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# Farm Size and the Capital Acquisition Problem on New Hampshire Dairy Farms 

By<br>W. K. BURET

Agricultural Experiment Station<br>University of New Hampshire Durham, N. H.

## Summary

1. The broad objective of this study is to explore and, as far as possible, determine the effect of farm size on the ability of farm operators to build up equity in farm capital. The problem is analyzed by use of "representative" dairy farms whose organizations are based on a survey of actual farms. This analysis is supplemented by a report of how dairy farmers actually obtained their initial and subsequent capital.
2. The general relationship between herd size and capital investment is positive. For the farms analyzed, total capital ranged from $\$ 24,000$ at 10 animal units to $\$ 104,000$ at 90 animal units. There was a great overlap in herd sizes between the one-, two-, and three-man farms. As between the one- and two-man farms, total capital at each herd size was not greatly affected by size of labor force. Total capital per animal unit falls rather sharply until a size of about 30 animal units is reached. It then raises as herd size increases. This suggests some diseconomies of scale. Equipment capital. through most of the herd size range, on the two-man farms is higher per animal unit than on the one-man farms. This suggests some tendency to equip men. There was no evidence of capital-labor substitution.
3. Inventories and budgets of one-, two-. and three-man farms of different herd sizes are developed from the survey farms. For these farms there is shown capital, income, potential savings of overators, potential ability to accumulate equity under full credit conditions, ability to meet maximum credit terms of leaders, and ability of farm workers and tenant operators to accumulate the equities required by lenders. The principal hypothesis of this study is substantiated: equity accumulation is easier on the larger farms - indeed. it is only possible there. Accumulation is difficult on all the representative farms. Larger sized farms are also necessary to repay maximum loans from Cooperative Farm Credit, New Hampshire banks, or the Farmers' Home Administration. The necessary size of herd to meet the terms of each lender depends on prices, whether depreciables were bought new or used. and on difference between lenders as to percentage of actual value they will lend on capital. In all cases, obtaining the starter's equity is a problem. Farm workers do not have the saving potential to accumulate the required equity for any of the rebresentative farms. Tenant operators of several of the larger farms might accumulate the required equities in those farms in 20 years but onlv on the largest farm and under the higher prices could it be done in 10 years.
4. The capital accumulation histories of the farmers in the survey are summarized to show how operators of different sized farms and of different ages obtained capital to become established and to grow. A majority of the operators of the large farms got started through inheritance or family aid. A minority used credit heavily. The smaller
operators got started later in life and with less assistance. The larger operators used considerable credit to grow. The smaller ones depended more on saving. There were indications that the time span necessary to accumulate, develop, and maintain the capital represented in the larger farms stretched over more than one generation.
5. The larger size farms have the greater capital accumulation potential. They are better able to meet repayments on either 100 percent or more conservative credit. Under the more conservative credit terms, however, the beginner's equity is a severe obstacle. This equity can be accumulated only if the farmer has the resources of a large farm to use. If farmers are to progress toward full ownership out of earnings, they need the use of large farms. This in turn requires increased suitable rental arrangements or increased use of practically 100 percent credit.
6. Although the analysis is of a sample of New Hampshire dairy farms, these broad conclusions may well have considerable application to the bulk of family operated commercial farms of the United States.

# Farm Size and the Capital Acquisition Problem on New Hampshire Dairy Farms 

By W. K. Burkett*

## Chapter I. Problems and Procedure

## PROBLEMS

FARMS have increased in size very markedly over the past several years, and the purchase prices of physical property used in farming (which is referred to as farm capital in this study) have undergone sharp increases. Taken together these have resulted in greatly expanded capital requirements for individual farms. As a result, several types of questions have developed or become intensified: (1) there are signs of doubt and confusion among farmers and would-be farmers as to whether, and how, to obtain farming capital, (2) there are questions as to whether capital is available and used in ways and amounts to attain the greatest economic efficiency, and (3) there are questions of whether equality of opportunity among farmers and for farmers can be improved. Moreover, public and private agencies serving agriculture are raising questions about their decisions which may modify developments under the first three types of problems.

## PROCEDURE

## Relevant Economic Theory

The most relevant theory in respect to capital accumulation seems to be: (1) the application of marginal analysis to determine optimum capital use within and between farms, and (2) the application of the theory of scale to determine optimum size of farm for capital acquisition. In this study the emphasis is on the latter but the former is not wholly ignored.

[^0]
## Methods

All of the dairy farms in two southeastern towns ${ }^{1}$ and in two northern towns of New Hampshire bordering the Connecticut River were visited and a set of data secured. The emphasis in this survey was on: (1) enumeration and valuation of current physical capital, (2) how the farmers became established as farm operators, and (3) history of progress to their current status. Data from these schedules were used to: (1) indicate actual capital values on farms of different sizes, (2) establish representative farm inventories and budgets from which to study size, capital, income, and capital accumulation relationships, and, (3) record case histories from which some generalization can be made as to how farmers actually have acquired capital. Another source of data for studying size and various capital relationships was Connecticut Bulletin 285.

## Use of Results

The results of this study should be of interest to farmers, prospective farmers, suppliers of farm credit, and those concerned with farm credit policy.

This study develops some fundamental relationships between size, capital, and income on New Hampshire dairy farms, and various aspects of the farmer's problem of obtaining capital. The latter is referred to in this study as the capital accumulation problem.

The results presented in this bulletin cannot be taken as the precise answer to any individual's problem because individual opportunities and abilities differ. Also the prices and costs used here are unlikely to fit exactly any individual situation at present or over time. However, the author believes that a careful reading of this bulletin can give considerable help and guidance in the solution of individual problems.

[^1]
## Chapter II. Capital on Dairy Farms

THE purposes of this chapter are: (1) to describe and analyze the kind and amount of capital on the farms surveyed and (2) to provide a foundation for the representative farms through which capital accumulation is studied in Chapter III.

The information providing the basis for this chapter was obtained from the dairy farms in four New Hampshire towns. The valuing of the current physical capital of each dairy farm was done individually on the farmer's estimate of current purchase value of the item; that is, what he would have to pay if he were to buy it in its present condition. For purposes of this study it was important to keep values between farms consistent, but the value levels secured are probably not consistent with present actual costs. The study farms were predominantly wholesale dairy farms. As far as possible, capital items and labor attributable to non-dairy enterprises or to retail dairying were eliminated when relevant to a particular problem. Since farms varied in the proportion of young stock to milking cows, herd size is expressed as "animal units" - one cow, one bull, or two head of young stock counting as one animal unit.


## HERD SIZE AND CAPITAL

Figure 1 shows the total capital investment as determined from farmer interview of all farms in the survey, plotted against herd size in animal units. Figure 1 also shows a regression line fitted to the plotted points. ${ }^{3}$

Table 1. Total Capital per Animal Unit, Survey Farms

|  | Capital per Animal Unit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Animal | From Calculated | From Operator's <br> Units |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Calculated from inventory lists.


[^2]

Dividing total capital by animal units gives total capital per animal unit as shown in Table 1 and in Figure 2. It may be observed that total capital per animal unit declines rather steeply to at least 30 animal units (a 24 cow herd with replacements ${ }^{4}$ ) and has nearly leveled out by 70 animal units (a 56 cow herd with replacements).

## LABOR FORCE AND CAPITAL

New England dairy farms are commonly organized around one or more full time workers plus various amounts of part-time help. Hence, as an aid to establishing the representative farms, it was decided to study herd size and capital of the survey farms after first grouping them by size of labor force. The labor force was measured in "man months". ${ }^{5}$

## Total Capital

Figure 3 shows the scatter and the regression lines for the survey farms sorted by man months and then plotted for herd size and total capital ${ }^{6}$.

[^3]The amount of total capital for any herd size may be read from these lines. Also, by taking the capital reading for any herd size on the various man month lines one may get some picture of the substitution of capital and labor. On the whole, total capital for a given herd size is not as greatly different between man month groups as might have been expected. Indeed, at some points the larger labor force has more capital for the same herd size. Apparently total capital is closely related to herd size and is not greatly affected by size of labor force.

The points plotted in Figure 3 are identified only by man month ranges. However, when they were labeled with specific months on individual town diagrams there was no discernable pattern in the location of specific numbers of months within the ranges.

Perhaps the most striking feature in Figure 3 is the great overlap of herd size between the labor force groups. The herds handled by successively larger labor forces are about the same sizes at the lower limits of the labor force groupings.

Table 2 and Figure 4 show the total capital per animal unit at different herd sizes for the different man month groups. Two things are of interest here. One is the change in average amount per animal unit as herd size changes within each labor force group. In the $0-11$ month group, capital per animal unit falls sharply with herd size increase within the narrow range of sizes shown. In the $12-23$ month group, capital per animal unit falls fairly sharply at first and then tends to level out. In the $24-35$ month group the fall is more moderate and more continuous. In the $36-47$ month group surprisingly there is a rise in capital per animal unit as herd size increases.

The second thing of interest in Table 2 and Figure 4 is the capital per animal unit at each herd size as between labor force groups. The 12-23 month group uses considerably less capital per animal unit than the 0-11 month group. The $24-35$ month group uses only a little less than the 12-23 month group at the lower herd sizes but the spread widens as herd size increases. The $36-47$ month group at its smaller herd sizes uses less capital per animal unit than the $24-35$ month group but at its larger sizes uses more. This strongly suggests a leveling off of economies in capital use after a herd size of 30 to 40 cows.

## Table 2. Amount of Labor, Herd Size, and Total Capital per Animal Unit, Survey Farms

|  |  | Total Capital per Animal Unit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Animal <br> Units | Farms with 0-11 <br> Man Mos. of Labor | Farms w/ 12-23 <br> Man Mos. Labor | Farms w/ 24-35 <br> Man Mos. Labor | Farms w/36-47 <br> Man Mos. Labor |
| Number | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| 10 | 2,640 | 2,030 |  |  |
| 15 | 2,147 | 1,665 | 1,600 |  |
| 20 | 1,900 | 1,540 | 1,423 |  |
| 30 |  | 1,475 | 1,330 | 992 |
| 40 |  | 1,428 | 1,402 | 1,235 |
| 50 |  | 1,206 | 1,902 |  |
| 60 |  |  | 1,178 |  |
| 70 |  |  | 1,233 |  |
| 80 |  |  |  | 1,275 |



## Equipment Capital

Machinery and equipment is the category in which capital supposedly would be most substituted for labor. Figure 5 shows the scatter and regression ${ }^{7}$ lines for the survey farms sorted by man months of labor and then plotted for herd size and equipment capital. The same information for equipment capital may be read off Figure 5 as could be read off Figure 3 for total capital.

Table 3 and Figure 6 show equipment capital per animal unit under the different labor forces. The same comparisons may be made as were made with total capital. The most interesting feature here is that, except in the largest herd sizes, the $24-35$ month group uses more equipment capital per animal unit than the $12-23$ month group. This suggests a tendency to equip men rather than substitute capital for labor or vice versa. The 12-23 month group may have shown no tendency to reduce equipment capital per animal unit in the larger herds because of a necessity to add large amounts of capital in equipment to attain the larger herd sizes. The $36-47$ month group may have been severely limited in equipment at the lowest herd sizes and may have been encountering diminishing effectiveness of management at the upper herd sizes. A feature common to the $12-23$ and $36-47$ month groups may be transition to larger size with equipment investment leading the way.

[^4]The information in this study is not sufficient to explain all the relationships found between herd size, labor force, total capital and equipment capital. However, it appears that considerably more than the restricted, formalized concepts of production economics may be needed to adequately explain the capital found on farms.

## Table 3. Amount of Labor, Herd Size and Equipment Capital per Animal Unit, Survey Farms

| Animal Units | Equipment Capital per Animal Unit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Farms with 12-23 <br> Man Mo. of Labor | Farms with 24-35 <br> Man Mo. of Labor | Farms with $36-47$ <br> Man Mo. of Labor |
| Numbers | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| 10 | 198. |  |  |
| 15 | 192. | 316. |  |
| 20 | 190. | 270. |  |
| 30 | 187. | 224. |  |
| 40 | 185. | 202. | 152. |
| 50 | 185. | 188. | 166. |
| 60 | 184. | 179. | 174. |
| 70 |  | 172. | 181. |
| 80 |  |  | 185. |




## Chapter III. Farm Size and Capital Aequisition Problems

THIS chapter explores some basic relationships between farm size and capital accumulation potential. Underlying the procedure is a hypothesis that the theory of scale is relevant to the problem. More specifically, as the theory of scale suggests the likelihood of an optimum size of firm (or farm) in the sense of maximizing net income, we may hypothesize that there is also an optimum size in the sense of relationship of potential savings to capital. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

## REPRESENTATIVE FARMS

To study the relationship of scale and capital, farms which are similar in all respects except size must be used. This can be done only by developing synthetic or representative farms. The principal source of data used was the farms surveyed in this study. The intent was to retain the general organization and size pattern of the surveyed farms. However, management was standardized to the extent of using the same production rates and production inputs (feed, fertilizer, etc.) for all farms.

## Development of Inventories and Budgets

The first step was to develop inventories for representative farms which retained, as far as practicable, the size and capital characteristics of the surveyed farms. Labor force, herd size, and capital relationships on these surveyed farms with between 12 and 48 months of labor became the starting point for development of the representative farms. Herd sizes were selected for each of the "man sizes" to cover most of the range of sizes as shown for the respective man month groups in Figures 3 and 5 of Chapter II. The representative farms tend to be a little larger than the survey farms.

The equipment listed for the survey farms of similar labor force and herd size was tallied and used as the basis for the equipment inventories of the representative farms. There was considerable variation even in major items on the survey farms. For instance, some of the larger farms still depended on hay loaders. To some extent this probably was a result of different farms being in different stages of the equipment modernization process. The representative farms use the type of equipment toward which that size group seemed to be moving. This is a divergence from the survey picture in the same direction as that of size mentioned above.

Machinery was not "rationalized" in the sense of using only the most efficient elements of a roughage harvesting system. Hence, there may be some "surplus" of equipment but not more than there appeared to be on the farms surveyed.

[^5]There is no livestock other than dairy cattle on the representative farms. Except for the elimination of bulls, there is little difference from the survey farms. In Chapter II herd size was described in animal units. In the representative farms animal units are reconverted to milking age cows with calves and heifers enough to replace 25 percent of the cows each year. This somewhat overstates the proportion of young stock in the southeastern areas and understates it for the northern areas.

In the case of land, it was considered that quality was so variable and quantity so imperfectly adjusted to needs that it was necessary to resort to a largely synthetic approach. This was done by basing crop and pasture land acreage on roughage requirements of the herd and then adding "other" land (rough brush land) of equal acreage and an appropriate amount for the farmstead.

Buildings were another type of capital for which existing sizes and valuations did not closely fit the present herd size. In the representative inventories, buildings were adjusted to the particular herd size and they were assumed to be modern in design and material. This is another change from the survey farms which should permit a given labor force to handle a little larger herd.

In general, prices in the representative inventories and budgets approximate those of 1952. They may be seen in the several inventories and budgets. Equipment prices were obtained from dealers. Land prices were approximately those obtained on the survey.


## Comparison of Capital on Survey and Representative Farms

Figure 7 shows the regression lines of total capital and herd size for three situations. The middle line is values from the actual survey farms. Above it are the representative farms with depreciables (buildings, improvements, and equipment) at new price. At a lesser distance below are the representative farms with depreciables valued at one-half of new price, as they might be on the average after a period of "normal" times. Equipment on survey farms, on the whole, probably was less than half depreciated since many of the major items were purchased after World War II. No firm statement can be made about buildings.

The complete inventories and budgets for the representative farms are shown in Appendix Table II A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I and III A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I.

## Size, Capital Investment, and Income

Table 4 is a summary of the detailed inventories and shows the relationship of size to capital.

Table 5 shows some frequently calculated types of income measures for the different size farms. With net operator's income as the residual, the effect of size is striking. With $\$ 5.00$ milk the representative farms have significant positive incomes only at the largest herd size of each labor group. With 10 percent higher prices these are still the only sizes that have very acceptable incomes.

## Price - Cost - Income Relationships

It is necessary to examine the price-cost-income relationship for the representative farms. These calculations are shown in Table 6. With other income related to $\$ 5.00$ milk and a hired man's wages allowed the operator, only the 72 -cow farm has costs of less than $\$ 5.00$. With a more reasonable allowance of $\$ 3,000$ to the operator, $\$ 5.25$ milk would about cover costs of the largest herds of each labor group. A milk price of $\$ 5.50$ would about cover the costs of the 2 -man, 40 -cow and 3 -man, 56 -cow herds in addition. We might thus reason that the $\$ 5.50$ price is more appropriate to the cost structure of the representative farms for the long-run. It cannot be determined here whether the costs of the representative farms are too high or the $\$ 5.00$ per cwt. milk too low for the long run, but it does suggest that some of the following figures relative to ability to save or to pay debts from income are on the conservative side for the long-run.

## EQUITY ACCUMULATION POTENTIALS

Table 7 shows the equity accumulation potential of the representative farms. The procedure was to start with net farm income (which is net cash minus depreciation) and subtract an allowance for family living expenses. The remainder is available for interest on capital aud for savings or debt payment. This remainder is then expressed as a percent of total capital.
Table 4. Size and Capital Investment, Representative Farms

| Investment | 1 man farms |  |  | 2 man farms |  |  | 3 man farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 72 cows |
|  | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| buildings and equipment at new price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | 6,370 | 9,015 | 14,450 | 9,520 | 14,450 | 20,920 | 15,290 | 20,920 | 25,435 |
| Farm building and improvements | 17,100 | 23,040 | 33,935 | 23,385 | 33,935 | 45,420 | 34,270 | 45,420 | 56,300 |
| House | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| Cattle | 4,200 | 6,200 | 10,400 | 6,200 | 10,400 | 14,600 | 10,400 | 14,600 | 18.800 |
| Equipment | 7,600 | 9,660 | 15,460 | 9,480 | 12,770 | 16,950 | 12,270 | 16,780 | 22,720 |
| Total | 47,350 | 59,915 | 86,245 | 60,585 | 83,555 | 109,890 | 84,230 | 109,720 | 135,255 |
| buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ New price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | 6,370 | 9,015 | 14,450 | 9,520 | 14,450 | 20,920 | 15,290 | 20,920 | 25,435 |
| Farm building and improvements | 8,600 | 11,520 | 16,970 | 11,690 | 16,970 | 22,710 | 17,135 | 22,710 | 28,150 |
| House | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| Cattle | 4,200 | 6,200 | 10,400 | 6,200 | 10,400 | 14,600 | 10,400 | 14,600 | 18,800 |
| Equipment | 3,840 | 4,830 | 7,730 | 4,740 | 6,385 | 8,475 | 6,135 | 8,390 | 11,360 |
| Total | 28,840 | 37,565 | 55,550 | 38,150 | 54,205 | 72,705 | 54,960 | 72,620 | 89,745 |

Table 5. Size and Income, Representative Farms

|  | 1 man farms |  |  | 2 man farms |  |  | 3 man farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 72 cows |
|  | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| With milk $\$ 5.00$ per cwt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total cash income | 7,322 | 11,026 | 18,458 | 11,026 | 18,458 | 25,880 | 18,458 | 25,880 | 33,312 |
| Total cash expenses | 4,934 | 6,989 | 11,043 | 8,872 | 12,684 | 17,594 | 14,665 | 18,814 | 22,456 |
| Net cash income | 2,388 | 4,037 | 7,415 | 2,154 | 5,774 | 8,286 | 3,793 | 7,066 | 10,856 |
| Depreciation | 1,394 | 1,758 | 2,623 | 1,727 | 2,388 | 3,119 | 2,299 | 3,110 | 10,8,911 |
| Net farm income | 994 | 2,279 | 4,792 | 427 | 3,386 | 5,167 | 1,494 | 3,956 | 6,945 |
| Int. on investment ${ }^{1}$ | 1,450 | 1,878 | 2,777 | 1,908 | 2,710 | 3,635 | 2,748 | 3,631 | 4,487 |
| Net operator income | -456 | 401 | 2,015 | -1,481 | 676 | 1,532 | $-1,254$ | 325 | 2,458 |
| With $10 \%$ higher prices received |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total cash income | 8,054 | 12,129 | 20,304 | 12,129 | 20,304 | 28,468 | 20,304 | 28,468 | 36,643 |
| Total cash expenses | 4,934 | 6.989 | 11,043 | 8,872 | 12,684 | 17,594 | 14,665 | 18,814 | 22,456 |
| Net cash income | 3,120 | 5,140 | 9.261 | 3,257 | 7,620 | 10,874 | 5,639 | 9.654 | 14,187 |
| Depreciation | 1,395 | 1,758 | 2,623 | 1,727 | 2,388 | 3,119 | 2,299 | 3,110 | 3,911 |
| Net farm income | 1,725 | 3,382 | 6,638 | 1,530 | 5,232 | 7,755 | 3,340 | 6,544 | 10.276 |
| Interest on investment ${ }^{1}$ | 1,450 | 1,878 | 2,777 | 1,908 | 2,710 | 3,635 | 2,748 | 3,631 | 4,487 |
| Net operator income | 275 | 1,504 | 3,861 | -378 | 2,522 | 4,120 | 592 | 2,913 | 5,789 |
| With $10 \%$ lower prices received |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total cash income | 6,590 | 9,923 | 16,612 | 9,923 | 16,612 | 23,292 | 16,612 | 23,292 | 29,981 |
| Total cash expenses | 4,934 | 6,989 | 11,043 | 8,872 | 12,684 | 17,594 | 14,665 | 18,814 | 22,456 |
| Net cash income | 1,656 | 2,934 | 5,569 | 1,051 | 3,928 | 5,698 | 1,947 | 4,478 | 7,525 |
| Depreciation | 1,395 | 1,758 | 2.623 | 1,727 | 2,388 | 3,119 | 2,299 | 3,110 | 3,911 |
| Net farm income Interest on investment ${ }^{1}$ | 261 | 1,176 | 2,946 | -676 | 1,540 | 2,579 | $-352$ | 1,368 | 3,614 |
| Interest on investment ${ }^{1}$ Net operator income | 1,448 | 1,878 | 2,777 | 1,908 | 2,710 | 3,635 | 2,748 | 3,631 | 4,407 |
| Net operator income | -1,187 | -702 | 169 | -2,584 | -1,170 | -1,056 | $-3,100$ | $-2,263$ | $-873$ |

${ }^{1}$ Rate: $5 \%$ on full value of land and cattle. $5 \%$ on $1 / 2$ new value of buildings and machinery.

This is perhaps the most concise means of expressing the relation between size of farm and the operator's net capital accumulation potential. It can be seen that with $\$ 5.00$ milk the smallest herd sizes in each man size group has a negative figure; they cannot quite maintain their capital, let alone acquire equity. It can also be seen that, with $\$ 5.00 \mathrm{milk}$, only the largest herd sizes (and with investment in depreciables at one-half new price) have a residual equal to conventional interest rates. With 10 percent high prices, the largest herds of each man-size group have residuals at least equal to conventional interest with depreciables at new price. When depreciables are at half new price the two and three man middle-size herds are also included. Note that the smallest farms have a slight negative figure; that the largest farms have left more than a conventional interest rate, that is, they have some margin for accumulation; and that there is a greater gain from having a large size herd per man than from increasing the "man size".

## With 100 Percent Debt Amortized

It is necessary to determine what these figures mean in terms of ability to amortize credit. Assuming that all capital is borrowed, could the debt be amortized and how long would repayment take? With a 3 percent average interest cost in the amortization, $\$ 5.00$ milk, and depreciables at new price, Table 8 indicates that more than a lifetime would be needed on the largest farms of each man size group, while the others have no repayment potential at all. With $\$ 5.00$ milk and depreciables at one-half new price, the largest farms of each man size can about pay off in a working life. With $\$ 5.50$ milk and depreciables at new price, the largest farms of each man size group can accumulate full equity in a working life; with depreciables at one-half new price, the middle sizes of two and three-man farms can also pay off in a working life; while the largest farms of each man size group can accumulate full equity in less than 20 years.
Table 6. Size and Cost s, Representative Farms

${ }^{1}$ With non-milk income $10 \%$ higher the cost of production per cwt. would drop, in each case, by 5 cents.
$\underset{\text { Table 7. Size and Equity Accumulation Potential, Representative Farms }}{\text { (with potential savings shown as a percent of total capital) }}$

|  | 1 man farms |  |  | 2 man farms |  |  | 3 man farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 72 cows |
|  | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| WITH MILK $\$ 5.00$ PER CWT. Net farm income ${ }^{1}$ | 994 | 2,279 | 4.792 | 427 | 3,386 | 5,167 | 1,494 | 3,956 | 6,945 |
| Family living allowance | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| Remainder available for interest, savings, debt payment | -806 | 479 | 2,992 | -1,373 | 1,586 | 3,367 | -306 | 2,156 | 5,145 |
| The remainder as \% of total capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With building and equipment at new price | -1.70 | 0.80 | 3.47 | $-2.23$ | 1.90 | 3.06 | -0.36 | 1.97 | 3.80 |
| With building and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price | $-2.78$ | 1.28 | 5.39 | $-3.54$ | 2.92 | 4.63 | $-0.56$ | 2.97 | 5.73 |
| WITH $10 \%$ higher prices received |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net farm income ${ }^{1}$ Family living allowance | 1,725 1,800 | 3,382 1,800 | 6,638 1,800 | 1,500 | 5,232 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,544 \\ & 1,800 \end{aligned}$ | 10,275 1,800 |
| Remainder available for interest, savings, debt payment | -75 | 1,582 | 4,838 | $-249$ | 3,432 | 5,955 | 1,540 | 4,744 | 8,475 |
| The remainder as \% of total capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With building and equipment at new price | -0.16 | 2.64 | 5.61 | -0.41 | 4.11 | 5.42 | 1.83 | 4.32 | 6.27 |
| With building and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price | -0.26 | 4.21 | 8.71 | $-0.65$ | 6.33 | 8.19 | 2.80 | 6.53 | 9.44 |

${ }^{1}$ Net cash income minus depreciation.
Table 8a. Size and Equity Accumulation Potential, Representative Farms (approximate number of years to amortize a 100 percent loan)

2 Less than full interest rate is paid in average years if principal is beirg retired.
Table 8b. Size and Equity Accumulation Potential, Representative Farms
(approximate number of years to amortize a 100 percent loan)

2 Less than full interest rate is paid in average year, if principal is being retired.

# Chapter IV. Size and Ability to Meet Conventional Credit Terms 

HAVING developed basic relationships between farm size and capital accumulation potential, we may now examine the ability of these same farms to meet conventional credit terms and to acquire ownership through them. This may be worth while in these respects: (1) individuals trying to obtain the use of and equity in farming capital are faced with these credit terms and (2) lenders may be interested in adjusting their credit terms more closely to fundamental capital accumulation potentials. In the following sections the practical maximum credit terms of the three most prevalent lending institutions are compared with the farms' abilities to pay.

## Ability to Meet Cooperative Farm Credit Terms

The credit terms in Table 9a are: (1) real estate, Federal Land Bank loans of 50 percent of market value, interest at $41 / 2$ percent, "Springfield plan" ${ }^{3}$ amortization over a 33 -year period with two payments per year; (2) livestock and equipment, Production Credit loans of 50 percent market value, interest at $51 / 2$ percent, repayment over a five-year period. Table 9a shows that, with $\$ 5.00$ milk and depreciables at new price, only the largest one-man and three-man farms conld meet the repayment terms. With the same milk price and depreciables at one-half new price, the middle size farms also can approximately make the payments. It should be noted that this is the first year payments which, under the Springfield amortization plan, are the highest of any year. With $\$ 5.50$ milk, as shown in the lower part of Table 9a, a few more sizes are able to meet the repayment schedules.

In Table 9b the representative farms' abilities to pay are compared with the Land Bank regular (constant total) amortization payments rather than the Springfield (diminishing total) amortization payments. This reduces the first year's payments somewhat and puts three more of the sizes in a position to approximately meet the payments at the $\$ 5.00$ price level and one more at the $\$ 5.50$ price level. Of course it enables the others to do so with more margin than they had before.

## Ability to Meet Bank Terms

The credit terms applied in Table 10 are: (1) real estate loans equal to 70 percent of market value, interest at 5 percent, amortization over a 20 year period with monthly payments; (2) livestock and equipment loans equal to 50 percent of market value, interest at 6 percent, amortization over a five-year period with monthly payments.

[^6]NOTE: Cases in which repayments could be met, are underlined.
a. Depreciables at new price.
b. Depreciables at $1 / 2$ new price.
Table 9a. Size and Ability to Meet Credit Terms. Representative Farms (With Cooperative Farm Credit - "Springfield" plan)

|  | 1 man farms |  |  | 2 man farms |  |  | 3 man farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 72 cows |
|  | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| WITH MILK $\$ 5.00$ PER CWT. <br> Net cash income minus living allowance | 588 | 2,237 | 5,615 | 354 | 3,794 | 6,486 | 1,993 | 5,266 | 9,056 |
| Total first year payment on real estate | a. 1,323 <br> b. $\quad 780$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,644 \\ 990 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,254 \\ & 1,396 \end{aligned}$ | 1,676 1,015 | 2,254 1,396 | 2,924 1,852 | 2,298 1,434 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,924 \\ & 1,852 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,499 \\ & 2,224 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total first year payment on livestock and | equipment <br> a. 1,514 <br> b. 1,025 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,022 \\ & 1,405 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,297 \\ & 2,311 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,999 \\ & 1,394 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,954 \\ & 2,140 \end{aligned}$ | 4,022 2,942 | 2,890 2,108 | 4,000 2,931 | 5,293 3,845 |
| Combined first year payments | a. 2,837 <br> b. 1,805 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,666 \\ & 2,396 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,551 \\ & 3,707 \end{aligned}$ | 3,675 2,409 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,208 \\ & 3,536 \end{aligned}$ | 6,946 4,794 | 5,188 3,542 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,924 \\ & 4,783 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,792 \\ & 6,069 \end{aligned}$ |
| Remainder for depreciation and savings | a. $-2,249$ | -1,429 | 64 | -3,321 | -1,504 | -460 | $-3,195$ | -1,658 | 264 |
|  | b. $-1,217$ | -159 | 1,908 | $-2,045$ | 258 | 1,692 | -1,549 | 483 | 2,987 |
| WITH $10 \%$ higher prices received <br> Net cash income minus living allowance | 1,320 | 3,340 | 7,461 | 1,457 | 5,820 | 9,074 | 3,839 | 7,854 | 12,387 |
| Combined first year payments | a. 2,837 <br> b. 1,805 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,666 \\ & 2,396 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,551 \\ & 3,707 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,675 \\ & 2,409 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,208 \\ & 3,536 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,946 \\ & 4,794 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,188 \\ & 3,542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,924 \\ & 4,783 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,792 \\ & 6,069 \end{aligned}$ |
| Remainder for depreciation and savings | -1,517 | -326 | 1,910 | -2,218 | 612 | 2,128 | -1,349 | 930 | 3,595 |
|  | -485 | 944 | 3,754 | -952 | 2,284 | 4,280 | 297 | 3,071 | 6,318 |

Table 9b. Size and Ability to Meet Credit Terms, Representative Farms (With Cooperative Farm Credit - Regular Amortization Plan)

NOTE: Cases in which repayments could be met, are underlined.
a. Depreciables at new price.
b. Depreciables at $1 / 2$ new price.

With these terms, $\$ 5.00$ milk, and depreciables at new price, none can make the payments. With depreciables at one-half new price, the 1-man, 40 -cow herd, the 2 -man, 56 -cow herd, and the 3 -man, 72 -cow herd can make them. With incomes related to $\$ 5.50 \mathrm{milk}$ and with depreciables at new price, the largest of each man size can meet the payments. With depreciables at one-half new price, the two larger farms of each man size can make them.

## Ability to Meet Farmers' Home Administration Terms

The credit terms applied here are: (1) real estate loans equal to 100 percent of market value, $41 / 2$ percent interest, amortization over a 40 -year period with twice yearly payments; (2) livestock and equipment loans equal to 100 percent of market value, 5 percent interest, repayment over a 10-year period with constant principal payments anmually, plus interest on the diminishing balance. The repayments indicated are for the first (and highest) year.

With milk at $\$ 5.00$ per cwt. and with depreciables at new price, none of the representative farms are able to meet the first year's payments. With depreciables at one-half new price, the largest farms of each group are able to make the payments. With $\$ 5.50$ milk the largest of each man-size farm is able to meet the payments when depreciables are at new price. When depreciables are at one-half new price, the two larger sizes of each man size can meet the repayment schedule.

There is some problem in applying 10-year repayments for chattels and 40 -year repayments for real estate with depreciables at one-half new price. These time periods are close to the depreciation life of machinery and buildings. Hence, if these time periods are applied to half depreciated equipment and buildings, the operator will have to get more than 5 years' use out of the equipment and more than 20 years out of the buildings, or boost his income over the figures used here, lest he use up machinery and buildings before he has paid for them.

At this point it may be worth while to indicate the extent of agreement between Tables 9, 10, and 11, and Table 8 which showed accumulation potential in terms of years needed to retire principal. Table 8 indicated that, with $\$ 5.00$ milk, only the largest farms of each man size could pay out in a working life time and this with chattels at one-half of new price. Applying Farmers' Home Administration terms as in Table 11, and using the same price and depreciable value assumptions, the same farms plus the middle size farms are able to make the payments. ${ }^{10}$ Table 8 indicated that, with $\$ 5.50$ milk and depreciables at new price, again only the largest farm of each man size could accumulate full equity in a working life. Table 11 agrees that exactly the same farms can meet F.H.A. repayments. Table 8 also showed that with depreciables at one-half new price the 40 cow, one-

[^7]Table 10. Size and Ability to Meet Credit Terms, Representative Farms (With Bank Credit)

|  | 1 man farms |  |  | 2 man farms |  |  | 3 man farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 72 cows |
| WITh milk $\$ 5.00$ Per cwt. | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| Net cash income minus living allowance Total first year payment on real estate | 588 | 2,236 | 5,611 | 354 | 3,794 | 6,486 | 1,993 | 5,266 | 9,056 |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { a. } & 1,966 \\ \text { b. } & 1,159\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,442 \\ & 1,470 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,347 \\ & 2,074 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,489 \\ & 1,508 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,347 \\ & 2,074 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,342 \\ & 2,751 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,412 \\ & 2,130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,342 \\ & 2,751 \end{aligned}$ | 5,196 3,303 |
| Total first year payment on livestock and equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a. 1,378 | 1,840 | 3,000 | 1,819 | 2,688 | 3,661 | 2,630 | 3,641 | 4,817 |
| Combined first year payments | b. 932 | 1,279 | 2,103 | 1,269 | 1,947 | 2,677 | 1,918 | 2,667 | 3,499 |
| Remainder for depreciation and savings | a. 3,344 | 4,282 2,750 | 6,348 | 4.308 | 6,035 | 8,003 | 6,042 | 7,983 | 10,013 |
|  | b. 2,092 | 2,750 | 4,178 | 2,777 | 4,021 | 5,428 | 4,049 | 5,418 | 6,802 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a. }-2,756 \\ & \text { b. }-1,504 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2,046 \\ -514 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -737 \\ \mathbf{1 . 4 3 3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3,954 \\ & -2,423 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2,241 \\ -227 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1,517 \\ 1,058 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $-4,049$ $-2,056$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2,717 \\ -152 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -957 \\ \hline 2,254 \end{array}$ |
| with 10\% higher prices received |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash income minus living allowance Combined first year payments | 1,320 | 3,340 | 7,461 | 1,457 | 5,820 | 9,074 | 3,839 | 7,854 | 12,387 |
| Remainder for Depreciation and savings | a. 3,344 | 4,282 | 6,348 | 4.308 | 6.035 | 8.003 | 6,042 | 7,983 | 10,013 |
|  | b. 2,092 | 2,750 | 4,178 | 2,777 | 4,021 | 5,428 | 4,049 | 5,418 | 6,802 |
|  | a. $-2,024$ | -942 | -1,113 | $-2,851$ | -215 | 1,069 | $-2,203$ | -129 | 2,374 |
|  | b.- 772 | 590 | 3,283 | $-1,320$ | 1,799 | 3,646 | -210 | 2.436 | 5,585 |

[^8]man farm and the two larger two- and three-man farms could accumulate full equity in a working life. Table 11 agrees that these could meet F.H.A. terms. In short, repayment performance under F.H.A. terms is in approximate agreement with the basic equity accumulation potential of the representative farms.

A comparison of Tables 10 and 11 shows that the same farms are able to meet F.H.A. payments on 100 percent loans as are able to meet bank payments on 70 percent real estate and 50 percent chattel loans. There is more margin above payments in meeting the bank terms, however.

## SIZE AND THE BEGINNER'S EQUITY PROBLEM

It was shown in Table 8 that equity accumulation potential is definitely related to size and total capital. The potential was expressed in relation to total capital. This is about the equivalent of an examination of ability to repay assuming 100 percent credit. The Farmers' Home Administration terms used in Table 11 did include 100 percent credit. However, for the most part, the terms available to farmers seeking credit include substantial equity requirements.

In practice, Cooperative Farm Credit in the Northeast tends to loan on a complete farm set-up a maximum of 50 percent of market value of real estate, livestock, and equipment. In practice, New Hampshire banks tend to loan on a complete farm set-up a maximum of 70 percent of real estate and 50 percent of livestock and equipment.

Before examining specific data it should be evident in general terms that the prospective farmer without special aid faces something of a dilemma in how to accumulate capital. Large size and large total capital are necessary to have any capital accumulation potential or debt repayment ability. But the larger the size aspired to, the larger the absolute beginning equity required by most creditors.

The research questions here are, how much capital must the beginner have before the remainder can be borrowed and what are the prospects of obtaining it. The former can be shown with little difficulty and is presented for the representative farms in the first two lines of Tables 12 and 13. The amounts are truly substantial-running from over $\$ 14,000$ to over $\$ 67,000$ to meet Cooperative Farm Credit requirements and from over $\$ 10,000$ to over $\$ 48,000$ to meet bank requirements.

The second question is more difficult. The answer here is framed in these terms: (1) Annual savings necessary to accumulate the required equity in 10 and 20 years with depreciables at new and at one-half of new price are calculated. These are shown in lines three to six of Tables 12 and 13. (2) Potential annual savings of farm workers and of tenant operators are shown in lines seven to nine. Whenever a "potential annual savings" figure exceeds an "annual savings needed" figure in the same column, the equity accumulation is possible for the conditions specified.

## The Hired Man's Accumulation Potential

The hired man is assumed to receive $\$ 2,400$ income and to have living expenses of $\$ 1,800$ plus $\$ 40$ per month house rent. Except for the house rent, these figures are those used in earlier computations with the representative farms. The farm workers' annual saving potential then is only
Table 11. Size and Ability to Meet Credit Terms, Representative Farms (With Farmers' Home Administration Credit)

|  | 1 man farms |  |  | 2 man farms |  |  | 3 man farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 72 cows |
| WITH MILK $\$ 5.00$ PER CWT. <br> Net cash income minus living allowance Total first year payment on real estate | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
|  | 588 | 2,237 | 5,615 | 354 | 3,794 | 6,486 | 1,993 | 5,266 | 9,056 |
|  | a. 1,927 | 2,393 | 3,281 | 2,440 | 3,281 | 4,256 | 3,345 | 4,256 | 5,093 |
|  | b. 1,136 | 1,441 | 2,033 | 1,478 | 2,033 | 2,696 | 2,088 | 2,696 | 3,237 |
| Total first year payment on livestock and equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a. 1,782 | 2,379 | 3,879 | 2,352 | 3,475 | 4,732 | 3,400 | 4,707 | 6,228 |
| Combined first year payments | b. 1,206 | 1,654 | 2,719 | 1,641 | 2,517 | 3,461 | 2,480 | 3,448 | 4,524 |
|  | a. $\quad 3,709$ | 4,772 | 7,160 | 4,792 | 6,756 | 8,989 | 6,745 | 8,963 | 11,321 |
| Remainder for depreciation and savings | b. 2,342 | 3,095 | 4,752 | 3,119 | 4,550 | 6,157 | 4,568 | 6,144 | 7,761 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a. }-3,121 \\ & \text { b. }-1,754 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2,535 \\ -858 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1,545 \\ 863 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $-4,438$ $-2,765$ | $-2,962$ -756 | $\begin{array}{r} -2,503 \\ 329 \end{array}$ | $-4,752$ $-2,575$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3,697 \\ -878 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2,265 \\ 1,295 \end{array}$ |
| WITH $10 \%$ Higher prices received - - - - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash income minus living allowance Combined first year payments | 1,320 | 3,340 | 7,461 | 1,457 | 5,820 | 9,074 | 3,839 | 7,854 | 12,387 |
| Remainder for depreciation and savings | a. 3,709 | 4,772 | 7,160 | 4,792 | 6,756 | 8,989 | 6,745 | 8,963 | 11,321 |
|  | b. 2,342 | 3,095 | 4,752 | 3,119 | 4,550 | 6,157 | 4,568 | 6,144 | 7,761 |
|  | a. $-2,389$ | -1,432 | 301 | -3,335 | -936 | 85 | -2,906 | -1,109 | 1.066 |
|  | b.-1,022 | 245 | 2,709 | -1,662 | 1,270 | 2,917 | -729 | 1,710 | 4,626 |

[^9]$\$ 120$, which is insufficient to accumulate the equity for even the smallest farm. If his house were furnished, and the above wage retained, he could almost reach the bank equity for the one-man, 24 -cow farm in 20 years.

## The Tenant Operator's Accumulation Potential

To get some indication of the potential annual savings of tenant operators, some assumptions have to be made. The assumptions used are: (l) the farms "rented" are the representative farms dealt with so far, (2) the tenants are substantially without capital, so the farm owner furnishes real estate, livestock, and equipment, (3) the lease arrangement used is the New Hampshire stock share lease. ${ }^{11}$ The unshared contributions of each party are added up. Then other expenses and income are divided in the same proportion. Appendix Tables IV-A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I show the division of expenses and income under this arrangement for each representative farm. ${ }^{12}$

Table 12 shows the annual saving needed to accumulate 50 percent in 10 years and in 20 years. It also shows potential tenant operator savings with milk at $\$ 5.00$ and with 10 percent higher prices. Again, whenever a "potential annual saving" figure exceeds an "annual savings needed" figure in the same farm size column, the equity accumulation is possible under the conditions specified to the left of each line of figures.

## 1. Accumulation of $\mathbf{5 0}$ Percent Equity in $\mathbf{1 0}$ Years

A comparison in this manner shows that a 50 percent equity (as commonly required by Cooperative Farm Credit) can be accumulated by a tenant operator in 10 years only with the 3 -man, 72 -cow farm, with depreciables at one-half new price and with $\$ 5.50$ milk.

## 2. Accumulation of 50 Percent Equity in 20 Years

In 20 years, $\$ 5.50 \mathrm{milk}$ permits a 50 percent equity for tenant operators of the 1 -man, 40 -cow, 2 -man, 40 - and 56 -cow, 3 -man, 40 -, 56 -, and 70 -cow farms, if they start with depreciables at 50 percent of new. With the same milk price and depreciables at new value, only the 2 -man, 40 - and 56 -cow and 3 -man, 56 - and 70 -cow farms have the necessary saving potential. With $\$ 5.00$ milk, tenant operators of the 2 -man, 56 -cow and 3 -man, 56 - and 72 cow farms have the necessary saving potential, if they start with depreciables at 50 percent of new. Starting with all depreciables at new price, only the 3 -man, 72 -cow farm has the necessary saving potential.

[^10]Table 12. Size and Beginner's Equity, Representative Farms

|  | 1 man farms |  |  | 2 man farms |  |  | 3 man farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 72 cows |
| with 50\% equity required Amount to be saved: | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a. 23,675 | 29,957 | 43.122 | 30,292 | 41,777 | 54,945 | 42,115 | 54,860 | 67,628 |
|  | b. 14,505 | 18,782 | 27,775 | 19,075 | 27,102 | 36,352 | 27,480 | 36,310 | 44,873 |
| Annual savings needed to equal $50 \%$ of capital, with $3 \%$ int. compounded annually <br> In 10 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a. 2,065 | 2,613 | 3,761 | 2,642 | 3,644 | 4,792 | 3,673 | 4,785 | 5,899 |
|  | b. 1,265 | 1,638 | 2,422 | 1,663 | 2,364 | 3,171 | 2,397 | 3,167 | 3,914 |
| In 20 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a. 881 | 1,114 | 1,604 | 1,127 | 1,554 | 2,044 | 1,567 | 2,041 | 2,516 |
|  | b. 539 | 698 | 1,033 | 709 | 1,008 | 1,352 | 1,022 | 1,351 | 1,669 |
| Potential annual saving of farm worker ${ }^{1}$ | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Potential annual saving of "tenant" operator2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With milk $\$ 5.00$ cwt. | $-678$ | -64 | 869 | -615 | 914 | 1,846 | 324 | 1,592 | 2,977 |
| With 10\% higher prices received | -334 | 410 | 1,534 | -9 | 1,781 | 2,985 | 1,357 | 2,386 | 4,442 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Assuming $\$ 2400$ income; $\$ 1800$ living allowance plus $\$ 480$ house rent. <br> ${ }^{2}$ From tables of landlord-tenant division of expenses and income. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: Double line indicates that sufficient equity can be achieved by "tenant" operator with either p is achieved only with the higher price for milk. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Depreciables at new price. <br> b. Depreciables at $1 / 2$ new price. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13 shows similar data relative to accumulating 30 percent of real estate and 50 percent of equipment and livestock value (as commonly required by New Hampshire banks). Both the number of farm sizes and the number of price and time conditions under which tenant operators have the necessary saving potential are increased as compared to the situation where 50 percent of the value of total capital is required.

## 3. Accumulation of $\mathbf{3 0 - 5 0}$ Percent Equity in $\mathbf{1 0}$ Years

An equity of 30 percent of real estate and 50 percent of livestock and machinery in 10 years is equalled only by the saving of a tenant operator of the 3-man, 72 -cow farm with $\$ 5.50 \mathrm{milk}$, if depreciables are new. If depreciables are at 50 percent of new price, the 2 -man, 40 - and 56 -cow farms and the 3 -man, 56 - and 72 -cow farms can qualify with $\$ 5.50$ milk. If the price of milk is $\$ 5.00$, the only farm to qualify in 10 years is the 3 -man, 72 -cow unit and that only with depreciables at one-half of new value.

## 4. Accumulation of $\mathbf{3 0 - 5 0}$ Percent Equity in $\mathbf{2 0}$ Years

With $\$ 5.50$ milk the 1 -man, 40 -cow, 2 -man, 40 and 56 -cow, and 3 -man, $40-56$-, and 72 -cow farms can qualify in 20 years with depreciables at either 50 or 100 percent of new value. If milk is at $\$ 5.00$ and depreciables at new value, only the 2 -man, 56 -cow, and 3 -man, 56 - and 72 -cow farms have the necessary saving potential. With the same milk prices and depreciables at one-half new value, the l-man, 40 -cow, 2 -man, 40 - and 56 -cow, and 3 -man, 56 - and 72 -cow farms all have the needed saving potential.

## 5. Summary of Tenant Operator's Accumulation Potentials

The hired man does not have an accumulation potential for any size farm in 10 or 20 years under our assumed conditions. The picture for tenant operators varies greatly with the various combinations of equity requirements, milk prices, and age of depreciables. At one extreme, only the tenant operator of the 3 -man, 72 -cow farm with $\$ 5.50$ milk could save a 50 percent equity with depreciables at one-half new price in 10 years. At another extreme, tenant operators of the 1 -man, $40-\mathrm{cow}, 2-\mathrm{man}, 40$ - and $56-\mathrm{cow}$, and 3 -man, 56- and 72 -cow farms had saving potentials to accumulate 30 percent of real estate and 50 percent of livestock and equipment values in 20 years with $\$ 5.00$ milk, if depreciables were 50 percent of new value. It may, of course, be questioned whether young men would choose to become tenant operators in order to become farm owners if this involves 20 years of very rigorous saving plus price and tenure uncertainty. Moreover, in practice, renting has not been a common form of tenure in New England; hence it has offered relatively few opportunities as a way of farm operation and equity accumulation.

## Conclusions for Individual and Institutional Action

In normal price and efficiency situations, farmers can acquire full ownership out of earnings only if they operate the larger size farms. They can earn the necessary equity for conventional credit (to get started as mortgaged owners) only if they have the use of the larger size farms. This requires either: (1) an expansion of suitable renting arrangements, or (2) an expansion of practically 100 percent credit.
Table 13. Size and Beginner's Equity, Representative Farms

|  | 1 man farms |  |  | 2 man farms |  |  | 3 man farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 24 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 40 cows | 56 cows | 72 cows |
| With required equities of $30 \%$ of real and $50 \%$ of livestock and equipment Amount to be saved | $\underset{\text { Estate }}{\substack{\text { Dollars }}}$ | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
|  | a. 16,581 <br> b. 10,296 | $\begin{aligned} & 21,146 \\ & 13,475 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,048 \\ & 20,291 \end{aligned}$ | $21,311$ | 29,700 19,618 | 39,277 | 29,803 | 39,192 | 48.880 |
| Annual saving needed to equal $30 \%$ of real estate and $50 \%$ of livestock and equipment with $3 \%$ interest compounded annually In 10 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In 20 years | 898 | 1,175 | 1,769 | 1,189 | 1,711 | 2,305 | 1,726 | 2,301 | 2,874 |
|  | a. 617 | 786 | 1,155 | 793 | 1,105 | 1,461 | 1,109 | 1,458 | 1,819 |
|  | b. 383 | 501 | 755 | 507 | 730 | 983 | 736 | 981 | 1,226 |
| Potential annual saving of farm workers ${ }^{1}$ | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Potential annual saving of "tenant" operator ${ }^{2}$ With milk $\$ 5.00 \mathrm{cwt}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With $10 \%$ higher prices received | -678 -334 | -64 410 | $\begin{array}{r} 869 \\ 1,534 \end{array}$ | -615 -9 | $\begin{array}{r} 914 \\ 1,781 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,846 \\ & 2,985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 324 \\ 1,357 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,592 \\ & 2,386 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,977 \\ & 4447 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ Assuming $\$ 2,400$. income; $\$ 1,800$. living allowance plus $\$ 480$. house rent. <br> ${ }^{2}$ From tables of landlord-tenant division of expenses and income. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| is achieved only with the higher price for milk. <br> NOTE: Double line indicates that sufficient equity can be achieved by "tenant" operator with either p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Depreciables at new price. <br> b. Depreciables at $1 / 2$ new price. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter V. How Operators Obtained Capital

TTHE focus of this study is on obtaining farming capital in the present and future. However, the experience of farmers in getting established and in developing their operations may be brought to bear on problems of the present. ${ }^{13}$ It is of interest to determine how the present operators get established, how they obtained subsequent capital, whether the operators of the large farms obtained their capital in ways different from the operators of the small farms, and whether the younger operators obtained their capital in different ways from the older operators.

Educational and occupational histories were obtained from the survey farms plus the date, kind and size of beginning farm operations, the sources of beginning capital, the principal additions and changes of capital, and current debts.

The cases were sorted into four size of herd groups. Within size groups, it was possible to examine how operators got their initial capital, to observe how this differed with date of start, and also to observe the complete employment and capital accumulation record of each operator.

The four size groups used here were: (1) large - 39 or more animal units; (2) large medium - 29 to 39 animal units; (3) small medium 20 to 28 animal units; and (4) small - 19 and under animal units. The experiences of all four size groups were examined. Within the large and the small groups there were some rather distinct uniformities and between these groups there were some distinct differences. The large medium and small groups represented rather definite gradations in capital accumulation experience between the two extreme sizes, but their experiences were less uniform. Hence the following description and analysis is devoted mostly to the Large and Small size groups.

Present operators of the large farms got established as operators early in life - after a relatively short period on the home farm. The most common major sources of starting capital were inheritance and family assistance. ${ }^{1+}$ For a smaller number of cases, credit was the major means. At least three "patterns" were evident among these latter cases: (1) some of the older operators had bought their farms largely on credit but apparently had been able to save rapidly enough to change equipment and expand herds with the times, (2) some operators around 50 years of age had used credit rather heavily to acquire successively larger farms, herds, and equipment, (3) some younger operators used credit very boldly or used large amounts of credit plus rather unusual supplementary capital sources.

Credit was the most important source of growth capital for most of the present large operators. Other sources were more important in some cases, and there were supplementary sources in most cases. Most of the younger operators still had significant debts.

[^11]A striking feature of the large farms was the presence of two or more family members as full-time workers or operators. The most frequent form was father and son. One might reason that this was usually a more successful operating combination than one operator plus hired help. There was also considerable indication that more than one generation was needed to accumulate or develop the capital represented by an economically effective farm of the fairly large size.

In contrast, operators of small farms got started as operators rather late, after long non-farm work periods. They had received little assistance. Many started on small or otherwise inadequate places. Saving received greater emphasis than credit as a source of growth capital. They frequently expressed reluctance to use more than very moderate amounts of credit. They frequently had some income from other work.

Operators of the large medium and small medium size groups had varied capital accumulation experience but on the whole represented rather definite gradations between the large and small size groups. Many were aware that they needed more physical capital, and there were rather numerous instances of misfortune. This suggests that they might readily use more credit to improve their positions.

Chapters III and IV showed that farmers could not pay for farms out of their earnings unless they, through renting or 100 percent credit, had the use of the capital of large farms. Farmer experience bears this out and shows that, for most farmers, personal saving and cautious use of credit have resulted in ownership of only small farms. For the most part these have failed to secure ownership of what we call "efficient" size farms. The reasons for this failure are evident in Chapters III and IV and the solution might well be either an expansion of suitable renting arrangements, or an expansion of practically 100 percent credit, or both.
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## Appendix Table I A. Herd Size and Farm Capital Towns of Greenland and Stratham

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Cows | Total Animal Units | Value of Real Estate | Value of Machinery and Equipment | Value of Livestock | Total Capita |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| 1 | 5 | 9 | 27,850 | 6,050 | 1,915 | 35.815 |
| 2 | 10 | 13 | 44,810 | 3,445 | 4,250 | 52,505 |
| 3 | 14 | 16 | 28,500 | 3,070 | 3,800 | 35,370 |
| 4 | 15 | 18 | 34,425 | 4,010 | 5,250 | 43,685 |
| 5 | 11 | 18 | 25,900 | 1,520 | 3,680 | 31,100 |
| 6 | 15 | 21 | 28,520 | 2,940 | 5,850 | 37,310 |
| 7 | 19 | 26 | 25,640 | 4,275 | 9,210 | 39,126 |
| 8 | 16 | 26 | 27,180 | 4,905 | 9,040 | 41,125 |
| 9 | 16 | 26 | 30,515 | 8,180 | 7,100 | 45,795 |
| 10 | 20 | 27 | 28,700 | 8,300 | 8,100 | 45,100 |
| 11 | 23 | 28 | 27,350 | 4,690 | 10,190 | 42,230 |
| 12 | 22 | 30 | 33,525 | 3,815 | 8,500 | 45,840 |
| 13 | 22 | 30 | 44,350 | 5,160 | 7,700 | 57,210 |
| 14 | 22 | 30 | 29,525 | 8,095 | 11,625 | 49,245 |
| 15 | 25 | 31 | 31,025 | 6,105 | 10,000 | 47,130 |
| 16 | 28 | 33 | 21,525 | 5,245 | 9,750 | 36,520 |
| 17 | 26 | 33 | 33,300 | 10,720 | 12,580 | 56,600 |
| 18 | 28 | 36 | 37,675 | 10,370 | 13,150 | 61,190 |
| 19 | 20 | 37 | 43,775 | 11,540 | 11,775 | 67,090 |
| 20 | 26 | 38 | 33,400 | 9,675 | 13,400 | 56,475 |
| 21 | 30 | 38 | 41,090 | 10,905 | 11,430 | 63,425 |
| 22 | 35 | 47 | 64,770 | 10,855 | 16,150 | 91,775 |
| 23 | 30 | 48 | 53,850 | 14,660 | 11,790 | 80,300 |
| 24 | 46 | 57 | 74,450 | 6,530 | 17,325 | 98,305 |
| 25 | 38 | 59 | 71,500 | 12,835 | 17,420 | 101,755 |
| 26 | 46 | 60 | 45,125 | 12,625 | 9,200 | 66,950 |
| 27 | 72 | 86 | 59,825 | 15,250 | 28,725 | 103,800 |

## Appendix Table I B. Herd Size and Farm Capital Town of Salem

| Case <br> No. | Cows | Total <br> Animal <br> Units | Value of <br> Real Estate | Machinery and <br> Equipment | Value of <br> Livestock | Total <br> Capital |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| 1 | 8 | 9 | 9,225 | 1,545 | 2,175 | 12,945 |
| 2 | 9 | 11 | 24,950 | 2,060 | 2,700 | 29,710 |
| 3 | 10 | 12 | 10,500 | 335 | 2,210 | 13,045 |
| 4 | 11 | 13 | 34,875 | 770 | 3,900 | 39,545 |
| 5 | 11 | 13 | 23,870 | 2,790 | 2,500 | 29,160 |
| 6 | 11 | 13 | 15,200 | 1,585 | 3,435 | 20,220 |
| 7 | 13 | 18 | 8,925 | 4,780 | 5,970 | 19,675 |
| 8 | 19 | 20 | 12,175 | 5,400 | 7,125 | 24,700 |
| 9 | 17 | 23 | 21,375 | 2,165 | 5,625 | 29,165 |
| 10 | 28 | 33 | 3,800 | 4,390 | 9,150 | 45,340 |
| 11 | 25 | 34 | 19,900 | 7,325 | 10,950 | 38,175 |
| 12 | 39 | 41 | 22,580 | 8,620 | 10,000 | 41,10 |
| 13 | 30 | 41 | 30,800 | 13,755 | 13,950 | 58,505 |
| 14 | 46 | 53 | 28,500 | 7,910 | 16,100 | 52,510 |
| 15 | 40 | 56 | 50,650 | 14,540 | 3,500 | 97,600 |
| 16 | 52 | 64 | 35,400 | 10,930 | 18,120 | 64,450 |
| 17 | 54 | 73 | 69,400 | 11,465 | 20,570 | 101,435 |
| 18 | 50 | 78 | 35,760 | 14,615 | 26,375 | 76,750 |

## Appendix Table I C. Herd Size and Farm Capital Town of Piermont

|  |  | Total <br> Case <br> No. | Cows | Animal <br> Units | Value of <br> Real Estate | Machinery and <br> Equipment |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Value of <br> Livestock |
| :---: |

## Appendix Table 1 D. Herd Size and Farm Capital Town of Lancaster

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Cows | Total Animal Units | Value of Real Estate | Value of Machinery and Equipment | Value of Livestock | Total Capital |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| 1 | 6 | 8 | 24,950 | 930 | 2,815 | 28,695 |
| 2 | 7 | 11 | 18,175 | 1,495 | 3,130 | 22,800 |
| 3 | 9 | 12 | 7,130 | 3,850 | 2,450 | 13,430 |
| 4 | 9 | 13 | 22,200 | 1,120 | 3,600 | 26,920 |
| 5 | 6 | 14 | 25,365 | 2,520 | 4,715 | 32,600 |
| 6 | 13 | 16 | 9,675 | - | 4,380 | 14,055 |
| 7 | 9 | 18 | 13,375 | 2,870 | 3,300 | 19,545 |
| 8 | 14 | 20 | 24,100 | 4,850 | 3,675 | 32,625 |
| 9 | 14 | 21 | 43,200 | 2,495 | 6,410 | 52,105 |
| 10 | 14 | 21 | 26,700 | 4,880 | 6,025 | 37,605 |
| 11 | 12 | 22 | 26,050 | 7,140 | 5,300 | 38,490 |
| 12 | 18 | 26 | 14,305 | 4,810 | 6,480 | 25,595 |
| 13 | 21 | 30 | 21,575 | 13,365 | 8,465 | 43,405 |
| 14 | 21 | 31 | 36,430 | 10,940 | 7,750 | 55,120 |
| 15 | 28 | 38 | 21,800 | 4,975 | 8,360 | 35,135 |
| 16 | 25 | 39 | 42,500 | 1,590 | 8,550 | 52,640 |
| 17 | 27 | 40 | 26,850 | 7,035 | 12,200 | 46,085 |
| 18 | 29 | 46 | 40,600 | 14,040 | 13,055 | 67,695 |
| 19 | 27 | 51 | 25,875 | 20,915 | 15,140 | 61,930 |
| 20 | 40 | 53 | 24,450 | 1,855 | 13,900 | 40,205 |
| 21 | 32 | 54 | 29,350 | 4,400 | 18,290 | 52,040 |
| 22 | 38 | 57 | 65,410 | 11,380 | 16,040 | 92,830 |
| 23 | 35 | 57 | 25,275 | 4,705 | 15,075 | 45,055 |
| 24 | 40 | 64 | 74,900 | 8,600 | 13,135 | 96,635 |
| 25 | 42 | 65 | 51,425 | 12,695 | 19,125 | 83,245 |
| 26 | 50 | 78 | 44,650 | 16,945 | 23,690 | 85,285 |
| 27 | 40 | 79 | 36,675 | 4,705 | 20,725 | 62,105 |

Appendix Table II A. Dairy Farm Capital, Representative Farm

| Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value | Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND |  | Dollars | Dollars | MACHINERY |  | Dollars | Dollars |
| Tillable Perm. past. Other, woods Building and lane space | 42.1A | 4,210 | 4,210 | Auto | $1 / 2$ to farm | 1,000 | 500 |
|  | 25. A | 1,000 | 1,000 | Truck | $11^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~T}$ | 2,000 | 1,000 |
|  | 67.1A | 1,010 | 1,010 | Tractor | 1 Med. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
|  | 1.5A | 150 | 150 | Gutter cleaner Milking machines | 2 | 380 | 190 |
|  | 135.7A | 6,370 | 6,370 | Plows | $12-14^{\prime \prime}$ | 220 | 110 |
| BUILDINGS | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \text { gambrel } \\ & 34 \times 52 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Harrow, disk Harrow, springtooth Corn planter Grass seeder Cultivator | $17^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| House |  | 12,000 | 6,000 |  | $110^{\prime}$ | 140 | 70 |
| Barn |  | 14,200 | 7,100 |  | Hired |  |  |
| Silo <br> Machine sheds <br> Fence <br> Well | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ 900 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | 500 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mower Rake, side del. | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 7 \\ 1 & 9^{\prime} \\ 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 320 \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 500 | Rake, side del. Hayloader |  |  | 160 190 |
|  | 1 |  | 500 | Hayloader Baler |  |  | 190 |
|  |  | 29,100 | 14,600 | Field chopper |  |  |  |
| LIVESTOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows | 1610 | 3,200 | 3.200 | Manure loader |  |  |  |
| Youngstock |  | 1,000 | 1,000 | Manure spreader | 140 bu . | 310 | 155 |
|  |  |  |  | Electric motors | 3 broadcast | 180 | 90 |
|  |  | 4,200 | 4,200 | Misc. tools |  | 150 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,680 | 3,840 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 47,350 | 29,010 |

Appendix Table II B. Dairy Farm Capital, Representative Farm

| Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value | Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND |  | Dollars | Dollars | MACHINERY |  | Dollars | Dollars |
| Tillable | 58.7A | 5,870 | 5,870 | Auto | $1 / 2$ to farm | 1,000 | 500 |
| Perm. past. | 37.5A | 1,500 | 1.500 | Truck | $111 / 2 \mathrm{~T}$. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Other, woods | 96.2 A | 1,445 | 1,445 | Tractor | 1 Med. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Building and |  |  |  | Gutter cleaner |  | 2,00 | 1,00 |
| lane space | 2.0 A | 200 | 200 | Milking machines | 2 | 400 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  | Wagons | 12 T . | 300 | 150 |
|  |  | 9,015 | 9,015 | Plows | $12.14^{\prime \prime}$ | 220 | 110 |
| BUILDINGS |  |  |  | Harrow, disk | 17 | 250 | 125 |
| House | 1 | 12,000 | 6,000 | Harrow, springtooth | $110^{\prime}$ | 140 | 70 |
| Barn | 1 gable $34 \times 80$ | 17,400 | 8,700 | Corn planter Grass seeder | Hired <br> Hired |  |  |
| Silo | 1 wood | 2,000 | 1,000 | Cultivator | 12 -row | 230 | 115 |
|  | $14 \times 28$ |  | 1,00 | Mower | $17^{\prime}$ | 300 | 150 |
| Machine sheds | 1 | 1,300 | 650 | Rake, side del. | $19^{\prime}$ | 320 | 160 |
| Fence |  | 1,140 | 570 | Baler | 1 P.T.O. | 1,500 | 750 |
| Well | 1 | 1,200 | 600 | Field chopper | Hired |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Blower | Hired |  |  |
|  |  | 35,040 | 17,520 | Manure loader |  |  |  |
| LIVESTOCK |  |  |  | Manure spreader | 1 40-bu. | 310 | 155 |
| Cows | 24 | 4,800 |  | Lime and fert. spreader | $18^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| Youngstock | 14 | 1,400 | 1,400 | Electric motors Misc, tools | 4 | 240 200 | 120 100 |
|  |  | 6,200 | 6,200 |  |  | 9.660 | 4,830 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 59,915 | 37,565 |

Appendix Table II C. Dairy Farm Capital, Representative Farm

| ltem | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value | Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND |  | Dollars | Dollars | MACHINERY |  | Dollars | Dollars |
| Tillable | 93.4 A | 9,340 | 9,340 | Auto | $1 / 2$ to farm | 1,000 | 500 |
| Perm. past. | 62.0 A | 2,480 | 2,480 | Truck | $111 / 2 \mathrm{~T}$. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Other, woods | 155.4A | 2,330 | 2,330 | Tractor | 2 Med. | 4,000 | 2,000900390 |
| Building and | 3 A |  |  | Gutter cleaner |  | 1,800 |  |
| lane space |  |  |  | Milking machines | 4 | 780 | 390 |
|  |  |  |  | Wagons | 12 T . | 300 | 150 |
|  |  | 14,450 | 14,450 | Plows | 2 2-14" | 440 | 220 |
| BUILDINGS |  |  |  | Harrow, disk Harrow, springtooth | $17^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| House | 1 |  | 6,000 |  | $110^{\prime}$ | 140 | 70 |
| Barn | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { gable } \\ & 34 \times 130 \end{aligned}$ | 26,400 |  | Corn planter Grass seeder | 1 2-row | 220 | 110 |
|  |  |  | 13,200 |  | $18^{\prime}$ | 500 | 250 |
| Silo | 1 wood$16 \times 36$ | 3,000 | 1,500 | Grass seeder Cultivator Mower | $1{ }^{1} 2$-row | 300 | 150 |
| Machine sheds |  |  |  | Rake, side del.Baler | $17^{\prime}$ | 450 | 225 |
| Fence | 1 | 1,435 | 720 |  | 1 P.T.O. | 1,500 | 750 |
| Well |  | 1,600 | 800 | Field chopper | Hired |  |  |
|  |  | 45,935 | 22,970 | Manure loader | 1 | 320 | 160 |
| LIVESTOCK |  |  |  | Manure spreader | $160-\mathrm{bu}$. | 430 | 215 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lime and fert. spreader | $18^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| Cows | 40 | 8.000 | 8,000 | Electric motors | 5 | 300 | 150 |
| Youngstock | 24 | 2,400 | 2,400 | Misc. tools |  | 250 | 125 |
|  |  | 10,400 | 10,400 |  |  | 15,460 | 7,730 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 86,245 | 55,550 |

Appendix Table II D. Dairy Farm Capital, Representative Farm 24 cows, $2+$ men

| Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value | Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average <br> year <br> value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND |  | Dollars | Dollars | MACHINERY |  | Dollars | Dollars |
| Tillable | 63.1 A | 6,310 | 6,310 | Auto | $1 / 2$ to farm | 1,000 | 500 |
| Perm. past. | 37.5A | 1,500 | 1.500 | Truck | $111 / 2 \mathrm{~T}$. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Other, woods | 100.6 A | 1,510 | 1,510 | Tractor | 1 Med. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Building and |  |  |  | Gutter cleaner |  |  |  |
| lane space | 2.0 A | 200 | 200 | Milking machines | 2 | 400 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  | Wagons | 12 T . | 300 | 150 |
|  |  | 9,520 | 9,520 | Plows | $12.14^{\prime \prime}$ | 220 | 110 |
| BUILDINGS |  |  |  | Harrow, disk | $17^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| House | 1 | 12,000 | 6,000 | Harrow, springtooth | $110^{\prime}$ | 140 | 70 |
| Barn | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { gambrel } \\ 34 \times 80 \end{gathered}$ | 19,800 | 9,900 | Corn planter Grass seeder | Hired |  |  |
| Silo |  |  |  | Cultivator <br> Mower |  |  |  |
| Machine sheds | 1 | 1,300 | 650 540 | Rake, side del. | $19^{\prime}$ | 300 320 | 150 160 |
| Fence WelI |  | 1,085 1,200 | 540 600 | Raler | 1 P.T.O. | 1,500 | 750 |
| Well | 1 | 1.200 | 600 | Field chopper | - | 1,500 | . |
|  |  | 35,385 | 17,690 | Blower <br> Manure loader |  |  |  |
| LIVESTOCK |  |  |  | Manure spreader | 1 40-bu. | 310 | 155 |
| Cows | 24 | 4,800 | 4,800 | Lime and fert. spreader | $18^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| Youngstock | 14 | 1,400 | 1,400 | Electric motors | 4 | 240 | 120 |
|  |  |  | - 60 | Misc. tools |  | 250 | 125 |
|  |  | 6,200 | 6,200 |  |  | 9,480 | 4.740 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 60,585 | 38,150 |

Appendix Table II E. Dairy Farm Capital, Representative Farm

| Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value | Item | Number. description, size | New value | Average <br> year <br> value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND |  | Dollars | Dollars | MACHINERY |  | Dollars | Dollars |
| Tillable | 93.41 | 9,340 | 9,340 | Auto | $1 / 2$ to farm | 1,000 | 500 |
| Perm. past. | 62.0A | 2,480 | 2,480 | Truck | $111 / 2 \mathrm{~T}$. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Other, woods | 155.4A | 2,330 | 2,330 | Tractor | 2 Med. | 4,000 | 2,000 |
| Building and lane space | 3.01 | 300 | 300 | Gutter cleaner Milking machines | 4 | 780 | 390 |
|  |  |  |  | Wagons | 12 T . | 300 | 150 |
|  |  | 14,450 | 14,450 | Plows | $12.14^{\prime \prime}$ | 220 | 110 |
| BUILDINGS |  |  |  | Harrow, disk | $17^{\prime}$ | 250 | 12.5 |
| House | 1 | 12.000 | 6,000 | Harrow, springtooth | 110 | 140 | 70 |
| Barn | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { gable } \\ & 34^{\prime} \times 130^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | 26,400 | 13,200 | Corn planter | Hired <br> Hired |  |  |
| Silo | 1 wood $16^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$ | 3,000 | 1,500 | Cultivator Mower | 1 2-row | 230 300 | 115 150 |
| Machine sheds | $16^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$ | 1,500 | 750 | Rake, side del. | $19^{\prime}$ | $\begin{array}{r}450 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 22.5 |
| Fence | 1 | 1,435 | 720 | Baler | 1 P.T.O. | 1,500 | 750 |
| Well | 1 | 1,600 | 800 | Field chopper <br> Blower | Hired Hired |  |  |
|  |  | 45,935 | 22,970 | Manure loader | 1 | 320 | 160 |
|  |  | 45,935 | 22,970 | Manure spreader | 1 60-bu. | 430 | 215 |
| LIVESTOCK |  |  |  | Lime and fert. spreader | 18 | 250 | 12.5 |
| Cows | 40 | 8.000 | 8,000 | Electric motors | 5 | 300 | 150 |
| loungstock | 24 | 2,400 | 2,400 | Misc. tools |  | 300 | 150 |
|  |  | 10,400 | 10,400 |  |  | 12,770 | 6,385 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 83,555 | 54,205 |

Appendix Table II F. Dairy Farm Capital, Representative Farm 56 cows, $2+$ men

| Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value | Item | Number, description, size | New value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { year } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND |  | Dollars | Dollars | MACHINERY |  | Dollars | Dollars |
| Tillable | 136.8A | 13,680 | 13,680 | Auto | $1 / 2$ to farm | 1,000 | 500 |
| Perm. past. | 87.0 A | 3,480 | 3,480 | Truck | $111 / 2 \mathrm{~T}$. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Other, woods | 223.8 A | 3,360 | 3,360 | Tractor | 2 Med. | 4,000 | 2,000 |
| Building and |  |  |  | Gutter cleaner | 1 | 2,220 | 1,100 |
| lane space | 4 A | 400 | 400 | Milking machines | 4 | 810 | 405 |
|  |  |  |  | Wagons | 12 T . | 300 | 150 |
|  |  | 20,920 | 20,920 | Plows | 2 2-14" | 440 | 220 |
| BUILDINGS |  |  |  | Harrow, disk | $17^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| House | 1 | 12,000 | 6.000 | Harrow, springtooth | $10^{\prime}$ | 140 | 70 |
| Barn | 1 gable | 36,300 | 18,150 | Corn planter | $1{ }^{2}$ 2-row | 220 | 110 |
| Barn | $34^{\prime} \times 185^{\prime}$ | 36,300 | 18,150 | Grass seeder | $18^{\prime}$ | 500 | 250 |
| Silo | 1 wood | 3,900 | 1,950 | Cultivator | 1 2-row | 230 | 115 |
| Sio | 18' x 38 ' | 3,900 | 1,950 | Mower | $17^{\prime}$ | 300 | 150 |
| Machine sheds | $1{ }^{1} \times$ | 1,500 | 750 | Rake, side del. | $19^{\prime}$ | - 4.50 | 225 |
| Fence |  | 1,720 | 860 | Baler Field chopper | 1 Engine driven Hired | 2,400 | 1.200 |
| Well | 1 | 2,000 | 1,000 | Field chopper Blower | Hired <br> Hired |  |  |
|  |  | 57,420 | 28,710 | Manure loader | 1 | 320 | 160 |
|  |  | 57,4,20 | 28,710 | Manure spreader | 1 60-bu. | 430 | 215 |
| LIVESTOCK |  |  |  | Lime and fert. spreader | $18^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| Cows | 56 | 11,200 | 11,200 | Electric motors | 6 | 360 | 180 |
| Youngstock | 34 | 3,400 | 3,400 | Misc. tools |  | 350 | 175 |
|  |  | 14,600 | 14,600 |  |  | 16,950 | 8.475 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 109,890 | 73,705 |

Appendix Table II G. Dairy Farm Capital, Representative Farm

| Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value | Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND |  | Dollars | Dollars | MACHINERY |  | Dollars | Dollars |
| Tillable | 100.7A | 10,070 | 10,070 | Auto | $1 / 2$ to farm | 1,000 | 500 |
| Perm. past. | 620.0 A | 2.480 | 2,480 | Truck | $111 / 2 \mathrm{~T}$. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Other, woods | 162.7 A | 2,440 | 2,440 | Tractor | 2 Med. | 4,000 | 2,000 |
| Building and lane space | 3.0 A | 300 | 300 | Gutter cleaner Milking machines | 4 | 780 | 390 |
|  |  |  |  | Wagons | 12 T . | 300 | 150 |
|  |  | 15,290 | 15,290 | Plows | $12-14^{\prime \prime}$ | 220 | 110 |
| BULLDINGS |  |  |  | Harrow, disk | $17^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| House | 1 | 12,000 | 6,000 | Harrow, springtooth | 1 10' | 140 | 70 |
| Barn | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { gambrel } \\ & 34 \times 130 \end{aligned}$ | 29,800 | 14,900 | Corn planter <br> Grass seeder Cultivator | Hired |  |  |
| Silo |  |  |  | Mower | $17^{\prime}$ | 300 | 150 |
| Machine sherls | 1 | 1,500 |  | Rake, side del. | $19^{\prime}$ | 450 | 225 |
| Fence |  | $1,370$ | 685 | Baler | 1 P.T.O. | 1,500 | 750 |
| Well | 1 | 1,600 | 800 | Field chopper | 1 P.1. |  |  |
|  |  | 46,270 | 23,135 | Blower |  |  |  |
|  |  | 46,270 | 23,135 | Manure loader |  |  | 215 |
| LIVESTOCK Cows | 40 | 8,000 | 8,000 | Manure spreader Lime and fert. spreader | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 60-\mathrm{bu} . \\ 1 & 8^{\prime}\end{array}$ | 430 250 | 125 |
| Youngstock | 24 | 2,400 | 2,400 | Electric motors | 5 | 300 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  | Misc. tools |  | 350 | 175 |
|  |  | 10,400 | 10,400 |  |  | 12,270 | 6,135 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 84,230 | 54,960 |

Appendix Table II H. Dairy Farm Capital, Representative Farm

| Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value | Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND |  | Dollars | Dollars | MACHINERY |  | Dollars | Dollars |
| Tillable | 136.81 | 13,680 | 13,680 | Auto | $1 / 2$ to farm | 1,000 | 500 |
| Perm. past. | 87.0 A | 3,480 | 3,480 | Truck | $111 / 2 \mathrm{~T}$. | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Other, woods Building and | 223.8A | 3,360 | 3,360400 | Tractor | 2 Med. | 4,000 | 2,000 |
|  | 4.0 A | $400$ |  | Gutter cleaner |  | 2,200 | 1,100 |
| lane space |  |  |  | Milking machines | $4$ | 810 | -405 |
|  |  |  |  | Wagons | 12 T . | 300 | 150 |
|  |  | 20,920 | 20,920 | Plows | $12.14^{\prime \prime}$ | 220 | 110 |
| BUILDINGS |  |  |  | Harrow, disk | $17^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| House | 1 | 12,000 |  | Harrow, springtooth | $110^{\prime}$ | 140 | 70 |
| Barn | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { gable } \\ & 34^{\prime} \times 185^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | 36,300 | 18,150 | Corn planter | 1 2-row | 220 | 110 |
|  |  |  |  | Grass seeder | $18^{\prime}$ | 500 | 250 |
| Silo | $1 \text { wood } 18^{\prime} \times 38$ | 3,900 | 1.950 | Cultivator | $1{ }_{1}^{2}$ 2-row | 230 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | Mower | $17^{\prime}$ $9^{\prime}$ | 300 | 150 |
| Machine sheds | 1 |  |  | Rake, side del. Baler | $1{ }^{1} 9{ }^{\text {9 }}$ | 450 2,400 | 225 |
| Well | 1 | 1,720 | $\begin{array}{r}860 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Baler <br> Field chopper | lengine driven | 2,400 | 1,200 |
|  |  | 2,000 | 1,000 | Field chopper <br> Blower | Hired |  |  |
|  |  | 57,420 | 28,710 | Manure loader | 1 | 320 | 160 |
| LIVESTOCK |  |  |  | Manure spreader | 1 60-bu. | 430 | 21.5 |
|  |  |  |  | Lime and fert. spreader | $18^{\prime}$ | 250 | 125 |
| Youngstock | 56 34 | 1,200 3,400 | 11,200 | Electric motors | 6 | 360 | 180 |
|  | 34 | 3,400 | 3,400 | Misc. tools |  | 400 | 200 |
|  |  | 14,600 | 14,600 |  |  | 16,780 | 8.390 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 109,720 | 72,620 |

Appendix Table II I. Dairy Farm Capital, Representative Farm

| Item | Number, description, size | New <br> value | Average year value | Item | Number, description, size | New value | Average year value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND |  | Dollars | Dollars | MACHINERY |  | Dollars | Dollars |
| Tillable | 176.3 A | 17,630 | 17.630 | Auto | $1 / 2$ to farm | 1,000 | $500$ |
| Perm. past. | 112.0 A | 4,480 | 4.480 | Truck | $111 / 2 \mathrm{~T}$. | 2.000 | 1,000 |
| Other, woods | 288.3 A | 2,825 | 2,825 | Tractor | 21 Med . | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| Building and |  |  |  |  | 1 lge. | 2,500 2,500 | 1,250 1.250 |
| lane space | 5.0 A | 500 | 500 | Gutter cleaner Milking machines | 1 | 2,500 1,200 | $1,2.50$ 600 |
|  |  | 25,435 | 25,435 | Wagons | 22 T. Unloading | 1,200 | 600 |
| BUILDINGS |  |  |  | Plows | 2 2-14" | 220 | 110 |
| House | 1 | 12,000 | 6,000 |  | 3-14" | 380 | 165 |
| Barn | 1 gable | 45,300 | 22,650 | Harrow, springtooth | $1{ }^{1} 0^{\prime}$ | 140 | 125 70 |
|  | 34' $\times 235$ |  |  | Corn planter | 1 2-row | 220 | 110 |
| Silo | 1 wood | 4,900 | 2,450 | Crass seeder | $18^{\prime}$ | 500 | 250 |
|  | $20^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$ |  |  | Cultivator | 1 2-row | 230 | 115 |
| Machine sheds | 1 | 1,800 | 900 | Mower | $17^{\prime}$ | 300 | 150 |
| Fence |  | 1,900 | 950 | Rake, side del. | $19^{\prime}$ | 450 | 225 |
| Well | 1 | 2,400 | 1.200 | Baler | 1 Engine driven | 2.400 | 1,200 |
|  |  | 68300 | 31.150 | Field chopper | 1 large | 2.900 | 1,450 |
|  |  | 68,300 | 34,150 | Blower | 1 Aux. engine | 400 | 200 |
| LIVESTOCK |  |  |  | Manure loader | 1 | 320 | 160 |
| Cows | 72 | 14,400 | 14.400 | Manure spreader | $1{ }^{1} 90-\mathrm{bu}$. | 490 | 245 |
| Youngstock | 44 | 4.400 | 4,400 | Lime and fert.spreader | $18^{\prime}$ | 250 | 12.5 |
|  |  | 18,800 | 18,800 | Electric motors Misc. tools | 7 | 450 | 225 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,720 | 11.360 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 135,255 | 89,745 |

## Appendix Table III A．Dairy Farm Budget，Representative Farm 16 cows， $1+$ man

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Price per Unit Dollars | Total Value Dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Milk 8，500 lbs． | cwt． | 1，338 | 5.00 | 6，690 |
| Calves | No． | 8 | 10.00 | 80 |
| Cull cows | No． | 4 | 120.00 | 480 |
| Feed bags，used | No． | 360 | ． 20 | 72 |
| Total cash income |  |  |  | 7，322 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| Grain，cows $2,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ ． | cwt． | 320 | 4.25 | 1，360 |
| Grain，youngstock | cwt． | 67 | 4.25 | 284 |
| Milk substitute | cwt． | 2.5 | 9.00 | 22 |
| Calf starter | cwt． | 10 | 5.50 | 55 |
| Breeding | cow | 16 | 6.00 | 96 |
| Vet．and medicine | A．U． | 21 | 4.00 | 84 |
| Bedding， 65 bu．per A．U． | bu． | 1，365 | ． 03 | 41 |
| Dairy supplies | cow | 16 | 2.00 | 32 |
| Seed | annual | － | －0 | 101 |
| Fertilizer | ton | 9.65 | 70.00 | 676 |
| Machine hire（seeding） | annual | －－ | － | $2]$ |
| Baling twine | bales | － | － |  |
| Auto expenses， $1 / 2$ to farm | annual | － | － | 125 |
| Truck expenses | annual | － | － | 160 |
| Tractor expenses | annual | － | － | 140 |
| Other machine expenses | annual | － | － | 35 |
| Electricity ${ }^{1}$ | annual | 二 | － | 205 |
| Bldg．and fence repairs ${ }^{1}$ | annual | － | － | 317 348 |
| Property taxes ${ }^{1}$ Ins．，bldges，and cattle | annual | 二 | 二 | 348 |
| Insurance，liability | annual | － | － | 60 |
| Hired labor，full－time | annual | － | － | － |
| Hired labor，part－time | month | 3 | 200.00 | 600 |
| Total cash expenses |  |  |  | 4，934 |
| Net cash income |  |  |  | 2.388 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  | 1，394 |
| Net farm income |  |  |  | 994 |

[^12]
## Appendix Table III B. Dairy Farm Budget, Representative Farm 24 cows, $1+$ man

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Price per Unit <br> Dollars | Total Value <br> Dollars |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## INCOME

Milk $8,500 \mathrm{lbs}$.
Calves
Cull cows

| cwt. | 2,018 | 5.00 | 10,090 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No. | 11 | 10.00 | 110 |
| No. | 6 | 120.00 | 720 |
| No. | 530 | .20 | 106 |
|  |  |  | 11,026 |

Total cash income

| cwt. | 480 | 4.25 | 2,040 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| cwt. | 93.4 | 4.25 | 397 |
| cwt. | 3.5 | 9.00 | 32 |

Grain, youngstock
Milk substitute
Calf starter
Breeding
Vet. and medicine
Bedding, 65 bu. per A. U.
Dairy supplies
Seed
Fertilizer
Machine hire
Baling twine
Auto expenses, $1 / 2$ to farm
Truck expenses
Tractor expenses
Other machine expenses
Electricity ${ }^{1}$
Bldg. and fence repairs ${ }^{1}$
Property taxes ${ }^{1}$
Ins.. bldgs. and cattle ${ }^{1}$
Insurance, liability
Hired labor, full-time
Hired labor, part-time
Total cash expenses
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Net cash income } & 4,037 \\ \text { Depreciation } & 1,758 \\ \text { Net farm income } & 2,279\end{array}$

[^13]
## Appendix Table III C. Dairy Farm Budget, Representative Farm 40 cows, $1+$ man

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Price per Unit Dollars | Total Value Dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Milk 8,500 lbs. | cwl. | 3,378 | 5.00 | 16,890 |
| Calves | No. | 19 | 10.00 | 190 |
| Cull cows | No. | 10 | 120.00 | 1.200 |
| Feed bags, used | No. | 890 | . 20 | 178 |
| Total cash income |  |  |  | 18,458 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| Grain, cows 2,000 lbs. | cwt. | 800 | 4.25 | 3.400 |
| Grain, youngstock | cwt. | 160 | 4.25 | 680 |
| Milk substitute | cwt. | 6 | 9.00 | 54 |
| Calf starter | cwt. | 24 | 5.50 | 132 |
| Breeding | cow | 40 | 6.00 | 240 |
| Vet. and medicine | A. U. | 52 | 4.00 | 208 |
| Bedding, 65 bu. per A. U. | bu. | 3,380 | . 03 | 101 |
| Dairy supplies | cow | 40 | 2.00 | 80 |
| Seed | annual | - | - | 229 |
| Fertilizer | ton | 22.4 | 70.00 | 1,568 |
| Machine hire | annual | 10 | -0.00 | 338 |
| Baling twine | bales | 10 | 10.00 | 100 |
| Auto expenses, $1 / 2$ to farm | annual |  | - | 175 |
| Truck expenses | annual | - | - | 200 |
| Tractor expenses | annual | - | - | 430 |
| Other machine expenses | annual | - | - | 165 |
| Electricity ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 360 |
| Bldg. and fence repairs ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 546 |
| Property taxes ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 659 |
| lns., bldgs. and cattle ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 308 |
| Insurance, liability | annual | - | - | 70 |
| Hired labor, full-time | annual | - | - |  |
| Hired labor, part-time | month | 5 | 200.00 | 1,000 |
| Total cash expenses |  |  |  | 11,043 |
| Net cash income |  |  |  | 7,415 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  | 2,623 |
| Net farm income |  |  |  | 4,792 |

[^14]
# Appendix Table III D. Dairy Farm Budget, Representative Farm 24 cows, $2+$ men 

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Price <br> per Unit <br> Dollars | Total <br> Value <br> Dollars | With 1 of 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## INCOME

| Milk 8,500 lbs. | cwt. | 2,018 | 5.00 | 10,090 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Calves | No. | 11 | 10.00 | 110 |
| Cull cows | No. | 6 | 120.00 | 720 |
| Feed bags, used | No. | 530 | .20 | 106 |
| Total cash income |  |  |  | $\underline{11,026}$ |

## EXPENSES

| Grain, cows 2,000 lbs. | cwt. | 480 | 4.25 | 2,040 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grain, youngstock | cwt. | 93.4 | 4.25 | 397 |  |
| Milk substitute | cwt. | 3.5 | 9.00 | 32 |  |
| Calf starter | wt. | 14 | 5.50 | 77 |  |
| Breeding | cow | 24 | 6.00 | 144 |  |
| Vet. and medicine | A. U. | 31 | 4.00 | 124 |  |
| Bedding, 65 bu. per A. U. | bu. | 2,015 | . 03 | 60 |  |
| Dairy supplies | cow | 24 | 2.00 | 48 |  |
| Seed | annual |  | - | 162 |  |
| Fertilizer | ton | 14.3 | 70.00 | 1,031 |  |
| Machine hire (seeding) | annual | - | - | 32 |  |
| Baling twine | bales | 9 | 10.00 | 90 |  |
| Auto expenses, $1 / 2$ to farm | annual | - | - | 150 |  |
| Truck expenses | annual |  |  | 160 |  |
| Tractor expenses | annual | - | - | 235 |  |
| Other machine expenses | annual | - | - | 80 |  |
| Electricity ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 255 |  |
| Bldg. and fence repairs ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 385 |  |
| Property taxes ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 462 |  |
| Ins., bldgs. and cattle ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 215 |  |
| Insurance, liability | annual | - | - | 51 | + 42 |
| Hired labor, full-time | annual | - |  |  | + 2,400 |
| Hired labor, part-time | month | 1 | 200.00 | 200 |  |
| Total cash expenses |  |  |  | 6,430 | 8,872 |
| Net cash income |  |  |  | 4,596 | 2,154 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  | 1,727 | 1,727 |
| Net farm income |  |  |  | 2,869 | 427 |

[^15]
# Appendix Table III E. Dairy Farm Budget, Representative Farm 40 cows, $2+$ men 

| Unit | Quantity | Price <br> per Unit | Total <br> Value <br> Dollars | With 1 of 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Den Hired |  |  |  |  |

## INCOME

| Milk 8,500 lbs. | cwt. | 3,378 | 5.00 | 16,890 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Calves | No. | 19 | 10.00 | 190 |
| Cull cows | No. | 10 | 120.00 | 1,200 |
| Feed bags, used | No. | 890 | .20 | 178 |
| Total cash income |  |  |  |  |

## EXPENSES

| Grain, cows 2,000 lbs. | cwt. | 800 | 4.25 | 3,400 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grain, youngstock | cwt. | 160 | 4.25 | 680 |  |
| Milk substitute | cwt. | 6 | 9.00 | 54 |  |
| Calf starter | cwt. | 24 | 5.50 | 132 |  |
| Breeding | cow | 40 | 6.00 | 240 |  |
| Vet. and medicine | A. U. | 52 | 4.00 | 208 |  |
| Bedding, 65 bu. per A. U. | bu. | 3,380 | . 03 | 101 |  |
| Dairy supplies | cow | 40 | 2.00 | 80 |  |
| Seed | annual |  |  | 229 |  |
| Fertilizer | ton | 22.4 | 70.00 | 1,571 |  |
| Machine hire $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { corn planter } \\ \text { seeder } \\ \text { field chopper }\end{array}\right\}$ | annual | - | - | 411 |  |
| Baling twine | bales | 10 | 10.00 | 100 |  |
| Auto expenses, $1 / 2$ to farm | annual | - | - | 175 |  |
| Truck expenses | annual | - | - | 200 |  |
| Tractor expenses | annual | - | - | 410 |  |
| Other machine expenses | annual | - | - | 125 |  |
| Electricity ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 360 |  |
| Bldg. and fence repairs ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 546 |  |
| Property taxes ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 659 |  |
| Ins., bldgs. and cattle ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 308 |  |
| Insurance, liability | annual | - | - | 53 | + 42 |
| Hired labor, full-time | annual |  |  |  | + 2,400 |
| Hired labor, part-time | month | 1 | 200.00 | 200 |  |
| Total cash expenses |  |  |  | 10,242 | 12,684 |
| Net cash income |  |  |  | 8,216 | 5.774 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  | 2,388 | 2,388 |
| Net farm income |  |  |  | 5,828 | 3,386 |

[^16]
## Appendix Table III F. Dairy Farm Budget, Representative Farm 56 cows, $2+$ men

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Price <br> per Unit | Total <br> Dollars | With 1 of 2 <br> Vollars |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

INCOME

| Milk 8,500 lbs. | cwt. | 4,738 | 5.00 | 23,690 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Calves | No. | 26 | 10.00 | 260 |
| Cull cows | No. | 14 | 120.00 | 1,680 |
| Feed bags, used | No. | 1,250 | .20 | 250 |
| Total cash income |  |  |  |  |

## EXPENSES

| Grain, cows 2,000 lbs. | cwt. | 1,120 | 4.25 | 4,760 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grain, youngstock | cwt. | 226.8 | 4.25 | 964 |  |
| Milk substitute | cwt. | 8.5 | 9.00 | 77 |  |
| Calf starter | cwt. | 34 | 5.50 | 187 |  |
| Breeding | cow | 56 | 6.00 | 336 |  |
| Vet. and medicine | A. U. | 73 | 4.00 | 292 |  |
| Bedding, 65 bu. per A. U. | bu. | 4,745 | . 03 | 142 |  |
| Dairy supplies | cow | 56 | 2.00 | 112 |  |
| Seed | annual |  | - | 336 |  |
| Fertilizer | ton | 32.8 | 70.00 | 2,299 |  |
| Machine hire (field chopper) | annual |  |  | 474 |  |
| Baling twine | bales | 14 | 10.00 | 140 |  |
| Auto expenses, $1 / 2$ to farm | annual |  | - | 200 |  |
| Truck expenses | annual | - | - | 240 |  |
| Tractor expenses | annual | - | - | 624 |  |
| Other machine expenses | annual | - | - | 260 |  |
| Electricity ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 465 |  |
| Bldg. and fence repairs ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 681 |  |
| Property taxes ${ }^{1}$ | annual |  | - | 885 |  |
| Ins., bldgs. and cattle ${ }^{1}$ | annual |  | - | 405 |  |
| Insurance, liability | annual | - | - | 73 | + 42 |
| Hired labor, full-time | annual | - | 00. |  | + 2,400 |
| Hired labor, part-time | month | 6 | 200.00 | 1,200 |  |
| Total cash expenses |  |  |  | 15,152 | 17,594 |
| Net cash income |  |  |  | 10,728 | 8,286 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  | 3,119 | 3,119 |
| Net farm income |  |  |  | 7,609 | 5,167 |

[^17]
## Appendix Table 111 G. Dairy Farm Budget, Representative Farm 40 cows, 3 men

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Price <br> per Unit | Total <br> Value <br> Dollars | With 2 of 3 <br> Dollars |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Men Hired |  |  |  |  |  |

INCOME

| Milk 8,500 lbs. | cwt. | 3,378 | 5.00 | 16,890 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Calves | No. | 19 | 10.00 | 190 |
| Cull cows | No. | 10 | 120.00 | 1,200 |
| Feed bags, used | No. | 890 | .20 | 178 |
| Total cash income |  |  |  |  |

## EXPENSES

| Grain, cows $2,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. | cwt. | 800 | 4.25 | 3,400 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grain, youngstock | cwt. | 160 | 4.25 | 680 |  |
| Milk substitute | cwt. | 6 | 9.00 | 54 |  |
| Calf starter | cwt. | 24 | 5.50 | 132 |  |
| Breeding | cow | 40 | 6.00 | 240 |  |
| Vet. and medicine | A. U. | 52 | 4.00 | 208 |  |
| Bedding, 65 bu. per A. U. | bu. | 3,380 | . 03 | 101 |  |
| Dairy supplies | cow | 40 | 2.00 | 80 |  |
| Seed | annual | - |  | 259 |  |
| Fertilizer | ton | 23.3 | 70.00 | 1,632 |  |
| Machine hire (seeder) | annual |  |  | 49 |  |
| Baling twine | bales | 15 | 10.00 | 150 |  |
| Auto expenses, $1 / 2$ to farm | annual |  | - | 175 |  |
| Truck expenses | annual | - | - | 220 |  |
| Tractor expenses | annual | - | - | 374 |  |
| Other machine expenses | annual | - | - | 130 |  |
| Electricity ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 360 |  |
| Bldg. and fence repairs ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 502 |  |
| Property taxes ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 675 |  |
| Ins., bldgs. and cattle ${ }^{1}$ | annual |  | - | 310 |  |
| Insurance, liability | annual | - | - | 50 | + 84 |
| Hired labor, full-time | annual | - | - |  | + 4,800 |
| Hired labor, part-time | month | - | 200.00 | - |  |
| Total cash expenses |  |  |  | 9,781 | 14,665 |
| Net cash income |  |  |  | 8,677 | 3,793 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  | 2,299 | 2,299 |
| Net farm income |  |  |  | 6,378 | 1,494 |

[^18]
## Appendix Table HI H. Dairy Farm Budget, Representative Farm 56 cows, 3 men

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Price per Unit Dollars | Total Value <br> Dollars | With 2 of 3 Men Hired |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk 8,500 lbs. | cwt. | 4,738 | 5.00 | 23,690 |  |
| Calves | No. | 26 | 10.00 | 260 |  |
| Cull cows | No. | 14 | 120.00 | 1,680 |  |
| Feed bags, used | No. | 1,250 | . 20 | 250 |  |
| Total cash income |  |  |  | 25,880 |  |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grain, cows $2,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. | cwt. | 1,120 | 4.25 | 4,760 |  |
| Grain, youngstock | cwt. | 226.8 | 4.25 | 964 |  |
| Milk substitute | cwt. | 8.5 | 9.00 | 77 |  |
| Calf starter | cwt. | 341 | 5.50 | 187 |  |
| Breeding | cow | 56 | 6.00 | 336 |  |
| Vet. and medicine | A. U. | 73 | 4.00 | 292 |  |
| Bedding, 65 bu. per A. U. | bu. | 4,745 | . 03 | 142 |  |
| Dairy supplies | cow | 56 | 2.00 | 112 |  |
| Seed | annual | - | - | 336 |  |
| Fertilizer | ton | 32.8 | 70.00 | 2,299 |  |
| Machine hire (field chopper) | anunal | - | - | 474 |  |
| Baling twine | bales | 14 | 10.00 | 140 |  |
| Auto expenses, $1 / 2$ to farm | annual | - | - | 200 |  |
| Truck expenses | annual | - | - | 240 |  |
| Tractor expenses | annual | - | - | 624 |  |
| Other machine expenses | annual | - | - | 260 |  |
| Electricity ${ }^{1}$ | annual | 二 | - | 465 |  |
| Bldg. and fence repairs ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 681 |  |
| Property taxes ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 885 |  |
| Ins., bldgs. and cattle ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 405 |  |
| Insurance, liability | annual |  | - | 51 | 84 $+\quad 800$ |
| Hired labor, full-time | annual | - |  | - | + 4,800 |
| Hired labor, part-time | month | - | 200.00 | - |  |
| Total cash expenses |  |  |  | 13,930 | 18,814 |
| Net cash income |  |  |  | 11,950 | 7,066 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  | 3,110 | 3,110 |
| Net farm income |  |  |  | 8,840 | 3,956 |
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## Appendix Table III I. Dairy Farm Budget, Representative Farm 72 cows, $3+$ men

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Price <br> per Unit <br> Dollars | Total <br> Value <br> Dollars | With 2 of 3 Hired |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

INCOME

| Milk 8,500 lbs. | cwt. | 6,098 | 5.00 | 30,490 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Calves | No. | 34 | 10.00 | 340 |
| Cull cows | No. | 18 | 120.00 | 2,160 |
| Feed bags, used | No. | 1.610 | .20 | 322 |
| Total cash income |  |  |  | 33,312 |

## EXPENSES

| Grain, cows $2,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. | cwt. | 1.440 | 4.25 | 6,120 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grain, youngstock | cwt. | 293.5 | 4.25 | 1,247 |  |
| Milk substitute | cwt. | 11 | 9.00 | 99 |  |
| Calf starter | cwt. | 44 | 5.50 | 242 |  |
| Breeding | cow | 72 | 6.00 | 432 |  |
| Vet. and medicine | A. U. | 94 | 4.00 | 376 |  |
| Bedding, 65 bu. per A. U. | bu. | 6.110 | . 03 | 183 |  |
| Dairy supplies | cow | 72 | 2.00 | 144 |  |
| Seed | annual |  | - | 434 |  |
| Fertilizer | ton | 42.3 | 70.00 | 2.962 |  |
| Machine hire (truck) | annual | - | - | 96 |  |
| Baling twine | bales | 18 | 10.00 | 180 |  |
| Auto expenses, $1 / 2$ to farm | annual |  |  | 225 |  |
| Truck expenses | annual | - | - | 280 |  |
| Tractor expenses | annual | - | - | 953 |  |
| Other machine expenses | annual | - | - | 385 |  |
| Electricity ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 570 |  |
| Bldg. and fence repairs ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 809 |  |
| Property taxes ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 1,078 |  |
| Ins., bldgs. and cattle ${ }^{1}$ | annual | - | - | 500 |  |
| Insurance, liability | annual | - | - | 57 | 84 $+\quad 800$ |
| Hired labor, full-time | annual | - | - 0 | - | $+4.800$ |
| Hired labor, part-time | month | 1 | 200.00 | 200 |  |
| Total cash expenses |  |  |  | 17,572 | 22,456 |
| Net cash income |  |  |  | 15,740 | 10,856 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  | 3,911 | 3,911 |
| Net farm income |  |  |  | 11,829 | 6,945 |
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# Appendix Table IV A. Landlord-Tenant Division of Unshared Expenses and of Net Income, Representative Farm 16 cows, $1+$ man 

UNSHARED EXPENSES Percent Dollars
Landlord's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by landlord ${ }^{1}$ ..... 830
Depreciation ( $-\$ 100$. auto) ..... 1,294
$4 \%$ interest on capital ( $-\$ 500$. on auto $)^{2}$ ..... 1,176
Total unshared ..... 53 ..... 3,300
Operator's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by operator ${ }^{3}$ ..... 725
Operator's labor ..... 2,400
Total unshared ..... 47 ..... 3,125
INCOME
Division of income (with milk $\$ 5.00 \mathrm{cwt}$ )
Net cash income ..... 2,388
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
1,266
1,266
Landlord
Landlord ..... 1,122
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remainder of net income for savings ..... -678
Division of income (with $10 \%$ higher prices received) :
Net cash income ..... 3,120
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 1,654
Operator ..... 1,466
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remaining for savings ..... -334
${ }^{1}$ Taxes, building and fence repairs, insurance on buildings and cattle.
2 Buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price.
${ }^{3}$ Auto, hired labor.
${ }^{4}$ In same proportion as the total unshared contribution of each.

# Appendix Table IV B. Landlord-Tenant Division of Unshared Expenses and of Net Income, Representative Farm 24 cows, $1+$ man 

UNSHARED EXPENSES Percent Dollars
Landlord's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by landlord ${ }^{1}$ ..... 1,079
Depreciation (-\$100. auto) ..... 1,658
$4 \%$ interest on capital $(-\$ 500 \text {. on auto })^{2}$ ..... 1,482
Total unshared ..... 57 ..... 4.219
Operator's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by operator ${ }^{3}$ ..... 750
Operator's labor ..... 2,400
Total unshared ..... 43 ..... 3,150
INCOME
Division of income:
Net cash income ..... 4.037
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 2,301
Operator ..... 1,736
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remainder of net income for savings ..... $-64$
Division of income (with $10 \%$ higher prices received) :
Net cash income ..... 5,139
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
2,929
2,929
Landlord
Landlord ..... 2,210
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remaining for savings410
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# Appendix Table IV C. Landlord-Tenant Division of Unshared Expenses and of Net Income, Representative Farm <br> 40 cows, $1+$ man 

| UNSHARED EXPENSES | Percent | Dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landlord's contribution: |  |  |
| Cash expenses borne entirely by landlord ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1,513 |
| Depreciation (-\$100. auto) |  | 2,523 |
| $4 \%$ interest on capital ( $-\$ 500$. on auto $)^{2}$ |  | 2,202 |
| Total unshared | 64 | 6,238 |
| INCOME |  |  |
| Operator's contribution: |  |  |
| Cash expenses borne entirely by operator ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1,175 |
| Operator's labor |  | 2,400 |
| Total unshared | 36 | 3,575 |
| Division of income: |  |  |
| Net cash income |  | 7,415 |
| Share of each party ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| Landlord |  | 4,746 |
| Operator |  | 2.669 |
| Operator's living allowance |  | 1,800 |
| Remainder of net income for Savings |  | 869 |
| Division of income (with 10\% higher prices received) : |  |  |
| Net cash income |  | 9,261 |
| Share of each party ${ }^{4}$ |  | 5,927 |
| Landlord |  | 3,334 |
| Operator's living allowance |  | 1,800 |
| Remaining for savings |  | 1,534 |

1 Taxes, building and fence repairs, insurance on buildings and cattle.
${ }^{2}$ Buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price.
${ }^{3}$ Auto, hired labor.
${ }^{4}$ In same proportion as the total unshared contribution of each.

# Appendix Table IV D. Landlord-Tenant Division of Unshared Expenses and of Net Income, Representative Farm 24 cows, $2+$ men 

| UNSHARED EXPENSES | Percent | Dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landlord's contribution: |  |  |
| Cash expenses borne entirely by landlord ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1,962 |
| Depreciation (-\$100. auto) |  | 1.627 |
| $4 \%$ interest on capital (-\$500. auto) ${ }^{2}$ |  | 1,506 |
| Total unshared | 45 | +.195 |
| Operator's contribution: |  |  |
| Cash expenses borne entirely by operator ${ }^{3}$ |  | 2,750 |
| Operator's labor |  | 2,100 |
| Total unshared | 55 | 5,150 |
| INCOME |  |  |
| Division of income: |  |  |
| Net cash income |  | 2,154 |
| Share of each party ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| Landlord |  | 969 |
| Operator |  | 1,185 |
| Operator's living allowance |  | 1.800 |
| Remainder if net income for savings |  | -615 |
| Division of income (with 10\% higher prices received): |  |  |
| Net cash income |  | 3,257 |
| Share of each party ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| Landlord |  | 1,466 |
| Operator |  | 1,791 |
| Operator's living allowance |  | 1,800 |
| Remaining for savings |  | $-9$ |

${ }^{1}$ Taxes, building and fence repairs, insurance on buildings and cattle.
2 Buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price.
3 Auto, hired labor.
${ }^{4}$ In same proportion as the total unshared contribution of each.

# Appendix Table IV E. Landlord-Tenant Division of Unshared Expenses and of Net Income, Representative Farm 40 cows, $2+$ men 

UNSHARED EXPENSES Percent Dollars
Landlord's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by landlord ${ }^{1}$ ..... 1,513
Depreciation ( $\$ 100$. auto) ..... 2,288
$4 \%$ interest on capital ( $-\$ 500$. auto $)^{2}$ ..... 2,148
Total unshared ..... 53 ..... 5,949
Operator's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by operator ${ }^{3}$ ..... 2,775
Operator's labor ..... 2,400
Total unshared ..... 5,175
INCOME
Division of income:
Net cash income ..... 5,774
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 3,060
Operator ..... 2,714
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remainder of net income for savings ..... 914
Division of income (with $10 \%$ higher prices received):
Net cash income ..... 7,619
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 4,038
Operator ..... 3,581
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remaining for savings ..... 1,781
${ }^{1}$ Taxes, building and fence repairs, insurance on buildings and cattle.
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price.
${ }^{3}$ Auto, hired labor.
${ }^{4}$ In same proportion as the total unshared contribution of each.

# Appendix Table IV F. Landlord-Tenant Division of Unshared Expenses and of Net Income, Representative Farm <br> 56 cows, $2+$ men 

UNSHARED EXPENSESLandlord's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by landlord ${ }^{1}$ ..... 1.971
Depreciation (- $\$ 100$. auto) ..... 3,019
$4 \%$ interest on capital ( $-\$ 500$. auto $)^{2}$ ..... 2,888
Total unshared ..... 56 ..... 7,878
Operator's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by operator ${ }^{3}$ ..... 3.800
Operator's labor ..... 2,400
Total unshared ..... 44 ..... 6,200
INCOME
Division of income:
Net cash income ..... 8.286
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 4,640
Operator ..... 3,646
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remainder of net income for savings ..... 1,846
Division of income (with $10 \%$ higher prices received):
Net cash income ..... 10,874
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 6,089
Operator ..... 4,785
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remaining for savings ..... 2,985
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# Appendix Table IV G. Landlord-Tenant Division of Unshared <br> Expenses and of Net Income, Representative Farm 40 cows, 3 men 

## UNSHARED EXPENSES

## Landlord's contribution:

Cash expenses borne entirely by landlord ${ }^{1}$ ..... 1.487
Depreciation (- $\$ 100$. auto) ..... 2,199
$4 \%$ interest on capital (-\$500. auto) ${ }^{2}$ ..... 2,178
Total unshared ..... 44 ..... 5,864
PercentDollars
Operator's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by operator ${ }^{3}$ ..... 4.975
Operator's labor ..... 2,400
Total unshared ..... 56 ..... 7,375
INCOME
Division of income:
Net cash income ..... 3.793
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$1.669
Operator ..... 2,124
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remainder of net income for savings ..... 324
Division of income (with $10 \%$ higher prices received): ..... 5,638
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 2,481
Operator ..... 3,157
Operator`s living allowance ..... 1,800
Remaining for savings ..... 1,357
${ }^{1}$ Taxes, building and fence repairs, insurance on buildings and cattle.
${ }_{2}$ Buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price.
${ }^{3}$ Auto, hired labor.
${ }^{4}$ In same proportion as the total unshared contribution of each.

# Appendix Table IV H. Landlord-Tenant Division of Unshared Expenses and of Net Income, Representative Farm 56 cows, $3+$ men 

UNSHARED EXPENSES Percent DollarsLandlord's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by landlord ${ }^{1}$ ..... 1,971
Depreciation (-\$100, auto) ..... 3,010
$4 \%$ interest on capital $(-\$ 500 \text {. auto })^{2}$ ..... 2,884
Total unshared ..... 52 ..... 7,865
Operator's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by operator ${ }^{3}$ ..... 5,000
Operator's labor ..... 2,400
Total unshared ..... 48 ..... 7,400
INCOME
Division of income:
Net cash income ..... 7,066
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 3,674
Operator ..... 3,392
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remainder of net income for savings ..... 1,592
Division of income (with $10 \%$ higher prices received):
Net cash income ..... 9,654
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 5,020
Operator ..... 4,634
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remaining for savings ..... 2,386

1 Taxes, building and fence repairs, insurance on buildings and cattle.
2 Buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price.
${ }^{3}$ Auto, hired labor.
${ }^{4}$ In same proportion as the total unshared contribution of each.

# Appendix Table IV L. Landlord-Tenant Division of Unshared Expenses and of Net Income, Representative Farm 72 cows, 3 men 

UNSHARED EXPENSES Percent Dollars
Landlord's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by landlord ${ }^{1}$ ..... 2,387
Depreciation ( $\$ 100$, auto) ..... 3,811
$4 \%$ interest on capital ( $-\$ 500$. auto $)^{2}$ ..... 3,570Total unshared569,768
Operator's contribution:
Cash expenses borne entirely by operator ${ }^{3}$ ..... 5,225
Operator's labor ..... 2,400
Total unshared ..... 44 ..... 7,625
INCOME
Division of income:
Net cash income ..... 10,856
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 6,079
Operator ..... 4,777
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remainder of net income for savings ..... 2,977
Division of income (with $10 \%$ higher prices received): Net cash income ..... 14,187
Share of each party ${ }^{4}$
Landlord ..... 7,945
Operator ..... 6,242 ..... 6,242
Operator's living allowance ..... 1,800
Remaining for savings ..... 4,442
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## THE AGRICULTURAL INDUSTRY IS BIG BUSINESS

IN

## AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

## * MARKE TING

Study of markets, market regulation, price prediction, and selling.

## * FARM MANAGEMENT

The science of profitable management of forms and forests.

## * AGRICULTURAL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT

Accounting, law, management, selling, and personnel studies to supplement Economics and Agriculture courses.


FIND YOUR FUTURE IN THIS EXPANDING FIELD

| WRITE |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| OR | Department of Agricultural Economics |
| VISIT | University of New Hampshire |
|  | Morrill Hall |
|  | Durham, N.H. |


[^0]:    * Formerly Associate Agricultural Economist, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of New Hampshire.

    Special thanks are due George E. Frick, Agricultural Economist, Agricultural Research Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, and Silas B. Weeks, Economist, Cooperative Extension Service, University of New Hampshire, for their painstaking review and suggested changes; to Herbert Scheibel, for preparing the figures; and to Mrs. Doris Batchelder, for careful preparation of the manuscript.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ A "town" in New England is the same civil division as a township outside New England. To follow the local terminology the word town is used in this study.

    2 Production Efficiency on New England Dairy Farms, 2. Economies of Scale in Dairying - An explanation in Farm Management Research Methodology, I. F. Fellows, G. E. Frick, and S. B. Weeks, Storrs Agricultural Experiment Station Bul. 285, February, 1952.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The correlation coefficient is 0.82 .

[^3]:    4 Assuming about a four-year herd life with enough heifers to replace about 25 percent of the cows each year.
    ${ }^{5}$ A full-time, able-bodied man would constitute 12 man mo ths Very young, very old, and less than fully able workers were counted at appropriate fractions of an ablebodied man. Man months of part-time workers were determined hy adding the time worked.
    ${ }^{6}$ The coefficients of correlation are: $0-11$ man mo. group $0.336 ; 12.23$ man mo. group 0.864 ; 23-35 man mo. group $0.880 ; 36-47$ man mo. group 0.754 .

[^4]:    7 The correlation coefficients are: 12-23 man month group $0.660 ; 24-35$ man month group 0.504; 36-47 man month group 0.581.

[^5]:    8 For applied use, more specific terms have to be substituted for "savings" and "capital" depending on the specific means by which farmers obtain and hold capital.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ The Springfield plan involves a constant principal payment plus interest on the diminishing balance. Hence, the total payment per period declines with time. The lending terms were obtained from the respective lending agencies in late 1956.

[^7]:    10 In Table 8, repayment comes from net farm income minus living allowance; depreciation has been counted as an expense. In Table 11, and others dealing with ability to meet conventional credit terms, repayment comes from net cash income minus living allowance; depreciation has not been counted because most repayment terms are shorter than depreciation life, and re-borrowing is common practice.

[^8]:    NOTE: Cases in which repayments could be met are underlined.
    a. Depreciables at new prices.
    b. Depreciables at $1 / 2$ new pric

[^9]:    NOTE: Cases in which repayments could be met are underlined.
    a. Depreciables at new price.
    b. Depreciables at $1 / 2$ new pr

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ Farm Leases, H. C. Woodworth, Cooperative Extension Service, University of New Hampshire, Nov. 1950, AEL-4-350.

    12 Examination of these tables raises some questions as to the suitability of this formula for the full range of labor forces and herd sizes studied here. A large amount of labor relative to herd size, as with the 2 -man, 24 -cow, and 3 -man, 40 -cow farms, weights the income division heavily against the landlord. The $2 \cdot \mathrm{man}, 40$-cow and $3-\mathrm{man}$, 56 -cow farms are on the borderline in that respect. For the purpose of the present study this means that the saving potentials of the tenant operators of these farms as shown in Tables $14 a$ and 14 b probably are on the high side of what could be expected under long-run competitive conditions. On the other hand, the tenant-operator of the l-man, 40 -cow farm might well receive a larger part of net cash income than this formula gives him.

[^11]:    13 We do not, of courso have the histories of those who failed to get established or who for various reasons left farming in the areas studied.
    ${ }^{14}$ Family assistance includes such things as father-son partnerships, renting or buying the home farm on favorable terms, backing for credit, use of father's equipment, and other means by which family capital enables one to secure farming capital more readily.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes house．

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes house.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes house.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes house.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes house.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes house.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes house.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes house.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes house.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Taxes, building and fence repairs, insurance on buildings and cattle.
    2 Buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price.
    3 Auto, hired labor.
    ${ }^{4}$ In same proportion as the total unshared contribution of each.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Taxes, building and fence repairs, insurance on buildings and cattle.
    2 Buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price.
    ${ }^{3}$ Auto, hired labor.
    ${ }^{4}$ In same proportion as the total unshared contribution of each.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Taxes, building and fence repairs, insurance on buildings and cattle.
    2 Buildings and equipment at $1 / 2$ new price.
    ${ }^{3}$ Auto, hired labor.
    ${ }^{4}$ In same proportion as the total unshared contribution of each.

