

Title	Parameter Estimation of Markov Random Fields (時系列における統計的推定論の研究)
Author(s)	KUNSCH, H.
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1977), 312: 173-184
Issue Date	1977-11
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/103906">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/103906</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

PARAMETER ESTIMATION OF  
MARKOV RANDOM FIELDS .

東大 理学部 H. Künsch

0. Introduction: A random field is a stochastic process with a multidimensional parameter, usually interpreted as space. There are many examples of random fields in practical applications, for instance annual rainfall in an area, the size of a crop at different plots, or in the case of transmission of photos the optical amplitude. The paper [6] contains a survey of applications of random fields in various disciplines.

We consider here the case of a random field  $X(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^v$ ,  $v = 1, 2, \dots$  with  $EX(t) \equiv 0$  and which is homogeneous, i.e. all finite dimensional distributions are translation inva-

riant (A generalization to a field with some trend is possible). Whittle [7] and Larimore [2] both considered autoregressive models, which seem to us a too narrow class; we take here the broader class of Markov models. Two methods for the estimation of the parameters of such a model are proposed, and in both cases consistency and asymptotic normality are proved, even if  $X$  is not Gaussian.

1. Type of models; a) Markovian models

Choose a finite set  $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$  with  $L = -L$  and  $0 \notin L$ , the set of 'points near 0'. We define then the ( $L$ -) boundary of a  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$  by  $\partial D := \{t \notin D \mid \exists s \in D, t-s \in L\}$ .

Definition: A random field  $X(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^v$ , has the Markov property w.r. to a set  $D$  if

$\forall \sigma\{X(t), t \in D\}$ -measurable r. v.  $U$

$$E(U \mid X(t), t \notin D) = E(U \mid X(t), t \in \partial D) \quad (1)$$

Remark: If  $v=1$  and  $L = \{-p, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, p\}$ , then for  $D = \{t, t+1, \dots\}$  the above definition is the usual definition of a  $p$ -th order Markov

process. It can also be proved, that a  $p$ -th order Markov process has the Markov property w.r. to all  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^1$ . However, for  $v \geq 2$  the line transect  $X(t, 0, \dots, 0)$  of a Markov field is in general not a Markov process.

If  $X$  is homogeneous, Gaussian,  $EX = 0$  then the Markov property w.r. to  $\{t\}$  is simply  $E(X(t) | X(s), s \neq t) = \sum_{k \in L} a(k) X(t-k)$  (2) with some coefficients  $a(k)$ . (2) is equivalent to  $X(t) = \sum_{k \in L} a(k) X(t-k) + Y(t)$  (3) with  $Y(t)$  independent of  $X(s)$ ,  $s \neq t$ . As our models, we take solutions of (2), resp. (3).

A simple calculation shows, that

$$EY(t)Y(s) = c^2 \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t=s \\ a(t-s) & \text{if } t-s \in L \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

i.e. the error terms in (3) are correlated between themselves. Also, we must have  $a(k) = a(-k)$  (therefore  $L = -L$ ).

Theorem 1: There exists a Gaussian homogeneous solution of (2) with  $EY(t)^2 = c^2 > 0$  iff  $a(k) = a(-k)$ ,  $P(x) := 1 - \sum a(k)e^{ikx} \geq 0$ ,  $\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^v} \frac{1}{P(x)} dx < \infty$

If we ask  $X$  to be purely non deterministic, then  $X$  is uniquely given by

$$E X(t) X(s) = R(t-s) := \frac{c^2}{(2\pi)^\nu} \int \frac{e^{i(t-s)x}}{P(x)} dx \quad (4)$$

Proof: see Rozanov [5]

$X$  can be built up with i.i.d. r.v.:

Theorem 2: If the covariance of  $X$  is given by (4), <sup>then</sup>  $X$  satisfies the moving average equation

$$X(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} g(t-k) U(k), \quad \text{with} \quad (5)$$

$$E U(t) U(s) = c^2 \delta_{t,s} \quad g(s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^\nu} \int e^{isx} P(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx$$

Proof: Put  $U(k) := \int e^{ikx} P(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} dZ(x)$ , where  $dZ$  is the random measure with  $E |dZ(x)|^2 = \frac{c^2}{(2\pi)^\nu} P(x)^{-1} dx$ .  
q.e.d.

If  $U$  is not normal, the field  $X$  defined by (5) is in general only 'Markov in the weak sense'

$$\text{i.e. } \hat{E}(X(t) | X(s), s \neq t) = \sum_{k \in L} a(k) X(t-k)$$

where  $\hat{E}$  is the orthogonal projection on the closed linear hull. But since it is not known, if (3) has a non Gaussian solution, we take nevertheless  $X$  defined by (5) as our model.

If  $X(t)$  has been observed for  $t \in D$  and

if  $X(t)$  satisfies (2) with known  $a(k)$ , then the formula for best linear extrapolation of  $X(t)$ ,  $t \notin D$ , is well known: If  $D^c$  is finite, see Rozanov [4], chapter II. 10, if  $D$  is a halfspace, see Pitt [3], proposition 9.1, and if  $D$  is finite and  $\sum |a(k)| < 1$ , see Williams [8]

### b) Autoregressive models

Definition:  $X$  is called an autoregressive field if 
$$X(t) = \sum_{k \in K} b(k) X(t-k) + U(t) \quad (6)$$
 with i.i.d.  $U(t)$  and  $K$  finite,  $\neq 0$ .

From part 1a) it is clear, that then  $U(t)$  must be correlated with some  $X(s)$ ,  $s \neq t$ .

Theorem 3: There exists a purely non deterministic solution of (6) iff

$$Q(x) := \left[ 1 - \sum_{k \in K} b(k) e^{-ikx} \right]^{-1} \in L_2([- \pi, \pi]^0, dx).$$

The covariance is  $E X(t) X(s) = c^2 \int \frac{e^{i(t-s)x}}{|Q(x)|^2} dx$

Proof: Purely non deterministic implies, that the spectral measure is abs. continuous (Rozanov [5]). Then  $E U(t) U(s) = \int e^{i(t-s)x} |Q(x)|^2 f(x) dx$   
So, since the  $U$ 's are i.i.d.  $|Q(x)|^2 f(x) = \text{const.}$   
q. e. d.

Therefore every autoregressive field is Markov, and the contrary is true, iff  $P(x) = \text{const.} |Q(x)|^2$ . For  $\nu=1$ , every  $P(x)$  has such a decomposition, (see Yaglom [9], p. 121), but for  $\nu \geq 2$ , this is no longer the case; for instance the simplest  $P(x) = 1 - a \cos x_1 - b \cos x_2$ ,  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$  has no such decomposition: If we take  $Q(x) = 1 - \alpha e^{ix_1} - \beta e^{ix_2} - \gamma e^{-ix_1} - \delta e^{-ix_2}$ , then in  $|Q|^2$  appear terms of order 2, which are not all  $= 0$  except if  $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$ . For more complicated  $Q$ 's a similar thing is true.

## 2. Estimation of parameters

Suppose  $X(t)$  is observed in a set  $D$ . We want to estimate the  $a(k)$ 's with the help of  $X(t)$ ,  $t \in D$ .  $L$  is supposed to be known. Since we must have  $a(k) = a(-k)$ , we choose an  $M$  such that  $M \cap (-M) = \emptyset$ ,  $M \cup (-M) = L$  and estimate  $a(k)$ ,  $k \in M$ . For simplicity, we take  $D = \{1, \dots, T\}$ .

The properties of the estimators, we go to propose ~~in~~ <sup>later</sup> the following, are based

on the properties of the following r.v.

$$C(k) := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t \in D} X(t) X(t+k) \quad (X(s) := 0 \quad \forall s \notin D)$$

Lemma 1: If  $X$  is given by (5) with  $EU(t)^4 = 3c^4 + \kappa_4 < \infty$ , then  $C(k) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{P} R(k)$ . If moreover  $P(x) \neq 0 \quad \forall x$ , then  $T^{1/2}[C(k) - R(k)]$  are asymptotically joint normal with mean 0 and covariance  $c^4 A_{k,e} + \frac{\kappa_4}{c^4} R(k)R(e)$ , where  $A_{k,e} := \frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{\cos kx \cos ex}{P(x)^2} dx$

Proof: Consistency follows easily, because the field  $X(t)X(t+k)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  has a spectral density. Asymptotic normality is a straightforward generalization of Anderson [1], section 8.4.2.

q.e.d.

a) Least square estimators: We take as estimators for  $a(k)$  those values  $\hat{a}(k)$ , which minimize  $\sum_{t \in D} [X(t) - \sum_{k \in M} a(k) \{X(t+k) + X(t-k)\}]^2$ . By differentiation and division by  $4 \cdot T^d$ :

$$\sum_{k \in M} [C(k+n) + C(k-n)] \hat{a}(k) = C(n) \quad n \in M \quad (7)$$

As estimation for  $c^2$  we take

$$\hat{c}^2 := \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{t \in D} [X(t) - \sum_{k \in M} \hat{a}(k) \{X(t+k) + X(t-k)\}]^2 \quad (8)$$

$$\text{or by (7)} \quad \hat{c}^2 = C(0) - 2 \sum_{k \in M} \hat{a}(k) C(k) \quad (8')$$

Put  $S_{k,n} := R(k+n) + R(k-n)$ . Then



Lemma 2:  $(S_{k,n})_{k,n \in M}$  is not singular

Proof:  $\sum_n S_{k,n} x_n = 0 \quad \forall k \in M \Leftrightarrow$

$$\int e^{ikx} \sum_n x_n \cos nx \frac{dx}{P(x)} = 0 \quad \forall k \in L \Leftrightarrow \sum_n x_n \cos nx = 0$$

$$\text{in } L_2\left(\frac{dx}{P(x)}\right) \Leftrightarrow x_n = 0 \quad \forall n \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Theorem 4: If  $X$  satisfies (5) with  $EU(t) < \infty$  then  $\hat{a}(k), \hat{c}^2$  are consistent. If moreover  $P(x) \neq 0 \quad \forall x$ , then  $T^{1/2}[\hat{a}(k) - a(k)]$  are asymptotically joint normal with mean 0 and covariance  $c^4 \cdot (S_{k,n})^{-2}$

Proof (3) implies  $R(n) - \sum_{k \in M} a(k) S_{k,n} = c^2 \delta_{n,0}$  so consistency follows from lemmas 1 and 2.

For asymptotic normality, observe that

$$T^{1/2}[\hat{a}(k) - a(k)] \sim \sum_n (S^{-1})_{k,n} T^{1/2} [C(n) - \sum_{\ell} a(\ell) \{C(\ell+n) + C(\ell-n)\}]$$

$$\sim \sum_n (S^{-1})_{k,n} T^{-1/2} [C(n) - R(n) - \sum_{\ell} a(\ell) \{C(\ell+n) - R(\ell+n) + C(\ell-n) - R(\ell-n)\}],$$

and the result follows from lemma 1 by an easy calculation q.e.d.

### b) Maximum likelihood estimators

Using a result from Whittle [7],

p. 440-442, we get that

$$\frac{1}{T^{\nu}} \log \text{likelihood} \approx \text{const.} - \frac{1}{2} \log c^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\nu}} \int \log(1 - 2 \sum a(k) \cos kx) dx$$

$$- \frac{1}{c^2} [C(0) - 2 \sum_k a(k) C(k)] \quad \dots$$

This gives after differentiation the following equations for the maximum likelihood estimators:

$$\frac{\tilde{c}^2}{(2\pi)^\nu} \int \frac{\cos kx}{1 - 2 \sum \tilde{a}(l) \cos lx} dx = C(k), \quad k \in M \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{c}^2 = C(0) - 2 \sum_k \tilde{a}(k) C(k), \quad (10)$$

Or equivalently to (9) and (10):

$$\frac{\tilde{c}^2}{(2\pi)^\nu} \int \frac{\cos kx}{1 - 2 \sum \tilde{a}(l) \cos lx} dx = C(k), \quad k \in M \cup \{0\} \quad (11)$$

i.e. we have to fit in  $\tilde{c}^2, \tilde{a}(k)$  in such a way, that for  $k \in M \cup \{0\}$  the covariances of the fitted model are equal to  $C(k)$ . The least square method on the other hand uses also  $C(l), l \notin M \cup \{0\}$  for the estimation.

Theorem 5 If  $X$  satisfies (5) with  $EU(t)^4 < \infty$  and  $P(x) \neq 0 \forall x$ , then  $\tilde{a}(k)$  and  $\tilde{c}^2$  are consistent and  $T^{\nu/2} [\tilde{a}(k) - a(k)]$  are asymptotically joint normal with mean 0 and covariances  $(A_{k,l} - 2 \frac{R(k)R(l)}{c^4})^{-1}$  ( $A_{k,l}$  as in lemma 1).

Proof:  $\varphi: (a_k)_{k \in M}, c^2 \longrightarrow \frac{c^2}{(2\pi)^\nu} \int \frac{\cos kx}{1 - 2 \sum a_l \cos lx} dx,$   
 $k \in M \cup \{0\}$  is a differentiable map defined on  $\{a_k \mid 1 - \sum a_k \cos kx > 0 \forall x\} \times \mathbb{R}_+$  with functional matrix

$$\left( \underbrace{c^2 A_{k,e}}_{c \in M} \left| \frac{R_k}{c^2} \right. \right)_{k \in M \cup \{0\}}$$

By a similar argument as in lemma 2, we can show, that it is not singular. Together with lemma 1, this implies consistency.

if we develop (9) in a Taylor series, we get:

$$C(k) - R(k) = \sum_e [\tilde{a}(e) - a(e)] c^2 A_{k,e} + (\tilde{c}^2 - c^2) \frac{R(k)}{c^2} + \text{remainder}, \quad k \in M$$

$$\text{Out of (10): } \tilde{c}^2 - c^2 = C(0) - R(0) - 2 \sum_e R(e) [\tilde{a}(e) - a(e)] - 2 \sum_e a(e) [C(e) - R(e)] + \text{remainder}$$

Combining these two results:

$$T^{1/2} [C(k) - R(k) - \frac{R(k)}{c^2} \cdot Z] = \sum_e (c^2 A_{k,e} - 2 \frac{R(k)R(e)}{c^2}) T^{1/2} [\tilde{a}(e) - a(e)] + \text{remainder}, \quad \text{where } Z := C(0) - R(0) - 2 \sum_e a(e) [C(e) - R(e)].$$

The remainder goes to 0 as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , and by lemma 1, the left hand side is asymptotically joint normal with covariance  $c^4 A_{k,e} - 2 R(k)R(e)$ . As in lemma 2, we can show, that this matrix is not singular. g.e.d

c) Comparison of the 2 methods: In the case  $v=1$ ,  $L = \{-1, 1\}$ , we get for the asymptotic variance of  $T^{1/2}(\hat{a} - a)$ :  $\frac{(1-\beta^2)^2}{(1+\beta^2)^4}$  and for

$T^{1/2}(\tilde{a}-a) = \frac{(1-\beta^2)^3}{(1+\beta^2)^4}$ , where  $a = \frac{\beta}{1+\beta^2}$ . So, for  $\beta = 0.5 \Leftrightarrow a = 0.4$ , we need in the case of the least square method  $\frac{1}{3}$  more observations for the same precision, but equation (7) is easier to solve than (11). We haven't had time and occasion to solve numerical examples. We think, it might be a good method to calculate first  $\hat{a}(k)$ ,  $\hat{c}^2$  and use these values as starting values for the solution of (11) with Newton's method.

### References

- [1] T.W. Anderson, The statistical analysis of time series, Wiley 1971
- [2] W.E. Larimore, Statistical inference on stationary random fields, Proceedings IEEE 65 (1977), 961-70
- [3] L.D. Pitt, A Markov property for Gaussian processes with a multidimensional parameter, Archive rational mechanics analysis 43 (1971), 343-65
- [4] Yu. A. Rozanov, Stationary random processes, Holden Day 1967

- [5] Yu. A. Rozanov, On Gaussian fields with given conditional distributions, Theory Prob. Appl 12 (1967), 381 - 391
- [6] D. Unwin - L. Hepple, The statistical analysis of spatial series, The statistician 23 (1974), 211-27
- [7] P. Whittle, On stationary processes in the plane, Biometrika 41 (1954), 434-449
- [8] D. Williams, Basic theorems on Harnesses, in Stochastic Analysis, D. Kendall - E. Harding ed., Wiley 1973, 349-363
- [9] A. M. Yaglom, Stationary random functions, Prentice - Hall 1962.

Present address:

H. Künsch

Hügelstraße 11

8002 Zürich Switzerland.