

Title	Influence of stratospheric circulation on the predictability of the tropospheric Northern Annular Mode
Author(s)	Mukougawa, Hitoshi; Hirooka, Toshihiko; Kuroda, Yuhji
Citation	Geophysical Research Letters (2009), 36(8)
Issue Date	2009-04
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/84861
Right	An edited version of this paper was published by AGU. Copyright (2009) American Geophysical Union.
Type	Journal Article
Textversion	author

1 **Influence of Stratospheric Circulation on the**
2 **Predictability of the Tropospheric Northern Annular**
3 **Mode**

Hitoshi Mukougawa

4 Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Uji, Japan

Toshihiko Hirooka

5 Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka,

6 Japan

Yuhji Kuroda

7 Meteorological Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan

H. Mukougawa, Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Uji 611-0011, Japan.

(mukou@dpac.dpri.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

T. Hirooka, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan. (hirook@geo.kyushu-u.ac.jp)

Y. Kuroda, Meteorological Research Institute, Tsukuba 305-0052, Japan. (kuroda@mri-jma.go.jp)

8 Influence of stratospheric circulation on the predictability of the tropospheric
9 Northern Hemisphere Annular Mode (NAM) in the boreal winter is exam-
10 ined using 5-year archive of 1-month ensemble forecast dataset provided by
11 the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA). It is found that the prediction skill
12 of the 7-day averaged ensemble-mean NAM index in the upper troposphere
13 is significantly improved for 5- to 13-day forecast when negatively large NAM
14 indices are observed in the stratosphere around 30 hPa at the initial time
15 of forecast in comparison with stratospheric positive NAM events. The re-
16 gression analysis also supports the significant relationship between large pre-
17 diction error of the upper tropospheric NAM index and stratospheric west-
18 erly anomalies. The asymmetric response of the forecast skill of the upper
19 tropospheric NAM index to the polarity of the stratospheric NAM anomaly
20 is also discussed in terms of the dependence of the upward propagation of
21 planetary waves on stratospheric zonal wind anomalies.

1. Introduction

22 It is important to reveal the influence of the stratospheric circulation change on the
23 predictability of the troposphere so as to improve the forecast skill of the extended-range
24 prediction as well as the understanding of the stratosphere-troposphere dynamical cou-
25 pling. The Northern Annular Mode (NAM) corresponding to the dominant hemispheric
26 zonally-symmetric variability is a key to understand the stratospheric influence due to
27 its downward migration properties [*Baldwin and Dunkerton, 1999, 2001*]. *Baldwin et al.*
28 [2003] showed significant improvement of forecast skill of a statistical prediction for the
29 surface NAM variability in mid-winter when the lowermost stratospheric NAM is used as
30 the predictor instead of the surface NAM variability.

31 Recently, by conducting forecast experiments in the framework of the perfect model
32 assumption [*Kalnay, 2003*], *Kuroda* [2008] showed a prolonged predictable period of tro-
33 pospheric NAM variability up to 2 months for 2003/04 winter when large stratospheric
34 NAM variability was observed. He also indicated that the predictable period was much
35 limited (3 weeks) for 2002/03 winter when the NAM variation was weak. Although his
36 study suggests the possible influence of the stratospheric variation on the predictability of
37 the weather forecast, the perfect model experiment tends to overestimate the predictable
38 period. In fact, the predictable period of the tropospheric NAM variability assessed by
39 the operational 1-month ensemble forecasts of the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA)
40 is at most 6 days for 2002/03 winter [*Mukougawa and Hirooka, 2007*; hereafter referred to
41 as MH07].

42 Hence, in this study, we will examine the dependence of the practical predictability of
43 the tropospheric NAM variability on the stratospheric NAM anomaly. For this purpose,
44 we analyze the 1-month (34-day) forecast data set of the JMA for 5 winter seasons from
45 2001/02 to 2005/06.

2. Data and Analysis Method

46 During the analysis period, the JMA 1-month ensemble predictions were carried out
47 twice a week starting from 12 UTC every Wednesday and Thursday. Each ensemble
48 prediction has 13 initial conditions. Here, the winter season is defined by a 4-month
49 period from December to March, and we analyze forecasts starting from November 30 to
50 February 28 (13 weeks for each winter). Hence, there are 26 ensemble forecasts in each
51 winter. The 1-month predictions during this period were performed using a JMA global
52 spectral model (JMA-GCM0103) with triangular 106 truncation (T106) and 40 vertical
53 levels up to 0.4 hPa. For further model details, the reader should refer to MH07. The
54 forecast data has been archived every 24 hr on a $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ longitude-latitude grid at 22
55 levels from 1000 to 1 hPa. To verify the forecasts, JMA Global Analyses (GANAL) data
56 set with 1.25-degree horizontal resolution at 23 levels from 1000 to 0.4 hPa is used.

57 We also used ERA-40 data set from November 1, 1957 to April 30, 2002 with 2.5-degree
58 horizontal resolution at 23 pressure levels from 1000 to 1 hPa to define the NAM pattern
59 by the following procedure as in MH07. First, we performed an EOF analysis to the
60 monthly-mean height anomalies from November to April north of 20°N at each pressure
61 level. Second, the regressed height anomaly to the corresponding 1st principal component
62 is defined as the NAM pattern. Finally, the daily NAM index is obtained by projecting

63 height anomaly on to the NAM pattern. Here, the anomaly is defined as a departure from
 64 daily climatology created by 60-day low-pass filtered daily-mean values at each calendar
 65 day. The positive (negative) NAM indices represent westerly (easterly) anomalies around
 66 60°N.

To focus on the low-frequency variations of the NAM index, we will examine 7-day-
 running averaged ensemble-mean fields of the forecast in the following analysis. To con-
 struct 7-day running mean at day 0–3 prediction, GANAL data from day -3 to day -1
 was used. The forecast skill is assessed using mean square error (MSE) and mean square
 spread (MSS) of the forecast at lead time t defined by

$$\text{MSE} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\overline{e_i(t)})^2, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{MSS} \equiv \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M (e(t)_i^j - \overline{e_i(t)})^2, \quad (2)$$

67 respectively. Here, $e(t)_i^j$ is the forecast error of member j for the i -th ensemble forecast,
 68 $\overline{e_i(t)}$ the ensemble-mean forecast error, M ($= 13$) the number of member for each ensemble
 69 prediction, and N the number of the ensemble predictions ($N = 2 \times 13 \times 5 = 130$ for
 70 all ensemble predictions of the 5 winters from 2001/02 to 2005/06). Hereafter, MSE and
 71 MSS at each pressure level are normalized by the climatological variance of the NAM
 72 index for the 5 winters.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison between 2003/04 and 2004/05 Winter

73 At first, we will compare seasonal mean of the forecast error of the NAM index for the
 74 2003/04 winter with the 2004/05 winter. As seen in Figures 1a, the 2003/04 winter is
 75 characterized by the prevailing downward migration of negative NAM anomalies from the

76 upper stratosphere down to the surface. A major stratospheric sudden warming (SSW)
77 took place in January 2004. On the other hand, the stratospheric circulation in the
78 2004/05 winter is characterized by positive NAM anomalies. Seasonally averaged [i.e.,
79 $N = 26$ in Eq.(1)] MSEs of the NAM index at each pressure level against the lead time
80 are shown in Figures 1b and 1d. These two figures show that the prediction skill of the
81 NAM index in the troposphere and stratosphere for the 2003/04 winter is better than the
82 2004/05 winter for the forecast period up to 30 days. For example, the 500-hPa MSE
83 exceeds 0.5 (half the climatological variance of NAM index) for the forecast beyond 9-day
84 lead time for the 2004/05 winter whereas it is smaller than 0.5 until 12-day forecast for
85 the 2003/04 winter.

86 Thus, these results might suggest that the prediction error for the tropospheric NAM
87 index becomes smaller when the negative NAM anomalies are observed in the stratosphere
88 at the initial time of forecast. In the following, we will statistically examine the relevance
89 of this suggestion using the 5 winter archive of the JMA 1-month forecast.

3.2. Classification by Stratospheric NAM

90 Firstly, we investigate the statistical significance of the difference in MSE and MSS
91 between two groups with positively or negatively large initial NAM anomalies in the
92 stratosphere. Figure 2a shows an example of dependence of MSE of the 250-hPa NAM
93 index on the initial 30-hPa NAM index. The blue and red solid lines show MSEs of the
94 forecasts for which initial 30-hPa NAM index is larger than 1 (climatological variance) and
95 smaller than -1, respectively. Hereafter, the former (latter) is called as positive (negative)
96 group. The number of the forecasts belonging to the positive and negative groups is 20

97 and 48, respectively. The black line is the averaged MSE for the other forecasts (normal
98 group) of which number is 62. The statistical significance for the difference in MSE
99 and MSS between the positive and negative groups at the lead time t in the following
100 analysis is estimated by a procedure as in *Shiogama and Mukougawa* [2005] with 10000
101 resampled data. Figure 2a shows that the difference of MSE between the two groups is
102 statistically significant at the 99% confidence level for the lead time between 5 and 13
103 days. In particular, the significance becomes higher than 99.9% for the lead time between
104 6 and 10 days. It should be also remarked that MSE of the normal group (black line)
105 just lies between positive and negative ones for the lead times between 5 and 13 days,
106 which implies almost linear relationship between MSE and 30-hPa NAM index. The
107 broken lines in Figure 2a indicate squared magnitude of the mean error of the ensemble-
108 mean prediction, $(\sum \overline{e_i(t)}/N)^2$, corresponding to the systematic error for each group. The
109 systematic errors are much smaller than the MSEs, which indicates that the difference in
110 MSE is not due to the model bias.

111 Figure 2b shows that the 30-hPa NAM anomaly also significantly affects MSE in the
112 lower stratosphere and upper troposphere for the lead time around 8 days, and the longest
113 interval of the lead time with significant difference around 8-day forecast is observed for
114 the 250-hPa NAM prediction. However, the stratospheric NAM anomalies do not affect
115 the predictability of the lower tropospheric NAM during this forecast period. We will
116 focus on the forecast of the 250-hPa NAM index for the lead time around 8 days in the
117 following analysis.

118 Secondly, we examine the pressure level of which NAM index most significantly affects
119 the forecast skill of the 250-hPa NAM prediction. Figure 2c shows differences in MSE
120 of the 250-hPa NAM index between the positive ($NAM \geq 1$) and negative ($NAM \leq -1$)
121 groups classified by the initial NAM index at each pressure level (the ordinate). For
122 example, this figure shows that MSE of the 250-hPa NAM index for the negative group is
123 significantly smaller than that for the positive group when the forecasts are classified by
124 NAM anomalies above 200 hPa. In particular, the 30-hPa NAM index most significantly
125 affects the 8-day forecast skill of the 250-hPa NAM index since the difference attains
126 the highest statistical significance (99.997%). Figure 2c also shows that stratospheric
127 NAM variations at upper pressure levels tend to influence the forecast skill of the 250-hPa
128 NAM index for longer lead times. For example, the 5-hPa NAM variations produce the
129 largest difference in MSE of the 250-hPa NAM index around 18-day forecast. It is also
130 interesting to note that when mid-tropospheric NAM index has positively large values, the
131 predictability of the 250-hPa NAM index for 10–23 day lead time tends to be enhanced.

132 Figure 3 shows the time evolution of mean square spread (MSS), defined by Eq.(2),
133 of the 250-hPa NAM index for the positive and negative groups classified by the 30-hPa
134 NAM index as in Figure 2a. The negative group has significantly smaller MSS than the
135 positive group at 99.9% confidence from 5-day to 19-day forecast. Hence, it is suggested
136 that the MSE dependence on the stratospheric NAM index is not to due to the model
137 bias, but results from influence of the stratospheric NAM anomalies on the dynamical
138 stability of the tropospheric NAM mode. In fact, the observed 250-hPa NAM variance
139 among the positive group (blue broken line) is larger than that for the negative group (red

140 broken line) after 2 days from the initial time in accordance with the significant difference
141 in MSS between the two groups.

3.3. Regression Analysis of Tropospheric NAM Error

142 We also made a regression analysis with respect to MSE of the 250-hPa NAM index
143 using all ensemble predictions [$N = 130$ in Eq.(1)]. Figure 4 shows regressed zonal-
144 mean zonal wind and E-P flux of zonal wavenumber 1 (WN1) at the initial time of
145 forecast. The statistical significance is assessed by the Student's t - test. Figure 4a
146 indicates that larger MSE of 250-hPa NAM index for 12-day prediction is related to
147 westerly anomalies in the upper stratosphere in mid-latitudes. For 8-day NAM prediction,
148 the related westerly anomalies extend downward to the lower stratosphere around 50°N
149 (Figure 4b), which suddenly disappears for forecasts shorter than 4 days (Figure 4c). The
150 correlated stratospheric westerly anomaly and its downward extension are also confirmed
151 from Figure 2.

152 Figure 4 also gives us an plausible explanation for the downward extension of the cor-
153 related westerly anomaly. The regressed WN1 E-P flux vectors indicate that larger MSE
154 of the 250-hPa NAM index is associated with downward and equatorward propagation
155 of anomalous WN1 wave activity in the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere. The
156 WN2 component also has less significant E-P flux anomalies in the stratosphere (not
157 shown). The accompanied anomalous E-P flux divergence of both components in the
158 lower stratosphere (not shown) will extend the westerly anomaly downward.

4. Concluding Remarks

159 In order to examine the influence of the stratospheric circulation on the predictability
160 of tropospheric large-scale motions in the boreal winter, we made a statistical analysis
161 using 5-winter archive of 1-month ensemble forecast data set from 2001/02 to 2005/06
162 provided by the JMA. In particular, we investigated dependence of the predictability of
163 the tropospheric Northern Annular Mode (NAM) index on the polarity of the stratospheric
164 NAM anomalies at the initial time of forecast.

165 It is found that the stratospheric NAM anomalies around 30 hPa most significantly
166 affect the predictability of a 7-day averaged ensemble-mean NAM index in the upper
167 troposphere. The mean square error (MSE) of the forecasts with negatively large 30-
168 hPa NAM anomalies at the initial time is significantly smaller than that of the forecasts
169 with positively large NAM anomalies for the lead time from 5 to 13 days. Moreover, the
170 pressure level of which NAM anomaly most significantly affects the forecast skill of the
171 250-hPa NAM index tends to shift downward to the lower stratosphere for shorter lead
172 times. However, the stratospheric and tropospheric NAM anomalies do not affect the
173 predictability of lower tropospheric NAM index.

174 Regression analyses with respect to MSE of the 250-hPa NAM index also confirm the
175 above results. The suppressed upward propagation of WN1 planetary waves in the strato-
176 sphere and their enhanced equatorward propagation in the upper troposphere are also
177 significantly related to MSE of the 250-hPa NAM index. It is also interesting to note that
178 in the analysis period from 2001/02 to 2005/06 winter, there were 5 major SSWs which
179 are roughly classified as the vortex displacement type associated with the amplification

180 of WN1 component. This might be related to the important role of WN1 component for
181 the downward shift of the regressed stratospheric westerly anomalies.

182 Our results are also consistent with *Kuroda* [2008] which remarked very high predictabil-
183 ity of the tropospheric circulation just before the occurrence of a major SSW, correspond-
184 ing to a negatively large NAM event. He argued the high predictability in connection
185 with the magnitude of stratospheric circulation anomalies. However, our study insists
186 the primarily importance of the polarity of the stratospheric NAM anomalies for the pre-
187 dictability of the tropospheric circulation. To reveal which aspect of the stratospheric
188 circulation anomalies is much more relevant to the tropospheric predictability, we have to
189 conduct a series of ensemble reforecast experiments from several initial conditions with a
190 variety of magnitude and polarity of stratospheric NAM anomalies for a further study.

191 **Acknowledgments.** We thank two anonymous reviewers for constructive comments.
192 The JMA data used in this study was provided by way of “Meteorological Research
193 Consortium”, a framework for research cooperation of JMA and MSJ. This work was
194 supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) and (B) from JSPS. The GFD-
195 DENNOU Library was used for the graphics.

References

- 196 Baldwin, M. P., and T. J. Dunkerton (1999), Propagation of the Arctic Oscillation from
197 the stratosphere to the troposphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, *104*, 30937–30946.
- 198 Baldwin, M. P., and T. J. Dunkerton (2001), Stratospheric harbingers of anomalous
199 weather regimes. *Science*, *294*, 581–584.

- 200 Baldwin, M. P., D. B. Stephenson, D. W. J. Thompson, T. J. Dunkerton, A. J. Charl-
201 ton, and A. O’Neil (2003), Stratospheric memory and skill of extended-range weather
202 forecasts. *Science*, *301*, 636–640.
- 203 Kalnay, E., (2003), *Atmospheric Modeling, Data Assimilation and Predictability*, 341 pp.,
204 Cambridge Univ. Press,
- 205 Kuroda, Y. (2008), Role of the stratosphere on the predictability of medium-range
206 weather forecast: A case study of winter 2003–2004. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *35*, L19701,
207 doi:10.1029/2008GL034902.
- 208 Mukougawa, H., and T. Hirooka (2007), Predictability of the downward migration of the
209 Northern Annular Mode: A case study for January 2003. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*, *85*,
210 861–870.
- 211 Shiogama, H., and H. Mukougawa (2005), Influence of ENSO on the stratosphere-
212 troposphere coupling during stratospheric sudden warming events. *SOLA*, *1*, 125–128,
213 doi:10.2151/sola.2005-033.

Figure 1. (a) Time variation of observed NAM index at each pressure level for 2003/04 winter. (b) MSE of the NAM index at each pressure level for 2003/04 winter. The abscissa is the lead time in days. The values less than 0.5 (1.0) are heavily (lightly) shaded. The right panels are the same as the left ones except for 2004/05 winter.


Figure 2. (a) Time evolution of MSE of the NAM index for the forecasts classified by the initial 30-hPa NAM index against the lead time (solid lines). Broken lines are the squared magnitude of the mean error of the ensemble-mean forecast. Blue (red) lines are for the positive (negative) group. Time intervals of the lead time when the difference in MSE of the NAM index between the two groups is significant at 99.9 (99)% confidence are heavily (lightly) shaded. The black line shows MSE for the normal group. (b) Difference in MSE at each pressure level between the two groups classified by the initial 30-hPa NAM. (c) Difference in MSE of the 250-hPa NAM index between the two groups classified by initial NAM index at each pressure level (the ordinate). Positive values in (b) and (c) indicate larger MSE for the positive group. The abscissa is the lead time in days, and statistically significant regions are shaded as in (a).

Figure 3. As in Figure 2a except for MSS of the NAM index. Time intervals when the difference in MSS between the two groups is significant at 99.9 (99)% confidence are heavily (lightly) shaded. Broken lines show the variance of 7-day averaged observed NAM index from the initial time for each group. Blue (red) lines are for the positive (negative) group.


Figure 4. Regressed anomalies of zonal-mean zonal wind (contours: m s^{-1}) at the initial time of forecast on MSE of the 250-hPa NAM index for (a) 12-day, (b) 8-day, and (c) 4-day forecasts. Regions are heavily (lightly) shaded where correlation coefficients are significant at 99 (95)% confidence. The vectors indicate the regressed WN1 E-P flux anomalies (Kg s^{-2}) of which vertical or horizontal components are significant at the 90% level, and the magnitude of the vector is scaled by the reciprocal square root of the pressure.

2003/04

(a) Observed NAM




(b) MSE of NAM




2004/05


(c) Observed NAM




(d) MSE of NAM




(a) 250hPa MSE of NAM



(b) MSE Diff. of NAM (P-N)



(c) MSE of 250hPa NAM



250hPa Spread of NAM

