

Title	Canonical Linear Transformation on Fock Space with an Indefinite Metric (同型写像と非有界微分子)
Author(s)	ITO, K.R.
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1978), 320: 52-61
Issue Date	1978-03
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/104004">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/104004</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Canonical Linear Transformation  
on Fock Space with an Indefinite Metric

K.R.Ito

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences  
Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.

Abstract: We first construct a Fock space with an indefinite metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = (\cdot, \cdot)_\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is a unitary and hermitian operator. We define a  $\theta$ -selfadjoint (Segal's) field  $\phi_\varphi(f)$  which obeys the canonical commutation relations (CCR) with an indefinite metric. We consider a transformation  $\phi_\varphi(f) \rightarrow \phi_\varphi(Tf)$  ( $T = \text{real linear}$ ) which leaves the CCR invariant. We investigate the implementability of  $T$  by an operator on the Fock space.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_i$  ( $i = +, -$ ) be Hilbert spaces equipped with usual positive definite hermitian inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$  be a Hilbert space equipped with the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot) = \Sigma_i (\cdot, \cdot)_i$ . Let  $P_\pm$  be selfadjoint projections onto  $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ . Then the Hilbert space equipped with an hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \equiv (\cdot, \cdot)_\varphi$  with  $\varphi = P_+ - P_-$  is called a "Hilbert space with an indefinite metric".

Let  $S_n$  be the usual ( $n$ -fold) symmetrization operator on the  $n$ -fold tensor product space  $\otimes_n \mathcal{K}$ , and let

$$\mathcal{F}^{(n)} \equiv S_n[\otimes_n \mathcal{K}]$$

be the  $n$ -particle (Fock) space. The total Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$$

is also given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_+) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_-),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_-)$  are Fock spaces constructed from  $\mathcal{H}_+$  and  $\mathcal{H}_-$  respectively. For an operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , define  $\Gamma(A)$  by

$$\Gamma(A) \mathcal{F}^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{(n)},$$

$$\Gamma(A) | \mathcal{F}^{(n)} = A \otimes \dots \otimes A \quad (n\text{-times}).$$

Then  $\Theta \equiv \Gamma(\varphi)$  is again an unitary and hermitian operator on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We define an indefinite sesquilinear form in  $\mathcal{F}$  by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = (\cdot, \Theta \cdot).$$

The adjoint of  $A$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is denoted by  $A^{(\Theta)}$  and equals  $\Theta A^* \Theta$ .

Definition 1: (1) For  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , the creation operator  $a^*(f)$  is defined by

$$a^*(f) : \mathcal{F}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(n+1)}$$

$$\psi \mapsto \sqrt{n+1} S_{n+1}[f \otimes \psi].$$

(2) For  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , define the  $\Theta$ -selfadjoint (Segal's) field by

$$\Phi_\varphi(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [a^*(f) + [a^*(f)]^{(\Theta)}]^-$$

where  $-$  denotes the closure.

Since  $[a^*(f)]^{(\Theta)} = [a^*(\varphi f)]^*$  with  $\varphi = P_+ - P_-$ ,  $\Phi_\varphi$  is a normal operator.  $\{\Phi_\varphi(f)\}$  obey the CCR with an indefinite metric:

$$[\Phi_\varphi(f), \Phi_\varphi(g)] = i \operatorname{Im} \langle \bar{f}, g \rangle = -i \operatorname{Re} (\bar{f}, \varphi J g)$$

where  $\bar{f}$  is the complex conjugation of  $f$  and  $J = \sqrt{-1}$  is a multiplication operator of  $i$ .

Definition 2: (1) An invertible real linear transformation  $T$  is called  $\varphi$ -symplectic if it satisfies

$$T^{(\varphi)} J T = J$$

where  $T^{(\varphi)} = \varphi T^* \varphi$  and  $T^*$  is the adjoint of  $T$  with respect to  $\text{Re}(\cdot, \cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . (If  $T$  is complex linear, then this adjoint is equivalent to the usual adjoint with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ .)

(2)  $T_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}[T \pm J T J^{-1}]$ . Especially anti-linear part  $T_-$  is called the off-diagonal part of  $T$ .

Our purpose is to investigate an operator which is expected to implement  $U_T \Phi_{\varphi}(f) U_T^{-1} = \Phi_{\varphi}(Tf)$ , and to investigate the new vacuum  $\Omega_T = U_T^{-1} \Omega$ . Here  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}$  is the Fock vacuum. Since  $\Phi_{\varphi}(f) \rightarrow \Phi_{\varphi}(Tf)$  leaves the CCR invariant, one may expect that  $U_T$  is a  $\Theta$ -unitary (bijective  $\Theta$ -isometric) operator.

Definition 3: (1)  $T$  is called  $\Theta$ -unitarily implementable if there is a  $\Theta$ -unitary (bijective  $\Theta$ -isometric) operator  $U_T$  which implements  $U_T \Phi_{\varphi}(f) U_T^{-1} = \Phi_{\varphi}(Tf)$ .

(2)  $T$  is called weakly  $\Theta$ -unitarily implementable if there exist a  $\Theta$ -isometric (not necessarily bounded) operator  $U_T^{-1}$  and a cyclic vector  $\Omega_T \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$U_T^{-1} P(\Phi_{\varphi}(f)) \Omega = P(\Phi_{\varphi}(Tf)) \Omega_T,$$

where  $P(\Phi_{\varphi}(f)) = P(\Phi_{\varphi}(f_1), \dots, \Phi_{\varphi}(f_n))$  is any polynomial of  $\{\Phi_{\varphi}(f_i)\}$ .

(3)  $T$  is called  $\Theta$ -unitarily quasi-implementable if the Fredholm determinant  $\det[1 + T_-^{(\varphi)} T_-]$  uniformly converges to a non-vanishing finite value in  $(0, \infty)$ .

When  $\varphi=1$  (namely when  $\Theta=1$ ), three notions in this definition coincide each other [1,3,4]. For the implementability, the author proved [1]:

Theorem 1:  $T$  is  $\Theta$ -unitarily implementable if and only if  $T_-$  is Hilbert-Schmidt and  $[T, \varphi] = 0$ . In this case  $U_T$  is a unitary operator commuting with  $\Theta$ .

Theorem 2: Let  $U_T^{-1} \Omega = \Omega_T \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then

- (i)  $T_- \in \text{H.S.}$  (H.S. denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt class),
- (ii)  $(-\infty, 0]$  is in the resolvent set of  $T_+^{(\varphi)} T_+ = 1 + T_-^{(\varphi)} T_-$ .

In order to obtain a sufficient condition, we propose a  $\varphi$ -polar decomposition of  $T$ , namely a decomposition of  $T$  in terms of a  $\varphi$ -selfadjoint operator and a  $\varphi$ -unitary operator.

Theorem 3: Let a  $\varphi$ -symplectic operator  $T$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2. Then  $T$  has a decomposition

$$T = UH,$$

where  $U$  is a  $\varphi$ -unitary operator (which commutes with  $J$ ) and  $H$  is a  $\varphi$ -selfadjoint  $\varphi$ -symplectic operator with its spectrum in the right half plane.

Definition 4:  $\varphi$ -selfadjoint  $\varphi$ -symplectic operator  $S$  is called a generalized  $\varphi$ -scaling if  $S$  leaves  $K$  and  $JK$  invariant where  $\mathcal{K} = K \oplus JK$  and  $\oplus$  refers the orthogonality with respect to both  $\text{Re}(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\text{Re}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

A generalized  $\varphi$ -scaling  $S$  takes the following form on  $K \oplus JK$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $ChC = \bar{h} = h$ , where  $C$  is a complex conjugation operator:

$$K = \{x \in \mathcal{K} ; Cx = x\}.$$

Is  $H$  in Theorem 3 always similar to a generalized  $\varphi$ -scaling  $S$

through suitable  $\varphi$ -unitary operator  $V$  ? ( This holds if  $\varphi=1$  [1,3,4].)

$$H=VSV^{-1}.$$

If this is the case, we have a decomposition

$$T=V_1SV_2$$

under the conditions of Theorem 2, where  $V_1$  are  $\varphi$ -unitary. But  $V$  seems unbounded in general.

For a generalized  $\varphi$ -scaling  $S$ , we can obtain rather concrete theorems [1]. It sometimes suffices to consider generalized  $\varphi$ -scalings for physical applications [1,2].

Theorem 4: For a generalized  $\varphi$ -scaling  $S$ , if  $S \in H.S.$ , and if  $\alpha_r \equiv$  selfadjoint part of  $\varphi$ -selfadjoint operator  $h^{-2} > 0$ , then

- (i) both  $S$  and  $S^{-1}$  are weakly  $\theta$ -unitarily implementable.
- (ii) The overlap between  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_S$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Omega, \Omega_S \rangle| &= \det^{-1/4} [1 + S^{(\varphi)} S] \\ &= \det^{-1/4} [1 + \frac{1}{4}(h - h^{-1})^2]. \end{aligned}$$

This is non-vanishing finite.

Theorem 5: In Theorem 4, if  $\inf \text{spec}(\alpha_r) < 0$ , then the vector  $\Omega_S$  which satisfies

$$\langle \Omega_S, P(\Phi_\varphi(f)) \Omega_S \rangle = \langle \Omega, P(\Phi_\varphi(Sf)) \Omega \rangle$$

cannot be in the Fock space:  $\|\Omega_S\| = \infty$ .

As is well known, when  $\varphi=1$ , the necessary and sufficient condition for  $T$  to be unitarily implementable is  $T \in H.S.$  Then for  $\varphi=1$ , the overlap of the vacua does not vanish if and only

if  $T$  is unitarily implemented. In fact when  $\varphi = 1$ , we have  $T = U_1 S U_2$  where  $U_i$  are unitaries commuting with  $J$ . Further since transformations  $\Phi_{\varphi=1}(f) \rightarrow \Phi_{\varphi=1}(U_1 f)$  are implemented by unitaries  $\Gamma(U_1)$  on the Fock space, we have  $U_T = \Gamma(U_1) U_S \Gamma(U_2)$ . Then  $\Omega_T = \Gamma(U_2)^{-1} \Omega_S$  and  $(\Omega, \Omega_T) = (\Omega, \Omega_S)$ .

For given  $S$ , let  $T = V_1 S V_2$  where  $V_i$  are  $\varphi$ -unitaries. Then

$$S_- \in \text{H.S.} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad T_- \in \text{H.S.}$$

and

$$\det[1 + S_-^{(\varphi)} S_-] = \det[1 + T_-^{(\varphi)} T_-].$$

Since  $\Gamma(V_i)$  are not bounded operators,  $T$  is not necessarily weakly  $\Theta$ -unitarily implementable even if  $S$  is weakly  $\Theta$ -unitarily implementable. But the above equation means that the formal overlap  $\det^{-1/4}[1 + T_-^{(\varphi)} T_-]$  is an invariant quantity under  $\varphi$ -unitaries. Furthermore if  $\varphi \neq 1$ ,  $\det^{-1/4}[1 + S_-^{(\varphi)} S_-]$  can converge to a non-vanishing (finite) quantity even if  $S_- \notin \text{H.S.}$  Then Definition 3 (3) implies that the formally defined overlap is non-vanishing (finite), which is equivalent to the unitarily implementability of  $S$  when  $\varphi = 1$ .

(Sketch of the proof of Theorem 4)

Let  $K = K_+ \oplus K_-$  ( $K_{\pm} = P_{\pm} K$ ) and let  $\{e_i\}$  be complete orthonormal basis in  $K$  with respect to both  $\text{Re}(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\text{Re}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We use the following unitary transformation  $W$ :

$$W \mathcal{F} = L^2(Q; d\mu_0),$$

$$Q = \mathbb{R}^{\infty}, \quad d\mu_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp[-q_i^2] \frac{dq_i}{\sqrt{\pi}},$$

$$W \Omega = 1,$$

$$W[\Phi_{\varphi}(e_i)]W^{-1} = \begin{cases} q_i & e_i \in K_+ \\ -iq_i & e_i \in K_- \end{cases},$$

$$W[\Phi_\varphi(Je_i)]W^{-1} = \begin{cases} -i\partial/\partial q_i + iq_i & e_i \in K_+ \\ -\partial/\partial q_i + q_i & e_i \in K_- \end{cases} .$$

Note that

$$[a^*(e_i)]^{(\theta)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\Phi_\varphi(e_i) + i\Phi_\varphi(Je_i)] .$$

Since the transformed vacuum should satisfy

$$[\Phi_\varphi(S^{-1}e_i) + i\Phi_\varphi(S^{-1}Je_i)]\Omega_S = 0 ,$$

$$\langle \Omega_S, \Omega_S \rangle = 1 ,$$

we have [1]

$$\Omega_S = [\det(\alpha)]^{1/4} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(q, (\alpha-1)q)]$$

where

$$(q, \alpha q) = \sum_{ij} q_i \alpha_{ij} q_j$$

and

$$\alpha_{ij} = (e_i, \psi^* h^{-2} \psi e_j) , \quad \psi = P_+ + iP_- .$$

Remark that  $\alpha$  is a  $\varphi$ -selfadjoint symmetric matrix.

Under the conditions of Theorem 4, we can prove that  $\Omega_S = \Omega_S(q) \in L^2(Q, d\mu_0) = \mathcal{F}$  and the cyclicity of  $\Omega_S$  [1]. Further

$$\Omega_{S^{-1}} = [\det(\alpha^{-1})]^{1/4} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(q, (\alpha^{-1}-1)q)] .$$

Let  $\alpha = \alpha_r + i\alpha_i$  where  $\alpha_r$  and  $\alpha_i$  are selfadjoint real matrices (this follows from the properties of  $\alpha$ ). If  $\alpha_r$  is positive (then strictly positive since  $\alpha_r - 1$  is H.S.) , since

$$(\alpha^{-1})_r = (\alpha_r + \alpha_i \alpha_r^{-1} \alpha_i)^{-1} ,$$

$$(\alpha^{-1})_i = -\alpha_r^{-1} \alpha_i (\alpha_r + \alpha_i \alpha_r^{-1} \alpha_i)^{-1} ,$$

then  $(\alpha^{-1})_r$  is again a (strictly) positive operator. Thus

$\Omega_{S^{-1}} \in \mathcal{F}$ . The  $\theta$ -isometricity of  $U_S^{-1}$  follows from

$$\langle \Omega_S, P(\Phi_\varphi(f))\Omega_S \rangle = \langle \Omega_S, P(\Phi_\varphi(Sf))\Omega_S \rangle$$



which is proved in [1]. Finally

$$\langle \Omega, \Omega_S \rangle = \langle \Omega_S, \Omega \rangle = \int \Omega_S(q) d\mu_0 = \det^{-1/4} [1 + S_{-}(\varphi) S_{-}].$$

□

From the above proof, the reader can guess that  $\alpha_r > 0$  is needed to ensure  $\|\Omega_S\| < \infty$ .

(Sketch of the proof of Theorem 5)

Since  $\alpha$  is a  $\varphi$ -selfadjoint operator,  $\alpha$  takes the following form on  $JK_+ \oplus JK_-$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_r)_{++} & i(\alpha_1)_{+-} \\ i(\alpha_1)_{-+} & (\alpha_r)_{--} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_{ij} = P_i \alpha P_j.$$

First assume that  $f \in JK_+$  be an eigenvector of  $\alpha_r$  belonging to the eigenvalue  $-\lambda < 0$ . Since  $\Phi_\varphi(f)$  is selfadjoint,

$$\|\exp[i\Phi_\varphi(f)]\| = 1.$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega_S, \exp[i\Phi_\varphi(f)] \Omega_S \rangle &= \langle \Omega, \exp[i\Phi_\varphi(Sf)] \Omega \rangle \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4} \langle Sf, Sf \rangle\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda}{4} \|f\|^2\right]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda > 0$ , the right hand side can be made arbitrarily large, which contradicts

$$|\langle \Omega_S, \exp[i\Phi_\varphi(f)] \Omega_S \rangle| \leq \|\Omega_S\|^2 < \infty.$$

The case of  $f \in JK_-$  is similarly discussed.

□

Our theory can be applied for quantum electrodynamics-type models where  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_-)$  is the Fock space of the gaugeon (ghost particle which has a negative norm) and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_+)$  is the Fock space of physical particles (photon, etc.). In these

models, the Hamiltonian  $H$  is expected to be  $\Theta$ -selfadjoint (namely  $H\Theta$  is selfadjoint). As a simple example, let  $H$  be  $\Theta$ -selfadjoint and bilinear with respect to creation and annihilation operators. Let  $H$  be diagonalized [1,2] by a transformation defined by  $\Phi_\varphi(f) \rightarrow \Phi_\varphi(Tf)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\Omega_T$  is the physical vacuum of the Hamiltonian. If  $T$  is weakly  $\Theta$ -unitarily implementable, then  $\rho_T(\dots) = \langle \Omega_T, \dots \Omega_T \rangle$  is a normalized  $\Theta$ -selfadjoint linear functional on the field algebra, which typically appears in QED-type models.  $\rho_T$  is called a Lorentz state in [2].

Theorem 5 implies that the linear functional  $\rho_T$  defined by

$$\rho_T(P(\Phi_\varphi(f))) = \langle \Omega, P(\Phi_\varphi(Tf)) \Omega \rangle$$

cannot be a continuous state in general on the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\{\exp[i\Phi(f)]; f \in \mathcal{K}\}$ , where  $\Phi(f)$  is the selfadjoint Segal's field.

The converse problem, namely to obtain a representation (or  $T$ ) from the expectation values, is the problem which must be solved to construct a QED-type model in a mathematically rigorous way [2]. This corresponds to a generalization of the GNS-construction. This will be discussed someday.

#### -References-

- [1] K.R.Ito, "Canonical Linear Transformation on Fock Space with an Indefinite Metric", RIMS-preprint (1977) to appear in Publ.RIMS.
- [2] K.R.Ito, "Construction of  $(QED)_2$ ", RIMS-Preprint (1977).

- [3] A.Klein, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. 181(1973)439.
- [4] B.Simon, "Bogolyubov Transformations", Princeton Preprint (1972), unpublished.