





Understanding the sorption and biotransformation of organic micropollutants in innovative biological wastewater treatment technologies

T. Alvarino, S. Suarez, J. Lema, F. Omil

Accepted Manuscript
---------------------

## How to cite:

Science of The Total Environment, 615 (2018), 297-306 doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.278

# **Copyright information:**

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0)

# 1 Understanding the sorption and biotransformation of organic micropollutants

- 2 in innovative biological wastewater treatment technologies
- 3 T. Alvarino<sup>1\*</sup>, S. Suarez<sup>1</sup>, J. Lema<sup>1</sup>, F. Omil<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Institute of Technology, Universidade de
- 5 Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Galicia, Spain
- 6 (ter.alva@gmail.com)
- <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +34-881816739; Fax: +34-881816702

8

9

10

#### Abstract

 New technologies for wastewater treatment have been developed in the last years based on the combination of biological reactors operating under different redox conditions. Their efficiency in the removal of organic micropollutants (OMPs) has not been clearly assessed yet. This review paper is focussed on understanding the sorption and biotransformation of a selected group of 17 OMPs, including pharmaceuticals, hormones and personal care products, during biological wastewater treatment processes. Apart from considering the role of "classical" operational parameters, new factors such as biomass conformation and particle size, upward velocity applied or the addition of adsorbents have been considered.

It has been found that the OMP removal by sorption not only depends on their physico-chemical characteristics and other parameters, such as the biomass conformation and particle size, or some operational conditions also relevant. Membrane biological reactors (MBR), have shown to enhance sorption and biotransformation of some OMPs. The same applies to technologies bases on direct addition of activated carbon in bioreactors.

The OMP biotransformation degree and pathway is mainly driven by the redox potential and the primary substrate activity. The combination of different redox potentials in hybrid reactor systems can significantly enhance the overall OMP removal efficiency. Sorption and biotransformation can be synergistically promoted in biological reactors by the addition of activated carbon. The deeper knowledge of the main parameters influencing OMP removal provided by this review will allow optimizing the biological processes in the future.

Keywords: pharmaceuticals, removal mechanism, biotransformation, sorption,

#### activated carbon

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The conception of wastewater treatment is moving towards a circular economy approach in the last years, in which the process economy should be balanced with the protection of natural resources and environmental sustainability (WWAP, 2017). Even though, nowadays still most wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are based on conventional biological treatment processes designed for the removal of organic matter

and nutrients through the combination of anaerobic, anoxic and aerobic bioreactors, as well as complementary physico-chemical separation units. These classical approaches have achieved convincing results in terms of organic matter and nutrients removal. However, these installations are facing a number of challenges mostly derived from the need of reducing their footprint both physically (less land area required) and, especially, from an environmental point of view (less energy consumption, less sludge production, fewer pollutants and green house gases emissions, etc.). The need to advance in resource recovery such as nutrients or reclaimed water completes this challenging scenario.

In this context, the problem derived from the presence of chemicals of emerging concern, such as organic micropollutants (OMPs) including pharmaceuticals, hormones, and personal care products, has been widely assessed in different environmental water compartments (sewage, surface, ground and drinking waters) all around the world along the last decades. The effluent discharges from WWTPs constitute the main source of OMPs into the environment (Carmona et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2014; Clara et al., 2011; Monteiro et al., 2010). It has been shown that even conventional technologies are able to remove efficiently some OMPs (Belhaj et al., 2015; Nakada et al., 2006; Carballa et al., 2004), although there is still a significant group of compounds with a recalcitrant behaviour (Clara et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2007; Carballa et al., 2004).

Some innovative biological treatment technologies have demonstrated important benefits in terms of operational costs and removal efficiencies for conventional pollutants (organic matter, nutrients or solids). Studies about anammox-based processes, hybrid systems combining different redox conditions, moving bed biofilm and packed bed reactors and anaerobic treatments applied to the water line have been recently n published (Bilal et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2014; Vázquez-Padín et al., 2014; Kartal et al., 2013; Ettwig, 2008). However, there is still a lack of knowledge about their capacity for removing OMPs.

There are already legal initiatives that support the importance of broadening the number of pollutants when assessing the quality of water. The European Union has specifically included 12 OMPs in the watch list for emerging water pollutants under the 2015's Water Framework Directive (WFD). These micropollutants should be monitored during three years in order to consider their future inclusion in the list of priority substances and to determine their respective discharge limits in function of their possible toxic, estrogenic and mutagenic effects. This should be considered as an indicator of the need to include the removal of OMPs as a parameter for WWTPs optimization, besides other variables normally considered (e.g. efficiency of macropollutant removal, reduction of the operational costs, etc.).

The first approach to consider the removal of micropollutants in new WWTP projects is to more deeply understand their removal mechanisms, including the influence of the operating conditions, as well as the role of the microbial community on

their sorption and biotransformation. The authors have a long-term experience of more than 15 years in research on the removal of OMPs in different biological processes, including from more conventional to advanced technologies. The results have shown that the combination of anoxic and aerobic conditions commonly applied in conventional denitrification processes leads to the removal of several OMPs, such as ibuprofen (IBP), naproxen (NPX), celestolide (ADBI) or roxithromycin (ROX) (Suárez et al., 2010). The application of an anaerobic pretreatment step has shown to broaden the number of OMPs that are partially biotransformed (Alvarino et al., 2014). The use of membrane bioreactors (MBR) allows operation at higher biomass concentrations and sludge retention time (SRT), which implies a higher microbial diversity. According to Reif et al. (2011), this explains the improved removal of the pharmaceuticals IBP and NPX in an MBR compared to a conventional activated sludge (CAS) unit. These results suggest that treatment strategies based on the combination of different redox potentials and on the application of high SRT (by the use of membranes, supports or granular biomass) allow reaching higher OMP removal efficiencies for most of the OMPs studied.

85 86

87 88

89 90

91

92 93

94 95

96

97 98

99 100

101102

103

104

105

106107

108

109

110

111112

113

114

115116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

This paper is a review of the behaviour of OMPs during biological wastewater treatment processes, focusing on the factors influencing sorption and biotransformation as the main OMP removal mechanisms. It is based on previous experiments on OMP removal with different technological configurations, moving from more conventional processes, such as: i) an aerobic conventional activated sludge (CAS) unit of 2 L (Alvarino et al., 2014) and ii) an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) of 4.5 L (Alvarino et al., 2014); to more advanced integrated systems: iii) an autotrophic nitrogen removal process (ELAN®) of 200 L (Alvarino et al., 2015), iv) a hybrid anaerobic-aerobic membrane process (AnHMBR) of 176 L (Alvarino et al., 2016a) and v) a sequential batch reactor coupled to an MBR conceived for operation with direct addition of powdered activated carbon (PAC) (SeMPAC®) of 48 L (Alvarino et al., 2016b). The main characteristics of these five systems are summarized in Table 1 and in more detail described in supplementary information. In all the previous studies, a complete OMP mass balance was developed under steady-state conditions by considering the measured OMP concentrations in both the liquid and the solid phases. OMPs biotransformation was considered taking into account a pseudo-first order kinetic model (Alvarino et al., 2015; Ec.1).

$$k_{biol} = rac{C_{inf} - C_{eff} - C_{sor}}{VSS \cdot HRT}$$
 (Eq.1)

where Cinf, Ceff and Csor are the concentrations in the influent, the effluent and sorbed onto solids ( $\mu$ g/L); VSS is the volatile suspended solids inside the reactor (gvss/L) and HRT is the hydraulic retention time (d).

Previous studies have focused on the removal of <u>OMPs</u> under a specific redox potential (Ghattas et al., 2017). Although some recent reviews have evaluated the OMP removal

during biological wastewater treatment processes in hybrid plants (Grandclément et al., 2017; Tiwari et al., 2017), they are mainly focused on the occurrence of OMPs and the evaluation of the effect of some conventional parameters, such as hydraulic or sludge retention time. In general, the information about the combination of different redox potentials in hybrid systems is still scarce. This research supposes a step forward including new parameters affecting OMP removal, especially the relationship between different factors (table S.1). Among others, the influence of biomass conformation on OMP sorption, the role of the OMP chemical structure on their cometabolic removal under different redox potentials, or the effect of adsorbents in OMP sorption and biotransformation has been analysed.

## 2. SORPTION OF OMPs

Sorption onto particulate matter is especially relevant when the tendency of OMPs to be retained onto sludges (primary and secondary) is high. The term sorption comprises two mechanisms: absorption (micropollutants move from the aqueous phase and enter into the lipophilic cell membrane of biomass or into the lipid fraction of the sludge due to their hydrophobicity) and adsorption (micropollutants are retained onto solids surface due to electrostatic interactions between positively charged compounds and the negatively charged surface of biomass cells) (Sipma et al., 2010).

Sorption of OMPs is a function of their physico-chemical properties, as well as of the characteristics of the sorbent agent. In the case of sludges, the octanol-water coefficient (K<sub>ow</sub>) and the acid dissociation constant of OMPs (K<sub>a</sub>) determine their sorption trend (Suárez et al., 2008; Ternes et al., 2004). Reported results indicate that those compounds with a medium or high lipophilic behaviour (high K<sub>ow</sub>), such as musk fragrances or hormones, are preferentially removed by absorption onto the sludge (Suárez et al., 2008; Joss et al., 2005), independently of the biomass conformation (granular or flocculent biomass) (Alvarino et al., 2014). On the other hand, OMPs which are ionized or dissociated in the aqueous phase can be removed by electrostatic interactions with the negatively charged surfaces of the biomass, as in the case of the cationic species of the antibiotic trimethoprim (Suárez et al., 2008). The use of the solid—water distribution coefficient (K<sub>d</sub>, in L kg<sup>-1</sup>), defined as the ratio between the concentrations in the solid and liquid phases at equilibrium conditions, is commonly used to determine the fraction sorbed onto sludge (Hörsing et al., 2011).

The amount of OMP present in the solid phase can be divided into different fractions according to the strength of the binding. The first fraction relates to the amount of substance more weakly sorbed (extractable fraction), while the second is referred to that portion more strongly retained (non-extractable fraction) (Bouju et al., 2016; Barret et al., 2012; Bouju et al., 2011). The ultrasonic solvent extraction (USE) methodology is the most common technology used for measuring the OMPs extractable fraction (Ternes et al., 2004), whereas the non-extractable fraction can only be measured by using more advanced techniques such as radiolabelled isotope based methods (Bouju et al., 2011).

These advanced methods can be used to assess the changes over time in the distribution of the radioactivity of the radiolabelled parent compound both in the liquid and solid phases, as well as in the gasses produced. The <sup>14</sup>C technique was used by Alvarino et al., (2016c) to determine the sorption of the antibiotic sulfamethoxazole (SMX) in bioreactors using different biomass conformations (granular and flocculent biomass) and applying different redox conditions (anaerobic, anoxic and aerobic). Except in the case of the anaerobic granules, the contribution of the non-extractable fraction to the total sorption was always above 50%, with an increasing trend along the duration of the assays, and the overall removal by sorption < 6% of the total radioactivity.

Among the different factors which exert a significant role on sorption of OMPs onto sludges, the following have been especially highlighted in WWTPs:

#### Biomass conformation

The structure of biomass (type and particle size distribution) is expected to have an impact on the removal of micropollutants, as it affects mass transfer between the target compound and the microorganisms. Among suspended biomass, granular conformation is typical of anaerobic reactors (upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB), expanded granular sludge bed (EGSB)), whereas flocculent agglomerations are usually present in activated sludge based processes. Many innovative hybrid configurations consider the use of supports for biofilm development. When flocculent and granular biomasses are compared in terms of the relative amount of OMPs sorbed onto sludge (i.e. referred to the concentration in the liquid phase, as determined by the  $K_d$  coefficient, table S.2), higher values are obtained for flocculent biomass, which might be attributed to the higher specific surface area of the floccules compared to the granules (Alvarino et al., 2016a; Alvarino et al., 2014). The same effect is observed when comparing granules with different particle sizes, being sorption enhanced as the granular size decreases (Alvarino et al., 2015). In spite of the lower relative amount of OMPs sorbed onto granular sludge compared to flocculent biomass, the contribution of sorption to the OMPs removal in reactors working with this type of biomass (such as UASB units) is higher since usual biomass concentrations are very high compared to CAS units (7-30 vs. 1-2 g<sub>VSS</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) (Alvarino et al., 2016a; Alvarino et al., 2014).

In the case of the granular biomass, sorption behaviour has been described by an intraparticle diffusion model comprising a two-stage diffusion process: starting with a quick sorption of OMPs onto the surface of the granules followed by a slower intramolecular diffusion step towards deeper layers (Alvarino et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2011). Consequently, the sorbed concentration of the lipophilic OMPs (e.g. musk fragrances) on granular biomass can increase with time, as reported by Alvarino et al. (2016b; 2014). In such cases, the mass balances in granular systems cannot be based on one unique sorption coefficient ( $K_d$ ) for each OMP, whereas with flocculent biomass the equilibrium is normally reached quickly being the  $K_d$  approach appropriate. In order to predict sorption onto biofilms, the two-stage diffusion model was successfully used as

in the case of granules (Wicke et al., 2007; Headley et al., 1998). A biofilm is usually 204 205 considered as a matrix constituted by extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) in which 206 biomass cells are embedded (Wunder et al., 2011). These EPS may determine different behavior towards OMP removal mechanisms, since their structure shows lower 207 interaction with lipophilic OMPs than the biomass, reducing significantly their sorption 208 onto the biofilm (Wang et al., 2002). EPS can also influence the sorption of hydrophilic 209 OMPs, since cationic and anionic functional groups are available in EPS chemical 210 structure, making possible the establishment of electrostatic interactions (Wunder et al., 211 212 2011; Flemming et al., 1996). On the other hand, the apolar functional groups of EPS proteins may sorb apolar organic compounds (Flemming et al., 1996). 213

# Hydrodynamic parameters

214

215

216

217218

219220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229 230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238239

240

241 242

243

Hydraulic retention time (HRT) is directly related to the contact time between the wastewater and the sludge inside the reactor, which is usually in the range of hours for biological reactors. This is enough to reach the sorption equilibrium among both phases in flocculent biomass processes (Alvarino et al., 2014; Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2012). However, as stated in the previous section, the sorption equilibrium is not achieved under these conditions when operating with granular biomass (e.g. in UASB or EGSB reactors). Experiments carried out in UASB reactors at different HRT showed that higher HRT applied in those granular systems led to an enhanced removal of musk fragrances by sorption (the most lipophilic OMPs considered), with negligible effect on the other OMPs (Alvarino et al., 2016a; Alvarino et al., 2014), due to the increase in the contact time. In upflow reactors (such as the UASB concept), the upward velocity applied affects the hydrodynamics and degree of turbulence existing in the sludge bed. It was shown that the increase of the upward velocity enhances the removal of the lipophilic OMPs, due to the direct impact on the liquid-solid OMP mass transfer (Alvarino et al., 2014). Therefore, the application of an internal recirculation to decouple the HRT and the upward velocity would be an alternative to enhance the removal by sorption in granules (Alvarino et al., 2014).

#### pH and temperature

Sorption is also affected by wastewater characteristics such as its temperature or pH, although the narrow variation range of these variables during a conventional WWTP operation makes these parameters not very relevant. Sorption has been correlated inversely with temperature in the case of the hormone  $17\alpha$ -ethinylestradiol (EE2), with a reduction of  $K_d$  values of 20-25% when the temperature was increased from 10 to 30°C (Zeng et al., 2009). On the other hand, the role of pH on sorption has been related with the dissociation of certain OMPs (through the acid dissociation constant pKa), which can result in the generation of positively charged compounds (prone to interact with the negatively charged surface of sludges) or anions (low interaction). Thus, the cationic species would be adsorbed by Van der Waals-type interactions (Suárez et al., 2008; Golet et al., 2003).

#### Membrane-based reactors

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251 252

253 254

255256

257

258 259

260

261

262

263 264

265

266

267

268 269

270

271

272273

274275

276

277

278

279 280

281

282

283

Membrane biological reactors are currently widely used for urban and industrial wastewaters. These systems are based on the combination of a suspended biomass biological reactor and a membrane filtration step in the microfiltration or ultrafiltration range (0.1-1 and 0.01-0.1 µm, respectively). In spite of these low pore sizes, OMPs are not retained by means of size exclusion. Only nanofiltration or reverse osmosis membranes are able to remove OMPs by physical means (Schäfer et al, 2011). Membranes used in MBRs can be classified according to their hydrophilic or hydrophobic nature depending on the properties of the construction material. In the case of the hydrophobic membranes (e.g. polyethersulfone membranes), lipophilic OMPs such as musk fragrances could be retained by sorption onto the membrane (Jermann et al, 2009). Sorption of OMPs by membranes may be influenced by the establishment of electrostatic interactions among the pollutant and the membrane surface (Ghaemi et al., 2011). Nghiem et al. (2002) reported that OMPs can be retained by adsorption onto membranes by the formation of hydrogen bonds, dropping the retention when the membrane is saturated. No significant retention of OMPs within the membrane material is expected for hydrophilic and neutrally charged membranes, such as the well-known Kubota or Zenon modules (flat sheet and hollow fibre, respectively). The interaction among OMPs and the cake layer formed on the membrane surface is another issue which deserves attention, as retention by this layer through sorption and/or size exclusion is possible (Wu et al., 2013; Terzic et al., 2005). This effect was reported for musk fragrances in an MBR using a hydrophilic non-charged microfiltration membrane, where an increase in the solid phase concentrations of these compounds was observed with time due to their retention in the cake layer (Alvarino et al., 2016a). Higher removal for diclofenac (DCF) and ROX was observed in an ultrafiltration MBR compared to a microfiltration MBR, and these differences were also attributed to the retention by the cake layer (Alvarino et al., 2017).

## Use of adsorbents

The use of commercially available activated carbon (AC) in different conformations (granular, powdered, pellets, etc.) is a well-established alternative for the removal of a wide number of xenobiotics such as pesticides, taste and odor compounds, and OMPs. This alternative is especially interesting for OMPs which exhibit a low affinity to be retained onto sludge but, on the contrary, with a high affinity to interact with other sorbent agents such as activated carbons (Table 2) (Paredes et al., 2016; Kovalova et al., 2013). The sorption efficiency reached with activated carbon is a function of the characteristics of the OMP considered, such as its electrical charge, and its distribution coefficient (log D). This coefficient depends on the octanol-water distribution coefficient (K<sub>ow</sub>) and the acid dissociation constant (pK<sub>a</sub>) (Kovalova et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2012). Compounds with high log D values, such as carbamazepine (CBZ) or DCF, are readily sorbed onto PAC. Consequently, removal efficiencies above

99% were achieved in an MBR operated with a direct AC addition of 1 g/L (Alvarino et al., 2017). No influence of the use of PAC was observed in this reactor in the case of IBP, NPX or SMX, that are compounds with log D < 1. A gradual saturation of the PAC with time was observed in this system (Alvarino et al., 2017, 2016b). The propensity to breakthrough is related to the charge of the compounds that were retained. The saturation was first observed for negatively charged compounds (such as DCF), afterwards for the neutral substances (such as CBZ), and finally for the positively charged OMPs such as trimethoprim (TMP) (Nguyen et al., 2012, Alvarino et al., 2017, 2016b). The efficiency of sorption onto the AC is very much influenced by the quality of the wastewater treated due to the competition of the matrix with OMPs, as reported by Paredes et al. (2016).

**Table 2.** OMPs sorption on the biomass and the activated carbon

# 3. BIOTRANSFORMATION OF OMPS DURING BIOLOGICAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT

Biotransformation of OMPs in WWTPs is related to the chemical reactions induced by the presence of microorganisms in water, such as bacteria, which assimilate the pollutants as growth or maintenance substrates leading to their elimination (Tran et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013). Although in biological processes complete biomineralization of some OMPs is possible, for most of them the removal is only partial, being some compounds completely recalcitrant (Collado et al., 2014; Clara et al., 2004). Apart from the operational conditions imposed in a given WWTP (HRT, SRT, temperature, process technology, etc.), the biotransformation of OMPs is also a function of the chemical structure of each compound and the concentrations at which they are present. The OMP concentrations determine the type of metabolism (primary or cometabolism), while the type and activity of biomass (which depends on the redox conditions imposed) determine the degree of biotransformation.

# Influence of biomass activity: metabolism and cometabolism

The OMPs are present at much lower concentrations than the macropollutants (µg L<sup>-1</sup> to ng L<sup>-1</sup> vs. mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Thus, it is expected that the energy generated by the OMP biotransformation is not enough to promote the growth of biomass, which implies that the presence of a primary substrate is necessary for inducing the enzymes for the OMP biotransformation by means of cometabolism. During this process, the persistent compounds are converted into more biotransformable intermediate products within the global metabolic pathways (Yi and Harper, 2007).

Cometabolism has been widely studied in the case of nitrification. The simultaneous elimination of ammonium and different OMPs has been reported for EE2,

IBP, NPX, trichloroethylene or isoproturon, as a consequence of the cometabolic biotransformations induced by autotrophic aerobic bacteria (Figure 1) (Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2012, Helbling et al., 2012; Yi and Harper et al., 2007; Yi et al., 2006). The enzyme ammonium monooxygenase (AMO) is suggested to be the catalyst responsible for the OMP/NH<sub>3</sub> cometabolism (Yi et al., 2006). Park et al., (2017) studied the cometabolic removal of OMP by AMO and classified the OMPs in three categories in function of the cometabolic biotransformation rates: i) OMPs with a biological kinetic constant  $(k_{biol}) > 1 L g_{VSS}^{-1} d^{-1}$ , such as atenolol, thiamphenicol, and fenoprofen; ii) OMPs with a k<sub>biol</sub> between 0.1- 1 L g<sub>VSS</sub><sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, such as ketoprofen, propranolol, metoprolol, diltiazem, or bezafibrate and iii) OMPs with a k<sub>biol</sub> < 0.1 L g<sub>VSS</sub><sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, such as TMP and indomethacin. A correlation between the removal rate of three OMPs (IBP, bisphenol-A, and triclosan TCS) and the nitritation activity in a nitritation-anammox process was previously observed, evidencing the role of the AMO bacteria in the biotransformation of these particular compounds (Alvarino et al., 2015). In fact, the metabolites 1-hydroxyibuprofen and 2-hydroxyibuprofen were detected in biological processes under aerobic conditions (CAS, aerobic MBRs, and nitrifying activated sludge) as intermediates of IBP biotransformation (Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2016; Alvarino et al., 2014; Zwiener et al., 2002). The formation of both metabolites might be related to the enzymatic reactions catalyzed by AMO bacteria that utilize elemental oxygen to incorporate the hydroxyl function into the chemical structure of the persistent compounds (Figure 1a), increasing their biodegradability (Chang et al., 1997). The removal of OMPs has been correlated to the specific nitrification rate in enriched nitrifying cultures (Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2012; Helbling et al., 2012; Yi and Harper et al., 2007). Tran et al. (2009) suggested that the OMP removals depend on the concentration of both substrates: OMPs and ammonium.

321 322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332 333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340 341

342 343

344 345

346

347

348 349

350

351 352

353

354

355 356

357

358

359 360

361

Although less studied than the autotrophic nitrifying metabolism, the effect of the heterotrophic activity on the removal of OMPs under aerobic conditions was also reported (Alvarino et al., 2016a; Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2013; Tran et al., 2009). An aerobic activated sludge reactor used as a post-treatment of a previous anaerobic step, operated at a low heterotrophic activity, was spiked with pulses of methanol (primary organic substrate) and a mixture of OMPs (Alvarino et al., 2016a). During this experiment, a correlation between the reactor heterotrophic activity and the removal rates of most OMPs was observed. The exceptions were those OMPs whose cometabolisms had previously shown to depend on the nitrifying activity. The removal of the antibiotic SMX by aerobic autotrophic and heterotrophic cometabolism has also been studied (Alvarino et al., 2016c; Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2016; Müller et al., 2013). A positive influence of the presence of organic matter as primary substrate was observed in the removal rate of this antibiotic, whereas no influence was found related to the ammonium content in the feeding. This confirmed that SMX removal is only influenced by the activity of aerobic heterotrophs, while the role of nitrifying bacteria are not relevant (Alvarino et al., 2016c; Muller et al., 2013). Although the removal of

IBP by cometabolism in the presence of nitrifiers is well documented, the use of nitrification inhibitors showed that heterotrophs also contribute positively to its biotransformation in CAS systems (Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2009). Therefore, the effect of both types of microorganisms has to be taken into account when studying the removal of IBP by cometabolism.

Less information is available in the case of biomass grown under anoxic or anaerobic conditions. Pomiès et al., (2015) assessed the removal of atenolol under anoxic and aerobic conditions and determined that cometabolism using organic carbon as primary substrate was the main removal mechanism under both redox conditions. The same behavior was observed for the antibiotic SMX by Alvarino et al. (2016c). Recent studies reported a correlation between the methanogenic primary metabolism and OMPs removal in the case of the pharmaceuticals NPX, SMX, and TMP, during urban wastewater treatment in UASB reactors (Alvarino et al., 2016a; Alvarino et al., 2014).

# Influence of the redox potential

362

363

364

365

366

367 368

369 370

371

372373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388 389

390

391 392

393

394 395

396

397 398

399

The redox conditions applied to the biological process (aerobic, anoxic, anaerobic) are crucial to determine the microbial populations developed in the reactor and, therefore, the biotransformation pathway of a given pollutant. The degree of biotransformation is very much influenced by the OMP chemical structure. Table 3 shows a summary of removal efficiencies measured for a selection of OMPs under the most common redox potentials applied in WWTPs: aerobic (CAS unit, Table 1), anaerobic (UASB reactor, Table 1) and anoxic conditions (during denitrification in the SeMPAC<sup>®</sup> process, Table 1) (Alvarino et al., 2016b, 2014). Among the micropollutants considered in Table 3, most of them were readily biotransformed under aerobic conditions, such as the pharmaceuticals IBP, NPX and ROX, hormones, musk fragrances, fluoxetine (FLX) and TCS. In general, it can be seen that aerobic systems are more efficient for the widest group of compounds, although anaerobic units can improve the biotransformation of some substances (e.g. SMX, TMP). Nitrification usually enhances biotransformation, whereas the anoxic conditions imposed in denitrification units are not especially relevant for the biotransformation of OMPs. The use of synthetic supports enhances the removal of OMPs due to the improvement of the nitrification (Alvarino et al., 2016a; di Trapani et al., 2014). Finally, there is a group of pharmaceutical recalcitrant compounds (CBZ, diazepam (DZP), DCF) which are not affected by the redox conditions applied. Under positive redox conditions, the dissolved oxygen concentration influences the removal of several OMPs (Stadler et al., 2015): atenolol is readily removed under pure aerobic conditions, whereas the removal of SMX increases in microaerobic conditions.

Table 3. OMPs biotransformation in function of the redox potential

NPX, SMX, and TMP are readily biotransformed compounds under anaerobic conditions (Table 3), which is associated with their chemical structure. The presence of an amide group in the structure of SMX makes its biotransformation under aerobic conditions difficult, but it can be biotransformed by reductive reactions due to the presence of a strong electron-withdrawing group such as the sulfonyl (Field, 2002). Alvarino et al. (2016a) studied the intermediate products produced during the cometabolic biotransformation of SMX under anaerobic conditions. One of the metabolites of SMX detected under anaerobic conditions was more polar than the parent compound, which suggests a pathway through the breakage of SMX at the sulfonyl group (Alvarino et al., 2016c) (Figure 2). Besides, a second metabolite observed during the biological biotransformation of SMX under anaerobic conditions was proposed to be formed by the attack at the N-O bond of the 3-amino-5-methyl-isoxazole ring (Alvarino et al., 2016c; Hayase et al., 1982). In the case of the antibiotic TMP, the substituted pyrimidine is a functional group readily biotransformed under anaerobic conditions (Figure 2) (Adrian and Suflita, 1994), whereas the carboxylic group of NPX promotes its biotransformation by hydroxylation (Musson et al., 2010). Other evidences of biotransformation under anaerobic conditions are those reported by Falås et al., (2016), who observed the O-demethylation of the aromatic methoxy group of the antidepressant venlafaxine; Veetil et al. (2012) showed the anaerobic biotransformation of TCS under methanogenic conditions; or the works of Ghattas et al. (2017), who determined that the antimicrobial agent TCS is transformed into chlorocatechol and 2,4-dichlorophenol by a cleavage of the diphenyl ether.

The pharmaceutical NPX is readily biotransformed under both, anaerobic and aerobic redox potentials (Figure 1b). Nitrification has been demonstrated to affect positively its biotransformation (Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2012), which suggests that the pathway for NPX removal is based on the cometabolic removal of the aromatic ether through O-dealkylation induced by AMO bacteria (Quintana et al., 2005). In this way, the hormones estrone (E1),  $\beta$ -estradiol (E2) and EE2 are easily biotransformed under aerobic conditions due to the presence of aromatic rings substituted by hydroxyl groups that can be cometabolised by AMO bacteria (Figure 1c, Chang et al., 1997).

In general, lower OMP removals were obtained under anoxic conditions when compared with similar systems in the presence of oxygen. For example, Dorival-Garcia et al. (2013) reported a medium biotransformation of quinolone (14-40%) under aerobic conditions, whereas under anoxic conditions was observed a recalcitrant behaviour. Alvarino et al. (2016b) has followed the removal of some OMPs during one complete cycle in the sequential batch reactor (SBR) used in the SeMPAC® process prior to the addition of PAC. During the anoxic stage, a recalcitrant behavior was observed for most of the micropollutants except in the case of musk fragrances (ADBI, tonalide (AHTN), galaxolide (HHCB)), sulfamethoxazole and fluoxetine. The minor biotransformations during denitrification might be related to the lower oxidation potential of the nitrate compared to oxygen. In the case of the lipophilic compounds, the removal occurred

mainly during the denitrification stage in the SeMPAC® process, but it was principally attributed to sorption onto the sludge since this was the first stage of the SBR cycle (Alvarino et al., 2016b).

441

442 443

444

445

446 447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456 457

458 459

460

461 462

463 464

465

466

467

468 469

470 471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478 479

One of the trends in the water industry the development of innovative WWTP configurations is the combination of different redox potentials (spatially distributed in different tanks or using a time distribution in one single tank) to enhance the microbial diversity able to biotransform a wider range of complex pollutants, while maintaining a high degree in the organic matter and nutrient removal processes (Luo et al., 2014). Table 4 shows the results obtained in terms of OMPs biotransformation efficiencies for several wastewater treatments which combine different redox potentials: 1) Anaerobicaerobic MBR (AnHMBR), comprising an UASB reactor followed by an aerobic MBR operated with carriers (to promote nitrification); 2) One-stage granular autotrophic nitrogen removal sidestream system (the ELAN® process based on Anammox) in which anoxic and aerobic conditions occur (Vázquez-Padín et al., 2014); 3) Sequential batch reactor, which combines nitrifying and denitrifying conditions, coupled to a MBR (SeMPAC® system before the addition of PAC to evaluate only the biological process). As expected, CBZ, DZP, and DCF were not biotransformed in any of these processes, according to their recalcitrant behavior. The removal of IBP, NPX, and hormones was clearly higher in the autotrophic N removal process than in the conventional nitrification-denitrification system, very likely as a result of the higher nitritation activity developed in autotrophic N removal processes (98 and 85% of removal for IBP) and NPX and 80 and 65% for NPX, respectively). The opposite behavior was found for the antibiotic SMX, which was readily biotransformed in the conventional nitrificationdenitrification process, whereas a removal below 60% was found in the autotrophic N removal reactor (Table 4). This difference can be explained based on the evidence that SMX is cometabolically degraded during primary heterotrophic processes (Müller et al., 2013; Alvarino et al., 2016c). The same applies to FLX that was also preferentially removed during a heterotrophic conventional nitrification-denitrification process compared to the autotrophic N removal process (Table 4), independently from the nitrification activity. In general, the removal efficiencies obtained for OMPs in the autotrophic N removal reactor were similar to those obtained in enriched nitrifying processes (Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2012). Since both processes have in common the nitritation step, the cometabolism by the ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) bacteria appears to be the key factor in their removal, as evidenced by a wide number of OMPs, such as IBP or TCS.

**Table 4.** OMPs removal in the systems with combination of redox potential

The design of innovative systems is frequently driven by the valorisation of the organic matter present in sewage through its conversion into methane, which is possible in anaerobic processes. So, low temperature anaerobic pre-treatment processes are being

installed before the aerobic biological units, allowing the exposure of OMPs to different redox conditions. In general, the contribution of the anaerobic treatment to the overall OMP removal has shown to be low (Falås et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2010). Even though, this combination has demonstrated to enhance the removal of some aerobically persistent OMPs such as venlafaxine, diatrizoate, and tramadol (Falås et al., 2016). In order to further elucidate the OMPs removal mechanisms occurring in the anaerobicaerobic integrated systems, a separate analysis of the individual processes was carried out by comparing the performances of individual UASB and CAS reactors with the integrated AnHMBR concept (Table 1). The removal efficiencies of the OMPs readily biotransformed under anaerobic conditions were similar in the first stage of the AnHMBR and in the UASB reactor (Alvarino et al., 2016a; Alvarino et al., 2014). On the contrary, in the case of the OMPs readily biotransformed under aerobic conditions, the removal was much lower in the AnHMBR compared to the CAS unit. This was attributed to the low COD level entering the aerobic stage of the AnHMR (in spite of operating at an ambient temperature of around 20°C), which confirms the cometabolism role in the removal of OMPs. Also, lower nitrification was achieved in the aerobic stage of the AnHMBR (below 60%) compared to the CAS unit (around 90%) due to the washout of these slow-growing bacteria during reactor purges. This hampered the removal of several OMPs, whose removal had been previously shown to depend on the nitrification activity. The further improvement of the nitrifying activity in the AnHMBR system, as a consequence of the introduction of Kaldnes rings as a support for the growth of the slowly growing nitrifying bacteria, led to the restoration of the removal efficiencies of OMPs such as IBP or hormones (Alvarino et al., 2016a).

# Parameters influencing k<sub>biol</sub> values

Biological kinetic constants ( $k_{biol}$ , in L gvss<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) are usually obtained in kinetic batch tests considering pseudo-first order kinetics (Joss et al., 2006). These  $k_{biol}$  values correspond to the maximum biological activity and are not affected by other operational conditions (Alvarino et al., 2014). Even though, biotransformation of OMPs depends on their chemical structure and the redox conditions applied, which should be taken into account when using previously published data. These experiments are performed without limitation of the primary substrate to follow the OMP biotransformation by cometabolism.

If the  $k_{biol}$  values are obtained under the steady-state operation of a biological reactor, they are influenced by the operational conditions, such as biomass concentration or hydraulic retention time, and therefore are specific to the process studied. These specific  $k_{biol}$  values are determined by a mass balance applied to each OMP, as shown in equation 1 in the case of a continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) model and considering pseudo-first order kinetics for OMP biotransformation.

Figure 3 shows a summary of  $k_{biol}$  values obtained for a representative group of OMPs by Alvarino et al. (2016a; 2014) (Table S1 gives the detailed data for all OMPs)

under different selected operational reactor conditions (Table 1). Accordingly, OMP biotransformation degree could be classified as low, medium and high for  $k_{biol}$  <0,1 0.1-1 and >1 L  $g_{VSS}^{-1}$  d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in these particular studies. Figure 3 shows that, in general, the highest  $k_{biol}$  were achieved under aerobic conditions with full nitrification (CAS unit). The influence of the nitrification rate on the removal of some OMPs was evidenced by the lower  $k_{biol}$  obtained in the aerobic post-treatment step of the AnHMBR compared to the CAS unit (Figure 3, Table S.3). The decrease in  $k_{biol}$  when nitrification was lower was especially important in the case of NPX, whereas no influence on the behaviour of the hormone E2 was evidenced.

Under anaerobic conditions, only three OMPs achieve the medium-high ranges: SMX and NPX, whose  $k_{biol}$  values are close to the limit between the medium and high ranges, and TMP, with the highest value located in the high range. It is worth to remember that the  $k_{biol}$  calculations are strongly affected by biomass concentration (Eq. 1). Thus, the one order of magnitude higher sludge concentrations used in the UASB compared to the CAS unit (30-40 vs. 1-2 gvss L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) implied that even in the case of the readily biotransformable OMPs, such as TMP, SMX or NPX, moderate  $k_{biol}$  values were obtained (Alvarino et al., 2016a, 2014).

Although HRT is a factor included in  $k_{biol}$  calculations (Eq. 1), its influence under normal operation conditions was not so pronounced (Figure 3). A decrease in HRT in the CAS unit from 24 to 12 h, implied a general increase in the  $k_{biol}$  values, except for the persistent compounds (Table S.3). This was due to the fact that the OMPs removal efficiencies achieved were not influenced by the applied HRT, indicating that the capacity of the reactor for OMP removal was oversized.

Figure 3. OMPs  $k_{bio}l$  values constants determined in the reactors operated under aerobic (at different nitrifying activities) and anaerobic conditions (Alvarino et al., 2016a, 2014)

#### Influence of the simultaneous addition of sorbent agents

The direct addition of activated carbon in bioreactors has been reported as positive to improve the removal of carbon and nitrogen, as well as to reduce potential toxic effects caused by inhibitors (Cecen and Atkas, 2011; Widjaja et al., 2004). In this kind of systems both biotransformation and sorption are enhanced: the first through the potential growth of a biofilm onto the AC and the latter due to the high sorption capacity of this agent. This explains that, although the main removal mechanism related to the AC addition into biological treatment processes is related to its high sorption capacity, other factors are influencing the biological process (Alvarino et al., 2016b; Rattier et al., 2012; Cecen and Aktas, 2011; Sun et al., 2008; Ying and Ping, 2006):

- The AC fosters longer retention of the sorbed OMP inside the biological reactors that might promote an enhancement in their biotransformation, especially for those compounds with medium biodegradability;
- The presence of AC promotes the growth of a biofilm, which can provide additional redox environments (e.g. presence of anoxic/anaerobic inner biofilm layers even in aerated tanks) and thus, a wider microbial diversity.
- The addition of AC promotes the nitrification that is related to the removal of several OMPs, such as hormones or the pharmaceutical IBP;
- AC bioregeneration can improve the OMP removal by sorption onto the PAC and is based on:
  - a. Once the OMP solid-liquid equilibrium is achieved, adsorbed OMPs can desorb out and be removed by biotransformation.
  - b. Adsorbed OMPs can be removed by a extracellular biotransformation carried out by the microbial enzymes secreted by the attached biomass inside the carbon pores;
- The sorption capacity of the solid matrix is enhanced (e.g. CBZ is highly retained by AC whereas HHCB is sorbed preferentially by the sludge);
- Wastewaters with inhibitory substances can be biologically treated since their concentration in the liquid phase can be reduced below their IC<sub>50</sub> due to sorption onto the AC. The AC addition in the biological treatment reduce the effluente toxicity;
- The presence of AC in bioreactors has shown benefits in their operation (e.g. better biomass settling properties), especially in MBRs (less fouling, better management of trans membrane pressure, etc.).

One of the innovative configurations testing this concept is the SeMPAC® process (Table 1), a technology patented by the authors (patent ES 2 362 298 B2), which is now in process of extension at international level (European Patent application EP12777603.7). This process is based on the direct addition of PAC (1 g L<sup>-1</sup>) in a SBR which is coupled with an external ultrafiltration membrane chamber. It has been shown that OMP removal in the SeMPAC® process occurs synergistically through biotransformation, sorption onto the sludge (5-8 gvss L<sup>-1</sup>) and sorption onto the PAC (Alvarino et al., 2016b). For example, an increase in the removal by biotransformation and sorption for moderate biotransformable compounds, such as the antibiotics TMP, SMX, erythromycin (ERY) and ROX and the antidepressant FLX, has been reported in the presence of PAC. The  $k_{biol}$  values obtained for SMX and FLX increased from 1.3-1.5 L gvss<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in the absence of PAC, to values higher than 4 L gvss<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> with PAC (Alvarino et al., 2016b), which can be considered as an evidence of an enhanced biotransformation.

#### CONCLUSIONS

A comparative analysis of different technologies used for biological wastewater treatment was carried out to further understand which operational parameters influence the two main OMP removal mechanisms, namely sorption and biotransformation. Several parameters that were usually not considered in previous works were included in the analysis, leading to the following main conclusions:

- Sorption onto the sludge is affected by the particle size. Only in the case of the flocculent biomass, the sorption equilibrium is quickly achieved, while sorption on the granules is affected by several parameters, such as the hydraulic and the sludge retention time.
- When using MBR systems, the physico-chemical characteristic of the membrane determines its sorption capacity. Hydrophobic membranes are able to retain lipophilic OMPs, whereas charged membranes can retain OMPs by electrostatic interactions.
- The simultaneous addition of activated carbon in bioreactors enhances the removal of recalcitrant OMPs by sorption. The tendency for each OMP to be retained by sorption is a function of the distribution coefficient and its electrical charge.
- The degree of OMP biotransformation strongly depends on the applied redox potential. The chemical structure of the OMPs determines if the compound is prone to go through oxidative or reductive pathways. The integration of different redox potentials in hybrid advanced biological configurations constitutes a good alternative to enhance the removal efficiencies of OMPs. The cometabolic removal of OMPs depends on several parameters, such as the biomass primary metabolic activity, hydraulic retention time or biomass concentration.
- The direct addition of PAC in biological reactors enhances the removal of OMPs not only by sorption but also synergistically by biotransformation, in the case of OMPs with a medium biodegradability.

# Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Spanish Government (AEI) through the Projects COMETT (CTQ2016-80847-R) and HOLSIA (CTM2013-46750-R). The authors belong to the Galician Competitive Research Group GRC2013-032 and to the CRETUS Strategic Partnership (AGRUP2015/02). All these programs and project are co-funded by FEDER (UE).

#### REFERENCES

632

- Adrian, N.R., Suflita, Ü.M., 1994. Anaerobic biodegradation of halogenated and
- 634 nonhalogenated N-, S-, and O-heterocyclic compounds in aquifer slurries.
- 635 Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 13, 1551-1557.
- Alvarino, T., Torregrosa, N., Suarez, S., Lema, J.M., Omil, F., 2017. Assessing the
- 637 feasibility of two hybrid MBR systems using PAC for removing macro and
- 638 micropollutants. J. Environ. Manage. 203, 831-837.
- 639 Alvarino, T., Suarez, S., Garrido, J.M., Lema, J.M., Omil, 2016a. A UASB reactor
- 640 coupled to a hybrid aerobic MBR as innovative plant configuration to enhance the
- removal of organic micropollutants. Chemosphere 144, 452-458.
- Alvarino, T., Komesli, O., Suarez, S., Lema, J.M., Omil, F., 2016b. The potential
- of the innovative SeMPAC process for enhancing the removal of recalcitrant organic
- micropollutants. J. Hazard. Mater. 308, 29-36.
- 645 Alvarino, T., Naslod, P, Suarez, S., Omil, F., Corvini, P.F.X., Bouju, H., 2016c.
- 646 Role of biotransformation, sorption and mineralization of 14C-labelled
- sulfamethoxazole under different redox conditions. Sci. Total Environ. 542, 706-715.
- 648 Alvarino, T., Suarez, S., Katsou, E., Vazquez-Padin, J., Lema, J.M., Omil, F., 2015.
- Removal of PPCPs from the sludge supernatant in a one stage nitritation/anammox
- 650 process. Water Res. 68, 701-709.
- Alvarino, T., Suarez, S., Lema, J.M., Omil, F., 2014. Understanding the removal
- mechanisms of PPCPs and the influence of main technological parameters in anaerobic
- 653 UASB and aerobic CAS reactors. J. Hazard. Mater. 278, 506-513.
- Barret, M., Delgadillo-Mirquez, L., Trably, E., Delgenes, N., Braun, F., Cea-Barcia,
- 655 G., Steyer, J-P., Patureau, D., 2012.ternes Anaerobic Removal of Trace Organic
- 656 Contaminants in Sewage Sludge: 15 Years of Experience. Pedosphere 22(4), 508-517.
- Belhaj, D., Baccar, R., Jaabiri, I., Bouzid, J., Kallel, M., Ayadi, H., Zhou, J.L.,
- 658 2015. Fate of selected estrogenic hormones in an urban sewage treatment plant in
- 659 Tunisia (North Africa). Sci. Total Environ. 505, 154-160.
- Bilal, M., Igbal, H., Hu, H., Wang, W., Zhang, X., 2017. Enhanced bio-catalytic
- performance and dye degradation potential of chitosan-encapsulated horseradish
- peroxidase in a packed bed reactor system. Sci. Total Environ. 505, 1352-1360.
- Bouju, H., Nastold, P., Beck, B., Hollender, J., Corvini, P.F., Wintgens, T., 2016.
- 664 Elucidation of biotransformation of diclofenac and 4'hydroxydiclofenac during
- biological wastewater treatment. J. Hazard. Mater. 301, 443-452.

- Bouju, H., Hommes, G., Wintgens, T., Malpei, F., Corvini, P.F.X., 2011. The fate
- of 14C-radiolabelled diclofenac and 4'-hydroxydiclofenac in membrane bioreactor
- treatment of wastewater. Water Sci. Technol. 63(12), 2878-2885.
- 669 Carballa, M., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., Llompart, M., García-Jares, C., Rodríguez, I.,
- 670 Gómez, M., Ternes, T., 2004. Behavior of pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and hormones in
- a sewage treatment plant. Water Res. 38(12), 2918-2926.
- 672 Carmona, E., Andreu, V., Picó, Y., 2014. Occurrence of acidic pharmaceuticals and
- personal care products in Turia River Basin: from waste to drinking water. Sci. Total
- 674 Environ. 484, 53-63.
- 675 Cecen, F., Aktas, O., 2011. Integration of Activated Carbon Adsorption and
- Biological Processes in Wastewater Treatment. First Edition. WILEY-VCH Verlag
- 677 GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
- 678 Chang, S.W., 1997. Cometabolic degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
- 679 (PAHs) and aromatic ethers by phenol- and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, PhD thesis,
- 680 University of Oregon State.
- Clara, M., Gans, O., Windhofer, G., Krenn, U., Hartl, W., Braun, K., Scharf, S.,
- Scheffknecht, C., 2011. Occurrence of polycyclic musks in wastewater and receiving
- water bodies and fate during wastewater treatment. Chemosphere 82(8), 1116-1123.
- 684 Clara, M., Strenn, B., Kreuzinger, N., 2004. Carbamazepine as a possible
- anthropogenic marker in the aquatic environment: investigations on the behaviour of
- 686 Carbamazepine in wastewater treatment and during groundwater infiltration. Water Res.
- 687 38, 947–954.
- 688 Collado, N., Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Gros, M., Rubirola, A., Barceló, D., Comas, J.,
- Rodriguez-Roda, I., Buttiglieri, G., 2014. Pharmaceuticals occurrence in a WWTP with
- significant industrial contribution and its input into the river system. Environ Pollut.
- 691 185, 202-212.
- di Trapani, D., Di Bella, G., Mannina, G., Torregrossa, M., Viviani, G., 2014.
- 693 Comparison between moving bed-membrane bioreactor (MB-MBR) and membrane
- 694 bioreactor (MBR) systems: influence of wastewater salinity variation. Bioresour
- 695 Technol. 162, 60-9.
- Dorival-García, N., Zafra-Gómez, A., Navalón, A., González-López, J., Hontoria,
- E., Vílchez, J.L., 2013. Removal and degradation characteristics of quinolone
- antibiotics in laboratoryscale activated sludge reactors under aerobic, nitrifying and
- anoxic conditions. J. Environ. Manage. 120, 75-83.

- Ettwig, K.F., Shima, S., van de Pas-Schoonen, K.T., Kahnt, J., Medema, M.H., Op
- den Camp, H.J., Jetten, M.S., Strous, M., 2008. Denitrifying bacteria anaerobically
- oxidize methane in the absence of Archaea. Environ. Microbiol. 10(11), 3164-3173.
- Falås, P., Wick, A., Castronovo, S., Habermacher, J., Ternes, T.A., Joss, A., 2017.
- 704 Tracing the limits of organic micropollutant removal in biological wastewater treatment.
- 705 Water Res. 95, 240-249.
- Fernandez-Fontaina, E., Gomes, I.B., Aga, D.S., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., Carballa,
- 707 M., 2016. Biotransformation of pharmaceuticals under nitrification, nitratation and
- heterotrophic conditions. Sci. Total Environ. 541, 1439-1447.
- Fernandez-Fontaina, E., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., Carballa, M., 2012. Influence of
- 710 nitrifying conditions on the biodegradation and sorption of emerging micropollutants.
- 711 Water Res. 46(16), 5434-5444.
- Flemming, H.-C., Schmitt, J., Marshall, K.C., 1996. In: Calmano, W., Foerstner, U.
- 713 (Eds.), Sorption Properties of Biofilms. Sediments Toxicology Substances. Springer,
- 714 Berlin, Germany, pp. 115e157.
- Field, J.A., 2002. Anaerobic degradation of xenobiotic compounds. VII Latin
- 716 America Workshop and Symposium on Anaerobic Digestion.
- Ghaemi, N., Madaeni, S.S., Alizadeh, A., Rajabi, H., Daraei, P., 2011. Preparation,
- 718 characterization and performance of polyethersulfone/organically modified
- 719 montmorillonite nanocomposite membranes in removal of pesticides. J. Membrane Sci.
- 720 382(1-2), 135-147.
- Ghattas, A-K., Fischer, F., Wick, A., Ternes, T.A., 2017. Anaerobic biodegradation
- of (emerging) organic contaminants in the aquatic environment. Water Res. 116, 268-
- 723 295.
- Golet, E., Xifra, I., Siegrist, H., Alder, A., Giger., W., 2003. Environmental
- 725 exposure assessment of fluoroquinolone antibacterial agents from sewage to soil.
- 726 Environ. Sci. Tech. 37, 3243–3249.
- Grandclément, C., Seyssiecq, I., Piram, A., Wong-Wah-Chung, P., Vanot, G.,
- 728 Tiliacos, N., Roche, N., Doumenq, P., 2017. From the conventional biological
- 729 wastewater treatment to hybrid processes, the evaluation of organic micropollutant
- 730 removal: A review. Water Res. 111, 297-317.
- Hayase, Y., Ozaki, M., Kobayashi, S., Takeuchi, Y.,1982. Reductive cleavage of
- the isoxazole ring under soil percolation. J. Pest. Sci. 7, 401-402.
- Headley, J.V., Gandrass, J., Kuballa, J., 1998. Rates of sorption and partitioning of
- contaminants in river biofilm. Environ. Sci. Technol. 32, 3968–3973.

- Helbling, D.E., Johnson, D.R., Honti, M., Fenner, K., 2012. Micropollutant
- 736 Biotransformation Kinetics Associate with WWTP Process Parameters and Microbial
- 737 Community Characteristics. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46(19), 10579–10588.
- Hörsing, M., Ledin, A., Grabic, R., Fick, J., Tysklind, M., la Cour Jansen, J.,
- Andersen, H.R., 2011. Determination of sorption of seventy-five pharmaceuticals in
- sewage sludge. Water Res. Water Res. 45(15), 4470-4482.
- Jermann, D., Pronk, W., Boller, M., Schäfer, A.I., 2009. The role of NOM fouling
- for the retention of estradiol and ibuprofen during ultrafiltration. J. Membr. Sci. 329(1-
- 743 2), 75–84.
- Joss, A., Zabczynski, S., Gobel, A., Hoffmann, B., Loffler, D., McArdell, C.S.,
- 745 Ternes, T.A., Thomsen, A., Siegrist, H., 2006. Biological degradation of
- 746 pharmaceuticals in municipal wastewater treatment: proposing a classification scheme.
- 747 Water Res. 40(8), 1686–1696.
- Joss, A., Keller, E., Alder, A.C., Göbel, A., McArdell, C.S., Ternes, T., Siegrist, H.,
- 749 2005. Removal of pharmaceuticals and fragrances in biological wastewater treatment.
- 750 Water Res. 39(14), 3139–3152.
- Kartal, B., de Almeida, N.M., Maalcke, W.J., Op den Camp, H.J., Jetten, M.S.,
- Keltjens, J.T., 2013. How to make a living from anaerobic ammonium oxidation. FEMS
- 753 Microbiol. Rev. 37(3), 428-461.
- Kim, S.D., Cho, J., Kim, I.S., Vanderford, B.J., Snyder, S.A., 2007. Occurrence and
- 755 removal of pharmaceuticals and endocrine disruptors in South Korean surface, drinking
- 756 and waste waters. Water Res. 41, 1013-1021.
- Kovalova, L., Siegrist, H., von Gunten, U., Eugster, J., Hagenbuch, M., Wittmer,
- A., Moser, R., McArdell, C.S., 2013. Elimination of micropollutants during post-
- 759 treatment of hospital wastewater with powdered activated carbon, ozone, and UV.
- 760 Environ. Sci. Technol. 47(14), 7899-7908.
- Luo, Y., Guo, W., Ngo, H.H., Nghiem, L.D., Hai, F.I., Zhang, J., Liang, S., Wang,
- 762 X.C., 2014. A review on the occurrence of micropollutants in the aquatic environment
- and their fate and removal during wastewater treatment. Sci. Total Environ. 473-474,
- 764 619-641.
- Monteiro, S. Boxall, A., 2010. Occurrence and fate of human pharmaceuticals in
- the environment. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 202, 53-154.
- Müller, E., Schüssler, W., Horn, H., Lemmer, H., 2013. Aerobic biodegradation of
- the sulfonamide antibiotic sulfamethoxazole by activated sludge applied as co-substrate
- and sole carbon and nitrogen source. Chemosphere 92 (8), 969–978.

- Musson, S.E., Campo, P., Tolaymat, T., Suidan, M., Townsend, T.G., 2010.
- Assessment of the anaerobic degradation of six active pharmaceutical ingredients. Sci.
- 772 Total Environ. 408(9), 2068-2074.
- Nakada, N., Tanishima, T., Shinohara, H., Kiri, K., Takada, H., 2006.
- 774 Pharmaceutical chemicals and endocrine disrupters in municipal wastewater in Tokyo
- and their removal during activated sludge treatment. Water Res. 40, 3297-3303.
- Nghiem, L.D., Schäfer, A.I., Waite, T.D., 2002. Adsorptive interactions between
- membranes and trace contaminants. Desalination 147(1-3), 269-274.
- Nguyen, L.N., Hai, F.I., Kang, J., Price, W.E., Nghiem, L.D., 2012. Removal of
- trace organic contaminants by a membrane bioreactor-granular activated carbon (MBR-
- 780 GAC) system. Bioresour. Technol. 113, 169-173.
- Paredes, L., Fernandez-Fontaina, E., Lema, J.M., Omil, F., Carballa. M., 2016.
- 782 Understanding the fate of organic micropollutants in sand and granular activated carbon
- biofiltration systems. Sci. Total Environ. 551-552, 640-648.
- Park, J., Yamashita, N., Wu, G., Tanaka, H. 2017. Removal of pharmaceuticals and
- 785 personal care products by ammonia oxidizing bacteria acclimated in a membrane
- 786 bioreactor: Contributions of cometabolism and endogenous respiration. Sci Total
- 787 Environ. 605-606, 18-25.
- Pomiès, M., Choubert, J.M., Wisniewski, C., Miège, C., Budzinski, H., Coquery,
- 789 M., 2015. Lab-scale experimental strategy for determining micropollutant partition
- coefficient and biodegradation constants in activated sludge. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.
- 791 Int. 22(6), 4383-4395.
- Quintana, J.B., Weiss, S., Reemtsma, T., 2005. Pathways and metabolites of
- 793 microbial degradation of selected acidic pharmaceutical and their occurrence in
- municipal wastewater treated by a membrane bioreactor, Water Res. 39, 2654-2664.
- Rattier, M., Reungoat, J., Gernjak, W., Keller, J., 2012. Organic micropollutant
- removal by biological activated carbon filtration. Urban Water Security Research
- 797 Alliance Technical Report No. 53.
- Reif, R., Besancon, A., Corre, K.L., Jefferson, B., Lema, J.M., Omil, F., 2011.
- 799 Comparison of PPCPs removal on a parallel-operated MBR and AS system and
- evaluation of effluent post-treatment on vertical flow reed beds. Water Sci. Technol.
- 801 63(10), 2411-2417.
- Schäfer, A.I., Akanyeti, I., Semião, A.J., 2011. Micropollutant sorption to
- membrane polymers: a review of mechanisms for estrogens. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.
- 804 164(1-2), 100-117.

- 805 Shi, Y.J., Wang, X.H., Qi, Z., Diao, M.H., Gao, M.M., Xing, S.F., Wang, S.G.,
- 806 Zhao, X.C., 2011. Sorption and biodegradation of tetracycline by nitrifying granules
- and the toxicity of tetracycline on granules. J Hazard. Mater. 191(1-3), 103–109.
- 808 Sipma, J., Osuna, B., Collado, N., Monclús, H., Ferrero, G., Comas, J., Rodriguez-
- 809 Roda, I., 2010. Comparison of removal of pharmaceuticals in MBR and activated
- sludge systems. Desalination 205(2), 653–659.
- Stadler, L.B., Su, L., Moline, C.J., Ernstoff, A.S., Aga, D.S., Love, N.G., 2015.
- 812 Effect of redox conditions on pharmaceutical loss during biologicalwastewater
- treatment using sequencing batch reactors. J Hazard Mater. 282, 106-15.
- Suárez, S., Carballa, M., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., 2008. How are pharmaceutical and
- personal care products (PPCPs) removed from urban wastewaters? Rev. Environ. Sci.
- 816 Biotechnol. 7, 125-138.
- Ternes, T.A., Herrmann, N., Bonerz, M., Knacker, T., Siegrist, H., Joss, A., 2004.
- 818 A rapid method to measure the solid-water distribution coefficient (Kd) for
- pharmaceuticals and musk fragrances in sewage sludge. Water Res. 38(19), 4075-4084.
- Terzic, S., Matosic, M., Ahel, M., Mijatovic, I., 2005. Elimination of aromatic
- 821 surfactants from municipal wastewaters: Comparison of conventional activated sludge
- treatment and membrane biological reactor. Water Sci. Technol. 51(6-7), 447-453.
- Tiwari, B., Sellamuthu, B., Ouarda, Y., Drogui, P., Tyagi, R.D., Buelna, G., 2017.
- 824 Review on fate and mechanism of removal of pharmaceutical pollutants from
- wastewater using biological approach. Bioresour. Technol. 224, 1-12.
- Tran, N.H., Urase, T., Ngo, H.H., Hu, J., Ong, S.L., 2013. Insight into metabolic
- 827 and cometabolic activities of autotrophic and heterotrophic microorganisms in the
- biodegradation of emerging trace organic contaminants. Bioresour. Technol. 146, 721-
- 829 731.
- 830 Tran, H.T., Urase, T., Kusakabe, O., 2009. The characteristics of enriched nitrifier
- culture in the degradation of selected pharmaceutically active compounds. J. Hazard.
- 832 Mater. 171(1-3), 1051-1057.
- Vázquez-Padín, J.R., Morales, N., Gutiérrez, R., Fernández, R., Rogalla, F., Barrio,
- 334 J.P., Campos, J.L., Mosquera-Corral, A., Méndez, R., 2014. Implications of full scale
- 835 implementation of an anammox based process as post-treatment of a municipal
- anaerobic sludge digester operated with co-digestion. Water Sci. Technol. 69(6), 1151-
- 837 1158.
- Wang, W.J., Wang, W.H., Zhang, X.L., Wang, D.H., 2002. Adsorption of p-
- chlorophenol by biofilm components. Water Res. 36, 551–560.

- Wicke, D., Böckelmann, U., Reemtsma, T., 2007. Experimental and modeling
- approach to study sorption of dissolved hydrophobic organic contaminants to microbial
- 842 biofilms. Water Res. 41(10), 2202–2210.
- Widjaja, T., Miyata, T., Nakano, Y., Nishijima, W., Okada, M., 2004. Adsorption
- capacity of powdered activated carbon for 3,5-dichlorophenol in activated sludge.
- 845 Chemosphere 57(9), 1219-1224.
- Wu, J., He, C., DC., Bi, D., Zhang, Y., 2013. A bio-cake model for the soluble
- 847 COD removal by the back-transport, adsorption and biodegradation processes in the
- submerged membrane bioreactor. Desalination 322, 1-12.
- Wunder, D.B., Bosscher, V.A., Cok, R.C., Hozalski, R.M., 2011. Sorption of
- antibiotics to biofilm. Water Res. 45(6), 2270–2280.
- 851 Xue, W., Wu, C., Xiao, K., Huang, X., Zhou, H., Tsuno, H., Tanaka, H., 2010.
- 852 Elimination and fate of selected micro-organic pollutants in a full-scale
- anaerobic/anoxic/aerobic process combined with membrane bioreactor for municipal
- wastewater reclamation. Water Res. 44, 5999-6010.
- Yang, G.F., Zhang, Q.Q., Jin, R.C., 2013. Changes in the nitrogen removal
- 856 performance and the properties of granular sludge in an Anammox system under
- oxytetracycline (OTC) stress. Bioresour. Technol. 129, 65-71.
- 858 Yi, T. and Harper, W. F., 2007. The Link between nitrification and
- biotransformation of 17α-ethinylestradiol. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41(12), 4311-4316.
- Yi, T.W., Harper, W.F., Holbrook, R.D., Love, N.G., 2006. Role of particle size
- and ammonium oxidation in removal of 17 alpha-ethinyl estradiol in bioreactors. J. Env.
- 862 Eng. 132(11), 1527-1529.
- Ying, Z, Ping, G. 2006. Effect of powdered activated carbon dosage on retarding
- membrane fouling in MBR. Sep. Purif. Technol. 52, 154-160
- Zeng, Q., Li, Y., Gu, G., 2009. Effect of temperature on the sorption of 17α-
- 866 ethinylestradiol to aerobic and anaerobic sludge. International Conference on Energy
- and Environmental Technology.
- Zwiener, C., Seeger, S., Glauner, T., Frimmel, F.H., 2002. Metabolites from
- 869 biodegradation of pharmaceutical residues of ibuprofen in biofilm reactors and batch
- experiments. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 372, 569-575.