

Title	On Solutions of Initial-Boundary Problem for $u_t = u_{xx} + \frac{1}{1-u}$ (非線型方程式の数値解析)
Author(s)	KAWARADA, HIDEO
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1973), 190: 137-147
Issue Date	1973-10
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/107231">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/107231</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

On solutions of initial-boundary

problem for  $u_t = u_{xx} + \frac{1}{1-u}$

Hideo Kwarada

University of Tokyo

§1. Introduction and Theorem

Various works<sup>1), 2), 3)</sup> have been published on the blowing-up of solutions of the Cauchy problem and the initial-boundary value problem of nonlinear partial differential equations.

Blowing-up means that the solutions of these problems become infinite in a finite time.

The objective of the present paper is to introduce the concept of quenching which has more general sense than blowing-up and to find some sufficient conditions for quenching of the solutions of the following initial-boundary value problem for

$$u = u(t, x), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in (0, \ell),$$

$$(1.1a) \quad u_t = u_{xx} + \frac{1}{1-u}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in (0, \ell),$$

$$(1.1b) \quad u(t, 0) = u(t, \ell) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$

$$(1.1c) \quad u(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in (0, \ell),$$

/

where  $\ell$  is a positive constant. The above initial-boundary value problem (1.1a-c) is denoted by IVP. Our study may be said to be more illustrative than general, since we restrict ourselves to one-space-dimensional mixed problems of semilinear heat equations. Nevertheless, we hope that our results will give an insight into a more general situation. The nonlinear perturbation  $\frac{1}{1-u}$  ( $u \neq 1$ ) in (1.1a) is a locally Lipschitz continuous. Thus IVP has a unique solution which may be local in  $t$ .

The present problems came to our attention in connection with the diffusion equation generated by a polarization phenomena in ionic conductors<sup>4)</sup>.

We shall define quenching for the solutions of the initial value problems.

Definition 1. Let  $u = u(t, x)$  be the solution of the initial value problems which are defined in  $t > 0, x \in \Omega$ .  $\Omega$  means  $R^m$  which stands for the  $m$ -dimensional Euclidean space or the bounded domain in  $R^m$ .

We shall say that  $u$  quenches if  $\|u_t\|_C$  becomes infinite in a finite time where  $\|\cdot\|_C$  denotes the maximum norm over  $\Omega$ .

In order to clarify the nature of quenching, let us take some examples.

Example 2.  $\alpha$  being constant, the solution of the initial value problem for  $u = u(t)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} = \frac{1}{1-u}, & t > 0 \\ u(0) = \alpha, \end{cases}$$

is  $u = 1 + \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 - 2t}$ , if  $\alpha > 1$  and  $u = 1 - \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 - 2t}$ , if  $\alpha < 1$ . In both cases, we see quenching at  $t = \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{2}$ .

Example 3. Let  $\alpha$  be as above. The solution of the initial-boundary value problem for  $u = u(t, x)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in (0, \ell)$ ,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + \frac{1}{1-u}, & t > 0, \quad x \in (0, \ell), \\ u_x(t, 0) = u_x(t, \ell) = 0, & t > 0 \\ u(0, x) = \alpha, & x \in (0, \ell) \end{cases}$$

is the same as above.

Example 4. Blowing-up in the initial value problems means quenching. As our main result, we have

Theorem. In the IVP, suppose  $\ell > 2\sqrt{2}$ . Then the solution of the IVP quenches.

The present paper has two sections apart from this section. In §2, we shall give a Lemma. §3 is devoted to the proof of our Theorem.

§2. Lemma

As a preparation for the proof of Theorem we state the following lemma. Henceforce, let  $u = u(t,x)$  be the solution of IVP.

Lemma. In the IVP, suppose  $l > 2\sqrt{2}$ . Then  $u$  reaches 1 in a finite time at  $x = \frac{l}{2}$ .

Proof:

1st Step. We show that  $u(t,x)$  is increasing in  $t$  for every  $x$  in  $(0,l)$  as long as  $u$  exists. In fact, putting  $v = u_t$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad v_t = v_{xx} + \frac{1}{(1-u)^2} \cdot v, \quad x \in (0,l),$$

$$v(t,0) = v(t,l) = 0,$$

and

$$v(0,x) = 1, \quad x \in (0,l) \text{ as long as } u \text{ exists.}$$

We notice that  $v$  is a solution of the linear parabolic equation (2.1) and is non-negative on the "parabolic boundary". Thus  $v$  is non-negative everywhere, which implies the required

monotonicity of  $u$ .

2nd Step. The solution  $u_1 = u_1(t, x)$  of the initial-boundary value problem for  $u = u(t, x)$ ,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + 1, & t > 0, \quad x \in (0, \ell), \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, \ell) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = 0, & x \in (0, \ell) \end{cases}$$

converges its stationary solution  $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell-x)$  ( $0 < x < \ell$ ) as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus  $u_1$  crosses 1 in a finite time if  $\ell > 2\sqrt{2}$ .

Suppose that  $u$  does not reach 1 in a finite time if  $\ell > 2\sqrt{2}$ . Then IVP has a global solution, i.e.,  $u$  satisfies  $0 \leq u \leq 1$  in  $(0, \ell) \times [0, +\infty)$  by virtue of the monotonicity of  $u$ .

Comparing  $u$  with  $u_1$ , we get  $u \geq u_1$  in  $(0, \ell) \times [0, +\infty)$

since  $\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \geq 1$  in  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . This contradicts the assumption.

We shall denote the time when  $u$  reaches 1 by  $t = T_0$ .

3rd Step.  $u$  satisfies (i)  $u_x(t, 0) > 0$  by virtue of positivity of  $u$ ; (ii)  $u_x(t, \frac{\ell}{2}) = 0$  since  $u$  is an even function with respect to  $x = \frac{\ell}{2}$ . Putting  $\pi = u_x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_t &= \pi_{xx} + \frac{1}{(1-u)^2} \cdot \pi, & t \in [0, T_0), \quad x \in (0, \frac{\ell}{2}), \\ \pi(t, 0) &> 0, \quad \pi(t, \frac{\ell}{2}) &= 0, \quad t \in [0, T_0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\pi(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in (0, \frac{\ell}{2}).$$

Repeating the same argument as in 1st Step, we see that

$$(2.2) \quad \pi = u_x(t, x) > 0, \quad t \in [0, T_0), \quad x \in (0, \frac{\ell}{2}).$$

Combining (2.2) and (ii), we get that  $u$  takes its maximum

at  $x = \frac{\ell}{2}$  for any  $t \in [0, T_0)$ . This completes the proof.

### §3. Proof of Theorem

1st Step.

1.a) Put  $\mu = \mu(t) = u(t, \frac{\ell}{2})$  in  $[0, T_0)$ .  $\mu$  satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{d\mu}{dt} \leq \frac{1}{1-\mu} \quad \text{in } [T_0 - \varepsilon, T_0)$$

for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  since  $u_{xx}(t, \frac{\ell}{2}) \leq 0$  in  $[0, T_0)$ .

Put  $T_1 = T_0 - \varepsilon$  and  $\Omega_\varepsilon = (0, \ell) \times [T_1, T_0)$ . Comparing  $\mu(t)$

with  $v = v(t) = 1 - \sqrt{2\sqrt{T_0 - t}}$  in  $[T_1, T_0)$ , we get

$$(3.2) \quad \mu \geq v, \quad \text{in } [T_1, T_0)$$

since  $v$  satisfies (see Example 2)

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{1}{1-v}, \quad t \in [T_1, T_0)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} v(t) = 1.$$

(3.2) implies that there exists the domain  $D_\varepsilon$  in which

$u$  satisfies

$$u(t, x) \geq v(t) .$$

Denote the compliment of  $D_\epsilon$  by  $E_\epsilon$  and put  $E_\epsilon^{(1)} = E_\epsilon \cap \{(0, \frac{\ell}{2}) \times [T_1, T_0]\}$  and  $E_\epsilon^{(2)} = E_\epsilon \cap \{(\frac{\ell}{2}, \ell) \times [T_1, T_0]\}$  .

For  $D_\epsilon$  , there may be two cases:

Case (a)  $D_\epsilon$  has no interior points; i.e., there holds

$$u_{xx}(t, \frac{\ell}{2}) = 0 \quad \text{in } [T_1, T_0) .$$

Case (b)  $D_\epsilon$  has interior points.

For the case (a),  $u$  quenches obviously. Henceforce we consider only the case (b).

1.b) Denote the boundary between  $D_\epsilon$  and  $E_\epsilon^{(i)}$  by  $x = s^{(i)}(t)$  ( $t \in [T_1, T_0)$ ) for  $i=1, 2$ . Then  $x = s^{(i)}(t)$  satisfies

$$(i) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} s^{(i)}(t) = \frac{\ell}{2} ;$$

$$(ii) \quad u_x(t, s^{(i)}(t)) \cdot \dot{s}^{(i)}(t) = -u_{xx}(t, s^{(i)}(t)), \quad t \in [T_1, T_0)$$

where  $\dot{s}^{(i)}(t)$  means  $\frac{ds^{(i)}(t)}{dt}$  for  $i=1, 2$ . In fact, there holds

$$(3.3) \quad u = v \quad \text{on } x = s^{(i)}(t) , \quad t \in [T_1, T_0) .$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.3) and using (3.3), we get

$$(3.4) \quad u_t(t, s^{(i)}(t)) + u_x(t, s^{(i)}(t)) \cdot \dot{s}^{(i)}(t) = \frac{1}{1-u(t, s^{(i)}(t))} .$$

By virtue of (1.1a) on  $x = s^{(i)}(t)$  and (3.3) we have (ii).

1.c) Obviously we have the following inequalities

$$(3.5a) \quad \frac{1}{1-u} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{T_0}-t}} \quad \text{in } D_\epsilon ,$$



and

$$(3.5b) \quad \frac{1}{1-u} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{T_0-t}} \quad \text{in } E_\varepsilon .$$

2nd Step.

2.a) Let  $p = p(t, x)$  be  $\frac{1}{2(T_0-t)}$  in  $D_\varepsilon$  and  $\frac{1}{(1-u)^2}$  in  $E_\varepsilon$ .

Then the solution  $v_1 = v_1(t, x)$  of the initial-boundary value problem for  $v = v(t, x)$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ ,

$$\begin{cases} v_t = v_{xx} + p \cdot v & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \\ v(t, 0) = v(t, \ell) = 0, & t \in [T_1, T_0) , \\ v(T_1, x) = \beta(x) = u_t(T_1, x), & x \in (0, \ell) , \end{cases}$$

exists and satisfies  $v_1 \leq v$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  by virtue of (3.5a).

2.b) Put  $W = W(t, x) = \sqrt{T_0-t} \cdot v_1$ . Denoting  $W$  in  $D_\varepsilon$  by  $W^{(1)}$ , we have  $W_t^{(1)} = W_{xx}^{(1)}$  in  $D_\varepsilon$ .

3rd Step.

3.a) We shall deal with the following initial-boundary value problem for  $V = V(t, x)$  in  $(-\infty, +\infty) \times [T_1, T_0)$ .

$$(3.6a) \quad V_t = V_{xx} \quad \text{in } (-\infty, +\infty) \times [T_1, T_0)$$

$$(3.6b) \quad V = W^{(1)} \quad \text{in } D_\varepsilon$$

$$(3.6c) \quad V = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \cdot \beta(x), \quad x \in [0, s^{(1)}(T_1)) \cup (s^{(2)}(T_1), \ell]$$

$$(3.6d) \quad V = 0, \quad x \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (\ell, +\infty).$$

In what follows we impose on the solution  $V(t, x)$  the following conditions at infinity:  $V(t, x)$  and  $V_x(t, x)$  are bounded as

$x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  uniformly with respect to  $t$  in  $[T_1, T_0)$ . We see the

solution  $\hat{W} = \hat{W}(t, x)$  of (3.6) uniquely exists. Uniqueness of

$\hat{W}$  is shown by Holmgren's theorem. Using the Green's function

$$K(t, x; \tau, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\xi)^2}{4(t-\tau)}\right\},$$

$\hat{W}$  is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}(t, x) = & \int_{T_1}^t [K(t, x; \tau, s^{(1)}(\tau)) W_{\xi}^{(1)}(\tau, s^{(1)}(\tau)) \\ & - W^{(1)}(\tau, s^{(1)}(\tau)) K_{\xi}(t, x; \tau, s^{(1)}(\tau))] d\tau \\ (3.7) \quad & + \int_0^{s^{(1)}(T_1)} K(t, x; T_1, \xi) \sqrt{\varepsilon} \cdot \beta(\xi) d\xi \\ & + \int_{T_1}^t K(t, 0; \tau, s^{(1)}(\tau)) W^{(1)}(\tau, s^{(1)}(\tau)) \cdot \dot{s}^{(1)}(\tau) d\tau, \\ & -\infty < x < s^{(1)}(t), \quad t \in [T_1, T_0). \end{aligned}$$

Also in  $s^{(2)}(t) < x < +\infty$ ,  $t \in [T_1, T_0)$ , we have the similar expression as (3.7).

3.b) Using the positivity of  $\beta$ ,  $W$  and maximum principle, we have

$$\hat{W}(t, x) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } (-\infty, +\infty) \times [T_1, T_0).$$

Thus from (3.6) and (3.5b) we see

$$\hat{W}(t,x) \geq W(t,x) \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon .$$

4th Step. We claim that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} \hat{W}(t, \frac{\ell}{2}) > 0 .$$

On the contrary, we suppose that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} \hat{W}(t, \frac{\ell}{2}) = 0 ,$$

which implies that  $0 \equiv \hat{W}(t,x) \geq W(t,x) \geq 0$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  by the strong maximum principle<sup>5)</sup>. This is a contradiction. Thus we

get that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} \frac{d\mu(t)}{dt} = \lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} v(t,x) \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} v_1(t, \frac{\ell}{2}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} \frac{\hat{W}(t, \frac{\ell}{2})}{\sqrt{t-T_0}} = +\infty$$

This completes the proof.

#### References

- 1) H. Fugita: On Some Nonexistence and Nonuniqueness Theorems for Nonlinear Parabolic Equations, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., 18 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I, 1968, p.105.
- 2) J. B. Keller: On Solutions of Nonlinear Wave Equations, Comm. pure appl. Math. 10 (1957) p.523.

- 3) H. Kwarada: On Solutions of Nonlinear Wave Equations,  
J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 26 (1971) p.280.
- 4) H. Yanagida and H. Kwarada: Mathematical Representation  
of Electric Current Transient in Polarized Ionic Conductors,  
to appear.
- 5) A. Friedman; Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic  
type. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Clifs, N.J., 1964.