



Title	On the Non-equilibrium Thermodynamics
Author(s)	KITAHARA, Kazuo
Citation	物性研究 (1985), 43(5): 244-247
Issue Date	1985-02-20
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/91507
Right	
Туре	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

On the Non-equilibrium Thermodynamics

## Kazuo KITAHARA

Department of Applied Physics Tokyo Institute of Technology

In the standard textbooks[1,2] of non-equilibrium thermodynamics, time-evolution of local thermodynamic variables is derived on the basis of conservation laws and local equilibrium assumption. The local entropy change is given in terms of entropy flow and local entropy production  $\sigma[S]$ . The local entropy production turns out to be sum of several terms, each of which is regarded as a product of two quantities; flux and conjugate force. For example, in the case of a multicomponent fluid, which moves with velocity u, the local entropy production  $\sigma[S]$  is given in the following form[1.2].

given in the following form[1,2],  $\sigma[S] = Q_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} (1/T) + \sigma_{ik}' / T \cdot \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \rho_{\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha k} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} (\mu_{\alpha}/T) - F_{\alpha k}/T \right] + \sum_{r} \frac{\partial \xi_{r}}{\partial t} A_{r}, \tag{1}$ 

where i,k stand for spatial components, x,y,z, and we use summation convention. T is local temperature,  $Q(Q_k)$  is a vector representing heat flux.  $\sigma'_{ik}$  is stress tensor due to viscosity of the fluid.  $\vec{\Delta}_{\alpha}(\Delta_{\alpha k})$  is the relative velocity of chemical component  $\alpha$  with respect to convection velocity u.  $\mu_{\alpha}$  is chemical potential of the chemical component  $\alpha$ .  $\vec{F}_{\alpha}(F_{\alpha k})$  is an external force on unit mass of the chemical component  $\alpha$ .  $\xi_r$  and  $A_r$  are extent and affinity of a chemical reaction r. Each term on the right hand side of Eq.(1) is interpreted as a product of flux and force;  $\partial/\partial x_k(1/T)$  is force conjugate to heat flux  $Q_k$ ,  $-\partial u_i/\partial x_k/T$  is force conjugate to momentum flux (viscosity stress)  $\sigma'_{ik}$ ,  $-\partial/\partial x_k(\mu_{\alpha}/T) + F_{\alpha k}/T$  is force conjugate to diffusion flux  $\rho_{\alpha}\Delta_{\alpha k}$  of the chemical component  $\alpha$ , and,  $A_r/T$  is force conjugate to velocity of extent of the chemical reaction r.

However, it seems that the division of each term in the expression of the local entropy production into flux and force is not so definite. It is desired to have some general principle for determining fluxes and forces independently so that there would be no ambiguity in the division of each term in  $\sigma[S]$  into flux and force. This problem was noted by Aono[3] some time ago.

The conventional local equilibrium assumption is the following; we assume, for change of local thermodynamic variables per unit mass, the same relations as those for a macroscopic equilibrium system, namely

Tds=de+pdv+ 
$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mu_{\alpha} dc_{\alpha}$$
 (1)

where T is temperature, p is pressure, s, e, and v are entropy, internal energy and volume per unit mass.  $\mu_{\alpha}$  and  $c_{\alpha}$  are chemical potential and mass concentration of chemical component  $\alpha$ . When we deal with a fluid, which performs convective motion, it is better to take total energy( kinetic energy+potential energy+internal energy) and momentum as thermodynamic variables instead of simply taking internal energy as a variable describing states of the fluid, because they are conseved quantities. Hence, by noting that local total energy per unit mass  $\epsilon$  is given by

$$\varepsilon = u^2/2 + \Omega + e$$
, (2)

where  $\Omega$  is local potential energy per unit mass, the relation (1) is extended to

$$Tds=d\varepsilon-u_{1}du_{1}+pdv+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(\mu_{\alpha}+\omega_{\alpha})de_{\alpha}. \tag{3}$$

Here we have introduced local potential energy per unit mass of the chemical component α,

$$d\Omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \omega_{\alpha} dc_{\alpha}, \quad -\partial \omega_{\alpha} / \partial x_{k} = F_{\alpha k}.$$

If we write the local equilibrium assumption (3) in terms of local densities of those thermodynamic quantities, we obtain

$$\frac{d(\rho s) = d(\rho \epsilon)/T - u_i d(\rho u_i)/T + \sum\limits_{\alpha = 1}^{n} (\mu_{\alpha} + \omega_{\alpha}) d\rho_{\alpha}/T, }{\alpha = 1}$$
 (4) where  $\rho = \sum\limits_{\alpha = 1}^{n} \rho_{\alpha}$  is mass density of the fluid;  $\rho = v^{-1}$ . It is noted that the Gi

It is noted that the Gibbs-Duhem relation should also be extended to

In other words, the chemical potential  $\mu$  is dffferent from the chemical potential in the absence of convection  $\bar{\mu}_{\alpha}$  by  $\mu_{\alpha} = \bar{\mu}_{\alpha} - u^2/2$ .

We may divide  $d\rho_{\alpha}$  into two parts;  $d\rho_{\alpha} = d\rho_{\alpha}^{(diff)} + d\rho_{\alpha}^{(chem)}$ , where  $d\rho_{\alpha}^{(diff)}$  stands for the change of the density due to diffusion and  $d\rho_{\alpha}^{(chem)}$  due to chemical reactions. If the external force is gravity or electric field, we may put  $\Sigma = \omega_{\alpha} d\rho_{\alpha}^{(chem)} = 0$ . Hence, we may rewrite (4) into the following expression which includes  $\overset{\alpha=1}{c}$  hemical reactions,

$$d(\rho s) = d(\rho \epsilon) / T - u_i d(\rho u_i) / T + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} (\mu_{\alpha} + \omega_{\alpha}) d\rho_{\alpha}^{(\text{diff})} / T + \sum_{r} A_r d\xi_r / T, \tag{6}$$
 where affinity  $A_r$  is defined in terms of stoichiometric constants  $v_{\alpha r}[\mu]$ ,

$$A_{r} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mu_{\alpha} v_{\alpha r}. \tag{7}$$

Let us recall how thermodynamic forces are defined for a macroscopic system. Suppose the state of an isolated system is described by extensive quantities,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,...,  $x_N$  and entropy of the system is a function of these quantities  $S(x_1, x_2, ..., x_N)$ . The differential of the entropy is given in the following form,

$$dS = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i dx_i$$

$$(8)$$

and we may call X. "force". Indeed, if X is positive and large, then a small increment dx. of the extensive quantity x. gives rise to large increment of the entropy. In other words, according to the second law of thermodynamics, the positive large value of X, may induce the increase of the extensive variable x. in the system. The situation is more clearly illustrated when we consider two coupled systems. A and B. Entropy and extensive quantities in each system are denoted by Entropy and extensive quantities in each system are denoted by systems A and B.

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
A & B \\
\hline
& \delta_{x_i} \\
X_i^A & X_i^B
\end{array}$$

$$ds^{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{A} dx_{i}^{A}, \qquad ds^{B} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{B} dx_{i}^{B}.$$

Suppose some amount  $\delta x$  of a physical quantity x, is transported from A to B. Then the increase of total entropy is given by

$$\delta S = \delta S^{A} + \delta S^{B} = (\chi_{i}^{B} - \chi_{i}^{A}) \delta x_{i}.$$

Hence if  $X_i^B > X_i^A$ , this transport increases the total entropy. In other words,

北原和夫

$$\pi_{ik}^{R} = \rho u_i u_k + p \delta_{ik}, \quad \pi_{ik}^{D} = -\sigma_{ik}. \tag{17}$$

The mass flux  $\rho_{\alpha}$   $\overset{\rightarrow}{u_{\alpha}}$  is also divided into the reversible part  $\overset{\rightarrow}{J}_{\alpha}^R$  and the dissipative part  $\vec{J}_{\alpha}^{D}$ ;  $\vec{J}_{\alpha}^{R} = \rho_{\alpha} \vec{u}$  (convection flux),  $\vec{J}_{\alpha}^{D} = \rho_{\alpha} \vec{\Delta}_{\alpha}$  (diffusion flux).

The division of fluxes into reversible parts and dissipative parts seems reasonable since the reversible parts are, as is clear from their expressions, simply due to

Up to now the argument is rather formal and there is no advantage in choosing, for the expression of entropy production, either (1) or (15). linear thermodynamics, namely, when we relate the fluxes and forces by linear relations, it becomes rather serious how one defines forces and fluxes. Conventionally, the linear relations between fluxes and forces are given as

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}} = L_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}} (1/T) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} L_{\mathbf{k},\alpha,\mathbf{j}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}} (\mu_{\alpha}/T) - F_{\alpha,\mathbf{j}}/T \right], \quad \sigma_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}}^{\prime} = L_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k},mn} \frac{\partial u_{\mathbf{n}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}} / T$$

$$\rho_{\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha} = L_{\alpha\mathbf{k},\mathbf{j}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}} (1/T) \right] - \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} L_{\alpha\mathbf{k},\beta,\mathbf{j}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}} (\mu_{\beta}/T) - F_{\beta,\mathbf{j}}/T \right], \quad (19)$$

where we have used Curie's principle. On the other hand, in our formulation, the linear relations between fluxes and forces should read, according to Curie's principle, as

$$Q_{k}-u_{i}\sigma_{ik}^{\dagger}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\rho_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}\Delta_{\alpha k}=L_{kj}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}(1/T)+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}L_{k,\alpha j}\left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}(\frac{\mu_{\alpha}+\omega_{\alpha}}{T})\right],\quad\sigma_{ik}^{\dagger}=L_{ik,mn}\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{m}}/T,$$

$$\rho_{\alpha}\Delta_{\alpha}=L_{\alpha k,j}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}(1/T)\right]-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}L_{\alpha k,\beta j}\left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}(\frac{\mu_{\beta}+\omega_{\beta}}{T})\right].$$
(20)

Let us consider, as an illustration, the following situation: There is only one chemical component;  $\vec{\Delta}$ =0. There is no external force;  $\omega_{\alpha}$ =0 and  $\vec{F}_{\alpha}$ =0. There is no temperature gradient and no chemical potential gradient. In this situate conventional linear relations give  $\hat{Q}=0$  and  $\sigma_{ik}^!=L_{ik,mn}\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\frac{\partial x}{\partial m}$ , the latter of In this situation, which may be written in terms of viscosities,

$$\sigma_{ik}^{!} = \eta \left( \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ik} \operatorname{divu} \right) + \zeta \delta_{ik} \operatorname{divu}. \tag{21}$$

On the other hand, our formulation leads to the following relations,

$$Q_k - u_i \sigma_{ik}' = 0 \text{ and } \sigma_{ik}' = L_{ik,mn} \partial u_n / \partial x_m / T,$$
 (22)

the latter of which can be written in terms of viscosities as given in Eq.(21). The first one implies that there still exists heat flow even in the absence of  $u_{x}(y)$   $u_{x}(y)$ As an illustration, let us consider a shear flow in temperature gradient.

x-direction, u (y) which is a function of the height y. Then, we have

$$\sigma_{xy}^{\prime} = \sigma_{yx}^{\prime} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$$
,  $\sigma_{ij}^{\prime} = 0$  (otherwise).

Hence we have heat flux in the y-direction, 
$$Q_y = \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial y} \ . \qquad \text{Conventionalt linear thermodynamics}$$
 tells  $Q_y = 0$ .

After all, I have still a feeling of necessity of clarifying the concept and reality of "heat".

References: [1]L.Landau & E.Lifshitz, Fluid Mechanics; [2]P.Clansdorff & I.Prigogine, Structure, Stabilité et Fluctuations (Masson, 1971); [3]O.Aono, J.Stat.Phys. 5 113(1972); [4]I.Prigogine & R.Defay, Chemical Thermodynamics (Longman 1954).

the irreversible transport of x, is caused by the difference of conjugate force X in neighboring cells because of the second law of thermodynamics. Hence it is reasonable to define thermodynamic forces for transport of conserved quantities by gradients of thermodynanic forces in the differential form of local entropy density, while the thermodynamic force for a chemical reaction is simply A /T ( not gradient of  $A_r/T$ ) because chemical reactions take place locally. Thus from Eq.(4), we may list up thermodynamic forces corresponding to thermodynamic quantities as Table 1.

## Table 1 Thermodynamic Forces

Physical Quantities	Local Densities	Thermodynamic Forces
Total energy	ρε	3/3x <sub>k</sub> (1/T)
Momentum	ρu	-3/3x <sub>k</sub> (u <sub>i</sub> /T)
Diffusional mass	ρυ; (diff) ρ <sub>α</sub>	$-\partial/\partial x_{k}^{T}[(\mu_{\alpha}+\omega_{\alpha})/T]$
Extent of chemical	reaction $\xi_{\mathbf{r}}$	${ t A_r}/{ t T}$

The time evolution of the local entropy density is derived from (4),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho s) = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \epsilon) - \frac{u_i}{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho u_i) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} (\frac{\mu_{\alpha} + \omega_{\alpha}}{T}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{\alpha}^{(\text{diff})} + \sum_{r} \frac{A_r}{T} \frac{\partial \xi_r}{\partial t}$$
(9)

The time evomution of the local total energy density, the local momentum density, the local mass density is given from the conservation laws,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \varepsilon) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left[\rho u_{k} \left(\frac{u^{2}}{2} + e + \frac{P}{\rho}\right) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \rho_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} u_{\alpha k} + Q_{k} - u_{i} \sigma_{ik}^{!}\right] = 0 \quad \text{(total energy conservation)}$$
(10)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho u_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \pi_{ik} = \rho F_i \quad (momentum \ conservation)$$

where  $\Pi_{ik} = \rho u_i u_k + p \delta_{ik} - \sigma_{ik}$ , and  $\rho \stackrel{\rightarrow}{F} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \rho_{\alpha} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{F}_{\alpha}$ .

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{\alpha}^{(\text{diff})} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \rho_{\alpha} u_{\alpha k}^{\alpha k} = 0$$
 (mass conservation). (12)

From these conservation laws, we may derive the time evolution of the local entropy density in the following form,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial +}(\rho s) + \operatorname{div} \stackrel{?}{S} = \sigma[S], \tag{13}$$

where the entropy flux S is defined by 
$$\overrightarrow{S} = \overrightarrow{Q}/T - \sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\alpha}/T + \rho \overrightarrow{su} \text{ and } \overrightarrow{\Delta} = \overrightarrow{u} - \overrightarrow{u}.$$

$$\alpha = 1$$
(14)

The local entroly production  $\sigma[S]$  is the same expression as (1) but  $\mu_{\alpha}$  is now defined It is noted, however, that the expression of the entropy production (1) is not in terms of thermodynamic forces, just listed above. On the other hand, Eq.(1) can be alternatively written as

$$\sigma[S] = [Q_k - u_i \sigma_{ik}^{!} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \rho_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha}^{\Delta} \Delta_{\alphak}] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (1/T) + (-\sigma_{jk}^{!}) [-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\frac{u_i}{T})] - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \rho_{\alpha}^{\Delta} \Delta_{\alphak} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\frac{\mu_{\alpha} + \omega_{\alpha}}{T}) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \xi_r}{\partial t} \frac{A_r}{T}$$

Therefore we may define these factors multiplied on the thermodynamic forces as "fluxes"; in fact, these are "irreversible parts" of fluxes in the conservation laws. Thus the total energy flux J is divided into the reversible part J and the dissipative part J;

$$(J_{\epsilon}^{R})_{k} = \rho u_{k} (\epsilon + P/\rho), \quad (J_{\epsilon}^{D})_{k} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \rho_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha k} + Q_{k} - u_{i} \sigma_{ik}'.$$
 (16)

The momentum flux  $\Pi_{ik}$  can be also divided into the reversible part  $\Pi_{ik}^R$  and the dissipative part  $\Pi_{ik}^D$ ;