40 °C  
122 for 5 min, to increase at 10 °C/min to 180 °C and then 20 °C/min to 220 °C, and finally to hold for 10 min at 220  
123 °C. The substances collected from the solutions were also analyzed by a Shimadzu QP5000 GC-MS system  
124 (Shimadzu, Japan) equipped with a polar capillary column (DB-WAX polar column). Helium was used as a  
125 carrier gas at a flow rate of 50ml/min. The 70 eV EI spectra were recorded at a rate of 0.5s per scan. Volatile



126 compounds were identified by comparison to the mass spectra and retention times of authentic compounds,  
127 which were purchased from Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan).

128

## 129 *2.6 Statistical analysis*

130 To compare the differences in the grooming behavior of termites, a Poisson regression (Proc  
131 GENMOD, SAS institute, 1999) was applied. For the analysis of behavioral differences and the number of dead  
132 individuals, a logistic regression was applied and the survival time of the first individual to die, i.e., the duration  
133 until the first dead individual was found, was analyzed by a Cox regression model using JMP 6.0 software (SAS).  
134 The differences between control groups, which were treated with distilled water and broth solution, and the odor-  
135 treated groups were described in terms of fungal odor parameters, and the differences among the 6 isolates were  
136 examined with respect to genera, isolates and virulence.

137

## 138 **3. Results**

### 139 *3.1 Comparison of grooming behavior among the 6 isolates*

140 Grooming behavior was estimated in terms of the touching frequency among 5 termites in a dish  
141 consisting of one odor-treated and four untreated termites. The frequency of mutual touching in a group is  
142 presented in Fig. 1 (A) and that toward one odor-treated termite by its four nestmates was presented in Fig 1(B).  
143 There was no statistically significant difference in the touching frequency between the two control groups, which

144 included termites treated with control solutions W and L ( $p = 0.077$  in Fig.1 A,  $p = 0.517$  in Fig.1 B). On the  
145 other hand, the touching frequencies in a dish containing a fungus odor-treated termite were significantly greater  
146 than those of the two controls (Fig. 1 A.  $p < 0.001$  in Table 1(a); fungal odor parameter). Significant differences  
147 in touching frequency were observed among the genera (*Metarhizium*, *Isaria* and *Beauveria*;  $p < 0.001$  in Table  
148 1(a); genus parameter) and isolates (*M. anisopliae* UZ, *M. anisopliae* 455, *I. fumosorosae* 8555, *I. fumosorosae*  
149 K3, *B. bassiana* F1214 and *B. brongniartii* 782;  $p < 0.001$  in Table 1(a); isolate parameter) in odor-treated  
150 groups. However, though the frequency at which other termites touched the treated termite in a mixed group was  
151 clearly greater than that in the control (Fig. 1B,  $p < 0.001$  in Table 1(b); fungal odor parameter), no difference  
152 was seen among genera, isolates or the level of fungal virulence (Table 1(b); genus, isolate and virulence  
153 parameters). The odor substances induced high levels of grooming activity.

154

### 155 3.2 Comparison of disease-preventive behaviors and other responses

156 The changes in termite behavior caused by the fungal odor over 7 days after treatment are illustrated  
157 in Fig. 2, and the mortality rates and survival time are shown in Table 2. Attack, cannibalism and burial  
158 behaviors were not observed in either of the two control groups. Attack behavior increased significantly upon  
159 treatment (Table 3,  $p < 0.015$ ; fungal odor parameter). While this increase in attack behavior was specific to the  
160 isolates of entomopathogenic fungi, it was not influenced by the fungal genera or the level of virulence (Table 3,  
161  $p = 0.026$ ; isolate parameter;  $p = 0.826$  and  $p = 0.613$ ; genus and virulence parameters, respectively). On the

162 other hand, treatment with fungal odor did not alter the burial and cannibalism behaviors (Table 3,  $p = 0.055$  and  
163  $p = 0.169$ ; fungal odor parameter, respectively).

164 The number of dead individuals also depended on the specific fungal isolate (Fig. 3 and Table 3,  $p <$   
165  $0.001$ ; isolate parameter). As in the results regarding behavioral alterations, there was no difference in the  
166 number of deaths between the two controls ( $p = 0.165$ , t-test). Death here was caused by attack from their  
167 nestmates since conidia were removed from the solutions and no physical pathogen was present in this study.  
168 Therefore, the pattern for the number of dead individuals parallels that of attack behavior. No remarkable  
169 difference was seen in the survival time until the first dead individual was discovered (Fig. 4 and Table 3,  $p =$   
170  $0.166$ ; fungal odor parameter).

171

### 172 *3.3 Estimation of fungal volatiles*

173 Gas chromatograms obtained for volatiles from the 6 fungal isolates extracted by SPME fiber are  
174 shown in Fig. 1. 3-Octanone, 3-octanol and 1-octen-3-ol (1; RT = 9.2 min, 2; RT = 11.9 min, 3; RT = 12.8 min,  
175 respectively) were estimated by comparison to the mass spectra and retention times of authentic compounds.  
176 The most common chemical, which all fungi possess, was 1-octen-3-ol (No. 3 in Fig. 5, Retention time = 12.8  
177 min). The peak at a retention time of 9.2 min (No. 1 in Fig. 5) was found with *M. anisopliae* 455, *I. fumosorosae*  
178 K3, *B. brongniartii* 782 and *B. bassiana* F1214, and was considered to be 3-octanone. There was also another  
179 peak at a retention time of 12.0 min (No. 2 and \* in Fig.5) with *I. fumosorosae* K3, *B. brongniartii* 782 and *B.*

180 *bassiana* F1214. The results of mass spectrometry showed that the chemical was 3-octanol in the two isolates of  
181 *Beauveria*, but the chemical peak in *I. fumosorosae* K3 could not been identified (\* in Fig. 5).

182

#### 183 4. Discussion

184 The volatile odor of pathogenic fungi significantly increased termite grooming and attack behavior, which  
185 resulted in an increased number of dead individuals. On the other hand, there were no changes in cannibalism or  
186 burial behavior. This suggests that cannibalism and burial behavior are induced by signals after infection, rather  
187 than by the pathogen itself. These results indicate that odor information affects behavior in the termite *C.*  
188 *formosanus*. Presence of spores on a termite body may also trigger grooming and attack behaviors. There are  
189 certainly differences in response to *Metarhizium* spore by nestmates depending on whether the isolate is virulent  
190 or less virulent. This could also be odor related. In other words, some of the behaviors could also be induced  
191 prior to infection. However odor triggers the remarkable responses as detailed in this study.

192 Grooming behavior was remarkably enhanced by the odor stimuli (Fig. 1 and Table 1 (a)(b); fungal  
193 odor parameters). The termites showed specific changes in behavior related to fungal genera and isolates (Fig. 1  
194 A and Table 1 (a);  $p < 0.001$ ; genus and isolate parameters), but all termites carrying odor were groomed at  
195 similar high rates irrespective of pathogen source (Fig. 1 B and Table 1 b;  $p > 0.05$ ; genus, isolate and virulence  
196 parameters). This may have been because the termites could not find an actual target to groom since there were  
197 no conidia for the termites to remove from the surface of their nestmate. However, they still sensed something

198 unusual in the population, and thus there was an increase in overall grooming behavior. Rosengaus et al. (1999)  
199 reported an increase in pathogen alarm behavior by the termite *Zootermopsis angusticollis* using *M. anisopliae*.  
200 In their study, termites were not allowed to directly contact treated individuals, and they showed an enhanced  
201 vibration display. This increase in the vibration display may have been caused by chemical perception of the  
202 pathogen. In our study, attack behavior and the number of dead individuals also increased by having the fungal  
203 odor-treated nestmate in the population (Table 3; fungal odor parameter for attacks and number of deaths).  
204 According to Myles (2002), alarm, grooming, attack and burial behaviors occur as an interactional sequence. In  
205 contrast, our results suggest that these behaviors may be regulated by different neural mechanisms, since burial  
206 and cannibalism behaviors were not enhanced by treatment with the fungal odor solution (Table 3,  $p = 0.055$  and  
207  $p = 0.169$ ; fungal odor parameter for burial and cannibalism, respectively). For grooming to be effective as a  
208 preventive strategy, it has to be triggered as early as possible. Most effective would be to respond simply due to  
209 the presence of fungal conidia or their odor. Grooming and attacking behaviors are probably enhanced by signals  
210 before infection, and cannibalism and burial behavior are enhanced by signals after infection. These chemical  
211 compounds, which induce aggressive behavior in termites, may lead to new strategies for managing termites.

212 A statistical analysis showed that the number of dead individuals was correlated with virulence (Table  
213 3,  $p = 0.038$ ; virulence parameter for the number of deaths). This correlation, however, appeared to be very  
214 complicated. For example, in *Metarhizium*, dead individuals were only found with the weak virulent UZ isolate,  
215 while in *Isaria* and *Beauveria*, more termites died due to treatment with the odor of the high-virulence isolates

216 K3 and 782 (Fig. 3). While several studies have reported that termites are repelled by the odor of strongly  
217 virulent entomopathogenic fungi (Myles 2002; Mburu et al., 2009), the more isolates one examines the more  
218 complicated the picture can become. Contrasting outcomes between studies are common; it often comes down to  
219 which isolates were used. Our previous study showed that fungal virulence did not significantly affect termite  
220 behavior towards these conidia (Yanagawa et al., submitted). The solutions, however, also contain exotoxins of  
221 the pathogens and high molecular compounds, which will be recognized by gustatory receptors and thus the  
222 interaction between all these factors is still ambiguous. Our results here suggest just that termites cannot identify  
223 differences in virulence solely from their odor. Besides, the observation indicates that odor of dead individuals  
224 affects the behavior of the termites. Although the biological control using fungal agent has not been successful  
225 yet (Chouvenc and Su, 2010), if we learn more of the termite habitat, it may bring new possibilities to use  
226 entomopathogenic fungi for the biological control of termites.

227           Although the SPME technique has its limitations (Pedrini et al., 2007), it provided the best chance to  
228 estimate soluble chemical volatiles in the applied solution. While the substances on the surface of  
229 entomopathogenic fungi have been well examined by HPLC (Hallsworth and Magan 1993, 1997; Crespo and  
230 Cafferata 2000), little information is available regarding the volatile compounds of entomopathogenic fungi.  
231 This study used a novel approach to examine the effects of entomopathogenic fungi on termites by using an odor  
232 solution. Among the volatile compounds themselves, 3-octanol was only seen with *Beauveria*. We could only  
233 identify a few chemicals, but were not able to determine at what quantities they were present. It seems that the

234 odor emission of fungi changes readily depending e.g. on growing conditions, age of the culture etc. Although  
235 the chemical composition was quite stable, it was difficult to quantify the collected odors. The methods for  
236 quantification and standardization of the fungal odor are necessary for future studies.

237

## 238 **Acknowledgements**

239 This study was supported by Research Fellowships from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science  
240 for Young Scientists. We thank Dr. K. Tsunoda (RISH, Kyoto University, Japan) for his helpful comments on this  
241 study.

242

## 243 **References**

- 244 Boucias, D.G., Stokes, C., Storey, G., Pendland, J.C. 1996. The effects of imidacloprid on the termite  
245 *Reticulitermes flavipes* and its interaction with the mycopathogen *Beauveria bassiana*. Pflanzenschutz-  
246 Nachr. Bayer 49, 103–144.
- 247 Clarkson, J.M., Charnley, A.K. 1996. New insights into the mechanisms of fungal pathogenesis in insects.  
248 Trends in Microbiol. 4, 197-203.
- 249 Chouvenc, T., Su, N-Y., Robert, A. 2009. Inhibition of *Metarhizium anisopliae* in the alimentary tract of the  
250 eastern subterranean termite *Reticulitermes flavipes*. Journal of Invertebrate pathology 101, 130-136.
- 251 Chouvenc, T., Su, N-Y. 2010. Apparent synergy among defense mechanisms in subterranean termite

252 (Rhinotermitidae) against epizootic events: limits and potential for biological control. Journal of  
253 Economic Entomology 103(4), 1327-1337.

254 Crespo, R., Cafferata, L.F.R. 2000. Biochemical interaction between entomopathogenic fungi and their insect-  
255 host-like hydrocarbons. Mycologia 92, 528-536.

256 Hallsworth, J.E., Magan, N. 1997. A rapid HPLC protocol for detection of polyols and trehalose. Journal of  
257 Microbiological Methods 29, 7-13.

258 Hallsworth, J.E., Magan, N. 1993. Effects of KCL concentration on accumulation of acyclic sugar alcohols and  
259 trehalose in conidia of three entomopathogenic fungi. Letters in Applied Microbiology 18, 8-11.

260 Kramm, K.R., West, D.F. 1982, Termite pathogens: effects of ingested *Metarhizium*, *Beauveria*, and *Gliocladium*  
261 conidia on worker termite (*Reticulitermes* sp.). J. Invertebr. Pathol. 40, 7–11.

262 Mburu, D.M., Ochola, L., Maniania, N.K., Njagi, P.G.N., Gitonga, L.M., Ndung'u, M.W., Wanjoya, A.K.,  
263 Hassanali, A. 2009. Relationship between virulence and repellency of Entomopathogenic isolates of  
264 *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* to the termite *Macrotermes michaelseni*. J. Insect  
265 Physiol. 55, 774-780.

266 Myles, T.G. 2002. Alarm, aggregation, and defense by *Reticulitermes flavipes* in response to a naturally  
267 occurring isolate of *Metarhizium anisopliae*. Sociobiology 40, 243-255.

268 Oi, D.H., Pereira, R.M. 1993. Ant behaviour and microbial pathogens (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Fla Entomol  
269 76(1), 63-75.



270 Pedrini, N., Crespo, R., Juárez, M.P. 2007. Biochemistry of insect epicuticle degradation by entomopathogenic  
271 fungi. *Comp Biochem Physiol, Part C* 146, 124-137.

272 Rosengaus, R.B., Jordan, C., Lefebvre, M.L., Traniello, J.F.A. 1999. Pathogen alarm behavior in termite: a new  
273 form of communication in social insects. *Naturwissenschaften* 86, 544-548.

274 SAS Institute Inc, 1999. *SAS 9.1.3 Service Pack 1*, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

275 Shimizu, S., Yamaji, M. 2003. Effect of density of the termite, *Reticulitermes speratus* Kolbe (Isoptera:  
276 Rhinotermitidae), on the susceptibilities to *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *Jpn. J. Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 38, 125-  
277 135.

278 Swanson, J.A.I., Torto, B., Kells, S.A., Mesce, K.A., Tumlinson, J.H., Spivak, M. 2009. Odorants that induce  
279 hygienic behaviour in honeybees: Identification of volatile compounds in Chalkbrood-infected honeybee  
280 larvae. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 35, 1108-1116.

281 Verma, M., Sharma, S., Prasad, R. 2009. Biological alternatives for termite control: A review. *Int.*  
282 *Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 63, 959-972.

283 Yanagawa, A., Shimizu, S. 2005. Defense strategy of the termite, *Coptotermes formosanus* Shiraki to  
284 entomopathogenic fungi. *Jpn. J. Environ. Entomol. Zool.* 16, 17-22.

285 Yanagawa, A., Shimizu, S. 2007. Resistance of the termite, *Coptotermes formosanus* Shiraki to *Metarhizium*  
286 *anisopliae* due to grooming. *BioControl* 52, 75-85.

287 Yanagawa, A., Yokohari, F., Shimizu, S. 2009. The role of antennae in removing entomopathogenic fungi from  
288 cuticle of the termite, *Coptotermes formosanus*. J. Insect Sci. 9, Article 6.

289 Yanagawa, A., Yokohari, F., Shimizu, S. 2010. Influence of fungal odor on grooming behavior of the termite,  
290 *Coptotermes formosanus* Shiraki. J. Insect Sci. 10, article4.

291

## 292 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

293 Fig. 1. Comparison of grooming activity in termite groups of 5 individuals which included a termite treated with  
294 the odor substances of 6 different fungal isolates. A: Frequency of touching between all 5 termites in a dish. B:  
295 Frequency at which 4 untreated termites touched one odor-treated termite. Vertical bar represents standard  
296 deviations (n = 20).

297 Fig. 2. Behavioral changes observed in termites treated with the odor substances of 6 fungal isolates.

298 ■: Number of attacked termites in a dish (attack), □: Number of eaten dead bodies in a dish (cannibalism), ■:  
299 Number of buried dead bodies in a dish (burial). Vertical bar represents standard deviations (n = 20).

300 Fig. 3. Mortality of termites in a dish at a 4:1 mixing ratio of untreated and odor-treated termites. Vertical bar  
301 represents standard deviations (n = 20).

302 Fig. 4 Number of days until the first dead individual was found in a dish at a 4:1 mixing ratio of untreated and  
303 odor-treated termites (survival time).

304 Fig. 5. Gas chromatogram of volatiles from A: an empty glass container. B: a glass container containing control  
305 solution L. C: a glass container containing *M. anisopliae* 455 odor-solution. D: a glass container containing *M.*  
306 *anisopliae* UZ odor-solution. E: a glass container containing *I. fumosorosae* K3 odor-solution. F: a glass  
307 container containing *I. fumosorosae* 8555 odor-solution. G: a glass container containing *B. bassiana* 782 odor-  
308 solution. H: a glass container containing *B. brongniartii* F1214 odor-solution.  
309




Fig1




Fig2




Fig3






Fig4


A




B




C




D




E



F



G



H




Fig5



Table 1 Comparison of the frequency of touching (a) between all 5 termites in a dish and (b) of one termite treated with odor from 6 fungal isolates by 4 untreated termites.

Results of Poisson regression

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard error	Wald 95% confident limit		X2	Pr > <chi>2
(a) Touching frequency of all nestmates in a dish							
Fungal odor	1	0.938	0.186	0.574	1.303	25.45	<0.001
Genus	1	-0.356	0.080	-0.513	-0.120	19.92	<0.001
Isolate	1	-0.176	0.038	-0.251	-0.102	21.45	<0.001
Virulence	1	-0.157	0.127	-0.406	0.091	1.54	0.215
(b) Touching frequency to one treated termites in a dish							
Fungal odor	1	1.714	0.468	0.798	2.631	13.45	<0.001
Genus	1	-0.204	0.138	-0.474	0.066	2.19	0.139
Isolate	1	-0.107	0.066	-0.236	0.022	2.62	0.105
Virulence	1	-0.156	0.223	-0.594	0.281	0.49	0.484

Table 2 Occurrence of dead termites in 20 replicates

	control	LB	Isolates					
			<u><i>Metarhizium</i></u>		<u><i>Peacilomyces</i></u>		<u><i>Beauveria</i></u>	
			UZ	455	K3	8555	F1214	782
Total of dead individuals (n= 100)	0	1	3	0	9	0	2	4
First dead individuals								
Treated termite	0	0	1/3	-	3/8	-	0	2/4
Untreated termite	0	1/1	2/3	-	5/8	-	2/2	2/4
Dead individuals; n / total dead individuals (rate in termite group%)								
Treated termite (n=20)	0(0)	0(0)	1/3(5)	0(0)	3/9(15)	0(0)	0(0)	2/4(10)
Untreated termite (n=80)	0(0)	1/1(1.25)	2/3(2.5)	0(0)	6/9(7.5)	0(0)	2/2(2.5)	2/4(2.5)

Table 3 Comparison of differences in termite responses to odors from 6 fungal isolates.  
Results of logistic regression.

	Fungal odor	Parameters		
	(control - treated termite group)	Genus	Isolate	Virulence
<i>Behavioral response</i>				
Attack	$p = 0.015$	$p = 0.826$	$p = 0.026$	$p = 0.613$
Burial	$p = 0.055$	$p = 0.762$	$p = 0.171$	$p = 0.547$
Cannibalism	$p = 0.169$	$p = 0.231$	$p = 0.227$	$p = 0.398$
<i>Other factors</i>				
Number of death	$p = 0.015$	$p = 0.160$	$p < 0.001$	$p = 0.038$
Time until first death	$p = 0.166$	$p = 0.421$	$p = 0.519$	$p = 0.524$