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## On Telgárski＇s formula

## Shinpei Oka <br> Faculty of Education，Kagawa University

The following formula due to R．Telgársky（［3］）is indispensable in dealing with products of scattered spaces．

Theorem 1．Let $X, Y$ be scattered spaces with leng $(X)=\alpha$ and leng $(Y)=\beta$ ．Then
（1）$(X \times Y)^{(\sigma)}=\cup_{\tau \oplus v=\sigma} X^{(\tau)} \times Y^{(v)}$ for every $\sigma$ ．
（2）$(X \times Y)_{(\sigma)}=\cup_{\tau \oplus v=\sigma} X_{(\tau)} \times Y_{(v)}$ for every $\sigma$ ．
（3）leng $(X \times Y)=\sup \{\tau \oplus v+1 \mid \tau<\alpha$ and $v<\beta\}$ ．
The symbol $\oplus$ means Hessenberg＇s sum defined as follows ：
Definition 1．Let $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ be ordinals．Using Cantor＇s normal form，represent $\alpha, \beta$ uniquely as

$$
\alpha=\omega^{\gamma_{1}} n_{1}+\omega^{\gamma_{2}} n_{2}+\cdots+\omega^{\gamma_{k}} n_{k}, \quad \beta=\omega^{\gamma_{2}} m_{1}+\omega^{\gamma_{2}} m_{2}+\cdots+\omega^{\gamma_{k}} m_{k}
$$

$\gamma_{1}>\gamma_{2}>\cdots>\gamma_{k}, \quad 0 \leq n_{i}<\omega, 0 \leq m_{i}<\omega$ ，so that $n_{i}=0=m_{i}$ does not occur． Define

$$
\alpha \oplus \beta=\Sigma_{i=1}^{k} \omega^{\gamma_{i}}\left(n_{i}+m_{i}\right)
$$

Also define $\alpha \oplus 0=0 \oplus \alpha=0$ for every $\alpha$ ．
Hessenberg＇s sum is certainly convinient for describing the derivatives $(X \times Y)^{(\sigma)}$ but not for describing leng $(X \times Y)$ ．With an emphasis on the length of product spaces，we define a binary operation $\pi$ as follows ：

Definition 2．Let $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ be ordinals．Represent $\alpha, \beta$ as in Definition 1．Put

$$
l=\min \left\{\max \left\{i \mid n_{i} \neq 0\right\}, \max \left\{j \mid m_{j} \neq 0\right\}\right\}
$$

and define

$$
\pi(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\Sigma_{i=1}^{l} \omega^{\gamma_{i}}\left(n_{i}+m_{i}\right) & \text { if } l<k \\
\left(\Sigma_{i=1}^{k-1} \omega^{\gamma_{i}}\left(n_{i}+m_{i}\right)\right)+\omega^{\gamma_{k}}\left(n_{k}+m_{k}-1\right) & \text { if } l=k,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $l=k$ is，of course，equivalent to $n_{k} \neq 0 \neq m_{k}$ ．
For convenience，define $\pi(\alpha, 0)=\pi(0, \alpha)=0$ for every ordinal $\alpha$ ．
It is to be noted that，unlike Hessenberg＇s sum，the operation $\pi$ is a countinuous oper－ ation with respect to the order topology．

Now we can restate Telgársky＇s formula as follows ：

Theorem 2. Let $X, Y$ be scattered spaces with $\operatorname{leng}(X)=\alpha$, leng $(Y)=\beta$. Then (1) leng $(X \times Y)=\pi(\alpha, \beta)$.
(2) $(X \times Y)_{(\sigma)}=\cup\left\{X_{(\tau)} \times Y_{(v)} \mid \pi(\tau+1, v+1)=\sigma+1\right\}$ for every ordinal $\sigma$.
(3) $(X \times Y)^{(\sigma)}=\cup\left\{X^{(\tau)} \times Y^{(v)} \mid \pi(\tau+1, v+1)=\sigma+1\right\}$ for every ordinal $\sigma$.

We write simply $\pi(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha * \beta$.
Proposition 1. $\alpha * \beta=\beta * \alpha .(\alpha * \beta) * \gamma=\alpha *(\beta * \gamma)$.
Definition 3. A factorization $\alpha=\beta * \gamma$ of an ordinal $\alpha$ is called trivial if one of $\beta, \gamma$ is 1 (and the other is $\alpha$ ). An ordinal $\alpha$ is called a prime ordinal if $\alpha>1$ and it does not admit a non-trivial factorization.

As far as I know, the following notion was first defined and used by K. Borsuk ([1] also see [2]).

Definition 4. A factorization $X \approx Y \times Z$ of a space $X$ is called trivial if one of $Y, Z$ is a one point space (and the other is homeomorphic to $X$ ). A space $X$ is called a prime space (or simply a prime) if $|X|>1$ and it does not admit a non-trivial factorization.

By the definition of the operation $\pi$ we have
Proposition 2. An ordinal $\alpha$ is a prime ordinal if and only if $\alpha=\omega^{\gamma}+1$ with $\gamma$ an ordinal.

The compact countable metric space $X$ satisfying leng $(X)=\alpha$ and $\left|X^{(\alpha-1)}\right|=\left|X_{(\alpha-1)}\right|=$ $n$ is denoted by $M S(\alpha, n)$. By the uniqueness of $M S(\alpha, n)$ we have $M S(\alpha, n) \approx$ $M S(\alpha, 1) \times M S(1, n)$ and, by Theorem $2, M S(\alpha, 1) \times M S(\beta, 1) \approx M S(\alpha * \beta, 1)$. These facts are combined with Proposition 2 to give

Proposition 3. A compact countable metric space $X$ is a prime if and only if $X \approx$ $M S(1, p)$ with $p$ a prime number or $X \approx M S\left(\omega^{\gamma}+1,1\right)$ with $\gamma$ an ordinal.

Theorem 3. Every non-limit ordinal $\alpha>1$ is factorized uniquely as

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1} * \alpha_{2} * \cdots * \alpha_{j}
$$

into prime ordinals $\alpha_{1} \geq \alpha_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{j}$. In full detail, if the normal form of $\alpha$ is

$$
\alpha=\omega^{\varsigma_{1}} n_{1}+\omega^{\varsigma_{2}} n_{2}+\cdots+\omega^{\varsigma_{k}} n_{k}
$$

with $\zeta_{k}=0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha= & \overbrace{\left(\omega^{\zeta_{1}}+1\right) *\left(\omega^{\zeta_{1}}+1\right) * \cdots *\left(\omega^{\zeta_{1}}+1\right)}^{n_{1}} * \\
& \overbrace{\left(\omega^{\zeta_{2}}+1\right) *\left(\omega^{\zeta_{2}}+1\right) * \cdots *\left(\omega^{\zeta_{2}}+1\right)}^{n_{1}} * \\
& \overbrace{\left(\omega^{\zeta_{k-1}}+1\right) *\left(\omega^{\zeta_{k-1}}+1\right) * \cdots *\left(\omega^{\zeta_{k-1}}+1\right)}^{n_{k}-1} * \\
& \overbrace{2 * 2 * \cdots * 2}^{n_{k-1}} \text {. (Do not confuse } n_{k-1} \text { with } n_{k}-1 .)
\end{aligned}
$$

A translation of the theorem into product spaces is as follows :
Corollary 1. Every compact countable metric space $X$ with $|X|>1$ is factorized uniquely as

$$
X \approx X_{1} \times X_{2} \times \cdots \times X_{j}
$$

into primes $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{j}$. In further detail, if $X=M S(\alpha, n), \alpha=\omega^{\varsigma_{1}} n_{1}+\omega^{\varsigma_{2}} n_{2}+$ $\cdots+\omega^{\zeta_{k}} n_{k}$ with $\zeta_{k}=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \approx & \overbrace{M S\left(\omega^{\zeta_{1}}+1,1\right) \times M S\left(\omega^{\varsigma_{1}}+1,1\right) \times \cdots \times M S\left(\omega^{\varsigma_{1}}+1,1\right)}^{n_{1}} \times \\
& \overbrace{M S\left(\omega^{\varsigma_{2}}+1,1\right) \times M S\left(\omega^{\varsigma_{2}}+1,1\right) \times \cdots \times M S\left(\omega^{\varsigma_{2}}+1,1\right)} \times \\
& \overbrace{M S\left(\omega^{\varsigma_{k-1}}+1,1\right) \times M S\left(\omega^{\varsigma_{k-1}}+1,1\right) \times \cdots \times M S\left(\omega^{\zeta_{k-1}}+1,1\right)}^{n_{n_{k-1}}} \times \\
& \overbrace{M S(2,1) \times M S(2,1) \times \cdots \times M S(2,1)} \times \\
& M S\left(1, p_{1}\right) \times M S\left(1, p_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times M S\left(1, p_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r}$ is the usual factorization of the natural number $n$ into primes.
Examples. Put, for example, $\alpha=\omega^{2}+\omega 2+3$. Then

$$
\omega^{2}+\omega 2+3=\left(\omega^{2}+1\right) *(\omega+1) *(\omega+1) * 2 * 2 .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
M S\left(\omega^{2}+\omega 2+3,1\right)= & M S\left(\omega^{2}+1,1\right) \times M S(\omega+1,1) \times M S(\omega+1,1) \times \\
& M S(2,1) \times M S(2,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M S\left(\omega^{2}+\omega 2+3,6\right)= & M S\left(\omega^{2}+1,1\right) \times M S(\omega+1,1) \times M S(\omega+1,1) \times \\
& M S(2,1) \times M S(2,1) \times M S(1,3) \times M S(1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$
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