



Title	Note on Proizvolov's Example (空間族における未解決問題)
Author(s)	OKUYAMA, AKIHIRO
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1973), 194: 57-60
Issue Date	1973-12
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/107282
Right	
Туре	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

## Note on Proizvolov's example

By Akihiro Okuyama

Dept. Math., Osaka Kyoiku University

Let f be a map ( = continuous map ) of a topological space X onto a topological space Y. We say that f is a compact-covering map if every compact subset of Y is the image of some compact subset of X under f. In the following cases, every open map is compact-covering:

- (1) ( E. Michael [4]) X is a metric space and Y is a  $T_2$  space and, for some metric on X,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is complete for each  $y \in Y$ .
- (2) ( A. Arhangel'skii [2]) X is a Čech-complete space and Y is a T<sub>2</sub> space.
- (5) (K. Alster [1]) X is a metric space and Y is a countable T<sub>2</sub> space.
- (4) ( K. Nagami [5]) X is a p-space and Y is a  $T_3$  space, and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for each  $y \in Y$ .

On the other hand, V.V.Proizvolov [6] constructed an

example such that there exists an open, at most two-to-one map from a Lindelöf, first countable T<sub>3</sub> space onto a compact metric space, which is not compact-covering.

In this note, we would like to give an adding explanation for his example, using the following lemma:

Lemma. Let  $(x, \mathcal{I}_1)$  and  $(x, \mathcal{I}_2)$  be compact  $\mathcal{I}_2$  spaces with  $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2$  holds.

This is an immediate consequence from the fact that the identity map from  $(X,\mathcal{T}_2)$  to  $(X,\mathcal{T}_1)$  is homeomorphic.

Proizvolov's example. Let P be the set  $[0,1]\times[0,1]$  and  $P_0 = [0,1]\times\{\frac{1}{2}\}$ , and define the topology of P as below: If  $p\in P-P_0$ , p has a neighborhood base in the usual sense of Euclidean plane. For any  $p=(p_1,\frac{1}{2})\in P_0$  and for any natural numbers  $\ell$ , m and n, let  $U_{\ell mn}(p)$  be the subset of P which consists of p and of all points satisfying one of the following three conditions: (1)  $p_2^* \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $(p_1+\frac{1}{n}-p_1^*)^2+(\frac{1}{2}-p_2^*)^2 < \frac{1}{n^2}$  or  $(p_1-\frac{1}{n}-p_1^*)^2+(\frac{1}{2}-p_2^*)^2<\frac{1}{n^2}$ ; (2)  $p_1-\frac{2}{n}< p_1^*< p_1+\frac{2}{n}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}\leq p_2^*$  and  $p_2^*-\frac{1}{2}<\frac{1}{m}|p_1^*-p_1|$ ; (5)  $\frac{1}{2}\leq p_2^*<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\ell}$  and  $p_2^*-\frac{1}{2}>m|p_1^*-p_1|$ , and let  $\{U_{\ell mn}(p)\}_{\ell,m,n=1}^\infty$  be the neighborhood base at p. Then it is easily seen that P is a Lindelöf, first countable  $T_3$  space. Let  $Y=[0,1]\times[0,\frac{1}{2}]$  be the subspace of

the Euclidean plane and f the map from r onto I such that it identifies the points which are symmetric with respect to  $F_0$ . Then f is clearly an open, at most two-to-one map. It remains to show that f is not compact-covering. On the contrary, suppse f is compact-covering. Then there exists a compact subset K of P, whose image by f covers I. Let  $\mathcal{I}_1$  be the topology of K as the subspace of the Euclidean plane, and let  $\mathcal{I}_2$  be the topology of A as the subspace of P. Then  $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$  holds. Hence, by Lemma  $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2$  holds. On the other hand, since K covers  $F_0$ , by the definition of  $\mathcal{I}_2$  it contains no countable base; however,  $\mathcal{I}_1$  contains a countable base. This contradiction shows that f is not compact-covering.

Supplement. A space X is called a space of countable (resp. point-countable) type if every compact subset (resp. point) of X is contained in some compact subset of A with a countable neighborhood base (cf.[3]).

as for the research of K. Nagami [5], there was a question whether every open compact map defined on a T<sub>3</sub> space of countable type is compact-covering, and it was informed that V. V. Proizvolov [6] solved it in the negative. However, in his example mentioned above, P could not be of countable type.

Because,  $P_0$  is a compact  $G_\delta$ -subset of P which has no countable neighborhood base in P. Hence, it seems that such question remains still open.

## Refernces

- 1. K. Alster, Remaks on compact-covering mappings, Bull. de l'Acad. Polonaise des Sci., XIX (1971), 141--148.
- 2. A. V. Arhangel'skii, Open and near open mappings. Connections between spaces, Trudy Moskov.Math. Obshch., 15

  (1966), 181--225.
- 3. A. V. Arhangel'skii, On a class of spaces containing all metric and all locally bicompact spaces, Dokl. Acad.

  Nauk SSSR, 151 (1963), 751--754; Soviet Math. Dokl.,

  4 (1963), 1051--1055.
- 4. E. A. Michael, A theorem on semi-continuous set-valued functions, Duke Math. J., 26 (1959), 647--652.
- 5. K. Nagami, Minimal class generated by open compact and perfect mappings, Fund. Math., LxXVIII (1973), 227--264.
- 6. V. V. Proizvolov, Solution answering a problem of Michael about k-covering mappings, Proc. 2nd Tiraspol Sympos on General Topology and its Applications, Kishinev 1969, 62 --64.